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Abstract. We prove a lower bound for the large sieve with square moduli.
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1. Introduction

The classical large sieve inequality states that for $Q, N \in \mathbb{N}, M \in \mathbb{Z}$ and any sequence of complex numbers $\{a_n\}$,

$$\sum_{q=1}^{Q} \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a,q)=1}}^{q} \left| \sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} a_n e\left(\frac{an}{q}\right) \right|^2 \leq (Q^2 + N - 1) \sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} |a_n|^2.$$

In [8], the third author studied the large sieve inequality for square moduli and conjectured that for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\sum_{q=1}^{Q} \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a,q)=1}}^{q^2} \left| \sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} a_n e\left(\frac{an}{q^2}\right) \right|^2 \ll Q^\varepsilon (Q^3 + N) \sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} |a_n|^2,$$

where the implied constant depends only on $\varepsilon$. In his undergraduate thesis, the second author numerically investigated the validity of (1.1). A natural question is whether (1.1) can hold with the factor $Q^\varepsilon$ removed. In this note, we answer this question in the negative. More precisely, we prove the following.

Theorem 1. For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there are infinitely many natural numbers $Q$ such that for suitable $M \in \mathbb{Z}, N \in \mathbb{N}$ and sequences $\{a_n\}$ of complex numbers, we have

$$\sum_{q=1}^{Q} \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a,q)=1}}^{q^2} \left| \sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} a_n e\left(\frac{an}{q^2}\right) \right|^2 \geq DQ \log \frac{\log Q + \log \log Q}{\log\log\log Q} (Q^3 + N) \sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} |a_n|^2$$

for some absolute positive constant $D$.

The above theorem shows that the $Q^\varepsilon$ factor in (1.1) cannot be discarded or even replaced by a power of logarithm. We note that the best-known upper bound for the left-hand side of (1.1) is

$$\ll (QN)^{\varepsilon} \left( Q^3 + N + \min \left\{ \sqrt{QN}, \sqrt{NQ^2} \right\} \right) \sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} |a_n|^2$$

due to the first and third authors [2].

The large sieve inequality for square (and quadratic) moduli has many applications. For example, it is used in the study of the Bombieri-Vinogradov theorem for square moduli [1], elliptic curves over finite fields [3,7], Fermat quotients [4], and the representation of primes [1,6].

In [8], the third author also studied the large sieve inequality for $k$-power moduli, where $k > 2$. The best known result for these $k$-power moduli with $k > 2$ is due to K. Halupczok [5], who gave a large sieve inequality for $k$-power moduli which is uniform in $k$.
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2. Proof of Theorem

We first establish the following lower bound for the number of Farey fractions with square denominators near certain rational points.

**Lemma 1.** Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $p_1, ..., p_m$ be the first $m$ odd primes. Set $Q := p_1 \cdots p_m$ and

\begin{equation}
S(Q) := \{(a, q) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : Q < q \leq 2Q, \ 1 \leq a \leq q^2, \ (a, q) = 1, \ \left| \frac{a}{q^2} - \frac{1}{Q} \right| \leq \frac{1}{Q^3}\}.
\end{equation}

Then

\begin{equation}
\sharp S(Q) \geq \frac{Q \log 2}{1 + \varepsilon \log \log Q},
\end{equation}

provided $m$ is sufficiently large.

Here we note that the expected number of Farey fractions of the form $a/q^2$ with $Q < q \leq 2Q$, $1 \leq a \leq q^2$, $(a, q) = 1$ in an interval of length $\Delta$ is, heuristically, of order of magnitude $Q^3 \Delta$. So the above Lemma shows that under certain circumstances, the true number can exceed the expectation significantly.

**Proof of Lemma.** Using the Chinese Remainder Theorem, the number of solutions to the congruence

$$q^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{Q}$$

with $Q < q \leq 2Q$ is exactly $2m$. If $q$ solves the above congruence, then

$$q^2 = 1 + aQ$$

for some $a$ with $1 \leq a \leq q^2$ and $(a, q) = 1$, and it follows that

$$\left| \frac{a}{q^2} - \frac{1}{Q} \right| = \frac{1}{q^2Q} \leq \frac{1}{Q^3}.$$ 

Hence,

$$\sharp S(Q) \geq 2^m.$$ 

Moreover, using the prime number theorem, for any given $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\log Q = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \log p_i \leq (1 + \varepsilon)p_m \leq (1 + 2\varepsilon)m \log m$$

if $m$ is sufficiently large. Consequently, for any given $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$m \geq \frac{\log Q}{(1 + \varepsilon) \log \log Q}$$

if $m$ is large enough. Now the desired inequality follows. \(\square\)

Having proved Lemma, we are ready to prove Theorem. It will suffice to prove with the summation range $1 \leq q \leq Q$ replaced by $Q < q \leq 2Q$ which we do in the following. Set $Q = p_1 \cdots p_m$ as in Lemma. Further, set

$$M := 0, \quad N := \frac{Q^3}{9}, \quad a_n := e\left(-\frac{n}{Q}\right).$$

Then

$$\sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} a_n e\left(\frac{an}{q^2}\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} e\left(\alpha_n\right)$$

with

$$\alpha_n := n\left(\frac{a}{q^2} - \frac{1}{Q}\right).$$

If

$$\left| \frac{a}{q^2} - \frac{1}{Q} \right| \leq \frac{1}{Q^3},$$
then $|\alpha_n| \leq 1/9$ for $n = 1, \ldots, N$ and hence
\begin{equation}
\left| \sum_{n=1}^{N} e(\alpha_n) \right| \geq CN
\end{equation}
for some absolute positive constant $C$.

Define $S(Q)$ as in (2.1). Then we have
\begin{equation}
\sum_{q=Q+1}^{2Q} \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a,q)=1}}^{q^2} \left| \sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} a_n e \left( \frac{an}{q^2} \right) \right|^2 
\geq \sum_{(a,q) \in S(Q)} \left| \sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} a_n e \left( \frac{an}{q^2} \right) \right|^2 
\geq \sharp S(Q) \cdot (CN)^2 
= C^2 \cdot \sharp S(Q) \cdot N \sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} |a_n|^2 
= \frac{C^2}{10} \cdot \sharp S(Q) \cdot (Q^3 + N) \sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} |a_n|^2 
\geq \frac{C^2}{10} \cdot Q \log^2 \log \log Q \cdot (Q^3 + N) \sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} |a_n|^2,
\end{equation}
where the third line follows from (2.3), and the last line follows from Lemma 1. This completes the proof.
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