

Magnetic field induced intermediate quantum spin-liquid with a spinon Fermi surface

Niravkumar D. Patel¹ and Nandini Trivedi¹

¹*Department of Physics, The Ohio State University,
191 W. Woodruff Avenue, Columbus, OH 43210*

The Kitaev model with an applied magnetic field in the $H||[111]$ direction shows two transitions: from a non-abelian gapped quantum spin liquid (QSL) to a gapless QSL at $H_{c1} \simeq 0.2K$ and a second transition at a higher field $H_{c2} \simeq 0.35K$ to a gapped partially polarized phase, where K is the strength of the Kitaev exchange interaction. We identify the intermediate phase to be a gapless $U(1)$ QSL, and determine the spin structure function $S(\mathbf{k})$ and the Fermi surface $\epsilon_F^S(\mathbf{k})$ of the gapless spinons using the density matrix renormalization group (DMRG) method for large honeycomb clusters. Further calculations of static spin-spin correlations, magnetization, spin susceptibility, and finite temperature specific heat and entropy, corroborate the gapped and gapless nature of the different field-dependent phases. In the intermediate phase, the spin-spin correlations decay as a power law with distance, indicative of a gapless phase.

The inspired exact solution of the Kitaev model on a two-dimensional honeycomb lattice with bond dependent spin exchange interaction [1] (Eq. 1) has emerged as a paradigmatic model for quantum spin liquids (QSL). For equal bond interactions (K), the ground state of the Kitaev model is known to be a topologically non-trivial gapless QSL with fractionalized excitations. The multiple ground state degeneracy reflects the topology of the lattice manifold (2-fold degeneracy on a cylinder and 4-fold degeneracy on a torus). The promise of utilizing fractionalized excitations for applications in robust quantum computing [1–5] has led to considerable excitement and activity in the field from diverse directions, all the way from fundamental developments to applications. Upon breaking time-reversal symmetry (TRS), for example by applying a magnetic field, the exact solvability of the Kitaev model is lost but perturbatively it can be shown that the Kitaev gapless QSL becomes a gapped QSL phase with non-abelian anyonic excitations [1].

In order to realize the exotic properties of the Kitaev model, there has been keen interest to discover Kitaev physics in materials. To this end, Mott insulators with magnetic frustration and large spin-orbit coupling have been proposed [6], leading to the discovery of α -RuCl₃ and A₂IrO₃ (A = Na, Li). These are candidate QSL materials with the desired honeycomb geometry. Although given additional interactions beyond the Kitaev interaction in materials, there is still controversy about the regimes where Kitaev physics can be accessed [7–12]. Additionally, triangular and Kagome lattice with spin frustration has also been proposed as a candidate for a QSL [13–19]. In this context, organics such as κ -(BEDT-TTF)₂Cu₂(CN)₃ [20] and EtMe₃Sb[Pd(dmit)₂]₂ [21], the transition metal dichalcogenides 1T-TaS₂ [19] and a large family of rare-earth dichalcogenides AReX₂ (A = alkali or monovalent ions, Re = rare earth, X = O, S, Se) [22] have been explored. Remarkably, recent NMR and thermal Hall conductivity experiments on α -RuCl₃ demonstrate that one can drive the magnetically ordered phase into a QSL phase using an external mag-

netic field [23, 24]. To this end, various numerical tools were used to explore the possible QSL phases in the models relevant to candidate honeycomb materials with an external magnetic field [25–29].

In this article, we theoretically address the question: What is the fate of the Kitaev QSL with increasing magnetic field (see Eq. 1), beyond the perturbative limit? Previous studies, using a variety of numerical methods [30–33], have pushed the Kitaev model solution to larger magnetic fields outside the perturbative regime. At high magnetic fields, one would expect a polarized phase. What is surprising is the discovery of an intermediate phase sandwiched between the gapped QSL at low fields and the polarized phase at high fields when a uniform magnetic field is applied along the [111] direction (Fig. 1c). The main question we focus on is: What is the nature of this intermediate phase? A study based on exact diagonalization on small clusters claims to get an intermediate QSL phase with a stable spinon Fermi surface [30]. An independent analysis based on the central charge and symmetry arguments also deduce a spinon Fermi surface [34, 35]. In this article, we present exact calculations of the spin structure factor $S(\mathbf{k})$ on large systems and reconstruct the spinon Fermi surface $\epsilon_F^S(\mathbf{k})$ in the intermediate phase, details of which are distinct from other recent proposals. These are the primary significant new results of this work.

MODEL AND METHOD

We consider the Kitaev model on a honeycomb lattice in the presence of an external magnetic field along the [111] direction. The model Hamiltonian is defined as

$$H_K = K \sum_{\gamma=x,y,z} \sum_{\langle ij \rangle_\gamma} S_i^\gamma S_j^\gamma - \sum_i \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{S}_i \quad (1)$$

where $\gamma = x, y, z$ is the nearest neighbor bond index of each site, and S^γ is the γ projection of spin-1/2 degrees of freedom on each site. The first term is the Kitaev




FIG. 1. (a) Spin susceptibility (χ) for $L_1 = 4, 16$ and (b) different measures of magnetization as a function of magnetic field strength (H) for $L_1 = 16$. The vertical dashed lines show critical magnetic fields where phase transitions occur. (c) Corresponding phase diagram that shows transitions from gapless QSL to gapped QSL to an intermediate gapless QSL with a spinon Fermi surface (SFS) into a partially polarized magnetic (PPM) phase ($H = 0$ to large H respectively). (d) Average spin-spin correlations between sites at a fixed distance of R . The dashed black line represents the power law decay with power -1.5 , as a guide to the eye. All Results obtained using DMRG at zero temperature.

model where $K = 1$ eV, and the second term represents Zeeman field with a uniform magnetic field $\mathbf{H}$ (in units of K) applied in the $[111]$ direction. This model is solved using DMRG and Lanczos on a lattice with $L_1 \times L_2$ number of unit cells (u.c.) and with 2 sites per u.c. labeled as A or B (the total number of sites equals $2L_1L_2$ - see Fig. 3a). All DMRG simulations are performed with fixed $L_1 = 16$ and cylindrical boundary conditions using upto 1200 DMRG states per block, ensuring the truncation error $\leq 5 \times 10^{-6}$ for $L_2 \leq 5$ (≤ 10 sites in $\mathbf{a}_2$ direction) within the two-site grand canonical DMRG [36–43]. Additionally, we also use finite temperature Lanczos method (FTLM) for calculations of specific heat and thermodynamic entropy at finite temperatures T (in units of K) on small clusters [39, 44]. The supplemental material presents definitions of all observables and convergence analysis of DMRG and FTLM calculations.

RESULTS

We begin with the magnetic and thermodynamic properties of the model. Figure 1 shows the spin susceptibility ($\chi = \partial S_{tot}/\partial H$), and various measures of magnetization as a function of field strength H . The clear two-peak structure of χ demonstrate the presence of two phase transitions at finite field strengths. The corresponding magnetization also shows kinks at the critical fields $H \simeq 0.2$ and 0.35 (dashed lines in Figs. 1a and 1b). The zero field limit is known to be a QSL with a




FIG. 2. Specific heat $C_v(T)$ and thermodynamic entropy $S(T)$ as a function of temperature T . Shown results are using a 3×3 unit cell (18 sites) cluster solved using finite temperature Lanczos method (FTLM) averaged over 50 different random runs [39, 44] for each fixed magnetic field strengths H (key label).

gapless energy spectrum and 4-fold topological ground-state degeneracy that is associated with a symmetry protected topological phase [1]. At finite fields $H \lesssim 0.2$, the ground-state is 2-fold degenerate on a cylinder and the energy spectrum becomes gapped [1, 32]. The gapped phase is also understood to be a $p + ip$ state of the emergent fermions. For high fields $H \gtrsim 0.35$, we find a partially polarized magnetic phase (PPM) phase, where the magnetization monotonically increases toward its saturation value ($M_{111} = 1.5$) in the trivially field polarized product state. Remarkably, the two peak structure of χ indicates that an intermediate phase is sandwiched between the gapped Kitaev QSL and polarized phases (Fig. 1c).

What are the properties of the intermediate phase? We study the decay of spin-spin correlations $C(R)$ shown as a function of the distance R between the two spins in (Fig. 1d). As expected, in the gapped non-abelian QSL phase ($H = 0.15$) the $C(R)$ decays exponentially, consistent with a finite gap to flux excitations[4, 30, 33, 45]. In the PPM phase, the behavior of $C(R)$ is consistent with an exponential decay due to short ranged spin-spin correlations arising from the energy cost for a spin-flip that is proportional to H . However, in the intermediate regime, the behavior of $C(R) \propto R^{-m}$ is approximate fit as a power law with $m = 1.5$ shown by the dashed black line in Figure 1d. The supplementary material show more detained fitting analysis of $C(R)$, where m is weakly dependent on the field in the intermediate phase.

We provide further evidence of the gapless nature of excitations in Figure 2 through the temperature-dependent specific heat $C_v(T)$ and the thermodynamic entropy $S(T)$. We capture the characteristic two peak structure of C_v and two ‘hump’ structure in the entropy at zero field. As expected, S saturates to $\ln(2)$ at large temperatures for all field values. The two peak/hump feature is also found in the gapped QSL phase ($H = 0.15$), where low temperature peak/hump shifts to even lower temperatures compared to $H = 0$. The behavior of the low




FIG. 3. The spin structure factor and deduced spinon Fermi surface in the intermediate phase. Panel (a) shows the honeycomb lattice with cylindrical boundary conditions with $L_2 = 3, 4, 5$ (6, 8, 10 sites in the $\mathbf{a}_2$ direction), shown here for $L_2 = 5$. The unit vectors are $\mathbf{a}_1 = (0, 1)$ and $\mathbf{a}_2 = (0.5, \sqrt{3}/2)$. The spin structure factor $S_{tot}(\mathbf{k})$ in panel (c) shown along the crystal momentum cuts in panel (b), within the extended BZ, at fixed $H = 0.3$ in the intermediate phase. These cuts are defined using the reciprocal lattice vectors $\mathbf{k}_1 = (2\pi, -2\pi/\sqrt{3})$ and $\mathbf{k}_2 = (0, 4\pi/\sqrt{3})$ where circles, squares, and triangle markers represent cuts obtained using $L_2 = 3, 4, 5$ respectively. The high symmetry points of the BZ and extended BZ are also labeled in the panel (b). Panel (d) contour plot of $S_{tot}(\mathbf{k})$ with blue representing low and red representing high intensity. The diagonal lines are special cuts C_1, C_2 and C_3 defined in panel (c). (e) The peak locations (red points) of the intra-sublattice structure factor $S_{tr}(\mathbf{k})$ where grey circles at M points represents large peaks. (f) Proposed spinon Fermi surface $A(\mathbf{k}, \omega = 0) = \delta(\omega - \epsilon_F^S(\mathbf{k}))$ with pockets around Γ and M points, assuming sublattice A and B are equivalent as in the Kitaev model. (g) Scattering spectrum of the proposed spinon Fermi surface calculated using $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{k}) \sim \sum_{\mathbf{q}} A(\mathbf{q}, \omega = 0)A(\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{k}, \omega = 0)$. The proposed spinon Fermi surface reproduce robust peaks at M points of the BZ, and circular pattern around the Γ points, similar to the DMRG results of the panel (e).

temperature $S(T)$ and $C_v(T)$ is consistent with a gapped spectrum both at low and high fields. However in the intermediate phase, the peak at low T/K is suppressed leading to an algebraic behavior that is distinct compared to both gapped phases. In fact, the low temperature C_v at $H = 0.33$ show linear behavior in temperature (shown in supplemental material with a linear temperature scale) that is consistent with a gapless phase. As the FTLM is limited to small system sizes, severe size effects prevent us from obtaining an exact dependence of C_v on temperature, and therefore we only discuss the qualitative trends. The analysis of the statistical error in calculations of C_v and S is also shown in the supplemental, along with C_v at low temperatures. Finally with increasing H across $H_{c2} \simeq 0.35$ into the PPM phase ($H = 0.45$), the two peaks in C_v merge, and similarly only one hump feature is visible in the entropy.

We also analyze the low temperature ‘missing’ part of the entropy (S_{low}) resulting from finite size effects (shown in the supplemental material). The $H = 0$ and gapped phases have a quadratic increase in S_{low} with temperature. On the contrary, the intermediate phase shows almost a linear behavior of S_{low} at low temperatures. This is consistent with our earlier arguments of gapless nature

of the intermediate phase. To summarize, we observe the following features in the intermediate phase: (1) a slow power-law decay of the $C(R)$ (Fig.1d) that is indicative of a gapless phase, and (2) a $C_v(T)$ consistent with linear behavior at low T indicating a presence of itinerant emergent fermions. All of this evidence taken together provide strong evidence for an intermediate phase that is indeed gapless. Additionally, recently calculated $T = 0$ spin dynamics on small systems also show a continuum in energy suggesting that the intermediate phases is indeed a $U(1)$ gapless QSL [30]. In fact, such gapless spin-liquid phase on a triangular lattice was recently shown to have stable spinon Fermi surface [46, 47]. Therefore, it is likely that even in our case, on a honeycomb lattice, we may have a spinon Fermi surface as discussed before.

In order to explore this possibility, we calculate the spin structure factor along various cuts of the Brillouin zone (BZ) in Figures 3. For two-sites per unit cell (A and B), we define structure factor using Fourier transform of inter and intra sublattice spin-spin correlations. The calculation of (trace) $S_{tr}(\mathbf{k})$ is performed using *only* intra-sublattice correlations while (total) $S_{tot}(\mathbf{k})$ sums over both inter- and intra-sublattice correlations (see supplemental material). Note that the sublattice A and B




FIG. 4. $S_{tot}(\mathbf{k})$ for various field strengths along (a) cut C_1 and (b) cut C_2 . (defined in Fig 3d). The peaks at M_e points are most robust for the intermediate phase $H = 0.26, 0.30$ and 0.33 . The peak/hump like feature close to K points are monotonically decreases with increasing H .

are located on different positions within a unit cell (unlike orbitals), and therefore $S_{tot}(\mathbf{k})$ must be considered in the extended BZ scheme (Fig. 3b-3d) while the $S_{tr}(\mathbf{k})$ may be considered only using the 1st BZ (Fig. 3e). In short, $S_{tr}(\Gamma) = S_{tr}(\Gamma_e)$, but $S_{tot}(\Gamma) \neq S_{tot}(\Gamma_e)$ where Γ and Γ_e refer to high symmetry points in the first and extended Brillouin zones respectively, labeled in Fig. 3b. We shall use subscript ‘e’ to distinguish between high symmetry points of the extended BZ versus the 1st BZ.

In Figure 3c-3d, we show cuts and contour of the $S_{tot}(\mathbf{k})$ at fixed $H = 0.3K$ in the intermediate phase (see supplemental for cuts of the $S_{tr}(\mathbf{k})$). There is suppression of intensity round the Γ point and peaks around the M_e points. The cuts between C_2 and C_3 pass through two M_e points showing robust peaks visible in Fig. 3c (green curve). $S_{tot}(\mathbf{k})$ at all the M_e points are distinctly visible as high intensity red circles in Fig. 3d. Apart from the total spin structure factor $S_{tot}(\mathbf{k})$, we also analyze the peak locations of the trace spin structure factor $S_{tr}(\mathbf{k})$ that respects symmetries within the 1st BZ. Figure 3e show large peaks at the M points of the 1st BZ with ‘soft’ peaks around the K and K' points forming 3-petal shaped patterns, and peaks around Γ forming a circle. In fact, comparing Figures 3d and 3e, it can be observed that the dominant peaks at the M points in $S_{tr}(\mathbf{k})$ simply shifts to the M_e points when considering the $S_{tot}(\mathbf{k})$ that also retains the inter-sublattice correlations.

Given the information provided by $S_{tot}(\mathbf{k})$ and $S_{tr}(\mathbf{k})$, we propose the corresponding spinon Fermi surface (SFS) $\epsilon_F^S(\mathbf{k})$ with pockets around Γ and M points of the BZ (Fig. 3f). Using the spinon spectral function, defined by $A(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \delta(\omega - \epsilon_F^S(\mathbf{k}))$, we construct the scattering function in Fig. 3g, $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{k}) \sim \sum_{\mathbf{q}} A(\mathbf{q}, \omega = 0)A(\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{k}, \omega = 0)$, that picks up the momentum transfer $\mathbf{k}$ from $\mathbf{q}$ to $\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{k}$ scattering across the Fermi surface with energy transfer $\omega = 0$. The inter-pocket scattering $\Gamma \leftrightarrow M$ and $M \leftrightarrow M$ across the FS (Fig. 3f) leads to a large joint density of states with momentum transfer $\mathbf{k} = M$ that results in peaks at the M points (Fig. 3g), consistent with our DMRG calculations of $S_{tr}(\mathbf{k})$ in the interme-

mediate phase (Fig. 3e). Other large circular features in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{k})$ arise from similar scattering processes, for example, a spinon with momentum $\mathbf{q}$ around the Γ pocket can scatter to all $\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{k}$ points within the pockets at the M points. The combination of these large circular features leads to the 3-petal patterns also found in $S_{tr}(\mathbf{k})$ at the K/K' points (Fig. 3e). *In summary, our proposed spinon Fermi surface is able to qualitatively capture even subtle features of the $S_{tr}(\mathbf{k})$ in the intermediate phase providing strong evidence for a QSL with a spinon Fermi surface shown in Fig. 3f.*

We next turn to the effect of a magnetic field on the spin structure factor. Figure 4 shows $S_{tot}(\mathbf{k})$ for C_1 cut and C_2 cut at various field strengths H . Note that for zero fields gapless and $H \lesssim 0.2$ gapped QSL phases, there is a broad feature that is consistent with short-ranged correlations, established by an exponential decay of spin-spin correlations in real-space (Fig. 1c). Our result is also consistent with previous calculations of peaks in the dynamical structure factor $S_{tot}(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$ arising from plaquette flux and Majorana excitations [33] that upon integrating $S_{tot}(\mathbf{k}) = \int_0^\infty d\omega S_{tot}(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$, give the broad feature in Figure 4.

The intermediate phase with long-range correlations shows robust peaks at M_e (Fig. 4a). Additionally, Figure 4b demonstrates that the plateau at momentum point K monotonically decreases with an increasing magnetic field. On the other hand, $S_{tot}(\mathbf{k} = M_e)$ increase with the field, reaching its maximum value in the intermediate phase, and decreasing again upon transitioning into PPM phase. All peaks get suppressed in the PPM phase, as expected, because of shorter ranged spin-spin correlations (Fig. 1d). Overall, the combined results of Figures 3-4 show that the dominant peaks of the spin structure factor $S(\mathbf{k})$ at the M points exist only in the intermediate gapless phase.

DISCUSSION

There have been several reports of the value of the central charge c in the intermediate region, using which they have deduced the SFS [33–35]. However, it appears to be very difficult to obtain a reliable value for c unambiguously in a gapless phase using DMRG. Ref. [34] propose $c = 1, 0$ using $L_2 = 3, 4$ (respectively), Ref. [33] finds a $c = 4$ using $L_2 = 5$, Ref. [35] calculate $c = 1, 2$ using $L_2 = 2, 3$ (respectively) using DMRG. Based on the central charge arguments, the spinon FS was argued to have pockets around the K/K' and Γ points of the first BZ [34, 35]. This clearly differs from our proposal of the spinon FS with pockets around Γ and M points; our results are also consistent with the exact diagonalization results of the dynamical structure factor on small clusters [30]. We further emphasize that the total $S(\mathbf{k})$ must respect the symmetries within the extended BZ because

of two atoms in the unit cell at different locations for a honeycomb lattice (Fig. 3a), a point that appears to have been ignored in the literature.

We note that the $S(\mathbf{k})$ surfaces shown in Figure 3d-3e cannot result from tight-binding model with uniform hopping on a honeycomb lattice (the graphene problem). This is because any scattering $K \leftrightarrow K'$ will only lead to $S(\mathbf{k})$ with peaks at the K and K' points, not present in our DMRG calculations of $S(\mathbf{k})$ in the intermediate phase. In order to have peaks at the M point in $S(\mathbf{k})$, as we have found in our calculations, there must be pockets around the M points of the spinon FS. Note that all of the 6 M points in the BZ are related by C_3 rotation and momentum translation symmetries, and therefore must be identical. Such a spinon FS with $M \leftrightarrow M$ scattering will produce a peak with momentum transfer around the M point in $S(\mathbf{k})$. Moreover, the size of pockets on the spinon FS are picked such that more subtle ‘three-petal’ shaped patterns around the K/K' points (Fig. 3e) are also captured in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{k})$ (Fig. 3g). In the graphene problem, the Dirac points at the K/K' are protected by inversion and time reversal symmetry. However, the magnetic field breaks time-reversal symmetry allowing for the possibility of shifting the pocket positions away from the K/K' points. Additionally, we expect the largest scattering contribution to occur between a particle and hole pocket. In summary, we propose a spinon Fermi surface with two bands, creating Fermi pockets at the Γ point and M with opposite particle and hole character (Fig. 3f).

The procedure described above for the reconstruction of the spinon Fermi surface relies on the assumption that the ω -integrated $S_{tot}(\mathbf{k}) \simeq S_{tot}(\mathbf{k}, \omega = 0)$ is well described by the dynamical correlations at low energies, also used previously to propose a spinon Fermi surface in 1T-TaS₂ [46]. In the Kitaev model (at zero field) indeed most of the weight in $S_{tot}(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$ is concentrated at small ω [4]. We test this assumption in the new QSL phase by calculating the numerically challenging dynamical spin structure factor [48, 49] at low energies $S_{tot}(\mathbf{k}, \omega = 0)$ on 8×3 unit cell (48 sites) cluster. We find that the dynamical spin structure factor also shows peaks at the M points for $\omega = 0$ (see supplemental), justifying our method to reconstruct the spinon Fermi surface.

We expect the emergent neutral fermions that form a Fermi surface in the gapless QSL phase to show quantum oscillations in a magnetic field, similar to observations of quantum oscillations in SmB₆, a topological Kondo insulator [50–52]. Going forward, it would also be useful to hope the different classes of Mott insulators that could harbor quantum spin liquid ground states in order to explore the emergent superconducting phases [53].

CONCLUSION

Our most significant finding is that of an intermediate gapless quantum spin liquid with a spinon Fermi surface, sandwiched between the well known gapped non-abelian Kitaev spin liquid at low magnetic fields and a partially polarized phase at high magnetic fields, studied here for a field along [111] direction. The two quantum phase transitions are revealed by kinks in the magnetization and peaks in the susceptibility. The gapless nature of the intermediate phase $0.2 \lesssim H \lesssim 0.35$ is indicated by the slow power law decay of spin-spin correlations as opposed to an exponential decay in the other two phases. The temperature dependence of the thermodynamic quantities, specific heat and entropy, also corroborate the gapless nature of this intermediate phase.

Finally, using large-scale DMRG and detailed analysis along many cuts of the spin structure factor $S(\mathbf{k})$ in momentum space, we propose a spinon Fermi surface in the intermediate phase with pockets at the Γ and M points with opposite particle-hole character. We expect our findings to encourage the search for quantum spin liquids in materials with Kitaev interactions via spectroscopy and thermodynamic measurements.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Kyungmin Lee, and David C. Ronquillo for their helpful comments and discussions. We also thank Gonzalo Alvarez for help with DMRG++ open source code developed at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory. N.D.P. and N.T. acknowledge support from DOE grant DE-FG02-07ER46423. Computations were performed using Unity cluster at the Ohio State University and the Ohio supercomputer [54].

-
- [1] Kitaev, A. Anyons in an exactly solved model and beyond. *Annals of Physics* **321**, 2 – 111 (2006). URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0003491605002381>.
 - [2] Savary, L. & Balents, L. Quantum spin liquids: a review. *Reports on Progress in Physics* **80**, 016502 (2017). URL <http://stacks.iop.org/0034-4885/80/i=1/a=016502>.
 - [3] Zhou, Y., Kanoda, K. & Ng, T.-K. Quantum spin liquid states. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **89**, 025003 (2017). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/RevModPhys.89.025003>. See also references therein.
 - [4] Knolle, J. *Dynamics of a Quantum Spin Liquid* (Springer International Publishing, 2016), 1 edn. See also references therein.
 - [5] Knolle, J. & Moessner, R. A field guide to spin liquids. *ArXiv e-prints* 1804.02037 (2018). URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/1804.02037>. See also references therein.

- [6] Jackeli, G. & Khaliullin, G. Mott insulators in the strong spin-orbit coupling limit: From Heisenberg to a quantum compass and Kitaev models. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 017205 (2009). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.017205>.
- [7] Chun, S. H. *et al.* Direct evidence for dominant bond-directional interactions in a honeycomb lattice iridate Na_2IrO_3 . *Nature Physics* **11**, 462 (2015). URL <https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys3322>.
- [8] Ye, F. *et al.* Direct evidence of a zigzag spin-chain structure in the honeycomb lattice: A neutron and x-ray diffraction investigation of single-crystal Na_2IrO_3 . *Phys. Rev. B* **85**, 180403 (2012). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.180403>.
- [9] Choi, S. K. *et al.* Spin waves and revised crystal structure of honeycomb iridate Na_2IrO_3 . *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 127204 (2012). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.127204>.
- [10] Banerjee, A. *et al.* Neutron scattering in the proximate quantum spin liquid $\alpha\text{-RuCl}_3$. *Science* **356**, 1055–1059 (2017). URL <http://science.sciencemag.org/content/356/6342/1055>.
- [11] Winter, S. M. *et al.* Models and materials for generalized Kitaev magnetism. *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter* **29**, 493002 (2017). URL <http://stacks.iop.org/0953-8984/29/i=49/a=493002>.
- [12] Trebst, S. Kitaev materials. *ArXiv e-prints* 1701.07056 (2017). URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/1701.07056>. See also references therein.
- [13] Misguich, G., Lhuillier, C., Bernu, B. & Waldtmann, C. Spin-liquid phase of the multiple-spin exchange hamiltonian on the triangular lattice. *Phys. Rev. B* **60**, 1064–1074 (1999). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.60.1064>.
- [14] Morita, H., Watanabe, S. & Imada, M. Nonmagnetic insulating states near the Mott transitions on lattices with geometrical frustration and implications for $\kappa\text{-(ET)}_2\text{Cu}_2(\text{CN})_3$. *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan* **71**, 2109–2112 (2002).
- [15] Motrunich, O. I. Variational study of triangular lattice spin-1/2 model with ring exchanges and spin liquid state in $\kappa\text{-(ET)}_2\text{Cu}_2(\text{CN})_3$. *Phys. Rev. B* **72**, 045105 (2005). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.72.045105>.
- [16] Shimizu, Y., Miyagawa, K., Kanoda, K., Maesato, M. & Saito, G. Spin liquid state in an organic Mott insulator with a triangular lattice. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 107001 (2003). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.107001>.
- [17] Helton, J. S. *et al.* Spin dynamics of the spin-1/2 kagome lattice antiferromagnet $\text{ZnCu}_3(\text{OH})_6\text{Cl}_2$. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 107204 (2007). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.107204>.
- [18] Qi, Y., Xu, C. & Sachdev, S. Dynamics and transport of the Z_2 spin liquid: Application to $\kappa\text{-(ET)}_2\text{Cu}_2(\text{CN})_3$. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 176401 (2009). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.176401>.
- [19] Law, K. T. & Lee, P. A. 1T-TaS₂ as a quantum spin liquid. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **114**, 6996–7000 (2017). URL <http://www.pnas.org/content/114/27/6996>. <http://www.pnas.org/content/114/27/6996.full.pdf>.
- [20] Shimizu, Y., Miyagawa, K., Kanoda, K., Maesato, M. & Saito, G. Spin liquid state in an organic Mott insulator with a triangular lattice. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 107001 (2003). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.107001>.
- [21] Itou, T., Oyamada, A., Maegawa, S., Tamura, M. & Kato, R. Quantum spin liquid in the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ triangular antiferromagnet $\text{EtMe}_3\text{Sb}[\text{Pd}(\text{dmit})_2]_2$. *Phys. Rev. B* **77**, 104413 (2008). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.104413>.
- [22] Liu, W. *et al.* Rare-earth chalcogenides: A large family of triangular lattice spin liquid candidates. *Chinese Physics Letters* **35**, 117501 (2018). URL http://cpl.iphy.ac.cn/EN/abstract/article_71288.shtml.
- [23] Kasahara, Y. *et al.* Majorana quantization and half-integer thermal quantum hall effect in a Kitaev spin liquid. *Nature* **559**, 227 (2018). URL <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0274-0>.
- [24] Janša, N. *et al.* Observation of two types of fractional excitation in the Kitaev honeycomb magnet. *Nature physics* **14**, 786 (2018). URL <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41567-018-0129-5>.
- [25] Wolter, A. U. B. *et al.* Field-induced quantum criticality in the Kitaev system $\alpha\text{-RuCl}_3$. *Phys. Rev. B* **96**, 041405 (2017). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.041405>.
- [26] Liu, Z.-X. & Normand, B. Dirac and chiral quantum spin liquids on the honeycomb lattice in a magnetic field. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 187201 (2018). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.187201>.
- [27] Gordon, J. S., Catuneanu, A., Sørensen, E. S. & Kee, H.-Y. Theory of the field-revealed Kitaev spin liquid. *ArXiv e-prints* 1901.09943v1 (2019). URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/1901.09943v1>.
- [28] Jiang, Y.-F., Devereaux, T. P. & Jiang, H.-C. Field-induced quantum spin liquid in the Kitaev-Heisenberg model and its relation to $\alpha\text{-RuCl}_3$. *ArXiv e-prints* 1901.09131v1 (2019). URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/1901.09131v1>.
- [29] Takikawa, D. & Fujimoto, S. Impact of off-diagonal exchange interactions on the Kitaev spin liquid state of $\alpha\text{-RuCl}_3$. *ArXiv e-prints* 1902.06433v2 (2019). URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/1902.06433v2>.
- [30] Hickey, C. & Trebst, S. Emergence of a field-driven U(1) spin liquid in the Kitaev honeycomb model. *Nature communications* **10**, 530 (2019). URL <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-08459-9>.
- [31] Ronquillo, D. C., Vengal, A. & Trivedi, N. Field-orientation-dependent spin dynamics of the Kitaev honeycomb model. *ArXiv e-prints* 1805.03722 (2018). URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/1805.03722>.
- [32] Zhu, Z., Kimchi, I., Sheng, D. N. & Fu, L. Robust non-abelian spin liquid and a possible intermediate phase in the antiferromagnetic Kitaev model with magnetic field. *Phys. Rev. B* **97**, 241110 (2018). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.97.241110>.
- [33] Gohlke, M., Moessner, R. & Pollmann, F. Dynamical and topological properties of the Kitaev model in a [111] magnetic field. *Phys. Rev. B* **98**, 014418 (2018). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.98.014418>.
- [34] Jiang, H.-C., Wang, C.-Y., Huang, B. & Lu, Y.-M. Field induced quantum spin liquid with spinon Fermi surfaces in the Kitaev model. *arXiv e-prints* 1809.08247 (2018). URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/1809.08247>.
- [35] Zou, L. & He, Y.-C. Field-induced neutral Fermi surface and QCD₃-Chern-Simons quantum criticalities in

- Kitaev materials. *arXiv e-prints* 1809.09091 (2018). URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/1809.09091>.
- [36] White, S. R. Density matrix formulation for quantum renormalization groups. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69**, 2863–2866 (1992). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.69.2863>.
- [37] White, S. R. Density-matrix algorithms for quantum renormalization groups. *Phys. Rev. B* **48**, 10345–10356 (1993). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.48.10345>.
- [38] White, S. R. Spin gaps in a frustrated Heisenberg model for CaV_4O_9 . *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 3633–3636 (1996). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.3633>.
- [39] Avella, A. & Mancini, F. *Strongly Correlated Systems: Numerical Methods*. Springer Series in Solid-State Sciences (Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2013). URL https://books.google.com/books?id=Be4_AAAQBAJ.
- [40] Alvarez, G. The density matrix renormalization group for strongly correlated electron systems: A generic implementation. *Computer Physics Communications* **180**, 1572–1578 (2009).
- [41] D’Azevedo, E. F., Elwasif, W. R., Patel, N. D. & Alvarez, G. Targeting multiple states in the density matrix renormalization group with the singular value decomposition. *ArXiv e-prints* 1902.09621v1 (2019). URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/1902.09621v1>.
- [42] Schollwöck, U. The density-matrix renormalization group. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **77**, 259–315 (2005). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/RevModPhys.77.259>.
- [43] Schollwöck, U. The density-matrix renormalization group in the age of matrix product states. *Annals of Physics* **326**, 96 – 192 (2011). URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0003491610001752>.
- [44] Jaklič, J. & Prelovšek, P. Lanczos method for the calculation of finite-temperature quantities in correlated systems. *Phys. Rev. B* **49**, 5065–5068 (1994). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.49.5065>.
- [45] Hermanns, M., Kimchi, I. & Knolle, J. Physics of the Kitaev model: Fractionalization, dynamic correlations, and material connections. *Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics* **9**, 17–33 (2018). URL <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-033117-053934>.
- [46] He, W.-Y., Xu, X. Y., Chen, G., Law, K. T. & Lee, P. A. Spinon Fermi surface in a cluster Mott insulator model on a triangular lattice and possible application to $1T\text{-TaS}_2$. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 046401 (2018). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.046401>.
- [47] Block, M. S., Sheng, D. N., Motrunich, O. I. & Fisher, M. P. A. Spin Bose-metal and valence bond solid phases in a spin-1/2 model with ring exchanges on a four-leg triangular ladder. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 157202 (2011). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.157202>.
- [48] Nocera, A. & Alvarez, G. Spectral functions with the density matrix renormalization group: Krylov-space approach for correction vectors. *Phys. Rev. E* **94**, 053308 (2016). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevE.94.053308>.
- [49] Kühner, T. D. & White, S. R. Dynamical correlation functions using the density matrix renormalization group. *Phys. Rev. B* **60**, 335–343 (1999). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.60.335>.
- [50] Knolle, J. & Cooper, N. R. Excitons in topological Kondo insulators: Theory of thermodynamic and transport anomalies in SmB_6 . *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 096604 (2017). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.096604>.
- [51] Li, G. *et al.* Two-dimensional fermi surfaces in Kondo insulator SmB_6 . *Science* **346**, 1208–1212 (2014). URL <http://science.sciencemag.org/content/346/6214/1208>. <http://science.sciencemag.org/content/346/6214/1208.full.pdf>.
- [52] Erten, O., Ghaemi, P. & Coleman, P. Kondo breakdown and quantum oscillations in SmB_6 . *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 046403 (2016). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.046403>.
- [53] Metlitski, M. A., Mross, D. F., Sachdev, S. & Senthil, T. Cooper pairing in non-Fermi liquids. *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 115111 (2015). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.91.115111>.
- [54] Center, O. S. Ohio supercomputer center. <http://osc.edu/ark:/19495/f5s1ph73> (1987).

Supplemental: Magnetic field induced intermediate quantum spin-liquid with a spinon Fermi surface

OPERATORS AND OBSERVABLES

In this section, we define all the observables that are used in the main text. Figure 1 contains results of spin susceptibility, various measures of magnetization, and real-space decay of the spin-spin correlation. The magnetization can be defined in two ways: (1) measure of the total spin of the ground-state S_{tot} or (2) using an average of local spin moments that becomes finite with a finite magnetic field.

$$\begin{aligned} S^{tot} &= \sum_{i,j} \langle \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j \rangle \\ M_{1,1,1} &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \langle S_i^x \rangle + \langle S_i^y \rangle + \langle S_i^z \rangle \\ M_{\bar{1},\bar{1},1} &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_i -\langle S_i^x \rangle - \langle S_i^y \rangle + \langle S_i^z \rangle \\ M_{1,\bar{1},1} &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \langle S_i^x \rangle - \langle S_i^y \rangle + \langle S_i^z \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (S1)$$

where $S_i^\gamma = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_i^\gamma$ with $\gamma = x, y, z$ and N is the total number of sites. Figure 1d also show real space spin-spin correlations at fixed distance R that is defined as

$$C(R) = \frac{1}{N_R} \sum_{R=|i-j|} \langle \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j \rangle - \langle \mathbf{S}_i \rangle \cdot \langle \mathbf{S}_j \rangle \quad (S2)$$

where N_R is the total number of neighbors at distance R (summed over each site). In the main text, we also perform calculations of specific heat (C_v) and entropy (S) as a function of temperature T/K . These calculations are performed using finite temperature Lanczos method (FTLM) on a 3×3 (18 sites) clusters. The details of the algorithm can be found in reference [39, 44].

$$\begin{aligned} C_v &= \frac{\partial}{\partial T} E(T) \\ S(T) &= \int_0^T dT' \frac{C_v(T')}{T'} \end{aligned} \quad (S3)$$

where $E(T)$ is the thermal average energy calculated using 450 number of Lanczos states. For each parameter H/K (see main text), the $E(T)$ is calculated using 50 different Lanczos runs starting with a random initial state. For each run, C_v and S are also calculated, and are averaged over 50 random FTLM runs.

Finally, the main contribution comes from calculations of $S(\mathbf{k})$ using ground state finite DMRG. Note that a unit cell of honeycomb lattice has 2 sites, located at different positions, that belong to sublattices A and B . Therefore,

we have define two different $S(\mathbf{k})$: (1) total $S_{tot}(\mathbf{k})$ that is Fourier transform considering all sites irrespective of the sublattice index, and (2) trace $S_{tr}(\mathbf{k})$ that considers only intra-sublattice spin-spin correlations. These are defined as

$$S_{tot}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{L^2} \sum_{i,j} e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij}} [\langle \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j \rangle - \langle \mathbf{S}_i \rangle \cdot \langle \mathbf{S}_j \rangle] \quad (S4)$$

and

$$S_{tr}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{L^2} \sum_{\kappa} \sum_{(i,j)_{\kappa}} e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij}} [\langle \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j \rangle - \langle \mathbf{S}_i \rangle \cdot \langle \mathbf{S}_j \rangle] \quad (S5)$$

where κ is the sublattice index limiting the sum i and j such that both sites belong to the sublattice κ . Note that $S_{tot}(\mathbf{k})$ is periodic w.r.t. an extended Brillouin zone (BZ) because there are two sites in the unit cell located at physically different locations. However, the spinon Fermi surface (SFS) must be periodic w.r.t. 1st BZ. Therefore, to develop intuition w.r.t. the first BZ, we also calculate $S_{tr}(\mathbf{k})$.

We also show calculations of the dynamical spin structure factor at fixed energy transfer $\omega = 0$. In general, the real-space dynamical spin-spin correlation between two sites i and j is defined as

$$S^{\gamma\gamma'}(i, j, \omega) = \frac{-1}{\pi} \text{Im} [\langle \psi_0 | \delta S_i^\gamma \frac{1}{\omega - H + E_g + i\eta} \delta S_j^{\gamma'} | \psi_0 \rangle], \quad (S6)$$

where $|\psi_0\rangle$ represents the ground-state, ω is the energy transfer to the system, η is the arbitrary broadening parameter, E_g is the ground-state energy, and γ/γ' are the x, y, z projections of the spin matrices. The δS^γ is defined as

$$\delta S_i^\gamma = S_i^\gamma - \langle S_i^\gamma \rangle, \quad (S7)$$

where we subtract the ground-state expectation value in order to calculate only the dynamical spin fluctuations. These dynamical correlators are calculated using DMRG within the correction-vector formulation in Krylov space [48, 49]. In general, these functions are Fourier transformed into the crystal momentum domain to calculate the momentum-energy resolved spectra that is relevant to experiments:

$$S_{tot}(k, \omega) = \frac{1}{L^2} \sum_{i,j} e^{-ik(i-j)} \sum_{\gamma} S^{\gamma\gamma}(i, j, \omega). \quad (S8)$$

Note that within DMRG, the site j is fixed to the center of the lattice ($d = L/2 - 1$) to reduce the edge effects and computational cost, and therefore the Fourier transform is modified accordingly. We do not restrict the sum over the site index i in order to measure the total (as opposed to the trace) spin dynamical structure factor.




FIG. S1. Real-space decay of spin-spin correlations fitted using an exponential fit ($C(R) = a e^{-R/\xi}$) and power law fit ($C(R) = a R^{-m}$) where a , m and $1/\xi$ are the fitting parameters. In the gapped ($H = 0.1$ - panel a) phase and partially polarized magnetic phase ($H = 0.4$ - panel c), we use exponential decay fit (blue) in order to extract the decay parameter $1/\xi$ where ξ represents the correlation length. In the gapless intermediate phase ($H = 0.3$ - panel b), we perform a fit using power law decay (orange) of $C(R)$. The collected decay parameters are also shown in panel d.

ADDITIONAL RESULTS

In this section, we show additional supporting results and various calculations performed to ensure the quality of the presented results. Figure S1 show various fits performed on the $C(R)$ in order to extract the decay parameter as a power in power-law decay or the exponent in the exponential decay. In order to perform the fitting we first linearize the data by using $\log(C(R))$ vs. R curves for the exponential fit and $\log(C(R))$ vs. $\log(R)$ for the power law fits. To have reliable fitting, we also disregard the $C(R)$ contributions coming from the boundaries, and fit the data only using the central region of R . Decay of the intermediate phase at $H = 0.3$ (Fig. S1b) is visibly slower than that of the gapped $H/K = 0.1$ (Fig. S1a) and PPM $H = 0.4$ phase (Fig. S1c). The collected decay parameters are explicitly plotted in Fig. S1d where error bars are obtained by averaging over many different central regions of the lattice. The blue curves are the inverse correlation length of an exponential fits performed for the gapped QSL ($H \lesssim 0.2K$) and PPM phase ($H \gtrsim 0.35$), while the orange curve is power m of power law fits of the intermediate phase. It is clear from the Fig. S1 that decay in the intermediate phase is significantly slower than other phases, suggesting of a phase without a spin-gap.

In Fig. 2 of the main text, we also presents results of specific heat C_v and entropy S . These calculations are done using finite temperature Lanczos method. Lanczos is an iterative procedure that returns M set of eigenstates of the Hamiltonian ($M \ll N_H$ where N_H is the full Hilbert space) where states corresponding to the lowest and highest eigen-values are well converged but the intermediate states are only approximated. This approximated states contribute errors in calculations of finite temperature quantities that requires information about the full eigen-value spectrum. FTLM controls this error by averaging over many FTLM runs starting with a different random initial state [39, 44]. In Figure S2 and Figure S3, we show the error (one standard deviation of

50 independent runs) resulting from such averaging procedure. Note that error in C_v calculation is almost zero at high temperatures, but the intermediate to low temperature has finite error shown by shaded blue region. Note that because we use finite 3×3 honeycomb cylindrical clusters, our results at low temperature are severely effected by finite size effect (finite-size gap in particular). In order to understand the low temperature behavior of C_v , we also show C_v in linear scale at low T/K (Fig. S2g). In Fig. S2a-S2f, we show data only for $T/K > 10^{-3}$, although results below $T/K \lesssim 10^{-2}$ may be significantly plagued by finite size effects and should be understood only as qualitative trends. Similar to C_v , we also show error in calculations of entropy in Figure S3. However, reliability of our results is evident looking at the high temperature entropy S correctly converging to $\ln(2)$ for all phases (as expected for spin systems). To further understand the error arising from finite size effects, we show S_{low} , the missing part of entropy, as a function of temperature. S_{low} clearly show a quadratic behavior in temperature for gapped and PPM phase, while the gapless phase has a distinct behavior in S_{low} at low temperatures resembling almost a linear increase with T/K .

For completeness, Figure S4 show cuts of the $S_{tr}(\mathbf{k})$, the peak locations of the $S_{tr}(\mathbf{k})$ and the corresponding contour plot. The lowest dark-blue cut shown in Fig. S4b show robust peaks at the M points ($k_x = \pi$), and in turn these peaks at M points are also visible in the contour plot as red circles and the grey circles in the plot of peak locations (Fig. S4c-S4d). The 3-petal shaped patterns around the K/K' points are not clearly visible in the contour plot as they are over-shadowed by the large peaks at the 3 neighboring M points.

To check the quality of presented data, we also show scaling of the presented observable in the main text. We first show scaling of the spin structure factor with increasing number of states using 16×3 cylinders (Figure S5). The results do not change with increasing the number of DMRG states, and therefore we demonstrate




FIG. S2. FTLT calculations of the specific heat as a function of temperature at various values of field strengths $H = 0, 0.150, 0.260, 0.300, 0.330, 0.450$. The blue curve is the average while shaded blue region is the error (one standard deviation) of 50 independent runs. (g) The specific heat as a function of temperature in linear scale. In the main text, we show results $T > 0.01K$.

that results of $S(\mathbf{k})$ are well converged even using only 500 DMRG states. Finally, Figure S6 show $S(\mathbf{k})$ for increasing lattice size in the L_2 direction while using fixed $L_1 = 16$ and $H/K = 0.3$. It is not surprising that $S(\mathbf{k})$ peaks are renormalized with increasing system size. However, we show that peaks at the M ($k_x/\pi = \pm 1$) and M_e ($k_x/\pi = \pm 3$) points of the 1st and extended BZ remain robust in all systems. Therefore, we expect that these dominant peaks at M points will remain a 2D extension of the Honeycomb lattice used within DMRG.

In the main text, we used the $S_{tot}(\mathbf{k})$ and $S_{tr}(\mathbf{k})$ in order to reconstruct the Fermi surface using the scattering arguments across the Fermi surface. Scattering arguments on the FS imply that there is no energy transfer to




FIG. S3. FTLT calculations of the entropy as a function of temperature at various values of field strengths $H = 0, 0.150, 0.260, 0.300, 0.330, 0.450$. The blue curve is the average while shaded blue region is the error (one standard deviation) of 50 independent runs. The horizontal dashed grey line is $\ln(2)$ representing large temperature entropy of spin systems. Panel (g) show $S_{low}(\Delta T) = \ln(2) - \int_{\Delta T}^{\infty} dT' (C_v(T')/T')$; residual missing part of the entropy resulting from the finite size effects.

the system, as these scatterings occur at a fixed energy, and therefore one should calculate the $S(\mathbf{k}, \omega = 0)$ to reconstruct a FS. However, we have made an assumption that peaks in the static structure factor ‘mostly’ result from the low energy scattering across the spinon FS, i.e. $S(\mathbf{k}) \simeq S(\mathbf{k}, \omega = 0)$. Figure S7 show that indeed this is not a bad assumption. Figure S7a-S7b show $S_{tot}(\mathbf{k}, \omega = 0)$ cuts C_1 and C_2 . Comparing the results of $S_{tot}(\mathbf{k}) \simeq S(\mathbf{k}, \omega = 0)$ (Fig S7a-S7b) with the static $S_{tot}(\mathbf{k})$ (Fig S7c) clearly show that the high intensity peaks (red circles) of $S_{tot}(\mathbf{k})$ match almost exactly with the peaks found the dynamical response. These results further supports our conjectured Fermi surface that is show in the main text.




FIG. S4. The spin structure factor $S_{tr}(\mathbf{k})$ in panel (b) shown along the crystal momentum cuts in panel (a), within the extended BZ, at fixed $H/K = 0.3$ in the intermediate phase. These cuts are defined using the reciprocal lattice vectors $\mathbf{k}_1 = (2\pi, -2\pi/\sqrt{3})$ and $\mathbf{k}_2 = (0, 4\pi/\sqrt{3})$. The high symmetry points of the BZ and extended BZ are also labeled. Panel (c) contour plot of $S_{tr}(\mathbf{k})$ with blue representing low and red representing high intensity. (e) The peak locations (red points) of the intra-sublattice structure factor $S_{tr}(\mathbf{k})$ where grey circles at M points represents large peaks, also clearly visible as red circles in panel (c) contour plot.




FIG. S5. (a) $S_{tr}(\mathbf{k})$ and (b) $S_{tot}(\mathbf{k})$ for increasing number of states (key label) calculated using a 16×3 honeycomb cylinder at fixed $H/K = 0.3$. The presented curves are for the C_1 cut (defined in Fig. 3d) of the BZ.




FIG. S6. (a) $S_{tr}(\mathbf{k})$ and (b) $S_{tot}(\mathbf{k})$ for increasing number of sites in the L_2 direction (key label) with a cylindrical geometry using up to 1200 states at fixed $H/K = 0.3$. The presented curves are for the C_1 cut (defined in Fig. 3d) of the BZ.




FIG. S7. The zero energy transfer dynamical spin response function $S_{tot}(\mathbf{k}, \omega = 0)$ for the cuts (a) C_1 and (b) C_2 of within the BZ. This quantity represents scattering of the emergent fermions across the FS with zero energy transfer. (c) The total static spin structure factor $S_{tot}(\mathbf{k})$ where the cuts C_1 and C_2 are represented by the diagonal black lines that cuts through the 1st and 2nd BZ.