

S-dual Amplitude and D_3 -Brane Couplings

Komeil Babaei Velni¹ and H. Babaei-Aghbolagh²

¹Department of Physics, University of Guilan,
P.O. Box 41335-1914, Rasht, Iran

²Department of Physics, University of Mohaghegh Ardabili,
P.O. Box 179, Ardabil, Iran

Abstract

Recently, it has been observed that the IIB scattering amplitudes are compatible with the standard rules of S-duality. Inspired by this observation, we will find the tree-level S-matrix elements of one Ramond-Ramond and three open strings by imposing this symmetry on the tree-level S-matrix elements of one Kalb-Ramond and three open strings. We also find a $SL(2, R)$ invariant form of the D_3 -brane effective action containing four gauge fields with derivative corrections that was derived from one-loop level four-point amplitude. Using the expansion of the nonlinear $SL(2, R)$ invariant structures, we find the action with derivative corrections at the level of more gauge fields.

¹babaeivelni@guilan.ac.ir

²h.babaei@uma.ac.ir

1 Introduction

It has been shown that the consistency of an electrodynamics theory under the duality transformation can be expressed as a requirement in which the Lagrangian must transform under duality in a particular way, defined by the Noether-Gaillard-Zumino (NGZ) identity [1]. So, in general, a nonlinear electrodynamics theory that saturates the NGZ identity, is a self-dual theory. One of the familiar self-dual electrodynamics theory is the Born-Infeld theory $\mathcal{L}_{BI} = \sqrt{-\det(\eta_{ab} + F_{ab})} - 1$, where $F_{ab} = \partial_a A_b - \partial_b A_a$ is gauge field strength and A is polarization of the gauge field.

This theory could be enjoyed the $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ S-duality (relevant to string theory which insinuates a strong-weak coupling duality of such theories¹) after taking axion and dilaton fields into account. This action is not invariant under the S-duality, however, its equations of motion and energy-momentum tensor are invariant under the S-duality as it was shown for the electric-magnetic duality[2, 26].

The supersymmetric p-branes that supported by Ramond-Ramond (RR) sources are the solutions of type II superstring theories. These branes have alternative description in terms of open strings with Dirichlet boundary conditions. D -branes are described by an effective Dirac-Born-Infeld (DBI) action (supplemented with extra couplings to RR fields) which is closely connected to the Born-Infeld (BI) type effective action of open string theory. We consider the D_3 -brane of type IIB theory which play a special role in this theory. The action corresponding to this brane in the Einstein frame can be interpreted as a generalization of four dimensional BI action coupled to a special background metric, dilaton, axion, etc [3].

$$S_{D3} = -T_{D3} \int d^4x \sqrt{-\det(g_{ab} + e^{-\phi/2} B_{ab})} + T_{D3} \int [C^{(4)} + C^{(2)} B + \frac{1}{2} C^{(0)} B B], \quad (1)$$

where all the bulk fields in the action are pull-back onto the world-volume of D -brane.

It has been demonstrated that the D_3 -brane action (combined with type IIB effective action) and the corresponding equation of motion and energy-momentum tensor are invariant under the $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ symmetry of type IIB theory² [2]. In fact, this action satisfies the NGZ identity. As was pointed out in [4] that the duality invariance of Hamiltonian and thus of the corresponding energy momentum tensor should imply the invariance of the S-matrix.

¹From the presence of two two-form gauge fields B_2 ($NSNS$ two-form) and C_2 (RR two-form) in the string theory, a string can carry two types of charge. These two-forms form a doublet of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ it follows that the string also transform as a doublet. On the other hand, the transformation of the complex field $\tau = C_0 + ie^{\phi_0} \rightarrow -1/\tau$ evaluated at $C_0 = 0$, changes the sign of the dilaton, which implies that the string coupling constant maps to its inverse [5].

²This symmetry is not shared by the full type IIB superstring theory. Indeed, it is broken by a variety of stringy and quantum effects to the infinite discrete subgroup $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$.

It is known that the S-matrix elements satisfy the Ward identity corresponding to the S-duality[22]. At the level of the equations of motion, D_3 -brane effective action is invariant under nonlinear S-duality transformation [2]. So at higher orders, one dose not expect the effective action to be consistent with the nonlinear S-duality.

It was pointed in [6] that the BI action involving on-shell gauge field is invariant under the linear S-duality up to F^4 terms. From this point, the disk-level scattering amplitude of four gauge fields on the world volume of a single D_3 -brane, which appears as a contact term, should be invariant under the linear S-duality. The leading order S-matrix element of the six gauge fields has both contact terms coming from the F^6 terms in the BI action and massless poles coming from the F^4 terms in the BI action. So as expected, no part of this amplitude is not invariant under the linear $SL(2, R)$ transformation separately, however, the combination of these two parts is invariant[21]. It has been discussed in [6] that by imposing the linear S-duality transformation on the S-dual invariant amplitude of four gage fields, the pole part of the amplitude of six gage fields could be constructed. Then, F^6 -contact-terms could be found by applying the linear S-duality again. Then using the linear S-duality, one may find the contact terms of F^8 , and so on. This result confirms the BI action with abelian gage fields as the effective action of a single D-brane.

So, in order to investigate the behavior of S-matrix elements under duality transformation, it is convenient to separate the α' expansion of them into two parts: contact term and massless n -poles

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{contact} + \sum_n \mathcal{A}_{n-poles}. \quad (2)$$

Neither $\mathcal{A}_{contact}$ nor $\mathcal{A}_{n-poles}$ are invariant under the linear S-duality. In fact, the combination of these two parts, i.e. \mathcal{A} , must be invariant. On the other hand, the pole part of amplitude may transform to contact part under the linear S-duality transformation, so the effective action, that is made of contact part, satisfies the Ward identity corresponding to S-duality³. In the case that the S-matrix elements have no massless poles, one can find the corresponding couplings from the consistency of them with the dualities[7].

Unlike the gauge field transformation that is carried by its field strength, all other transformations are done by field potential. So, the pole part of S-matrix dose not transform to contact part under the closed string $SL(2, R)$ transformation. It could be noted that if the S-matrix involves the antisymmetric $NSNS$ (B -field) closed string, it could be found the amplitude in terms of B -field strength H by combining the pole part in amplitude which are produced by the gauge fields and some of the contact terms which are produced by replacement $F \rightarrow F + B$ [22].

The S-matrix elements of one RR two-form field and three gauge fields that appears as a pole term and the S-matrix elements of one B field and three gauge fields that contains a

³On the other hand, the T-duality transformation dose not transform the pole terms to contact terms, so the contact terms always satisfy the Ward identity corresponding to T-duality [25].

contact term as well as a pole term have been calculated in [21]. It has been shown that the combination of these two amplitudes could be appeared in terms of a $SL(2, R)$ invariant structure. In this paper we show that these amplitudes transform to each other under the linear S-duality transformation

$$\mathcal{A}_{1-pole}(C^2, F^3) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{contact}(B, F^3) + \mathcal{A}_{1-pole}(B, F^3). \quad (3)$$

Inspired by the above observation that the S-matrix elements of one closed string and three open strings should satisfy the Ward identity corresponding to the S-duality, it could be proposed the following expression for the massless n-pole amplitude of n RR two-form.

$$\mathcal{A}_{n-pole}((C^2)^n, F^{4-n}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{contact}(B^n, F^{4-n}) + \mathcal{A}_{1-pole}(B^n, F^{4-n}) + \cdots + \mathcal{A}_{n-pole}(B^n, F^{4-n})$$

where $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$. The contact part of above amplitude could be exactly reproduced by the replacement $F \rightarrow F + B$ in the D_3 -brane effective action.

It is convenient to consider the normalization factor $2\pi\alpha'$ in front of the gauge field in DBI action. With this normalization, the DBI action is at the leading order of α' . The α' corrections to Born-Infeld action have been studied in [9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14] in the σ -model approach.

The derivatives of gauge fields could be appeared in D_3 -brane effective action. The study of the behavior of four point function under the linear S-duality could be extended to four point function with derivative corrections[15]. It has been shown in [17] that the electromagnetic self-duality holds for such functions with derivative corrections at the order of α'^2 . So, one might expect that the $SL(2, R)$ S-dual invariant structure could be appeared for each order of α' independently. On other words, we have to answer the following question that should S-duality be a symmetry of full D_3 -brane action with all derivative corrections? There is no exact reasoning for this, but there is still an alternative (albeit somewhat exploratory) logic suggesting that D_3 -brane action (but not actions for other D-branes!) should be S-duality covariant⁴ [2] (see also [8]).

By calculating the scattering amplitude of four open string in IIB superstring theory, it has been found that the leading order of D_3 -brane effective action in superstring theory contains four gauge fields and four derivatives[12, 16, 17]

$$\begin{aligned} L_{(\partial F)^4} = e^{-2\phi} & \left(\frac{1}{4} \partial_c F^{ef} \partial^c F^{ab} \partial_d F_{ef} \partial^d F_{ab} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_c F_{df} \partial^c F^{ab} \partial_e F_b^f \partial^e F_a^d \right. \\ & \left. - \partial_c F_a^d \partial^c F^{ab} \partial_f F_{de} \partial^f F_b^e + \frac{1}{8} \partial_c F_{ab} \partial^c F^{ab} \partial_f F_{de} \partial^f F^{de} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

This action satisfies the NGZ identity, and is consistent with electro-magnetic self-duality[17]. We will find the form of above action that is manifestly S-dual. In fact, we will find the above action in terms a nonlinear S-dual structure. From the nonlinear expansion of the

⁴We would like to thank A.A.Tseytlin for discussions on this point.

$SL(2, R)$ invariant structures, we find this effective action up to level of N gauge fields and four derivatives.

The outline of the paper is as follows: We begin in section 2 by studying the S-duality transformations of bosonic fields and find some $SL(2, R)$ invariant structures involving these fields. In section 3, we show that the compatibility of the S-matrix elements of one gauge field, two scalar fields and one RR field $C^{(2)}$ with the S-duality generates the S-matrix elements of one gauge field, two scalar fields and one $NSNS$ B -field. We find a S-dual invariant form of these amplitudes and then predict the axion amplitude. In section 4, we calculate the S-matrix elements of three gauge fields and one $NSNS$ B -field by applying the S-duality transformation on the S-matrix elements of three gauge fields and one RR field $C^{(2)}$. In section 5, using $SL(2, R)$ invariant structures found in section 2, we write the D_3 -brane effective action involving four gauge fields and four derivatives in S-dual form. This expression could be extended to include more gauge fields.

2 Nonlinear $SL(2, R)$ structure

The axion C_0 combines with the dilaton ϕ_0 to give the complex scalar field $\tau = C_0 + ie^{-\phi_0}$. Now consider the matrix \mathcal{M}

$$\mathcal{M} = e^{\phi_0} \begin{pmatrix} |\tau|^2 & C_0 \\ C_0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5)$$

The nonlinear $SL(2, R)$ transformation of the complex scalar field and the above matrix are given by[18]

$$\tau \rightarrow \frac{p\tau + q}{r\tau + s}, \quad \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \Lambda \mathcal{M} \Lambda^T \quad ; \quad \Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, R). \quad (6)$$

For the gauge field we have the following transformation

$$\begin{aligned} F_{ab} &\rightarrow sF_{ab} + r\tilde{G}_{ab}, \\ G_{ab} &\rightarrow pG_{ab} - q\tilde{F}_{ab}, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where $F_{ab} = -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{abcd}\tilde{F}^{cd}$, the antisymmetric tensor G_{ab} is given by $-2\partial\mathcal{L}(F)/\partial F^{ab}$ and \mathcal{L} is the Lagrangian. By considering a gauge field doublet \mathcal{F}_{ab} containing F_{ab} and G_{ab} and also a background field doublet \mathcal{B}_{ab} containing the two forms $B^{(2)}$ and $C^{(2)}$ and using $(\tilde{\tilde{F}}) = -F$, one can write the transformation of these field doublets as

$$\mathcal{F}_{ab} \rightarrow (\Lambda^{-1})^T \mathcal{F}_{ab} \quad ; \quad \mathcal{B}_{ab} \rightarrow (\Lambda^{-1})^T \mathcal{B}_{ab}. \quad (8)$$

Using the above transformations, one can find that the structures $\mathcal{F}^T \mathcal{M} \mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{B}^T \mathcal{M} \mathcal{B}$ are $SL(2, R)$ invariant structures.

Consider Lagrangian in terms of two possible Lorentz invariants $t = 1/4 F^{ab} F_{ab}$ and $z = 1/4 F^{ab} \tilde{F}_{ab}$. At the presence of axion and dilaton couplings, it could be useful to express the Lagrangian in the following form which the contribution of axion coupling is separated.

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}' + C_0 z \quad (9)$$

The antisymmetric tensor G_{ab} then separates as $G_{ab} = G'_{ab} - C_0 \tilde{F}_{ab}$ where G'_{ab} could be an arbitrary nonlinear function of F which is $G'_{ab} = -2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}'}{\partial F^{ab}}$.

By this consideration one gets the $SL(2, R)$ invariant structure in the following form:

$$(\mathcal{F}^T)_a{}^c \mathcal{M}_0 \mathcal{F}_{bc} = e^{-\phi_0} \tilde{F}_a{}^c \tilde{F}_{bc} + e^{\phi_0} G'_a{}^c G'_{bc}, \quad (10)$$

where the matrix \mathcal{M}_0 is the matrix \mathcal{M} in which the dilaton and the R-R scalar are constant (ϕ_0, C_0) . It is easy to check that the above structure is invariant under the linear transformations $G' \rightarrow \tilde{F}$, $\tilde{F} \rightarrow -G'$ and $e^{-\phi_0} \rightarrow e^{\phi_0}$.

When the dilaton and the R-R scalar are constant, one finds the following S-dual multiplet[19]:

$$(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^T)_a{}^c \mathcal{M}_0 \mathcal{B}_{bc} = e^{-\phi_0} F_a{}^c B_{bc} - \tilde{F}_a{}^c C_{bc}^{(2)} - C_0 \tilde{F}_a{}^c B_{bc}. \quad (11)$$

At the presence of two gauge fields with two derivatives, one can find the following $SL(2, R)$ invariant structure

$$\partial \mathcal{F}^T \mathcal{M} \partial \mathcal{F} = e^{-\phi_0} \partial \tilde{F} \partial \tilde{F} + e^{\phi_0} \partial G' \partial G'. \quad (12)$$

By considering the nonlinear expansion of G'_{ab} (in any self dual theory) as $G'_{ab} = e^{-\phi_0} F + e^{-2\phi_0} F^3 + \dots + e^{-N\phi_0} F^{2N-1}$, the nonlinear $SL(2, R)$ invariant structure corresponding to $2N$ gauge fields and two derivatives could be found.

$$\partial \mathcal{F}^T \mathcal{M} \partial \mathcal{F} \sim \partial F \partial F + F F \partial F \partial F + F F F F \partial F \partial F + \dots \quad (13)$$

3 S-dual amplitude of one gauge field, two scalar fields and one two-form

The S-matrix elements of one gauge field, two scalar fields and one two-form vertex operator could be calculated from D_3 -brane effective action. At first, we are going to find the corresponding amplitude in which the two-form is an antisymmetric RR field $C^{(2)}$. The amplitude is given by the following Feynman rule:

$$\mathcal{A}(C_1^{(2)}, F_2, \Phi_3, \Phi_4) = V^a(C_1^{(2)}, A) G_{ab}(A) V^b(A, F_2, \Phi_3, \Phi_4), \quad (14)$$

where F_2 is the polarization of the gauge boson, Φ_3, Φ_4 are the scalar fields and A is the off-shell gauge field propagating between the two vertices. The gauge boson propagator and the vertices can be read from D_3 -brane effective action

$$\begin{aligned} G_{ab}(A) &\sim -\frac{e^{\phi_0}\eta_{ab}}{k \cdot k} \\ V^a(C_1^{(2)}, A) &\sim -k \cdot (\tilde{C}_1^{(2)})^a \\ V^b(A, F_2, \Phi_3, \Phi_4) &\sim e^{-\phi_0} \Phi_{3i} \Phi_4^i \left[k \cdot k_3 k_4 \cdot F_2^a + k \cdot F_2 \cdot k_4 k_3^a - \frac{1}{2} k_3 \cdot k_4 k \cdot F_2^a \right] + (3 \leftrightarrow 4) \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where $(\tilde{C}^{(2)})_{ab} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{abcd} (C^{(2)})^{cd}$. From the conservation of momentum along the brane we have $k = -k_1 = k_2 + k_3 + k_4$. Considering the above vertices and propagator, one finds that the S-matrix elements have not any contribution to the contact amplitude and the amplitude (14) then appears as a pole amplitude:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(C_1^{(2)}, F_2, \Phi_3, \Phi_4) &= \frac{\Phi_{3i} \Phi_4^i}{k_1 \cdot k_1} \left[k_1 \cdot \tilde{C}_1^{(2)} \cdot k_4 \, k_1 \cdot F_2 \cdot k_3 - k_1 \cdot k_3 \, k_1 \cdot \tilde{C}_1^{(2)} \cdot F_2 \cdot k_4 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} k_3 \cdot k_4 \, k_1 \cdot \tilde{C}_1^{(2)} \cdot F_2 \cdot k_1 \right] + (3 \leftrightarrow 4). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

The amplitude dose not satisfy the Ward identity corresponding to the S-duality transformations, however is invariant under the Ward identity corresponding to abelian gauge symmetry and to the RR gauge symmetry.

The amplitude corresponding to antisymmetric B-field has massless pole as well as contact term. The contact part of B-field amplitude can be found using the Feynman rule and considering the relevant higher derivative correction of D-brane action.

$$\mathcal{A}_{contact}(B_1, F_2, \Phi_3, \Phi_4) = e^{-\phi_0} \Phi_{3i} \Phi_4^i \left[\frac{1}{4} k_3 \cdot k_4 \text{Tr}(B_1 \cdot F_2) - k_3 \cdot B_1 \cdot F_2 \cdot k_4 \right] + (3 \leftrightarrow 4). \quad (17)$$

Considering the propagator and vertex $V^b(A, F_2, \Phi_3, \Phi_4)$ in (16) and using the fourth order in the expansion of D-brane action to find the vertex operator $V^a(B_1, A) = e^{-\phi_0} k_1 \cdot B_1^a$, one finds the pole part of B-field amplitude as following:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{pole}(B_1, F_2, \Phi_3, \Phi_4) &= V^a(B_1, A) G_{ab}(A) V^b(A, F_2, \Phi_3, \Phi_4) \\ &= -\frac{e^{-\phi_0} \Phi_{3i} \Phi_4^i}{k_1 \cdot k_1} \left[k_1 \cdot B_1 \cdot k_4 k_1 \cdot F_2 \cdot k_3 - k_1 \cdot k_3 k_1 \cdot B_1 \cdot F_2 \cdot k_4 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} k_3 \cdot k_4 k_1 \cdot B_1 \cdot F_2 \cdot k_1 \right] + (3 \leftrightarrow 4) \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where we use the previously mentioned conservation of momentum.

Now, we are going to determine the transformation behavior of the amplitude (16) under the S-duality transformation when the axion background dos not tack into account $C_0 = 0$.

There are two different structures in amplitude (16) that transform under the S-duality as following:

$$\begin{aligned}
k_N \cdot \tilde{C}_1^{(2)} \cdot F_2 \cdot k_M &\longrightarrow e^{-\phi} k_N \cdot \tilde{B}_1 \cdot \tilde{F}_2 \cdot k_M \\
&= e^{-\phi} \left(k_N \cdot F_2 \cdot B_1 \cdot k_M - \frac{1}{2} k_N \cdot k_M \text{Tr}(B_1 \cdot F_2) \right), \\
k_N \cdot \tilde{C}_1^{(2)} \cdot k_M \quad k_P \cdot F_2 \cdot k_Q &\longrightarrow e^{-\phi} k_N \cdot \tilde{B}_1 \cdot k_M \quad k_P \cdot \tilde{F}_2 \cdot k_Q \\
&= e^{-\phi} \left(-\frac{1}{2} k_N \cdot k_Q k_P \cdot k_M \text{Tr}(B_1 \cdot F_2) + \frac{1}{2} k_N \cdot k_P k_Q \cdot k_M \text{Tr}(B_1 \cdot F_2) \right. \\
&\quad - k_N \cdot F_2 \cdot k_M k_P \cdot B_1 \cdot k_Q - k_Q \cdot k_M k_N \cdot F_2 \cdot B_1 \cdot k_P + k_P \cdot k_M k_N \cdot F_2 \cdot B_1 \cdot k_Q \\
&\quad \left. + k_N \cdot k_Q k_M \cdot F_2 \cdot B_1 \cdot k_P - k_N \cdot k_P k_M \cdot F_2 \cdot B_1 \cdot k_Q \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where we use the following identity:

$$\epsilon^{abcd} \epsilon^{efgh} = - \begin{vmatrix} \eta^{ae} & \eta^{af} & \eta^{ag} & \eta^{ah} \\ \eta^{be} & \eta^{bf} & \eta^{bg} & \eta^{bh} \\ \eta^{ce} & \eta^{cf} & \eta^{cg} & \eta^{ch} \\ \eta^{de} & \eta^{df} & \eta^{dg} & \eta^{dh} \end{vmatrix}. \quad (19)$$

Applying the above relations in the amplitude (16), one obtains the amplitudes (17) and (18). In fact the amplitude of one RR , two scalar and one gauge field transform to the amplitude of one $NSNS$ B-field, two scalar and one gauge field under the S-duality.

$$\mathcal{A}(C_1^{(2)}, F_2, \Phi_3, \Phi_4) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{contact}(B_1, F_2, \Phi_3, \Phi_4) + \mathcal{A}_{pole}(B_1, F_2, \Phi_3, \Phi_4). \quad (20)$$

Therefore, to get the $NSNS$ coupling to brane, one can apply the S-duality on the pole amplitude contains RR state. This is consistent with the statement that the $NSNS$ coupling is found by replacement $F \longrightarrow F + B$ in the D-brane effective action that has been expressed in literatures.

At the presence of the axion field, it is expected that one can find the combination of the amplitudes (16), (17) and (18) in terms of $SL(2, R)$ invariant structures. To do this, we consider all contraction of the $SL(2, R)$ invariant structure $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^T \mathcal{M} \mathcal{B}$ with four, two and zero momenta as following:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_N^T)^{ab} \mathcal{M} \mathcal{B}_M^{cd} k_{1a} k_{2b} k_{3c} k_{4d} &= e^{-\phi} k_1 \cdot F_N \cdot k_2 k_4 \cdot B_M \cdot k_3 + C_0 e^{-\phi} k_1 \cdot F_N \cdot k_2 k_4 \cdot \tilde{B}_M \cdot k_3 \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} k_4 \cdot k_2 k_1 \cdot k_3 \text{Tr}(F_N \cdot \tilde{C}_M) + \frac{1}{2} k_1 \cdot k_4 k_2 \cdot k_3 \text{Tr}(F_N \cdot \tilde{C}_M) \\
&\quad + k_3 \cdot F_N \cdot k_4 k_1 \cdot \tilde{C}_M \cdot k_2 - k_2 \cdot k_3 k_4 \cdot F_N \cdot \tilde{C}_M \cdot k_1 \\
&\quad + k_1 \cdot k_3 k_4 \cdot F_N \cdot \tilde{C}_M \cdot k_2 + k_4 \cdot k_2 k_3 \cdot F_N \cdot \tilde{C}_M \cdot k_1 \\
&\quad - k_1 \cdot k_4 k_3 \cdot F_N \cdot \tilde{C}_M \cdot k_2, \\
k_3 \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_N^T \mathcal{M} \mathcal{B}_M \cdot k_4 &= -e^{-\phi} k_3 \cdot F_N \cdot B_M \cdot k_4 - C_0 e^{-\phi} k_3 \cdot F_N \cdot \tilde{B}_M \cdot k_4 \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} k_3 \cdot k_4 \text{Tr}(F_N \cdot \tilde{C}_M) - k_3 \cdot \tilde{C}_M \cdot F_N \cdot k_4, \\
\text{Tr}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_N^T \mathcal{M} \mathcal{B}_M) &= -e^{-\phi} \text{Tr}(F_N \cdot B_M) - C_0 e^{-\phi} \text{Tr}(F_N \cdot \tilde{B}_M) + \text{Tr}(F_N \cdot \tilde{C}_M).
\end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Considering the above relations, one can find the following combination of above $SL(2, R)$ invariant structures contains the amplitude (16) as well as the amplitude (17) + (18).

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = & -\frac{\Phi_{3i}\Phi_4^i}{k_1 \cdot k_1} \left[\frac{1}{2} k_3 \cdot k_4 k_1 \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_2^T \mathcal{M} \mathcal{B}_1 \cdot k_1 - k_1 \cdot k_4 k_3 \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_2^T \mathcal{M} \mathcal{B}_1 \cdot k_1 - k_1 \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_2^T \cdot k_3 \mathcal{M} k_4 \cdot \mathcal{B}_1 \cdot k_1 \right] \\ & + \Phi_{3i}\Phi_4^i \left[k_3 \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_2^T \mathcal{M} \mathcal{B}_1 \cdot k_4 - \frac{1}{4} k_3 \cdot k_4 Tr(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_2^T \mathcal{M} \mathcal{B}_1) \right] + (3 \leftrightarrow 4).\end{aligned}\quad (22)$$

It is clear that this $SL(2, R)$ invariant amplitude (that is manifestly invariant under the $SL(2, R)$ transformation) also contains the amplitude $\mathcal{A}(C_0; B_1, F_2, \Phi_3, \Phi_4)$. In fact, to make a $SL(2, R)$ invariant amplitude from amplitudes (16) and (17) + (18), the amplitude corresponding to axion field should be take into account. On the other hand, we can confirm the above $SL(2, R)$ invariant amplitude by deriving the axion amplitude from the explicit calculation and the Feynman rule that is resulted as following pole amplitude:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}(C_0; B_1, F_2, \Phi_3, \Phi_4) = & -\frac{C_0 e^{-\phi} \Phi_{3i} \Phi_4^i}{k_1 \cdot k_1} \left[k_1 \cdot \tilde{B}_1 \cdot k_4 k_1 \cdot F_2 \cdot k_3 - k_1 \cdot k_3 k_1 \cdot \tilde{B}_1 \cdot F_2 \cdot k_4 \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{2} k_3 \cdot k_4 k_1 \cdot \tilde{B}_1 \cdot F_2 \cdot k_1 \right] + (3 \leftrightarrow 4)\end{aligned}\quad (23)$$

This is exactly equal to the axion amplitude that lies in (22).

4 S-dual amplitude of three gauge fields and one two-form

It has been shown that the amplitude of three gauge fields and one two-form in which the two-form is RR field $C^{(2)}$ or $NSNS$ B-field could be appeared in terms of $SL(2, R)$ invariant structures in the presence of the background dilaton and R-R scalar fields [21]. We are going to show that these two amplitudes transform to each other under the S-duality transformation when the axion field dose not tack into account. We start with the amplitude of three gage fields and one RR field $C^{(2)}$ that is founded by explicit calculation[21, 24].

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}(C_1^{(2)}, F_2, F_3, F_4) = & \frac{1}{4} e^{-\phi_0} \frac{k_1 \cdot F_2 \cdot \tilde{C}_1^{(2)} \cdot k_1}{k_1 \cdot k_1} Tr(F_3 \cdot F_4) \\ & - e^{-\phi_0} \frac{k_1 \cdot F_2 \cdot F_3 \cdot F_4 \cdot \tilde{C}_1^{(2)} \cdot k_1}{k_1 \cdot k_1} + P(2, 3, 4),\end{aligned}\quad (24)$$

where $P(2, 3, 4)$ stands for the other permutations of 2, 3, 4. This amplitude contains two independent structures. We apply S-duality transformation to these two structures separately.

$$e^{-\phi_0} k_1 \cdot F_2 \cdot F_3 \cdot F_4 \cdot \tilde{C}^{(2)} \cdot k_1 \xrightarrow{S} \frac{e^{-2\phi_0}}{16} k_{1a} \epsilon^{abkl} \epsilon_{bcnm} \epsilon^{cdgh} \epsilon_{deij} F_{2kl} F_3^{nm} F_{4gh} B^{ij} k_1^e = I_{234},$$

$$e^{-\phi_0} k_1 \cdot F_2 \cdot \tilde{C}^{(2)} \cdot k_1 \text{Tr}(F_3 \cdot F_4) \xrightarrow{S} \frac{e^{-2\phi_0}}{16} k_{1a} \epsilon^{abkl} \epsilon_{bcnm} \epsilon^{deg h} \epsilon_{deij} F_{2kl} F_{3gh} F_4^{ij} B^{nm} k_1^e = J_{234}.$$

Because the invariance of the trace term in the original amplitude under the gauge fields permutations, one can easily conclude $J_{234} = J_{243}$, $J_{423} = J_{432}$ and $J_{324} = J_{342}$ in the dual amplitude. Therefore, the gauge field permutations can produce six different terms of I -type and three different terms of J -type that contribute in the dual amplitude.

The calculation in this case is more complicated than the case in the previous section due to the presence of four Levi-Civita tensors. To rewrite this terms in terms of various contractions of gauge fields, one has to use the identity (19). Here, one encounters with different choices. In fact, there are three ways to pairs the Levi-Civita tensors: $\mathcal{E} = (\epsilon^{abkl} \epsilon_{bcnm})(\epsilon^{deg h} \epsilon_{deij})$, $\mathcal{E}' = (\epsilon^{abkl} \epsilon_{deij})(\epsilon^{cdgh} \epsilon_{bcnm})$ and $\mathcal{E}'' = (\epsilon^{abkl} \epsilon^{cdgh})(\epsilon_{bcnm} \epsilon_{deij})$. Using the standard identity (19) for the above first two parings in I-type terms, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} I_{NMP}(\mathcal{E}) &= e^{-2\phi_0} \left[-k_1 \cdot F_N \cdot B \cdot F_P \cdot F_M \cdot k_1 - k_1 \cdot F_N \cdot F_M \cdot F_P \cdot B \cdot k_1 - k_1 \cdot F_3 \cdot F_M \cdot F_N \cdot B \cdot k_1 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} k_1 \cdot F_P \cdot F_M \cdot k_1 \text{Tr}(F_N \cdot B) + \frac{1}{2} k_1 \cdot F_N \cdot B \cdot k_1 \text{Tr}(F_P \cdot F_M) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{4} k_1 \cdot k_1 \text{Tr}(F_N \cdot B) \text{Tr}(F_P \cdot F_M) + k_1 \cdot k_1 \text{Tr}(F_N \cdot F_M \cdot F_P \cdot B) \right] \\ I_{NMP}(\mathcal{E}') &= e^{-2\phi_0} \left[k_1 \cdot F_P \cdot B \cdot F_N \cdot F_M \cdot k_1 - \frac{1}{2} k_1 \cdot F_P \cdot B \cdot k_1 \text{Tr}(F_N \cdot F_M) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} k_1 \cdot F_N \cdot F_M \cdot k_1 \text{Tr}(F_P \cdot B) + \frac{1}{4} k_1 \cdot k_1 \text{Tr}(F_N \cdot F_M) \text{Tr}(F_P \cdot B) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

and for the first paring in the J-type term, we have

$$J_{NMP}(\mathcal{E}) = e^{-2\phi_0} \left[-k_1 \cdot F_N \cdot B \cdot k_1 \text{Tr}(F_M \cdot F_P) + \frac{1}{2} k_1 \cdot k_1 \text{Tr}(F_N \cdot B) \text{Tr}(F_M \cdot F_P) \right] \quad (26)$$

where $N, M, P = 2, 3, 4$ and $N \neq M \neq P$.

Our calculations show that one can get to the amplitude of $\mathcal{A}(B_1^{(2)}, F_2, F_3, F_4)$ only by selecting the specific parings of Levi-Civita tensors as following:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(C_1^{(2)}, F_2, F_3, F_4) &\xrightarrow{S} \frac{I_{234}(\mathcal{E}') + I_{324}(\mathcal{E}') + I_{423}(\mathcal{E}') + I_{243}(\mathcal{E}) + I_{342}(\mathcal{E}) + I_{432}(\mathcal{E})}{k_1 \cdot k_1} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \frac{J_{234}(\mathcal{E}) + J_{324}(\mathcal{E}) + J_{423}(\mathcal{E})}{k_1 \cdot k_1}. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Replacing (25) and (26) in the above relation and using the conservation of momentum, one finds the following expression, after a straightforward calculation.

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} e^{-2\phi_0} \left(\text{Tr}(B_1 \cdot F_2 \cdot F_3 \cdot F_4) - \frac{1}{4} \text{Tr}(B_1 \cdot F_2) \text{Tr}(F_3 \cdot F_4) \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{4} e^{-2\phi_0} \frac{k_1 \cdot F_2 \cdot B_1 \cdot k_1}{k_1 \cdot k_1} \text{Tr}(F_3 \cdot F_4) + e^{-2\phi_0} \frac{k_1 \cdot F_2 \cdot F_3 \cdot F_4 \cdot B_1 \cdot k_1}{k_1 \cdot k_1} + P(2, 3, 4). \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

As we have mentioned in (3), this is exactly equal to the amplitude of one B -field and three gauge fields containing pole term as well as contact term that calculated in [21].

5 S-dual effective action with four derivative corrections

It has been shown that the one-loop correction to the D_3 -brane Abelian Born-Infeld action (4) containing four gauge fields with four derivative proportional to $(s^2+t^2+u^2)F^4$ where s, t and u are the Mandelstam variables [16]. This result has been found from supersymmetry fixing [23] and string Disk-level scattering amplitude calculation[20]. The D_3 -brane effective action at the order of $(\partial F)^4$ is invariant under the $SL(2, R)$ duality. From the Lagrangian viewpoint, this action saturates the NGZ identity[17].

We are going to find this action in terms of some $SL(2, R)$ invariant structures. To do this, one should consider all contractions of two nonlinear structures $W^{abcdefg}W_{ghnmpq}$, which any of them contains two gauge field derivative terms as following

$$W^{abcdef} = \partial^a(\mathcal{F}^T)^{bc} \mathcal{M} \partial^d \mathcal{F}^{ef}. \quad (29)$$

Using "xAct" [27], one finds the following 33 different WW contractions:

$$\begin{aligned} & w_1 W_c^{efcab} W_{def}^d{}_{ab} + w_2 W_a^{ab}{}^c{}_{ef} W_{def}^d{}_{bc} + w_3 W_{bef}^{cab} W_{dc}^f{}_{a}{}^e{}_{d} + w_4 W_{bc}^{fcab} W_{def}^e{}_{a}{}^d{}_{d} \\ & + w_5 W_{cdf}^{cab} W_{eb}^f{}_{a}{}^e{}_{d} + w_6 W_a^{ab}{}^c{}_{cb} W_d^{de}{}_{fe}{}^f + w_7 W_a^{ab}{}_{cd}{}^e W_b^d{}_{fe}{}^f + w_8 W_a^{ab}{}_{dc}{}^e W_b^d{}_{fe}{}^f \\ & + w_9 W_a^{ab}{}_{b}{}^{cd} W_{cde}^e{}^f + w_{10} W_a^{abd}{}_{b}{}^c W_{cde}^e{}^f + w_{11} W^{cabe}{}_a{}^d W_{fce}^f{}_{bd} + w_{12} W_{cdf}^{cab} W_{a}^e{}_{df}{}_{be} \\ & + w_{13} W_{dcf}^{cab} W_{a}^e{}_{df}{}_{be} + w_{14} W^{cabe}{}_a{}^d W_{fcd}^f{}_{be} + w_{15} W_{def}^{cab} W_{ac}^d{}_{f}{}^e{}_{b} + w_{16} W_{ca}^{dcab} W_{edf}^f{}_{b}{}^e{}_{c} \\ & + w_{17} W_{acd}^{cabd} W_{edf}^f{}_{b}{}^e{}_{c} + w_{18} W_{ca}^{dcab} W_{fde}^f{}_{b}{}^e{}_{c} + w_{19} W_{acd}^{cabd} W_{fde}^f{}_{b}{}^e{}_{c} + w_{20} W_a^{ab}{}_{b}{}^{cd} W_{def}^f{}_{c}{}^e{}_{c} \\ & + w_{21} W_{def}^{cab} W_{ab}^d{}_{f}{}^e{}_{c} + w_{22} W_a^{ab}{}_{def} W_b^d{}_{cf}{}^e{}_{c} + w_{23} W_{abd}^{cabd} W_{edf}^f{}_{c}{}^e{}_{c} + w_{24} W_a^{abd}{}_{b}{}^c W_{edf}^f{}_{c}{}^e{}_{c} \\ & + w_{25} W_{abd}^{cabd} W_{fde}^f{}_{c}{}^e{}_{c} + w_{26} W_a^{abd}{}_{b}{}^c W_{fde}^f{}_{c}{}^e{}_{c} + w_{27} W_{bef}^{cab} W_{ac}^d{}_{f}{}^e{}_{d} + w_{28} W_a^{ab}{}_{cef} W_b^d{}_{cf}{}^e{}_{d} \\ & + w_{29} W_a^{ab}{}_{cb}{}^c W_{edf}^f{}_{de} + w_{30} W_{bac}^{cab} W_{edf}^f{}_{de} + w_{31} W_{cab}^{cab} W_{edf}^f{}_{de} + w_{32} W_a^{ab}{}_{cb}{}^c W_{fde}^f{}_{de} \\ & + w_{33} W_{cab}^{cab} W_{fde}^f{}_{de}, \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

where w_i are some unknown coefficients that would be fixed by imposing some physical identity.

Using the $SL(2, R)$ invariant structure (12) at the level of four gauge fields and four derivatives, one finds that the WW structures appear in terms of the gauge field contents $(\partial F)^4$, $(\partial F)^2(\partial \tilde{F})^2$ and $(\partial \tilde{F})^4$. In order to make the action (4) in terms of the above WW structures, we should find the contribution of dual gauge field \tilde{F} in the last two field contents in terms of gauge field F by using the identity (19). For the third field content, we encounter with four Levi-Civita tensors and with different choices to pair these tensors

as was mentioned in the previous section: \mathcal{E} , \mathcal{E}' and \mathcal{E}'' . Any of these parings of Levi-Civita tensors led to different results in which the gauge fields appear in different kinds of contraction terms $(\partial F)^4$. But one can show that these results are equal when the identities presenting in the appendix have been tack into account.

After finding the above WW structures in terms of gauge field contraction terms $(\partial F)^4$ and using the identities in the appendix properly, we find the following 9 equations between the constants:

$$\begin{aligned}
w_{15} &= -\frac{3}{2} + 2(w_{10} + w_{17} - w_{20} - w_{24}) - 3(w_{29} - w_{30} - w_6) + w_{22} + w_8, \\
w_{13} &= \frac{2}{3}(w_{10} + w_{15} - w_{20} - w_{22} - w_8) + \frac{1}{3}(-w_{17} + w_{24}), \\
w_{21} &= \frac{2}{3}(w_{10} + w_{17} - w_{20} - w_{22} - w_{24}) + \frac{1}{2}(w_{12} - w_{27} - w_7) + \frac{1}{6}w_{15} - \frac{7}{6}w_8 + w_{16} + w_2, \\
w_{26} &= -\frac{1}{3}(w_8 - w_{15}) + \frac{2}{3}(-w_{10} + w_{20} + w_{22}) + \frac{8}{3}(-w_{17} + w_{24}) + 6(w_{29} - w_{30} - w_6) \\
&\quad + w_{14} - w_{16} + w_{19} + w_{27} - w_7, \\
w_{28} &= \frac{4}{3}(-w_{10} - w_{17} + w_{20} + w_{24}) + \frac{1}{3}(w_8 - w_{15} + w_{22}) + 4(w_{29} - w_{30} - w_6) + w_{27} - w_7, \\
w_3 &= \frac{4}{3}(w_{10} - w_{20}) + \frac{1}{3}(w_{15} + w_{17} - w_{22} - w_{24} - w_8) - w_{29} + w_{30} + w_6, \\
w_4 &= w_{17} - w_{24} - w_{29} + w_{30} + w_6, \\
w_5 &= \frac{2}{3}(w_{10} - w_{20} - w_8) + \frac{1}{2}(w_{14} + w_{29} - w_{30} - w_6 - w_7) + \frac{1}{6}(w_{15} + w_{17} - w_{22} - w_{24}) \\
&\quad - 2w_1 - w_{18} + w_2 \\
w_9 &= \frac{1}{6}(-w_{10} - w_{15} - w_{17} + w_{20} + w_{22} + w_{24} + w_8).
\end{aligned}$$

The nonzero coefficients could be fixed as follows by comparing the result with the action (4) :

$$w_3 = w_4 = w_5 = w_6 = \frac{1}{2}, \quad w_7 = -\frac{3}{2}, \quad w_{27} = \frac{3}{2}, \quad w_{28} = 1.$$

By substituting these nonzero coefficients in (30), we can find the $SL(2, R)$ invariant form of the action (4).

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{(\partial F)^4}^S &= \frac{1}{2}W_{bef}^{cab}W_{dc}^{fe}{}_a{}^d + \frac{1}{2}W_a{}^{ab}{}_{cb}{}^cW_d{}^{de}{}_{fe}{}^f + \frac{1}{2}W_{bc}{}^{fcab}W_{def}{}^e{}_a{}^d + \frac{1}{2}W_{cdf}{}^{cab}W_{eb}{}^{fe}{}_a{}^d \\
&\quad - \frac{3}{2}W_a{}^{ab}{}_{cd}{}^eW_b{}^{d}{}_c{}_{fe}{}^f + \frac{3}{2}W_{bef}{}^{cab}W_{ac}{}^d{}_f{}_d{}^e + W_a{}^{ab}{}_{cef}W_b{}^{d}{}_c{}_{f}{}^e{}_d{}^e.
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

In order to reach the action at the order of six gauge fields and four derivatives, one should consider the expansion of the field G' up to the level of three gauge field F^3 . So the

expansion of $\partial G'$ up to this level, could be found as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_a G'_{bc} = & e^{-\phi_0} \partial_a F_{bc} + e^{-2\phi_0} \left(\frac{1}{4} F_{de} F^{de} \partial_a F_{bc} + F_c^d F_d^e \partial_a F_{be} - F_b^d F_d^e \partial_a F_{ce} \right. \\ & \left. - F_b^d F_c^e \partial_a F_{de} + \frac{1}{2} F_{bc} F^{de} \partial_a F_{de} \right) + \dots\end{aligned}$$

where dots refer to the terms at higher order of gauge field.

Inserting the above expansion into the nonzero invariant structures WW in (31), one can find the action containing six gauge fields with four derivatives that is compatible with S-duality. This action could be appear in the simple form when one consider the total derivative terms. Actually, at the level of six gauge fields and four derivatives, there are 584 contractions with structure $FFDFDFDFDF$. To find the total derivative terms, we note that there are 724 total derivative terms with structure $D[FFFDFDFDF]$. Using their coefficients to eliminate the terms with structure $FFFDDFDFDF$, one finds 483 constraint equations. Applying these constraints, the total derivative terms with structure $FFDFDFDFDF$ could be found. Considering these terms and using the on-shell condition $\partial_a F^{ab} = 0$, one can find the action corresponding to six gauge fields with four derivatives as following simple form.

$$\begin{aligned}L_{F^2(\partial F)^4}^S \sim & \frac{3}{2} F^{ab} F^{cd} \partial_c F_e^f \partial_d F^{hi} \partial^e F_{ab} \partial_f F_{hi} + \frac{1}{4} F^{ab} F^{cd} \partial_d F_c^f \partial_e F^{hi} \partial^e F_{ab} \partial_f F_{hi} \\ & - 3 F^{ab} F^{cd} \partial_b F_e^f \partial_d F^{hi} \partial^e F_{ac} \partial_f F_{hi} - \frac{1}{2} F^{ab} F^{cd} \partial_d F_b^f \partial_e F^{hi} \partial^e F_{ac} \partial_f F_{hi} \\ & + 3 F_a^c F^{ab} \partial_c F^{hi} \partial_d F_e^f \partial^e F_b^d \partial_f F_{hi} - 3 F_a^c F^{ab} \partial_c F_e^f \partial_d F^{hi} \partial^e F_b^d \partial_f F_{hi} \\ & + \frac{1}{2} F_a^c F^{ab} \partial_c F_d^f \partial_e F^{hi} \partial^e F_b^d \partial_f F_{hi} - \frac{1}{2} F^{ab} F^{cd} \partial_d F^{hi} \partial^e F_{ac} \partial_f F_{hi} \partial^f F_{be} \\ & + \frac{1}{4} F^{ab} F^{cd} \partial_d F^{hi} \partial^e F_{ab} \partial_f F_{hi} \partial^f F_{ce} - F_a^c F^{ab} \partial_d F^{hi} \partial^e F_b^d \partial_f F_{hi} \partial^f F_{ce} \\ & + \frac{1}{2} F_a^c F^{ab} \partial_c F^{hi} \partial^e F_b^d \partial_f F_{hi} \partial^f F_{de} + F^{ab} F^{cd} \partial_b F_{ac} \partial_e F^{hi} \partial_f F_{hi} \partial^f F_d^e \\ & - \frac{1}{2} F^{ab} F^{cd} \partial_c F_{ab} \partial_e F^{hi} \partial_f F_{hi} \partial^f F_d^e + F_a^c F^{ab} \partial_c F_b^d \partial_e F^{hi} \partial_f F_{hi} \partial^f F_d^e \\ & + 3 F^{ab} F^{cd} \partial_d F_{hi} \partial_e F_f^i \partial^e F_{ac} \partial^h F_b^f + 3 F^{ab} F^{cd} \partial_d F_{fi} \partial^e F_{ac} \partial_h F_e^i \partial^h F_b^f \\ & - 2 F^{ab} F^{cd} \partial_d F_e^i \partial^e F_{ac} \partial_h F_{fi} \partial^h F_b^f - \frac{3}{2} F^{ab} F^{cd} \partial_d F_{hi} \partial_e F_f^i \partial^e F_{ab} \partial^h F_c^f \\ & + 3 F_a^c F^{ab} \partial_e F_{hi} \partial^e F_b^d \partial_f F_d^i \partial^h F_c^f - 2 F_a^c F^{ab} \partial_e F_d^i \partial^e F_b^d \partial_f F_{hi} \partial^h F_c^f \\ & + 3 F_a^c F^{ab} \partial^e F_b^d \partial_f F_{ei} \partial_h F_d^i \partial^h F_c^f - \frac{3}{2} F^{ab} F^{cd} \partial_d F_{fi} \partial^e F_{ab} \partial_h F_e^i \partial^h F_c^f \\ & + F^{ab} F^{cd} \partial_d F_e^i \partial^e F_{ab} \partial_h F_{fi} \partial^h F_c^f - 4 F_a^c F^{ab} \partial_e F_d^i \partial^e F_b^d \partial_h F_{fi} \partial^h F_c^f \\ & - 3 F_a^c F^{ab} \partial_c F_{hi} \partial_e F_f^i \partial^e F_b^d \partial^h F_d^f - 3 F_a^c F^{ab} \partial_c F_{fi} \partial^e F_b^d \partial_h F_e^i \partial^h F_d^f \\ & + 2 F_a^c F^{ab} \partial_c F_e^i \partial^e F_b^d \partial_h F_{fi} \partial^h F_d^f + 2 F^{ab} F^{cd} \partial_c F_f^i \partial_d F_{hi} \partial^e F_{ab} \partial^h F_e^f\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -4F^{ab}F^{cd}\partial_b F_f^i \partial_d F_{hi} \partial^e F_{ac} \partial^h F_e^f + 4F_a^c F^{ab} \partial_c F_{hi} \partial_d F_f^i \partial^e F_b^d \partial^h F_e^f \\
& -4F_a^c F^{ab} \partial_c F_f^i \partial_d F_{hi} \partial^e F_b^d \partial^h F_e^f - \frac{3}{2}F_{ab}F^{ab} \partial_e F_{fi} \partial^e F^{cd} \partial^h F_c^f \partial^i F_{dh} \\
& + 2F_{ab}F^{ab} \partial^e F^{cd} \partial_f F_{ei} \partial^h F_c^f \partial^i F_{dh} + 2F_a^c F^{ab} \partial_e F_c^f \partial^e F_b^d \partial_f F_{hi} \partial^i F_d^h \\
& + \frac{1}{2}F_{ab}F^{ab} \partial_e F_c^f \partial^e F^{cd} \partial_h F_{fi} \partial^i F_d^h + 2F^{ab}F^{cd} \partial_e F_c^f \partial^e F_{ab} \partial_i F_{fh} \partial^i F_d^h \\
& - 4F^{ab}F^{cd} \partial_e F_b^f \partial^e F_{ac} \partial_i F_{fh} \partial^i F_d^h - 4F_a^c F^{ab} \partial_e F_c^f \partial^e F_b^d \partial_i F_{fh} \partial^i F_d^h \\
& + F_{ab}F^{ab} \partial_e F_c^f \partial^e F^{cd} \partial_i F_{fh} \partial^i F_d^h - 2F_a^c F^{ab} \partial^e F_b^d \partial^f F_{ce} \partial_i F_{fh} \partial^i F_d^h \\
& + F_{ab}F^{ab} \partial^e F^{cd} \partial^f F_{ce} \partial_i F_{fh} \partial^i F_d^h + 3F^{ab}F^{cd} \partial_b F_{ac} \partial_d F^{ef} \partial_f F_{hi} \partial^i F_e^h \\
& - \frac{3}{2}F^{ab}F^{cd} \partial_c F_{ab} \partial_d F^{ef} \partial_f F_{hi} \partial^i F_e^h + 3F_a^c F^{ab} \partial_c F_b^d \partial_d F^{ef} \partial_f F_{hi} \partial^i F_e^h \\
& - \frac{1}{4}F_{ab}F^{ab} \partial^e F^{cd} \partial_f F_{hi} \partial^f F_{cd} \partial^i F_e^h + 3F^{ab}F^{cd} \partial_b F_{ac} \partial_f F_{hi} \partial^f F_d^e \partial^i F_e^h \\
& - \frac{3}{2}F^{ab}F^{cd} \partial_c F_{ab} \partial_f F_{hi} \partial^f F_d^e \partial^i F_e^h + 3F_a^c F^{ab} \partial_c F_b^d \partial_f F_{hi} \partial^f F_d^e \partial^i F_e^h \\
& + \frac{3}{4}F_{ab}F^{ab} \partial^e F^{cd} \partial^f F_{cd} \partial_h F_{fi} \partial^i F_e^h + F^{ab}F^{cd} \partial_d F_c^f \partial^e F_{ab} \partial_i F_{fh} \partial^i F_e^h \\
& - 2F^{ab}F^{cd} \partial_d F_b^f \partial^e F_{ac} \partial_i F_{fh} \partial^i F_e^h + 2F_a^c F^{ab} \partial_c F_d^f \partial^e F_b^d \partial_i F_{fh} \partial^i F_e^h \\
& + F^{ab}F^{cd} \partial_b F_{ac} \partial^f F_d^e \partial_i F_{fh} \partial^i F_e^h - \frac{1}{2}F^{ab}F^{cd} \partial_c F_{ab} \partial^f F_d^e \partial_i F_{fh} \partial^i F_e^h \\
& + F_a^c F^{ab} \partial_c F_b^d \partial^f F_d^e \partial_i F_{fh} \partial^i F_e^h - F^{ab}F^{cd} \partial_d F_{hi} \partial_e F_c^f \partial^e F_{ab} \partial^i F_f^h \\
& + 2F^{ab}F^{cd} \partial_d F_{hi} \partial_e F_b^f \partial^e F_{ac} \partial^i F_f^h - 2F_a^c F^{ab} \partial_c F_{hi} \partial_e F_d^f \partial^e F_b^d \partial^i F_f^h \\
& - \frac{1}{4}F_{ab}F^{ab} \partial_e F_{cd} \partial^e F^{cd} \partial_h F_{fi} \partial^i F^{fh} - \frac{1}{2}F^{ab}F^{cd} \partial_d F_{ce} \partial^e F_{ab} \partial_i F_{fh} \partial^i F^{fh} \\
& - \frac{1}{2}F^{ab}F^{cd} \partial_e F_{cd} \partial^e F_{ab} \partial_i F_{fh} \partial^i F^{fh} + F^{ab}F^{cd} \partial_d F_{be} \partial^e F_{ac} \partial_i F_{fh} \partial^i F^{fh} \\
& + F^{ab}F^{cd} \partial_e F_{bd} \partial^e F_{ac} \partial_i F_{fh} \partial^i F^{fh} - F_a^c F^{ab} \partial_c F_{de} \partial^e F_b^d \partial_i F_{fh} \partial^i F^{fh} \\
& + F_a^c F^{ab} \partial_d F_{ce} \partial^e F_b^d \partial_i F_{fh} \partial^i F^{fh} + 2F_a^c F^{ab} \partial_e F_{cd} \partial^e F_b^d \partial_i F_{fh} \partial^i F^{fh} \\
& - \frac{1}{4}F_{ab}F^{ab} \partial_e F_{cd} \partial^e F^{cd} \partial_i F_{fh} \partial^i F^{fh}. \tag{32}
\end{aligned}$$

Because of the presence of the nonlinear $SL(2, R)$ invariant structures in the final S-dual form of the action (31), one can derive new couplings at the order of N gauge fields with four derivatives by expanding the invariant structures. We see that the consistency of the action (4) with the S-duality predicts the couplings (31) and the couplings at the level of more gauge fields in the Einstein frame. It would be interesting to confirm these couplings by direct calculations⁵.

⁵The S-matrix elements may be constrained by both manifest Lorentz and duality invariance. Considering the duality invariance, as we did in this paper, may be interpreted as an intermediate step towards an analysis of the scattering amplitude [15].

Acknowledgments:

The authors would like to kindly thank M.R.Garousi and A.A.Tseytlin for useful comments and discussions on related topics.

Appendix

In this appendix we are going to find all identities that should be taken in to account when one wants to write the action (4) in terms of $SL(2, R)$ invariant structures WW . In fact, the terms containing four dual gauge fields and four derivatives should be written in terms of four gauge fields and four derivatives.

Let us begin with the simple case, where we have four dual gauge fields with zero derivative. There are two different contracted forms for these fields as $\tilde{F}_a{}^c \tilde{F}^{ab} \tilde{F}_b{}^d \tilde{F}_{cd}$ and $\tilde{F}_{ab} \tilde{F}^{ab} \tilde{F}_{cd} \tilde{F}^{cd}$. Using the identity (19) one can write any of these terms in terms of four gauge fields contraction ($\tilde{F}_1 \tilde{F}_2 \tilde{F}_3 \tilde{F}_4 \longrightarrow \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 \epsilon_3 \epsilon_4 F_1 F_2 F_3 F_4$). The result in this case is not dependent on the Levi-Civita paring choices \mathcal{E} , \mathcal{E}' and \mathcal{E}'' .

$$\tilde{F}_a{}^c \tilde{F}^{ab} \tilde{F}_b{}^d \tilde{F}_{cd} \longrightarrow F_a{}^c F^{ab} F_b{}^d F_{cd} \quad , \quad \tilde{F}_{ab} \tilde{F}^{ab} \tilde{F}_{cd} \tilde{F}^{cd} \longrightarrow F_{ab} F^{ab} F_{cd} F^{cd}.$$

In the next case where we have four dual gauge fields and two derivatives, the result is dependent on the Levi-Civita paring choices. Consider all contraction of this case with arbitrary coefficients y_i as following:

$$\begin{aligned} & y_1 \tilde{F}^{ab} \tilde{F}^{cd} \partial_b \tilde{F}_{de} \partial_c \tilde{F}_a{}^e + y_2 \tilde{F}_a{}^c \tilde{F}^{ab} \partial_b \tilde{F}^{de} \partial_c \tilde{F}_{de} + y_3 \tilde{F}^{ab} \tilde{F}^{cd} \partial_c \tilde{F}_a{}^e \partial_d \tilde{F}_{be} \\ & + y_4 \tilde{F}^{ab} \tilde{F}^{cd} \partial_b \tilde{F}_a{}^e \partial_d \tilde{F}_{ce} + y_5 \tilde{F}_a{}^c \tilde{F}^{ab} \partial_d \tilde{F}_b{}^d \partial_e \tilde{F}_c{}^e + y_6 \tilde{F}^{ab} \tilde{F}^{cd} \partial_b \tilde{F}_{ac} \partial_e \tilde{F}_d{}^e \\ & + y_7 \tilde{F}^{ab} \tilde{F}^{cd} \partial_c \tilde{F}_{ab} \partial_e \tilde{F}_d{}^e + y_8 \tilde{F}_a{}^c \tilde{F}^{ab} \partial_c \tilde{F}_b{}^d \partial_e \tilde{F}_d{}^e + y_9 \tilde{F}_{ab} \tilde{F}^{ab} \partial_c \tilde{F}^{cd} \partial_e \tilde{F}_d{}^e \\ & + y_{10} \tilde{F}^{ab} \tilde{F}^{cd} \partial_d \tilde{F}_{ce} \partial^e \tilde{F}_{ab} + y_{11} \tilde{F}^{ab} \tilde{F}^{cd} \partial_e \tilde{F}_{cd} \partial^e \tilde{F}_{ab} + y_{12} \tilde{F}^{ab} \tilde{F}^{cd} \partial_d \tilde{F}_{be} \partial^e \tilde{F}_{ac} \\ & + y_{13} \tilde{F}^{ab} \tilde{F}^{cd} \partial_e \tilde{F}_{bd} \partial^e \tilde{F}_{ac} + y_{14} \tilde{F}_a{}^c \tilde{F}^{ab} \partial_c \tilde{F}_{de} \partial^e \tilde{F}_b{}^d + y_{15} \tilde{F}_a{}^c \tilde{F}^{ab} \partial_d \tilde{F}_{ce} \partial^e \tilde{F}_b{}^d \\ & + y_{16} \tilde{F}_a{}^c \tilde{F}^{ab} \partial_e \tilde{F}_{cd} \partial^e \tilde{F}_b{}^d + y_{17} \tilde{F}_{ab} \tilde{F}^{ab} \partial_d \tilde{F}_{ce} \partial^e \tilde{F}^{cd} + y_{18} \tilde{F}_{ab} \tilde{F}^{ab} \partial_e \tilde{F}_{cd} \partial^e \tilde{F}^{cd}. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

By selecting the Levi-Civita paring choices \mathcal{E} , \mathcal{E}' and \mathcal{E}'' , one can find the first term of above dual gauge content in terms of the following different gauge fields combination, respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{4} F^{bc} F^{de} \partial_a F_{de} \partial^a F_{bc} + F^{bc} F^{de} \partial^a F_{bc} \partial_e F_{da} - F_b{}^d F^{bc} \partial^a F_c{}^e \partial_e F_{da} = Y_1, \\ & -2 F^{ab} F^{cd} \partial_b F_{ac} \partial_e F_d{}^e + F^{ab} F^{cd} \partial_c F_{ab} \partial_e F_d{}^e + \frac{1}{4} F^{ab} F^{cd} \partial_e F_{cd} \partial^e F_{ab} \\ & + 2 F^{ab} F^{cd} \partial_d F_{be} \partial^e F_{ac} - F^{ab} F^{cd} \partial_e F_{bd} \partial^e F_{ac} - F_a{}^c F^{ab} \partial_d F_{ce} \partial^e F_b{}^d = Y_1', \\ & -\frac{1}{2} F_a{}^c F^{ab} \partial_b F^{ed} \partial_c F_{ed} - 2 F_a{}^c F^{ab} \partial_c F_{ed} \partial^d F_b{}^e - F_a{}^c F^{ab} \partial_d F_{ce} \partial^d F_b{}^e \\ & + \frac{1}{4} F_{ab} F^{ab} \partial_d F_{ce} \partial^d F^{ce} + F^{ab} F^{ce} \partial_b F_a{}^d \partial_e F_{cd} - \frac{1}{2} F_{ab} F^{ab} \partial^d F^{ce} \partial_e F_{cd} = Y_1''. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

As Y_1, Y_1' and Y_1'' are the expressions for a term containing dual gauge fields in terms of the gauge fields, they should be equal to each other. From $Y_1 = Y_1' = Y_1''$, one can find the following independent identities

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= 4F^{ab}F^{cd}\partial_b F_{ac}\partial_e F_d^e - 2F^{ab}F^{cd}\partial_c F_{ab}\partial_e F_d^e + 2F^{ab}F^{cd}\partial_d F_{ce}\partial^e F_{ab} \\
&\quad - F^{ab}F^{cd}\partial_e F_{cd}\partial^e F_{ab} - 4F^{ab}F^{cd}\partial_d F_{be}\partial^e F_{ac} + 2F^{ab}F^{cd}\partial_e F_{bd}\partial^e F_{ac}, \\
0 &= 2F_a^c F^{ab}\partial_b F^{de}\partial_c F_{de} - 4F^{ab}F^{cd}\partial_b F_a^e\partial_d F_{ce} + 4F^{ab}F^{cd}\partial_d F_{ce}\partial^e F_{ab} \\
&\quad - F^{ab}F^{cd}\partial_e F_{cd}\partial^e F_{ab} + 8F_a^c F^{ab}\partial_c F_{de}\partial^e F_b^d - 4F_a^c F^{ab}\partial_d F_{ce}\partial^e F_b^d \\
&\quad + 4F_a^c F^{ab}\partial_e F_{cd}\partial^e F_b^d + 2F_{ab}F^{ab}\partial_d F_{ce}\partial^e F^{cd} - F_{ab}F^{ab}\partial_e F_{cd}\partial^e F^{cd}. \tag{35}
\end{aligned}$$

To write the second term in (33) in terms of the gauge fields, one finds three expressions Y_2, Y_2' and Y_2'' according to the Levi-Civita paring choices $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}'$ and \mathcal{E}'' . Considering the relation $Y_2 = Y_2' = Y_2''$ and the identities (35), the another independent identity appears as following:

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= 4F^{ab}F^{cd}\partial_b F_{de}\partial_c F_a^e + 2F_a^c F^{ab}\partial_b F^{de}\partial_c F_{de} - 4F_a^c F^{ab}\partial_d F_b^d\partial_e F_c^e \\
&\quad - 8F^{ab}F^{cd}\partial_b F_{ac}\partial_e F_d^e + 8F_a^c F^{ab}\partial_c F_b^d\partial_e F_d^e - 2F_{ab}F^{ab}\partial_c F^{cd}\partial_e F_d^e \\
&\quad - 4F^{ab}F^{cd}\partial_d F_{ce}\partial^e F_{ab} + F^{ab}F^{cd}\partial_e F_{cd}\partial^e F_{ab} + 8F^{ab}F^{cd}\partial_d F_{be}\partial^e F_{ac} \\
&\quad - 4F^{ab}F^{cd}\partial_e F_{bd}\partial^e F_{ac} + 4F_a^c F^{ab}\partial_e F_{cd}\partial^e F_b^d - F_{ab}F^{ab}\partial_e F_{cd}\partial^e F^{cd}. \tag{36}
\end{aligned}$$

Doing the same procedure for the third term in (33) led to the following identity that is independent of previous identities.

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= 2F^{ac}F^{de}\partial_d F_a^b\partial_e F_{cb} - 2F_a^d F^{ac}\partial_e F_c^e\partial_b F_d^b - 2F^{ac}F^{de}\partial_c F_{ad}\partial_b F_e^b \\
&\quad - F^{ac}F^{de}\partial_d F_{ac}\partial_b F_e^b + 4F_a^d F^{ac}\partial_d F_c^e\partial_b F_e^b - F_{ac}F^{ac}\partial_d F^{de}\partial_b F_e^b \\
&\quad - F^{ac}F^{de}\partial_e F_{ab}\partial^b F_{ac} - 2F^{ac}F^{de}\partial_e F_{cb}\partial^b F_{ad} - 4F_a^d F^{ac}\partial_d F_{eb}\partial^b F_c^e \\
&\quad + 2F_a^d F^{ac}\partial_e F_{db}\partial^b F_c^e - F_{ac}F^{ac}\partial_e F_{db}\partial^b F^{de}. \tag{37}
\end{aligned}$$

After finding the other terms in (33) in terms of the gauge fields (Y_i, Y_i' and Y_i'' where $i = 3, \dots, 18$), one finds that the relations $Y_i = Y_i' = Y_i''$ do not produce any new independent identity.

Let us now consider the third case where we have four gauge fields and four derivatives. One can find all contracted form of four dual gauge fields with four derivatives by using "xAct" as following:

$$\begin{aligned}
&z_1\partial_c\tilde{F}^{ef}\partial^c\tilde{F}^{ab}\partial_d\tilde{F}_{ef}\partial^d\tilde{F}_{ab} + z_2\partial_a\tilde{F}^{ab}\partial_c\tilde{F}^{ef}\partial_d\tilde{F}_{ef}\partial^d\tilde{F}_b^c + z_3\partial_b\tilde{F}_{ef}\partial^c\tilde{F}^{ab}\partial_d\tilde{F}_c^f\partial^e\tilde{F}_a^d \\
&+ z_4\partial_b\tilde{F}_c^f\partial^c\tilde{F}^{ab}\partial_d\tilde{F}_{ef}\partial^e\tilde{F}_a^d + z_5\partial_c\tilde{F}_{df}\partial^c\tilde{F}^{ab}\partial_e\tilde{F}_b^f\partial^e\tilde{F}_a^d + z_6\partial_a\tilde{F}^{ab}\partial_c\tilde{F}_b^c\partial_d\tilde{F}^{de}\partial_f\tilde{F}_e^f \\
&+ z_7\partial_a\tilde{F}^{ab}\partial_c\tilde{F}_d^e\partial^d\tilde{F}_b^c\partial_f\tilde{F}_e^f + z_8\partial_a\tilde{F}^{ab}\partial_d\tilde{F}_c^e\partial^d\tilde{F}_b^c\partial_f\tilde{F}_e^f + z_9\partial_a\tilde{F}^{ab}\partial_b\tilde{F}^{cd}\partial^e\tilde{F}_{cd}\partial_f\tilde{F}_e^f \\
&+ z_{10}\partial_a\tilde{F}^{ab}\partial^d\tilde{F}_b^c\partial^e\tilde{F}_{cd}\partial_f\tilde{F}_e^f + z_{11}\partial^c\tilde{F}^{ab}\partial^e\tilde{F}_a^d\partial_f\tilde{F}_{ce}\partial^f\tilde{F}_{bd} + z_{12}\partial_c\tilde{F}_{df}\partial^c\tilde{F}^{ab}\partial^e\tilde{F}_a^d\partial^f\tilde{F}_{be} \\
&+ z_{13}\partial^c\tilde{F}^{ab}\partial_d\tilde{F}_{cf}\partial^e\tilde{F}_a^d\partial^f\tilde{F}_{be} + z_{14}\partial^c\tilde{F}^{ab}\partial^e\tilde{F}_a^d\partial_f\tilde{F}_{cd}\partial^f\tilde{F}_{be} + z_{15}\partial^c\tilde{F}^{ab}\partial_d\tilde{F}_{ef}\partial^d\tilde{F}_{ac}\partial^f\tilde{F}_b^e
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + z_{16} \partial_c \tilde{F}_a^d \partial^c \tilde{F}^{ab} \partial_e \tilde{F}_{df} \partial^f \tilde{F}_b^e + z_{17} \partial^c \tilde{F}^{ab} \partial^d \tilde{F}_{ac} \partial_e \tilde{F}_{df} \partial^f \tilde{F}_b^e + z_{18} \partial_c \tilde{F}_a^d \partial^c \tilde{F}^{ab} \partial_f \tilde{F}_{de} \partial^f \tilde{F}_b^e \\
& + z_{19} \partial^c \tilde{F}^{ab} \partial^d \tilde{F}_{ac} \partial_f \tilde{F}_{de} \partial^f \tilde{F}_b^e + z_{20} \partial_a \tilde{F}^{ab} \partial_b \tilde{F}^{cd} \partial_d \tilde{F}_{ef} \partial^f \tilde{F}_c^e + z_{21} \partial^c \tilde{F}^{ab} \partial_d \tilde{F}_{ef} \partial^d \tilde{F}_{ab} \partial^f \tilde{F}_c^e \\
& + z_{22} \partial_a \tilde{F}^{ab} \partial_d \tilde{F}_{ef} \partial^d \tilde{F}_b^c \partial^f \tilde{F}_c^e + z_{23} \partial^c \tilde{F}^{ab} \partial^d \tilde{F}_{ab} \partial_e \tilde{F}_{df} \partial^f \tilde{F}_c^e + z_{24} \partial_a \tilde{F}^{ab} \partial^d \tilde{F}_b^c \partial_e \tilde{F}_{df} \partial^f \tilde{F}_c^e \\
& + z_{25} \partial^c \tilde{F}^{ab} \partial^d \tilde{F}_{ab} \partial_f \tilde{F}_{de} \partial^f \tilde{F}_c^e + z_{26} \partial_a \tilde{F}^{ab} \partial^d \tilde{F}_b^c \partial_f \tilde{F}_{de} \partial^f \tilde{F}_c^e + z_{27} \partial_b \tilde{F}_{ef} \partial^c \tilde{F}^{ab} \partial^d \tilde{F}_{ac} \partial^f \tilde{F}_d^e \\
& + z_{28} \partial_a \tilde{F}^{ab} \partial_c \tilde{F}_{ef} \partial^d \tilde{F}_b^c \partial^f \tilde{F}_d^e + z_{29} \partial_a \tilde{F}^{ab} \partial_c \tilde{F}_b^c \partial_e \tilde{F}_{df} \partial^f \tilde{F}^{de} + z_{30} \partial_b \tilde{F}_{ac} \partial^c \tilde{F}^{ab} \partial_e \tilde{F}_{df} \partial^f \tilde{F}^{de} \\
& + z_{31} \partial_c \tilde{F}_{ab} \partial^c \tilde{F}^{ab} \partial_e \tilde{F}_{df} \partial^f \tilde{F}^{de} + z_{32} \partial_a \tilde{F}^{ab} \partial_c \tilde{F}_b^c \partial_f \tilde{F}_{de} \partial^f \tilde{F}^{de} + z_{33} \partial_c \tilde{F}_{ab} \partial^c \tilde{F}^{ab} \partial_f \tilde{F}_{de} \partial^f \tilde{F}^{de},
\end{aligned}$$

where z_i are some unknown coefficients.

As the previous cases, we do the same procedure to find the above dual gauge fields in terms of relevant gauge fields. Different choices of Levi-Civita paring led to different results that should be equal to each other $Z_i = Z'_i = Z''_i$ and $i = 1, \dots, 33$. These equalities led to ten independent identities which we present three of them in the following. To investigate the S-duality behavior of amplitude and actions at the presence of a D -brane at the level of four gauge fields and four($/N$) derivatives, one has to use these identities as we have done to write the action (4) in terms of the S-dual invariant structures (31).

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \partial_c F^{ef} \partial^c F^{ab} \partial_d F_{ef} \partial^d F_{ab} - 2 \partial_c F_{df} \partial^c F^{ab} \partial_e F_b^f \partial^e F_a^d - 4 \partial^c F^{ab} \partial^e F_a^d \partial_f F_{ce} \partial^f F_{bd} \\
&+ 8 \partial_c F_{df} \partial^c F^{ab} \partial^e F_a^d \partial^f F_{be} + 4 \partial^c F^{ab} \partial^e F_a^d \partial_f F_{cd} \partial^f F_{be} - 4 \partial^c F^{ab} \partial_d F_{ef} \partial^d F_{ac} \partial^f F_b^e \\
&+ 4 \partial^c F^{ab} \partial_d F_{ef} \partial^d F_{ab} \partial^f F_c^e, \\
0 &= \partial_c F^{ef} \partial^c F^{ab} \partial_d F_{ef} \partial^d F_{ab} - 4 \partial^c F^{ab} \partial_d F_{ef} \partial^d F_{ac} \partial^f F_b^e + 4 \partial_c F_a^d \partial^c F^{ab} \partial_e F_{df} \partial^f F_b^e \\
&- 4 \partial_c F_a^d \partial^c F^{ab} \partial_f F_{de} \partial^f F_b^e + 8 \partial^c F^{ab} \partial^d F_{ac} \partial_f F_{de} \partial^f F_b^e + 4 \partial^c F^{ab} \partial_d F_{ef} \partial^d F_{ab} \partial^f F_c^e \\
&- 2 \partial^c F^{ab} \partial^d F_{ab} \partial_f F_{de} \partial^f F_c^e - 2 \partial_c F_{ab} \partial^c F^{ab} \partial_e F_{df} \partial^f F^{de} + \partial_c F_{ab} \partial^c F^{ab} \partial_f F_{de} \partial^f F^{de}, \\
0 &= \partial_c F^{ef} \partial^c F^{ab} \partial_d F_{ef} \partial^d F_{ab} + 4 \partial_a F^{ab} \partial_c F^{ef} \partial_d F_{ef} \partial^d F_b^c - 4 \partial_c F_{df} \partial^c F^{ab} \partial_e F_b^f \partial^e F_a^d \\
&+ 8 \partial_c F_{df} \partial^c F^{ab} \partial^e F_a^d \partial^f F_{be} + 4 \partial^c F^{ab} \partial_d F_{ef} \partial^d F_{ac} \partial^f F_b^e - 4 \partial_c F_a^d \partial^c F^{ab} \partial_e F_{df} \partial^f F_b^e \\
&+ 4 \partial_c F_a^d \partial^c F^{ab} \partial_f F_{de} \partial^f F_b^e - 8 \partial^c F^{ab} \partial^d F_{ac} \partial_f F_{de} \partial^f F_b^e + 8 \partial_a F^{ab} \partial_d F_{ef} \partial^d F_b^c \partial^f F_c^e \\
&+ 2 \partial^c F^{ab} \partial^d F_{ab} \partial_f F_{de} \partial^f F_c^e + 2 \partial_c F_{ab} \partial^c F^{ab} \partial_e F_{df} \partial^f F^{de} - \partial_c F_{ab} \partial^c F^{ab} \partial_f F_{de} \partial^f F^{de}.
\end{aligned}$$

These identities and the other ones that appear in this section could be checked explicitly by replacing $F_{ab} = \partial_a A_b - \partial_b A_a$ (or by substituting the component of F_{ab}).

References

- [1] M. K. Gaillard and B. Zumino, Nucl. Phys. B **193**, 221 (1981),
- [2] M. B. Green and M. Gutperle, Phys. Lett. B **377**, 28-35 (1996), arXiv:hep-th/9602077.
- [3] J. Polchinski, Phys. Rev. Lett **75**, 4724 (1995), [arXiv:hep-th/9510017]
- [4] R. Roiban and A. A. Tseytlin, J. High. Energy. Phys. **099** (2012) 1210, [arXiv:1205.0176 [hep-th]].

- [5] K. Becker, M. Becker and J. H. Schwarz, UK: Cambridge Univ. Pr. (2007). 739 p
- [6] M. R. Garousi, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 126019 (1103), arXiv:1108.4782 [hep-th].
- [7] M. R. Garousi, An off-shell D-brane action at order α'^2 in flat spacetime, Phys. Rev. D **93**, 066014 (2016), arXiv:1511.01676v4 [hep-th].
- [8] A. A. Tseytlin, Nucl. Phys. B **469**, 51-67 (1996), [arXiv:hep-th/9602064].
- [9] A. Abouelsaood, C. G. Callan, Jr., C. R. Nappi and S. A. Yost, Nucl. Phys. B **280**, 599 (1987).
- [10] A. A. Tseytlin, Phys. Lett. B **202**, 81 (1988).
- [11] O. D. Andreev and A. A. Tseytlin, Nucl. Phys. B **311**, 205 (1988).
- [12] N. Wyllard, Nucl. Phys. B **598**, 247 (2001), [hep-th/0008125].
- [13] O. Andreev, Phys. Lett. B **513**, 207 (2001), [hep-th/0104061].
- [14] N. Wyllard, JHEP **0108**, 027 (2001), [hep-th/0107185].
- [15] W. Chemissany, R. Kallosha and T. Ortin, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 046002 (2012), [[arXiv:1112.0332 [hep-th]]; J. J. M. Carrasco, R. Kallosha and R. Roiban, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 025007 (2012), [arXiv:1108.4390[hep-th]].
- [16] M. Shmakova, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 104009 (2000), [arXiv:hep-th/9906239].
- [17] W. A. Chemissany, J. de. Jong and M. de. Roo, J. High. Energy. Phys. **086** (2006) 0611, [arXiv:hep-th/0610060 [hep-th]].
- [18] G. W. Gibbons and D. A. Rasheed, Phys. Lett. B **365**, 46 (1996), [arXiv:hep-th/9509141].
- [19] M. R. Garousi, J. High. Energy. Phys. **04** (2012) 140, [arXiv:1201.2556 [hep-th]].
- [20] A. Hashimoto and I. R. Klebanov, Nucl. Phys. B **55**, (1997) 118, [arXiv:hep-th/9611214].
- [21] H. Babaei-Aghbolagh and M. R. Garousi, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 026008 (2013), [arXiv:1304.2938 [hep-th]] ; M. R. Garousi, Nucl. Phys. B **862**, 107 (2012), [arXiv:1109.5555 [hep-th]].
- [22] M. R. Garousi, Phys. Rept. D **702**, 1 (2017), [arXiv:1702.00191 [hep-th]].
- [23] A. De. Giovanni, A. Santambrogio and D. Zanon, Phys. Lett. B **472**, 94-100 (2000), [arXiv:hep-th/9907214] .

- [24] E. Hatefi, J. High. Energy. Phys. **05** (2010) 080, [arXiv:1003.0314 [hep-th]].
- [25] K. B. Velni, , Phys. Rev. D **93**, 066009 (2016), [arXiv:1603.00678 [hep-th]]; K. B. Velni and A. Jalali, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **33**, 1850106 (2018); S. Karimi and M. R. Garousi, [arXiv:1809.10377 [hep-th]].
- [26] K. B. Velni and H. Babaei. Aghbolagh, Nucl. Phys. B **913**, 987-1000 (2016), [arXiv:1610.07790[hep-th]].
- [27] T. Nutma Comput. Phys. Commun. **185** 1719(2014). arXiv:1105.1273 [hep-th].