

Features of description of composite system's motion in twist-deformed space-time

Kh. P. Gnatenko ¹

Ivan Franko National University of Lviv, Department for Theoretical Physics,

12 Drahomanov St., Lviv, 79005, Ukraine

Laboratory for Statistical Physics of Complex Systems

Institute for Condensed Matter Physics, NAS of Ukraine, Lviv, 79011, Ukraine

Abstract

Composite system made of N particles is considered in twist-deformed space-time. It is shown that in the space the motion of the center-of-mass of the system depends on the relative motion. Influence of deformation on the motion of the center-of-mass of composite system is less than on the motion of individual particles and depends on the system's composition. We conclude that if we consider commutation relations for coordinates of a particle to be proportional inversely to its mass, the commutation relations for coordinates of composite system do not depend on its composition and are proportional inversely to system's total mass, besides the motion of the center-of-mass is independent of the relative motion. In addition we find that inverse proportionality of parameters of noncommutativity to mass is important for considering coordinates in twist-deformed space as kinematic variables and for preserving of the weak equivalence principle.

Key words: twist-deformed space-time, composite system, weak equivalence principle, kinematic variables. PACS numbers: 11.10 Nx, 11.90.+t

1 Introduction

In recent years studies of idea that space coordinates might be noncommutative have attracted much attention owing to development of String Theory and Quantum Gravity [1, 2].

Much attention has been devoted to studies of quantum and classical physical problems (harmonic oscillator [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12], particle in gravitational field [13, 14], composite systems [15, 16, 17, 8, 18, 19], quantum fields [20, 21] and many others) in a space with canonical noncommutativity of coordinates

$$[X_\mu, X_\nu] = i\hbar\theta_{\mu\nu}, \quad (1)$$

with $\theta_{\mu\nu}$ being constants.

In papers [22, 23] it is shown that in the case of Newton-Hooke Hopf algebras the noncommutativity of the form

$$[t, X_i] = 0, \quad (2)$$

$$[X_i, X_j] = i\hbar f_\pm \left(\frac{t}{\tau} \right) \theta_{ij}, \quad (3)$$

¹E-Mail address: khrystyna.gnatenko@gmail.com

is provided by the twist deformation. Here τ is a time-scale parameter, functions $f_+ \left(\frac{t}{\tau} \right) = f \left(\sinh \left(\frac{t}{\tau} \right), \cosh \left(\frac{t}{\tau} \right) \right)$, $f_- \left(\frac{t}{\tau} \right) = f \left(\sin \left(\frac{t}{\tau} \right), \cos \left(\frac{t}{\tau} \right) \right)$ correspond to N-enlarged Newton-Hooke Hopf algebras [23]. Parameters θ_{ij} are considered to be constants or $\theta_{ij} = \theta_{ij}^k X_k$ with θ_{ij}^k being constants, $i, j, k = (1, 2, 3)$, τ is a time scale parameter. Constant \hbar in (3) is written for convenience. In the limit $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ form (3) commutation relations of canonical type (1), Lie type $[X_\mu, X_\nu] = i\hbar\theta_{\mu\nu}^\rho X_\rho$, [24, 25, 26] and commutation relations with quadratic noncommutativity $[X_\mu, X_\nu] = i\theta_{\mu\nu}^{\rho\tau} X_\rho X_\tau$, [27, 28] can be reproduced [29] (here $\theta_{\mu\nu}^\rho, \theta_{\mu\nu}^{\rho\tau}$ are constants).

The results of studies of many-particle problems in the frame of noncommutative algebra open possibility to investigate effect of space quantization on the properties of wide class of physical systems. In recent paper [23] a two-particle system in Coulomb potential was studied in twist-deformed space and a helium atom as an example of the two-particle system was considered. In [30] many-body quantum mechanics for twisted N-enlarged Newton-Hooke space-times was studied. The author provided Schroedinger equation for arbitrary stationary potential. As an example a system of particles in Coulomb field interacting by Coulomb potential was considered.

In the present paper we examine features of description of motion of the center-of-mass of composite system and relative motion in twist-deformed space-time. We show that influence of the deformation on the motion of the center-of-mass depends on the system's mass and composition. We also conclude that the motion of the center-of-mass is not independent of the relative motion in twist-deformed space. We find that relation of parameters of twist-deformed algebra with mass opens possibility to preserve independence of motion of the center-of-mass of the relative motion, independence of motion of composite system (particle) on its mass and composition in gravitational field (recovering of the weak equivalence principle), independence of coordinates on mass in twist-deformed space (coordinates can be considered as kinematic variables).

The article is organized as follows. In the Section 2 features of twist-deformed algebra for coordinates and momenta of the center-of-mass and the relative motion are discussed. Section 3 is devoted to studies of motion of a particle (composite system) in gravitational field and examining of implementation of the weak equivalence principle in twist-deformed space. Representation for coordinates satisfying twist-deformed algebra is considered in Section 4 and the problem of kinematic variables is discussed. Conclusions are presented in Section 5.

2 Twist-deformed algebra for coordinates and momenta of the center-of-mass and the relative motion

Let us consider a many-particle system composed by N particles of masses m_a and described by the following Hamiltonian

$$H_s = \sum_a \frac{(\mathbf{P}^{(a)})^2}{2m_a} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{a,b \\ a \neq b}} V_{int}(|\mathbf{X}^{(a)} - \mathbf{X}^{(b)}|), \quad (4)$$

in twist-deformed space-time. Here indexes a and b label the particles, coordinates $X_i^{(a)}$, and momenta $P_i^{(a)}$ satisfy twist-deformed commutation relations

$$[X_i^{(a)}, X_j^{(b)}] = i\hbar \delta_{ab} f\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right) \theta_{ij}^{(a)}, \quad (5)$$

$$[t, X_j^{(a)}] = 0, \quad [P_i^{(a)}, P_j^{(b)}] = 0, \quad (6)$$

$$[X_i^{(a)}, P_j^{(b)}] = \delta_{ij} \delta_{ab} i\hbar. \quad (7)$$

here $i, j = (1, 2, 3)$, $\theta_{ij}^{(a)}$ are parameters of noncommutativity corresponding to particle with mass m_a , which are considered to be constants, and notation $f\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right) = f_{\pm}\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right)$ is used. Note, that we study a general case when parameters of noncommutativity are supposed to be different for different particles.

Total momentum defined as

$$\tilde{\mathbf{P}} = \sum_a \mathbf{P}^{(a)} \quad (8)$$

is an integral of motion. It is easy to check that

$$[\tilde{P}_i, H_s] = 0. \quad (9)$$

Therefore, one can introduce coordinates of the center-of-mass in the traditional way

$$\tilde{\mathbf{X}} = \sum_a \mu_a \mathbf{X}^{(a)} \quad (10)$$

here $\mu_a = m_a/M$, $M = \sum_a m_a$. Coordinates and momenta in (8), (10) satisfy commutation relations (3)-(7). Upon straightforward calculations one can write the following commutators for coordinates of the center-of-mass and total momenta

$$[\tilde{X}_i, \tilde{X}_j] = i\hbar f\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right) \sum_a \mu_a^2 \theta_{ij}^{(a)}, \quad (11)$$

$$[\tilde{P}_i, \tilde{P}_j] = 0, \quad (12)$$

$$[\tilde{X}_i, \tilde{P}_j] = i\hbar \delta_{ij}. \quad (13)$$

Note that in comparison with (5) commutator for coordinates of the center-of-mass (11) depends on masses of particles which form the system, therefore it depends on the system's

composition. It is worth noting that for the case of a system made of N particles of masses m and parameters θ_{ij} one obtains

$$[\tilde{X}_i, \tilde{X}_j] = \frac{i\hbar}{N} f\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right) \theta_{ij}. \quad (14)$$

So, from (14) we have that relations of noncommutative algebra for coordinates of the center-of-mass contain parameters of noncommutativity which are N times smaller than parameters of noncommutativity corresponding to individual particles. From this follows that influence of features of space structure on macroscopic systems is less than on elementary particles.

Introducing momenta and coordinates of the relative motion in traditional way

$$\Delta \mathbf{P}^a = \mathbf{P}^{(a)} - \mu_a \tilde{\mathbf{P}}, \quad \Delta \mathbf{X}^{(a)} = \mathbf{X}^{(a)} - \tilde{\mathbf{X}}, \quad (15)$$

on the basis of relations (3)-(7) one can calculate

$$[\Delta X_i^{(a)}, \Delta X_j^{(b)}] = i\hbar f\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right) \left(\delta_{ab} \theta_{ij}^{(a)} - \mu_a \theta_{ij}^{(a)} - \mu_b \theta_{ij}^{(b)} + \sum_d \mu_d^2 \theta_{ij}^{(d)} \right), \quad (16)$$

$$[\Delta X_i^{(a)}, \Delta P_j^{(b)}] = i\hbar (\delta_{ab} - \mu_b), \quad (17)$$

$$[\Delta P_i^{(a)}, \Delta P_i^{(b)}] = 0. \quad (18)$$

It is important to note that because of noncommutativity (5) one has that commutators for coordinates of the center-of-mass and coordinates of the relative motion do not vanish. We can calculate that the commutation relations read

$$[\Delta X_i^{(a)}, \tilde{X}_j] = i\hbar f\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right) \left(\mu_a \theta_{ij}^{(a)} - \sum_d \mu_d^2 \theta_{ij}^{(d)} \right). \quad (19)$$

For relative and center-of-mass momenta one has $[\Delta P_i^{(a)}, \Delta P_i^{(b)}] = [\tilde{P}_i, \Delta P_j^{(b)}] = 0$. From (19) follows that one cannot consider motion of the center-of-mass of a system and relative motion as independent. Relative motion effects on the motion of the center-of-mass and vice versa.

At the end of this section we would like to stress that from (11) follows that for different composite systems (different composite particles, bodies) one has to consider parameters of noncommutativity to be dependent on their masses and compositions. It is worth mention that if we assume parameters of noncommutativity corresponding to a particle to be proportional inversely to its mass. Namely, if we consider the product $m_a \theta_{ij}^a$ to be a constant γ_{ij} which is the same for different particles

$$m_a \theta_{ij}^{(a)} = \gamma_{ij} = \text{const}, \quad (20)$$

one obtains that

$$[\Delta X_i^{(a)}, \tilde{X}_j] = 0. \quad (21)$$

So, the motion of the center-of-mass is independent on the relative motion. In addition, if (20) holds, one has

$$[\tilde{X}_i, \tilde{X}_j] = i\hbar f \left(\frac{t}{\tau} \right) \frac{\gamma}{M}. \quad (22)$$

So, the commutator for coordinates of the center-of-mass of a system does not depend on its composition and is proportional inversely to its total mass M . Note that condition (20) can be written also for effective parameter of noncommutativity

$$\theta_{ij}^{eff} = \sum_a \mu_a^2 \theta_{ij}^{(a)} \quad (23)$$

which describes the motion of the center-of-mass (11). In the case when parameters of noncommutativity of particles are proportional inversely to their masses (20) the effective parameter of noncommutativity corresponding to a composite system is proportional inversely to total mass of the system M , we have $\theta_{ij}^{eff} = \gamma_{ij}/M$.

In the next section we will show that condition (20) is important for preserving of the weak equivalence principle in twist-deformed space-time.

3 Implementation of the weak equivalence principle in twist-deformed space-time

Implementation of equivalence principle was examined in the case of algebra with noncommutativity of coordinates of canonical type [17, 31, 32], algebra with noncommutativity of coordinates and noncommutativity of momenta of canonical type [33, 34, 18], in rotationally-invariant noncommutative phase space of canonical type [36], in deformed space with minimal length [37]. Let us consider the weak equivalence principle in the case of twist-deformed space. So, let us consider a motion of a particle of mass m in gravitational field $V = V(\mathbf{X})$ in a space with commutation relations (5)-(7). The Hamiltonian reads

$$H = \frac{\mathbf{P}^2}{2m} + mV(\mathbf{X}). \quad (24)$$

Taking into account (5)-(7) one can write the following equations of motion

$$\dot{X}_i = \frac{P_i}{m} + f \left(\frac{t}{\tau} \right) m \theta_{ij} \frac{\partial V}{\partial X_j}, \quad (25)$$

$$\dot{P}_i = -m \frac{\partial V}{\partial X_i}, \quad (26)$$

From the first equation one has that because of deformation the velocity of a particle in gravitational field depends on its mass. According to the weak equivalence principle the motion of a particle in gravitational field does not depend on its mass and composition. From these statements follows that in twist-deformed space the weak equivalence is not preserved.

We would like to stress that if we consider the parameters of noncommutativity to satisfy relation (20) the equations of motion read

$$\dot{X}_i = P'_i + f\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right) \gamma_{ij} \frac{\partial V}{\partial X_j}, \quad (27)$$

$$\dot{P}'_i = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial X_i}, \quad (28)$$

here P'_i denotes $P'_i = P_i/m$. From equations (27), (28) we can conclude that their solutions $X_i(t)$, $P'_i(t)$ do not depend on mass. Therefore the weak equivalence principle is preserved if condition (20) holds. Note that this conclusion can be generalized to the case of motion of a composite system (body) of mass M in gravitational field. The Hamiltonian of the system reads

$$H = \frac{\tilde{\mathbf{P}}^2}{2M} + MV(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}) + H_{rel}. \quad (29)$$

Where $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$, $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}$ are coordinates and momenta of the center-of-mass, satisfying (11)- (13), and H_{rel} denotes terms which correspond to the relative motion. If condition (20) holds one has that coordinates of the center-of-mass commute with the coordinates of the relative motion (21), therefore $[H, H_{rel}] = 0$ and we can consider the motion of the center-of-mass independently on the relative motion. The equations of motion read

$$\dot{\tilde{X}}_i = \tilde{P}'_i + f\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right) \gamma_{ij} \frac{\partial V(\tilde{\mathbf{X}})}{\partial \tilde{X}_j}, \quad (30)$$

$$\dot{\tilde{P}}'_i = -\frac{\partial V(\tilde{\mathbf{X}})}{\partial \tilde{X}_i}, \quad (31)$$

here $\tilde{P}'_i = \tilde{P}_i/M$. So, due to condition (20) the solutions of equations (30), (31) do not depend on the mass of a system (body) and on its composition. Therefore, the weak equivalence principle is recovered.

We would like to note here that if condition (20) does not hold, we have that motion of composite system in gravitational field depends on its total mass. In addition the motion of composite system depends on its composition because of dependence of effective parameters of noncommutativity (23) on masses of particles which form the system. This dependence is additional evidence of violation of the weak equivalence principle in twist-deformed space-time.

In the next section we will show that condition (20) gives a possibility to obtain another important result, namely to consider noncommutative coordinates as kinematic variables.

4 Representation for coordinates satisfying twist-deformed commutation relations and kinematic variables

Coordinates and momenta which satisfy commutation relations (5)-(7) can be represented as

$$X_i^{(a)} = x_i^{(a)} - \frac{1}{2}f\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right)\theta_{ij}^{(a)}p_j^{(a)}, \quad (32)$$

$$P_i^{(a)} = p_i^{(a)}, \quad (33)$$

with coordinates $x_i^{(a)}$ and momenta $p_i^{(a)}$ satisfying $[x_i^{(a)}, x_j^{(b)}] = [p_i^{(a)}, p_j^{(b)}] = 0$, $[x_i^{(a)}, p_j^{(b)}] = \delta_{ij}\delta_{ab}i\hbar$. Note that representation for coordinates (32) contains momenta $p_j^{(a)} = P_j^{(a)}$ which depend on mass. So, coordinates $X_i^{(a)}$ cannot be considered as kinematic variables.

We would like to stress that if condition (20) holds one has

$$X_i^{(a)} = x_i^{(a)} - f\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right)\frac{\gamma_{ij}P_j^{(a)}}{2m_a}. \quad (34)$$

So, the coordinates do not depend on mass and can be considered as kinematic variables due to relation (20).

For coordinates and momenta of the center-of-mass taking into account their definitions (8), (10) and using (32), one can write

$$\tilde{X}_i = \sum_a \mu_a X_i^{(a)} = \tilde{x}_i - \frac{1}{2}f\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right)\sum_a \mu_a \theta_{ij}^{(a)} p_j^{(a)}, \quad (35)$$

$$\tilde{P}_i = \sum_a P_i^{(a)} = \tilde{p}_i, \quad (36)$$

Here we use notations $\tilde{x}_i = \sum_a \mu_a x_i^{(a)}$, $\tilde{p}_i = \sum_a p_i^{(a)}$. For coordinates \tilde{x}_i , and momenta \tilde{p}_i the following relations hold $[\tilde{x}_i, \tilde{x}_j] = [\tilde{p}_i, \tilde{p}_j] = 0$, $[\tilde{x}_i, \tilde{p}_j] = i\hbar\delta_{ij}$. It is easy to check that coordinates represented as (35) satisfy (11).

Note that from (35) (36) follows that coordinates of the center-of-mass of a system depend on momenta of particles $p_j^{(a)} = P_j^{(a)}$ forming it and therefore depend on the total momenta and momenta of the relative motion

$$\tilde{X}_i = \tilde{x}_i - \frac{1}{2}f\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right)\sum_a \mu_a \theta_{ij}^{(a)}(\Delta P_j^{(a)} + \mu_a \tilde{P}_j). \quad (37)$$

Note that the situation is changed if we consider the condition (20) to be satisfied. In this case we have

$$\tilde{X}_i = \tilde{x}_i - f\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right)\frac{\gamma_{ij}\tilde{P}_j}{2M}. \quad (38)$$

So, if relation (20) holds the dependence of coordinates on the relative momenta vanishes and as was shown in the Section 2 the motion of the center-of-mass is independent of the relative motion.

5 Conclusion

Twist-deformed space-time characterized by commutation relations (5)-(7) has been considered. We have studied a general case when coordinates corresponding to different particles satisfy noncommutative algebra with different parameters (5)-(7).

Features of motion of composite system have been examined in the space. The total momentum defined as sum of momenta of particles in the system is integral of motion in twist-deformed space. Therefore, the coordinates and momenta of the center-of-mass and coordinates and momenta of the relative motion have been introduced in traditional way (8), (10), (15). We have shown that commutation relations for coordinates of the center-of-mass of a composite system depend on its mass and composition. In particular case of system made of N particles of the same masses we have shown that the parameter of noncommutativity corresponding to the motion of the center-of-mass is reduced by the factor $1/N$ in comparison with parameters of noncommutativity corresponding to particles which form the system (14). So, influence of features of space structures in the Planck scale on composite systems is less than this influence on elementary particles. In addition we have found that commutator for coordinates of the center-of-mass and coordinates of the relative motion is not equal to zero (19) because of noncommutativity (5). So, the motion of the center-of-mass depends on the relative motion in twist-deformed space.

Also, the motion of a particle (composite system) has been studied in gravitational field in twist-deformed space. We have shown that the weak equivalence principle is not preserved in the frame of commutation relations (5)-(7). The motion of a particle (composite system) in gravitational field in twist-deformed space depends on its mass and composition.

We have found that if commutator for coordinates of a particle is proportional inversely to its mass (20) one has a) commutator for coordinates of the center-of-mass of a composite system is proportional inversely to its total mass and does not depend on the system's composition; b) motion of the center-of-mass is independent of the relative motion; c) the weak equivalence principle is recovered; d) coordinates can be considered as kinematic variables in twist-deformed space.

It is worth noting that condition (20) is important for preserving of the weak equivalence principle in noncommutative space of canonical type [17, 38], in noncommutative space with rotational symmetry [35, 36], in Lie-algebraic noncommutative space [39]. Also idea to relate parameters of deformed algebra with mass was proposed in [37, 40, 41] for solving problems in deformed space with minimal length.

Acknowledgements

The author thanks Prof. V. M. Tkachuk for his advices and support during research. This work was partly supported by the Project $\Phi\Phi$ -63Hp (No. 0117U007190) from the Ministry of Education and Science of Ukraine and the grant of the President of Ukraine for support of scientific researches of young scientists (F-75).

References

- [1] N. Seiberg, E. Witten, *J. High Energy Phys.* **9909**, 032 (1999).
- [2] S. Doplicher, K. Fredenhagen, J.E. Roberts, *Phys. Lett. B* **331**, 39 (1994).
- [3] A. Hatzinikitas, I. Smyrnakis, *J. Math. Phys.* **43**, 113 (2002).
- [4] A. Kijanka, P. Kosinski, *Phys. Rev. D* **70**, 127702 (2004).
- [5] Jing Jian, Jian-Feng Chen, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **60**, 669 (2009).
- [6] A. Smailagic, E. Spallucci, *Phys. Rev. D* **65**, 107701 (2002).
- [7] A. Smailagic, E. Spallucci, *J. Phys. A* **35**, 363 (2002).
- [8] A. E. F. Djemai, H. Smail, *Commun. Theor. Phys.* **41**, 837 (2004).
- [9] P. R. Giri, P. Roy, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **57**, 835 (2008).
- [10] J. Ben Geloun, S. Gangopadhyay, F. G. Scholtz, *EPL* **86**, 51001 (2009).
- [11] D. Nath, P. Roy, *Ann. Phys.* **377**, 115 (2017).
- [12] Kh. P. Gnatenko, V. M. Tkachuk, *J. Phys. Stud.* **21**, 3001 (2017).
- [13] O. Bertolami, J. G. Rosa, C. M. L. de Aragao, P. Castorina, D. Zappala, *Phys. Rev. D* **72**, 025010 (2005)
- [14] C. Bastos, O. Bertolami, *Phys. Lett. A* **372**, 5556 (2008).
- [15] Pei-Ming Ho, Hsien-Chung Kao, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 151602 (2002).
- [16] S. Bellucci, A. Yeranyan, *Phys. Lett. B* **609**, 418 (2005).
- [17] Kh.P. Gnatenko, *Phys. Lett. A* **377**, 3061 (2013).
- [18] Kh. P. Gnatenko, V. M. Tkachuk, *Phys. Lett. A* **381**, 2463 (2017).
- [19] M. Daszkiewicz, C.J. Walczyk, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **26**, 819 (2011).
- [20] A. P. Balachandran, A. Ibort, G. Marmo, M. Martone, *SIGMA* **6**, 052 (2010).
- [21] A. P. Balachandran, A. Ibort, G. Marmo, M. Martone, *JHEP* **1103**, 057 (2011).
- [22] M. Daszkiewicz, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **27**, 1250083 (2012).
- [23] M. Daszkiewicz, *Phys. Scripta* **93**, 085202 (2018).
- [24] J. Lukierski, D. Meljanac, S. Meljanac, D. Pikutic, M. Woronowicz, *Phys. Lett. B* **777**, 1 (2018).
- [25] D. Meljanac, S. Meljanac, D. Pikutic, *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, 105008 (2017).
- [26] Yan-Gang Miao, Xu-Dong Wang, Shao-Jie Yu, *Ann. Phys.* **326** 2091, (2011).
- [27] M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, A. Tureanu *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101** 261601 (2008).
- [28] J. Lukierski, M. Woronowicz, *Phys. Lett. B* **633**, 116 (2006).
- [29] M. Daszkiewicz, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **24**, 1325 (2009).
- [30] M. Daszkiewicz, *Acta Phys. Polon. B* **44**, 699 (2013).

- [31] A. Saha, Phys. Rev. D. **89**, 025010 (2014).
- [32] S. Bhattacharyya, S. Gangopadhyay, A. Saha, EPL **120**, 30005 (2018).
- [33] C. Bastos, O. Bertolami, N. C. Dias, J. N. Prata, Class. Quant. Grav. **28**, 125007 (2011).
- [34] O. Bertolami, P. Leal, Phys. Lett. B **750**, 6 (2015).
- [35] Kh. P. Gnatenko, V. M. Tkachuk, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **33**, 1850037 (2018).
- [36] Kh. P. Gnatenko, EPL **123**, 50002 (2018).
- [37] V. M. Tkachuk, Phys. Rev. A **86**, 062112 (2012).
- [38] Kh. P. Gnatenko, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **32**, 1750166 (2017).
- [39] Kh. P. Gnatenko, arXiv:1811.00419 (2018).
- [40] C. Quesne, V.M. Tkachuk, Phys. Rev. A **81**, 012106 (2010).
- [41] V. M. Tkachuk Found. Phys. **46**, 1666 (2016).