Competing Charge and Magnetic Order in Fermionic Multi-Component Systems
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We consider the fermionic SU(3) Hubbard model on the triangular lattice at 1/3 filling in the presence of a three-sublattice staggered potential which provides the possibility to investigate the competition of charge and magnetic order in three-component systems. We show that depending on the strength of the staggered potential \( \Delta \), the Hubbard interaction \( U \) destabilizes the band insulator (BI) at small \( U \) into the Mott insulator (MI) at large \( U \) in three different ways with different intermediate phases. This leads to a rich phase diagram in the \( U-\Delta \) plane. Our results indicate that multi-component systems show not only exotic states in the Mott regime as has been considered previously, but also interesting competition between charge and magnetic orders which can lead to the emergence of charge-ordered magnetic insulators and charge-ordered magnetic metals.

PACS numbers: 71.30.+h,71.10.Fd,37.10.Jk

I. INTRODUCTION

The observation of Bose-Einstein condensation\(^1\) triggered a huge research interest in ultracold atoms trapped in optical lattices as flexible and highly controllable quantum simulators not only to mimic models of solid state physics but also to study systems which have no obvious solid state counterparts\(^3-4\).

Alkali and alkaline-earth-like atoms have up to \( N = 10 \) internal states available, which due to the perfect decoupling of the nuclear spin from the electronic angular momentum can be used to simulate multi-component systems with SU(\( N \)) symmetry\(^5-7\). Theoretical predictions depending on the value of \( N \) suggest multi-component magnetism\(^8-12\), valence-bond solid states\(^12-14\), and quantum liquids\(^14-16\) in the Mott regime. A three-component Fermi gas with SU(3) symmetry has been realized using \(^6\)Li atoms in high magnetic field\(^17,18\) and the fermionic SU(6) Hubbard model has been realized using \(^{173}\)Yb\(^19\).

In this work we demonstrate that multi-component systems show not only exotic phases in the Mott regime as has been discussed previously, but also interesting competition between charge and magnetic order with a possible emergence of charge-ordered magnetic metals.

II. MODEL AND MAIN RESULTS

Our starting point is to introduce a three-sublattice staggered potential into the fermionic SU(3) Hubbard model on the triangular lattice, which allows for the competition of the band insulator (BI) and Mott insulator (MI) phases at 1/3 filling. The Hamiltonian of the system reads

\[
H = -t \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \sum_{\delta} \left( \Psi_{\mathbf{r}+\delta}^\dagger \Psi_{\mathbf{r}} + \text{H.c.} \right) + \frac{U}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \Psi_{\mathbf{r}+\delta}^\dagger \Psi_{\mathbf{r}} \Psi_{\mathbf{r}+\delta} \Psi_{\mathbf{r}} \\
- \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \Delta_{\mathbf{r}} \Psi_{\mathbf{r}}^\dagger \Psi_{\mathbf{r}},
\]

where \( \Psi_{\mathbf{r}}^\dagger := \left( c_{\mathbf{r},0}^\dagger, c_{\mathbf{r},1}^\dagger, c_{\mathbf{r},2}^\dagger \right) \) is the SU(3) creation field operator with \( c_{\mathbf{r},\alpha}^\dagger \) being the fermionic creation operator at the lattice position \( \mathbf{r} \) with the internal component \( \alpha \), and \( \delta \) stands for the nearest-neighbor (NN) vectors on the triangular lattice. The first two terms in Eq. (1) describe the three-component Hubbard model written in SU(3)-symmetric form, and the last term is a staggered potential which gives, respectively, the on-site energies \( -\Delta \), 0, and +\( \Delta \) to the three sublattices \( A \), \( B \), and \( C \) of the triangular lattice, see Fig. 1(a). Fig. 1(b) displays the phase diagram of the model for the inverse temperature \( \beta = 20/t \) in the \( U-\Delta \) plane in units of the hopping parameter \( t \) obtained using the real-space dynamical mean-field theory approach\(^20\). The continuous and the dashed lines correspond respectively to the second and the first order transitions. Depending on the value of \( \Delta \), the BI phase is affected by the Hubbard \( U \) in different ways. For \( 0 < \Delta \lesssim 6 \) the Hubbard interaction drives the BI into a paramagnetic metal (PM) and subsequently into a three-sublattice magnetic MI (MMI) with a 120° pseudospin spiral\(^21\). We call the phase “magnetic” as it breaks the SU(3) symmetry, leading to a finite expectation value for the pseudospin operator \( \hat{S}_{\mathbf{r}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\beta} \lambda^\dagger \lambda \Psi_{\mathbf{r}}^\dagger \Psi_{\mathbf{r}} \) where \( \lambda \) is an eight-dimensional vector made of Gell-Mann matrices. Due to the spontaneous breaking of SU(3) symmetry the state is continuously degenerate. The solution lying in the \( \hat{S}_{\mathbf{r}} - \hat{S}_{\mathbf{g}} \) plane corresponds to a diagonal local density matrix, i.e., \( \langle c_{\mathbf{r},\alpha}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{r},\beta} \rangle = 0 \) for \( \alpha \neq \beta \). In this state at each sublattice one of the components has the dominant density\(^21\).

For \( 6t \lesssim \Delta \lesssim 8t \) the Hubbard interaction destabilizes the BI into a charge-ordered magnetic insulator (COMI) at a first transition point. In the COMI phase, sublattices \( A \) and \( B \) form a 180° pseudospin order. Interestingly, upon further increasing the Hubbard interaction, the broken SU(3) symmetry is restored and the system enters the PM. The transition into the MMI phase occurs at a third transition point. For larger values of the staggered potential, \( \Delta \gtrsim 8t \), the PM is replaced by a charge-ordered magnetic metal (COMM) which sepa-
rates the COMI from the MMI phase. We notice that there is a non-uniform charge distribution for any finite value of $\Delta$ in the system. The MMI and the PM are not called charge-ordered as they are adiabatically connected to the $\Delta = 0$ limit where there is a uniform charge distribution. In contrast, the COMI phase is not equivalent to any phase with a uniform charge distribution and the charge-order is a fundamental feature of this state. The same for the COMP phase.

In the limit $U, \Delta \gg t$, the BI-to-COMI transition approaches the line $\Delta \simeq 2U - 8t$ and the transitions from the COMI to COMP and from COMP to MMI take place, respectively, at $\Delta \simeq U/2$ and $\Delta \simeq U/2 - 2t$. This is in perfect agreement with the atomic limit ($t = 0$) results. In the atomic limit one can distinguish the three phases BI, COMI, and MMI depicted in Fig. 1(c) with the ground state energies $\epsilon_0^{BI} = U - \Delta$, $\epsilon_0^{COMI} = (U - 2\Delta)/3$, and $\epsilon_0^{MMI} = 0$ per lattice site. By comparing these energies one finds that BI is stable for $U < \Delta/2$, COMI is stable for $\Delta/2 < U < 2\Delta$, and MMI is stable for $U > 2\Delta$. This simple atomic limit discussion shows how the competition between the staggered potential and the Hubbard interaction in fermionic three-component systems can lead to the novel COMI phase. The width of the COMP is finite for any finite value of $t$. We would like to mention that, precisely speaking, the COMI and the MMI phases are highly degenerate in the atomic limit and a finite NN hopping is needed to stabilize the three-sublattice magnetic orders, which can be understood from a second order perturbation theory.

III. SOME TECHNICAL ASPECTS

The Hamiltonian (1) in the absence of the Hubbard interaction $U$ reduces to a three-level problem in momentum space and represents a BI for any finite value of $\Delta$. In order to investigate the phase diagram of the Hamiltonian (1) we employed the dynamical mean-field theory (DMFT) technique which becomes exact in the limit of infinite dimensions. The method is exact also in the non-interacting and in the atomic limit, and by fully taking into account local quantum fluctuations, it is a non-perturbative approach for studying the competition of charge and magnetic order in strongly correlated systems. We use the exact diagonalization impurity solver which enables us to compute local quantities with high accuracy, to directly access the real-frequency dynamical spectral functions, and to handle the large-$U$ limit with no difficulty. The results of ED and hybridization-expansion CTQMC solver for the finite temperature phase transitions of the fermionic SU(3) Hubbard model match nicely. We use the real-space DMFT method which we implemented for fermionic SU($N$) systems in Ref. 21. Due to the absence of electron-hole symmetry we add a chemical potential term to the Hamiltonian (1) and adjust it during the DMFT loop to achieve the desired 1/3 filling. We consider the inverse temperature $\beta = 20/t$. One notices that the temperature $T = t/20$ is about 10 times smaller than the width of the points chosen in Fig. 1(b) to separate different phases. The energy of each state is calculated and in the coexistence regions always the state with the lowest energy is considered as the stable state.

IV. DENSITY AND LOCAL MOMENT

We have plotted the local density $\langle c_{\alpha \sigma}^\dagger c_{\alpha \sigma} \rangle$ on the different sublattices $A$, $B$, and $C$ and for the different internal components $\alpha = 0, 1, 2$ versus the Hubbard $U$ in Fig. 2 for $\Delta = 3t$ (a), $\Delta = 7t$ (b), and $\Delta = 10t$ (c). The results are obtained for 4 bath sites of the impurity solver.

One can see from Fig. 2(a) that upon increasing the Hubbard interaction $U$ from zero in the BI phase the particle density at the sublattice $A$ decreases and the sub-
we have a high accuracy. Although the PM-to-MMI transition at $\Delta = 7t$ is sharper than the one at $\Delta = 3t$ it still seems to be continuous. In Fig. 1(b) a phase transition is considered second order if the local physical quantities such as density and double occupancy change continuously across the transition point, and it is considered first order if the change is discontinuous. Nevertheless, one notices that it is not the aim of the present article to discuss the type of phase transitions in the model (1).

Upon increasing the staggered potential from $\Delta = 7t$ to $\Delta = 10t$ in Fig. 2(c), the width of the COMI becomes larger, the PM gets substituted with a COMM, and the transition to the MMI phase becomes discontinuous. The COMM shows both charge and magnetic orders and a finite density of states at the Fermi energy.

One can see from Fig. 2 that for small Hubbard $U$ there is a strong non-uniform charge distribution in the system and for large Hubbard $U$ there is a strong magnetic order with an almost uniform charge distribution. For intermediate values of $U$ these two different orders compete, leading to the emergence of novel phenomena as we discussed above.

The results obtained for 4 and 5 bath sites perfectly agree away from the transition points. However, some deviations occur close to the transition points especially near the BI-PM-COMI tricritical point. In Fig. 3 we have plotted the local moment $m_r = \sqrt{3}|\langle S_r \rangle|$ at sublattice $A$ obtained for 5 bath sites versus $\Delta$ for different values of $U$ near the BI-PM-COMI tricritical point. The local moment is shifted for clarity by $(16t - U) \times 0.05$ along the vertical axis. We have used BI for band insulator, PM for paramagnetic metal, and COMI for charge-ordered magnetic insulator. The results are for 5 bath sites of the impurity solver.
We have included the prefactor $\sqrt{3}$ in the definition of $m_\alpha$ in order to have a local moment of $1$ in the fully polarized case, which for the COMI phase occurs when two components occupy sublattice $A$, the third component occupies sublattice $B$, and no particle occupies sublattice $C$. One notices that, although there is a small shift in the phase boundaries in Fig. 3 compared to Fig. 1(b), the general shape is the same.

V. SPECTRAL FUNCTION

Next we discuss the single-particle spectral function, which is given in terms of the imaginary part of the single-particle Green’s function: $A_{\alpha\alpha}(\omega) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} G_{\alpha\alpha}(\omega + i\epsilon)$, where $\epsilon = 0.05$ is the broadening factor. The spectral function for 5 bath sites in the Anderson impurity problem is plotted in Fig. 4 for different paramagnetic (a) and magnetically ordered phases (b-d). For the paramagnetic phases PM and BI we have plotted the spectral function of only one component. For the COMI and the COMM the spectral functions of the components $\alpha = 2$ and $\alpha = 0$ are the same due to the symmetry of the phase. In each panel of Fig. 4 we have distinguished the spectral functions at the different sublattices $A$, $B$, and $C$ by the different colors blue, green, and red, respectively.

Fig. 4(a.1) depicts the spectral function in the PM for $(U, \Delta) = (9t, 0)$. Due to the absence of the staggered potential the spectral functions of the different sublattices are the same. The larger spectral contribution above the Fermi energy $\omega = 0$ is due to the 1/3 filling. Keeping the Hubbard interaction $U = 9t$ and introducing the staggered potential $\Delta = 3t$ in Fig. 4(a.2), the system remains still metallic but spectral functions of different sublattices become different. For the sublattice $A$ the spectral contributions are transferred from above to below the Fermi energy by introducing $\Delta$, while for the sublattice $C$ it is the opposite. Fig. 4(a.3) shows the spectral function in the BI phase for the parameters $(U, \Delta) = (4t, 9t)$. The spectral function below the Fermi energy is dominated by the contribution from sublattice $A$. Right above the Fermi energy, there is a noticeable contribution from sublattice $B$. The high energy contributions belong mainly to the sublattice $C$. Such a spectral structure is expected, as the system is in the BI phase and there should be three well-separated bands due to the large staggered potential.

We have plotted the spectral function in the MMI phase for the model parameters $(U, \Delta) = (22t, 7t)$ in Fig. 4(b). Panels (b.1) to (b.3) correspond to the components $\alpha = 0$ to $\alpha = 2$. There is a Mott gap at the Fermi energy and the spectrum below the Fermi energy for each component is dominated by the contribution from one of the three sublattices. This is what one would expect as the system shows a three-sublattice magnetic order. The main low-energy peaks in Figs. 4(b.1) to 4(b.3) do not occur at the same energies: the peak originating from sublattice $A$ appears at much lower energies than the one originating from sublattice $C$. This energy difference is a result of the finite staggered potential in the system, which explicitly breaks the translational symmetry of the lattice and gives different on-site energies to the different sublattices. In the absence of $\Delta$, the peaks would have the same weight and occur at the same energies.

The spectral function in the COMI phase for $(U, \Delta) = (15t, 10t)$ is plotted in Fig. 4(c). The spectral function of $\alpha = 2$ is not shown as it is the same as the spectral function of $\alpha = 0$. We observe that the spectral function below the Fermi energy $\omega = 0$ for the component $\alpha = 0$ is largely governed by the contribution from sublattice $A$. The sublattice $B$ contains the major low-energy contributions of the spectral function for the component $\alpha = 1$. The contributions of the sublattice $C$ to the spectral functions mainly lie above the Fermi energy. These results clearly support a phase which has both charge and magnetic order and a finite gap at Fermi energy. We

![Figure 4](https://example.com/figure4.png)
have displayed the spectral function in the COM for the parameters $(U, \Delta) = (23t, 10t)$ in Fig. 4(d). There are contributions below $\omega = -15t$ mainly from sublattice $A$ and contributions above $\omega = +15t$ mainly from sublattice $C$, which can not be seen in the figure. Similar to the COMI, the spectral functions of the two components $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha = 2$ are the same. The main part of the spectral function for all the three components is concentrated near the Fermi energy.

VI. SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

To summarize, multi-component systems have attracted a lot of attention in recent years due to their possible realization in optical lattices and the emergence of exotic states in the Mott regime. We have provided explicit evidence that multi-component systems also show interesting competition between charge and magnetic order with the possible emergence of charge-ordered magnetic insulators and charge-ordered magnetic metals. This has not been considered so far, neither experimentally nor theoretically. This is achieved by introducing a three-sublattice staggered potential to the fermionic SU(3) Hubbard model on the triangular lattice. We show that depending on the strength of the staggered potential, different intermediate phases separate the band insulator (BI) at weak and the Mott insulator (MI) at strong Hubbard interactions, resulting in a rich phase diagram. The fermionic SU(3) Hubbard model can be realized in optical lattices using $^9\text{Li}$ or $^{173}\text{Yb}$, and the staggered potential can be created via a triangular superlattice, which also produces the Kagome lattice, or via the digital micromirror device, which can be used at single-site level to create different potential landscapes. The charge order can be probed by noise correlation measurements and the magnetic order can be detected using a quantum gas microscope. The excitation spectrum can also be measured using spectroscopic techniques such as radio frequency, Raman, and lattice modulation spectroscopy.

We would like to mention that charge and spin order competition in two-component systems has been investigated extensively through the ionic Hubbard model (IHM) and the Hubbard model with nearest-neighbor interaction. The IHM has recently been realized in optical lattices, and charge order on the honeycomb lattice and different phase transitions in one dimension have been explored. Our results motivate similar investigations for higher spin systems, where substantially colder Mott insulators are expected at fixed initial entropies due to the Pomeranchuk cooling effect. For the two dimensional IHM, there are currently controversial theoretical predictions regarding the nature of the intermediate phase(s) separating the BI and MI phases. It will be subject to future research to take into account non-local quantum fluctuations and to search for new kinds of quantum states in multi-component systems, especially near the critical regions in the phase diagram.

While the phase transitions from paramagnetic metal to magnetic MI and from band insulator to charge-ordered magnetic insulator can be described by a local order parameter, there is no local order parameter to describe the band insulator to paramagnetic metal and the charge-ordered magnetic insulator to charge-ordered magnetic metal transitions. The nature of different types of phase transitions in the model is also a topic which requires further attention in future studies.

It would be also interesting to include spin-orbit coupling into the hopping term in Eq. (1) and to study SU(3) topological phases with charge and magnetic order. Another important future step is the determination of the finite temperature phase diagram and the critical entropies required to reach different magnetically ordered phases of Fig. 1(b) in ultracold atoms experiments.
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