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A \( k \)-hypergraph on \([n]\) is a subset \( H \subseteq \{0,1\}_k^n := \{x \in \{0,1\}^n : \|x\|_1 = k\} \). The degree sequence of \( H \) is the vector \( d = \sum H := \sum \{x : x \in H\} \). We consider the following decision problem: given \( k \) and \( d \in \mathbb{Z}_n^k \), is \( d \) the degree sequence of some hypergraph \( H \subseteq \{0,1\}_k^n \)? For \( k = 2 \) (graphs) the celebrated work of Erdős and Gallai [3, 1960] implies that \( d \) is a degree sequence of a graph if and only if \( \sum d_i \) is even and, permuting \( d \) so that \( d_1 \geq \cdots \geq d_n \), the inequalities \( \sum_{i=1}^l d_i - \sum_{i=l+1}^n d_i \leq j(l - 1) \) hold for \( 1 \leq j \leq l \leq n \), yielding a polynomial time algorithm. For \( k = 3 \) the problem was raised over 30 years ago by Colbourn, Kocay, and Stinson [1, 1986, Problem 3.1] and was solved by Deza, Levin, Meesum, and Onn [2, 2018].

Here is the statement and its short proof.

**Theorem:** It is NP-complete to decide if \( d \in \mathbb{Z}_n^k \) is the degree sequence of a 3-hypergraph.

**Proof.** The problem is in NP since if \( d \) is a degree sequences then a hypergraph \( H \subseteq \{0,1\}_k^n \) of cardinality \( |H| \leq \binom{n}{k} = O(n^3) \) can be exhibited and \( d = \sum H \) verified in polynomial time.

Let 1 be the all-ones vector. We consider the following three decision problems.

1. Given \( a \in \mathbb{Z}_n^k \) with \( 31a = nb \), is there \( F \subseteq \{x \in \{0,1\}_3^n : ax = b\} \) with \( \sum F = 1 \)?
2. Given \( w \in \mathbb{Z}_n^k \) with \( wc = 0 \), is there \( G \subseteq \{x \in \{0,1\}_3^n : wx = 0\} \) with \( \sum G = c \)?
3. Given \( d \in \mathbb{Z}_n^k \), is there \( H \subseteq \{0,1\}_3^n \) with \( \sum H = d \)?

Problem (1) is the so-called 3-partition problem which is known to be NP-complete [4].

First we reduce (1) to (2). Given \( a, b \) with \( 31a = nb \), let \( w := 3a - b1 \) and \( c := 1 \). Then \( wc = 0 \). Now, for any \( x \in \mathbb{Z}_3^n \) we have \( wx = 3ax - b1x = 3(ax - b) \) so \( x \) satisfies \( ax = b \) if and only if \( wx = 0 \). So the answer to (1) is yes if and only if the answer to (2) is yes.

Second we reduce (2) to (3). Given \( w, c \) with \( wc = 0 \), define \( d := c + \sum S_+ \), where \( S_{\sigma} := \{x \in \{0,1\}_3^n : \text{sign}(wx) = \sigma\} \) for \( \sigma = -, 0, + \). Suppose there is a \( G \subseteq S_0 \) with \( \sum G = c \). Then \( H := G \cup S_+ \) satisfies \( \sum H = d \). Suppose there is an \( H \subseteq \{0,1\}_3^n \) with \( \sum H = d \). Then

\[
\sum S_+ = w(c + \sum S_+) = w \sum H = w \sum (H \cap S_-) + w \sum (H \cap S_0) + w \sum (H \cap S_+)\]

which implies \( H \cap S_- = \emptyset \) and \( H \cap S_+ = S_+ \). Therefore \( G := H \cap S_0 \) satisfies \( \sum G = \sum H - \sum S_+ = c \). So the answer to (2) is yes if and only if the answer to (3) is yes.
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