

Path integral action of a particle with the generalized uncertainty principle and correspondence with noncommutativity

Sunandan Gangopadhyay^{a,*}, Sukanta Bhattacharyya^{b,†}

^a Department of Theoretical Sciences

S.N. Bose National Centre for Basic Sciences

JD Block, Sector III, Salt Lake, Kolkata 700106, India

^b Department of Physics, West Bengal State University, Barasat, Kolkata 700126, India

Abstract

The existence of an observer independent minimum length scale can lead to the modification of the Heisenberg uncertainty principle to the generalized uncertainty principle. This in turn would be responsible for the modification of the Hamiltonian describing a non-relativistic particle moving in the presence of an arbitrary potential. In this work we carry out a path integral formulation to compute the transition amplitude for this particle. The formalism yields the action of such a particle in an arbitrary potential. Interestingly, the action indicates that there is an upper bound to the velocity that a particle can have which depends on the generalized uncertainty principle parameter. We then compute explicitly the propagator of a free particle and particle moving in a harmonic oscillator potential using the path integral representation of the transition amplitude. We observe that there exists a curious connection between the transition amplitude of the free particle in the generalized uncertainty principle framework with the corresponding result in noncommutative space found from the path integral formulation in [13]. From the harmonic oscillator result for the transition amplitude, we calculate the ground state energy of the harmonic oscillator. The result shows that the ground state energy of the harmonic oscillator in the framework of the Heisenberg uncertainty principle gets augmented by the presence of the generalized uncertainty principle and also depends on the mass of the particle. We also demonstrate that the result agrees with that obtained using the operatorial approach.

The existence of an observer independent minimum length scale has been strongly proposed by various quantum gravity theories like loop quantum gravity [1, 2], string theory [3], noncommutative geometry [4] to name a few. One of the consequences of this idea leads to a modification of the Heisenberg uncertainty principle (HUP) or equivalently, to a modification of the commutation relation between position and its conjugate momentum. This is well known in the literature as the generalized uncertainty principle (GUP). The possibility of the GUP has led to the investigation of various aspects in theoretical physics like black hole thermodynamics [5]-[8], quantum gravity corrections in various quantum systems such as particle in a box, Landau levels, simple harmonic oscillator [9]-[11]. However, despite these studies not much attention have been paid to the path integral formulation for a nonrelativistic particle moving in an arbitrary potential in the GUP framework. The literature contains an investigation of the free particle kernel in the presence of the GUP [12] but the analysis lacks in giving the transition amplitude in a path integral representation. Such a formulation would be necessary if not absolutely essential, to gain insight in our current understanding of quantum gravity phenomenology. For example, we can compare the action in this path integral formulation with that derived in a two dimensional noncommutative space [13]. The comparison should give us some insight about the nature of these two seemingly different quantum gravity phenomenological theories. Further, just as in the noncommutative case, a closer analysis shows that the limit of infinite time slices is quite subtle in the present case also and requires some attention.

The theme of this paper is to derive the path integral representation and the classical action for a particle moving in an arbitrary potential in the presence of the GUP.

*sunandan.gangopadhyay@bose.res.in, sunandan.gangopadhyay@gmail.com

†sukanta706@gmail.com

To do this, we first write down the modified Poisson brackets between the position \hat{q}_i and its conjugate momentum \hat{p}_i incorporating the GUP. We use the simplest form of the GUP proposed in the literature [14]

$$\Delta q_i \Delta p_i \geq \frac{\hbar}{2} [1 + \beta(\Delta p^2 + \langle p \rangle^2) + 2\beta(\Delta p_i^2 + \langle p_i \rangle^2)] \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \quad (1)$$

where the dimension of the GUP parameter β is $(\text{momentum})^{-2}$.

The saturated form of the above inequality is equivalent to the following modified Heisenberg algebra [14]

$$[\hat{q}_i, \hat{p}_j] = i\hbar(\delta_{ij} + \beta\delta_{ij}\hat{p}^2 + 2\beta\hat{p}_i\hat{p}_j). \quad (2)$$

It can be shown that the above commutation relation is readily obtained to first order in β by defining the position and momentum operators as

$$\hat{q}_i = \hat{q}_{0i} \quad , \quad \hat{p}_i = \hat{p}_{0i}(1 + \beta\hat{p}_0^2) \quad (3)$$

where $\hat{p}_0^2 = \sum_{j=1}^3 \hat{p}_{0j}\hat{p}_{0j}$ and $\hat{q}_{0i}, \hat{p}_{0j}$ satisfy the usual canonical commutation relations $[\hat{q}_{0i}, \hat{p}_{0j}] = i\hbar\delta_{ij}$. With this background in place, the next step is to construct the Hamiltonian of a particle moving in an arbitrary potential $V(q)$ in the presence of the GUP. In the subsequent discussion we shall work in one spatial dimension. Using eq.(3) and taking the Hamiltonian to be of the form

$$\hat{H} = \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} + V(\hat{q}) \quad (4)$$

we find that it can be recast in the following form

$$\hat{H} = \frac{\hat{p}_0^2}{2m} + \frac{\beta}{m}\hat{p}_0^4 + V(\hat{q}) + \mathcal{O}(\beta^2). \quad (5)$$

We now proceed to construct the path integral representation of a particle with the GUP corrected Hamiltonian. In the rest of our discussion, we shall drop the suffix on \hat{p} for notational convenience. The following completeness relation is crucial for the construction of the path integral representation

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dp |p\rangle\langle p| = \mathbf{1} . \quad (6)$$

The propagation kernel in general can be written as

$$\langle q_f, t_f | q_0, t_0 \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \prod_{j=1}^n dq_j \langle q_f, t_f | q_n, t_n \rangle \langle q_n, t_n | \dots | q_1, t_1 \rangle \langle q_1, t_1 | q_0, t_0 \rangle . \quad (7)$$

Now we need to compute the propagator over a small segment in the above path integral. Using the Hamiltonian given by eq.(5) and the completeness relation (6), we can write the infinitesimal propagator as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle q_{j+1}, t_{j+1} | q_j, t_j \rangle &= \langle q_{j+1} | e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{H} \tau} | q_j \rangle \\ &= \langle q_{j+1} | 1 - \frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{H} \tau + \Theta(\tau^2) | q_j \rangle \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dp_j}{2\pi\hbar} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} p_j (q_{j+1} - q_j)} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \tau (\frac{p_j^2}{2m} + \frac{\beta}{m} p_j^4 + V(q))} + \Theta(\tau^2) \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where p_j is the momentum following the ordinary Heisenberg uncertainty principle. Substituting the above expression in eq.(7), we obtain (apart from a constant factor)

$$\langle q_f, t_f | q_0, t_0 \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \prod_{j=1}^n dq_j \prod_{j=0}^n dp_j \exp \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \sum_{j=0}^n \left[p_j (q_{j+1} - q_j) - \tau \left(\frac{p_j^2}{2m} + \frac{\beta}{m} p_j^4 + V(q_j) \right) \right] \right). \quad (9)$$

In the $\tau \rightarrow 0$ limit, we finally have the phase-space form of the path integral

$$\langle q_f, t_f | q_0, t_0 \rangle = \int \mathcal{D}q \mathcal{D}p \exp \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \mathcal{A} \right) \quad (10)$$

where \mathcal{A} is the phase-space action given by

$$\mathcal{A} = \int_{t_0}^{t_f} dt \left[p\dot{q} - \left(\frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{\beta}{m} p^4 + V(q) \right) \right] , \quad t_f - t_0 = T . \quad (11)$$

Now we carry out the momentum integral in eq.(8). Evaluating this keeping terms upto $\mathcal{O}(\beta)$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}\langle q_{j+1}, t_{j+1} | q_j, t_j \rangle &= \sqrt{\frac{m}{2\pi i \hbar \tau}} \left[1 + \frac{3\beta i \hbar m}{\tau} - \frac{6\beta m^2 (q_{j+1} - q_j)^2}{\tau^2} - \frac{i\beta m^3 (q_{j+1} - q_j)^4}{\hbar \tau^3} \right] \\ &\quad \times \exp \left(\frac{im(q_{j+1} - q_j)^2}{2\hbar \tau} + \frac{i}{\hbar} \tau V(q_j) \right) \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{m}{2\pi i \hbar \tau}} \left[1 + \frac{3\beta i \hbar m}{\tau} - \frac{6\beta m^2 (q_{j+1} - q_j)^2}{\tau^2} \right] \\ &\quad \times \exp \left(\frac{im(q_{j+1} - q_j)^2}{2\hbar \tau} + \frac{i}{\hbar} \tau V(q_j) - \frac{i\beta m^3 (q_{j+1} - q_j)^4}{\hbar \tau^3} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\beta^2).\end{aligned}\quad (12)$$

Using the above result in eq.(9), we obtain (apart from a constant factor)

$$\langle q_f, t_f | q_0, t_0 \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \prod_{j=1}^n dq_j \exp \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \sum_{j=0}^n \tau \left[\frac{m}{2} \left(\frac{q_{j+1} - q_j}{\tau} \right)^2 \left\{ 1 - 2\beta m^2 \left(\frac{q_{j+1} - q_j}{\tau} \right)^2 \right\} - V(q_j) \right] \right) \quad (13)$$

In the $\tau \rightarrow 0$ limit, we finally get the configuration space path integral representation of the particle moving in a potential $V(q)$ to be

$$\langle q_f, t_f | q_0, t_0 \rangle = \tilde{N}(T, \beta) \int \mathcal{D}q \ e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S} \quad (14)$$

where the action of the particle moving in the presence of a potential $V(q)$ in the configuration space reads

$$S = \int_{t_0}^{t_f} dt \left[\frac{m}{2} \dot{q}^2 (1 - 2\beta m^2 \dot{q}^2) - V(q) \right]. \quad (15)$$

Note that the constant $\tilde{N}(T, \beta)$ in eq.(14) will be determined in the subsequent discussion.

We make a few observations now. First, the above action shows that the Lagrangian of the particle moving in a potential $V(q)$ is given by this

$$L = \left[\frac{m}{2} \dot{q}^2 (1 - 2\beta m^2 \dot{q}^2) - V(q) \right]. \quad (16)$$

This now leads to the Hamiltonian of the particle moving in a potential $V(q)$ (by a Legendre transformation) to be

$$H = \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{\beta}{m} p^4 + V(q) + \mathcal{O}(\beta^2). \quad (17)$$

This agrees with the form of the Hamiltonian operator (incorporating the effect of the GUP) with which we started our analysis.

With the general result in hand, we now study the simplest case which is that of the free particle ($V(q)=0$). To do this we first evaluate the classical action. This is easily done by writing down the classical equation of motion for the free particle. This reads

$$m(1 - 12\beta m^2 \dot{q}^2) \ddot{q} = 0 \implies \ddot{q} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad (1 - 12\beta m^2 \dot{q}^2) = 0. \quad (18)$$

Interestingly both possibilities yield $\ddot{q} = 0$. Further there is an upper bound on the velocity of the free particle due to the presence of the GUP which can be easily seen from the action (15). This is given by

$$\dot{q}_{max} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\beta m^2}} \implies \dot{p}_{max} = m\dot{q}_{max} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\beta}}. \quad (19)$$

Interestingly, this bound on the momentum is consistent with the bound on the uncertainty in momentum [12] and thereby indicates the validity of our path integral formulation.

We now solve $\ddot{q} = 0$ subject to the boundary conditions that at $t = t_0$, $q = q_0$; $t = t_f$, $q = q_f$ to get the classical trajectory of the free particle

$$q_c(t) = q_0 + \frac{q_f - q_0}{T} t \quad (20)$$

where $t_f - t_0 = T$. Therefore the classical action for the free particle in the presence of the GUP takes the form

$$S_c = \frac{m}{2T}(q_f - q_0)^2 \left[1 - 2\beta m^2 \left(\frac{q_f - q_0}{T} \right)^2 \right]. \quad (21)$$

Using the above expression for the classical action in eq.(14), we obtain

$$\langle q_f, t_f | q_0, t_0 \rangle = \tilde{N}(T, \beta) e^{\frac{im}{2\hbar T}(q_f - q_0)^2 \left[1 - 2\beta m^2 \left(\frac{q_f - q_0}{T} \right)^2 \right]}. \quad (22)$$

Our next step is to evaluate the constant $\tilde{N}(T, \beta)$ which contains the quantum fluctuations. To proceed we use the following identity

$$\langle q_f, t_f | p \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dq_0 \langle q_f, t_f | q_0, t_0 \rangle \langle q_0, t_0 | p \rangle. \quad (23)$$

Using eq.(22) in eq.(23), setting $t_0 = 0$ and $t_f = T$ and using the overlaps

$$\langle q_0, 0 | p \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar} p q_0\right); \quad \langle q_f, T | p \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} \exp\left\{ \frac{-iT}{\hbar} \left(\frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{\beta}{m} p^4 \right) \right\} \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar} p q_f\right) \quad (24)$$

we get

$$\tilde{N}(T, \beta) = \sqrt{\frac{m}{2\pi i \hbar T}} \left(1 + \frac{3i\beta\hbar m}{T} - \frac{6\beta m^2 (q_f - q_0)^2}{T^2} \right). \quad (25)$$

Eq.(22) along with eq.(25) gives the propagation kernel for the free particle in the presence of the GUP

$$\langle q_f, t_f | q_0, t_0 \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{m}{2\pi i \hbar T}} \left(1 + \frac{3i\beta\hbar m}{T} - \frac{6\beta m^2 (q_f - q_0)^2}{T^2} \right) e^{\frac{im}{2\hbar T}(q_f - q_0)^2 \left[1 - 2\beta m^2 \left(\frac{q_f - q_0}{T} \right)^2 \right]}. \quad (26)$$

We now compare the above form of the transition amplitude with that of a particle moving in an arbitrary potential in two dimensional noncommutative plane. This reads [13]

$$\langle z_f, t_f | z_0, t_0 \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{m}{2\pi(i\hbar T + m\theta)}} \exp \left[-\frac{m}{2(i\hbar T + m\theta)} (\vec{x}_f - \vec{x}_0)^2 \right] \quad (27)$$

where $z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\theta}}(x + iy)$ is a dimensionless complex number and θ is the noncommutative parameter arising from $[\hat{x}, \hat{y}] = i\theta$, θ being a real positive number. The above result can be recast in the form

$$\langle z_f, t_f | z_0, t_0 \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{m}{2\pi i \hbar T}} \left[1 + \frac{im\theta}{2\hbar T} - \frac{m^2\theta}{2\hbar^2} \left(\frac{\vec{x}_f - \vec{x}_0}{T} \right)^2 \right] \exp \left[-\frac{m}{2(i\hbar T + m\theta)} (\vec{x}_f - \vec{x}_0)^2 \right]. \quad (28)$$

We now observe that there is a striking similarity between the forms of the factors in front of the exponential terms in eq.(26) and eq.(28). The difference between these two results is in the form of the exponents which in the GUP case involves a term $\left(\frac{q_f - q_0}{T}\right)^4$ in contrast to the noncommutative case which does not involve such a term. The reason for this difference lies in the fact that the action for the GUP case (15) involves a \dot{q}^4 term whereas the action for the particle in the noncommutative plane has the form [13]

$$S = \int_{t_0}^{t_f} dt \left[\frac{\theta}{2} \dot{z}(t) \left(\frac{1}{2m} - \frac{i\theta}{2\hbar} \partial_t \right)^{-1} \dot{z}(t) - V(\bar{z}(t), z(t)) \right]. \quad (29)$$

The above action is non-local in time which in the free particle case yields eq.(27).

Now we extend our analysis upto $\mathcal{O}(\beta^2)$ in the GUP parameter β . The Hamiltonian of the free particle upto $\mathcal{O}(\beta^2)$ reads

$$\hat{H} = \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} + \frac{\beta \hat{p}^2}{m} + \frac{\beta^2 \hat{p}^6}{2m}. \quad (30)$$

Following the same procedure as earlier, we get the action for the free particle upto $\mathcal{O}(\beta^2)$ to be

$$S = \int_{t_0}^{t_f} dt \left[\frac{m}{2} \dot{q}^2 \left(1 - 2\beta m^2 \dot{q}^2 - 15\beta^2 m^4 \dot{q}^4 \right) \right]. \quad (31)$$

From the action we observe that

$$(1 - 2\beta m^2 \dot{q}^2 - 15\beta^2 m^4 \dot{q}^4) \geq 0. \quad (32)$$

We now proceed to the case of a particle moving in the presence of a harmonic oscillator potential. In this case the action of the particle reads

$$S = \int_0^T dt \left[\frac{m}{2} \dot{q}^2 (1 - 2\beta m^2 \dot{q}^2) - \frac{1}{2} m \omega^2 q^2 \right] \quad (33)$$

where we have set $t_0 = 0$ and $t_f = T$. The classical equation of motion following from the above action reads

$$\ddot{q}(t) - 12\beta m^2 \dot{q}^2(t) \ddot{q}(t) + \omega^2 q(t) = 0. \quad (34)$$

Solving the equation of motion, the classical trajectory of the harmonic oscillator in the presence of the GUP upto first order in the GUP parameter β takes the form

$$q_{cl}(t) = A \cos(\omega t) + B \sin(\omega t) + \beta \left[F \cos(\omega t) + H \sin(\omega t) - 12m^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{8} t \omega^3 A (A^2 + B^2) \sin(\omega t) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \frac{1}{32} \omega^2 A (A^2 - 3B^2) \cos(3\omega t) - \frac{1}{8} t \omega^3 B (A^2 + B^2) \cos(\omega t) + \frac{1}{32} \omega^2 B (3A^2 - B^2) \sin(3\omega t) \right\} \right] \quad (35)$$

where A, B, F and H are constants. Using the initial conditions that at $t = 0$, $q = q_0$; $t = T$, $q = q_f$, the constants get determined and are given by

$$\begin{aligned} A &= q_0 \\ B &= [q_f - q_0 \cos(\omega T)] \csc(\omega T) \\ F &= \frac{3}{8} m^2 \omega^2 (A^3 - 3AB^2) \\ H &= 12m^2 \omega^2 \csc(\omega T) \left[\frac{1}{8} \omega T (A^3 + AB^2) \sin(\omega T) + \frac{1}{32} (A^3 - 3AB^2) \cos(3\omega T) + \frac{1}{32} (3A^2 B - B^3) \sin(3\omega T) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{8} \omega T (A^2 B + B^3) \cos(\omega T) \right] - \frac{3}{8} m^2 \omega^2 (A^3 - 3AB^2) \cot(\omega T). \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Using eqs.(35, 36) in eq.(33), we obtain the classical action for the GUP corrected harmonic oscillator

$$S_c = S_c(\beta = 0) + S_c(\beta) \quad (37)$$

where $S_c(\beta)$ are the terms involving first order in the GUP parameter β . The forms of $S_c(\beta = 0)$ and $S_c(\beta)$ read

$$S_c(\beta = 0) = \frac{1}{2} m \omega \csc(\omega T) [(q_0^2 + q_f^2) \cos(\omega T) - 2q_0 q_f] \quad (38)$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_c(\beta) &= -\frac{1}{128} \beta m^3 \omega \csc^5(\omega T) \left[(w^2 + 3) (q_0^4 + q_f^4) \cos(5\omega T) - 4q_0 q_f (q_0^2 + q_f^2) \{w^2 (60T^2(w^2 + 1) - 11) - 33\} \right. \\ &\quad - 16q_0 q_f (q_0^2 + q_f^2) \{w^2 (9T^2(w^2 + 1) + 2) + 6\} \cos(2\omega T) - 24q_0 q_f (5w^2 + 1) (q_0^2 + q_f^2) w T \sin(2\omega T) \\ &\quad - 12q_0 q_f (w^2 + 1) (q_0^2 + q_f^2) w T \sin(4\omega T) - 12q_0 q_f (w^2 + 3) (q_0^2 + q_f^2) \cos(4\omega T) \\ &\quad + 4 \{2(q_0^4 + q_f^4) (w^2 (12T^2(w^2 + 1) - 1) - 3) + 3q_0^2 q_f^2 (w^2 (44T^2(w^2 + 1) - 5) - 15)\} \cos(\omega T) \\ &\quad + \{7(q_0^4 + q_f^4)(w^2 + 3) + 12q_0^2 q_f^2 (w^2 (4T^2(w^2 + 1) + 5) + 15)\} \cos(3\omega T) \\ &\quad + 24 \{-2(q_0^4 + q_f^4) + 3q_0^2 q_f^2 (3w^2 + 1)\} w T \sin(\omega T) + 24 \{(q_0^4 + q_f^4)(w^2 + 1) \\ &\quad \left. + q_0^2 q_f^2 (3w^2 + 1)\} w T \sin(3\omega T) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

It is reassuring to note that we recover the free particle classical action (21) in the limit $\omega \rightarrow 0$. The propagator for the harmonic oscillator therefore takes the form

$$\langle q_f, t_f | q_0, t_0 \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{2\pi i \hbar \sin(\omega T)}} \left[1 + \frac{3\beta i \hbar m}{T} - 6\beta m^2 \left(\frac{q_f - q_0}{T} \right)^2 - \frac{3}{4} \beta m \hbar \omega^2 T \cot(\omega T) \right] e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S_c}. \quad (40)$$

From this we can evaluate the ground state energy of the harmonic oscillator in the presence of the GUP. This reads

$$E_0 = \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega \left(1 + \frac{3}{2}\beta m\hbar\omega\right). \quad (41)$$

The result shows that the ground state energy of the harmonic oscillator in the framework of the Heisenberg uncertainty principle gets modified by the presence of the GUP and also depends on the mass of the particle. The result could in principle be of great importance since it captures the effects of quantum gravity in the zero point energy of the harmonic oscillator which in turn could have profound implications in quantum field theory and its predictions, for example the Casimir effect.

To cross-check the correctness of our result, we shall now calculate the ground state energy of the harmonic oscillator in the presence of the GUP in the operatorial approach. To do this we first break the Hamiltonian (5) as

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_0 + \hat{H}_1 \quad (42)$$

where \hat{H}_0 and \hat{H}_1 are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}_0 &= \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}mw^2\hat{q}^2 \\ \hat{H}_1 &= \frac{\beta}{m}\hat{p}^4. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

We now calculate the effect of the GUP by using perturbation theory. To do this we first recast \hat{H}_1 as

$$\hat{H}_1 = 4\beta m \left[\hat{H}_0^2 + \frac{m^2w^4}{4}\hat{q}^4 + \frac{i\hbar w^2}{2}(2\hat{q}\hat{p} - i\hbar) - mw^2\hat{q}^2\hat{H}_0 \right]. \quad (44)$$

With this form of the perturbed Hamiltonian, we now proceed to calculate the first order correction to the ground state energy of the harmonic oscillator. This can be done by following the standard quantum mechanical prescription and gives

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\psi_0|\hat{H}_1|\psi_0\rangle &= 4\beta m \left[\langle\psi_0|\hat{H}_0^2|\psi_0\rangle + \frac{m^2w^4}{4}\langle\psi_0|\hat{q}^4|\psi_0\rangle + \frac{i\hbar w^2}{2}(\langle\psi_0|2\hat{q}\hat{p}|\psi_0\rangle - i\hbar\langle\psi_0|\psi_0\rangle) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - mw^2\langle\psi_0|\hat{q}^2\hat{H}_0|\psi_0\rangle \right] \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

where $|\psi_0\rangle$ is the ground state of the unperturbed harmonic oscillator.

Calculating the above matrix elements, we obtain the first order correction to the ground state energy of the harmonic oscillator to be

$$\langle\psi_0|\hat{H}_1|\psi_0\rangle = \frac{3}{4}\beta mw^2\hbar^2 \quad (46)$$

which agrees with the result obtained from the path integral approach as can be seen from eq.(41).

We now summarize our findings. In this paper we have formulated the path integral representation of the transition amplitude of a particle moving in an arbitrary potential in the framework of the generalized uncertainty principle. From the path integral, we have obtained the action of the particle. This is the central result of this work. From the form of the action we observe that there is an upper bound to the velocity of the particle which depends on the generalized uncertainty principle parameter. We note that this upper bound on the velocity is consistent with the upper bound in the momentum uncertainty observed earlier in the literature. We then extend our analysis to second order in the generalized uncertainty principle parameter for the free particle case. We then calculate the propagator of a free particle and particle moving in a harmonic oscillator potential using the path integral representation of the transition amplitude. We observe that there is a surprising connection between the transition amplitude of the free particle in the generalized uncertainty principle framework with the corresponding result in noncommutative space found from the path integral formulation in [13]. From the harmonic oscillator result for the transition amplitude, we then calculate the ground state energy of the harmonic oscillator. The result shows that in the framework of the generalized uncertainty principle the ground state energy of the harmonic oscillator gets augmented by an extra amount which depends on the generalized uncertainty principle parameter. We speculate that the result can be of great significance since it captures the effects of quantum gravity in the zero point energy of the harmonic oscillator which could be responsible for having profound implications in quantum field theory and its predictions. We finally demonstrate that the result agrees with that obtained using the operatorial approach.

Acknowledement

S.G. acknowledges the support by DST SERB under Start Up Research Grant (Young Scientist), File No.YSS/2014/000180. SG also acknowledges IUCAA, Pune for the Visiting Associateship. SB would like to thank the Govt. of West Bengal for financial support.

References

- [1] Rovelli, Living Rev. Relativ. 1 (1998) 1.
- [2] S. Carlip, Rep. Prog. Phys. 64 (2001) 885.
- [3] D. Amati, M. Ciafaloni, G. Veneziano, Phys. Lett. B 216 (1989) 41.
- [4] F. Girelli, E. R. Livine, D. Oriti, Nucl. Phys. B 708 (2005) 411.
- [5] R.J. Adler, P. Chen, D.I. Santiago, Gen. Rel. Grav. 33 (2001) 2101; [gr-qc/0106080].
- [6] R. Banerjee, S. Ghosh, Phys. Lett. B 688 (2010) 224.
- [7] S. Gangopadhyay, A. Dutta, A. Saha, Gen. Rel. Grav. 46 (2014) 1661.
- [8] S. Gangopadhyay, A. Dutta, Gen. Rel. Grav. 46 (2014) 1747.
- [9] S. Das, E.C. Vagenas, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101 (2008) 221301; arXiv:0810.5333 [hep-th].
- [10] S. Das, E.C. Vagenas, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104 (2010) 119002; arXiv:1003.3208 [hep-th].
- [11] S. Das, E.C. Vagenas, Can. J. Phys. 87 (2009) 233; arXiv:0901.1768 [hep-th].
- [12] S. Das, S. Pramanik, Phys. Rev. D. 86 (2012) 085004.
- [13] S. Gangopadhyay, F.G. Scholtz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102 (2009) 241602.
- [14] A. Kempf, G. Mangano, R. B. Mann, Phys. Rev. D 52 (1995) 1108.