AVerAGE SAMPLING AND AVerAGE SPLINES ON COMBINATORIAL GRAPHS
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Abstract. In the setting of a weighted combinatorial finite or infinite countable graph $G$ we introduce functional Paley-Wiener spaces $PW_\omega(L)$, $\omega > 0$, defined in terms of the spectral resolution of the combinatorial Laplace operator $L$ in the space $L_2(G)$. It is shown that functions in certain $PW_\omega(L)$, $\omega > 0$, are uniquely defined by their averages over some families of “small” subgraphs which form a cover of $G$. Reconstruction methods for reconstruction of an $f \in PW_\omega(L)$ from appropriate set of its averages are introduced. One method is using language of Hilbert frames. Another one is using average variational interpolating splines which are constructed in the setting of combinatorial graphs.

1. Introduction and main results

During the last decade signal processing on graphs was developed in a number of papers, for example, in [2], [6], [12]-[20]. Many of the papers on this list considered what can be called as a ”point-wise sampling”. The goal of the present article is to develop sampling on graphs which is based on averages over relatively small subgraphs. The idea to use certain local information (other than values at sampling point) for reconstruction of bandlimited functions on graphs was already explored in [19]. However, the results and methods of [19] and of our paper are very different. We also want to mention that methods of the present paper are similar to methods of our paper [11] in which sampling by average values was developed on Riemannian manifolds.

Let $G$ denote an undirected weighted graph, with a finite or countable number of vertices $V(G)$ and weight function $w : V(G) \times V(G) \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$. $w$ is symmetric, i.e., $w(u, v) = w(v, u)$, and $w(u, u) = 0$ for all $u, v \in V(G)$. The edges of the graph are the pairs $(u, v)$ with $w(u, v) \neq 0$.

Our assumption is that for every $v \in V(G)$ the following finiteness condition holds

\begin{equation}
w(v) = \sum_{u \in V(G)} w(u, v) < \infty.
\end{equation}

Let $\ell^2(G)$ denote the space of all complex-valued functions with the inner product

\[ \langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{v \in V(G)} f(v) \overline{g(v)} \]

and the norm

\[ \|f\|_2 = \left( \sum_{v \in V(G)} |f(v)|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \]
Definition 1. The weighted gradient norm of a function $f$ on $V(G)$ is defined by

$$\|\nabla f\|_2 = \left( \sum_{u,v \in V(G)} \frac{1}{2} |f(u) - f(v)|^2 w(u,v) \right)^{1/2}.$$ 

The set of all $f : G \to \mathbb{C}$ for which the weighted gradient norm is finite will be denoted as $D^2(\nabla)$.

Remark 1.1. The factor $\frac{1}{2}$ makes up for the fact that every edge (i.e., every unordered pair $(u,v)$) enters twice in the summation. Note also that loops, i.e. edges of the type $(u,u)$, in fact do not contribute.

We intend to prove Poincaré-type estimates involving weighted gradient norm.

2. The gradient, the Laplace operator and the Paley-Wiener spaces.

In the case of a finite graph and $\ell^2(G)$-space the weighted Laplace operator $L : \ell^2(G) \to \ell^2(G)$ is introduced via

$$\langle Lf, v \rangle = \sum_{u \in V(G)} (f(v) - f(u))w(u,v).$$

This graph Laplacian is a well-studied object; it is known to be a positive-semidefinite self-adjoint bounded operator.

According to Theorem 8.1 and Corollary 8.2 in [4] if for an infinite graph there exists a $C > 0$ such that the degrees are uniformly bounded

$$w(u) = \sum_{u \in V(G)} w(u,v) \leq C$$

then operator which is defined by (2.1) on functions with compact supports has a unique positive-semidefinite self-adjoint bounded extension $L$ which is acting according to (2.1) (see also [5]).

What is really important for us is that in both of these cases for the non-negative square root $L^{1/2}$ one has the equality

$$\|L^{1/2}f\| = \|\nabla f\|$$

for all $f \in D^2(\nabla)$. This fact is not difficult to show directly (see [7], [2]).

Lemma 2.1. For all $f \in \ell^2(G)$ contained in the domain of $L$, we have

$$\|L^{1/2}f\|^2 = \|\nabla f\|^2.$$ 

For $f \in PW_\omega(L)$, this implies

$$\|\nabla f\| = \|L^{1/2}f\|_2 \leq \sqrt{\omega} \|f\|.$$ 

Proof. We obtain

$$\langle f, Lf \rangle = \sum_{u \in V(G)} f(u) \left( \sum_{v \in V(G)} (f(u) - f(v))w(u,v) \right) = \sum_{u \in V(G)} \left( |f(u)|^2 w(u) - \sum_{v \in V(G)} f(u)f(v)w(u,v) \right).$$
In the same way
\[ \langle f, Lf \rangle = \langle Lf, f \rangle \]
\[ = \sum_{u \in V(G)} \left( |f(u)|^2 w(u) - \sum_{v \in V(G)} f(u)f(v)w(u,v) \right). \]
Averaging these equations yields
\[ \langle f, Lf \rangle = \sum_{u \in V(G)} \left( |f(u)|^2 d(u) - \text{Re} \sum_{v \in V(G)} f(u)f(v)w(u,v) \right) \]
\[ = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{u,v \in V(G)} |f(u)|^2 w(u,v) + |f(v)|^2 w(u,v) - 2\text{Re}f(u)f(v)w(u,v) \]
\[ = \sum_{u,v \in V(G)} \frac{1}{2} |f(v) - f(u)|^2 w(u,v) = \| \nabla f \|^2_2. \]
Now the first equality follows by taking the square root of \( L \) (note that by spectral theory, \( f \) is also in the domain of \( L^{1/2} \)), and (2.5) is an obvious consequence. □

We use the spectral theorem for this operator \( L \) to introduce the associated Paley-Wiener spaces [9], [10].

**Definition 2.** \( PW_\omega(L) \subset \ell^2(G) \) denote the image space of the projection operator \( 1_{[0, \omega]}(L) \) (to be understood in the sense of Borel functional calculus).

By using the Spectral theorem one can show [9] that a function \( f \) belongs to the space \( PW_\omega(L) \) if and only if for every positive \( t > 0 \) the following inequality holds
\[ (2.6) \quad \| L^t f \| \leq \omega^t \| f \|, \quad t > 0. \]

### 3. Sampling and Hilbert frames

A set of vectors \( \{ \theta_v \} \) in a Hilbert space \( H \) is called a Hilbert frame if there exist constants \( A, B > 0 \) such that for all \( f \in H \)
\[ (3.1) \quad A\| f \|^2 \leq \sum_{v} |\langle f, \theta_v \rangle|^2 \leq B\| f \|^2. \]
The largest \( A \) and smallest \( B \) are called respectively the lower and the upper frame bounds and the ratio \( B/A \) is known as the tightness of the frame. If \( A = B \) then \( \{ \theta_v \} \) is a **tight** frame, and if \( A = B = 1 \) it is called a **Parseval** frame. Parseval frames are similar in many respects to orthonormal bases. For example, if all members of a Parseval frame are unit vectors then it is an orthonormal basis.

According to the general theory of Hilbert frames [11], [13] the frame inequality (3.1) implies that there exists a dual frame \( \{ \Theta_v \} \) (which is not unique in general) for which the following reconstruction formula holds
\[ (3.2) \quad f = \sum_{v} \langle f, \theta_v \rangle \Theta_v. \]
4. A Poincare-type inequality for finite graphs

It is well known that for every finite connected graph has \( \lambda_0 = 0 \) as a simple eigenvalue of the Laplace operator \( L \) and the corresponding eigenfunction is a constant on the entire graph. Given a connected and finite graph \( G \) and a function \( f \in \ell^2(G) \) we consider its average

\[
\bar{f}_G = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{v \in V(G)} f(v).
\]

The notation \( a \mathbf{1} \) is used for a constant function \( f(v) = a \) for all \( v \in G \).

**Theorem 4.1.** For every connected and finite graph \( G \) (which contains more than one vertex) the following Poincare inequality holds

\[
\sum_{u \in V(G)} |f(u) - \bar{f}_G| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \|\nabla f\| = \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \|L^{1/2}f\|^2, \quad f \in \ell^2(G),
\]

where \( \lambda_1 \) is the first non-zero eigenvalue of \( L \).

**Proof.** Note, that the average of the function \( f - \bar{f}_G \mathbf{1} \) is zero:

\[
\sum_{u \in V(G)} \left(f(u) - \left(\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{v \in V(G)} f(v)\right) \mathbf{1}\right) = \sum_{u \in V(G)} f(u) - \sum_{v \in V(G)} f(v) = 0.
\]

If \( \lambda_1 \) is the first nonzero eigenvalue of \( L \) then \( \sqrt{\lambda_1} \) is the first nonzero eigenvalue of the nonnegative square root \( L^{1/2} \). Since function \( f - \bar{f}_G \mathbf{1} \) is orthogonal to constants it implies

\[
\|f - \bar{f}_G \mathbf{1}\| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} \|L^{1/2}(f - \bar{f}_G \mathbf{1})\| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} \|L^{1/2}f\|.
\]

But according to Lemma 2.1 it gives

\[
\|f - \bar{f}_G \mathbf{1}\| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} \|\nabla f\|.
\]

Theorem is proven. \( \square \)

5. Another version of the Poincare-type inequality for finite and infinite graphs

Let \( G \) be a finite or infinite and countable connected graph and \( \Omega \subset V(G) \) is a finite and connected subset of vertices (with more than one vertex) which we will treat as an induced graph and will denote by the same letter \( \Omega \). We remind that this means that the set of vertices of such graph, which will be denoted as \( V(\Omega) \), is exactly the set of vertices in \( \Omega \) and the set of edges are all edges in \( G \) whose both ends belong to \( \Omega \). Let \( \Delta_\Omega \) be the Laplace operator constructed according to (2.1) for such induced graph \( \Omega \). The first nonzero eigenvalue of the operator operator \( \Delta_\Omega \) will be denoted as \( \lambda_{1,\Omega} \). Let \( w_\Omega(u, v) \), \( u, v \in V(\Omega) \), and

\[
(5.1) \quad w_\Omega(v) = \sum_{u \in V(\Omega)} w_\Omega(u, v), \quad v \in V(\Omega),
\]

be the corresponding weight functions. We notice that for every \( \Omega \) and every \( u, v \in V(\Omega) \) one has \( w(u, v) = w_\Omega(u, v) \). However, in general \( w(u) \geq w_\Omega(u) \).
Now, suppose that every $\Omega_j$, $j \in J$, is a finite and connected subset of vertices with more than one vertex.

Our assumption is that $\mathcal{S} = \{\Omega_j\}_{j \in J}$ and a set (possibly empty) of vertices $\{s_i\}_{i \in I}$ form a disjoint cover of $V(G)$:

\begin{equation}
(5.2) \quad \left( \bigcup_j \Omega_j \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_i \{s_i\} \right) = V(G).
\end{equation}

We define functions $\xi_j$ by the formula

\begin{equation}
(5.3) \quad \xi_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\Omega_j|}} \chi_j,
\end{equation}

where $\chi_j$ is the characteristic function of $\Omega_j$, and $|\Omega_j|$ is the number of vertices in $\Omega_j$. We will be interested in functionals on $\ell^2(G)$ defined by these functions

$$
(f, \xi_j) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\Omega_j|}} \sum_{v \in V(\Omega_j)} f(v), \quad f \in \ell^2(G).
$$

We will also need functions

$$
(5.4) \quad \zeta_j = \frac{1}{|\Omega_j|} \chi_j,
$$

and corresponding functionals

$$
(f, \zeta_j) = \frac{1}{|\Omega_j|} \sum_{v \in V(\Omega_j)} f(v), \quad f \in \ell^2(G).
$$

Below the notation $f_j = f \chi_j$ will be used.

**Theorem 5.1.** Let $G$ be a connected finite or infinite and countable graph. Suppose that the assumption (5.2) holds true. Let $\Delta_j$ be the Laplace operator of the induced graph $\Omega_j$ whose first nonzero eigenvalue is $\lambda_{1,j}$. We assume that there exists a non zero lower boundary of all $\lambda_{1,j}$:

$$
\Lambda = \Lambda_{\mathcal{S}} = \inf_j \lambda_{1,j} > 0.
$$

In these notations the following inequality holds for every $f \in \ell^2(G)$ and every $\alpha > 0$

\begin{equation}
(5.5) \quad \|f\|^2 \leq \frac{1 + \alpha}{\alpha} \frac{1}{\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}} \|L^{1/2}f\|^2 + (1 + \alpha) \sum_j |\langle f, \xi_j \rangle|^2 + \sum_i |f(s_i)|^2.
\end{equation}

**Proof.** One has

\begin{equation}
(5.6) \quad \|f\|^2 = \sum_{v \in V(G)} |f(v)|^2 = \sum_j \left( \sum_{v \in V(\Omega_j)} |f(v)|^2 \right) + \sum_i |f(s_i)|^2.
\end{equation}

For every $u \in V(\Omega_j)$ we apply the next inequality in which $f_{\Omega_j} = \langle f, \zeta_j \rangle$

\begin{equation}
(5.7) \quad |f(u)|^2 \leq \frac{1 + \alpha}{\alpha} |f(u) - f_{\Omega_j} \chi_j(u)|^2 + (1 + \alpha) |f_{\Omega_j} \chi_j(u)|^2,
\end{equation}

which holds for every positive $\alpha > 0$. This inequality follows from two obvious inequalities

\begin{equation}
|f(u)|^2 \leq |f(u) - f_{\Omega_j} \chi_j(u)|^2 + 2 |f_{\Omega_j} \chi_j(u)||f(u) - f_{\Omega_j} \chi_j(u)| + |f_{\Omega_j} \chi_j(u)|^2
\end{equation}

and
\[ 2|f_{\Omega_j} \chi_j(u) - f_{\Omega_j} \chi_j(u)| \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} |f(u) - f_{\Omega_j} \chi_j(u)|^2 + \alpha |f_{\Omega_j} \chi_j(u)|^2, \quad \alpha > 0. \]

According to Theorem 4.1
\[ (5.7) \quad \sum_{u \in V(\Omega_j)} |f(u) - f_{\Omega_j} \chi_j(u)|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_{1,j}} \| \nabla_j f_j \|^2, \]
where
\[ \| \nabla_j f_j \|^2 = \sum_{u,v \in V(\Omega_j)} \frac{1}{2} |f_j(u) - f_j(v)|^2 w_j(u,v). \]

Thus we obtain
\[ (5.8) \quad \frac{1 + \alpha}{\alpha} \frac{1}{\lambda_{1,j}} \| \nabla_j f \|^2 + (1 + \alpha) |\Omega_j| \| f_{\Omega_j} \|^2, \]
and then
\[ (5.9) \quad \frac{1 + \alpha}{\alpha} \frac{1}{\Lambda_\delta} \sum_j \| \nabla_j f \|^2 + (1 + \alpha) \sum_j |(f, \xi_j)|^2 + \sum_i |f(s_i)|^2, \quad \alpha > 0. \]

Since for all \( j \) one has that \( w(u,v) = w_j(u,v), \ u,v \in V(\Omega_j) \), and since sets \( \Omega_j \) are disjoint, it is obvious that the first term in the last line is not greater than
\[ \frac{1 + \alpha}{\alpha} \frac{1}{\Lambda_\delta} \| \nabla f \|^2. \]

It gives
\[ (5.10) \quad \| f \|^2 \leq \frac{1 + \alpha}{\alpha} \frac{1}{\Lambda_\delta} \| \nabla f \|^2 + (1 + \alpha) \sum_j |(f, \xi_j)|^2 + \sum_i |f(s_i)|^2, \quad \alpha > 0, \]
and by applying Theorem 2.1 we obtain \((5.4)\). Theorem is proved. \( \square \)

Let \( \xi_j \) be as in \((5.3)\) and \( \delta_i \) be the Dirac function concentrated at \( s_i \).

**Theorem 5.2.** If the assumptions of the previous Theorem hold then the set of functionals \( \{\xi_j\}_{j \in J} \cup \{\delta_i\}_{i \in I} \) is a frame in any space \( PW_\omega(L) \) as long as \( \Lambda_\delta > \frac{1 + \alpha}{\alpha} \omega \).

In other words, if
\[ (5.11) \quad \gamma = \frac{1 + \alpha}{\alpha} \frac{\omega}{\Lambda_\delta} < 1, \quad \alpha > 0, \]
then
\[ (5.12) \quad \frac{1 - \gamma}{1 + \alpha} \| f \|^2 \leq \left( \sum_j |(f, \xi_j)|^2 + \sum_i |(f, \delta_i)|^2 \right) \leq \| f \|^2. \]
Proof. Indeed, if $f \in PW_\omega(L)$ then by the Bernstein inequality the (5.4) can be rewritten as

$$\|f\|^2 \leq \frac{1 + \alpha}{\alpha} \omega \|f\|^2 + (1 + \alpha) \sum_j |\langle f, \xi_j \rangle|^2 + \sum_i |f(s_i)|^2.$$ 

If (5.11) holds then one has

$$0 < (1 - \gamma) \|f\|^2 \leq (1 + \alpha) \sum_j |\langle f, \xi_j \rangle|^2 + \sum_i |\langle f, \delta_i \rangle|^2.$$ 

On the other hand, since

$$\left| \sum_{v \in V(\Omega_j)} f(v) \right|^2 \leq |\Omega_j| \left( \sum_{v \in V(\Omega_j)} |f(v)|^2 \right),$$

one has

$$\sum_j |\langle f, \xi_j \rangle|^2 + \sum_i |\langle f, \delta_i \rangle|^2 = \sum_j \frac{1}{|\Omega_j|} \left| \sum_{v \in V(\Omega_j)} f(v) \right|^2 + \sum_i |\langle f, \delta_i \rangle|^2 \leq$$

$$\sum_j \left( \sum_{v \in V(\Omega_j)} |f(v)|^2 \right) + \sum_i |\langle f, \delta_i \rangle|^2 \leq \|f\|^2.$$

Theorem is proven. \qed

Note, that for the classical Paley-Wiener spaces on the real line the inequalities similar to (5.12) in the case when $\{\xi_j\}$ are delta functions were proved by Plancherel and Polya. Today they are better known as the frame inequalities.

Now we can formulate sampling theorem based on average values.

**Theorem 5.3.** Under the same conditions and notations as above every function $f \in PW_\omega(L)$ is uniquely determined by its averages $\langle f, \xi_j \rangle$ and values $\langle f, \delta_i \rangle$ and can be reconstructed from this set of values in a stable way.

5.1. **Reconstruction algorithms in terms of frames.** What we just proved in the previous section is that under the same assumptions as above the set of functionals $f \rightarrow \langle f, \xi_j \rangle$, $f \rightarrow \langle f, \delta_i \rangle$ is a frame in the subspace $PW_\omega(L)$. This fact allows to apply the well known result of Duffin and Schaeffer [1] which describes a stable method of reconstruction of a function $f \in PW_\omega(L)$ from a set of samples $\{(f, \xi_j)\}$.

**Theorem 5.4.** If all the conditions of Theorem [5.7] are satisfied then there exists a dual frame $\{\theta_k\}_{k \in J \cup I}$ in $PW_\omega(L)$ such that

$$f = \sum_j \langle f, \xi_j \rangle \theta_j + \sum_i \langle f, \delta_i \rangle \theta_i = \sum_j \langle f, \theta_j \rangle \mathcal{P} \xi_j + \sum_i \langle f, \theta_i \rangle \mathcal{P} \delta_i,$$

where $\mathcal{P}$ is the orthogonal projection of $\ell^2(G)$ onto $PW_\omega(L)$.

Another possibility for reconstruction is to use the so-called frame algorithm [3].

6. **Average Variational Splines and a reconstruction algorithm**

In this section we assume for simplicity that the set of points $S = \{s_i\}$ is empty.
6.1. Variational interpolating splines. As in the previous sections we assume that $G$ is a connected finite or infinite and countable graph and $\Xi = \{\Omega_j\}$ is a disjoint cover of $V(G)$ by connected and finite subgraphs $\Omega_j$.

For a given sequence $b = \{b_j\} \in l^2$ the set of all functions in $\ell^2(G)$ such that

$$\langle f, \xi_j \rangle = b_j$$

will be denoted by $K_b$. In particular, $K_0$ corresponds to the sequence of zeros. We consider the following optimization problem:

For a given sequence $b = \{b_j\} \in l^2$ find a function $f$ in the set $K_b \subset \ell^2(G)$ which minimizes the functional

$$u \rightarrow \|L^{k/2}u\|, \quad u \in K_b.$$

**Theorem 6.1.** Under the above assumptions the optimization problem has a unique solution for every $k$.

**Proof.** Using Theorem 5.1 one can justify the following algorithm (see [9], [10]):

1. Pick any function $f \in K_b$.
2. Construct $P_0f$ where $P_0$ is the orthogonal projection of $f$ onto $K_0$ with respect to the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle_k = \sum_j \langle f, \xi_j \rangle \langle g, \xi_j \rangle + \langle L^{k/2}f, L^{k/2}g \rangle.$$

3. The function $f - P_0f$ is the unique solution to the given optimization problem.

□

**Definition 3.** For $f \in \ell^2(G)$ the interpolating variational spline is denoted by $sp_k(f)$ and it is the solution of the minimization problem such that $sp_k(f) - f \in K_0$.

One can easily prove the following characterization of variational splines

**Theorem 6.2.** A function $u \in \ell^2(G)$ is a variational spline if and only if $L^ku$ is orthogonal to $L^kK_0$.

6.2. Reconstruction using splines. The following Lemma was proved in [9], [10].

**Lemma 6.3.** If $A$ is a self-adjoint non-negative operator in a Hilbert space $H$ and for an $\varphi \in H$ and a positive $a > 0$ the following inequality holds true

$$\|\varphi\| \leq a\|A\varphi\|,$$

then for the same $\varphi \in H$, and all $k = 2^l, l = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ the following inequality holds

$$\|\varphi\| \leq a^k\|A^k\varphi\|.$$

**Proof.** By the spectral theory there exist a direct integral of Hilbert spaces

$$X = \int_0^\infty X(\tau)d\mu(\tau)$$

and a unitary operator $F$ from $H$ onto $X$, which transforms domain of $A^t, t \geq 0$, onto $X_t = \{x \in X|\tau^tx \in X\}$ with norm

$$\|A^t\|_H = \left(\int_0^\infty \tau^{2t}\|F\varphi(\tau)\|^2_{X(\tau)}d\mu(\tau)\right)^{1/2}$$
and $F(Af) = \tau^l(Ff)$. According to our assumption we have for a particular $\varphi \in H$
\[
\int_0^\infty |F\varphi(\tau)|^2 dm(\tau) \leq a^2 \int_0^\infty \tau^2 |F\varphi(\tau)|^2 dm(\tau)
\]
and then for the interval $B = B(0, a^{-1})$ we have
\[
\int_B |F\varphi(\tau)|^2 dm(\tau) + \int_{[0,\infty)\setminus B} |F\varphi|^2 dm(\tau) \leq a^2 \left( \int_B \tau^2 |F\varphi|^2 dm(\tau) + \int_{[0,\infty)\setminus B} \tau^2 |F\varphi|^2 dm(\tau) \right).
\]
Since $a^2 \tau^2 < 1$ on $B(0, a^{-1})$
\[
0 \leq \int_B (|F\varphi|^2 - a^2 \tau^2 |F\varphi|^2) dm(\tau) \leq \int_{[0,\infty)\setminus B} (a^2 \tau^2 |F\varphi|^2 - |F\varphi|^2) dm(\tau).
\]
This inequality implies the inequality
\[
0 \leq \int_B (a^2 \tau^2 |F\varphi|^2 - a^4 \tau^4 |F\varphi|^2) dm(\tau) \leq \int_{[0,\infty)\setminus B} (a^4 \tau^4 |F\varphi|^2 - a^2 \tau^2 |F\varphi|^2) dm(\tau)
\]
or
\[
a^2 \int_0^\infty \tau^2 |F\varphi|^2 dm(\tau) \leq a^4 \int_0^\infty \tau^4 |F\varphi|^2 dm(\tau),
\]
which means
\[
\|\varphi\| \leq a\|A\varphi\| \leq a^2\|A^2\varphi\|.
\]
Now, by using induction one can finish the proof of the Lemma. The Lemma is proved. □

By applying this Lemma and using the same reasoning as in [9], [10] one can prove the following reconstruction theorem. Below we are keeping notations of Theorem 5.2.

**Theorem 6.4.** If the assumptions of Theorem 5.2 are satisfied and in particular
\[
\gamma = \frac{1 + \alpha \omega}{\alpha \Lambda S} < 1, \quad \alpha > 0,
\]
then any function $f$ in $PW_\omega(L)$, $\omega > 0$, can be reconstructed from a set of its averages $\{(f, \xi_l)\}$ using the formula
\[
f = \lim_{m \to \infty} sp_m(f), \quad m = 2^l, \quad l = 0, 1, \ldots,
\]
and the error estimate is
\[
\|f - sp_m(f)\| \leq 2\gamma^m\|f\|, \quad m = 2^l, \quad l = 0, 1, \ldots.
\]

**Proof.** Pick an $m = 2^l$, $l = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ and apply \ref{lem:6.3} to the function $f - sp_m(f)$. It gives for every $\alpha > 0$:
\[
\|f - sp_m(f)\| \leq \frac{1 + \alpha}{\alpha \Lambda S} \|L^{1/2}(f - sp_m(f))\|^2.
\]
By Lemma \ref{lem:6.3} it implies the following inequality
\[
\|f - sp_m(f)\| \leq \left(\frac{1 + \alpha}{\alpha \Lambda S}\right)^m \|L^{m/2}(f - sp_m(f))\|^2.
\]
Using minimization property of \( sp_m(f) \) and the Bernstein inequality \( \Box \) for \( f \in PW_\alpha(L) \) one obtains \( \square \) with
\[
\gamma = \frac{1 + \alpha}{\alpha} \frac{\omega}{\Lambda_S}.
\]
The assertion follows from the assumption that \( \gamma < 1 \).

7. Example: Lattice \( \mathbb{Z} \)

Let us consider a one-dimensional infinite lattice \( \mathbb{Z} = \{..., -1, 0, 1,...\} \) as an inweighed graph. The dual group of the commutative additive group \( \mathbb{Z} \) is the one-dimensional torus. The corresponding Fourier transform \( F \) on the space \( L^2(\mathbb{Z}) \) is defined by the formula
\[
F(f)(\xi) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f(k)e^{ik\xi}, f \in L^2(\mathbb{Z}), \xi \in [-\pi, \pi).
\]
It gives a unitary operator from \( L^2(\mathbb{Z}) \) on the space \( L^2(\mathbb{T}) = L^2(\mathbb{T}, d\xi/2\pi) \), where \( \mathbb{T} \) is the one-dimensional torus and \( d\xi/2\pi \) is the normalized measure. One can verify the following formula
\[
F(Lf)(\xi) = 4\sin^2 \frac{\xi}{2} F(f)(\xi).
\]
The next result is obvious.

**Theorem 7.1.** The spectrum of the Laplace operator \( L \) on the one-dimensional lattice \( \mathbb{Z} \) is the interval \([0, 4]\). A function \( f \) belongs to the space \( PW_\omega(\mathbb{Z}) \), \( 0 \leq \omega \leq 4 \), if and only if the support of \( Ff \) is a subset of \([−π, π)\) on which \( 4\sin^2 \frac{\xi}{2} \leq \omega \).

We consider the cover \( \mathcal{Z} = \{\Omega_j\} \) of \( \mathbb{Z} \) by disjoint sets \( \Omega_j = \{j, j + 1\} \) where \( j \) runs over all even integers divisible by 3: \( \{..., -2, 0, 2,...\} = 2\mathbb{Z} \). We treat every \( \Omega_j \) as an induced graph whose set of vertices is \( V(\Omega_j) = \{j, j + 1\}, j \in 2\mathbb{Z} \), and which has only one edge \((j, j + 1)\). Functional \( \xi_j \) takes form
\[
(7.1) \quad \langle f, \xi_j \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (f(j) + f(j + 1)), \quad j \in 2\mathbb{Z}, \quad f \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}).
\]
One can check that spectrum of the Laplace operator \( L_j \) on \( \Omega_j \) defined by \( \Box \) contains just two values \( \{0, 2\} \). Thus \( \Lambda_S = 2 \). For an \( 0 < \omega < 4 \) and \( \alpha > 0 \) condition \( \Box \) takes form
\[
(7.2) \quad \gamma = \frac{1 + \alpha}{\alpha} \omega < 1.
\]
Note, that since for a large \( \alpha \) the fraction \( (1 + \alpha)/\alpha \) is close to 1 the condition implies that one can have any \( 0 < \omega < 2 \). As an application of Theorem \( \Box \) we obtain the following result.

**Theorem 7.2.** For every \( 0 < \omega < 2 \) every function \( f \in PW_\omega(\mathbb{Z}) \) is uniquely determined by its average values \( \Box \) and can be reconstructed from them in a stable way.

In particular, if instead of infinite graph \( \mathbb{Z} \) one would consider a path graph \( \mathbb{Z}_N \) whose eigenvalues are given by formulas \( 2 - 2\cos \frac{k\pi}{2N} \), \( k = 0, 1,..., N - 1 \), the last Theorem would mean that any eigenfunction with eigenvalue from a lower half of the spectrum is uniquely determined and can be reconstructed from averages \( \Box \).
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