Investigate the effects of the existence of correlation between two consecutive use of the quantum channel on quantum speed limit time
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Memory effects play an important role in the theory of open quantum systems. There are two completely independent insights about memory for quantum channels. In quantum information theory, the memory of the quantum channel is depicted by the correlations between consecutive uses of the channel on a set of quantum systems. In the theory of open quantum systems memory effects result from correlations which are created during the quantum evolution. Here, we study the quantum speed limit time for correlated quantum channel i.e. when there exist correlation between consecutive uses of quantum channel . Quantum speed limit time is the bound on the minimal time evolution between initial and target states. It is apply for quantifying the maximum speed of quantum evolution. In this work, we will consider correlated pure dephasing colored noise as an example of unital quantum channels and correlated squeezed generalized amplitude damping channel as an example of non-unital quantum channels. We evaluate the quantum speed limit time for these two channel. We find that regardless of whether the channel is unital or not, the quantum speed limit time is increased by increasing correlation between two consecutive uses of the channel.

PACS numbers:

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum systems are in fact not separated from their surroundings, and it is almost impossible to provide closed quantum systems. The study of open quantum systems is one of the special topics in quantum information theory. The theory of open quantum systems offers the necessary tools for describing and analyzing the interactions of a favorite system with its environment [1]. In the theory of open quantum systems, various methods are presented to illustrate the environment and its effects on the evolution of the desired system [1, 2]. An interesting method for examining open quantum systems is through the coupling strength between the system and its surroundings. If the coupling strength between the system and the environment is weak and the system relaxation time is longer than environment correlation time then there exist the one-way flow of information from the system to the environment. Such a quantum evolution is called Markovian [3] and it can be described by the master equation in the Lindblad form [4, 6, 35]. In a more realistic situation, the coupling strength between the system and the environment is strong and the system relaxation time is shorter than environment correlation time. In this case there is a back-flow of information from environment to the system. This type of quantum evolution is called non-Markovian[7–13]. Memory effects in the dynamics of open quantum systems play a fundamental role in various physical phenomena such as quantum biology [14–16], quantum cryptography [17], quantum metrology [18] and quantum control [19]. If one look at the subject in terms of the dynamical memory effects one can divide the quantum evolution into two categories: Markovian processes as a memoryless evolution and Non-Markovian process as a quantum process with memory. Therefore, it can be said that the memory effects will be appeared due to the interaction between the system and environment in non-Markovian regime. It seems natural to assume that the back-flow of information from the environment to the system is related to the existence of memory. Because in this situation future states of the system can depend on its past states as a result of reverse flow of information.

This point of view about the memory effects and non-Markovianity as a typical part of the theory of open quantum systems is completely different from the concept of quantum channels with memory. In order to distinguish between these two points of view, the term "correlated quantum channel" is used to describe quantum channels with memory. The memory of the quantum channel is depicted by the correlations between consecutive uses of the channel on a set of quantum systems [20–22]. Specifically, with memory or memoryless channels display a position in which the consecutive uses of the channels are correlated or independent respectively. In this case, memory is not due to the correlations created during the time evolution of a single quantum system. But it is because of the correlated operation of channels on the system consisting of a set of individual quantum systems. In Ref. [23], the connection between these two insight about memory effects has been studied by Addis et al. They showed how the use of correlated quantum channels can change the dynamical memory effects.
In some literature, the effect of the correlated quantum channel on quantum correlations has been studied. In Ref. [24] the effect of correlated quantum channel has been investigated on the entanglement of $X$-type state of the Dirac fields in the non-inertial frame. In Ref. [25], the authors have shown that how the correlated channel affects the dynamics of quantum correlations. The behavior of memory-assisted entropic uncertainty relation under the effects of the quantum correlated channels has been investigated in Refs. [26, 27].

In this work, we look at correlated channels from different perspective. We study the quantum speed limit time for correlated and uncorrelated channel. Quantum speed limit time is a bound on the minimum evolution time needed for a quantum state of a closed or open quantum system to evolve from initial state to desire state. It identifies the maximum speed of the quantum evolution. First, in Ref. [28], authors have provided a bound for closed quantum system which is given by

$$\tau \geq \tau_{\text{QSL}} = \frac{\pi \hbar}{2 \Delta E},$$

(1)

where $\Delta E = \sqrt{\langle H^2 \rangle - \langle H \rangle^2}$ is the inverse of the variation of energy of the initial state and $\hat{H}$ is time-independent Hamiltonian describing the dynamics of quantum system. This bound has known as (MT) bound.

Again for closed quantum system, the bound has introduced based on the mean energy $E = \langle \hat{H} \rangle$ in Ref. [29] as

$$\tau \geq \tau_{\text{QSL}} = \frac{\pi \hbar}{2 E},$$

(2)

which is called (ML) bound. Combining (MT) and (ML) bounds in Eqs. (1) and (2), provides a unified term for the quantum speed limit time for closed quantum system dynamics as [30]

$$\tau \geq \tau_{\text{QSL}} = \max \left\{ \frac{\pi \hbar}{2 \Delta E}, \frac{\pi \hbar}{2 E} \right\},$$

(3)

Recently, quantum speed limit time has also been studied for the dynamics of open quantum system described by positive nonunitary maps. For open quantum systems quantum speed limit has quantified based on quantum Fisher information [31, 32], Bures angle [33], relative purity [34, 35] and other proper approach [36–41]. All these efforts are in the direction of tightening the quantum speed limit time bound.

In this work, we will show how correlation in the application of quantum channels can affect on quantum speed limit time. We show the quantum speed limit time for correlated channel is tighter than uncorrelated channel. To do this, we provide the results for unital and non-unital quantum channels. We will use random dephasing noise as an unital and squeezed generalized amplitude damping channels (SGAD) as a non-unital quantum channel. In our work we use the bound based on Bures angle for quantum speed limit time which has introduced in [33]. This work is organized as follows. In Sec. II we review the geometric approaches based on Bures angle for deriving the QSL bounds. In Sec. III, the quantum speed limit time for correlated and uncorrelated quantum channel is investigated. We will consider correlated pure dephasing colored noise as an example for correlated unital channel and squeezed generalized amplitude damping channel as an example of correlated non-unital channel. In Sec. IV, we summarize the results.

II. THE QUANTUM SPEED LIMIT TIME FOR OPEN QUANTUM SYSTEMS

In Ref. [33], Deffner and Lutz consider the open quantum system and find the minimal time that is needed for it to transform from pure initial state $\rho_0 = \vert \psi_0 \rangle \langle \psi_0 \vert$ to target state $\rho_t$. The dynamics of open quantum system can be characterized by the time-dependent master equations of the form $\dot{\rho}_t = L(\rho_t)$, where $L$ is the generator of the quantum evolution. To find quantum speed limit time, they use geometric method based on Bures angle to determine the distance between initial and target states of the quantum system, which is given by

$$L(\rho_0, \rho_t) = \arccos(\sqrt{\langle \psi_0 \vert \rho_t \vert \psi_0 \rangle}).$$

(4)

One needs to calculate the dynamical speed of the quantum evolution to quantify the quantum speed limit time [42]. By taking time derivative of the geometric Bures angle the dynamical speed of the quantum evolution can be written as

$$2 \cos(L) \sin(L) \dot{L} = \vert tr \left[ L(\rho_t) \rho_0 \right] \vert.$$  

(5)

From von Neumann trace inequality for operators we have

$$2 \cos(L) \sin(L) \dot{L} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{t,i} \lambda_{0,i},$$

(6)

where $\lambda_{t,i}$ and $\lambda_{0,i}$ are singular values of $L(\rho_t)$ and $\rho_0$ with descending order respectively. Upper bound of Eq.(6) can be estimated by the trace norm and operator norm as follow

$$2 \cos(L) \sin(L) \dot{L} \leq \| L(\rho_t) \|_{op} \leq \| L(\rho_t) \|_{tr},$$

(7)

where $\| A \|_{op} = \lambda_1$ is operator norm with $\lambda_1$ is the maximum singular value of $A$ and $\| A \|_{tr} = Tr(\sqrt{A^\dagger A}) = \sum_i \lambda_i$ is trace norm with $\lambda_i$’s are singular values of $A$. Integration over both sides of Eq.(7) leads to the (ML) bound of quantum speed limit time for the dynamics of open quantum systems [41]

$$\tau \geq \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}_{t,op}}, \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}_{t,tr}} \right\} \sin^2(L(\rho_0, \rho_t)),$$

(8)

where $\mathcal{V}_{t,op}$ is the average speed of the evolution based on operator norm and trace norm, which reads

$$\mathcal{V}_{t,op,tr} = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \| L(\rho_\tau) \|_{op,tr} d\tau.$$

(9)
From Eq. (5) and using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality [43], one can find
\[ 2 \cos(\mathcal{L}) \sin(\mathcal{L}) \mathcal{L} \leq \sqrt{\text{tr}[L(p_t)L(p_t^\dagger)]} = \|L(p)\|_{hs}, \] (10)
where \(\|A\|_{hs} = \sqrt{\sum_i A_i^2}\) is the Hilbert-Schmidt norm [44]. Taking integration over Eq. (10) provides (MT) bound of quantum speed limit time for the dynamics of open quantum systems, which is given by
\[ \tau \geq \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}_{hs}} \sin^2(\mathcal{L}(p_0, p_t)), \] (11)
where \(\mathcal{V}_{hs} = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t\|L(\rho_t)\|_{hs}\) is the average speed of the evolution based on Hilbert-Schmidt norm. Combining (ML) and (MT) bounds in Eqs. (8) and (11) provides a unified bound for the quantum speed limit time for the dynamics of open quantum systems as [33]
\[ \tau_{QSL} = \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}_{opt}}, \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}_{tr}}, \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}_{hs}} \right\} \sin^2(\mathcal{L}(p_0, p_t)). \] (12)
The average speed of quantum evolution can also be expressed as
\[ \mathcal{V}_t = \min \{ \mathcal{V}_{opt}, \mathcal{V}_{tr}, \mathcal{V}_{hs} \} \] (13)
In Ref. [45], It is shown that for trace class operators \(\|A\|_{hs, op, tr}\) following inequality holds
\[ \|A\|_{op} \leq \|A\|_{hs} \leq \|A\|_{tr}. \] (14)
Thus from Eq. (14) one can conclude that the (ML) type bound based on the operator norm gives the tightest bound on the quantum speed limit time.

### III. QUANTUM CHANNEL WITH CORRELATED NOISE

Here, we provide a brief review on quantum channel with correlated noise [20–25, 46, 47]. Quantum channels are divided into two categories of memory and memoryless channels. If the correlation time of the environment is shorter than the time between successive application then there exist no correlation between consecutive use of channel, i.e. quantum channel \(\varepsilon\) for \(N\) consecutive uses obey \(\varepsilon_N = \varepsilon \otimes N\). These kinds of channels are knowns as memoryless channels (uncorrelated channels). However, in real physical quantum noise, it is logical to have correlation between consecutive application of channel. In this case the correlation time of the environment is longer than the time between successive application of the channels, i.e. quantum channel \(\varepsilon\) for \(N\) successive uses obey \(\varepsilon_N \neq \varepsilon \otimes N\). These kinds of channels are knowns as memory channels (correlated channels). For correlated channels the channel acts dependently on each input. Here we consider \(N\) times consecutive use of the quantum channel. A quantum channel \(\varepsilon\) can be represented as a completely positive, trace-preserving map from input state \(\rho\) to output \(\varepsilon(\rho)\) in Kraus form
\[ \varepsilon(\rho) = \sum_{i_1 \cdots i_N} E_{i_1 \cdots i_N} \rho E_{i_1 \cdots i_N}^\dagger, \] (15)
where \(E_{i_1 \cdots i_N}\)'s are Kraus operators which is defined as
\[ E_{i_1 \cdots i_N} = \sqrt{P_{i_1 \cdots i_N}} A_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes A_{i_N}, \] (16)
where \(P_{i_1 \cdots i_N}\) is the probability that a random sequence of operations is acted on the sequence input \(N\) qubits which are transmitted through the channel. In general, the Kraus operators for two consecutive uses of a two-qubit quantum channel can be represented as
\[ E_{ij} = \sqrt{P_{ij}} A_i \otimes A_j, \] (17)
For uncorrelated channel we have \(P_{ij} = p_i p_j\) and Kraus operators are independent of each other. Whereas, for correlated channel based on Bayes rule we have \(P_{ij} = P_{ij} | P_{ji} = \text{conditional probability}\). Thus, for two consecutive uses of a two-qubit quantum channel with partial correlation the Kraus operators can be represented as
\[ E_{ij} = \sqrt{P_{ij}} ((1 - \mu) I + \mu \delta_{ij}), \] (18)
where \(\mu \in [0, 1]\) defines the correlation of the quantum channel. According to the Kraus operator formalism, for any initial state \(\rho\) transmitted through a two-qubit correlated channel, the final state is given by
\[ \varepsilon(\rho) = (1 - \mu) \sum_{i,j} E_{ij} \rho E_{ij}^\dagger + \mu \sum_k E_{kk} \rho E_{kk}^\dagger = (1 - \mu) \varepsilon_{un}(\rho) + \mu \varepsilon_{co}(\rho), \] (19)
where \(\varepsilon_{un}\) represents the uncorrelated channel and \(\varepsilon_{co}\) stands for correlated channel. In the case \(\mu = 0\) there exist no correlation between two consecutive uses of channel and when \(\mu = 1\) the channel is fully correlated. In other words, where \(\mu = 0\) the channel is memoryless, and \(\mu = 1\) implies the channel with memory.

#### A. Unital correlated channel

The completely positive channel \(\varepsilon\) is unital if it transmits identity operator \(\sigma_0 = I\) to itself in the same space, i.e. \(\varepsilon(\sigma_0) = \sigma_0\). For single-qubit systems, the unital channel can be represented in terms of convex combination of the Pauli operators [48–50]. From geometrical insight, the unital channels map the center of the Bloch sphere to itself. Let us consider pure dephasing coloured noise in the category of pauli channels as an example of unital channel [51]. We investigate the effects of correlation for two consecutive uses of pure dephasing coloured noise on quantum speed limit time.
Quantum speed limit

The channel is non-Markovian. Based on the results presented in Ref. [11], if \( \tau \geq 1/2 \) the quantum evolution is non-Markovian.

Let us assume two qubits transmitted through the coloured pure dephasing channel, the channel with uncorrelated noise can be defined by the following Kraus operators

\[
E_{ij} = \sqrt{P_i P_j} \sigma_i \sigma_j, \quad i, j = 0, 3.
\]

For two successive uses of the coloured pure dephasing with partial correlation on two qubit input state, the Kraus operators \( E_{kk} \) is given by

\[
E_{kk} = \sqrt{P_k} \sigma_k \sigma_k, \quad k = 0, 3.
\]

Here we limit to the case of an initial Bell diagonal input state

\[
\rho_0 = \frac{1}{4}(I \otimes I + \sum_{i=1}^{3} c_i \sigma_i \otimes \sigma_i),
\]

it is positive if \(-1 \leq c_i \leq 1\), it can also be easily shown that the shape of the Bell-diagonal states does not change under correlated dephasing channel with colored noise i.e. the evolving state \( \rho_t \) will be in the Bell-diagonal form and real coefficients \( c_k(t) \) can be easily obtained as

\[
c_1(t) = \Gamma(t, \mu)c_1, \quad c_2(t) = \Gamma(t, \mu)c_2, \quad c_3(t) = c_3,
\]

where \( \Gamma(t, \mu) = \mu + (1 - \mu) \Lambda^2(t) \). One can easily obtain the fidelity between initial state \( \rho_0 \) and evolved state \( \rho_t \) at time \( t \) as

\[
F(\rho_0, \rho_t) = \frac{1}{16} \left( \sqrt{1 + c_1 - c_2 + c_3 \sqrt{1 + c_1(t) - c_2(t) + c_3}} + \sqrt{1 - c_1 + c_2 + c_3 \sqrt{1 + c_1(t) + c_2(t) + c_3}} + \sqrt{1 + c_1 + c_2 - c_3 \sqrt{1 + c_1(t) + c_2(t) - c_3}} + \sqrt{1 - c_1 - c_2 - c_3 \sqrt{1 + c_1(t) + c_2(t) - c_3}} \right)^2.
\]

The singular values of \( \dot{\rho}_t = L(\rho_t) \) are given by

\[
\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = \frac{1}{2}(c_1 - c_2)(1 - \mu)\Lambda(t)\dot{\Lambda}(t),
\]

\[
\sigma_3 = \sigma_4 = \frac{1}{2}(c_1 + c_2)(1 - \mu)\Lambda(t)\dot{\Lambda}(t),
\]

where \( \dot{\Lambda}(t) = d\Lambda(t)/dt \). Considering the initial parameter as \( c_1 = c_2 = 1, \quad c_3 = -1 \) reduces the initial state to maximally Bell diagonal state \( |\phi\rangle = 1/\sqrt{2}(|01\rangle + |10\rangle) \). Thus in the case of initial maximally Bell diagonal state we have \( F(\rho_0, \rho_t) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \mu - (\mu - 1)\Lambda(t)^2) \) for fidelity and two singular values \( \sigma_3 = \sigma_4 = (1 - \mu)\Lambda(t)\dot{\Lambda}(t) \) for \( \dot{\rho}_t \).

From Eq. (8), the (ML) bound of quantum speed limit time is obtained as

\[
\tau_{QSL} = \frac{1}{V_{\rho \rho}^{op}} \sin^2 \mathcal{L}(\rho_0, \rho_t),
\]

where \( V_{\rho \rho}^{op} = \frac{1}{16} \int_0^t d\tau \Lambda(\tau)\dot{\Lambda}(\tau) \) is the average speed of the quantum evolution. In Fig. 1 quantum speed limit time is plotted as a function of the final time of the evolution for the correlated pure dephasing coloured noise in the Markovian regime with \( \tau = 0.1 \) for different values of correlation parameter \( \mu \). As can be seen, increasing the correlation parameter will tighten the bound of quantum speed limit time. Thus, we expect that the speed
of the evolution decreases by increasing correlation parameter. In Fig. 1, inset shows the average speed of evolution as a function of time. As expected the evolution is slowed down by increasing correlation parameter. In non-Markovian regime the results are same as Markovian evolution. In Fig 2, It is shown that the quantum speed limit time increases by increasing correlation parameter. From inset, It is observed that the speed of the evolution is slowed down by increasing the correlation parameter. According to results which are shown in Figs. 1 and 2, one can conclude that the existence of correlation between two consecutive uses of pure dephasing coloured noise increases the quantum speed limit time and slows down the speed of the evolution.

B. Non-Unital correlated channel

Here, we consider the non-unital correlated channel. In this section we use correlated squeezed generalized amplitude damping channels as an example of non-unital channels. We investigate the effects of partial correlation for two consecutive uses of squeezed generalized amplitude damping channel on quantum speed limit time.

Correlated Squeezed Generalized Amplitude Damping Channels: An amplitude damping channel represented a physical process such as energy dissipation of a two-level quantum system due to spontaneous emission of a photon into the vacuum at zero temperature [48]. Generalized amplitude damping channel describes the relaxation of a quantum system when the surrounding environment is at finite temperature initially, i.e., when the environment starts from a mixed state [52]. Generalized amplitude damping channel is developed to a squeezed generalized amplitude damping (SGAD) channel by considering a squeezed thermal environment [53]. The (SGAD) channel is a combination of both effects of dissipation at finite temperature and environment squeezing [54-57].

The (SGAD) channel characterize the quantum noise in which the quantum system interacts with an environment that is initially in a squeezed thermal state under the Markov and Born approximations. The dynamics of such a quantum system can be described by following Lindblad master equation

\[
L(\rho_t) = -\frac{\Omega(n+1)}{2}(\sigma_+\rho_t + \rho_t\sigma_- - 2\sigma_-\rho_t\sigma_+) \\
- \frac{\Omega m}{2}(\sigma_+\rho_t + \rho_t\sigma_- - 2\sigma_+\rho_t\sigma_-) \\
- \Omega m(\sigma_+\rho_t\sigma_+ + \sigma_-\rho_t\sigma_-),
\]

(29)

where \(\sigma_+ = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_1+i\sigma_2)\) and \(\sigma_- = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_1-i\sigma_2)\) are creation and annihilation operators, respectively, \(n\) is associated with the number of thermal photons, \(m\) is the squeezing parameter \((m \leq n)\) and \(\Omega\) is the dissipation rate related to spontaneous emission at zero-temperature [1, 48, 54]. If \(m = 0\) then (SGAD) transforms to the generalized amplitude damping channel. When \(m = n = 0\) the (SGAD) channel reduces to the amplitude damping channel.

We first review the simple analytical method for solving master equation in Eq. (29) to find the structure of uncorrelated squeezed generalized amplitude damping channel. The dynamics of single qubit state with initial input \(\rho_0 = \sum_{i,j=0}^{1} |i\rangle \langle j|\), associated with this Lindblad master equation has the following form [54]

\[
\rho_t = e^{\mathcal{L} t} \rho = \sum_i \text{tr}(\mathcal{R}_i \rho) e^{\eta_i t} \mathcal{L}_i = \sum_i \text{tr}(\mathcal{L}_i \rho) e^{\eta_i t} \mathcal{R}_i,
\]

(30)

where \(\mathcal{R}_i\) and \(\mathcal{L}_i\) are the right and left eigenoperators of superoperator \(L\) in Lindblad master equation, \(\eta_i\)’s are corresponding eigenvalues of these eigenoperators, such that

\[
L\mathcal{R}_i = \eta_i \mathcal{R}_i, \quad \mathcal{L}_i L = \eta_i \mathcal{L}_i
\]

(31)

with \(\text{tr}(\mathcal{L}_i \mathcal{R}_j) = \delta_{ij}\). For (SGAD) channel, \(\mathcal{R}_i\)’s and \(\mathcal{L}_i\)’s can be defined as [58]

\[
\mathcal{R}_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(I_{2 \times 2} - \frac{1}{2n+1}\sigma_3), \quad \mathcal{L}_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}I_{2 \times 2}, \\
\mathcal{R}_2 = \mathcal{L}_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\sigma_+ + \sigma_-), \\
\mathcal{R}_3 = -\mathcal{L}_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\sigma_- - \sigma_+), \\
\mathcal{R}_4 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sigma_3, \quad \mathcal{L}_4 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\frac{1}{2n+1}I_{2 \times 2} + \sigma_3).
\]

(32)

The eigenvalues \(\eta_i\) are given by

\[
\eta_1 = 0, \quad \eta_2 = -\Omega(n+m+\frac{1}{2}), \\
\eta_3 = -\Omega(n-m+\frac{1}{2}), \quad \eta_4 = -2\Omega(n+\frac{1}{2}).
\]

(33)
Thus from Eqs. (30), (31), (32) and (33), the evolved single qubit state can be quantified as

$$
\rho_t = \left( \frac{n+p^2(n+1)\rho_{11} - np_{22}}{2n+1} p_t(q_t \rho_{12} - r_t \rho_{21}) \right),
$$

where $p_t = e^{-\Omega(n+1/2)t}$, $q_t = \cosh(\Omega nt)$ and $r_t = \sinh(\Omega nt)$. From Eq. (34), the Kraus operators $A_i$ for single qubit dynamics under (SGAD) channel can be written as

\begin{align*}
A_1 &= \begin{pmatrix}
\sqrt{\frac{n}{2n+1}} + \frac{p_t q_t}{2n+1} - p_t & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix},
A_2 &= \begin{pmatrix}
\sqrt{\frac{n}{2n+1}} (1 - p_t^2) - p_t r_t & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix},
A_3 &= \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 \\
\sqrt{\frac{n}{2n+1}} + \frac{p_t q_t}{2n+1} - p_t r_t & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix},
A_4 &= \begin{pmatrix}
\sqrt{p_t q_t} & 0 \\
0 & \sqrt{p_t r_t} \\
0 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix},
A_5 &= \begin{pmatrix}
0 & \sqrt{p_t r_t} \\
\sqrt{p_t q_t} & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix},
A_6 &= \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 \\
0 & \sqrt{n/(2n+1)}(1 - p_t^2) - p_t r_t \\
0 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}.
\end{align*}

(35)

Now, we consider two consecutive uses of the (SGAD) channel on two qubit input state and find correlated and uncorrelated (SGAD) channel. The uncorrelated channel $\varepsilon_{un}$ can be represented by the following Kraus operators [58]

$$
E_{ij} = A_i \otimes A_j, \quad i, j = 1, \ldots, 6,
$$

(36)

To find the correlated (SGAD) channel the following correlated Lindblad master equation for two qubit state is considered

$$
\dot{\rho}(\rho_t) = -\frac{\Omega(n+1)}{2} \left( \sigma_+^2 \sigma_-^2 \rho_t + \rho_t \sigma_-^2 \sigma_+^2 - 2 \sigma_+^2 \rho_t \sigma_-^2 \right) \\
- \frac{\Omega n}{2} \left( \sigma_+^2 \sigma_-^2 + \rho_t \sigma_-^2 \sigma_+^2 - 2 \sigma_+^2 \rho_t \sigma_-^2 \right) \\
- \Omega m(\sigma_-^2 \rho_t \sigma_+^2 + \sigma_+^2 \rho_t \sigma_-^2),
$$

(37)

where $\sigma_+^2 = \sigma_+ \otimes \sigma_+$. The correlated dynamics of two qubit state, which is obtained by Eq. (37), can be found similar to the single qubit case by using Eqs. (30) and (31). We consider a general two qubit state $\rho_0 = \sum_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2 = 1}^{4} \alpha_1 |0\rangle \langle 0_2 |$ as an initial input state, where $|\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \rangle \in \{|00\rangle, |01\rangle, |10\rangle, |11\rangle \}$. The elements of evolved two qubit state under correlated (SGAD) channel (i.e. $\varepsilon_{co}(\rho_0)$) is obtained as

\begin{align*}
\rho_{11}^c(t) &= \frac{1}{2n+1} \left( \left( (n+1)p_t^2 - (2n+1)s_t (1 - u_t) + n \right) \rho_{11} \\
&+ (n-p_t (np_t + 2(2n+1)r_t)) \rho_{14} \right),
\end{align*}

\begin{align*}
\rho_{12}^c(t) &= \sqrt{s_t u_t} \rho_{12},
\rho_{13}^c(t) &= \sqrt{s_t u_t} \rho_{13},
\rho_{14}^c(t) &= \left( \sqrt{s_t u_t} - p_t (1 - q_t) \right) \rho_{14} - p_t r_t \rho_{41},
\rho_{22}^c(t) &= \rho_{22},
\rho_{23}^c(t) &= \rho_{23},
\rho_{24}^c(t) &= \sqrt{u_t} \rho_{24},
\rho_{33}^c(t) &= \rho_{33},
\rho_{34}^c(t) &= \sqrt{u_t} \rho_{34},
\rho_{44}^c(t) &= 1 - \rho_{4}^c(t) - \rho_{22} - \rho_{33}.
\end{align*}

(38)

From Eq. (38), for two successive uses of the squeezed generalized amplitude damping channels with partial correlation on two qubit input state, the Kraus operators $E_{kk}$ is given by

\begin{align*}
E_{11} &= \begin{pmatrix}
\sqrt{s_t} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{u_t} \\
\end{pmatrix},
E_{22} &= \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix},
E_{33} &= \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix},
E_{44} &= \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix},
E_{55} &= \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix},
E_{66} &= \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{p_t(q_t - 1)} \\
\end{pmatrix},
E_{77} &= \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & i \sqrt{p_t r_t} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
i \sqrt{p_t r_t} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix},
\end{align*}

(39)

where $u_t = e^{-\Omega nt}$ and $s_t = e^{-\Omega(n+1)t}$. As in the previous section for unital channel, we consider the initial input state to be a Bell diagonal state with $c_1 = c_2 = 1, c_3 =
parameter $\mu$ when the initial state is Bell diagonal states with $c_1 = c_2 = 1, c_3 = -1$. From Eqs. (19), (35) and (39), the final output state at time $t$ is obtained as

$$\rho_i = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{11}(t) & 0 & 0 & \rho_{14}(t) \\ 0 & \rho_{22}(t) & \rho_{23}(t) & 0 \\ 0 & \rho_{32}(t) & \rho_{33}(t) & 0 \\ \rho_{41}(t) & 0 & 0 & \rho_{44}(t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (40)$$

with

$$\rho_{11}(t) = \frac{(1 - \mu)n(1 - p_1^2)(n + 1)p_1^2 + n}{(2n + 1)^2},$$

$$\rho_{14}(t) = (1 - \mu)p_1^2 q_r r_i,$$

$$\rho_{22}(t) = \frac{1}{2(2n + 1)^2} (\mu + 2(\mu + 1)(n^2 + n) + (1 - \mu)(2(n^2 + n)p_1^4 + p_1^2)),$$

$$\rho_{23}(t) = \frac{1}{2} (\mu + (1 - \mu)p_1^2 (q_i^2 + r_i^2)),$$

$$\rho_{33}(t) = \frac{1}{2(2n + 1)^2} (\mu + 2(\mu + 1)(n^2 + n) + (1 - \mu)(2(n^2 + n)p_1^4 + p_1^2)),$$

$$\rho_{44}(t) = \frac{(1 - \mu)(n + 1)(1 - p_1^2)(np_1^2 + n + 1)}{(2n + 1)^2}. \quad (41)$$

After long and straightforward calculation, similar to previous section for unital correlated channel, the (ML) bound for quantum speed limit time can be obtain by calculating the singular values of the $\rho_i = L(\rho_i)$ and the fidelity $F(\rho_i, \rho_0)$.

In Fig. 3, The quantum speed limit time is plotted as a function of final time $t$ for correlated amplitude damping channel ($m = n = 0$). As can be seen, the quantum speed limit time increases by increasing correlation parameter $\mu$. In other words, existence of correlations between two consecutive uses of the amplitude damping channel slows down the speed of the evolution. In inset diagram, It is shown that the average speed of evolution is decreased by increasing $\mu$. Fig. 4, shows the diagram for the quantum speed limit time in terms of final time $t$ for correlated generalized amplitude damping channel ($m = 0, n = 1$). It is obvious that, the quantum speed limit time increases when correlation parameter $\mu$ increases. Thus, existence of correlations between two consecutive uses of the generalized amplitude damping channel slows down the speed of the evolution. The inset figure is a plot of the average speed of the evolution in terms of final time. From inset, the average speed decreases if there exist correlation between two consecutive uses of the generalized amplitude damping channel. In Fig. 5, the quantum speed limit time for squeezed generalized amplitude damping correlated channel ($m = 1, n = 1$) is represented in terms of final time $t$. As can be seen,
quantum speed limit time is increased by increasing correlation parameter $\mu$. The inset figure shows that the speed of evolution slows down by increasing correlation parameter. By comparing Figs. 3, 4 and 5, we conclude that for these kinds of non-unital channels the quantum speed limit is depend on correlation parameter $\mu$, such that increasing in correlation parameter for correlated channels leads to slowdown the evolution.

IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

In this work we have investigated the quantum speed limit time for correlated quantum channel. Note that, the term correlated means the existence of correlation between two consecutive use of the quantum channel. Quantum speed limit time is the bound on the minimal time evolution between initial input state and state at final time $t$. Quantum speed limit time is used to quantify the maximum speed of quantum evolution. We studied the effect of correlation between two consecutive use of the quantum channel on quantum speed limit time. We have used correlated pure dephasing colored noise as an example of the unital quantum channel and correlated squeezed generalized amplitude damping channel as an example of the non-unital channel. We found that regardless of whether the channel be unital or not, the quantum speed limit time is increased by increasing correlation between two consecutive uses of the channel. We observed that for both of channels which was used in this work, the existence of correlation between two consecutive use of channel slowed down the quantum evolution.
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