

BLOWING-UP SOLUTIONS OF THE TIME-FRACTIONAL DISPERSIVE EQUATIONS

B. AHMAD, A. ALSAEDI, M. KIRANE and BERIKBOL T. TOREBEK

ABSTRACT. This paper is devoted to the study of initial-boundary value problems for time-fractional analogues of Korteweg-de Vries, Benjamin-Bona-Mahony, Burgers, Rosenau, Camassa-Holm, Degasperis-Procesi, Ostrovsky and time-fractional modified Korteweg-de Vries-Burgers equations on a bounded domain. Sufficient conditions for the blowing-up of solutions in finite time of aforementioned equations are presented. We also discuss the maximum principle and influence of gradient non-linearity on the global solvability of initial-boundary value problems for the time-fractional Burgers equation. The main tool of our study is the Pohozaev nonlinear capacity method. We also provide some illustrative examples.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Preliminaries	4
2. Blowing-up solutions of the time-fractional Rosenau-KdV-BBM-Burgers equation	5
3. Blowing-up solutions of the time-fractional Camassa-Holm–Degasperis-Procesi equation	10
4. Blowing-up solutions of the time-fractional Ostrovsky equation	13
5. Blowing-up solutions of the time-fractional modified KdV-Burgers equation	15
6. Maximum principle and gradient blow-up in time-fractional Burgers equation	18
6.1. Maximum principle	18
6.2. Gradient blow-up	20
Conclusion	21
Acknowledgements	21
References	21

1. INTRODUCTION

Nonlinear wave phenomenon is one of the important areas of scientific investigation. Among the mathematical models describing the dynamics of wave equations include

*Corresponding author

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35B50; Secondary 26A33, 35K55, 35J60.

Key words and phrases. Caputo derivative; Burgers equation; Korteweg-de Vries equation; Benjamin-Bona-Mahony equation; Camassa-Holm equation, Rosenau equation, Ostrovsky equation; blow-up.

All authors contributed equally to the manuscript and read and approved the final manuscript.

Korteweg-de Vries equation, Burgers equation, Benjamin-Bona-Mahony equation, Rosenau equation and Ostrovsky equation.

Bateman-Burgers equation or Burgers equation [Bat15, Bur48]

$$u_t + uu_x = \nu u_{xx}, \quad \nu > 0, \quad (1.1)$$

is a fundamental partial differential equation occurring in various areas of applied mathematics, such as fluid mechanics, nonlinear acoustics, gas dynamics, traffic flow.

The Korteweg-de Vries equation [KV95] is well known in different fields of science and technology, it reads

$$u_t + uu_x + u_{xxx} = 0. \quad (1.2)$$

In [BBM72], Benjamin, Bona and Mahony proposed the following equation to describe long waves on the water surface

$$u_t - u_{txx} + uu_x = 0. \quad (1.3)$$

In [Ros86] Rosenau suggested the following equation to describe waves on “shallow” water:

$$u_t + u_{txxxx} + u_x + uu_x = 0. \quad (1.4)$$

In [Ost78] Ostrovsky derived an equation for weakly nonlinear surface and internal waves in a rotating ocean

$$u_{tx} + u_{xx} + u_{xxxx} + (uu_x)_x = 0. \quad (1.5)$$

The Camassa-Holm equation

$$u_t - u_{txx} + 2\kappa u_x + 3uu_x = 2u_x u_{xx} + uu_{xxx}, \quad \kappa > 0, \quad (1.6)$$

was introduced by Camassa and Holm [CH93] as a bi-Hamiltonian model for waves in shallow water and the following Degasperis-Procesi equation, one of the important model of mathematical physics

$$u_t - u_{txx} + 2\kappa u_x + 4uu_x = 3u_x u_{xx} + uu_{xxx}, \quad \kappa > 0. \quad (1.7)$$

The Korteweg-de Vries-Burgers equation

$$u_t + uu_x + u_{xxx} = \nu u_{xx}, \quad \nu > 0, \quad (1.8)$$

modified Korteweg-de Vries-Burgers equation

$$u_t + u^2 u_x + u_{xxx} = \nu u_{xx}, \quad \nu > 0, \quad (1.9)$$

Benjamin-Bona-Mahony-Burgers equation

$$u_t - u_{txx} + uu_x = \nu u_{xx}, \quad (1.10)$$

Korteweg-de Vries-Benjamin-Bona-Mahony equation

$$u_t - u_{txx} + u_{xxx} + uu_x = 0, \quad (1.11)$$

Rosenau-Burgers equation

$$u_t + u_{txxxx} + u_x + uu_x = \nu u_{xx}, \quad (1.12)$$

Rosenau-Korteweg-de Vries equation

$$u_t + u_{txxxx} + u_{xxx} + u_x + uu_x = 0, \quad (1.13)$$

Rosenau-Benjamin-Bona-Mahony equation

$$u_t - u_{txx} + u_{txxxx} + u_x + uu_x = 0, \quad (1.14)$$

have important applications in different physical situations such as waves on shallow water, and processes in semiconductors with differential conductivity [BS76, FPS01, Ros89, Shu87, SG69, Zh05].

This paper is devoted to blowing-up solutions of time-fractional analogues of the above equations. The approach to the problem is based on the Pohozaev nonlinear capacity method [MP98, MP01, MP04]; more precisely, on the choice of test functions according to initial and boundary conditions under consideration.

Here, we give a simple case of the analysis of a rough blow-up, i.e., the case where the solution tends to infinity as $t \rightarrow T^*$ on $[0, L]$; more exactly, when the integral

$$\int_0^L u(x, t) \phi(x) dx$$

tends to infinity as $t \rightarrow T^*$ for the given function ϕ .

In [Kor12a, Kor12b, KP13, KY14, KY15] Korpusov et al. obtained sufficient conditions for the finite time blow-up of solutions of initial-boundary problems for Burgers, Korteweg-de Vries, Benjamin-Bona-Mahony and Rosenau type equations. We also note that the blow-up of solutions of the initial problems for the Korteweg-de Vries and critical Korteweg-de Vries equations are investigated in [MM02, MM14, MMR14, Po10, Po10a, Po11, Po11a, Po12, Po12a, Po12b]. Blow-up of solutions of the initial problems for the Ostrovsky equation is proved in [LPS10].

Descriptions of some physical applications and numerical simulations of the time-fractional dispersive equations are given in [FH18, HHG19, LZR16, LV18, QTWZ17, SBA18, SB12, XA13, Yok18].

Recently, the study of blowing-up solutions of time-fractional nonlinear partial differential equations received great attention. For example, the authors of this paper obtained results on the blow-up of the solutions of time-fractional Burgers equation [AKT19a, Tor19] and fractional reaction-diffusion equation [AKT19b]. We note that the blow-up of the solution of various nonlinear fractional problems was investigated in [AAKT15, AAKMA17, CSWSS18, KNS08, Pav18, XX18].

Let us briefly describe the problems investigated in this paper:

- Blowing-up solutions of the time-fractional Rosenau-KdV-BBM-Burgers equation with initial conditions described as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{+0,t}^\alpha (u - au_{xx} + bu_{xxx}) + cu_{xxx} - du_{xx} + u_x + uu_x &= 0, \quad 0 < x < L, \quad t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) &= u_0(x), \quad x \in [0, L], \end{aligned}$$

where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ and u_0 is a given function.

- Blowing-up solutions of the initial-boundary problem for the time-fractional Camassa-Holm–Degasperis-Procesi equation

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{+0,t}^\alpha (u - u_{xx}) + au_x + buu_x - cu_x u_{xx} - duu_{xxx} &= 0, \quad 0 < x < L, \quad t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) &= u_0(x), \quad x \in [0, L], \end{aligned}$$

where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ and u_0 is a given function.

- Blowing-up solutions of the time-fractional Ostrovsky equation with initial conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{+0,t}^\alpha u_x + au_{xx} + bu_{xxx} + (uu_x)_x &= 0, \quad 0 < x < L, \quad t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) &= u_0(x), \quad x \in [0, L], \end{aligned}$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and u_0 is a given function.

- Blowing-up solutions of the initial problem for the time-fractional analogue of the modified Korteweg-de Vries-Burgers equation with dissipation:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{+0,t}^\alpha u + u^2 u_x + au_{xxx} - bu_{xx} &= 0, \quad x \in (0, L), \quad t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) &= u_0(x), \quad x \in [0, L], \end{aligned}$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and u_0 is a sufficiently smooth function.

- Maximum principle and gradient blow-up in time-fractional Burgers equation

$$\partial_{+0,t}^\alpha u + uu_x = \nu u_{xx}, \quad x \in (0, L), \quad t > 0,$$

with an initial condition

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad x \in [0, L],$$

where $\nu > 0$ and u_0 is a sufficiently smooth function.

1.1. Preliminaries.

1.1.1. *Fractional operators.* Here, we recall definitions and properties of fractional order integral and differential operators [KST06, Nak03, SKM87].

Definition 1.1. [KST06] (Riemann-Liouville integral). Let f be a locally integrable real-valued function on $-\infty \leq a < t < b \leq +\infty$. The Riemann-Liouville fractional integral I_{+a}^α of order $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ($\alpha > 0$) is defined as

$$I_{+a}^\alpha f(t) = (f * K_\alpha)(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s) ds,$$

where $K_\alpha(t) = \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$, Γ denotes the Euler gamma function.

The convolution here will be understood in the sense of the above definition.

Definition 1.2. [KST06] (Riemann-Liouville derivative). Let $f \in L^1([a, b])$, $-\infty \leq a < t < b \leq +\infty$ and $f * K_{m-\alpha}(t) \in W^{m,1}([a, b])$, $m = [\alpha] + 1$, $\alpha > 0$, where $W^{m,1}([a, b])$ is the Sobolev space defined as

$$W^{m,1}([a, b]) = \left\{ f \in L^1([a, b]) : \frac{d^m}{dt^m} f \in L^1([a, b]) \right\}.$$

The Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative D_{+a}^α of order $\alpha > 0$ ($m-1 < \alpha < m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$) is defined as

$$D_{+a}^\alpha f(t) = \frac{d^m}{dt^m} I_{+a}^{m-\alpha} f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(m-\alpha)} \frac{d^m}{dt^m} \int_a^t (t-s)^{m-1-\alpha} f(s) ds.$$

Definition 1.3. [KST06] (Caputo derivative). Let $f \in L^1([a, b])$, $-\infty \leq a < t < b \leq +\infty$ and $f * K_{m-\alpha}(t) \in W^{m,1}([a, b])$, $m = [\alpha]$, $\alpha > 0$. The Caputo fractional derivative ∂_{+a}^α of order $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ($m-1 < \alpha < m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$) is defined as

$$\partial_{+a}^\alpha f(t) = D_{+a}^\alpha \left[f(t) - f(a) - f'(a) \frac{(t-a)}{1!} - \dots - f^{(m-1)}(a) \frac{(t-a)^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} \right].$$

If $f \in C^m([a, b])$, then the Caputo fractional derivative ∂_{+a}^α of order $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ($m-1 < \alpha < m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$) is defined as

$$\partial_{+a}^\alpha [f](t) = I_{+a}^{m-\alpha} f^{(m)}(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(m-\alpha)} \int_a^t (t-s)^{m-1-\alpha} f^{(m)}(s) ds.$$

Property 1.4. [AAK17] Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, $f \in C([0, T])$, $f' \in L^1([0, T])$ and u be monotone. Then

$$2f(t)\partial_{+0,t}^\alpha f(t) \geq \partial_{+0,t}^\alpha f^2(t), \quad t \in (0, T]. \quad (1.15)$$

Property 1.5. [Lu09] Let $f \in C^1((0, T)) \cap C([0, T])$ attain its maximum over the interval $[0, T]$ at $t_0 \in (0, T]$. Then $\partial_{+0,t}^\alpha f(t_0) \geq 0$.

Let $f \in C^1((0, T)) \cap C([0, T])$ attain its minimum over the interval $[0, T]$ at $t_0 \in (0, T]$. Then $\partial_{+0,t}^\alpha f(t_0) \leq 0$.

1.1.2. *Finite time blow-up of solutions of a fractional differential equation.* We consider the fractional differential equation

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{0+}^\alpha u(t) &= u^2(t), \quad t > 0, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1, \\ u(0) &= u_0 \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.16)$$

The blow-up of solutions to (1.16) is assured by the following theorem.

Theorem 1.6. [HKL14] If $u_0 > 0$, then the solution of problem (1.16) blows-up in a finite time

$$\left(\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{4u_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \leq T^* \leq \left(\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{u_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}, \quad (1.17)$$

that is $\lim_{t \rightarrow T^*} u(t) = +\infty$.

2. BLOWING-UP SOLUTIONS OF THE TIME-FRACTIONAL ROSENAU-KdV-BBM-BURGERS EQUATION

In this section we consider the time-fractional Rosenau-KdV-BBM-Burgers equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{+0,t}^\alpha (u - au_{xx} + bu_{xxxx}) + cu_{xxx} - du_{xx} + u_x + uu_x &= 0, \quad 0 < x < L, \quad t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) &= u_0(x), \quad x \in [0, L], \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ and u_0 is a given function.

The equation (2.1) is called the Rosenau-KdV-BBM-Burgers equation with time-fractional derivative as it is a generalization of the following well-known equations:

- If $\alpha = 1$ and $a = b = c = 0$, $d > 0$, then the equation (2.1) coincides with the classical Burgers equation (1.1);

- If $\alpha = 1$ and $a = b = d = 0$, $c = 1$, then the equation (2.1) coincides with the classical KdV equation (1.2);
- If $\alpha = 1$ and $b = c = d = 0$, $a = 1$, then the equation (2.1) coincides with the classical BBM equation (1.3);
- If $\alpha = 1$ and $a = b = 0$, $c = 1$, $d > 0$, then the equation (2.1) coincides with the classical KdV-Burgers equation (1.8);
- If $\alpha = 1$ and $b = c = 0$, $a = 1$, $d > 0$, then the equation (2.1) coincides with the classical BBM-Burgers equation (1.10);
- If $\alpha = 1$ and $b = d = 0$, $a = c = 1$, then the equation (2.1) coincides with the classical KdV-BBM equation (1.11);
- If $\alpha = 1$ and $a = c = d = 0$, $b = 1$, then equation (2.1) coincides with the classical Rosenau equation (1.4);
- If $\alpha = 1$ and $a = c = 0$, $b = 1$, then equation (2.1) coincides with the classical Rosenau-Burgers equation (1.12);
- If $\alpha = 1$ and $c = d = 0$, $a = b = 1$, then equation (2.1) coincides with the classical Rosenau-BBM equation (1.14);
- If $\alpha = 1$ and $a = d = 0$, $b = c = 1$, then equation (2.1) coincides with the classical Rosenau-KdV equation (1.13).

We study the question of the blow-up of a classical solution $u \in C_{t,x}^{1,4}([0, T] \times [0, L])$ of problem (2.1).

Let us consider a function $\varphi \in C^4([0, L])$ and suppose that the solution $u \in C_{t,x}^{1,4}([0, T] \times [0, L])$ of problem (2.1) exists. Multiplying equation (2.1) by φ and integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{+0,t}^\alpha \int_0^L u(x, t)(\varphi(x) - a\varphi''(x) + b\varphi''''(x))dx \\
&= \int_0^L u(x, t)(c\varphi'''(x) + d\varphi''(x) + \varphi'(x))dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L u^2(x, t)\varphi'(x)dx \\
&+ \mathcal{B}(u(L, t), \varphi(L)) - \mathcal{B}(u(0, t), \varphi(0)),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}(u(x, t), \varphi(x)) &= a\partial_{+0,t}^\alpha u_x(x, t)\varphi(x) - a\partial_{+0,t}^\alpha u(x, t)\varphi'(x) \\
&- b\partial_{+0,t}^\alpha u_{xxx}(x, t)\varphi(x) + b\partial_{+0,t}^\alpha u_{xx}(x, t)\varphi'(x) \\
&- b\partial_{+0,t}^\alpha u_x(x, t)\varphi''(x) + b\partial_{+0,t}^\alpha u(x, t)\varphi'''(x) \\
&- cu_{xx}(x, t)\varphi(x) + cu_x(x, t)\varphi'(x) - cu(x, t)\varphi''(x) \\
&+ du_x(x, t)\varphi(x) - du(x, t)\varphi'(x) \\
&- u(x, t)\varphi(x) - \frac{1}{2}u^2(x, t)\varphi(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Let the function $\varphi(x)$ be monotonically nondecreasing:

$$\varphi'(x) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } x \in [0, L] \tag{2.3}$$

and satisfy the following properties

$$\begin{cases} \theta_1 := \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L \frac{(c\varphi'''(x) + d\varphi''(x) + \varphi'(x))^2}{\varphi'(x)} dx < \infty; \\ \theta_2 := 2 \int_0^L \frac{(\varphi(x) - a\varphi''(x) + b\varphi''''(x))^2}{\varphi'(x)} dx < \infty. \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \int_0^L u(x, t) (c\varphi'''(x) + d\varphi''(x) + \varphi'(x)) dx + \int_0^L u^2(x, t) \varphi'(x) dx \\ &= \int_0^L v^2(x, t) \varphi'(x) dx - \int_0^L \frac{(c\varphi'''(x) + d\varphi''(x) + \varphi'(x))^2}{\varphi'(x)} dx, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$v(x, t) = u(x, t) + \frac{c\varphi'''(x) + d\varphi''(x) + \varphi'(x)}{\varphi'(x)}.$$

Using the Hölder inequality, we obtain the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_0^L v(x, t) (\varphi(x) - a\varphi''(x) + b\varphi''''(x)) dx \right)^2 \\ & \leq \int_0^L v^2(x, t) \varphi'(x) dx \int_0^L \frac{(\varphi(x) - a\varphi''(x) + b\varphi''''(x))^2}{\varphi'(x)} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Then, expression (2.2) takes the form

$$\partial_{+0,t}^\alpha F(t) \geq \theta_2^{-1} F^2(t) + \Phi(t) - \theta_1, \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$F(t) = \int_0^L v(x, t) (\varphi(x) - a\varphi''(x) + b\varphi''''(x)) dx$$

and

$$\Phi(t) = \mathcal{B}(u(L, t), \varphi(L)) - \mathcal{B}(u(0, t), \varphi(0)).$$

Then the following theorem holds.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $u_0(x) \in L^1([0, L])$ and the solution u of the equation (2.1) is such that $u \in C_{t,x}^{1,4}((0, L) \times (0, T))$ and let the function φ satisfy conditions (2.3), (2.4). If $\Phi(t) - \theta_1 \geq 0$, for all $t > 0$, and $F(0) > 0$, then*

$$F(t) \rightarrow +\infty \text{ for } t \rightarrow T^*,$$

where T^* satisfies estimate (1.17).

Proof. Obviously

$$\partial_{+0,t}^\alpha \tilde{F}(t) \geq \tilde{F}^2(t),$$

where $\tilde{F}(t) = \theta_2 F(t)$.

Since the function $\tilde{F}(t)$ is an upper solution of equation (1.16), therefore $\tilde{F}(t) \rightarrow +\infty$ for $t \rightarrow T^*$, where T^* satisfies estimate (1.17). Whereupon $F(t) \rightarrow +\infty$ for $t \rightarrow T^*$. \square

Note that the trial function method has great practical convenience.

Example 2.2. (Fractional Korteweg-de Vries equation). Consider the problem (2.1) with $a = b = d = 0, c = 1$ on the interval $[0, 1]$ equipped with the boundary conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} u(0, t) &= 0, \quad t \geq 0, \\ u(1, t) &= 0, \quad t \geq 0, \\ u_x(1, t) &= u_x(0, t) + u_{xx}(1, t), \quad t \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\varphi(x) = x$, we obtain

$$\theta_1 := 0, \quad \theta_2 := \frac{1}{6}$$

and

$$\Phi(t) = \theta_1 = 0, \quad \text{for all } t > 0;$$

hence it follows from Theorem 2.1 that the solution of problem (2.1) blows up in finite time under the condition

$$\int_0^1 u_0(x) x dx > 0.$$

Example 2.3. (Fractional Burgers equation). Let $a = b = c = 0, d > 0$ in problem (2.1) on the interval $[0, 1]$ and let the solution of problem (2.1) satisfy the Robin type nonlinear boundary conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} u(0, t) &= 0, \quad t \geq 0, \\ du_x(1, t) - du(1, t) - u(1, t) - \frac{1}{2}u^2(1, t) &= 0, \quad t \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then, if $\varphi(x) = x$, we obtain

$$\theta_1 := 0, \quad \theta_2 := \frac{1}{6}$$

and

$$\Phi(t) = \theta_1 = 0, \quad \text{for all } t > 0;$$

hence it follows from Theorem 2.1 that the solution of problem (2.1) blows up in finite time under the condition

$$\int_0^1 u_0(x) x dx > 0.$$

Example 2.4. (Fractional Benjamin-Bona-Mahony equation). With $b = c = d = 0$, $a = 1$, consider the problem (2.1) on the interval $[0, 1]$ supplemented with final boundary conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} u(1, t) &= 0, \quad t \geq 0, \\ u_x(1, t) &= 0, \quad t \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\varphi(x) = x^4$, we obtain

$$\theta_1 := 0, \quad \theta_2 := \frac{395}{48}$$

and

$$\Phi(t) = 0, \quad \text{for all } t > 0;$$

hence it follows from Theorem 2.1 that the solution of problem (2.1) blows up in finite time under the condition

$$\int_0^1 u_0(x) x^2 (x^2 - 12) dx > 0.$$

Example 2.5. (Fractional Rosenau equation). Let $a = c = d = 0$ and consider problem (2.1) on the interval $[0, 1]$ with Dirichlet type boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} u(0, t) &= 0, \quad t \geq 0, \\ u(1, t) &= 0, \quad t \geq 0, \\ u_{xx}(1, t) &= 0, \quad t \geq 0, \\ \partial_{+0,t}^\alpha u_{xxx}(0, t) - \partial_{+0,t}^\alpha u_{xx}(0, t) &= f(t), \quad t \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $f(t) \geq \frac{1}{2}$, for all $t > 0$. Then, if $\varphi(x) = x - 1$, we obtain

$$\theta_1 := \frac{1}{2}, \quad \theta_2 := \frac{2}{3}$$

and

$$\Phi(t) - \theta_1 = f(t) - \frac{1}{2} \geq 0, \quad \text{for all } t > 0;$$

hence it follows from Theorem 2.1 that the solution of problem (2.1) blows up in finite time under the condition

$$\int_0^1 u_0(x) (x - 1) dx > \frac{1}{2}.$$

Example 2.6. (Fractional Rosenau-Burgers equation). Let $a = c = 0$ and consider problem (2.1) on the interval $[0, 1]$ with nonlocal dynamical boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} u(1, t) &= 0, \quad t \geq 0, \\ u_x(1, t) + u(0, t) &= 0, \quad t \geq 0, \\ u_{xx}(0, t) &= 0, \quad t \geq 0, \\ \partial_{+0,t}^\alpha u_{xxx}(1, t) - \partial_{+0,t}^\alpha u_{xx}(1, t) &= \frac{1}{2}, \quad t \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\varphi(x) = x$, we obtain

$$\theta_1 := \frac{1}{2}, \quad \theta_2 := \frac{2}{3}$$

and

$$\Phi(t) - \theta_1 = 0, \text{ for all } t > 0;$$

hence it follows from Theorem 2.1 that the solution of problem (2.1) blows up in finite time under the condition

$$\int_0^1 u_0(x) x dx > -\frac{1}{2}.$$

3. BLOWING-UP SOLUTIONS OF THE TIME-FRACTIONAL CAMASSA-HOLM-DEGASPERIS-PROCESI EQUATION

In this section we consider the time-fractional Camassa-Holm–Degasperis-Procesi equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{+0,t}^\alpha (u - u_{xx}) + au_x + buu_x - cu_x u_{xx} - duu_{xxx} &= 0, \quad 0 < x < L, \quad t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) &= u_0(x), \quad x \in [0, L], \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ and u_0 is a given function.

The equation (3.1) is called the Camassa-Holm–Degasperis-Procesi equation with time-fractional derivative as it is a generalization of the following well-known equations:

- If $\alpha = 1$ and $a = 2\kappa > 0, b = 3, c = 2, d = 1$, then the equation (3.1) coincides with the classical Camassa-Holm equation (1.6);
- If $\alpha = 1$ and $a = 2\kappa > 0, b = 4, c = 3, d = 1$, then the equation (3.1) coincides with the classical Degasperis-Procesi equation (1.7).

We study the question of the blow-up of a classical solution $u \in C_{t,x}^{1,3}([0, T] \times [0, L])$ of problem (3.1). Let us consider a function $\varphi \in C^3([0, L])$ and suppose that the solution $u \in C_{t,x}^{1,3}([0, T] \times [0, L])$ of problem (3.1) exists. Using the equality

$$(u^2)_{xxx} = 6u_x u_{xx} + 2uu_{xxx},$$

we reduce the equation (3.1) to the equation

$$\partial_{+0,t}^\alpha (u - u_{xx}) + au_x + buu_x + (3d - c)u_x u_{xx} - \frac{d}{2}(u^2)_{xxx} = 0, \quad 0 < x < L, \quad t > 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Multiplying equation (3.1) by φ and integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{+0,t}^\alpha \int_0^L u(x,t)(\varphi(x) - \varphi''(x))dx \\
&= a \int_0^L u(x,t)\varphi'(x)dx + \frac{3d-c}{2} \int_0^L u_x^2(x,t)\varphi'(x)dx \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L u^2(x,t)(b\varphi'(x) - d\varphi'''(x))dx \\
&+ \mathcal{B}(u(L,t), \varphi(L)) - \mathcal{B}(u(0,t), \varphi(0)),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}(u(x,t), \varphi(x)) &= \partial_{+0,t}^\alpha u_x(x,t)\varphi(x) - \partial_{+0,t}^\alpha u(x,t)\varphi'(x) \\
&- au(x,t)\varphi(x) - \frac{b}{2}u^2(x,t)\varphi(x) \\
&- \frac{d-c}{2}u_x^2(x,t)\varphi(x) + du(x,t)u_{xx}(x,t)\varphi(x) \\
&- du(x,t)u_x(x,t)\varphi'(x) + \frac{d}{2}u^2(x,t)\varphi''(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $3d - c \geq 0$ and the function $\varphi(x)$ be monotonically nondecreasing:

$$\varphi'(x) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } x \in [0, L], \tag{3.4}$$

then from (3.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{+0,t}^\alpha \int_0^L u(x,t)(\varphi(x) - \varphi''(x))dx \geq a \int_0^L u(x,t)\varphi'(x)dx \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L u^2(x,t)(b\varphi'(x) - d\varphi'''(x))dx \\
&+ \mathcal{B}(u(L,t), \varphi(L)) - \mathcal{B}(u(0,t), \varphi(0)).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Let φ satisfy the following properties

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} b\varphi'(x) - d\varphi'''(x) \geq 0, \quad x \in [0, L]; \\ \theta_1 := \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L \frac{a^2\varphi'^2(x)}{b\varphi'(x) - d\varphi'''(x)} dx < \infty; \\ \theta_2 := 2 \int_0^L \frac{(\varphi(x) - \varphi''(x))^2}{b\varphi'(x) - d\varphi'''(x)} dx < \infty. \end{array} \right. \tag{3.6}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 2a \int_0^L u(x, t) \varphi'(x) dx + \int_0^L u^2(x, t) (b\varphi'(x) - d\varphi'''(x)) dx \\ &= \int_0^L v^2(x, t) (b\varphi'(x) - d\varphi'''(x)) dx - a^2 \int_0^L \frac{\varphi'^2(x)}{b\varphi'(x) - d\varphi'''(x)} dx, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$v(x, t) = u(x, t) + a \frac{\varphi'(x)}{b\varphi'(x) - d\varphi'''(x)}.$$

Using the Hölder inequality, we obtain the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_0^L v(x, t) (\varphi(x) - \varphi''(x)) dx \right)^2 \\ & \leq \int_0^L v^2(x, t) (b\varphi'(x) - d\varphi'''(x)) dx \int_0^L \frac{(\varphi(x) - \varphi''(x))^2}{b\varphi'(x) - d\varphi'''(x)} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Then, expression (3.3) takes the form

$$\partial_{+0,t}^\alpha F(t) \geq \theta_2^{-1} F^2(t) + \Phi(t) - \theta_1, \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$F(t) = \int_0^L v(x, t) (\varphi(x) - \varphi''(x)) dx$$

and

$$\Phi(t) = \mathcal{B}(u(L, t), \varphi(L)) - \mathcal{B}(u(0, t), \varphi(0)).$$

Then the following theorem holds.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $u_0(x) \in L^1([0, L])$ and the solution u of the equation (3.1) is such that $u \in C_{t,x}^{1,3}((0, L) \times (0, T))$ and let the function φ satisfy conditions (3.4), (3.6). If $\Phi(t) - \theta_1 \geq 0$, for all $t > 0$, and $F(0) > 0$, then*

$$F(t) \rightarrow +\infty \text{ for } t \rightarrow T^*,$$

where T^* satisfies estimate (1.17).

The Theorem 3.1 can be proved as Theorem 2.1.

Below we give some examples.

Example 3.2. (Fractional Camassa-Holm equation). Consider the problem (3.1) with $a = 2\kappa > 0$, $b = 3$, $c = 2$, $d = 1$, on the interval $[0, 1]$ equipped with the dynamical boundary conditions:

$$u(0, t) = 0, \quad t \geq 0,$$

$$u(1, t) = 0, \quad t \geq 0,$$

$$\partial_{+0,t}^\alpha u_x(1, t) + \frac{1}{2} u_x^2(1, t) = f(t) \geq \frac{2\kappa^2}{3}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Letting $\varphi(x) = x$, we obtain

$$\theta_1 := \frac{2\kappa^2}{3}, \quad \theta_2 := \frac{2}{9}$$

and

$$\Phi(t) - \theta_1 \geq 0, \quad \text{for all } t > 0;$$

hence it follows from Theorem 3.1 that the solution of problem (3.1) blows up in finite time under the condition

$$\int_0^1 u_0(x) x dx > -\frac{\kappa}{3}.$$

Example 3.3. (Fractional Degasperis-Procesi). Let $a = 2\kappa > 0$, $b = 4$, $c = 3$, $d = 1$, in problem (3.1) on the interval $[0, 1]$ and let the solution of problem (3.1) satisfy the nonlinear nonlocal boundary conditions:

$$u(1, t) = 0, \quad t \geq 0,$$

$$u_x(0, t) = 0, \quad t \geq 0,$$

$$\partial_{+0,t}^\alpha u_x(1, t) + \partial_{+0,t}^\alpha u(0, t) + u_x^2(1, t) = g(t) \geq \frac{\kappa^2}{2}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Then, if $\varphi(x) = x$, we obtain

$$\theta_1 := \frac{\kappa^2}{2}, \quad \theta_2 := \frac{1}{6}$$

and

$$\Phi(t) - \theta_1 \geq 0, \quad \text{for all } t > 0;$$

hence it follows from Theorem 3.1 that the solution of problem (3.1) blows up in finite time under the condition

$$\int_0^1 u_0(x) x dx > -\frac{\kappa}{4}.$$

4. BLOWING-UP SOLUTIONS OF THE TIME-FRACTIONAL OSTROVSKY EQUATION

We consider the equation

$$\partial_{+0,t}^\alpha u_x + a u_{xx} + b u_{xxxx} + (u u_x)_x = 0, \quad x \in (0, L), \quad t > 0, \quad (4.1)$$

with Cauchy data

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad x \in [0, L], \quad (4.2)$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and u_0 is a sufficiently smooth function.

Multiplying equation (4.1) by a function $\varphi(x) \in C^4([0, L])$ and integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{+0,t}^\alpha \int_0^L u(x, t) \varphi'(x) dx &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L u^2(x, t) \varphi''(x) dx \\ &+ \int_0^L u(x, t) (a\varphi''(x) + b\varphi''''(x)) dx + \mathcal{B}(u(x, t), \varphi(x)) \Big|_0^L, \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(u(x, t), \varphi(x)) &= \partial_{+0,t}^\alpha u(x, t) \varphi(x) - au_x(x, t) \varphi(x) + au(x, t) \varphi'(x) \\ &- bu_{xxx}(x, t) \varphi(x) + bu_{xx}(x, t) \varphi'(x) - bu_x(x, t) \varphi''(x) \\ &+ bu(x, t) \varphi'''(x) - u(x, t) u_x(x, t) \varphi(x) + \frac{1}{2} u^2(x, t) \varphi'(x). \end{aligned}$$

Let the function $\varphi(x)$ satisfy the properties:

$$\varphi''(x) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } x \in [0, L] \quad (4.4)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \theta_1 := \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L \frac{(a\varphi''(x) + b\varphi''''(x))^2}{\varphi''(x)} dx < \infty; \\ \theta_2 := 2 \int_0^L \frac{\varphi'^2(x)}{\varphi''(x)} dx < \infty. \end{cases} \quad (4.5)$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &2 \int_0^L u(x, t) (a\varphi''(x) + b\varphi''''(x)) dx + \int_0^L u^2(x, t) \varphi''(x) dx \\ &= \int_0^L v^2(x, t) \varphi''(x) dx - \int_0^L \frac{(a\varphi''(x) + b\varphi''''(x))^2}{\varphi''(x)} dx, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$v(x, t) = u(x, t) + \frac{a\varphi''(x) + b\varphi''''(x)}{\varphi''(x)}.$$

Using the Hölder inequality, we obtain the following estimate

$$\left(\int_0^L v(x, t) \varphi'(x) dx \right)^2 \leq \int_0^L v^2(x, t) \varphi''(x) dx \int_0^L \frac{\varphi'^2(x)}{\varphi''(x)} dx.$$

Then, the expression (4.3) can be rewritten as

$$\partial_{+0,t}^\alpha F(t) \geq \theta_2^{-1} F^2(t) + \Phi(t) - \theta_1, \quad (4.6)$$

where

$$F(t) = \int_0^L v(x, t) \varphi'(x) dx$$

and

$$\Phi(t) = \mathcal{B}(u(L, t), \varphi(L)) - \mathcal{B}(u(0, t), \varphi(0)).$$

Then the following theorem holds.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $u_0(x) \in L^1([0, L])$ and the solution u of the problem (4.1), (4.2) is such that $u \in C_{t,x}^{1,4}((0, L) \times (0, T))$ and let the function φ satisfy conditions (4.4), (4.5). If $\Phi(t) - \theta_1 \geq 0$, for all $t > 0$, and $F(0) > 0$, then*

$$F(t) \rightarrow +\infty \text{ for } t \rightarrow T^*,$$

where T^* satisfies estimate (1.17).

The Theorem 4.1 can be proved as Theorem 2.1.

Example 4.2. With $a = 1$ and $b = -1$, consider problem (4.1), (4.2) on the interval $[0, 1]$ subject to Dirichlet type boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} u(0, t) &= 0, \quad t \geq 0, \\ u(1, t) &= 0, \quad t \geq 0, \\ u_x(0, t) &= 0, \quad t \geq 0, \\ u_{xxx}(1, t) - 2u_{xx}(1, t) &= f(t), \quad t \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $f(t) \geq 1$, for all $t > 0$. Then, if $\varphi(x) = x^2$, we obtain

$$\theta_1 := 1, \quad \theta_2 := \frac{4}{3}$$

and

$$\Phi(t) - \theta_1 = f(t) - 1 \geq 0, \text{ for all } t > 0;$$

hence it follows by Theorem 4.1 that the solution of problem (4.1), (4.2) blows up in finite time under the condition

$$\int_0^1 u_0(x) x^2 dx > -\frac{1}{3}.$$

5. BLOWING-UP SOLUTIONS OF THE TIME-FRACTIONAL MODIFIED KDV-BURGERS EQUATION

Consider the initial value problem for the time-fractional analogue of the well-known modified Korteweg-de Vries-Burgers equation with dissipation:

$$\partial_{+0,t}^\alpha u + u^2 u_x + a u_{xxx} - b u_{xx} = 0, \quad x \in (0, L), \quad t > 0, \quad (5.1)$$

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad x \in [0, L], \quad (5.2)$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and u_0 is a sufficiently smooth function.

Let a function $\varphi \in C^3([0, L])$ satisfy the properties:

$$\varphi(x) \leq 0, \quad \varphi'(x) \geq 0 \text{ for } x \in [0, L], \quad (5.3)$$

$$3a\varphi'(x) + 2b\varphi(x) \leq 0 \text{ for } x \in [0, L], \quad (5.4)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \theta_1 := 2 \int_0^L \frac{(a\varphi'''(x) + b\varphi''(x))^2}{\varphi'(x)} dx < \infty; \\ \theta_2 := \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L \frac{\varphi^2(x)}{\varphi'(x)} dx < \infty. \end{cases} \quad (5.5)$$

Multiplying equation (5.1) by $u(x, t)\varphi(x)$ and integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^L \partial_{+0,t}^\alpha u(x, t) u(x, t) \varphi(x) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \int_0^L u^4(x, t) \varphi'(x) dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L u^2(x, t) (a\varphi'''(x) + b\varphi''(x)) dx \\ & \quad - \int_0^L u_x^2(x, t) \left(\frac{3a}{2} \varphi'(x) + b\varphi(x) \right) dx + \mathcal{B}(u(x, t), \varphi(x)) \Big|_0^L, \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(u(x, t), \varphi(x)) &= -\frac{1}{4} u^4(x, t) \varphi(x) + u(x, t) u_x(x, t) (a\varphi'(x) + b\varphi(x)) \\ & \quad - \frac{u^2(x, t)}{2} (a\varphi''(x) + b\varphi'(x)) + \frac{a}{2} u_x^2(x, t) \varphi(x) \\ & \quad - au(x, t) u_{xx}(x, t) \varphi(x). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L u^2(x, t) (a\varphi'''(x) + b\varphi''(x)) dx + \frac{1}{4} \int_0^L u^4(x, t) \varphi'(x) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \int_0^L v^4(x, t) \varphi'(x) dx - \frac{1}{4} \int_0^L \frac{(a\varphi'''(x) + b\varphi''(x))^2}{\varphi'(x)} dx, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$v^2(x, t) = u^2(x, t) + \frac{a\varphi'''(x) + b\varphi''(x)}{\varphi'(x)}.$$

Using the Hölder inequality and inequality (1.15), we obtain

$$\left(\int_0^L v^2(x, t) \varphi(x) dx \right)^2 \leq \int_0^L v^4(x, t) \varphi'(x) dx \int_0^L \frac{\varphi^2(x)}{\varphi'(x)} dx,$$

$$\partial_{+0,t}^\alpha u(x, t) u(x, t) \varphi(x) \geq \frac{1}{2} \partial_{+0,t}^\alpha (u^2(x, t) \varphi(x)).$$

From (5.4), we also get

$$-\int_0^L u_x^2(x, t) \left(\frac{3a}{2} \varphi'(x) + b\varphi(x) \right) dx \geq 0.$$

Then, expression (5.6) takes the form

$$\partial_{+0,t}^\alpha F(t) \geq \theta_2^{-1} F^2(t) + \Phi(t) - \theta_1, \quad (5.7)$$

where

$$F(t) = \int_0^L v^2(x, t) \varphi(x) dx$$

and

$$\Phi(t) = 2\mathcal{B}(u(L, t), \varphi(L)) - 2\mathcal{B}(u(0, t), \varphi(0)).$$

Then the following theorem holds.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $u_0(x) \in L^1([0, L])$ and the solution u of the equation (5.1) is such that $u \in C_{t,x}^{1,3}((0, L) \times (0, T))$ and let the function φ satisfy conditions (5.3), (5.4) and (5.5). If $\Phi(t) - \theta_1 \geq 0$, for all $t > 0$, and $F(0) > 0$, then*

$$F(t) \rightarrow +\infty \text{ for } t \rightarrow T^*,$$

where T^* satisfies estimate (1.17).

Proof. Since $\Phi(t) - \theta_1 \geq 0$, for all $t > 0$, it follows from (5.7) that

$$\partial_{+0,t}^\alpha \tilde{F}(t) \geq \tilde{F}^2(t),$$

where $\tilde{F}(t) = \theta_2 F(t)$.

As the function $\tilde{F}(t)$ is an upper solution of equation (1.16), therefore $\tilde{F}(t) \rightarrow +\infty$ for $t \rightarrow T^*$, where T^* satisfies estimate (1.17). Whereupon $F(t) \rightarrow +\infty$ for $t \rightarrow T^*$. \square

Example 5.2. Consider problem (5.1) with $a = 2$ and $b = 3$ on the interval $[0, 1]$, supplemented with Dirichlet type boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} u(0, t) &= 0, \quad t \geq 0, \\ u(1, t) &= 0, \quad t \geq 0, \\ u_x(1, t) &= \sqrt{e} u_x(0, t), \quad t \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $e = \exp(1)$ is Euler's number. Then, if

$$\varphi(x) = -\exp(-x),$$

we obtain

$$\theta_1 := 0, \quad \theta_2 := \frac{1 - e^{-1}}{2}$$

and

$$\Phi(t) = \theta_1 = 0, \quad \text{for all } t > 0;$$

hence it follows from Theorem 5.1 that the solution of problem (5.1) blows up in finite time under the condition

$$\int_0^1 u_0^2(x) \exp(-x) dx < 1 - e^{-1}.$$

Example 5.3. Let $a = 0$ and $b > 0$. Let in problem (5.1) on the interval $[0, 1]$ be given Dirichlet boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} u(0, t) &= 0, \quad t \geq 0, \\ u(1, t) &= 0, \quad t \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then, if

$$\varphi(x) = x - 1,$$

we obtain

$$\theta_1 := 0, \quad \theta_2 := \frac{1}{6}$$

and

$$\Phi(t) = \theta_1 = 0, \quad \text{for all } t > 0;$$

hence it follows from Theorem 5.1 that the solution of problem (5.1) blows up in finite time under the condition

$$\int_0^1 u_0^2(x)(x - 1) dx > 0,$$

.

6. MAXIMUM PRINCIPLE AND GRADIENT BLOW-UP IN TIME-FRACTIONAL BURGERS EQUATION

The purpose of this section is to study time-fractional Burgers equation

$$\partial_{+0,t}^\alpha u + uu_x = \nu u_{xx}, \quad x \in (0, L), \quad t > 0, \quad (6.1)$$

with the initial condition

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad x \in [0, L], \quad (6.2)$$

where $\nu > 0$ and u_0 is a sufficiently smooth function.

6.1. Maximum principle. In this subsection, we present a maximum principle for the time-fractional Burgers equation (6.1).

Theorem 6.1. *Let $u(x, t)$ satisfy the time-fractional Burgers equation (6.1) with Cauchy data (6.2). Then*

$$u(x, t) \geq \min_{(x,t)} \{u(0, t), u(L, t), u_0(x)\} \text{ for } (x, t) \in [0, L] \times [0, T].$$

Proof. Let

$$m = \min_{(x,t)} \{u(a, t), u(b, t), u_0(x)\}$$

and

$$\tilde{u}(x, t) = u(x, t) - m.$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u}(0, t) &= u(0, t) - m \geq 0, \quad t \in [0, T], \\ \tilde{u}(L, t) &= u(L, t) - m \geq 0, \quad t \in [0, T], \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\tilde{u}(x, 0) = u_0(x) - m \geq 0, \quad x \in [0, L].$$

Since

$$\partial_{+0,t}^\alpha \tilde{u}(x, t) = \partial_{+0,t}^\alpha u(x, t)$$

and

$$\tilde{u}_{xx}(x, t) = u_{xx}(x, t),$$

it follows that $\tilde{u}(x, t)$ satisfies:

$$\partial_{+0,t}^\alpha \tilde{u}(x, t) + \tilde{u}(x, t) \tilde{u}_x(x, t) + m \tilde{u}_x(x, t) = \nu \tilde{u}_{xx}(x, t),$$

and the initial condition

$$\tilde{u}(x, 0) = u_0(x) - m \geq 0, \quad x \in [a, b].$$

Suppose that there exists some $(x, t) \in [0, L] \times [0, T]$ such that $\tilde{u}(x, t)$ is negative. Since

$$\tilde{u}(x, t) \geq 0, \quad (x, t) \in \{0\} \times [0, T] \cup \{L\} \times [0, T] \cup [0, L] \times \{0\},$$

there is $(x_0, t_0) \in (0, L) \times (0, T]$ such that $\tilde{u}(x_0, t_0)$ is the negative minimum of \tilde{u} over $(0, L) \times (0, T]$. It follows from Property 1.5 that $\partial_{+0,t}^\alpha u(x_0, t_0) < 0$.

Therefore at (x_0, t_0) , we get

$$\partial_{+0,t}^\alpha \tilde{u}(x_0, t_0) < 0, \quad \tilde{u}_x(x_0, t_0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \nu \tilde{u}_{xx}(x_0, t_0) \geq 0.$$

This contradiction shows that $\tilde{u}(x, t) \geq 0$, whereupon $u(x, t) \geq m$ on $[0, L] \times [0, T]$. \square

A similar result can be obtained for a nonpositive solution $u(x, t)$ by considering $-u(x, t)$.

Theorem 6.2. *Suppose that $u(x, t)$ satisfies (6.1), (6.2). Then*

$$u(x, t) \leq \max_{(x,t)} \{u(L, t), u(0, t), u(x, 0)\}, \quad (x, t) \in [0, L] \times [0, T].$$

6.2. Gradient blow-up. Now suppose that the boundary conditions are set in such a way that the global in time solution of equation (6.1) is bounded. Let there exist a smooth bounded solution u such that $|u(x, t)| \leq M$. Differentiating equation (6.1) with respect to x , we obtain

$$\partial_{+0,t}^\alpha u_x + uu_{xx} + u_x^2 - \nu u_{xxx} = 0, \quad x \in (0, L), \quad t > 0. \quad (6.3)$$

Substituting the expression for u_{xx} from (6.1) into (6.3), we obtain

$$\partial_{+0,t}^\alpha u_x + u_x^2 + \frac{1}{\nu} u \partial_{+0,t}^\alpha u + \frac{1}{\nu} u^2 u_x = \nu u_{xxx}, \quad x \in (0, L), \quad t > 0. \quad (6.4)$$

Multiply the equation (6.4) by the function $0 \leq \varphi(x) \in C^3([0, L])$ and integrate by parts over the domain $[0, L]$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^L \left(\partial_{+0,t}^\alpha u_x(x, t) + \frac{1}{\nu} u(x, t) \partial_{+0,t}^\alpha u(x, t) \right) \varphi(x) dx \\ &= - \int_0^L \left(u_x^2(x, t) + \frac{1}{\nu} u^2(x, t) u_x(x, t) \right) \varphi(x) dx - \nu \int_0^L u(x, t) \varphi'''(x) dx \\ & \quad + \nu (u_{xx}(x, t) \varphi(x) - u_x(x, t) \varphi'(x) + u(x, t) \varphi''(x)). \end{aligned} \quad (6.5)$$

Denote $v = -u_x - \frac{1}{2\nu} u^2$. Then, using $|u(x, t)| \leq M$ and inequality (1.15), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{+0,t}^\alpha \int_0^L v(x, t) \varphi(x) dx &\geq \int_0^L v^2(x, t) \varphi(x) dx \\ &\quad - \frac{M^4}{4\nu^2} \int_0^L \varphi(x) dx - M\nu \int_0^L |\varphi'''(x)| dx \\ &\quad - \nu (u_{xx}(x, t) \varphi(x) - u_x(x, t) \varphi'(x) + u(x, t) \varphi''(x)) \Big|_0^L. \end{aligned} \quad (6.6)$$

Let

$$\theta_1 := \frac{M^4}{4\nu^2} \int_0^L \varphi(x) dx + M\nu \int_0^L |\varphi'''(x)| dx < \infty, \quad (6.7)$$

$$\theta_2 := \int_0^L \varphi(x) dx < \infty. \quad (6.8)$$

Using Hölder inequality, we can rewrite the expression (6.6) in the form

$$\partial_{+0,t}^\alpha F(t) \geq \theta_2^{-1} F^2(t) + \Phi(t) - \theta_1, \quad (6.9)$$

where

$$F(t) = \int_0^L v(x, t) \varphi(x) dx$$

and

$$\Phi(t) = -\nu \left(u_{xx}(x, t)\varphi(x) - u_x(x, t)\varphi'(x) + u(x, t)\varphi''(x) \right) \Big|_0^L.$$

Then the following theorem holds.

Theorem 6.3. *Let $u_0(x) \in C^1([0, L])$ and the solution u of the equation (6.1) be such that $u \in C_{t,x}^{1,3}((0, L) \times (0, T))$ and let the function φ satisfy conditions (6.7) and (6.8). If $\Phi(t) - \theta_1 \geq 0$, for all $t > 0$, and*

$$F(0) = - \int_0^L \left(u'_0(x) + \frac{1}{2\nu} u_0^2(x) \right) \varphi(x) dx > 0,$$

then

$$F(t) \rightarrow +\infty \text{ for } t \rightarrow T^*,$$

where T^* satisfies estimate (1.17).

We do not provide the proof this theorem as it runs parallel to that of Theorem 5.1.

CONCLUSION

In this article, we have studied blowing-up solutions to some time-fractional non-linear partial differential equations. In precise terms, we have obtained the following results:

- Blowing-up solutions of the time-fractional Rosenau-KdV-BBM-Burgers equation with initial conditions;
- Blowing-up solutions of the time-fractional Camassa-Holm–Degasperis-Procesi equation with initial conditions;
- Blowing-up solutions of the time-fractional Ostrovsky equation with Cauchy data;
- Blowing-up solutions of the initial problem for the time-fractional analogue of the modified Korteweg-de Vries-Burgers equation with dissipation;
- Maximum principle and gradient blow-up in time-fractional Burgers equation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The research of Ahmad, Alsaedi and Kirane is supported by NAAM research group, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah. The research of Torebek is financially supported by the 5–100 program of RUDN University and by a grant No.AP05131756 from the Ministry of Science and Education of the Republic of Kazakhstan. No new data was collected or generated during the course of research

REFERENCES

- [AAAKT15] B. Ahmad, M. S. Alhothuali, H. H. Alsulami, M. Kirane, S. Timoshin. On a time fractional reaction diffusion equation. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*. 257, 199–204 (2015).
- [AAK17] A. Alsaedi, B. Ahmad, M. Kirane. A survey of useful inequalities in fractional calculus. *Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis*. 20:3, 574–594 (2017).
- [AAKMA17] A. Alsaedi, B. Ahmad, M. Kirane, F. Musalhi, F. Alzahrani. Blowing-up solutions for a nonlinear time-fractional system. *Bull. Math. Sci.* 7, 201–210 (2017).

- [AKT19a] A. Alsaedi, M. Kirane, B. T. Torebek. Blow-up of smooth solutions of the time-fractional Burgers equation. *Quaestiones Mathematicae*. doi: 10.2989/16073606.2018.1544596 (2019).
- [AKT19b] A. Alsaedi, M. Kirane, B. T. Torebek. Global existence and blow-up for space and time nonlocal reaction-diffusion equation. arxiv. 1–7 (2019).
- [Bat15] H. Bateman. Some recent researches on the motion of fluids. *Monthly Weather Review*. 43:4, 163–170 (1915).
- [BBM72] T. B. Benjamin, J. L. Bona, J. J. Mahony. Model equations for long waves in nonlinear dispersive systems. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Ser. A*. 272, 47–78 (1972).
- [BS76] J. L. Bona, R. Smith. A model for the two-way propagation of water waves in a channel. *Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.* 79, 167–182 (1976).
- [Bur48] J. M. Burgers. A mathematical model illustrating the theory of turbulence. *Advances in applied mechanics*. 1, 171–199 (1948).
- [CH93] R. Camassa, D. D. Holm. An integrable shallow water equation with peaked solitons. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 71:11, 1661–1664 (1993).
- [CSWSS18] J. Cao, G. Song, J. Wang, Q. Shi, S. Sun. Blow-up and global solutions for a class of time fractional nonlinear reaction-diffusion equation with weakly spatial source. *Applied Mathematics Letters*. 91, 201–206 (2019).
- [FH18] F. Ferdous, M. G. Hafez. Nonlinear time fractional Korteweg-de Vries equations for the interaction of wave phenomena in fluid-filled elastic tubes. *The European Physical Journal Plus*. 133, 1–11 (2018).
- [FPS01] M. Francius, E. N. Pelinovsky, A. V. Slunyaev. Wave dynamics in nonlinear media with two dispersionless limits for long and short waves. *Phys. Lett. A*. 280:2, 53–57 (2001).
- [HKL14] D. Hnaien, F. Kellil, R. Lassoued. Blowing-up solutions and global solutions to a fractional differential equation. *Fractional Differential Calculus*. 4:1, 45–53 (2014).
- [HHG19] M. Hussain, S. Haq, A. Ghafoor. Meshless spectral method for solution of time-fractional coupled KdV equations. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*. 341, 321–334 (2019).
- [KV95] D. J. Korteweg, G. de Vries. On the Change of Form of Long Waves Advancing in a Rectangular Canal, and on a New Type of Long Stationary Waves. *Philosophical Magazine*. 39, 422–443 (1895).
- [KST06] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava, J. J. Trujillo. *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*. Elsevier, North-Holland, 2006.
- [KNS08] A. Kiselev, F. Nazarov, R. Shterenberg. Blow up and regularity for fractal Burgers equation. *Dyn. Partial Differ. Equ.* 5:3, 211–240 (2008).
- [Kor12a] M. O. Korpusov. On the blow-up of solutions of the Benjamin-Bona-Mahony-Burgers and Rosenau-Burgers equations. *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications*. 75:4, 1737–1743 (2012).
- [Kor12b] M. O. Korpusov. Blow-up of solutions of the three-dimensional Rosenau-Burgers equation. *Theoretical and Mathematical Physics*. 170:3, 280–286 (2012).
- [KP13] M. O. Korpusov, A. A. Panin. Local solvability and solution blowup for the Benjamin-Bona-Mahony-Burgers equation with a nonlocal boundary condition. *Theoretical and Mathematical Physics*. 175:2, 580–591 (2013).
- [KY14] M. O. Korpusov, E. V. Yushkov. Local solvability and blow-up for Benjamin-Bona-Mahony-Burgers, Rosenau-Burgers and Korteweg-de Vries-Benjamin-Bona-Mahony equations. *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*. 2014, 1–16 (2014).
- [KY15] M. O. Korpusov, E. V. Yushkov. Global unsolvability of one-dimensional problems for Burgers-type equations. *Mathematical Notes*. 98:3-4, 503–514 (2015).
- [LZR16] D. Li, C. Zhang, M. Ran. A linear finite difference scheme for generalized time fractional Burgers equation. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*. 40, 6069–6081 (2016).
- [LPS10] Y. Liu, D. Pelinovsky, A. Sakovich. Wave breaking in the Ostrovsky-Hunter equation. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 42:5, 1967–1985 (2010).
- [Lu09] Y. Luchko. Maximum principle for the generalized time-fractional diffusion equation. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*. 351, 218–223 (2009).

- [LV18] P. Lyu, S.Vong. A linearized second-order finite difference scheme for time fractional generalized BBM equation. *Applied Mathematics Letters*. 78, 16–23 (2018).
- [MM02] Y. Martel, F. Merle. Blow up in finite time and dynamics of blow up solutions for the L^2 -critical generalized KdV equation. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, **15**:3, 617–664 (2002).
- [MM14] Y. Martel, F. Merle. Stability of blow-up profile and lower bounds for blow-up rate for the critical generalized KdV equation. *Annals of mathematics*, **155**:1, 235–280 (2014).
- [MMR14] Y. Martel, F. Merle, P. Raphaël. Blow up for the critical generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation. I: Dynamics near the soliton. *Acta Mathematica*, **212**:1, 59–140 (2014).
- [MP98] E. Mitidieri, S. I. Pokhozhaev. The absence of global positive solutions of quasilinear elliptic inequalities. *Dokl. Math.* 57:2, 250–253 (1998).
- [MP01] E. Mitidieri, S. I. Pokhozhaev. A priori estimates and blow-up of solutions of nonlinear partial differential equations and inequalities. *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* 234, 1–362 (2001).
- [MP04] E. Mitidieri, S. I. Pokhozhaev. Towards a unified approach to nonexistence of solutions for a class of differential inequalities. *Milan J. Math.* 72, 129–162 (2004).
- [Nak03] A. M. Nakhushev. *Fractional calculus and its applications*. Fizmatlit, Moscow, 2003.
- [Ost78] L. A. Ostrovsky. Nonlinear internal waves in a rotating ocean. *Oceanology*. 18, 119–125 (1978).
- [Pav18] J.A. Pava. Stability properties of solitary waves for fractional KdV and BBM equations. *Nonlinearity*. 31:3, 920–956 (2018).
- [Po10] S. I. Pohozaev. On the Singular Solutions of the Kortewegde Vries Equation. *Math. Notes*. 88:5, 741–747 (2010).
- [Po10a] S. I. Pohozaev. On a class of singular solutions to the Korteweg-de Vries equation. *Dokl. Math.* 82:3, 936–938 (2010).
- [Po11] S. I. Pohozaev. On the nonexistence of global solutions for some initial-boundary value problems for the Korteweg-de Vries equation. *Differ. Equ.* 47:4, 488–493 (2011).
- [Po11a] S. I. Pohozaev. Weighted Identities for the Solutions of Generalized Korteweg-de Vries Equations. *Math. Notes*. 89:3, 382–396 (2011).
- [Po12] S. I. Pohozaev. Blow-up of smooth solutions of the Korteweg-de Vries equation. *Non-linear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications*. 75:12, 4688–4698 (2012).
- [Po12a] S. I. Pohozaev. On a class of initial-boundary value problems for equations of Korteweg-de Vries type. *Differ. Equ.* 48:3, 372–378 (2012).
- [Po12b] S. I. Pohozaev. On the nonexistence of global solutions of the Cauchy problem for the Korteweg-de Vries equation. *Funct. Anal. Appl.* 46:4, 279–286 (2012).
- [QTWZ17] C. Y. Qin, S. F. Tian, X. B. Wang, T. T. Zhang. Lie Symmetries, Conservation Laws and Explicit Solutions for Time Fractional Rosenau-Haynam Equation. *Communications in Theoretical Physics*. 67:2, 157–165 (2017).
- [Ros86] P. Rosenau. A quasi-continuous description of a nonlinear transmission line. *Phys. Scripta*. 34, 827–829 (1986).
- [Ros89] P. Rosenau. Extending hydrodynamics via the regularization of the Chapman-Enskog expansion. *Phys. Rev. A*. 40:12, 7193–7196 (1989).
- [SBA18] K. M. Saad, D. Baleanu, A. Atangana. New fractional derivatives applied to the Korteweg-de Vries and Korteweg-de Vries-Burger’s equations. *Comp. Appl. Math.* 37, 5203–5216 (2018).
- [SB12] R. Sahadevan, T. Bakkyaraj. Invariant analysis of time fractional generalized Burgers and Korteweg-de Vries equations. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*. 393:2, 341–347 (2012).
- [SKM87] S. G. Samko, A. A. Kilbas, and O. I. Marichev. *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives, Theory and Applications*. Gordon and Breach, Amsterdam, 1993.
- [Shu87] J.-J. Shu. The proper analytical solution of the Korteweg-de Vries-Burgers equation. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*. 20:2, 49–56, (1987).

- [SG69] C. H. Su, C. S. Gardner. Derivation of the Korteweg-de Vries and Burgers' equation. *J. Math. Phys.* 10:3, 536–539 (1969).
- [Tor19] B. T. Torebek. Global Unsolvability of the Burgers Equation with Fractional Time Derivative. *Differential Equations.* 55:6, 867–870 (2019).
- [XA13] Y. Xu, O. P. Agrawal. Numerical solutions and analysis of diffusion for new generalized fractional Burgers equation. *Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis.* 16:3, 709–736 (2013).
- [XX18] Q. Xu, Y. Xu. Extremely low order time-fractional differential equation and application in combustion process. *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation.* 64, 135–148 (2018).
- [Yok18] A. Yokus. Comparison of Caputo and conformable derivatives for time-fractional Korteweg-de Vries equation via the finite difference method. *International Journal of Modern Physics B.* 32:29, 1850365 (2018).
- [Zh05] L. Zhang. A finite difference scheme for generalized regularized long-wave equation. *Appl. Math. Comput.* 168:2, 962–972 (2005).

BASHIR AHMAD

NAAM RESEARCH GROUP, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
FACULTY OF SCIENCE, KING ABDULAZIZ UNIVERSITY,
P.O. Box 80203, JEDDAH 21589, SAUDI ARABIA
E-mail address: bashirahmad-qau@yahoo.com

AHMED ALSAEDI

NAAM RESEARCH GROUP, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
FACULTY OF SCIENCE, KING ABDULAZIZ UNIVERSITY,
P.O. Box 80203, JEDDAH 21589, SAUDI ARABIA
E-mail address: aalsaedi@hotmail.com

MOKHTAR KIRANE

LASIE, FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES,
POLE SCIENCES ET TECHNOLOGIES, UNIVERSITÉ DE LA ROCHELLE
AVENUE M. CREPEAU, 17042 LA ROCHELLE CEDEX, FRANCE
NAAM RESEARCH GROUP, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
FACULTY OF SCIENCE, KING ABDULAZIZ UNIVERSITY,
P.O. Box 80203, JEDDAH 21589, SAUDI ARABIA
E-mail address: mkirane@univ-lr.fr

BERIKBOL T. TOREBEK

AL-FARABI KAZAKH NATIONAL UNIVERSITY
AL-FARABI AVE. 71, 050040, ALMATY, KAZAKHSTAN
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND MATHEMATICAL MODELING
125 PUSHKIN STR., 050010 ALMATY, KAZAKHSTAN
RUDN UNIVERSITY, 6 MIKLUKHO-MAKLAY ST., 117198 MOSCOW, RUSSIA
E-mail address: torebek@math.kz