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Abstract. In this paper, we prove the existence of finite-energy weak solutions to the compressible, isentropic Euler equations given arbitrary spherically symmetric initial data of finite energy. In particular, we show that the solutions obtained to the spherically symmetric Euler equations in the recent works by Chen-Perepelitsa and Chen-Schrecker, [3, 4], are weak solutions of the multi-dimensional compressible Euler equations. This follows from new uniform estimates made on artificial viscosity approximations up to the origin, removing previous restrictions on admissible test functions and ruling out formation of an artificial boundary layer at the origin. The uniform estimates may be of independent interest concerning the possible rate of blow-up of density and velocity at the origin for spherically symmetric flows.

1. Introduction and Main Result

The spherically symmetric, isentropic Euler equations have been a subject of active interest since at least the 1940s. In several pioneering works, especially those of Guderley [6], cf. Courant and Friedrichs [5], certain special solutions were analysed, giving evidence of the possibility of finite-time blow-up of the density and velocity at the origin for spherically symmetric solutions (see also the recent work of Jenssen and Tsikkou [8] for the full Euler system). However, the general question of existence of spherically symmetric solutions of the compressible, isentropic Euler equations for arbitrary spherically symmetric initial data has remained open until now, except for the case excluding the origin, solved by Chen [1]. The compressible, isentropic Euler equations in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) are

\[
\begin{aligned}
\partial_t \rho + \text{div}_x (\rho u) &= 0, \\
\partial_t (\rho u) + \text{div}_x (\rho u \otimes u) + \nabla_x p(\rho) &= 0,
\end{aligned}
\]

where \( \rho : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \) is the density of a given fluid (and hence \( \rho \geq 0 \)), \( u : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n \) is its velocity, and the scalar function \( p(\rho) \geq 0 \) is the pressure. We write \( \mathbb{R}^+ = (0, \infty) \) throughout. In this work, we will consider the pressure laws given by the equation of state of a polytropic gas, that is \( p(\rho) = \kappa \rho^\gamma \) for some \( \gamma \in (1, \infty) \) and \( \kappa > 0 \). By appropriate scaling, we assume without loss of generality that \( \kappa = (\gamma - 1)^2 / 4 \gamma \).

We consider the Cauchy problem for (1.1) by imposing initial data

\[
(\rho, u)|_{t=0} = (\rho_0, u_0).
\]

We recall that a pair \((\rho, u)\) is said to be of finite energy for the Euler equations if

\[
E_+ [\rho, u] := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \frac{1}{2} \rho |u|^2 + \frac{\kappa \rho^\gamma}{\gamma - 1} \right) \, dx < \infty.
\]

Definition 1.1. Let \((\rho_0, u_0) \in L^1_{\text{loc}} (\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^{n+1})\) be of finite energy, \( \rho_0 \geq 0 \). We say a pair of functions \((\rho, u) \in L^1_{\text{loc}} (\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^{n+1})\) with \( \rho \geq 0 \) is a finite-energy weak solution of (1.1)–(1.2)
if \( E_\varepsilon[\rho, u](t) < \infty \) for almost every \( t > 0 \) and, for all \( \varphi \in C_c^\infty([0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n) \),
\[
\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\rho \varphi_t + \rho u \cdot \nabla_x \varphi) \, dx \, dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho_0(x) \varphi(0, x) \, dx = 0,
\]
and, for all \( \varphi \in C_c^\infty([0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n) \),
\[
\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\rho u \cdot \varphi_t + (\rho u \otimes u) : \nabla_x \varphi + p(\rho) \operatorname{div}_x \varphi) \, dx \, dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho_0 u_0(x) \cdot \varphi(0, x) \, dx = 0.
\]

For spherically symmetric motion, there exist scalar functions \( \rho(t, r) \) and \( u(t, r) \), where \( r = |x| \), such that
\[
\rho(t, x) = \rho(t, r), \quad u(t, x) = u(t, r) \frac{x}{|x|}, \tag{1.3}
\]

Then, defining the momentum \( m = \rho u \), the Euler equations (1.1) take the form
\[
\begin{aligned}
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
(r^{n-1}\rho)_t + (r^{n-1}m)_r = 0, \\
(r^{n-1}m)_t + (r^{n-1}m \rho)_r + r^{n-1}p(r) = 0,
\end{array} \right. 
\tag{1.4}
\end{aligned}
\]

**Definition 1.2.** Let \( (\rho_0, m_0) \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2) \) with \( \rho_0 \geq 0 \) and \( m_0 = \rho_0 u_0 \) be of finite-energy, i.e.
\[
E[\rho_0, m_0] := \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{1}{2} m_0^2 + \frac{\kappa\rho_0^\gamma}{\gamma - 1} r^{n-1} \right) dr = E_0 < \infty.
\]

Then a pair of functions \((\rho, m) \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2) \) with \( \rho \geq 0 \) is a \textit{finite-energy weak solution} of the spherically symmetric Euler equations (1.4) with initial data \((\rho_0, m_0)\) if \( E[\rho, m](t) < \infty \) for almost every \( t > 0 \) and, for all \( \varphi \in C_c^\infty([0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^2) \),
\[
\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty (\rho \varphi_t + m \varphi_r) r^{n-1} dr \, dt + \int_0^\infty \rho_0(r) \varphi(0,r) r^{n-1} dr = 0,
\tag{1.5}
\]

and, for all \( \varphi \in C_c^\infty([0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^2) \) such that \( \varphi(t, 0) = 0 \) for all \( t \geq 0 \),
\[
\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty (m \varphi_t + \frac{n-1}{r} \varphi_r + p(\rho) \frac{m}{\rho} \varphi) r^{n-1} dr \, dt
+ \int_0^\infty m_0(r) \varphi(0,r) r^{n-1} dr = 0.
\tag{1.6}
\]

The formulations of Definition 1.1 and Definition 1.2 are equivalent via (1.3) (see Appendix and e.g. [7, Theorem 5.7] for details). The main result of this note is

**Theorem 1.3.** Suppose \( p(\rho) = \kappa \rho^\gamma, \gamma > 1 \). Let \((\rho_0, u_0) \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^{n+1})\), \( \rho_0 \geq 0 \), be spherically symmetric data of finite energy. Then there exists a spherically symmetric finite-energy weak solution \((\rho, u)\) of the Euler equations (1.1)–(1.2) in the sense of Definition 1.1.

In particular, there exist functions \( \rho(t, r) \) and \( u(t, r) \) such that
\[
\rho(t, x) = \rho(t, r), \quad u(t, x) = u(t, r) \frac{x}{|x|}, \tag{1.7}
\]

where \((\rho(t, r), m(t, r))\) with \( m = \rho u \) is a finite-energy weak solution of the spherically symmetric Euler equations (1.4) in the sense of Definition 1.2.

In [3], Chen–Peresilota solved system (1.4) for weak solutions with a restricted weak formulation for \( \gamma \in (1, 3] \) via a vanishing artificial viscosity method, using the following approximate equations for viscosity \( \varepsilon > 0 \) on a truncated domain, \((t, r) \in (0, T) \times (a(\varepsilon), b(\varepsilon))\),
\[
\begin{aligned}
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
(r^{n-1}\rho^\varepsilon)_t + (r^{n-1}m^\varepsilon)_r = \varepsilon (r^{n-1}\rho^\varepsilon)_r, \\
(r^{n-1}m^\varepsilon)_t + (r^{n-1}m^\varepsilon \rho^\varepsilon)_r + r^{n-1}p(\rho^\varepsilon) = \varepsilon (r^{n-1}m^\varepsilon)_{rr} - \frac{\varepsilon n - 1}{r} (r^{n-1}m^\varepsilon)_r,
\end{array} \right. 
\tag{1.8}
\end{aligned}
\]

with smooth approximate initial data
\[
(\rho^\varepsilon, m^\varepsilon)|_{t=0} = (\rho_0^\varepsilon, m_0^\varepsilon) \tag{1.9}
\]
and mixed Dirichlet/Neumann boundary conditions
\[ (\rho^\varepsilon_s, m^\varepsilon)|_{r=a} = (0, 0), \quad (\rho^\varepsilon, m^\varepsilon)|_{r=b} = (\hat{\rho}(\varepsilon), 0), \]
with \( \hat{\rho}(\varepsilon) \to 0 \) as \( \varepsilon \to 0 \), where \( p_s(\rho) = p(\rho) + \delta \rho^2 \) and \( \delta \to 0 \) as \( \varepsilon \to 0 \). Here \( a(\varepsilon) \in (0, 1) \), \( b(\varepsilon) \in (1, \infty) \) for each \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and, as \( \varepsilon \to 0 \), \( a(\varepsilon) \to 0, b(\varepsilon) \to \infty \). Subsequently, Chen and the author showed in [4] how the construction could be extended to cover the full range \( \gamma \in (1, \infty) \).

In the results of [3, 4], the weak formulation satisfied by the obtained solution \( (\rho, m) \) of (1.4) required restrictions on the space of admissible test functions. In particular, in [3, 4], it is required that for both equations in (1.4) the test function \( \varphi \in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}^3) \) additionally satisfies \( \varphi_r(t, 0) = 0 \) for all \( t \) (as well as the correct condition \( \varphi(t, 0) = 0 \) for the test function in the momentum equation). Such an assumption restricts the admissible test functions in the weak formulation of (1.1) (see Appendix for details), and hence it is unclear whether the obtained solutions are indeed weak solutions of (1.1) in the proper sense of Definition 1.1. In [3, 4], the additional assumption on test functions at the origin was used primarily to handle the convergence of the flux term in the momentum equation, see [3, Section 3.4] for details. In particular, the uniform energy bounds (see Proposition 1.4) provide only \( L^1 \) bounds on the momentum flux up to the origin, hence do not allow for passage to the limit up to the origin.

In this note, we demonstrate that the solutions do indeed satisfy the correct weak formulation by proving uniform estimates on the approximate solutions up to the origin, \( r = 0 \), allowing for the passage to the limit with general test functions without additional assumptions and the proof of Theorem 1.3.\footnote{Since the writing of this note, G.-Q. Chen has informed me in a private correspondence that he and Y. Wang have an alternative proof of the full weak formulation, however without the higher integrability estimate up to the origin.}

Both the works [3] and [4] showed the convergence of the approximate solutions to a strong limit using the technique of compensated compactness (developed by Tartar [12] and Murat [11]) in the finite energy framework initiated by LeFloch–Westdickenberg [9] for the Euler equations with geometric effects. This framework was subsequently developed by Chen–Perepelitsa in [2] and relies crucially on an estimate for the mechanical energy.

Before stating our new uniform estimates, we therefore first recall from [3, 4] the main energy estimate.

**Proposition 1.4.** Let
\[ E_0 := \sup_{\varepsilon} \int_a^b \left( \frac{1}{2} \rho_0^\varepsilon (u_0^\varepsilon)^2 + h_\varepsilon(\rho_0^\varepsilon, \hat{\rho}) \right) r^{n-1} dr < \infty, \]
where \( h_\varepsilon(\rho, \hat{\rho}) = h(\rho) - h(\hat{\rho}) - h_\varepsilon(\hat{\rho})(\rho - \hat{\rho}) \) and \( h(\rho) = \frac{\rho^\gamma}{\gamma - 1} + \delta \rho^2 \).

Then, for each \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and any \( T > 0 \), there exists a unique, smooth solution \( (\rho^\varepsilon, m^\varepsilon) \) to (1.8)–(1.10) satisfying also
\[ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_a^b \left( \frac{1}{2} \rho^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon)^2 + h_\varepsilon(\rho^\varepsilon, \hat{\rho}) \right) r^{n-1} dr 
+ \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_a^b \left( h_\varepsilon''(\rho^\varepsilon) |\rho^\varepsilon|^2 + \rho^\varepsilon |u^\varepsilon|^2 + (n - 1) \frac{\rho^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon)^2}{\gamma^2} \right) r^{n-1} dr \, dt \leq E_0. \tag{1.11} \]

For future use, we note that, for \( \hat{\rho} \) bounded, as \( \rho \) grows large, \( h_\varepsilon(\rho, \hat{\rho}) \) grows as \( \rho^\gamma \). Hence we have the easy estimate for all \( \rho \geq 0 \),
\[ \rho + \rho^\gamma \leq M(h_\varepsilon(\rho, \hat{\rho}) + 1). \tag{1.12} \]
To make our uniform estimates, we suppose there exists \( M_0 > 0 \), independent of \( \varepsilon \), such that

\[
\varepsilon \frac{b^n}{a} + \delta \log(a)(1 + \frac{b^n}{\varepsilon}) + \rho^b |\log(a)| + \rho^\gamma b^n + \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{a} \leq M_0.
\]  

(1.13)

This can always be ensured by careful selection of \( \delta, \rho, b, a \) depending on \( \varepsilon > 0 \).

The main new uniform estimate that we prove is a higher integrability estimate for both density and velocity. We write \( \theta = \frac{\varepsilon b^n}{\varepsilon + 1} \), so that \( \theta > 0 \) for all \( \gamma > 1 \).

**Lemma 1.5.** Suppose \((\rho^\varepsilon, m^\varepsilon)\) is a smooth solution of (1.8)–(1.10) on \([0, T] \times (a(\varepsilon), b(\varepsilon))\) with \( \inf \rho^\varepsilon = c_\varepsilon > 0 \) (where \( c_\varepsilon \) may depend on \( \varepsilon \) and \( T \)) and that \( \varepsilon, \delta, a, b, \rho \) satisfy assumption (1.13). Let \( \omega \in C_0^\infty([0, \infty)) \) be a test function such that \( \omega(r) = 1 \) for \( r \in [0, 1] \) and \( \omega(r) \geq 0 \). Then there exists a constant \( M > 0 \), independent of \( \varepsilon \) but depending on \( M_0 \), such that

\[
\int_0^T \int_a^b (|\rho^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon|^3 + (\rho^\varepsilon)^{\gamma+\theta}) \omega(r)r^{n-1}drdt \leq M.
\]  

(1.14)

This estimate gives us the equi-integrability of the flux term \((\rho^\varepsilon (u^\varepsilon)^2 + p(\rho^\varepsilon))r^{n-1}\) in system (1.8) all the way up to the origin, \( r = 0 \), and hence allows for the passage to the limit.

The other uniform estimates that we require concern the spatial derivative of \( \rho^\varepsilon \) near the origin, appropriately weighted with the viscosity. These are stated in Lemmas 3.1–3.2 below and are designed to prove the convergence of the viscous terms to zero as \( \varepsilon \to 0 \).

The structure of this note is as follows. First, in §2, we prove Lemma 1.5 using a carefully constructed entropy function and precise estimates around \( r = 0 \). Next, in §3, we give the statements and proofs of Lemmas 3.1–3.2 concerning the spatial derivative of the density. Finally, in §4, we conclude the proof of Theorem 1.3.
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## 2. Uniform integrability estimates

Throughout this section and §3, we suppose that \((\rho, m), m = \rho u\), is a smooth solution of (1.8)–(1.10) such that \( \inf_{(a, b)} \rho(t, r) \geq c_\varepsilon(t) > 0 \). For simplicity of presentation, we omit the superscript \( \varepsilon \) from functions in this section. In order to prove the higher integrability estimate of Lemma 1.5 near the origin, we begin by recalling the weak entropy pair \((\eta, \bar{q})\) constructed by Lions, Perthame and Tadmor in [10, Section I] by the formulæ

\[
\eta(\rho, \rho u) = \int_\mathbb{R} \frac{1}{2} s |s| [\rho^2 - (u - s)^2]^{\frac{n}{n+1}} ds,
\]

\[
\bar{q}(\rho, \rho u) = \int_\mathbb{R} \frac{1}{2} s |s| [\theta s + (1 - \theta)u] [\rho^2 - (u - s)^2]^{\frac{n}{n+1}} ds.
\]

We define a modified entropy pair

\[
\bar{\eta}(\rho, m) = \bar{\eta}(\rho, m) - \nabla \bar{\eta}(\rho, 0) \cdot (\rho - \bar{\rho}, m) \geq 0,
\]

\[
\bar{q}(\rho, m) = \bar{q}(\rho, m) - \nabla \bar{\eta}(\rho, 0) \cdot (m, \frac{m^2}{\rho} + p(\rho))
\]
As shown in [10, 3, 4], for a constant $M > 0$ depending only on $\gamma \in (1, \infty)$, we have the estimates:
\[
\tilde{q}(\rho, m) \geq \frac{1}{M} \left( \rho |u|^3 + \rho^{\gamma + \theta} \right) - M \left( \rho |u|^2 + \rho + \rho^{\gamma} \right),
\]
\[- \tilde{q} + m(\tilde{\eta}_\rho + u\tilde{\eta}_m) \leq 0,
\]
\[|\tilde{\eta}_\rho| \leq M(|u| + \rho^\theta), \quad |\tilde{\eta}| \leq M(|u|^2 + \rho^{2\theta}),
\]
\[|\tilde{\eta} + \rho|\tilde{\eta}_\rho + u\tilde{\eta}_m| \leq M(\rho |u|^2 + \rho + \rho^{\gamma}),
\]
and, considering $\tilde{\eta}_\rho + u\tilde{\eta}_m$ and $\tilde{\eta}_m$ as functions of $\rho$ and $u$,
\[|(\tilde{\eta}_\rho + u\tilde{\eta}_m)_{|\rho}| \leq M(\rho^{\theta - 1}|u| + \rho^{2\theta - 1}),
\]
\[|(\tilde{\eta}_\rho + u\tilde{\eta}_m)_{|u}| \leq M(|u| + \rho^\theta),
\]
\[|(\tilde{\eta}_m)_{|\rho}| \leq M\rho^{\theta - 1},
\]
\[|(\tilde{\eta}_m)_{|u}| \leq M.
\]
Also, as $\tilde{\eta}_m = \tilde{\eta}_m - \tilde{\eta}_m(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{0})$, we use (2.1) to verify by Cauchy–Schwarz
\[|m\tilde{\eta}_m| \leq M\rho|u|(|u| + \rho^\theta + \rho^{\gamma}) \leq M(\rho u^2 + \rho^\gamma + \rho^{2\theta}).
\]
Moreover, we recall from [3, Lemma 3.4] that there exists a constant $M > 0$, depending only on $\gamma > 1$, such that for any $(\rho, m) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2$,
\[|\xi \nabla^2 \tilde{\eta}(\rho, m)\xi^\top| \leq M\xi \nabla^2 \eta^*(\rho, m)\xi^\top,
\]
where $\eta^*(\rho, m)$ is the physical entropy given by
\[\eta^*(\rho, m) = \frac{1}{2} m^2 \rho + \frac{\kappa \rho^\gamma}{\gamma - 1}.
\]
A simple calculation then shows that for smooth functions $\rho, m$ with $m = \rho u$,
\[(\rho_r, m_r)\nabla^2 \eta^*(\rho, m)(\rho_r, m_r)\nabla^\top = \kappa \gamma \rho^{-2} |\rho_r|^2 + \rho |u_r|^2.
\]
We also require estimates on the growth of certain $L^p$ norms of the density close to the origin when weighted appropriately.

**Lemma 2.1.** There exists $M(\gamma) > 0$, independent of $\epsilon$, such that for $l \in \{0, \ldots, n - 1\}$, $T > 0$,
\[
\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \rho(t, y) y^l y^l \, dy \leq M \left( |y|^{l + 1 - n} E_0 + \tilde{\rho} |y|^{l + 1} \right) \quad \text{for any } r \in [a, b).
\]

As the proof is similar to that of [3, Lemma 3.1], we omit it here. Finally, we recall the following lemma from [3].

**Lemma 2.2 ([3, Lemma 3.2]).** There exists a constant $M = M(T) > 0$, independent of $\epsilon$, such that, for any $r \in [a, b)$,
\[
\int_0^T \int_r^b \rho(t, y) y^{n - 1} \, dy \, dt \leq M \left( 1 + \frac{b^\rho}{\epsilon} \right).
\]

Before we give the proof of the key Lemma 1.5, we make a couple of remarks about the proof. The key idea is to use the entropy equation for the entropy–entropy flux pair $(\tilde{\eta}, \tilde{q})$ to gain an estimate on the space–time integral of $\tilde{q}$. Using the lower bound of (2.1) on $\tilde{q}$, we are then able to gain an estimate of the crucial quantity $\rho|u|^3$. In making this estimate, error terms of several types occur. The first arise from the entropy $\tilde{\eta}$ and are easily controlled up to the origin using the main energy estimate. The second type of error occurs when there is a loss in the radial weight, giving an integrating weight of $r^l$ with $l < n - 1$. To handle the apparent loss in such terms, we observe that all such terms may be controlled either by a power of the viscosity or by a factor of $\tilde{\rho}$, which may be taken to zero sufficiently rapidly to provide control. This is the content of assumption (1.13). Finally, we must handle the boundary terms appearing at the inner end-point $a(\epsilon)$ from integration by parts in the viscous terms. The most singular of these occurs as a term growing as $\epsilon \tilde{\rho}^\gamma$. This is handled by a suitable application of the fundamental theorem of calculus and the main energy estimate. Here, we find that the $\epsilon$ weight is exactly sufficient to provide the required control.
Proof of Lemma 1.5. We multiply the first equation in (1.8) by \( \bar{\eta}_\rho r^{n-1} \) and the second equation by \( \bar{\eta}_m r^{n-1} \) and sum to obtain

\[
(r^{n-1} \bar{\eta})_r + (r^{n-1} \bar{q})_r + (n-1)r^{n-2}(- \bar{q} + m \bar{\eta}_\rho + \frac{m^2}{\rho} \bar{\eta}_m + \bar{\eta}_m (\bar{\rho}, 0) p(\rho))
= \varepsilon r^{n-1} \left( (\rho r_r + \frac{n-1}{r} \rho_r) \bar{\eta}_\rho + (m_r + \frac{n-1}{r} m_r) \bar{\eta}_m \right) - r^{n-1} (\delta \rho^2), \bar{\eta}_m.
\]

(2.7)

We integrate this over the region \((0, T) \times (r, b)\) to find

\[
\int_0^T \tilde{q}(t, r) r^{n-1} \, dt = \int_r^b \left( \bar{\eta}(T, y) - \bar{\eta}(0, y) \right) y^{n-1} \, dy + \int_0^T \tilde{q}(\bar{\rho}, 0) b^{n-1} \, dt
+ (n-1) \int_0^T \int_r^b \left( - \bar{q} + m \bar{\eta}_\rho + \frac{m^2}{\rho} \bar{\eta}_m \right) y^{n-2} \, dy \, dt
+ (n-1) \int_0^T \int_r^b \bar{\eta}_m (\bar{\rho}, 0) p(\rho) y^{n-2} \, dy \, dt
\]

(2.8)

Using the upper bound of \( |\bar{\eta}(\rho, m)| \leq |\rho| u^2 + \frac{m^2}{\gamma} \rho^2 \), the identity \( \bar{q} (\bar{\rho}, 0) = M_0 \rho^{-\gamma+\theta} \) for some constant \( M_0 > 0 \) and the non-positivity of \( -\bar{q} + m \bar{\eta}_\rho + \frac{m^2}{\rho} \bar{\eta}_m \) from (2.1), we obtain

\[
\int_0^T \tilde{q}(t, r) r^{n-1} \, dt \leq ME_0 + M_0 T \rho^{-\gamma+\theta} b^{n-1} + (n-1) \int_0^T \int_r^b \bar{\eta}_m (\bar{\rho}, 0) p(\rho) y^{n-2} \, dy \, dt
\]

(2.9)

by integrating by parts in the final term of (2.8) and using the boundary condition \( \bar{\eta}_m (\bar{\rho}, 0) = 0 \).

Now let \( \omega \in C^\infty([0, \infty)) \) be as in the statement of the lemma, so that \( \omega(r) = 1 \) for \( r \in [0, 1] \) and \( \omega(r) \geq 0 \). We multiply (2.9) by \( \omega(r) \), apply the lower bound \( |\tilde{q}(\rho, m)| \geq M^{-1} (|\rho| u^3 + \rho^{\gamma+\theta}) - M (|\rho| u^3 + \rho + \rho^\gamma) \) of (2.1), and integrate in \( r \) from \( a \) to \( b \) to see

\[
\int_0^T \int_a^b (\rho |u|^3 + \rho^{\gamma+\theta}) \omega(r) r^{n-1} \, dr \, dt \leq ME_0 + 1 + \rho^{-\gamma+\theta} b^{n-1} + I,
\]

(2.10)

where

\[
I = (n-1) \int_0^T \int_a^b \int_r^b \bar{\eta}_m (\bar{\rho}, 0) p(\rho) y^{n-2} \omega(r) \, dy \, dr \, dt
\]

(2.11)

\[
= I_1 + \cdots + I_5.
\]
and where we have controlled the error term arising from the lower bound on \( \bar{q} \) by

\[
\int_0^T \int_a^b (\rho|u|^2 + \rho + \rho^\gamma) \omega(r) \, dr \, dt \leq \int_0^T \int_a^b (\rho|u|^2 + \overline{h_S}(\rho, \bar{\rho}) + 1) \omega(r) \, dr \, dt \leq M(E_0 + 1),
\]

using the bound \( \rho + \rho^\gamma \leq M(\overline{h_S}(\rho, \bar{\rho}) + 1) \) of (1.12) and the compact support of \( \omega(r) \).

We treat \( I_1 \) first, recalling Lemma 2.1 and (2.1) to bound

\[
|I_1| \leq M \int_0^T \int_a^b \int_r^b \rho^\theta \rho^\gamma y^{n-2} \omega(r) \, dy \, dr \, dt \leq M T \rho^\theta \int_a^b (r^{-1} + \rho^\gamma b^{n-1}) \omega(r) \, dr \leq M T \rho^\theta (|\log(a)| + \rho^\gamma b^{n-1}).
\]

We consider next \( I_2 \), using integration by parts to rewrite the inner integral as:

\[
I_2 = \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_a^b \int_r^b (\rho_\gamma \bar{\eta}_p)_y + m_y(\bar{\eta}_m)_y y^{n-1} \omega(r) \, dy \, dr \, dt + \varepsilon (n-1) \int_0^T \int_a^b \int_r^b m \bar{\eta}_m y^{n-3} \omega(r) \, dy \, dr \, dt + \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_a^b \bar{\eta}_r(t,r) y^{n-1} \omega(r) \, dr \, dt = I_2^1 + I_2^2 + I_2^3.
\]

The first term may be expanded as

\[
|I_2^1| = \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_a^b \int_r^b (\rho^2 \bar{\eta}_\rho + 2 \rho_\gamma m \bar{\eta}_m + m^2 \bar{\eta}_m \bar{\eta}_m) y^{n-1} \omega(r) \, dy \, dr \, dt \leq \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_a^b \int_r^b |(\rho_\gamma m_\gamma) \nabla^2 \bar{\eta}(\rho, m)(\rho_\gamma m_\gamma)^* | y^{n-1} \omega(r) \, dy \, dr \, dt \leq M \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_a^b \int_r^b |(\rho_\gamma m_\gamma) \nabla^2 \bar{\eta}(\rho, m)(\rho_\gamma m_\gamma)^* | y^{n-1} \omega(r) \, dy \, dr \, dt \leq M \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_a^b \int_r^b (\rho^{\gamma-2} |\rho_\gamma| \gamma + |\rho u|^2) y^{n-1} \omega(r) \, dy \, dr \, dt \leq M E_0,
\]

where we have used the Hessian bound (2.5), the identity (2.6) and the main energy estimate.

For the second term, we use (2.4) and (1.12) to bound

\[
|m \bar{\eta}_m| \leq M (\rho u^2 + \rho^\gamma + \rho \rho^\gamma) \leq M (\rho |u|^2 + \overline{h_S}(\rho, \bar{\rho}) + 1 + \rho \rho^\gamma).
\]

Thus we find, noting \( \rho^\gamma b^{n-1} \leq \rho^\gamma + \bar{\rho}^\gamma \) by Young’s inequality,

\[
|I_2^2| \leq M \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_a^b \int_r^b (\rho|u|^2 + \overline{h_S}(\rho, \bar{\rho}) + 1 + \rho \rho^\gamma) y^{n-3} \omega(r) \, dy \, dr \, dt \leq M \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_a^b \int_r^b \left( \frac{1}{r^2} \rho|u|^2 + \overline{h_S}(\rho, \bar{\rho}) + 1 \right) y^{n-1} \omega(r) \, dy \, dr \, dt \leq M \varepsilon \left( \frac{\rho^\gamma}{a} + \rho \rho^\gamma \right) \log(a)).
\]

Next, we treat the final term, \( I_2^3 \), by integrating by parts and using \( \omega(a) = 1 \) to find

\[
I_2^3 = -\varepsilon \int_0^T \int_a^b \bar{\eta}_r(t,r) (\omega_r(r) + \frac{n-1}{r} \omega(r)) r^{n-1} \, dr \, dt - \varepsilon \int_0^T \bar{\eta}_r(t,a) a^{n-1} \, dt.
\]

Using (2.1), we easily bound the first term by

\[
\left| \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_a^b \bar{\eta}_r(t,r) (\omega_r(r) + \frac{n-1}{r} \omega(r)) r^{n-1} \, dr \, dt \right| \leq M \frac{\varepsilon}{a}.
\]
For the second term, we again apply (2.1) and the boundary condition \(u(t, a) = 0\) to note that \(|\eta(t, a)| \leq M(\rho^1 + 1)\). The contribution from the constant is clearly bounded, so we focus on the \(\rho^1(t, a)\) term. From the fundamental theorem of calculus and Lemma 2.1, we obtain

\[
\varepsilon \int_0^T \rho^1(t, a) a^{n-1} dt = -\varepsilon \int_0^T \int_a^b (\rho^1)_{1r} a^{n-1} r dr dt + \varepsilon \int_0^T \rho^1 b^{n-1} dt \\
= -\varepsilon \int_0^T \int_a^b (\rho^1)_{1r} a^{n-1} r dr dt + \varepsilon T \rho^1 b^{n-1} \\
\leq M\varepsilon \int_0^T \int_a^b \left(\rho^1 - \rho^1 + (n-1)\rho^1\right) a^{n-1} r dr dt + \varepsilon T \rho^1 b^{n-1} \\
\leq M\varepsilon \int_0^T \int_a^b \left(\rho^1 + (n-1)\rho^1\right) a^{n-1} r dr dt + M\varepsilon^2 + M\rho^1 b^{n-1} = M\left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{a} + \rho^1 b^{n-1}\right),
\]

which we have applied the Cauchy-Young inequality and main energy estimate, Proposition 1.4.

Thus, combining (2.12)–(2.14) we have the bound

\[
|I_2| \leq M\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{a} + \rho^1 b^{n-1} + 1\right).
\]

To bound \(I_3\), we recall (2.3) and Lemma 2.2 to see

\[
|I_3| \leq M\delta \int_0^T \int_a^b \int_r^b \rho^2 (\rho^1 |\eta_y| + |u_y|) a^{n-1} \omega(r) dy dr dt \\
\leq M\delta \int_0^T \int_a^b \int_r^b (\rho^2 |\eta_y| + \rho|u_y|) a^{n-1} \omega(r) dy dr dt \\
\leq M\delta \int_0^T \int_a^b \int_r^b (\rho|u|^2 + \rho^3) a^{n-1} \omega(r) dy dr dt,
\]

where we have used the main energy estimate and \(\delta \leq \varepsilon\) to control the derivative terms.

To bound \(I_4\), we apply the bound \(|\tilde{\eta}_m| \leq M(|u| + \rho^\theta)\) and the Cauchy-Young inequality to show

\[
|I_4| \leq M\delta \int_0^T \int_a^b \int_r^b \rho^2 |\tilde{\eta}_m| a^{n-2} \omega(r) dy dr dt \\
\leq M\delta \int_0^T \int_a^b \int_r^b (\rho^2 |u| + \rho^2+\theta) a^{n-2} \omega(r) dy dr dt \\
\leq M\delta \int_0^T \int_a^b \int_r^b (\rho|u|^2 + \rho^3 + \rho^2+\theta) a^{n-2} \omega(r) dy dr dt.
\]

In the case that \(\theta \leq 1\) (i.e. \(\gamma \leq 3\)), we then estimate further using Lemma 2.2,

\[
|I_4| \leq M\delta \int_0^T \int_a^b \int_r^b \left(\rho|u|^2 + \rho^3 + 1\right) a^{n-1} \omega(r) dy dr dt \\
\leq M\delta \int_0^T \int_a^b \int_r^b \left(\rho|u|^2 + \rho^3 + 1\right) a^{n-1} \omega(r) dy dr dt \\
\leq M\delta \|\log(a)(1 + \frac{b^n}{\varepsilon})\).
\]

On the other hand, if \(\theta > 1\) then \(\gamma > 3\) and \(\gamma > 2 + \theta\), so we use the Cauchy-Young inequality to bound

\[
|I_4| \leq M\delta \int_0^T \int_a^b \int_r^b \left(\rho|u|^2 + \rho^3 + 1\right) a^{n-1} \omega(r) dy dr dt \\
\leq M\delta \|\log(a)(1 + (\rho^1 + 1)b^n)\).
\]

Finally, \(I_5\) is treated analogously to \(I_4\), giving a bound of

\[
|I_5| \leq \delta M\left(1 + \frac{b^n}{\varepsilon}\right).
\]
By (1.13), all of the above bounds for the terms $I_1, \ldots, I_5$ become uniform with respect to $\varepsilon$, hence we conclude from (2.10) (and the obvious estimate $(\tilde{\rho}^{\gamma} + 1) \leq \varepsilon^{-1}$) that
\[
\int_0^T \int_a^b (\rho' u'|^2 + \rho^{\gamma+\theta}) \omega(r) r^{n-1} \, dr \, dt \\
\leq M \left( \tilde{\rho}^{\gamma} |\log(a)| + \varepsilon \frac{b^n}{a} + \rho^2 b^{n-1} + \frac{\varepsilon}{a} + \delta |\log(a)| \left( 1 + \frac{b^n}{\varepsilon} \right) + 1 \right) \leq M,
\]
and so we conclude the proof of the lemma. \qed

3. Viscous terms

We begin this section with the two main estimates we need to demonstrate convergence to zero of the viscous terms in the weak formulation of the approximate equations, system (1.8).

**Lemma 3.1.** Let $\omega = \omega(r) \in C_1^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a test function such that $\omega(r) = 1$ for $r \in [0, 1]$, $\omega(r) = 0$ for $r \geq 2$. Then for any $\Delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, there exists a constant $M > 0$, independent of $\Delta$ and $\varepsilon$, such that
\[
\varepsilon \int_0^T \int_a^b \rho_r^2 \mathbf{1}_{(\rho < \Delta)} \omega(r)^2 r^{n-1} \, dr \, dt \leq M \left( \sqrt{\varepsilon} (1 + \Delta^{4-\gamma}) + \frac{\Delta}{a} + \frac{\Delta^{3/2}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \right). \tag{3.1}
\]

**Lemma 3.2.** Let $\omega = \omega(r) \in C_1^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a test function such that $\omega(r) = 1$ for $r \in [0, 1]$, $\omega(r) = 0$ for $r \geq 2$. Then for any $\Delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, there exists a constant $M > 0$, independent of $\Delta$ and $\varepsilon$, such that
\[
\varepsilon \int_0^T \int_a^b \rho_r^2 \mathbf{1}_{(\rho < \Delta)} \omega(r)^2 r^{n-1} \, dr \, dt \leq M \left( |\log \Delta| + \frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} + \frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{a} + \sqrt{\varepsilon} |\log \Delta| \Delta^{2-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \right). \tag{3.2}
\]

The proofs of these two lemmas are motivated by the following observation. Let $\varphi = \varphi(\rho)$ be a twice differentiable function, $\omega = \omega(r) \in C_1^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, and multiply the first equation in (1.8) by $\varphi'(\rho) \omega(r)^2$. A simple calculation yields
\[
(r^{n-1} \varphi \omega^2)_t + (r^{n-1} \varphi u \omega^2)_r + r^{n-1} (\rho \varphi' - \varphi) (u_r + \frac{n-1}{r} u) \omega^2 - 2 r^{n-1} \varphi u \omega r_r = \varepsilon (r^{n-1} \varphi \rho_r \omega^2)_r - \varepsilon r^{n-1} \varphi \rho_r^2 \omega^2 - 2 \varepsilon r^{n-1} \varphi \rho \omega r_r. \tag{3.3}
\]

Thus, for any such $\varphi$,
\[
\varepsilon \int_0^T \int_a^b \varphi' \rho_r^2 \omega^2 r^{n-1} \, dr \, dt \\
= -\int_a^b \varphi \omega r^{n-1} \Big|_0^T \, dr + \int_0^T \int_a^b (\varphi - \rho \varphi') u_r \omega^2 r^{n-1} \, dr \, dt \\
+ 2 \int_0^T \int_a^b \varphi u \omega r \, dr \, dt + \int_0^T \int_a^b \frac{n-1}{r} (\varphi - \rho \varphi') u \omega^2 r^{n-1} \, dr \, dt \\
- 2 \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_a^b \varphi \rho \omega r \, dr \, dt,
\]
where we have used the boundary conditions $\rho_r = u = 0$ at $a$ and the compact support of $\omega$.

**Proof of Lemma 3.1.** We define, for $\Delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ fixed,
\[
\varphi(\rho) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{2}{\Delta^2}, & \rho < \Delta, \\
\frac{2}{\Delta^2} + \Delta (\rho - \Delta), & \rho \geq \Delta.
\end{cases} \tag{3.5}
\]
Then we have that
\[ \varphi''(\rho) = \mathbb{1}_{\{\rho < \Delta\}}(\rho), \]
\[ \varphi(\rho) - \rho \varphi'(\rho) = -\frac{1}{2} \min\{\rho^2, \Delta^2\}. \]

Then from (3.4), we obtain
\[
\varepsilon \int_0^T \int_a^b \rho_r^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{\rho < \Delta\}} \omega^2 r^{n-1} \, dr \, dt \\
= -\int_a^b \varphi \omega^2 r^{n-1} \bigg|_0^T \, dr - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_a^b \min\{\rho^2, \Delta^2\} u_r \omega^2 r^{n-1} \, dr \, dt \\
+ 2 \int_0^T \int_a^b \varphi u \omega \omega_r r^{n-1} \, dr \, dt - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_a^b \frac{n-1}{r} \min\{\rho^2, \Delta^2\} u \omega^2 r^{n-1} \, dr \, dt \\
- 2\varepsilon \int_0^T \int_a^b \varphi_r \omega \omega_r r^{n-1} \, dr \, dt \\
= J_1 + \cdots + J_5. 
\] (3.6)

To bound \( J_1 \), we simply observe that \( |\varphi(\rho)| \leq \Delta \rho \) for all \( \rho > 0 \). Thus
\[ |J_1| \leq M \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_a^b \Delta \rho \omega^2 r^{n-1} \, dr \leq M \Delta \]
by the main energy estimate, Proposition 1.4, where we have used the compact support of \( \omega \) and the estimate \( \rho \leq M\left(1 + \log(\rho, \bar{\rho})\right) \) of (1.12).

The next simplest term to control is \( J_3 \), which we bound in a similar way, giving an estimate of
\[ |J_3| \leq M \int_0^T \int_a^b \Delta (\rho + \rho u^2) \omega \omega r^{n-1} \, dr \, dt \leq M \Delta, \]
where we again use the main energy estimate and \( M \) depends on \( |\text{supp} \omega| \) and \( ||\omega_r||_{L^\infty} \).

Turning now to \( J_2 \), we estimate
\[
|J_2| \leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \int_0^T \int_a^b \Delta^{3/2} \sqrt{\varepsilon} \sqrt{\rho} |u_r| \omega^2 r^{n-1} \, dr \, dt \\
\leq \frac{M \Delta^{3/2}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \left( \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_a^b \rho u_r^2 \omega r^{n-1} \, dr \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
\leq \frac{M \Delta^{3/2}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}},
\]
by the main energy estimate, where \( M \) also depends on \( |\text{supp} \omega| \).

Next, we use that \( r > a \) in the domain of integration and Proposition 1.4 to bound
\[ |J_4| \leq M \int_0^T \int_a^b \frac{n-1}{r} \Delta \rho u^2 r^{n-1} \, dr \, dt \leq M \frac{\Delta}{a} \int_0^T \int_a^b (\rho + \rho u^2) \omega^2 r^{n-1} \, dr \, dt \leq \frac{M \Delta}{a}. \]

We consider \( J_5 \) on the two regions \( \{\rho < \Delta\} \) and \( \{\rho \geq \Delta\} \) by writing
\[
J_5 = -2\varepsilon \int_0^T \int_a^b \min\{\rho, \Delta\} \rho r \omega \omega_r r^{n-1} \, dr \, dt \\
= -2\varepsilon \int_0^T \int_a^b \rho \mathbb{1}_{\{\rho < \Delta\}} \rho r \omega \omega_r r^{n-1} \, dr \, dt - 2\varepsilon \int_0^T \int_a^b \Delta \mathbb{1}_{\{\rho \geq \Delta\}} \rho r \omega \omega_r r^{n-1} \, dr \, dt \\
= J_5^1 + J_5^2.
\]
Thus, combining the estimates for $J_5^2$, we apply the main energy estimate to obtain

$$|J_5^2| \leq M \sqrt{\varepsilon}.$$  

In the case that $\gamma \in (1, 2]$, we make the estimate $\rho^{2-\gamma} \leq \rho^\gamma + 1 \leq M \overline{h_\delta}(\rho, \hat{\rho}) + 1$ by (1.12) and apply the main energy estimate to bound the first term of $J_5^2$. 

Turning finally to $J_5^1$, we use the Cauchy-Young inequality to estimate

$$|J_5^1| \leq \varepsilon \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_a^T \int_a^b |\rho_t| \|_{(\rho \leq \Delta)} \omega_\rho r^{n-1} \, dr \, dt + M \varepsilon \int_a^T \int_a^b \Delta^2 \omega_\rho r^{n-1} \, dr \, dt$$

$$\leq \varepsilon \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_a^T \int_a^b |\rho_t| \|_{(\rho < \Delta)} \omega_\rho r^{n-1} \, dr \, dt + M \varepsilon \Delta^2.$$  

Combining this with the estimate above for $J_5^2$, we obtain

$$|J_5| \leq \varepsilon \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_a^T \int_a^b |\rho_t| \|_{(\rho \leq \Delta)} \omega_\rho r^{n-1} \, dr \, dt + M \varepsilon \Delta^2 + M \sqrt{\varepsilon} (1 + \Delta^{4-\gamma}).$$

Thus, combining the estimates for $J_1, \ldots, J_5$ in (3.6),

$$\varepsilon \int_a^T \int_a^b \rho_t^2 \|_{(\rho < \Delta)} \omega_\rho r^{n-1} \, dr \, dt \leq M \left( \sqrt{\varepsilon} (1 + \Delta^{4-\gamma}) + \frac{\Delta}{a} + \frac{\Delta^{3/2}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \right).$$

**Proof of Lemma 3.2.** We let $\Delta \in (0, \frac{a}{2})$ and define the function $\psi(\rho)$ by

$$\psi(\rho) = \begin{cases} \rho \log \rho - \rho, & \rho < \Delta, \\ \rho \log \Delta - \Delta, & \rho \geq \Delta, \end{cases}$$

so that

$$\psi(\rho) - \rho \psi'(\rho) = -\min\{\rho, \Delta\}$$

$$\psi'(\rho) = \frac{1}{\rho} \|_{(\rho < \Delta)}.$$  

Then from (3.4), we obtain

$$\varepsilon \int_a^T \int_a^b \frac{\rho_t^2}{\rho} \|_{(\rho \leq \Delta)} \omega_\rho r^{n-1} \, dr \, dt$$

$$= -\int_a^T \int_a^b \psi \omega_\rho r^{n-1} \|_{(\rho \leq \Delta)} \omega_\rho r^{n-1} \, dr \, dt - \int_a^T \int_a^b \min\{\rho, \Delta\} u_r \omega_\rho r^{n-1} \, dr \, dt$$

$$+ 2 \int_a^T \int_a^b \psi u_r \omega_\rho r^{n-1} \, dr \, dt - \int_a^T \int_a^b \frac{n-1}{r} \min\{\rho, \Delta\} u_r \omega_\rho r^{n-1} \, dr \, dt$$

$$- 2\varepsilon \int_a^T \int_a^b \psi r r_r \omega_\rho r^{n-1} \, dr \, dt$$

$$= J_1 + \cdots + J_5.$$  

As $\rho \log \rho \|_{(\rho < \Delta)} \leq |\Delta| \log \Delta$ for $\Delta \in (0, \frac{a}{2})$, we bound $J_1$ by

$$|J_1| \leq M \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_a^b (\Delta |\log \Delta| + \rho |\log \Delta|) \omega_\rho r^{n-1} \, dr \leq M |\log \Delta|,$$
where we have employed the main energy estimate, Proposition 1.4, and \( \rho \leq M(1 + h_{\delta}(\rho, \bar{\rho})) \), again by (1.12).

\( J_3 \) is bounded similarly, using the estimate \( \rho u \log \rho \leq \rho u^2 + \rho (\log \rho)^2 \leq \rho u^2 + \Delta(\log \Delta)^2 \) for \( \rho < \Delta \), giving an estimate of

\[ |J_3| \leq M|\log \Delta|, \]

where \( M \) depends on \( \|\omega_r\|_{L^\infty} \).

To control \( J_2 \), we again employ the main energy estimate and Hölder’s inequality to obtain

\[ |J_2| \leq \int_0^T \int_a^b \min\{\rho, \Delta\}|u_r|\omega^2 r^{n-1} \, dr \, dt \]

\[ \leq M\sqrt{\Delta}(\int_0^T \int_a^b \rho|u_r|^2 r^{n-1} \, dr \, dt)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq M\frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}. \]

For \( J_4 \), we use the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to bound

\[ |J_4| \leq \int_0^T \int_a^b \frac{n-1}{r} \min\{\rho, \Delta\}|u|\omega^2 r^{n-1} \, dr \, dt \]

\[ \leq M\frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{a}(\int_0^T \int_a^b \rho|u|^2 r^{n-1} \, dr \, dt)^{\frac{1}{2}} \]

\[ \leq M\frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{a}. \]

Finally, we break \( J_5 \) into two terms, one supported on the region \( \{\rho < \Delta\} \) and the other on the region \( \{\rho \geq \Delta\} \),

\[ J_5 = -2\varepsilon \int_0^T \int_a^b (\log \rho 1_{\{\rho < \Delta\}} + \log \Delta 1_{\{\rho \geq \Delta\}}) \rho r \omega \omega_r r^{n-1} \, dr \, dt \]

\[ = J_5^1 + J_5^2. \]

Estimating the first term, we use the Cauchy-Young inequality to bound

\[ |J_5^1| = 2\varepsilon \left| \int_0^T \int_a^b \log \rho 1_{\{\rho < \Delta\}} \rho r \omega \omega_r r^{n-1} \, dr \, dt \right| \]

\[ \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \left( \int_0^T \int_a^b \frac{\rho^2}{\rho} 1_{\{\rho < \Delta\}} \omega^2 r^{n-1} \, dr \, dt + M \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_a^b \rho (\log \rho)^2 1_{\{\rho < \Delta\}} \omega^2 r^{n-1} \, dr \, dt \right) \]

\[ \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \left( \int_0^T \int_a^b \frac{\rho^2}{\rho} 1_{\{\rho < \Delta\}} \omega^2 r^{n-1} \, dr \, dt + M \varepsilon \right) \]

where we have also applied the main energy estimate, Proposition 1.4.

For the last term, we make the bound

\[ |J_5^2| = 2\varepsilon \left| \int_0^T \int_a^b \log \Delta 1_{\{\rho \geq \Delta\}} \rho r \omega \omega_r r^{n-1} \, dr \, dt \right| \]

\[ \leq \varepsilon |\log \Delta| \left( \int_0^T \int_a^b |\rho r| 1_{\{\rho \geq \Delta\}} \omega |\omega_r| r^{n-1} \, dr \, dt \right) \]

\[ \leq M |\log \Delta| \sqrt{\varepsilon} \left( \int_0^T \int_a^b \max\{\Delta^{2-\gamma}, 1\} \rho^{\gamma-2} |\rho r|^2 \omega(r)^2 r^{n-1} \, dr \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \]

\[ \leq M \sqrt{\varepsilon} |\log \Delta|(1 + \Delta^{\frac{\gamma-2}{2}}), \]

where we have again used the energy estimate of Proposition 1.4.

Combining the estimates for \( J_1, \ldots, J_5 \) in \((3.9)\), we conclude the proof. \( \square \)
4. Proof of Theorem 1.3

We begin by recalling the following theorem from [3, 4].

**Theorem 4.1.** Let \((\rho_0, m_0) \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)^2\), \(\rho_0 \geq 0\), be of finite energy,

\[ E[\rho_0, m_0] < \infty, \]

and suppose that for \(\varepsilon > 0\), the parameters \(\rho(\varepsilon), \delta(\varepsilon), b(\varepsilon)\) satisfy

\[ \tilde{\rho}^2 b^n + \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon} b^n \leq M, \]

where \(M\) is independent of \(\varepsilon\). Let \((\rho_0^\varepsilon, m_0^\varepsilon)\) with \(\inf\rho_0^\varepsilon = c_\varepsilon > 0\) (where \(c_\varepsilon\) does not need to be uniform in \(\varepsilon\)) be smooth functions on \((a(\varepsilon), b(\varepsilon))\) such that

- \((\rho_0^\varepsilon, m_0^\varepsilon) \to (\rho_0, m_0)\) for almost every \(r \in \mathbb{R}^+\) as \(\varepsilon \to 0\), where we extend \((\rho_0^\varepsilon, m_0^\varepsilon)\) from \((a, b)\) to \(\mathbb{R}^+\) by zero;
- \((\rho_0^\varepsilon, m_0^\varepsilon)\) satisfies the boundary conditions (1.10) as well as the compatibility conditions:
  \[
  (r^{n-1} m_0^\varepsilon)_r\bigg|_{r=a} = 0, \\
  (r^{n-1} m_0^\varepsilon)_r - \varepsilon (r^{n-1} \rho_0^\varepsilon)_r\bigg|_{r=b} = (r^{n-1} \frac{(m_0^\varepsilon)^2}{\rho_0^\varepsilon} + p\varepsilon(\varepsilon))_r - \varepsilon (r^{n-1} m_0^\varepsilon)_r\bigg|_{r=b} = 0; \\
  E[\rho_0^\varepsilon, m_0^\varepsilon] \to E[\rho_0, m_0] \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0.
  \]

Then there exist unique classical solutions \((\rho^\varepsilon, m^\varepsilon)\) of (1.8)–(1.10) (extended by 0 to \(\mathbb{R}^2_+\)) which converge \((\rho^\varepsilon, m^\varepsilon) \to (\rho, m)\) almost everywhere in \(\mathbb{R}^2_+\) and in \(L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2_+) \times L^q_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2_+)\) for \(p \in [1, \gamma + 1)\) and \(q \in [1, \frac{2(\gamma + 1)}{\gamma + 2}]\).

We strengthen the assumptions of Theorem 4.1 by imposing assumption (1.13), as well as the slightly stronger condition, guaranteed by appropriate choice of \(a\),

\[
\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{a(\varepsilon)} \to 0 \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0. \tag{4.1}
\]

Now we let \(\varphi \in C_c^\infty([0, \infty)^2)\), multiply the first equation in (1.8) by \(\varphi\) and integrate by parts on \([0, T] \times (a(\varepsilon), b(\varepsilon))\), using the boundary conditions (1.10), to obtain

\[
\int_a^T \int_a^b (\rho^\varepsilon(t, r) \varphi_r(t, r) + m^\varepsilon(t, r) \varphi(t, r)) r^{n-1} \, dr \, dt + \int_a^b \rho_0^\varepsilon(r) \varphi(0, r) r^{n-1} \, dr = \varepsilon \int_a^T \int_a^b \rho^\varepsilon(t, r) \varphi_r(t, r) r^{n-1} \, dr \, dt. \tag{4.2}
\]

As \(\varphi\) has compact support in \([0, \infty)^2\), we may apply the uniform bound of Lemma 1.5 and the almost everywhere convergence \((\rho^\varepsilon, m^\varepsilon) \to (\rho, m)\) to deduce that the left hand side of (4.2) converges as \(\varepsilon \to 0\) to

\[
\int_a^T \int_a^b (\rho(t, r) \varphi_r(t, r) + m(t, r) \varphi(t, r)) r^{n-1} \, dr \, dt + \int_a^b \rho_0(r) \varphi(0, r) r^{n-1} \, dr.
\]

To control the dissipative term, we distinguish between the two cases \(\gamma > 2\) and \(\gamma \leq 2\). When \(\gamma \leq 2\), we make the estimate \(\rho^{2-\gamma} \leq \rho^{\gamma} + 1 \leq M(\bar{b}_\varepsilon(\rho^\varepsilon, \rho) + 1)\) and apply the Cauchy–Young
inequality to see

\[ \left| \int_0^T \int_a^b \rho \varphi(t, r) r^n \, dr \, dt \right| \]

\[ \leq \int_0^T \int_a^b \left( \varepsilon^{3/2} |\rho|^\gamma - 2 |\rho|^2 + \varepsilon^{1/2} |\rho|^2 \right) |\varphi(t, r)| r^n \, dr \, dt \]

\[ \leq M \varepsilon^{1/2} \left( \int_0^T \int_a^b (\rho^n)^\gamma - 2 |\rho|^2 r^n \, dr \, dt + \int_0^T \int_a^b (h_\rho(\rho^2) + 1) |\phi_r| r^n \, dr \, dt \right) \]

\[ \leq M \varepsilon^{1/2}, \]

which tends to zero as \( \varepsilon \to 0. \)

On the other hand, when \( \gamma > 2, \) we fix some \( \Delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}) \) (which does not change) and use Lemma 3.1 to estimate

\[ \left| \int_0^T \int_a^b \rho \varphi(t, r)^n r^n \, dr \, dt \right| \]

\[ \leq \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_a^b \rho \varphi(t, r)(\|\rho < \Delta\| + \|\rho > \Delta\|) |\varphi_r| r^n \, dr \, dt \]

\[ \leq M \varepsilon^{1/2} \left( \int_0^T \int_a^b |\rho|^2 |\varphi_r| \|\rho < \Delta\| r^n \, dr \, dt \right)^{1/2} \]

\[ + \left( \varepsilon^2 \Delta^2 - \gamma \int_0^T \int_a^b (\rho^n)^2 |\rho|^2 |\varphi_r| \|\rho > \Delta\| r^n \, dr \, dt \right)^{1/2} \]

\[ \leq M \Delta \left( \varepsilon \Delta + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \right)^{1/2} \to 0 \]

as \( \varepsilon \to 0 \) by (1.4), where \( M_\Delta \) depends on \( \varphi \) and the fixed constant \( \Delta \), thus demonstrating (1.5).

Let now \( \varphi \in C^\infty_c([0, \infty)^2) \) be such that \( \varphi(t, 0) = 0 \) and take a sequence \( \{ \varphi_\varepsilon \}_{\varepsilon > 0} \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^2_+) \), uniformly bounded in \( W^{1, \gamma}(\mathbb{R}^2_+) \), such that \( \varphi_\varepsilon \to \varphi \) strongly in \( W^{1, \gamma}(\mathbb{R}^2_+) \) for all \( p < \infty \) and \( \varphi_\varepsilon(t, r) = 0 \) for \( r \in [a(\varepsilon), b(\varepsilon)] \) and \( t \in [0, T] \). We choose the sequence \( \varphi_\varepsilon \) such that the supports of the \( \varphi_\varepsilon \) are contained in a fixed compact set in \( [0, \infty)^2 \). We multiply the second equation in (1.8) by \( \varphi \) and integrate by parts on \([0, T] \times (a(\varepsilon), b(\varepsilon)) \), using the boundary conditions (1.10) and \( \varphi(t, a) = 0 \), to obtain

\[ \int_0^T \int_a^b \left( m \varphi \varphi_t + \frac{(m^n)^2}{\rho} \varphi + p_0(\rho^2)(\varphi_r + \frac{n-1}{r} \varphi_r) \right) r^n - 1 dt \]

\[ + \int_0^b \varphi(0, r) r^n - 1 dr = \int_0^T \int_a^b \left( n \varphi - 1 r (r^n - 1) \varphi_r \right) dr dt. \]

Then, using again Lemma 1.5 and the uniform compact support of \( \varphi_\varepsilon \), we see the convergence

\[ \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_0^T \int_a^b \left( m \varphi \varphi_t + \frac{(m^n)^2}{\rho} \varphi + p_0(\rho^2)(\varphi_r + \frac{n-1}{r} \varphi_r) \right) r^n - 1 dt \]

\[ = \int_0^T \int_a^b \left( n \varphi - 1 r \varphi_r \right) r^n - 1 dt, \]

where for the final term, \( p_0(\rho^2) \frac{n-1}{r} \varphi_r r^n - 1 \), we note \( |\varphi_\varepsilon(t, r)| \leq r |\varphi_\varepsilon|_{L^\infty} \leq Mr \), so

\[ p_0(\rho^2) \frac{n-1}{r} \varphi_r r^n - 1 \leq M p_0(\rho^2) r^n - 1 \]
on the support of \( \varphi \). Hence by Lemma 1.5, this term is also equi-integrable and so converges. Considering now the right hand side of (4.3), we integrate by parts in the final term to see

\[
\varepsilon \int_0^T \int_a^b \left( (r^{n-1}m^\varepsilon)_r \varphi^\varepsilon_r + \frac{n-1}{r} (r^{n-1}m^\varepsilon)_r \varphi^\varepsilon \right) dr dt
\]

\[
= \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_a^b (m^\varepsilon \varphi^\varepsilon_r + \frac{n-1}{r^2} m^\varepsilon \varphi^\varepsilon) r^{n-1} dr dt.
\]

For the last term, as \( \rho^\varepsilon \leq (\rho^\varepsilon)^\gamma + 1 \) and supp \( \varphi^\varepsilon \) is compact, we note by Proposition 1.4 that

\[
\left| \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_a^b \frac{n-1}{r^2} m^\varepsilon \varphi^\varepsilon_r r^{n-1} dr dt \right| \leq \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_a^b (\rho^\varepsilon + \rho^\varepsilon |u^\varepsilon|^2) r^{n-3} \varphi^\varepsilon dr dt
\]

\[
\leq M \varepsilon a^{-2} + M \varepsilon,
\]

which converges to 0 as \( \varepsilon \to 0 \) by (4.1).

For the remaining term, we apply Hölder’s inequality to bound

\[
\left| \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_a^b m^\varepsilon \varphi^\varepsilon r^{n-1} dr dt \right|
\]

\[
= \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_a^b (\rho^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon + \rho^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon \varphi^\varepsilon r^{n-1} dr dt
\]

\[
\leq \left( \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_a^b (\rho^\varepsilon |u^\varepsilon|^2 r^{n-1} dr dt \right)^{1/2} \left( \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_a^b \rho^\varepsilon |\varphi^\varepsilon|^2 dr dt \right)^{1/2}
\]

\[
+ \left( \varepsilon^2 \int_0^T \int_a^b (\rho^\varepsilon)^2 |\varphi^\varepsilon|^2 r^{n-1} dr dt \right)^{1/2} \left( \varepsilon^2 \int_0^T \int_a^b \rho^\varepsilon (u^\varepsilon)^2 r^{n-1} dr dt \right)^{1/2},
\]

which converges to 0 as \( \varepsilon \to 0 \) by the main energy estimate, Proposition 1.4, and Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, thus demonstrating (1.6) and hence concluding the proof of Theorem 1.3.

**Appendix A.**

For the sake of clarity and the convenience of the reader, we provide here a derivation of the weak formulation for spherically symmetric gas dynamics and comment on the conditions at the origin, \( r = 0 \). This derivation may also be found in, for example, [7, Theorem 5.7]. We focus our exposition here on the momentum equations as similar considerations hold for the continuity equation. Recall from Definition 1.1 that the weak formulation, in \( \mathbb{R}^n \), for the momentum equation is:

For each \( j = 1, \ldots, n \), and \( \varphi \in C_c^\infty([0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}) \),

\[
\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \rho u^j \varphi_t + \rho u^j u^i \cdot \nabla x \varphi + p(\rho) \partial_{x_j} \varphi \right) dx dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho_0 u_0^j(x) \varphi(0, x) dx = 0,
\]

where \( u^j \) denotes the \( j \)-th component of the vector field \( u \).

Thus for a spherically symmetric motion, as

\[
\rho(t, x) = \rho(t, r), \quad u(t, x) = u(t, r) \frac{x}{|x|},
\]

we may re-write this weak formulation as follows. For the first term, we see that

\[
\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho u^j \varphi_t dx dt = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_{|x|=r} \rho(t, r) u(t, r) \frac{x}{|x|} \varphi_t(t, x) dS_x dr dt
\]

\[
= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_{|y|=1} \rho(t, r) u(t, r) y_j \varphi_t(t, ry) dS_y r^{n-1} dr dt
\]

\[
= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \rho(t, r) u(t, r) \varphi_t(t, r) r^{n-1} dr dt,
\]
where we have defined the new test function
\[ \zeta(t, r) = \int_{|y| = 1} y_j \varphi(t, ry) \, dS_y. \]

Similarly, we find that
\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho \mathbf{u}_j^2(x) \varphi(0, x) \, dx = \int_0^\infty \rho_0(r) u_0(r) \zeta(0, r) r^{n-1} \, dr. \]

For the next term, we calculate
\[
\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx \, dt = \int_0^\infty \int_{|y|=1} \rho u_j y_j \cdot \nabla \varphi(t, ry) \, dS_y r^{n-1} \, dr \, dt
= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \rho u^2 \zeta \, r^{n-1} \, dr \, dt.
\]

For the final term, we first make the observation that
\[
r^{n-1} \int_{|y|=1} \varphi_{x_j}(t, ry) \, dS_y = \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \int_0^r \left( \int_{|y|=1} \varphi_{x_j}(t, \tilde{r}y) \, dS_y \right) r^{n-1} \, d\tilde{r}
= \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \int_{|x| \leq r} \varphi_{x_j}(t, x) \, dx
= \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \int_{|x|=r} \varphi(t, x) \frac{x_j}{|x|} \, dS_x
= \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r^{n-1} \zeta).
\]

Then we check
\[
\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} p(\rho) \partial_{x_j} \varphi \, dx \, dt = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_{|y|=1} p(\rho) \varphi_{x_j}(t, ry) \, dS_y r^{n-1} \, dr \, dt
= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty p(\rho) (r^{n-1} \zeta)_r \, dr \, dt
= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty p(\rho) \left( \zeta_r + \frac{n-1}{r} \zeta \right) r^{n-1} \, dr \, dt.
\]

Putting all of these identities together, we obtain the equivalent weak formulation
\[
\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \left( \rho u \zeta_t + \rho u^2 \zeta_r + p(\rho) \left( \zeta_r + \frac{n-1}{r} \zeta \right) \right) r^{n-1} \, dr \, dt
+ \int_0^\infty \rho_0(r) u_0(r) \zeta(0, r) r^{n-1} \, dr = 0
\]
as stated in Definition 1.2.

Finally, we check the conditions on the test function \( \zeta \) at the origin, \( r = 0 \). One easily sees that
\[ \zeta(t, 0) = \int_{|y|=1} y_j \varphi(t, 0) \, dS_y = 0. \]

However, the radial derivative,
\[ \zeta_r(t, 0) = \int_{|y|=1} y_j \nabla \varphi(t, 0) \cdot y \, dS_y \]
may not be zero. For example, taking \( \varphi(t, x) = x_j \chi(x) \psi(t) \) for some cut-off functions \( \chi \in C^\infty_c(B_2(0)) \) such that \( \chi(x) = 1 \) on \( B_1(0) \) and such that \( \psi \in C^\infty_c([0, \infty)) \), with \( \psi = 1 \) on \([0, 1]\), we obtain
\[ \zeta_r(1, 0) = \int_{|y|=1} y_j e_j \cdot y \, dS_y = \int_{|y|=1} y_j^2 \, dS_y > 0. \]
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