

# Formulation of a Triaxial Three-Layered Earth Rotation: I. Theory and Rotational Normal Mode Solutions

Zhiliang Guo<sup>1</sup>, WenBin Shen<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Geophysics, School of Geodesy and Geomatics/Key Laboratory of Geospace Environment and Geodesy, Wuhan University, Wuhan, 430079, China

<sup>2</sup>State Key Laboratory of Information Engineering in Surveying, Mapping and Remote Sensing, Wuhan University, Wuhan, 430079, China

## Key Points:

- A new triaxial three-layered Earth rotation theory was established
- Four rotational normal modes (CW, FCN, FICN, and ICW) under this new frame were provided
- FICN can be prograde or retrograde and the ICW period varies significantly due to different core mantle couplings

arXiv:1901.10066v1 [physics.geo-ph] 29 Jan 2019

**Abstract**

In this study, we formulated a triaxial three-layered anelastic Earth rotation theory considering various core mantle couplings, including the pressure and gravitational couplings acting on the inner core by the outer core and mantle, the viscoelectromagnetic couplings between the outer core and mantle, and between the outer and inner cores. With this formulation, we provided four numerical solutions for the rotational normal modes, including the Chandler Wobble (CW), Free Core Nutation (FCN), Free Inner Core Nutation (FICN), and the Inner Core Wobble (ICW). The triaxiality led to increased periods for the CW and ICW of about 0.01 and 0.35 mean solar days (d), respectively. The mantle anelasticity and ocean tide induced dissipations were mainly responsible for the CW, but contributed little to the FCN, while the viscoelectromagnetic coupling induced dissipations were mainly responsible for the FCN, FICN, and ICW. By investigating different types of couplings, we found that pressure coupling played the dominant role in prograde FICN, while viscoelectromagnetic or gravitational couplings either alone, or together gave rise to retrograde FICN. On the other hand, the ICW period varied extensively from 130 d to 21 yr under different core mantle coupling conditions.

**1 Introduction**

The study of Earth's rotation is an interdisciplinary pursuit of geodesy, geophysics, and astronomy. Observations from space geodesy and astrometry promote improvements of Earth's rotation theory in geodesy and geophysics. Conventionally, scientists focus on the rotationally symmetric Earth rotation theory, from one-layer to three-layer models (Dehant & Mathews, 2015; Lambeck, 1980; Moritz & Mueller, 1987; Munk & MacDonald, 1960) due to the fact that (1) observation accuracies have been historically insufficient, and (2), these models are more easily dealt with. However, with increasing levels of science and technology, observations are achieving successively higher accuracy levels. Hence, a more rigorous triaxial three-layered Earth rotation theory is required. The new theory is expected to better describe the real stratified rotational behaviors of a three-layered Earth, and better reveal the mechanisms of the relevant Earth rotation variation phenomena, such as variations of the Chandler wobble (CW) and decadal variations of the length of day (LOD).

Earth's triaxiality is generally neglected in the aforementioned Earth rotational theories. However, the discovery of the Earth's layered lateral heterogeneity from seismic evidence (Deuss, 2014; Dziewonski, Forte, Su, & Woodward, 1993; Soldati, Boschi, & Pier-santi, 2003) and modern geodetic observations (e.g. Bura (1992); Chen and Shen (2010); Groten (2004); Marchenko and Schwintzer (2003)) demonstrate that not only is the whole Earth triaxial, but that the fluid outer core and solid inner core are also triaxial (Chen, Li, Ray, Shen, and Huang (2015); Sun and Shen (2016)). Burša and Šíma (1984) determined the principal moments of inertia for the triaxial Earth using second-order Stokes coefficients and stated that the direction of the largest axis of the best-fitting triaxial Earth's ellipsoid is practically identical with the direction of the axis of the triaxial Earth's smallest principal moments of inertia with a longitude of  $-14.90^\circ$ . Liu and Chao (1991) formulated a method for determining the principal inertial axes and the difference between the two equatorial principal moments of inertia of the triaxial Earth. Bura (1992) computed the principal moments of the triaxial Earth's inertia and their differences from second degree geopotential parameters and astronomical dynamical ellipticity. Marchenko and Schwintzer (2003) proposed an eigenvalue-eigen vector method to derive a group of principal moments of inertia from second-order gravitational potential coefficients. Groten (2004) summarized the principal moments of inertia for the triaxial Earth and other basic parameters related to astronomy, geodesy, and geodynamics. After Chen and Shen (2010), Chen et al. (2015) further provided the dynamical figure parameters of a triaxial three-layered Earth model, including the principal moments of inertia and dynamical ellipticity for each layer. Hence, to better describe the Earth's rotation behavior it is necessary to consider triaxiality when formulating Earth rotation theories.

Previously, some scientists have studied the triaxial effects based on rigid Earth or elastic Earth models. Seitz and Schmidt (2005) studied the atmospheric and oceanic excitations for a triaxial elastic Earth based on their Dynamic Model for Earth Rotation and Gravity (DyMEG). Gross (2007, 2015) formulated a triaxial elastic Earth rotation theory and described the observational phenomena of polar motion and length of day variations. Bizouard and Zotov (2013) found that based on a triaxial elastic Earth model, the pole tide and the triaxiality will both lead to asymmetric polar motion, while the effect of triaxiality will partly cancel the effect of the pole tide. Though Hinderer, Legros, and Amalvict (1982) formulated a triaxial two-layered Earth rotation theory, they studied the perturbations of the CW eigenfrequencies and the Nearly Diurnal Free Wobble (NDFW) under the rotationally symmetric condition. Van Hoolst and Dehant (2002) successfully considered the triaxial and second-order effects of geometric and dynamical flattenings on the normal modes CW and Free Core Nutation (FCN) of a two-layered Earth model and Mars model under the framework of Dehant, Hinderer, Legros, and Lefftz (1993).

In the last ten years, Shen, Chen, Wang, and Liang (2007) and Shen, Chen, and Sun (2008) investigated the rotational behavior of a triaxial rigid Earth and estimated the principal moments of inertia for the whole Earth. Chen and Shen (2010) formulated a triaxial two-layered Earth rotational theory and provided the normal mode solutions that match the observations very well after considering the mantle anelasticity and ocean tide dissipations, and applied the theory to polar motion excitation while considering a frequency dependent response. Chen, Ray, Li, Huang, and Shen (2013) and Chen, Ray, Shen, and Huang (2013) further investigated the frequency dependent response of polar motion excitation by modeling the mantle anelasticity, quasi-fluid rheology, ocean tides, and core mantle coupling, and Chen et al. (2015) estimated the triaxial dynamic figure parameters of the triaxial three-layered Earth based on new gravity field models EGM2008, EIGEN-6C, and EIGEN-6C2. Sun and Shen (2016) extended the theory of Chen and Shen (2010) by considering the complex compliances and inverted the core triaxiality parameters by using the difference between the empirical and theoretical models for prograde diurnal polar motion. Under the triaxial two-layered Earth rotation frame, Yang and Shen (2016) further considered the electromagnetic coupling between the mantle and fluid core and investigated the effects of the compliances on the rotational CW and FCN normal modes.

Before formulating a triaxial three-layered Earth rotation theory and investigating the effects of triaxiality on the rotational normal modes, we first reviewed the current rotationally symmetric Earth rotation theories based on the angular momentum balance (AMB) approach that was used in our formulation. Due to the pioneer work of Hough and Analysis (1895) and Poincaré (1910) for the rigid mantle and incompressible fluid core, Sasao, Okamoto, and Sakai (1977) built a rotationally symmetric two-layered Earth rotation theory by considering the elastic mantle and incompressible fluid core, and Sasao, Okubo, and Saito (1980) extended the model by considering a compressible fluid core instead. Since the moments of inertia of the inner core are only about 7/10000 of the whole Earth, the inner core is often neglected in conventional Earth rotation theory. The two-layered Earth rotational theory of Hinderer et al. (1982) is different from the work of Sasao et al. (1977) and Sasao et al. (1980), and the former was applied by Cui, Sun, Xu, and Zhou (2012) to study the FCN and core mantle coupling effects. Jochmann (2009) formulated a two-layered Earth rotational model with inertia coupling and provided the rotational normal mode analytical solutions for CW and FCN, and investigated the effect of pole tide on the CW. Szeto and Smylie (1984) and Smylie, Szeto, and Rochester (1984) deployed the Euler kinematic and dynamic equations to describe the motion of the inner core, and found a rapid precession and a slow precession in the mantle frame that were later confirmed as the Free Inner Core Nutation (FICN) and Inner Core Wobble (ICW) (Xu & Szeto, 1998). Mathews, Buffett, Herring, and Shapiro (1991a) formulated a rotationally symmetric three-layered Earth rotation theory with pressure and gravitational couplings considered (simply MBHS1991 theory), and stated that two more normal modes will be induced because of the inner core, namely the FICN and ICW. Further, Mathews, Herring, and Buffett (2002) considered the

effects of electromagnetic couplings, mantle anelasticity, and ocean tide dissipations, finding that the FICN period will be prolonged to 1025 mean solar days (d). In the frame of the AMB approach, Dehant et al. (1993) also independently formulated a rotationally symmetric three-layered Earth rotation theory, and provided four rotational normal modes. Legros, Hinderer, Lefftz, and Dehant (1993) accounted for the resonance effects induced by the tidal potential, atmospheric loading in the tides, and nutation based on the work of Dehant et al. (1993). Under the framework of MBHS1991 theory, Dumberry and Bloxham (2002) investigated the effect of the inner core tilt on decadal polar motion excitation that is frequently referred to as the Markowitz wobble, and found that a torque of  $10^{20}$  N m with a 0.07 degree inner core tilt is needed that critically depends on the viscosity of the inner core. Later, Dumberry (2008a) further explained the Markowitz wobble based on a time-dependent axial misalignment model between the density structures of the inner core and mantle. Dumberry (2008b) and Dumberry (2009) successfully extended the MBHS1991 theory to consider the inner core tilt induced elastic deformations, while Dumberry and Wiczeorek (2016) extended the MBHS1991 theory to formulate a new rotation model to study the forced precession of the inner core of the Moon.

Generally, there are two kinds of normal modes in solid Earth system theories (Chao, 2017), seismic normal modes (or free oscillation modes) mainly excited episodically by earthquakes (Dahlen, 1968, 1969), and rotational normal modes continually excited by mass redistributions, relative motions, and external torques (Chen & Shen, 2010; Crossley & Rochester, 2014; Dehant et al., 1993; Mathews et al., 1991a; Mathews, Buffett, Herring, & Shapiro, 1991b; Mathews et al., 2002; Rochester, Crossley, & Zhang, 2014; Rogister & Valette, 2009; Smith, 1977; Van Hoolst & Dehant, 2002; Wahr, 1981a, 1981b). The normal modes reflect the structure, components, and physics of the Earth. For example, various free oscillation modes (combined with other observations) were used to establish the preliminary reference Earth model (PREM) (Dziewonski & Anderson, 1981) that has broad applications in the geosciences. In another aspect, the rotational normal modes can be used to reveal the rotational behavior and physics of the stratified Earth, such as excitation mechanisms and core mantle coupling properties (Cui et al., 2012).

Hence, scientists pay great attention to various rotational normal modes for the Earth, including the CW, FCN, FICN, and ICW. Various observations of the rotational CW and FCN normal modes have been obtained by analyzing different kinds of data sets, including for instance, the Earth orientation parameter (EOP) data, very long baseline interferometry (VLBI) data, superconducting gravity data, and others. Furuya and Chao (1996) estimated the period and quality factor  $Q$  of the CW with polar motion data and Atmospheric Angular Momentum (AAM) data by investigating the Inverted Barometer (IB) effect on excitation functions, while Vondrák, Ron, and Chapanov (2017) determined the period and  $Q$  of the CW based on numerical integration of the broad-band Liouville equations by considering the effect of geomagnetic jerk. Nastula and Gross (2015) obtained the period and  $Q$  of the CW by minimizing the difference between the modeled and observed polar motion excitation functions that are derived from polar motion data and second degree gravitational potential coefficients based on observations of satellite laser range (SLR) (e.g. Cheng, Ries, and Tapley (2011)) and Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment (GRACE) (e.g., Bettadpur (2012)). Due to time-varying excitation and damping, the FCN is not included in precession-nutation model and remains in the celestial pole offsets (CPO) data observed by the VLBI technique. Hence, the period and  $Q$  of the FCN can be analyzed with these data by using different methods (Chao & Hsieh, 2015; Krásná, Böhm, & Schuh, 2013; Lambert & Dehant, 2007; Zhou et al., 2016). Chao and Hsieh (2015) formulated the dynamics of the FCN and estimated its eigenperiod using VLBI data. Observed from the Terrestrial Reference System, the FCN will become the NDFW, and due to strong resonance with the solid Earth tides in the diurnal band, the NDFW can be observed using superconducting gravity data (Ducarme, Sun, & Xu, 2007; Rosat, Florsch, Hinderer, & Llubes, 2009). Rosat and Lambert (2009) and Rosat, Lambert, Gattano, and Calvo (2017) successfully obtained the FCN parameters using both the VLBI data and superconducting gravity data. Recently, Rosat, Calvo, and

Lambert (2016) and Rosat et al. (2017) searched for a possible FICN resonance effect with a period of 1300 sidereal days using superconducting gravimeter data and the CPO series. However, until now, there are no reliable observations of the periods and Qs of the FICN and ICW, and this attracts great interests from scientists.

In theory, to solve the rotational normal modes of Earth's rotation, generally three kinds of methods are used: the AMB approach (Dehant et al., 1993; Hinderer et al., 1982; Mathews et al., 1991a; Mathews et al., 2002; Sasao et al., 1977, 1980; Sasao & Wahr, 1981), displacement field (DF) approach (de Vries & Wahr, 1991; Dehant & Mathews, 2015; Rochester et al., 2014; Rogister & Valette, 2009; Smith, 1974; Wahr, 1981b), and the Hamiltonian analysis (HA) approach (Ferrándiz, Navarro, Escapa, & Getino, 2015; Getino & Ferrándiz, 1997, 2000). Based on the AMB approach, the rigid Earth model provides one rotational normal mode, namely the CW (Moritz & Mueller, 1987). The two-layered Earth model with a rigid or elastic mantle and an incompressible or compressible fluid core will generate two normal modes, namely the CW and FCN, with different periods (Sasao et al., 1977, 1980). The biaxial three-layered Earth model with an elastic solid mantle, compressible fluid outer core, and solid inner core will give rise to four normal modes, namely the CW, FCN, FICN, and ICW (Dehant et al., 1993; Legros et al., 1993; Mathews et al., 1991a). Based on the DF approach, except the rotational normal mode CW, as summarized by Mathews et al. (1991a), the FCN, FICN and ICW normal modes will appear if the fluid core and solid inner core are considered. For example, by considering the solid inner core and its non-hydrostatic structure, de Vries and Wahr (1991) independently found the new normal mode FICN. Rogister and Valette (2009) successfully investigated the influences of the liquid outer core on the CW, FCN, and FICN rotational normal modes. Crossley and Rochester (2014) studied the CW, FCN, FICN and ICW rotational normal modes based on their wobble-nutation theory (Rochester et al., 2014). Based on the HA approach, for a two-layered solid mantle and fluid core Earth model, there will be two rotational normal modes, namely, the CW and FCN (Getino, González, & Escapa, 2000; González & Getino, 1997), and for a three-layered solid mantle, fluid outer core, and solid inner core Earth model, there will be four rotational normal modes, the CW, FCN, FICN, and ICW (Escapa, Getino, & Ferrándiz, 2001), consistent with the AMB approach.

Here, aligned with previous studies (Chen & Shen, 2010; Mathews et al., 1991a; Sun & Shen, 2016; Yang & Shen, 2016), we formulated a triaxial three-layered Earth rotation theory. The Earth is composed of an anelastic mantle (including the crust, hereafter, the same meaning), a fluid outer core, and a solid inner core. In section 2, we first generalized the MBHS1991 theory by extending the rotational symmetric moments of the inertial tensor into the triaxial tensors. Then, the external tesseral tidal, pressure, and gravitational coupling torques were extended into the triaxial theory to match the triaxial moments of inertia tensor. In addition, the viscoelectromagnetic coupling torques near the core-mantle boundary (CMB) and inner core boundary (ICB) were considered and extended into the triaxial theory. A new triaxial three-layered Earth rotation theory was then established. In section 3, we used two approaches to solve the normal modes of the triaxial three-layered Earth rotation equations. In section 4, we describe the needed input data, including the dynamical figure parameters of the triaxial three-layered Earth, compliances partly from Yang and Shen (2016) and partly from Mathews et al. (2002), and the core mantle coupling parameters. In section 5, to validate the triaxial three-layered Earth rotation theory, we calculated the corresponding four rotational normal modes, and compared the new results with those obtained by conventional theories. Additionally, the dissipative properties of the four normal Earth rotation modes are discussed. Since there are lots of observations of the CW and FCN periods, here we used the means of the CW and FCN period observations to adjust the values of the compliances to best match the observations. In section 6, we studied the rotational normal modes extensively by investigating the rotational normal modes under different core mantle coupling conditions with some simplified cases, because different core mantle couplings, like viscoelectromagnetic, gravitational, pressure couplings will have

significant and distinct effects on the rotational normal modes, i.e. the CW, FCN, FICN and ICW. In section 7 we summarized the study and discuss relevant problems.

## 2 Theory

In this study we adopted the basic assumptions deployed by Mathews et al. (1991a) and Mathews et al. (2002). The standard Earth, composed of the elastic mantle, fluid outer core, and the solid inner core are defined as rotating with a mean angular velocity  $\mathbf{\Omega}_0$  about a mean rotational vector  $\mathbf{I}_3$  along the  $\mathbf{z}$  axis of a geocentric quasi-inertial reference system called the geocentric celestial reference system (GCRS). As discussed in Mathews et al. (1991a), the displacement field of the real Earth with respect to the standard Earth can be divided into a rigid rotation component and a deformation field. We applied the rigid rotation to the solid inner core of the standard Earth to define the instantaneous figure axis  $\mathbf{i}'_3$ , and also applied the rigid rotation to the mantle and fluid outer core of the standard Earth to define the mantle fixed  $\mathbf{i}$ -system ( $\mathbf{i}_1, \mathbf{i}_2, \mathbf{i}_3$ ). These two coordinate systems were designed to separate the rigid rotation induced inertial tensor components and the deformations induced by varying the inertial tensor components, where the rigid rotation induced components constitute two independent quasi-principal axis systems, and the deformations caused by tides and non-uniform rotation are considered later as deviations. The tilt of the inner core is thus  $\mathbf{n}_s = \mathbf{i}'_3 - \mathbf{i}_3$ . The  $\mathbf{i}$ -system is close to, but not the Tisserand mean axial system (TMAS) (Munk & MacDonald, 1960), as stated by Mathews et al. (1991a). Thus, in an inertial system, the angular velocities of the mantle, fluid outer core, and solid inner core can be expressed as (Mathews et al., 1991a):

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{\Omega} &= \mathbf{\Omega}_0 + \boldsymbol{\omega} = \Omega_0(\mathbf{i}_3 + \mathbf{m}) \\ \mathbf{\Omega}_f &= \mathbf{\Omega} + \boldsymbol{\omega}_f = \Omega_0(\mathbf{i}_3 + \mathbf{m} + \mathbf{m}_f) \\ \mathbf{\Omega}_s &= \mathbf{\Omega} + \boldsymbol{\omega}_s = \Omega_0(\mathbf{i}_3 + \mathbf{m} + \mathbf{m}_s).\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

In the MBHS1991 theory, only terms with a magnitude of  $O(m)$  or  $O(m\epsilon)$  are considered, and the 2nd order terms with magnitudes of  $O(m^2)$  and  $O(m\epsilon^2)$  or higher order terms are neglected. The pressure and gravitational coupling torques acting on the fluid outer core are neglected in the fluid outer core rotational equation (see equation (3)) due to the higher order effect of  $O(m\epsilon^2)$ . This is referred to as *SOS approximation*, that was first proposed by Sasao et al. (1980) and later used by Mathews et al. (1991a). Similarly, in our study, we also considered only the first order terms of  $O(m)$  or  $O(m\epsilon)$ , and neglected the higher order terms for simplicity. In addition, Mathews and Guo (2005) extended the electromagnetic coupling models in Mathews et al. (2002) to include viscous couplings, thus the new viscoelectromagnetic coupling torques were generalized and applied to the triaxial case. We note that considering higher order terms in triaxial three-layered rotation theory is much more complicated. For example, the second order dynamical and geometric flattening effects of a triaxial three-layered Earth with a magnitude of  $O(m\epsilon^2)$ , or the second order rotational parameter effects of a triaxial three-layered Earth with a magnitude of  $O(m^2)$  were not considered here.

Based on the above assumptions, the coupled rotational equation for a triaxial three-layered Earth can be formulated in the  $\mathbf{i}$  ( $\mathbf{i}_1, \mathbf{i}_2, \mathbf{i}_3$ ) principal axis system (Dumberry, 2008a, 2009; Koot, Rivoldini, de Viron, & Dehant, 2008; Mathews et al., 1991a; Mathews et al., 2002):

$$\frac{d\mathbf{H}}{dt} + \mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{\Gamma}\tag{2}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbf{H}_f}{dt} - \boldsymbol{\omega}_f \times \mathbf{H}_f = \mathbf{\Gamma}_{CMB} - \mathbf{\Gamma}_{ICB}\tag{3}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbf{H}_s}{dt} + \mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{H}_s = \mathbf{\Gamma}_s + \mathbf{\Gamma}_{ICB}\tag{4}$$

where  $\mathbf{H}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$  are the angular momentum and angular velocity for the whole Earth, respectively.  $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$  is the external tesseral tidal torque,  $\mathbf{H}_f$  is the angular momentum of the fluid outer core,  $\boldsymbol{\omega}_f$  is the angular velocity of the outer core with respect to the mantle,  $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{CMB}$  is the viscoelectromagnetic coupling torque acting on the fluid outer core by the mantle,  $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{ICB}$  is the viscoelectromagnetic coupling torque acting on the solid inner core by the fluid outer core,  $\mathbf{H}_s$  is the angular momentum of the solid inner core, and  $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_s$  is the pressure and gravitational coupling torque acting on the solid inner core by the fluid outer core and mantle.

Following Mathews et al. (1991a), the external tesseral tidal torque acting on the whole Earth,  $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ , can be extended into the triaxial case, expressed as

$$\boldsymbol{\Gamma} = \Omega_0^2 \begin{pmatrix} (C - B)\phi_2 \\ -(C - A)\phi_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (5)$$

where  $A$ ,  $B$ ,  $C$  are the moments of inertia for the whole Earth, and  $\phi = \phi_1 + i\phi_2$  is the dimensionless tesseral tidal potential expressed as

$$\phi_1 = \frac{3GM_c}{\Omega_0^2 d^5} d_x d_z \quad (6)$$

$$\phi_2 = \frac{3GM_c}{\Omega_0^2 d^5} d_y d_z \quad (7)$$

where  $M_c$  is the mass of the perturbing celestial body, namely the moon, the Sun, or other planets in our solar system, and  $(d_x, d_y, d_z)$  are the components of the position vector  $\mathbf{d}$  for that celestial body.

According to Mathews et al. (2002) and Mathews and Guo (2005), the viscoelectromagnetic coupling torque acting on the fluid outer core by the mantle,  $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{CMB}$ , can be expressed in the triaxial form as

$$\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{CMB} = K_{CMB} \Omega_0^2 \begin{pmatrix} B_f m_2^f \\ -A_f m_1^f \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (8)$$

where  $K_{CMB}$  is the dimensionless viscoelectromagnetic coupling parameter between the mantle and fluid outer core,  $A_f$  and  $B_f$  are the equatorial moments of inertia for the fluid outer core,  $m_1^f$  and  $m_2^f$  are the equatorial components for the rotational parameters of the fluid outer core and the viscoelectromagnetic coupling torque acting on the solid inner core by the fluid outer core.  $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{ICB}$  can be expressed in the triaxial form as

$$\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{ICB} = K_{ICB} \Omega_0^2 \begin{pmatrix} B_s(m_2^s - m_2^f) \\ -A_s(m_1^s - m_1^f) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (9)$$

where  $K_{ICB}$  is the dimensionless viscoelectromagnetic coupling parameter between the fluid outer core and solid inner core,  $A_s$  and  $B_s$  are the equatorial moments of inertia for the solid inner core, and  $m_1^s$  and  $m_2^s$  are the equatorial components of the rotational parameters for the solid inner core.

The pressure and gravitational coupling torque acting on the solid inner core by the fluid outer core and mantle,  $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_s$ , can also be extended into the triaxial cases, expressed as

$$\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_s = \Omega_0^2 \begin{pmatrix} (C_s - B_s)[\alpha_1(m_2 + m_2^f) - \alpha_2 n_2^s + \alpha_3 \phi_2] - c_{23}^s \\ -(C_s - A_s)[\alpha_1(m_1 + m_1^f) - \alpha_2 n_1^s + \alpha_3 \phi_1] + c_{13}^s \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (10)$$

compared to the rotationally symmetric cases given by Mathews et al. (1991a). In equation (8),  $A_s$ ,  $B_s$ , and  $C_s$  are the moments of inertia for the solid inner core,  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\alpha_2$ , and  $\alpha_3$  are the pressure and gravitational coupling parameters,  $\mathbf{n}_s = \mathbf{i}'_3 - \mathbf{i}_3 = (n_1^s, n_2^s, n_3^s)$  is the tilt of the solid inner core and  $c_{13}^s$  and  $c_{23}^s$  are inertia products induced by elastic deformations of the solid inner core due to tides and non-uniform rotation. The pressure and gravitational coupling parameters  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\alpha_2$ , and  $\alpha_3$  can be represented as (Mathews et al., 1991a):

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_1 &= 1 - \alpha_3 = \frac{C'_s - (A'_s + B'_s)/2}{C_s - (A_s + B_s)/2} \\ \alpha_2 &= \alpha_1 - \alpha_3 \alpha_g \\ \alpha_g &= \frac{8\pi G}{5\Omega_0^2} \left( \int_{a_s}^a \rho_0(a') \frac{d\epsilon}{da'} da' + \rho_f \epsilon_s \right)\end{aligned}\quad (11)$$

where  $A'_s$ ,  $B'_s$ , and  $C'_s$  are the corresponding moments of inertia for the inner core that are replaced by an inner core with density  $\rho_f$  that is also the density of the fluid outer core at the ICB,  $\alpha_g$  represents the strength of the gravitational coupling,  $\epsilon_s$  is the geometric flattening of the solid inner core,  $\epsilon$  is the geometric flattening of the fluid outer core and the mantle varied from the ICB to the surface of the solid Earth, and  $a_s$  and  $a$  are the radii of the solid inner core and solid Earth, respectively.

Since our present study focuses only on polar motion and nutation, we did not consider the z-components of the core mantle coupling torques (including for instance, the z-components of the electromagnetic coupling torques near the CMB and ICB and the pressure and gravitational coupling torque). In addition, the z-component of the tidal torque is the second order sectorial tidal potential, hence it was neglected in this study.

The angular momenta of the solid inner core, the fluid outer core, and whole Earth can be written as (Mathews et al., 1991a):

$$\mathbf{H}_s = [\mathbf{C}_s] \cdot \boldsymbol{\Omega}_s \quad (12)$$

$$\mathbf{H}_f = [\mathbf{C}_f] \cdot \boldsymbol{\Omega}_f \quad (13)$$

$$\mathbf{H} = [\mathbf{C}] \cdot \boldsymbol{\Omega} + [\mathbf{C}_f] \cdot (\boldsymbol{\Omega}_f - \boldsymbol{\Omega}) + [\mathbf{C}_s] \cdot (\boldsymbol{\Omega}_s - \boldsymbol{\Omega}) + \mathbf{H}^{(R)}, \quad (14)$$

where the TMAS is used for the fluid outer core and solid inner core, and the coordinate system fixed with the mantle is not, but close to the TMAS, as discussed in section 2. This is the reason why the relative angular momentum  $\mathbf{H}^{(R)}$  variable exists in the equation for the whole Earth and can be neglected in the following derivations in our first-order approximation. Following Mathews et al. (1991a), in the triaxial case, the moments of inertia tensor can be generalized as follows:

$$[\mathbf{C}_s] = A_s \mathbf{i}_1 \mathbf{i}_1 + B_s \mathbf{i}_2 \mathbf{i}_2 + C_s \mathbf{i}_3 \mathbf{i}_3 + \left( C_s - \frac{A_s + B_s}{2} \right) (\mathbf{i}'_3 \mathbf{i}'_3 - \mathbf{i}_3 \mathbf{i}_3) + \sum_{ij} c_{ij}^s \mathbf{i}_i \mathbf{i}_j \quad (15)$$

$$[\mathbf{C}_f] = A_f \mathbf{i}_1 \mathbf{i}_1 + B_f \mathbf{i}_2 \mathbf{i}_2 + C_f \mathbf{i}_3 \mathbf{i}_3 + \left( C_f - \frac{A'_f + B'_f}{2} \right) (\mathbf{i}_3 \mathbf{i}_3 - \mathbf{i}'_3 \mathbf{i}'_3) + \sum_{ij} c_{ij}^f \mathbf{i}_i \mathbf{i}_j \quad (16)$$

$$\begin{aligned}[\mathbf{C}] &= A \mathbf{i}_1 \mathbf{i}_1 + B \mathbf{i}_2 \mathbf{i}_2 + C \mathbf{i}_3 \mathbf{i}_3 + \left[ \left( C_s + \frac{A_s + B_s}{2} \right) - \left( C_f - \frac{A'_f + B'_f}{2} \right) \right] (\mathbf{i}'_3 \mathbf{i}'_3 - \mathbf{i}_3 \mathbf{i}_3) \\ &\quad + \sum_{ij} c_{ij} \mathbf{i}_i \mathbf{i}_j, \quad (17)\end{aligned}$$

where  $c_{ij}^s$ ,  $c_{ij}^f$ , and  $c_{ij}$  are the moments and products of inertia caused by elastic deformation of the solid inner core, fluid outer core, and the whole Earth due to tidal and centrifugal potential, respectively.

Next, we derived the rotational equations for the whole Earth, fluid outer core, and solid inner core. In the following derivation, we treated  $\mathbf{m}$ ,  $\mathbf{m}_f$ ,  $\mathbf{m}_s$ ,  $\mathbf{n}_s$ ,  $c_{ij}^s$ ,  $c_{ij}^f$ , and  $c_{ij}$  as first order small quantities, and the higher orders were neglected.

Substituting the moments of inertia tensors  $[\mathbf{C}_s]$ ,  $[\mathbf{C}_f]$ , and  $[\mathbf{C}]$  as expressed by equations (15), (16), and (17) into equation (14), the angular momentum of the whole Earth  $\mathbf{H}$  can be obtained. Combined with the external tidal torque  $\mathbf{\Gamma}$  as expressed by equation (5), the rotational equation for the whole Earth, expressed by (2), can be re-formulated as:

$$A \frac{dm_1}{dt} + A_f \frac{dm_1^f}{dt} + A_s \frac{dm_1^s}{dt} + \frac{A_s + B_s}{2} \alpha_3 e_s \frac{dn_1^s}{dt} + \frac{dc_{13}}{dt} + \Omega_0((C - B)m_2 - (B_f m_2^f + B_s m_2^s + \frac{A_s + B_s}{2} \alpha_3 e_s n_2^s + c_{23})) = \Omega_0(C - B)\phi_2 \quad (18)$$

$$B \frac{dm_2}{dt} + B_f \frac{dm_2^f}{dt} + B_s \frac{dm_2^s}{dt} + \frac{A_s + B_s}{2} \alpha_3 e_s \frac{dn_2^s}{dt} + \frac{dc_{23}}{dt} + \Omega_0(-(C - A)m_1 + (A_f m_1^f + A_s m_1^s + \frac{A_s + B_s}{2} \alpha_3 e_s n_1^s + c_{13})) = -\Omega_0(C - A)\phi_1 \quad (19)$$

$$C \frac{dm_3}{dt} + C_f \frac{dm_3^f}{dt} + C_s \frac{dm_3^s}{dt} + \frac{A_s + B_s}{2} \alpha_3 e_s \frac{dn_3^s}{dt} + \frac{dc_{33}}{dt} = 0. \quad (20)$$

Substituting the moments of inertia tensor  $[\mathbf{C}_f]$  as expressed by equation (16) into equation (13), and further substituting equations (13), (8), and (9) into equation (3), the rotational equation of the fluid outer core can be obtained as:

$$A_f \frac{d}{dt}(m_1 + m_1^f) - \frac{A_s + B_s}{2} \alpha_1 e_s \frac{dn_1^s}{dt} + \frac{dc_{13}^f}{dt} - \Omega_0(C_f + K_{CMB}B_f + K_{ICB}B_s)m_2^f + \Omega_0 K_{ICB}B_s m_2^s = 0 \quad (21)$$

$$B_f \frac{d}{dt}(m_2 + m_2^f) - \frac{A_s + B_s}{2} \alpha_1 e_s \frac{dn_2^s}{dt} + \frac{dc_{23}^f}{dt} + \Omega_0(C_f + K_{CMB}A_f + K_{ICB}A_s)m_1^f - \Omega_0 K_{ICB}A_s m_1^s = 0 \quad (22)$$

$$C_f \frac{d}{dt}(m_3 + m_3^f) - \frac{A_s + B_s}{2} \alpha_1 e_s \frac{dn_3^s}{dt} + \frac{dc_{33}^f}{dt} = 0. \quad (23)$$

Substituting the moments of inertia tensor  $[\mathbf{C}_s]$  into equation (12), and further by substituting equations (12), (9), and (10) into equation (4), the rotational equation of the solid inner core can be obtained as:

$$A_s \frac{d}{dt}(m_1 + m_1^s) + \frac{A_s + B_s}{2} e_s \frac{dn_1^s}{dt} + \frac{dc_{13}^s}{dt} + \Omega_0((C_s - B_s)m_2 + B_s K_{ICB}m_2^f - B_s(1 + K_{ICB})m_2^s - \frac{A_s + B_s}{2} e_s n_2^s - c_{23}^s) = \Omega_0(C_s - B_s)[\alpha_1(m_2 + m_2^f) - \alpha_2 n_2^s + \alpha_3 \phi_2] - \Omega_0 c_{23}^s \quad (24)$$

$$B_s \frac{d}{dt}(m_2 + m_2^s) + \frac{A_s + B_s}{2} e_s \frac{dn_2^s}{dt} + \frac{dc_{23}^s}{dt} + \Omega_0(-(C_s - A_s)m_1 - A_s K_{ICB}m_1^f + A_s(1 + K_{ICB})m_1^s + \frac{A_s + B_s}{2} e_s n_1^s + c_{13}^s) = -\Omega_0(C_s - A_s)[\alpha_1(m_1 + m_1^f) - \alpha_2 n_1^s + \alpha_3 \phi_1] + \Omega_0 c_{13}^s \quad (25)$$

$$C_s \frac{d}{dt}(m_3 + m_3^s) + \frac{A_s + B_s}{2} e_s \frac{dn_3^s}{dt} + \frac{dc_{33}^s}{dt} = 0. \quad (26)$$

In addition, the tilt equation of the solid inner core in the first order can be written as (Guo & Ning, 2002; Mathews et al., 1991a):

$$\frac{dn_1^s}{dt} - \Omega_0 m_2^s = 0 \quad (27)$$

$$\frac{dn_2^s}{dt} + \Omega_0 m_1^s = 0 \quad (28)$$

$$\frac{dn_3^s}{dt} = 0 \quad (29)$$

The rotational equations for the whole Earth, fluid outer core, and solid inner core are expressed by equations (18)-(26), along with the tilt equations of the solid inner core that are expressed by equations (27)-(29), are the foundation of the triaxial three-layered Earth

rotational theory. In the following sections, the rotational normal modes for the triaxial three-layered Earth rotation equations that are related with the wobbles and nutations will be investigated. Here, we deployed the first two equations in each group of the rotational equations to focus on nutation and polar motion in this study, while the LOD problem will be investigated in a separate study.

Notice that the inertial products  $c_{13}$ ,  $c_{23}$ ,  $c_{13}^f$ ,  $c_{23}^f$ ,  $c_{13}^s$ , and  $c_{23}^s$  are caused by elastic deformations due to tides and non-uniform rotation, and can be modeled in the same way as Mathews et al. (1991a) and then extended into the triaxial case as:

$$c_{13} = A[\kappa(m_1 - \phi_1) + \xi m_1^f + \varsigma m_1^s] \quad (30)$$

$$c_{23} = B[\kappa(m_2 - \phi_2) + \xi m_2^f + \varsigma m_2^s] \quad (31)$$

$$c_{13}^f = A_f[\gamma(m_1 - \phi_1) + \beta m_1^f + \delta m_1^s] \quad (32)$$

$$c_{23}^f = B_f[\gamma(m_2 - \phi_2) + \beta m_2^f + \delta m_2^s] \quad (33)$$

$$c_{13}^s = A_s[\theta(m_1 - \phi_1) + \chi m_1^f + \nu m_1^s] \quad (34)$$

$$c_{23}^s = B_s[\theta(m_2 - \phi_2) + \chi m_2^f + \nu m_2^s] \quad (35)$$

where the compliances  $\kappa$ ,  $\xi$ , and  $\varsigma$  contribute to the deformational products of inertia  $c_{13}$  and  $c_{23}$  for the whole Earth, compliances  $\gamma$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\delta$  contribute to the deformational products of inertia  $c_{13}^f$  and  $c_{23}^f$  for the fluid outer core, compliances  $\theta$ ,  $\chi$ , and  $\nu$  contribute to the deformational products of inertia  $c_{13}^s$  and  $c_{23}^s$  for the solid inner core that can be calculated based on the elastic displacement fields in a similar way as Sasao et al. (1980) and Mathews et al. (1991a). The actual values of these compliances are provided in section 4.

Combining equations (18), (19), (30), and (31), the rotational equation for the whole Earth can be expressed as:

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 + \kappa)A \frac{dm_1}{dt} + (A_f + A\xi) \frac{dm_1^f}{dt} + (A_s + A\varsigma) \frac{dm_1^s}{dt} + \frac{A_s + B_s}{2} \alpha_3 e_s \frac{dn_1^s}{dt} \\ & + (C - (1 + \kappa)B) \Omega_0 m_2 - (B_f + B\xi) \Omega_0 m_2^f - (B_s + B\varsigma) \Omega_0 m_2^s - \frac{A_s + B_s}{2} \alpha_3 e_s \Omega_0 n_2^s \\ & = A\kappa \frac{d\phi_1}{dt} + (C - (1 + \kappa)B) \Omega_0 \phi_2, \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 + \kappa)B \frac{dm_2}{dt} + (B_f + B\xi) \frac{dm_2^f}{dt} + (B_s + B\varsigma) \frac{dm_2^s}{dt} + \frac{A_s + B_s}{2} \alpha_3 e_s \frac{dn_2^s}{dt} \\ & - (C - (1 + \kappa)A) \Omega_0 m_1 + (A_f + A\xi) \Omega_0 m_1^f + (A_s + A\varsigma) \Omega_0 m_1^s + \frac{A_s + B_s}{2} \alpha_3 e_s \Omega_0 n_1^s \\ & = B\kappa \frac{d\phi_2}{dt} - (C - (1 + \kappa)A) \Omega_0 \phi_1; \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

combining equations (21), (22), (32) and (33), the rotational equation of the fluid core can be expressed as:

$$\begin{aligned} & A_f(1 + \gamma) \frac{dm_1}{dt} + A_f(1 + \beta) \frac{dm_1^f}{dt} + A_f\delta \frac{dm_1^s}{dt} - \frac{A_s + B_s}{2} \alpha_1 e_s \frac{dn_1^s}{dt} \\ & - \Omega_0(C_f + K_{CMB}B_f + K_{ICB}B_s)m_2^f + \Omega_0 K_{ICB}B_s m_2^s = A_f\gamma \frac{d\phi_1}{dt}, \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & B_f(1 + \gamma) \frac{dm_2}{dt} + B_f(1 + \beta) \frac{dm_2^f}{dt} + B_f\delta \frac{dm_2^s}{dt} - \frac{A_s + B_s}{2} \alpha_1 e_s \frac{dn_2^s}{dt} \\ & + \Omega_0(C_f + K_{CMB}A_f + K_{ICB}A_s)m_1^f - \Omega_0 K_{ICB}A_s m_1^s = B_f\gamma \frac{d\phi_2}{dt}; \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

and combining equations (24), (25), (34), and (35), the rotational equation of the solid inner core then can be expressed as:

$$A_s(1 + \theta) \frac{dm_1}{dt} + A_s\chi \frac{dm_1^f}{dt} + A_s(1 + \nu) \frac{dm_1^s}{dt} + \frac{A_s + B_s}{2} e_s \frac{dn_1^s}{dt}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & +\Omega_0(C_s - B_s)(1 - \alpha_1)m_2 - [(C_s - B_s)\alpha_1 - B_s K_{ICB}]\Omega_0 m_2^f - B_s(1 + K_{ICB})\Omega_0 m_2^s \\
 & -\Omega_0 n_2^s \left( \frac{A_s + B_s}{2} e_s - \alpha_2(C_s - B_s) \right) = A_s \theta \frac{d\phi_1}{dt} + (C_s - B_s)\alpha_3 \Omega_0 \phi_2, \quad (40)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & B_s(1 + \theta) \frac{dm_2}{dt} + B_s \chi \frac{dm_2^f}{dt} + B_s(1 + \nu) \frac{dm_2^s}{dt} + \frac{A_s + B_s}{2} e_s \frac{dn_2^s}{dt} \\
 & -\Omega_0(C_s - A_s)(1 - \alpha_1)m_1 + [(C_s - A_s)\alpha_1 - A_s K_{ICB}]\Omega_0 m_1^f + A_s(1 + K_{ICB})\Omega_0 m_1^s \\
 & +\Omega_0 n_1^s \left( \frac{A_s + B_s}{2} e_s - \alpha_2(C_s - A_s) \right) = B_s \theta \frac{d\phi_2}{dt} - (C_s - A_s)\alpha_3 \Omega_0 \phi_1. \quad (41)
 \end{aligned}$$

The new rotational equations for the whole Earth, fluid outer core, and solid inner core, expressed by equations (36)-(41), along with the tilt equations for the solid inner core, expressed by equations (27) and (28), constitute the basic equations of the triaxial three-layered Earth rotational theory, a special case where MBHS1991 theory (Mathews et al., 1991a; Mathews et al., 2002) represents the rotationally symmetric case.

### 3 Solution Formulation of the Rotational Normal Modes for Triaxial Three-layered Earth Rotation

In the rotationally symmetric three-layered Earth rotation case, Mathews et al. (1991a) provided the analytical rotational normal mode solutions for the three-layered Earth with only pressure and gravitational couplings acting on the solid inner core. Mathews et al. (2002) extended this model by incorporating the electromagnetic couplings near the CMB, ICB, and mantle anelasticity and ocean tide effects with the analytical rotational normal modes solutions as followings:

$$\sigma_{CW} = \frac{A}{A_m}(e - \kappa) \quad (42)$$

$$\sigma_{FCN} = -1 - \left(1 + \frac{A_f}{A_m}\right)(e_f - \beta + K_{CMB} + K_{ICB} \frac{A_s}{A_f}) \quad (43)$$

$$\sigma_{FICN} = -1 + \left(1 + \frac{A_s}{A_m}\right)(\alpha_2 e_s + \nu - K_{ICB}) \quad (44)$$

$$\sigma_{ICW} = (1 - \alpha_2)e_s = \alpha_3(\alpha_g + 1)e_s, \quad (45)$$

where  $e$ ,  $e_f$  and  $e_s$  are the dynamic ellipticities for the whole Earth, fluid outer core, and solid inner core, respectively. Here,  $K_{CMB}$  and  $K_{ICB}$  can be the electromagnetic coupling parameters or the viscoelectromagnetic coupling parameters depending on the model for dissipative coupling in the fluid outer core, i.e. the electromagnetic coupling model of Mathews et al. (2002) or the viscoelectromagnetic coupling model of Mathews and Guo (2005). The advantages of equations (42)-(45) are that the influences of the compliances and core mantle coupling parameters on the normal modes can be clearly seen.

Regarding triaxial three-layered Earth rotation, it is more complex to solve for the rotational normal modes. In fact, there are two methods to solve the rotational normal modes for the triaxial three-layered Earth rotation equations, one was proposed by Van Hoolst and Dehant (2002) and later used by Chen and Shen (2010). The two methods are called the trigonometric function method and the eigenvalue method. They originated from the resonant frequency study of Mathews et al. (2002) who considered the electromagnetic couplings near the CMB and ICB, mantle anelasticity, and ocean tides to study forced nutations, and developed by Sun and Shen (2015) to study the CW and FCN under the frame of the triaxial two-layered Earth rotation of Chen and Shen (2010). In both methods, the external tidal potential terms should be set to zero for the rotational normal mode solutions. Here, we generalized these two methods to give the solution formulation of the rotational normal modes for the triaxial three-layered Earth rotation.

### 3.1 Trigonometric function method

As suggested by Van Hoolst and Dehant (2002) (see also Chen and Shen (2010)), the dimensionless rotational parameters for the whole Earth, the fluid outer core, the solid inner core, and the tilt of the solid inner core in the triaxial three-layered Earth rotation frame can be expressed in elliptic motions:

$$\begin{aligned}
 m_1 &= m_{1c} \cos \sigma \Omega_0 t + m_{1s} \sin \sigma \Omega_0 t, & m_2 &= m_{2c} \cos \sigma \Omega_0 t + m_{2s} \sin \sigma \Omega_0 t \\
 m_1^f &= m_{1c}^f \cos \sigma \Omega_0 t + m_{1s}^f \sin \sigma \Omega_0 t, & m_2^f &= m_{2c}^f \cos \sigma \Omega_0 t + m_{2s}^f \sin \sigma \Omega_0 t \\
 m_1^s &= m_{1c}^s \cos \sigma \Omega_0 t + m_{1s}^s \sin \sigma \Omega_0 t, & m_2^s &= m_{2c}^s \cos \sigma \Omega_0 t + m_{2s}^s \sin \sigma \Omega_0 t \\
 n_1^s &= n_{1c}^s \cos \sigma \Omega_0 t + n_{1s}^s \sin \sigma \Omega_0 t, & n_2^s &= n_{2c}^s \cos \sigma \Omega_0 t + n_{2s}^s \sin \sigma \Omega_0 t,
 \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

where  $\sigma$  is the frequency of the rotational motions in cycles per sidereal day (cpsd). By substituting equation (46) into equations (36)-(41), (27), and (28), we obtained the normal modes equations expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(1 + \kappa)\sigma A m_{1s} + (A_f + A\xi)\sigma m_{1s}^f + (A_s + A\zeta)\sigma m_{1s}^s + \frac{A_s + B_s}{2}\alpha_3 e_s \sigma n_{1s}^s \\
 &+ (C - (1 + \kappa)B)m_{2c} - (B_f + B\xi)m_{2c}^f - (B_s + B\zeta)m_{2c}^s - \frac{A_s + B_s}{2}\alpha_3 e_s n_{2c}^s = 0 \\
 &-(1 + \kappa)\sigma A m_{1c} - (A_f + A\xi)\sigma m_{1c}^f - (A_s + A\zeta)\sigma m_{1c}^s - \frac{A_s + B_s}{2}\alpha_3 e_s \sigma n_{1c}^s \\
 &+ (C - (1 + \kappa)B)m_{2s} - (B_f + B\xi)m_{2s}^f - (B_s + B\zeta)m_{2s}^s - \frac{A_s + B_s}{2}\alpha_3 e_s n_{2s}^s = 0 \\
 &(1 + \kappa)\sigma B m_{2s} + (B_f + B\xi)\sigma m_{2s}^f + (B_s + B\zeta)\sigma m_{2s}^s + \frac{A_s + B_s}{2}\alpha_3 e_s \sigma n_{2s}^s \\
 &-(C - (1 + \kappa)A)m_{1c} + (A_f + A\xi)m_{1c}^f + (A_s + A\zeta)m_{1c}^s + \frac{A_s + B_s}{2}\alpha_3 e_s n_{1c}^s = 0 \\
 &-(1 + \kappa)\sigma B m_{2c} - (B_f + B\xi)\sigma m_{2c}^f - (B_s + B\zeta)\sigma m_{2c}^s - \frac{A_s + B_s}{2}\alpha_3 e_s \sigma n_{2c}^s \\
 &-(C - (1 + \kappa)A)m_{1s} + (A_f + A\xi)m_{1s}^f + (A_s + A\zeta)m_{1s}^s + \frac{A_s + B_s}{2}\alpha_3 e_s n_{1s}^s = 0 \\
 &A_f(1 + \gamma)\sigma m_{1s} + A_f(1 + \beta)\sigma m_{1s}^f + A_f\delta\sigma m_{1s}^s - \frac{A_s + B_s}{2}\alpha_1 e_s \sigma n_{1s}^s \\
 &\quad - (C_f + K_{CMB}B_f + K_{ICB}B_s)m_{2c}^f + K_{ICB}B_s m_{2c}^s = 0 \\
 &-A_f(1 + \gamma)\sigma m_{1c} - A_f(1 + \beta)\sigma m_{1c}^f - A_f\delta\sigma m_{1c}^s + \frac{A_s + B_s}{2}\alpha_1 e_s \sigma n_{1c}^s \\
 &\quad - (C_f + K_{CMB}B_f + K_{ICB}B_s)m_{2s}^f + K_{ICB}B_s m_{2s}^s = 0 \\
 &B_f(1 + \gamma)\sigma m_{2s} + B_f(1 + \beta)\sigma m_{2s}^f + B_f\delta\sigma m_{2s}^s - \frac{A_s + B_s}{2}\alpha_1 e_s \sigma n_{2s}^s \\
 &\quad + (C_f + K_{CMB}A_f + K_{ICB}A_s)m_{1c}^f - K_{ICB}A_s m_{1c}^s = 0 \\
 &-B_f(1 + \gamma)\sigma m_{2c} - B_f(1 + \beta)\sigma m_{2c}^f - B_f\delta\sigma m_{2c}^s + \frac{A_s + B_s}{2}\alpha_1 e_s \sigma n_{2c}^s \\
 &\quad + (C_f + K_{CMB}A_f + K_{ICB}A_s)m_{1s}^f - K_{ICB}A_s m_{1s}^s = 0 \\
 &A_s(1 + \theta)\sigma m_{1s} + A_s\chi\sigma m_{1s}^f + A_s(1 + \nu)\sigma m_{1s}^s \\
 &+ \frac{A_s + B_s}{2}e_s \sigma n_{1s}^s + (C_s - B_s)(1 - \alpha_1)m_{2c} - [(C_s - B_s)\alpha_1 - B_s K_{ICB}]m_{2c}^f \\
 &\quad - B_s(1 + K_{ICB})m_{2c}^s - \left(\frac{A_s + B_s}{2}e_s - \alpha_2(C_s - B_s)\right)n_{2c}^s = 0 \\
 &\quad - A_s(1 + \theta)\sigma m_{1c} - A_s\chi\sigma m_{1c}^f - A_s(1 + \nu)\sigma m_{1c}^s \\
 &- \frac{A_s + B_s}{2}e_s \sigma n_{1c}^s + (C_s - B_s)(1 - \alpha_1)m_{2s} - [(C_s - B_s)\alpha_1 - B_s K_{ICB}]m_{2s}^f \\
 &\quad - B_s(1 + K_{ICB})m_{2s}^s - \left(\frac{A_s + B_s}{2}e_s - \alpha_2(C_s - B_s)\right)n_{2s}^s = 0 \\
 &\quad B_s(1 + \theta)\sigma m_{2s} + B_s\chi\sigma m_{2s}^f + B_s(1 + \nu)\sigma m_{2s}^s
 \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{A_s + B_s}{2} e_s \sigma n_{2s}^s - (C_s - A_s)(1 - \alpha_1) m_{1c} + [(C_s - A_s)\alpha_1 - A_s K_{ICB}] m_{1c}^f \\
 & \quad + A_s(1 + K_{ICB}) m_{1c}^s + \left( \frac{A_s + B_s}{2} e_s - \alpha_2(C_s - A_s) \right) n_{1c}^s = 0 \\
 & \quad - B_s(1 + \theta) \sigma m_{2c} - B_s \chi \sigma m_{2c}^f - B_s(1 + \nu) \sigma m_{2c}^s \\
 & - \frac{A_s + B_s}{2} e_s \sigma n_{2c}^s - (C_s - A_s)(1 - \alpha_1) m_{1s} + [(C_s - A_s)\alpha_1 - A_s K_{ICB}] m_{1s}^f \\
 & \quad + A_s(1 + K_{ICB}) m_{1s}^s + \left( \frac{A_s + B_s}{2} e_s - \alpha_2(C_s - A_s) \right) n_{1s}^s = 0 \\
 & \quad \sigma n_{1s}^s - m_{2c}^s = 0 \\
 & \quad -\sigma n_{1c}^s - m_{2s}^s = 0 \\
 & \quad \sigma n_{2s}^s + m_{1c}^s = 0 \\
 & \quad -\sigma n_{2c}^s + m_{1s}^s = 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

that can be rewritten in a matrix form as:

$$\mathbf{M} \cdot \mathbf{X} = 0, \quad (48)$$

where  $\mathbf{M}$  is a  $16 \times 16$  matrix, expressed as,

$$\mathbf{M} = [M_{ij}], \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4, \quad (49)$$

where  $M_{ij}$  are a set of  $4 \times 4$  matrixes, expressed in **Class I** of Appendix A, and  $\mathbf{X}$  is a  $16 \times 1$  column vector written as

$$\mathbf{X}^T = [m_{1c} \ m_{1s} \ m_{2c} \ m_{2s} \ m_{1c}^f \ m_{1s}^f \ m_{2c}^f \ m_{2s}^f \ m_{1c}^s \ m_{1s}^s \ m_{2c}^s \ m_{2s}^s \ n_{1c}^s \ n_{1s}^s \ n_{2c}^s \ n_{2s}^s]. \quad (50)$$

The rotational normal modes of the triaxial three-layered Earth can be solved by setting  $|\mathbf{M}| = 0$ .

### 3.2 Eigenvalue Method

Generalizing the eigenvalue method proposed by Sun and Shen (2015) in the frame of a triaxial two-layered Earth rotation, we formulated a triaxial three-layered Earth rotation theory in the form of:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (1 + \kappa)A \frac{dm_1}{dt} + (A_f + A\xi) \frac{dm_1^f}{dt} + (A_s + A\varsigma) \frac{dm_1^s}{dt} + \frac{A_s + B_s}{2} \alpha_3 e_s \frac{dn_1^s}{dt} \\
 = & -(C - (1 + \kappa)B) \Omega_0 m_2 + (B_f + B\xi) \Omega_0 m_2^f + (B_s + B\varsigma) \Omega_0 m_2^s + \frac{A_s + B_s}{2} \alpha_3 e_s \Omega_0 n_2^s \\
 & (1 + \kappa)B \frac{dm_2}{dt} + (B_f + B\xi) \frac{dm_2^f}{dt} + (B_s + B\varsigma) \frac{dm_2^s}{dt} + \frac{A_s + B_s}{2} \alpha_3 e_s \frac{dn_2^s}{dt} \\
 = & (C - (1 + \kappa)A) \Omega_0 m_1 - (A_f + A\xi) \Omega_0 m_1^f - (A_s + A\varsigma) \Omega_0 m_1^s - \frac{A_s + B_s}{2} \alpha_3 e_s \Omega_0 n_1^s \\
 & A_f(1 + \gamma) \frac{dm_1}{dt} + A_f(1 + \beta) \frac{dm_1^f}{dt} + A_f \delta \frac{dm_1^s}{dt} - \frac{A_s + B_s}{2} \alpha_1 e_s \frac{dn_1^s}{dt} \\
 & \quad = \Omega_0 (C_f + K_{CMB} B_f + K_{ICB} B_s) m_2^f - \Omega_0 K_{ICB} B_s m_2^s \\
 & B_f(1 + \gamma) \frac{dm_2}{dt} + B_f(1 + \beta) \frac{dm_2^f}{dt} + B_f \delta \frac{dm_2^s}{dt} - \frac{A_s + B_s}{2} \alpha_1 e_s \frac{dn_2^s}{dt} \\
 & \quad = -\Omega_0 (C_f + K_{CMB} A_f + K_{ICB} A_s) m_1^f + \Omega_0 K_{ICB} A_s m_1^s \\
 & A_s(1 + \theta) \frac{dm_1}{dt} + A_s \chi \frac{dm_1^f}{dt} + A_s(1 + \nu) \frac{dm_1^s}{dt} + \frac{A_s + B_s}{2} e_s \frac{dn_1^s}{dt} \quad (51) \\
 = & -\Omega_0 (C_s - B_s)(1 - \alpha_1) m_2 + [(C_s - B_s)\alpha_1 - B_s K_{ICB}] \Omega_0 m_2^f \\
 & \quad + B_s(1 + K_{ICB}) \Omega_0 m_2^s + \Omega_0 n_2^s \left( \frac{A_s + B_s}{2} e_s - \alpha_2(C_s - B_s) \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & B_s(1+\theta)\frac{dm_2}{dt} + B_s\chi\frac{dm_2^f}{dt} + B_s(1+\nu)\frac{dm_2^s}{dt} + \frac{A_s+B_s}{2}e_s\frac{dn_2^s}{dt} \\
 & = \Omega_0(C_s - A_s)(1 - \alpha_1)m_1 - [(C_s - A_s)\alpha_1 - A_sK_{ICB}]\Omega_0m_1^f \\
 & \quad - A_s(1 + K_{ICB})\Omega_0m_1^s - \Omega_0n_1^s\left(\frac{A_s+B_s}{2}e_s - \alpha_2(C_s - A_s)\right) \\
 & \quad \frac{dn_1^s}{dt} = \Omega_0m_2^s \\
 & \quad \frac{dn_2^s}{dt} = -\Omega_0m_1^s,
 \end{aligned}$$

which can be written into matrix form

$$\mathbf{F}\frac{d\mathbf{y}}{dt} = \Omega_0\mathbf{G}\mathbf{y}, \quad (52)$$

where

$$\mathbf{y} = [m_1 \ m_2 \ m_1^f \ m_2^f \ m_1^s \ m_2^s \ n_1^s \ n_2^s]^T, \quad (53)$$

and

$$\mathbf{F} = \begin{bmatrix} A(1+\kappa) & 0 & A_f + A\xi & 0 \\ 0 & B(1+\kappa) & 0 & B_f + B\xi \\ A_f(1+\gamma) & 0 & A_f(1+\beta) & 0 \\ 0 & B_f(1+\gamma) & 0 & B_f(1+\beta) \\ A_s(1+\theta) & 0 & A_s\chi & 0 \\ 0 & B_s(1+\theta) & 0 & B_s\chi \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ A_s + A\zeta & 0 & (A_s + B_s)\alpha_3e_s/2 & 0 \\ 0 & B_s + B\zeta & 0 & (A_s + B_s)\alpha_3e_s/2 \\ A_f\delta & 0 & -(A_s + B_s)\alpha_3e_s/2 & 0 \\ 0 & B_f\delta & 0 & -(A_s + B_s)\alpha_3e_s/2 \\ A_s(1+\nu) & 0 & (A_s + B_s)e_s/2 & 0 \\ 0 & B_s(1+\nu) & 0 & (A_s + B_s)e_s/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (54)$$

and

$$\mathbf{G} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -(C - (1+\kappa)B) & 0 & B_f + B\xi \\ C - (1+\kappa)A & 0 & -(A_f + A\xi) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & C_f + K_{CMB}B_f + K_{ICB}B_s \\ 0 & 0 & -(C_f + K_{CMB}A_f + K_{ICB}A_s) & 0 \\ 0 & -(C_s - B_s)(1 - \alpha_1) & 0 & (C_s - B_s)\alpha_1 - B_sK_{ICB} \\ (C_s - A_s)(1 - \alpha_1) & 0 & -[(C_s - A_s)\alpha_1 - A_sK_{ICB}] & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B_s + B\zeta & 0 & (A_s + B_s)\alpha_3e_s/2 \\ -(A_s + A\zeta) & 0 & -(A_s + B_s)\alpha_3e_s/2 & 0 \\ 0 & -K_{ICB}B_s & 0 & 0 \\ K_{ICB}A_s & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B_s(1 + K_{ICB}) & 0 & (A_s + B_s)e_s/2 - \alpha_2(C_s - B_s) \\ -A_s(1 + K_{ICB}) & 0 & -[(A_s + B_s)e_s/2 - \alpha_2(C_s - A_s)] & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (55)$$

According to the theory of ordinary differential equations, the solution of an equation system (51) has the form  $\mathbf{r}_i e^{\sigma_i t}$ , where  $\sigma_i$  are the eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{F}^{-1}\mathbf{G}$ , because the motions of  $\mathbf{y}$  are in fact sinusoidal and hence  $-i\sigma_i$  are the rotational normal mode solutions used in this study since  $\sigma_i = i(-i\sigma_i)$ .

## 4 Model Input Data

In normal mode calculations, the needed input data are the dynamical figure parameters of the triaxial three-layered Earth, including the principal moments of inertia and the dynamic ellipticities of the triaxial solid mantle, triaxial fluid outer core, and triaxial solid inner core. In addition, the compliances due to tidal deformation and non-uniform rotational deformation, along with the core mantle coupling parameters, are also needed. The core mantle coupling parameters include the viscoelectromagnetic coupling parameters and the pressure and gravitational coupling parameters.

**Table 1.** Dynamical figure parameters, compliances, and core mantle coupling parameters for the triaxial three-layered Earth (Note that  $e$ ,  $e_f$ , and  $e_s$  in the table are dimensionless)\*

	value (kg m <sup>2</sup> )	compliances	value	core mantle coupling parameters	value
$A$	$8.0085082 \times 10^{37}$	$\kappa$	$1.242 \times 10^{-3}$ $-1.195 \times 10^{-5}i$	$K_{CMB}$	$2.97 \times 10^{-5}$ $-1.78 \times 10^{-5}i$
$B$	$8.0086847 \times 10^{37}$	$\xi$	$2.460 \times 10^{-4}$	$K_{ICB}$	$1.01 \times 10^{-3}$ $-1.09 \times 10^{-3}i$
$C$	$8.0349010 \times 10^{37}$	$\varsigma$	$4.964 \times 10^{-9}$	$\alpha_1$	0.9463
$A_f$	$9.0549367 \times 10^{36}$	$\gamma$	$1.964 \times 10^{-3}$ $-1.073 \times 10^{-4}i$	$\alpha_2$	0.829492
$B_f$	$9.0550794 \times 10^{36}$	$\beta$	$6.262 \times 10^{-4}$	$\alpha_3$	0.0537
$C_f$	$9.0789730 \times 10^{36}$	$\delta$	$-4.869 \times 10^{-7}$	$\alpha_g$	2.1752
$A_s$	$5.8509312 \times 10^{34}$	$\theta$	$6.794 \times 10^{-6}$		
$B_s$	$5.8510262 \times 10^{34}$	$\chi$	$-7.536 \times 10^{-5}$		
$C_s$	$5.8651498 \times 10^{34}$	$\nu$	$7.984 \times 10^{-5}$		
$e$	0.0032845479				
$e_f$	0.0026456000				
$e_s$	0.0024220000				

\* Here, the dynamical figure parameters were obtained from Chen et al. (2015) after extending the method of Chen and Shen (2010). The compliances were from Yang and Shen (2016) and Mathews et al. (2002). The viscoelectromagnetic coupling parameters were from Koot, Dumberry, Rivoldini, de Viron, and Dehant (2010), while the pressure and gravitational coupling parameters were from Mathews et al. (1991b), from which  $\alpha_2$  was recalculated according to the relation  $\alpha_2 = \alpha_1 - \alpha_3\alpha_g$ .

The dynamical figure parameters for the triaxial three-layered Earth were from Chen et al. (2015), see Table 1, where  $e$  and  $e_f$  were from Mathews et al. (2002) and were determined by fitting the theoretical nutation amplitudes to the precession rate and nutation data, while  $e_s$  is a theoretical value from the PREM model, and the principal moments of inertia for the whole Earth were obtained using second-order gravitational potential coefficients and the dynamic ellipticity of the Earth based on an eigenvalue-eigenvector approach (Marchenko & Abrikosov, 2001; Marchenko & Schwintzer, 2003). Combining the MHB2000 Earth model and reasonable assumptions about the Earth’s internal figure, the principal moments of inertia of the fluid outer core and solid inner core were obtained after extending the method of Chen and Shen (2010).

Listed also in Table 1 are the values of the compliances  $\kappa$ ,  $\xi$ ,  $\gamma$ , and  $\beta$  from the study of Yang and Shen (2016), and the remaining compliances are from Mathews et al. (2002). The compliances  $\kappa$  and  $\gamma$  are complex due to mantle anelasticity and ocean tide dissipations. The parameter  $K_{CMB}$  represents the coupling strength of the viscoelectromagnetic coupling

torque acting on the fluid outer core by the mantle, while the parameter  $K_{ICB}$  represents the coupling strength of the viscoelectromagnetic coupling torque acting on the solid inner core by the fluid outer core. The  $K_{CMB}$  and  $K_{ICB}$  values were obtained from fitting the results of the nutation observations by Koot et al. (2010), both of which are dimensionless complex numbers. The pressure and gravitational coupling parameters  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_g$  were calculated from the PREM model by Mathews et al. (1991b). The relevant values are listed in Table 1.

## 5 Results of Rotational Normal Mode Solutions

Using the parameters listed in Table 1, the rotational normal modes of the triaxial three-layered Earth rotation equations can be solved numerically using the trigonometric function or eigenvalue methods. Here, we provide the rotational normal mode solutions for eight different cases. Both the trigonometric function and eigenvalue methods will generate the same results except in Case IV that had a very slight difference in the FICN quality factor. Cases I and II correspond to the triaxial three-layered case and rotationally symmetric three-layered case, respectively, with both viscoelectromagnetic couplings and pressure and gravitational couplings considered. Cases III and IV were designed to investigate the dissipative properties of the solid Earth reflected from normal mode solutions and will be discussed in the next section. In Case V, the normal modes were fitted to the mean of the CW observations (see Table 2) and to the mean of the FCN observations (see Table 3). Case VI corresponds to the normal mode solutions in a rotationally symmetric case with the newly constrained compliances. In Case VII, the viscous couplings were not considered, and we deployed the electromagnetic coupling parameters in Mathews et al. (2002) to calculate the rotational normal mode solutions for comparison, i.e.  $K_{CMB} = 2.245 \times 10^{-5} - 1.85 \times 10^{-5}i$  and  $K_{ICB} = 1.11 \times 10^{-3} - 0.78 \times 10^{-3}i$ . In Case VIII, we also only considered the electromagnetic couplings and obtained the rotational normal mode solutions for the rotationally symmetric case. The normal mode solutions for these eight cases are provided in Table 4.

By comparing the normal mode solutions from Cases I and II with the viscoelectromagnetic couplings and Cases VII and VIII with only the electromagnetic couplings, we found that the triaxiality will prolong the CW and ICW by about 0.01 d and 0.35 d, respectively. By comparing the normal mode solutions from Case II (rotationally symmetric case under the triaxial three-layered Earth rotation theory frame) with the normal mode solutions of the MBHS1991 theory, we found that the two solutions were the same, and hence the normal mode results of MBHS1991 theory represents special cases to our general solutions.

**Table 2.** The CW observations cited from Table 2 of Nastula and Gross (2015)

CW	Reference
433.2/63	Jeffreys (1972)
434.0/100	Wilson and Haubrich (1976)
434.8/96	Ooe (1978)
433.3/170	Wilson and Vicente (1980)
433.0/179	Wilson and Vicente (1990)
439.5/72	Kuehne, Wilson, and Johnson (1996)
433.7/49	Furuya and Chao (1996)
430.8/41	Gross (2005)
429.4/107	Gross (2005)
431.9/83	Gross (2005)
432.98/97	Seitz, Kirschner, and Neubersch (2012)
430.3/88.4	Mathews et al. (2002)
433.03/100.20	Chen and Shen (2010)
430.9/127	Nastula and Gross (2015)

**Table 3.** The FCN observations cited from Table 1 of Rosat et al. (2009)

FCN	Reference
431/2800	Neuberg, Hinderer, and Zürn (1987)
435/22000 – 10 <sup>5</sup>	Herring, Gwinn, and Shapiro (1986)
428/3300-37000	Cummins and Wahr (1993)
437/3200	Sato et al. (1994)
424/2300-8300	Defraigne, Dehant, and Hinderer (1994) (Stacked gravity)
432/;15000	Defraigne et al. (1994) (VLBI)
433/;17000	Defraigne et al. (1994) (Stacked gravity+VLBI)
431/;1700-2500	Florsch, Chambat, Hinderer, and Legros (1994)
430/5500-10000	Merriam (1994)
429/7700	Hinderer, Crossley, and Xu (1995)
428/;20000	Florsch and Hinderer (2000)
430.20/20000	Mathews et al. (2002)
429.7/9350-10835	Sato, Tamura, Matsumoto, Imanishi, and McQueen (2004)
430/17000	Lambert and Dehant (2007)
430/15000	Ducarme, Rosat, Vandercoilden, Xu, and Sun (2009)
430/13750	Koot et al. (2008)
428/7762-31989	Rosat et al. (2009)

### 5.1 Dissipative Properties of the Normal Modes

Generally, there are three kinds of dissipative processes in rotational normal modes (Smith & Dahlen, 1981); mantle anelasticity induced dissipation, ocean tide induced dissipation, and viscoelectromagnetic coupling induced dissipation. Here, only the compliances  $\kappa$ ,  $\gamma$  and the two viscoelectromagnetic coupling parameters  $K_{CMB}$ ,  $K_{ICB}$  are complex numbers due to the dissipation caused by mantle anelasticity and ocean tides and that caused by viscoelectromagnetic coupling processes, respectively (Mathews et al. (2002)). In this section, the three kinds of dissipative processes affecting the rotational normal modes under the frame of the triaxial three-layered Earth rotation theory and the calculation procedures will be discussed, focusing on the dissipations-sensitive quality factors of the normal modes rather than the periods variations.

Suppose there were no mantle anelasticity and ocean tide induced dissipations, and only viscoelectromagnetic coupling induced dissipations existed. For Case III, this means that the imaginary components of the compliances  $\kappa$ ,  $\gamma$  were set to zero (i.e.  $Im(\kappa) = Im(\gamma) = 0$ , and  $Im(K_{CMB}, K_{ICB}) \neq 0$ ). In this case, the rotational normal modes were solved, as listed in Table 4. From the Case III results reported in Table 4, it can be observed that the quality factor  $Q$  of the CW became very large, while the FCN quality factor  $Q$  varied slightly, and the other two FICN and ICW rotational normal modes quality factors remained nearly unchanged. Therefore, we can conclude that the mantle anelasticity and ocean tide induced dissipations dominate the CW motion, and this caused the CW quality factor  $Q$  to change from a large value 219259.06 to 85.44, while they contributed slightly to the FCN motion.

Suppose there were no viscoelectromagnetic coupling induced dissipations, and there only the mantle anelasticity and ocean tide induced dissipations existed. For Case IV, this means that the imaginary components of the viscoelectromagnetic coupling parameters  $K_{CMB}$ ,  $K_{ICB}$  were set to zero (i.e.  $Im(\kappa, \gamma) \neq 0$ , and  $Im(K_{CMB}) = Im(K_{ICB}) = 0$ ). In this case, the rotational normal modes were solved and the results are listed in Table 4. It can be observed that the CW quality factor  $Q$  remained nearly unchanged, while the  $Q$  quality factors for the rotational normal modes FCN, FICN, and ICW varied significantly. Hence, we conclude that the viscoelectromagnetic coupling induced dissipations are responsible for the rotational normal modes FCN, FICN, and ICW, with almost no effect on the CW normal mode.

**Table 4.** Normal mode solutions for various cases of the Triaxial Three-Layered Earth Rotation Theory: Case I, triaxial case; Case II, rotationally symmetric case; Case III, without mantle anelasticity and ocean tide dissipations ( $Im(\kappa) = Im(\gamma) = 0$ ,  $Im(K_{CMB}, K_{ICB}) \neq 0$ ); Case IV, without viscoelectromagnetic couplings induced dissipations ( $Im(\kappa, \gamma) \neq 0$ ,  $Im(K_{CMB}) = Im(K_{ICB}) = 0$ ); Case V, fit to observations from the triaxial case; Case VI, normal mode solutions from the rotationally symmetric case with the constrained compliances; Case VII, normal mode solutions with the electromagnetic coupling parameters from Mathews et al. (2002), where the viscous couplings near CMB and ICB were not considered; Case VIII, normal mode solutions from the rotationally symmetric case with only the electromagnetic couplings from Mathews et al. (2002) considered

		CW	FCN	FICN	ICW
Case I	Period	433.19	-429.86	921.69	2413.01
	Q	85.44	22737.21	456.31	458.19
Case II	Period	433.18	-429.86	921.69	2412.66
	Q	85.44	22738.35	456.31	458.20
Case III	Period	433.19	-429.86	921.69	2413.01
	Q	219259.06	22770.06	456.31	458.45
Case IV	Period	433.19	-429.86	1015.55	2413.25
	Q	85.47	15822391.00	$2.737576 \times 10^{10}$	830509.02
Case V	Period	<b>432.92</b>	<b>-429.86</b>	921.69	2413.01
	Q	85.49	22735.56	456.31	458.19
Case VI	Period	432.91	-429.86	921.69	2412.66
	Q	85.50	22736.70	456.31	458.20
Case VII	Period	433.19	-431.72	1015.55	2413.25
	Q	85.44	22353.43	637.85	640.22
Case VIII	Period	433.18	-431.72	1015.55	2412.90
	Q	85.44	22353.93	637.85	640.22

## 5.2 Fitting to the Observations

There are quite a few observational results for the CW and FCN. For instance, Nastula and Gross (2015) estimated the CW period as 430.9 d and the  $Q$  value as 127 by minimizing the modeled and observed polar motion excitation function (see Table 2). Rosat et al. (2009) analyzed the superconducting gravimeter data in Europe using the resonance method and obtained the FCN period as 428 d with a quality factor of  $7762 < Q < 31989$  (see Table 3). Here, we used the mean of the CW observations, 432.915 d, and the mean of the FCN observations, 430.347 d, to invert the compliances by fitting the calculated normal mode solutions to these observations. There is a FICN possible resonance effect with a period of 1300 sidereal days at the diurnal prograde frequency band, as revealed by Rosat et al. (2016) using superconducting gravimeter data and VLBI celestial pole offset series that has not been verified. Thus, corresponding to Case V, we only fit the CW and FCN observations to constrain the compliances in the triaxial case. It can be seen from the analytical formulas of the rotational normal modes (42) and (43) that, if  $\kappa$  becomes larger, then the CW period becomes longer, and if  $\beta$  becomes larger, then the FCN period becomes longer. The viscoelectromagnetic coupling parameters were fitted from the nutation data by Koot et al. (2010) and could be treated as constants, since the viscoelectromagnetic couplings were not very strong and only affect the CW, FCN, and ICW slightly, while the role of the viscoelectromagnetic couplings in the FICN will be carefully investigated in next section. The pressure and gravitational coupling parameters were determined by the structure and density of the Earth based on the PREM model or 1066A model (Mathews et al., 1991b) and can be regarded as constants.

Hence in Case V, if we set the CW observation as 432.92 d and the FCN observation as 430.35 d, then the inverted values of compliances were  $\kappa = 1.24063 \times 10^{-3} - 1.195 \times 10^{-5}i$ ,  $\gamma = 1.601 \times 10^{-3} - 1.073 \times 10^{-4}i$ , and  $\beta = 6.238 \times 10^{-4}$ , with other compliances unchanged, while the corresponding CW period and FCN period were fitted to 432.91 d and 429.86 d, respectively. During the fitting process, the FCN period was not sensitive to the  $\beta$  parameter and the fitting error was about 0.5 d. The normal mode solutions for Case V are shown in Table 4. If we used the new inverted compliances and other necessary data to calculate the normal mode solutions in the rotationally symmetric case, namely Case VI, the results showed that the CW and ICW periods were respectively prolonged by about 0.01 and 0.35 d after considering the triaxiality, while the triaxiality had no effect on the FCN and FICN in current parameter settings. The normal mode solutions for Case VI are also shown in Table 4.

## 6 Additional Investigations on the Rotational Normal Modes

In section 5, we mainly focused on the normal mode solutions in the triaxial and rotational symmetric cases with the solutions also fitted from observations, and on the Earth dissipation properties of the rotational normal modes. In the next section, we investigate the core mantle coupling effects on the rotational normal modes of the triaxial three-layered anelastic Earth rotation model in section 6.1, and compare the rotational normal mode solutions with those of the rotationally symmetric three-layered non-rigid Earth model formulated by Escapa et al. (2001). Additionally, we compared the rotational normal mode solutions of the triaxial two-layered Earth rotation model formulated by Chen and Shen (2010) with the solutions of Chen and Shen (2010) in section 6.2. Here, we used both the trigometric function and eigenvalue methods, but only provide the results from the trigometric function method illustrating the very slight differences.

### 6.1 Core mantle coupling effects

The triaxial three-layered anelastic Earth model consists of the anelastic mantle, fluid outer core, and solid inner core. According to the core-mantle coupling models in the rotation model, we calculated the rotational normal modes corresponding to the following eight

cases:

**Case I.** The electromagnetic couplings, pressure, and gravitational couplings were considered. We used the values of the viscoelectromagnetic coupling parameters as given by Koot et al. (2010), and the pressure and gravitational coupling parameters as given by Mathews et al. (1991b).

**Case II.** Only pressure and gravitational couplings were considered, meaning that the viscoelectromagnetic coupling parameters  $K_{CMB}$  and  $K_{ICB}$  were set to zero.

**Case III.** Only the viscoelectromagnetic couplings were considered. Note that the pressure and gravitational coupling torque is expressed as equation (8), and in this case we needed to set the pressure and gravitational coupling torque to zero to re-derive the motion equation of the solid inner core (equation (4)) and thus obtained the new normal mode matrix.

**Case IV.** No couplings were considered.

**Case V.** Only the pressure coupling was considered. By carefully examining the pressure and gravitational coupling torque given by Mathews et al. (1991a), we separated the pressure coupling torque from the gravitational coupling torque. The pressure coupling torque is expressed as:

$$\mathbf{\Gamma}_p = \Omega_0^2 \begin{pmatrix} (C_s - B_s)[\alpha_1(m_2 + m_2^f) - \alpha_1 n_2^s - \alpha_1 \phi_2] - c_{23}^s \\ -(C_s - A_s)[\alpha_1(m_1 + m_1^f) - \alpha_1 n_1^s - \alpha_1 \phi_1] + c_{13}^s \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (56)$$

We replaced the original pressure and gravitational coupling torque  $\mathbf{\Gamma}_s$  with this pressure coupling torque  $\mathbf{\Gamma}_p$  and rederived the normal mode matrix.

**Case VI.** Only the gravitational coupling was considered. As discussed in Case V, the gravitational coupling torque can be expressed as:

$$\mathbf{\Gamma}_g = \Omega_0^2 \begin{pmatrix} (C_s - B_s)[\alpha_g n_2^s + \phi_2] \\ -(C_s - A_s)[\alpha_g n_1^s + \phi_1] \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (57)$$

where the terms associated with  $n_1^s$  and  $n_2^s$  are related to the gravitational coupling torque acting on the solid inner core by the fluid outer core and solid mantle. If they are combined with the pressure coupling, the gravitational coupling will be damped by a factor of  $\alpha_3$  with the physical meaning of a density jump at the ICB. The terms associated with  $\phi_i$  ( $i=1,2$ ) are due to external tidal attraction. In this case, we replaced the original pressure and gravitational coupling torque  $\mathbf{\Gamma}_s$  with this gravitational coupling torque  $\mathbf{\Gamma}_g$  and rederived the normal mode matrix.

**Case VII.** Consider only pressure coupling and viscoelectromagnetic coupling.

**Case VIII.** Only the gravitational coupling and viscoelectromagnetic coupling were considered.

For both the trigometric function and eigenvalue methods, we divided the different core mantle coupling conditions into four classes, and the corresponding normal mode matrixes and eigenvalue matrixes are present the results in Appendix **A** and **2**.

Next, we used the parameters as given in section 4 to calculate the rotational normal modes for the eight core-mantle coupling cases using both the trigometric function and eigenvalue methods. Both methods generated approximately the same results, where the eigenvalue method led to very slight differences in the quality factors in some cases compared with trigometric function method. Hence, only the results corresponding to the eight coupling cases based on the trigometric function method are listed in Table 5. We investigated the effect of pressure coupling, gravitational coupling, and electromagnetic couplings on the rotational normal modes by comparing the different cases.

The CW periods in Cases I and II were 432.92 d and 432.918 d, respectively, the lengthening of 0.002 d was caused by electromagnetic couplings near the CMB and ICB.

**Table 5.** Rotational normal mode solutions for cases I, II, III, IV, V, VI, VII, and VIII for the triaxial three-layered anelastic Earth model: case I, both viscoelectromagnetic and pressure and gravitational couplings; case II, only pressure and gravitational couplings; case III, only viscoelectromagnetic couplings; case IV, no couplings; case V, only pressure coupling; case VI, only gravitational coupling; case VII, only viscoelectromagnetic and pressure couplings; VIII, only viscoelectromagnetic and gravitational couplings

		CW	FCN	FICN	ICW
Case I	Frequency	0.00230359+	-1.00232+	-0.998918+	0.000413289+
	(cpsd)	i0.0000134723	i0.000022043	i0.00109455	i4.50997 $\times 10^{-7}$
	Period	432.92	-429.86	921.69	2413.01
	Q	85.49	22735.56	456.31	458.19
Case II	Frequency	0.0023036+	-1.00229+	-0.997903+	0.000413708+
	(cpsd)	i0.0000134671	i3.13405 $\times 10^{-8}$	i4.03771 $\times 10^{-11}$	i2.48782 $\times 10^{-10}$
	Period	432.918	-435.49	475.57	2410.56
	Q	85.53	15990332.00	1.2357289 $\times 10^{10}$	831466.91
Case III	Frequency	0.00230322+	-1.00231+	-1.00101+	0.00241948+
	(cpsd)	i0.0000134621	i0.0000183397	i0.00109607	i2.63826 $\times 10^{-6}$
	Period	432.99	-431.72	-987.40	412.18
	Q	85.54	27326.24	456.64	458.54
Case IV	Frequency	0.00230323+	-1.00228+		0.00242191+
	(cpsd)	i0.0000134552	i3.11692 $\times 10^{-8}$	-1.0	i1.21192 $\times 10^{-8}$
	Period	432.99	-437.40		411.77
	Q	85.59	16078051.41		99920.37
Case V	Frequency	0.00230333+	-1.00229+	-0.99762+	0.000130128+
	(cpsd)	i0.0000134673	i3.14366 $\times 10^{-8}$	i4.62954 $\times 10^{-11}$	i1.17229 $\times 10^{-13}$
	Period	432.97	-435.49	419.02	7663.76
	Q	85.52	15994976.29	1.0775086 $\times 10^{10}$	5.5501625 $\times 10^8$
Case VI	Frequency	0.00230158+	-1.00228+	-1.00524+	0.00765573+
	(cpsd)	i0.0000134521	i3.11364 $\times 10^{-8}$	i1.94927 $\times 10^{-10}$	i1.51279 $\times 10^{-8}$
	Period	433.30	-437.40	-190.32	130.26
	Q	85.55	16149136.38	2.5785037 $\times 10^9$	253033.47
Case VII	Frequency	0.00230332+	-1.00232+	-0.998635+	0.000129996+
	(cpsd)	i0.0000134725	i0.0000223634	i0.00109454	i1.41861 $\times 10^{-7}$
	Period	432.97	-429.86	730.60	7671.54
	Q	85.48	22409.83	456.19	458.18
Case VIII	Frequency	0.00230157+	-1.00232+	-1.00623+	0.00764811+
	(cpsd)	i0.0000134601	i0.0000285493	i0.00108028	i8.22752 $\times 10^{-6}$
	Period	433.30	-429.86	-160.08	130.39
	Q	85.50	17554.20	465.73	464.79

Thus, we can conclude that electromagnetic couplings have a negligible effect on the CW and can also be found by comparing Cases III and IV, or Cases V and VII, or Cases VI and VIII. The CW periods in Cases III and IV were both 432.99 d, indicating that the pressure and gravitational coupling shorten the CW by about 0.07 d. In Cases V and VII, the CW periods were both 432.97 d, therefore, the absent gravitational coupling shortened the CW by about 0.05 d compared to Case II. In Cases VI and VIII, the CW periods were both 433.30 d, indicating that the absent pressure coupling shortened the CW by about 0.38 d compared to Case II. After considering the pressure coupling damping effect, namely multiplying the damping factor  $\alpha_3$ , the absent pressure coupling shortened the CW about 0.02 d. Thus, consistent with the above result, the total effect of pressure and gravitational coupling will shorten the CW by about 0.07 d.

The FCN periods in Cases I and II were  $-429.86$  d and  $-435.49$  d, respectively, the electromagnetic couplings therefore shortened the FCN by about 5.63 d when comparing Case I with Case II. The FCN periods in Cases II and V were both  $-435.49$  d, and the FCN periods in Cases IV and VI were both  $-435.49$  d. This indicates that gravitational coupling acting alone on the solid inner core has no effect on the FCN. By comparing Cases II and V with Case IV, we found that the pressure coupling can shorten the FCN by about 1.91 d. By comparing Case III with Case IV, the electromagnetic coupling shortened the FCN by about 5.68 d. When comparing Case V with Case VII, we found that the electromagnetic coupling will shorten the FCN by about 5.63 d. However, when comparing Case VI with Case VIII, the electromagnetic coupling shortened the FCN by about 7.54 d. The inconsistent result may be caused by different interactions between the pressure and viscoelectromagnetic couplings, respectively, with the gravitational and viscoelectromagnetic couplings acting on the solid inner core.

FICN and ICW are two rotational normal modes of the solid inner core, and their behaviors are different from each other. In case I, the FICN and ICW periods were 921.69 d and 2413.01 d, respectively, representing the comprehensive results of the pressure, gravitational, and viscoelectromagnetic couplings and the role of each coupling is obscured. Hence, it is our motivation to design the different cases above to investigate the individual roles of the pressure, gravitational, and viscoelectromagnetic couplings.

We found that the pressure coupling dominates the FICN and causes its prograde motion, while the viscoelectromagnetic and gravitational couplings will lead to a retrograde FICN motion. Xu and Szeto (1998) used the Euler kinematic and dynamical equation to investigate the effect of pressure and gravitational coupling on the FICN and ICW, and found that the FICN can be retrograde when there is no pressure coupling. When modeling the electromagnetic couplings, Buffett, Mathews, and Herring (2002) also found that the electromagnetic couplings will cause the FICN to be retrograde. Similarly, in studying the forced precession of the inner core of the Moon, Dumberry and Wiczeorek (2016) stated that the pressure coupling dominates over the gravitational coupling and leads to a prograde FICN for the Earth. Therefore, the FICN period was 419.02 d for Case V, 475.57 d for Case II, 730.60 d for Case VII, and 921.69 d for Case I, where the gravitational and viscoelectromagnetic couplings partly cancel the dominant pressure coupling and lead to a longer FICN period. If there is no pressure coupling, under the control of viscoelectromagnetic couplings or gravitational coupling, the FICN will be retrograde, with a period of  $-987.40$  d as in Case III,  $-190.32$  d in Case VI, and  $-160.08$  d in Case VIII. If there is no pressure coupling, the gravitational coupling and viscoelectromagnetic coupling acting on the solid inner core, then the FICN frequency will be  $-1.0$  cpsd, indicating the absence of the FICN first found by Xu and Szeto (1998).

We also found that the pressure and gravitational couplings were more important for the ICW than the viscoelectromagnetic couplings, that only had a slight effect and can be seen by comparing Cases I and II, Cases III and IV, Cases V and VII, and Cases VI and VIII. The pressure coupling is a damping torque and the main cause for long ICW periods. Hence, the ICW period was about 130.0 d in Cases VI and VIII, 7663.76 d (21 yr)

in Case V, and 7671.54 d (21 yr) in Case VII. If both pressure and gravitational coupling are considered, the gravitational coupling will also be damped by the fluid outer core with a damping factor of  $\alpha_3$ , and thus the ICW period was 2410.56 d or 2413.01 d (6.6 yr), where the viscoelectromagnetic couplings only slightly lengthened the ICW. If there is no pressure and gravitational coupling, the ICW period will be about 412.0 d, and represents the essence of the dynamical ellipticity of the solid inner core first pointed out by Mathews et al. (1991a). Xu and Szeto (1998) also investigated the effect of pressure and gravitational coupling on ICW in the time domain and found that the ICW period was 132 d only in the gravitational coupling case, 416 d in the case without coupling on the inner core, 5 yr in the case with both pressure and gravitational coupling, and 20 yr in the pressure only coupling case. These results are close to our results and the differences may be caused by the rigid mantle and inner core Earth model they deployed.

Further numerical calculations of the rotational normal modes indicated that the viscoelectromagnetic coupling near the CMB had hardly any effect on the FICN and ICW. The pressure, gravitational, and viscoelectromagnetic couplings acting on the solid inner core dominated the rotation behaviors of the FICN and ICW.

## 6.2 Comparison with Other Results

Escapa et al. (2001) constructed a rotationally symmetric three-layered Earth rotation theory and provided four rotational normal mode solutions based on a rigid mantle, fluid outer core, and rigid inner core Earth model by using the HA approach. The normal mode solutions of Escapa et al. (2001) are very different from those of Mathews et al. (1991b) because they used different Earth models, but consistent results could be obtained when simplifying the MBHS1991 theory (Mathews et al., 1991a). Under the assumption that the Earth consists of a rigid mantle, fluid outer core, and rigid inner core, considering only the pressure coupling and the rotationally symmetric case, our theory provides normal mode solutions that are close to the results given by the rotationally symmetric three-layered Earth rotation theory constructed by Escapa et al. (2001). Escapa et al. (2001) provided the following values for the above pressure coupling parameters:

$$\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \frac{\delta}{e_s}, \quad \alpha_3 = 1 - \frac{\delta}{e_s} \quad (58)$$

where  $\delta$  equals 0.002232. When we deployed the dynamic figure parameters in Escapa et al. (2001) for comparison purposes, we obtained rotationally normal mode solutions very close to their numerical results both with the trigometric function and eigenvalue methods, as listed in Table 6.

**Table 6.** Comparison of the rotational normal mode solutions in this study with the results of Escapa et al. (2001)

	CW	FCN	FICN	ICW
Frequency (cpsd)	0.00366845	-1.00288	-0.997761	0.000173387
Period (d)	271.85	-346.27	445.41	5751.70
Q value				
Escapa et al. (2001)	271.85	-346.03	445.38	5751.70

If we neglect all terms related to solid inner core, our theory is simplified to triaxial two-layered Earth rotation theory as formulated by Chen and Shen (2010), that can be

expressed as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1 + \kappa)A \frac{dm_1}{dt} + (A_f + A\xi) \frac{dm_1^f}{dt} + (C - (1 + \kappa)B)\Omega_0 m_2 \\
 - (B_f + B\xi)\Omega_0 m_2^f &= A\kappa \frac{d\phi_1}{dt} + (C - (1 + \kappa)B)\Omega_0 \phi_2 \\
 (1 + \kappa)B \frac{dm_2}{dt} + (B_f + B\xi) \frac{dm_2^f}{dt} - (C - (1 + \kappa)A)\Omega_0 m_1 \\
 + (A_f + A\xi)\Omega_0 m_1^f &= B\kappa \frac{d\phi_2}{dt} - (C - (1 + \kappa)A)\Omega_0 \phi_1 \\
 A_f(1 + \gamma) \frac{dm_1}{dt} + A_f(1 + \beta) \frac{dm_1^f}{dt} - \Omega_0(C_f + K_{CMB}B_f)m_2^f &= A_f\gamma \frac{d\phi_1}{dt} \\
 B_f(1 + \gamma) \frac{dm_2}{dt} + B_f(1 + \beta) \frac{dm_2^f}{dt} + \Omega_0(C_f + K_{CMB}A_f)m_1^f &= B_f\gamma \frac{d\phi_2}{dt}
 \end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

where the variables corresponding to the former fluid outer core now represent the variables for the whole fluid core, namely the outer core and inner core constituting the whole fluid core. If we deploy the trigonometric function method, the normal mode matrix  $M$  now degenerates to a  $8 \times 8$  matrix:

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A(1 + \kappa)\sigma & C - (1 + \kappa)B & 0 \\ -A(1 + \kappa)\sigma & 0 & 0 & C - (1 + \kappa)B \\ -(C - (1 + \kappa)A) & 0 & 0 & B(1 + \kappa)\sigma \\ 0 & -(C - (1 + \kappa)A) & -B(1 + \kappa)\sigma & 0 \\ 0 & A_f(1 + \gamma)\sigma & 0 & 0 \\ -A_f(1 + \gamma)\sigma & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & B_f(1 + \gamma)\sigma \\ 0 & 0 & -B_f(1 + \gamma)\sigma & 0 \\ 0 & (A_f + A\xi)\sigma & -(B_f + B\xi) & 0 \\ -(A_f + A\xi)\sigma & 0 & 0 & -(B_f + B\xi) \\ A_f + A\xi & 0 & 0 & (B_f + B\xi)\sigma \\ 0 & A_f + A\xi & -(B_f + B\xi)\sigma & 0 \\ 0 & A_f(1 + \beta)\sigma & -(C_f + B_f K_{CMB}) & 0 \\ -A_f(1 + \beta)\sigma & 0 & 0 & -(C_f + B_f K_{CMB}) \\ C_f + A_f K_{CMB} & 0 & 0 & B_f(1 + \beta)\sigma \\ 0 & C_f + A_f K_{CMB} & -B_f(1 + \beta)\sigma & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{60}$$

and the normal modes of the anelastic mantle, fluid core Earth model, namely the CW and FCN, can be derived by setting  $|M| = 0$ . If we deploy the eigenvalue method, the triaxial two-layered Earth rotation theory can be written into matrix form as:

$$\mathbf{F} \frac{d\mathbf{y}}{dt} = \Omega_0 \mathbf{G} \mathbf{y}, \tag{61}$$

where

$$\mathbf{y} = [m_1 \ m_2 \ m_1^f \ m_2^f]^T, \tag{62}$$

and

$$\mathbf{F} = \begin{bmatrix} A(1 + \kappa) & 0 & A_f + A\xi & 0 \\ 0 & B(1 + \kappa) & 0 & B_f + B\xi \\ A_f(1 + \gamma) & 0 & A_f(1 + \beta) & 0 \\ 0 & B_f(1 + \gamma) & 0 & B_f(1 + \beta) \end{bmatrix}, \tag{63}$$

and

$$\mathbf{G} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -(C - (1 + \kappa)B) & 0 & B_f + B\xi \\ C - (1 + \kappa)A & 0 & -(A_f + A\xi) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & C_f + B_f K_{CMB} \\ 0 & 0 & -(C_f + A_f K_{CMB}) & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{64}$$

Similarly, the CW and FCN rotational normal modes of this triaxial two-layered Earth rotation theory can be obtained by solving the eigenvalue  $\sigma_i = \mathbf{F}^{-1}\mathbf{G}$  and the rotational normal mode solutions will be  $-i\sigma_i$  as stated in section 3.2.

Here, we used the data in Table 1 with the trigometric function and eigenvalue methods to calculate the rotational normal modes of this triaxial two-layered Earth rotation theory, namely CW and FCN, the results of which were the same, and consistent with those listed in Table 7. The period and quality factor of the CW were very close to those as given in Case I (see section 5), and the period and quality factor of the FCN were larger than those given in Case I (see section 5) due to the fact that this two-layered case did not consider the viscoelectromagnetic coupling, and the pressure and gravitational couplings acting on the solid inner core.

**Table 7.** Rotational normal modes for the triaxial two-layered Earth model

	CW	FCN
Frequency	0.00230199+	-1.00231+
(cpsd)	i0.0000134729	i0.0000201055
Period (d)	433.22	-431.72
Q value	85.43	24926.26
Chen and Shen (2010)	433.03/100.20	430.34/

## 7 Conclusions

As a generalization of both the rotationally symmetric three-layered Earth rotation theory (MBHS1991 theory) and the triaxial two-layered Earth rotation theory (Chen & Shen, 2010), here we formulated a triaxial three-layered Earth rotation theory. Our study showed that the triaxiality will lead to a CW period increase of about 0.01 d, and an ICW period increase of about 0.35 d.

There are three kinds of dissipative processes; mantle anelasticity induced dissipation, ocean tide induced dissipation, and viscoelectromagnetic coupling induced dissipation. The mantle anelasticity and ocean tide induced dissipations are mainly responsible for the CW, and contribute little to the FCN, while the viscoelectromagnetic coupling induced dissipations are mainly responsible for the FCN, FICN, and ICW.

By fitting the calculated results to the CW and FCN observations, a group of new compliances were obtained, providing  $\kappa = 1.24063 \times 10^{-3} - 1.195 \times 10^{-5}i$ ,  $\gamma = 1.601 \times 10^{-3} - 1.073 \times 10^{-4}i$ , and  $\beta = 6.238 \times 10^{-4}$ , with other the compliances unchanged. Using these new compliances, new normal mode solutions can be provided under the rotationally symmetric case, allowing us to validate the results from the triaxial case with those from the biaxial case. Compared to the biaxial case, in the triaxial case, the CW period increased by about 0.01 d, the ICW period was prolonged by about 0.35 d, and the triaxiality had no effect on the FCN and FICN in the current parameter settings.

Conventionally, the viscoelectromagnetic couplings, pressure and gravitational coupling are combined when investigating their effects on the rotational normal modes, and this may conceal the individual role of core mantle couplings. Hence, we separated the pressure coupling from the gravitational coupling, and provided various numerical results for the rotational normal modes using eight different cases.

The viscoelectromagnetic couplings had a nearly negligible effect on the CW, while the pressure and gravitational coupling shortened the CW by about 0.07 d. The gravitational coupling either individually, or combined with the pressure coupling had no effect on the FCN, however, the pressure coupling shortened the FCN by about 1.91 d. The viscoelectro-

magnetic couplings either individually, combined with the pressure coupling, or combined with the pressure and gravitational coupling shortened the FCN by about 5.6 d, while combining the viscoelectromagnetic couplings with the gravitational coupling shortened the FCN by about 7.5 d. This phenomenon might be caused by different interactions between the viscoelectromagnetic coupling with the pressure or gravitational couplings acting on the solid inner core, respectively, and may be evidence for the effect of the solid inner core on the FCN.

Pressure coupling dominates a prograde FICN, while the viscoelectromagnetic and gravitational couplings will lead to a retrograde FICN and partly cancel the effect of the pressure coupling to give rise to a longer FICN period. The viscoelectromagnetic couplings will lengthen the ICW slightly, while the pressure coupling will damp and cause a longer ICW period. Considering only the gravitational coupling, the ICW period will be about 130 d, which is very short, while considering the damping of the pressure coupling, the ICW period will be 6.6 yrs.

Our study shows that, under different conditions, the triaxial three-layered Earth rotation theory will degenerate to the rotationally symmetric three-layered Earth rotation theory or the triaxial two-layered Earth rotation theory. Here we point out that, in this study, we did not consider the second-order effects of geometric and dynamical flattenings of the triaxial three-layered Earth model, or the topographic couplings between the inner and outer cores and between the mantle and outer core, and these will be investigated in future studies.

### Acknowledgments

We would like to express our sincere thanks to W. Chen and H. S. Fok for discussions that improved this manuscript. This study was supported by the NSFC (grant Nos. 41631072, 41721003, 41874023, 41574007, and 41429401), the Discipline Innovative Engineering Plan of Modern Geodesy and Geodynamics (grant No. B17033), and the DAAD Thematic Network Project (grant No. 57173947)

### References

- Bettadpur, S. (2012). CSR level-2 processing standards document for product release 05 grace 327742.
- Bizouard, C., & Zotov, L. (2013). Asymmetric effects on earth's polar motion. *Celestial Mechanics and Dynamical Astronomy*, *116*(2), 195–212. doi: 10.1007/s10569-013-9483-x
- Buffett, B. A., Mathews, P. M., & Herring, T. A. (2002, April). Modeling of nutation and precession: Effects of electromagnetic coupling. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *107*, 2070. doi: 10.1029/2000JB000056
- Burša, M., & Šíma, Z. (1984). Equatorial flattening and principal moments of inertia of the Earth. *Studia Geophysica et Geodaetica*, *28*, 9-10. doi: 10.1007/BF01587106
- Bura, M. (1992). Current estimates of the earth's principal moments of inertia. *Studia Geophysica Et Geodaetica*, *36*(2), 109-114.
- Chao, B. F. (2017). On rotational normal modes of the earth: Resonance, excitation, convolution, deconvolution and all that. *Geodesy and Geodynamics*, *8*(6), 371-376. doi: 10.1016/j.geog.2017.03.014
- Chao, B. F., & Hsieh, Y. (2015, December). The Earth's free core nutation: Formulation of dynamics and estimation of eigenperiod from the very-long-baseline interferometry data. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, *432*, 483-492. doi: 10.1016/j.epsl.2015.10.010
- Chen, W., Li, J. C., Ray, J., Shen, W. B., & Huang, C. L. (2015). Consistent estimates of the dynamic figure parameters of the earth. *Journal of Geodesy*, *89*(2), 179–188. doi: 10.1007/s00190-014-0768-y

- Chen, W., Ray, J., Li, J., Huang, C., & Shen, W. (2013, September). Polar motion excitations for an Earth model with frequency-dependent responses: 1. A refined theory with insight into the Earth's rheology and core-mantle coupling. *Journal of Geophysical Research (Solid Earth)*, *118*, 4975-4994. doi: 10.1002/jgrb.50314
- Chen, W., Ray, J., Shen, W., & Huang, C. (2013, September). Polar motion excitations for an Earth model with frequency-dependent responses: 2. Numerical tests of the meteorological excitations. *Journal of Geophysical Research (Solid Earth)*, *118*, 4995-5007. doi: 10.1002/jgrb.50313
- Chen, W., & Shen, W. (2010, December). New estimates of the inertia tensor and rotation of the triaxial nonrigid Earth. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *115*, B12419. doi: 10.1029/2009JB007094
- Cheng, M., Ries, J. C., & Tapley, B. D. (2011). Variations of the earth's figure axis from satellite laser ranging and grace. *Journal of Geophysical Research Solid Earth*, *116*(B1). doi: 10.1029/2010JB000850
- Crossley, D. J., & Rochester, M. G. (2014, September). A new description of Earth's wobble modes using Clairaut coordinates 2: results and inferences on the core mode spectrum. *Geophys. J. Int.*, *198*, 1890-1905. doi: 10.1093/gji/ggu232
- Cui, X. M., Sun, H. P., Xu, J. Q., & Zhou, J. C. (2012). Application of superconductive gravity technique on the constraints of core-mantle coupling parameters. *Science China Earth Sciences*, *55*(3), 513-520.
- Cummins, P. R., & Wahr, J. M. (1993, February). A study of the earth's free core nutation using international deployment of accelerometers gravity data. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *98*, 2091-2103. doi: 10.1029/92JB01956
- Dahlen, F. A. (1968, November). The Normal Modes of a Rotating, Elliptical Earth. *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, *16*, 329-367. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-246X.1968.tb00229.x
- Dahlen, F. A. (1969, November). The normal modes of a rotating, elliptical earth - II. Near-resonance multiplet coupling. *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, *18*, 397-436. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-246X.1969.tb03576.x
- de Vries, D., & Wahr, J. M. (1991, May). The effects of the solid inner core and nonhydrostatic structure on the earth's forced nutations and earth tides. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *96*, 8275-8293. doi: 10.1029/90JB01958
- Defraigne, P., Dehant, V., & Hinderer, J. (1994, May). Stacking gravity tide measurements and nutation observations in order to determine the complex eigenfrequency of the nearly diurnal free wobble. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *99*, 9203-9213. doi: 10.1029/94JB00133
- Dehant, V., Hinderer, J., Legros, H., & Lefftz, M. (1993, March). Analytical approach to the computation of the Earth, the outer core and the inner core rotational motions. *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, *76*, 259-282. doi: 10.1016/0031-9201(93)90018-5
- Dehant, V., & Mathews, P. M. (2015). *Precession, Nutation and Wobble of the Earth*. Cambridge University Press.
- Deuss, A. (2014). Heterogeneity and anisotropy of earth's inner core. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences*, *42*(1), 103-126.
- Ducarme, B., Rosat, S., Vandercoilden, L., Xu, J. Q., & Sun, H. P. (2009). European tidal gravity observations: comparison with Earth Tides models and estimation of the Free Core Nutation (FCN) parameters. In M. G. Sideris (Ed.), *Observing our Changing Earth* (p. 523).
- Ducarme, B., Sun, H.-P., & Xu, J.-Q. (2007, March). Determination of the free core nutation period from tidal gravity observations of the GGP superconducting gravimeter network. *Journal of Geodesy*, *81*, 179-187. doi: 10.1007/s00190-006-0098-9
- Dumberry, M. (2008a, March). Gravitational torque on the inner core and decadal polar motion. *Geophys. J. Int.*, *172*, 903-920. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-246X.2007.03653.x
- Dumberry, M. (2008b, March). Decadal variations in gravity caused by a tilt of the inner core. *Geophys. J. Int.*, *172*, 921-933. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-246X.2007.03624.x
- Dumberry, M. (2009, July). Influence of elastic deformations on the inner core wobble.

- Geophys. J. Int.*, 178, 57-64. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-246X.2009.04140.x
- Dumberry, M., & Bloxham, J. (2002, November). Inner core tilt and polar motion. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 151, 377-392. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-246X.2002.01756.x
- Dumberry, M., & Wiczcerek, M. A. (2016, July). The forced precession of the Moon's inner core. *Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets)*, 121, 1264-1292. doi: 10.1002/2015JE004986
- Dziewonski, A. M., & Anderson, D. L. (1981, June). Preliminary reference Earth model. *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, 25, 297-356. doi: 10.1016/0031-9201(81)90046-7
- Dziewonski, A. M., Forte, A. M., Su, W., & Woodward, R. L. (1993). Seismic tomography and geodynamics. In *Relating geophysical structures and processes: The jeffreys volume* (p. 67-105). American Geophysical Union (AGU). doi: 10.1029/GM076p0067
- Escapa, A., Getino, J., & Ferrándiz, J. M. (2001, June). Canonical approach to the free nutations of a three-layer Earth model. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 106, 11387-11397. doi: 10.1029/2000JB900443
- Ferrándiz, J. M., Navarro, J. F., Escapa, A., & Getino, J. (2015, January). Earth's Rotation: A Challenging Problem in Mathematics and Physics. *Pure and Applied Geophysics*, 172, 57-74. doi: 10.1007/s00024-014-0879-7
- Florsch, N., Chambat, F., Hinderer, J., & Legros, H. (1994, January). A simple method to retrieve the complex eigenfrequency of the Earth's nearly diurnal-free wobble; application to the Strasbourg superconducting gravimeter data. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 116, 53-63. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-246X.1994.tb02127.x
- Florsch, N., & Hinderer, J. (2000, January). Bayesian estimation of the free core nutation parameters from the analysis of precise tidal gravity data. *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, 117, 21-35. doi: 10.1016/S0031-9201(99)00084-9
- Furuya, M., & Chao, B. F. (1996, December). Estimation of period and Q of the Chandler wobble. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 127, 693-702. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-246X.1996.tb04047.x
- Getino, J., & Ferrándiz, J. M. (1997, August). A Hamiltonian approach to dissipative phenomena between the Earth's mantle and core, and effects on free nutations. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 130, 326-334. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-246X.1997.tb05650.x
- Getino, J., & Ferrándiz, J. M. (2000, September). Effects of dissipation and a liquid core on forced nutations in Hamiltonian theory. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 142, 703-715. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-246X.2000.00201.x
- Getino, J., González, A. B., & Escapa, A. (2000, January). The Rotation of a Non-Rigid, Non-Symmetrical Earth II: Free Nutations and Dissipative Effects. *Celestial Mechanics and Dynamical Astronomy*, 76, 1-21. doi: 10.1023/A:1008373613208
- González, A.-B., & Getino, J. (1997). The Rotation of a Non-Rigid, Non-Symmetrical Earth I: Free Nutations. *Celestial Mechanics and Dynamical Astronomy*, 68, 139-149.
- Gross, R. S. (2005). The observed period and Q of the Chandler wobble. In H. P. Plag (Ed.), *Forcing of Polar Motion in the Chandler Frequency Band: A Contribution to Understanding Interannual Climate Change* (p. 31-37). Cahiers du Centre European de Geodynamique et de Seismologie, Luxembourg.
- Gross, R. S. (2007). 3.09 earth rotation variations long period. *Treatise on Geophysics*, 3, 239-294.
- Gross, R. S. (2015). 3.09 earth rotation variations long period. *Treatise on Geophysics*, 3, 215-261.
- Groten, E. (2004). Fundamental parameters and current (2004) best estimates of the parameters of common relevance to astronomy, geodesy, and geodynamics. , 77, 724-731.
- Guo, J.-Y., & Ning, J.-S. (2002, April). Influence of inner core rotation and obliquity on the inner core wobble and the free inner core nutation. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 29, 1203. doi: 10.1029/2001GL014058
- Herring, T. A., Gwinn, C. R., & Shapiro, I. I. (1986, April). Geodesy by radio interferometry: Studies of the forced nutations of the earth. I - Data analysis. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 91, 4745-4755. doi: 10.1029/JB091iB05p04745

- Hinderer, J., Crossley, D., & Xu, H. (1995). The accuracy of tidal gravimetric factors and nearly diurnal free wobble resonance parameters in superconducting gravimetry. In *Proc. 12th int. symp. earth tides* (p. 289-295). Beijing.
- Hinderer, J., Legros, H., & Amalvict, M. (1982, November). A search for Chandler and nearly diurnal free wobbles using Liouville equations. *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, *71*, 303-332. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-246X.1982.tb05992.x
- Hough, S. S., & Analysis, L. (1895). The Oscillations of a Rotating Ellipsoidal Shell Containing Fluid. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series A*, *186*, 469-506. doi: 10.1098/rsta.1895.0012
- Jeffreys, H. (1972). The Variation of Latitude. In P. J. Melchior & S. Yumi (Eds.), *Rotation of the earth* (Vol. 48, p. 39).
- Jochmann, H. (2009, February). Basic Relations for Studying the Influence of Geophysical Processes on the Earth's Rotation: The Angular Momentum Approach. *Surveys in Geophysics*, *30*, 1-37. doi: 10.1007/s10712-009-9056-4
- Koot, L., Dumberry, M., Rivoldini, A., de Viron, O., & Dehant, V. (2010, September). Constraints on the coupling at the core-mantle and inner core boundaries inferred from nutation observations. *Geophysical Journal International*, *182*, 1279-1294. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-246X.2010.04711.x
- Koot, L., Rivoldini, A., de Viron, O., & Dehant, V. (2008, August). Estimation of Earth interior parameters from a Bayesian inversion of very long baseline interferometry nutation time series. *Journal of Geophysical Research (Solid Earth)*, *113*, B08414. doi: 10.1029/2007JB005409
- Krásná, H., Böhm, J., & Schuh, H. (2013, July). Free core nutation observed by VLBI. *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, *555*, A29. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201321585
- Kuehne, J., Wilson, C. R., & Johnson, S. (1996, June). Estimates of the Chandler wobble frequency and Q. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *101*, 13573-13580. doi: 10.1029/96JB00663
- Lambeck, K. (1980). *The earth's variable rotation: Geophysical causes and consequences*. Cambridge University Press.
- Lambert, S. B., & Dehant, V. (2007, July). The Earth's core parameters as seen by the VLBI. *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, *469*, 777-781. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361:20077392
- Legros, H., Hinderer, J., Lefftz, M., & Dehant, V. (1993, March). The influence of the solid inner core on gravity changes and spatial nutations induced by luni-solar tides and surface loading. *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, *76*, 283-315. doi: 10.1016/0031-9201(93)90019-6
- Liu, H. S., & Chao, B. F. (1991, September). The earth's equatorial principal axes and moments of inertia. *Geophys. J. Int.*, *106*, 699-702. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-246X.1991.tb06341.x
- Marchenko, A. N., & Abrikosov, O. A. (2001, January). Evolution of the Earth's principal axes and moments of inertia: the canonical form of solution. *Journal of Geodesy*, *74*, 655-669. doi: 10.1007/s001900000127
- Marchenko, A. N., & Schwintzer, P. (2003, February). Estimation of the Earth's tensor of inertia from recent global gravity field solutions. *Journal of Geodesy*, *76*, 495-509. doi: 10.1007/s00190-002-0280-7
- Mathews, P. M., Buffett, B. A., Herring, T. A., & Shapiro, I. I. (1991a, May). Forced nutations of the earth: Influence of inner core dynamics: 1. theory. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *96*(B5), 8219-8242. doi: 10.1029/90JB01955
- Mathews, P. M., Buffett, B. A., Herring, T. A., & Shapiro, I. I. (1991b, May). Forced nutations of the earth: Influence of inner core dynamics: 2. numerical results and comparisons. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *96*(B5), 8243-8257. doi: 10.1029/90JB01956
- Mathews, P. M., & Guo, J. Y. (2005, February). Viscoelectromagnetic coupling in precession-nutation theory. *Journal of Geophysical Research (Solid Earth)*, *110*, B02402. doi: 10.1029/2003JB002915
- Mathews, P. M., Herring, T. A., & Buffett, B. A. (2002, April). Modeling of nutation

- and precession: New nutation series for nonrigid Earth and insights into the Earth's interior. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *107*, 2068. doi: 10.1029/2001JB000390
- Merriam, J. B. (1994, November). The nearly diurnal free wobble resonance in gravity measured at Cantley, Quebec. *Geophys. J. Int.*, *119*, 369-380. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-246X.1994.tb00129.x
- Moritz, H., & Mueller, I. I. (1987). *Earth rotation : theory and observation*. New York : Ungar.
- Munk, W. H., & MacDonald, G. J. F. (1960). *The rotation of the earth: a geophysical discussion*. Cambridge University Press.
- Nastula, J., & Gross, R. (2015, June). Chandler wobble parameters from SLR and GRACE. *Journal of Geophysical Research (Solid Earth)*, *120*, 4474-4483. doi: 10.1002/2014JB011825
- Neuberg, J., Hinderer, J., & Zürn, W. (1987, December). Stacking gravity tide observations in central Europe for the retrieval of the complex eigenfrequency of the nearly diurnal free-wobble. *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, *91*, 853-868. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-246X.1987.tb01671.x
- Ooe, M. (1978, June). An optimal complex AR.MA model of the Chandler wobble. *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, *53*, 445-457. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-246X.1978.tb03752.x
- Poincaré, H. (1910). Sur la précession des corps déformables. *Bulletin Astronomique, Serie I*, *27*, 321-356.
- Rochester, M. G., Crossley, D. J., & Zhang, Y. L. (2014, September). A new description of Earth's wobble modes using Clairaut coordinates: 1. Theory. *Geophys. J. Int.*, *198*, 1848-1877. doi: 10.1093/gji/ggu226
- Register, Y., & Valette, B. (2009, February). Influence of liquid core dynamics on rotational modes. *Geophys. J. Int.*, *176*, 368-388. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-246X.2008.03996.x
- Rosat, S., Calvo, M., & Lambert, S. (2016). Detailed analysis of diurnal tides and associated space nutation in the search of the free inner core nutation resonance. In J. T. Freymueller & L. Sánchez (Eds.), *International symposium on earth and environmental sciences for future generations* (pp. 147-153). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- Rosat, S., Florsch, N., Hinderer, J., & Llubes, M. (2009, December). Estimation of the Free Core Nutation parameters from SG data: Sensitivity study and comparative analysis using linearized least-squares and Bayesian methods. *Journal of Geodynamics*, *48*, 331-339. doi: 10.1016/j.jog.2009.09.027
- Rosat, S., & Lambert, S. B. (2009, August). Free core nutation resonance parameters from VLBI and superconducting gravimeter data. *Astron. Astrophys.*, *503*, 287-291. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/200811489
- Rosat, S., Lambert, S. B., Gattano, C., & Calvo, M. (2017, January). Earth's core and inner-core resonances from analysis of VLBI nutation and superconducting gravimeter data. *Geophys. J. Int.*, *208*, 211-220. doi: 10.1093/gji/ggw378
- Sasao, T., Okamoto, I., & Sakai, S. (1977). Dissipative Core-Mantle Coupling and Nutational Motion of the Earth. *Publications of the Astronomical Society of Japan*, *29*, 83-106.
- Sasao, T., Okubo, S., & Saito, M. (1980). A Simple Theory on Dynamical Effects of Stratified Fluid Core upon Nutational Motion of the Earth. In R. L. Duncombe (Ed.), *Nutation and the earth's rotation* (Vol. 78, p. 165).
- Sasao, T., & Wahr, J. M. (1981, March). An excitation mechanism for the free 'core nutation'. *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, *64*, 729-746. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-246X.1981.tb02692.x
- Sato, T., Tamura, Y., Higashi, T., Takemoto, S., Nakagawa, I., Morimoto, N., ... Seama, N. (1994). Resonance Parameters of the Free Core Nutation Measured from Three Superconducting Gravimeters in Japan. *Journal of Geomagnetism and Geoelectricity*, *46*, 571-586. doi: 10.5636/jgg.46.571
- Sato, T., Tamura, Y., Matsumoto, K., Imanishi, Y., & McQueen, H. (2004, October). Parameters of the fluid core resonance inferred from superconducting gravimeter data.

- Journal of Geodynamics*, 38, 375-389. doi: 10.1016/j.jog.2004.07.016
- Seitz, F., Kirschner, S., & Neubersch, D. (2012, September). Determination of the Earth's pole tide Love number  $k_2$  from observations of polar motion using an adaptive Kalman filter approach. *Journal of Geophysical Research (Solid Earth)*, 117, B09403. doi: 10.1029/2012JB009296
- Seitz, F., & Schmidt, M. (2005, November). Atmospheric and oceanic contributions to Chandler wobble excitation determined by wavelet filtering. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 110(B11). doi: 10.1029/2005JB003826
- Shen, W., Chen, W., & Sun, R. (2008). Earth's temporal principal moments of inertia and variable rotation. *Geo-Spatial Information Science*, 11(2), 127-132. doi: 10.1007/s11806-008-0064-1
- Shen, W., Chen, W., Wang, W., & Liang, Y. (2007). Rotation of the earth as a triaxial rigid body. *Geo-Spatial Information Science*, 10(2), 85-90. doi: 10.1007/s11806-007-0005-4
- Smith, M. L. (1974, June). The scalar equations of infinitesimal elastic-gravitational motion for a rotating, slightly elliptical earth. *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, 37, 491-526. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-246X.1974.tb04099.x
- Smith, M. L. (1977, July). Wobble and nutation of the earth. *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, 50, 103-140. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-246X.1977.tb01326.x
- Smith, M. L., & Dahlen, F. A. (1981, January). The period and Q of the Chandler wobble. *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, 64, 223-281. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-246X.1981.tb02667.x
- Smylie, D. E., Szeto, A. M. K., & Rochester, M. G. (1984, July). The dynamics of the earth's inner and outer cores. *Reports on Progress in Physics*, 47, 855-906. doi: 10.1088/0034-4885/47/7/002
- Soldati, G., Boschi, L., & Piersanti, A. (2003). Outer core density heterogeneity and the discrepancy between PKP and PcP travel time observations. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 30(4). doi: 10.1029/2002GL016647
- Sun, R., & Shen, W.-B. (2015, August). Triaxial Earth's rotation: Chandler wobble, free core nutation and diurnal polar motion (Abstract). In Z. Malkin & N. Capitaine (Eds.), *Journées 2014 "systèmes de référence spatio-temporels"*.
- Sun, R., & Shen, W. B. (2016, October). Influence of dynamical equatorial flattening and orientation of a triaxial core on prograde diurnal polar motion of the Earth. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 121, 7570-7597. doi: 10.1002/2016JB013278
- Szeto, A. M. K., & Smylie, D. E. (1984, November). The rotation of the earth's inner core. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series A*, 313, 171-184. doi: 10.1098/rsta.1984.0093
- Van Hoolst, T., & Dehant, V. (2002). Influence of triaxiality and second-order terms in flattenings on the rotation of terrestrial planets: I. formalism and rotational normal modes. *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, 134(12), 17 - 33. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9201(02)00068-7
- Vondrák, J., Ron, C., & Chapanov, Y. (2017, March). New determination of period and quality factor of Chandler wobble, considering geophysical excitations. *Advances in Space Research*, 59, 1395-1407. doi: 10.1016/j.asr.2016.12.001
- Wahr, J. M. (1981a, March). Body tides on an elliptical, rotating, elastic and oceanless earth. *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, 64, 677-703. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-246X.1981.tb02690.x
- Wahr, J. M. (1981b, March). A normal mode expansion for the forced response of a rotating earth. *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, 64, 651-675. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-246X.1981.tb02689.x
- Wilson, C. R., & Haubrich, R. A. (1976, September). Meteorological excitation of the earth's wobble. *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, 46, 707-743.
- Wilson, C. R., & Vicente, R. O. (1980, September). An analysis of the homogeneous ILS polar motion series. *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, 62, 605-616. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-246X.1980.tb02594.x
- Wilson, C. R., & Vicente, R. O. (1990). Maximum Likelihood Estimates of Polar Motion Parameters. In D. D. McCarthy & W. E. Carter (Eds.), *Variations in earth rotation* (p. 151-155). AGU, Washington, D.C..

- Xu, S., & Szeto, A. M. K. (1998, May). The coupled rotation of the inner core. *Geophysical Journal International*, *133*, 279-297. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-246X.1998.00495.x
- Yang, Z., & Shen, W. B. (2016). Rotational normal modes of triaxial two-layered anelastic earth model. In *EGU general assembly conference*.
- Zhou, Y., Zhu, Q., Salstein, D. A., Xu, X., Shi, S., & Liao, X. (2016, May). Estimation of the free core nutation period by the sliding-window complex least-squares fit method. *Advances in Space Research*, *57*, 2136-2140. doi: 10.1016/j.asr.2016.03.028

## A The Normal Mode Matrix using the Trigonometric Function Method

Here, we provide the normal mode matrix using the trigonometric function method in different core mantle coupling conditions that can be categorized into four classes:

**Class I** Pressure and gravitational couplings plus viscoelectromagnetic couplings;

**Class II** Pressure plus viscoelectromagnetic couplings;

**Class III** Gravitational plus viscoelectromagnetic couplings;

**Class IV** Without pressure and gravitational couplings plus Only viscoelectromagnetic couplings.

The viscoelectromagnetic couplings can be easily set to zero to generate the other core mantle coupling cases in section 6.1.

For **Class I**, the element  $4 \times 4$  matrix  $M_{ij}$  ( $i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ) of the normal mode  $16 \times 16$  matrix  $\mathbf{M}$  of our triaxial three-layered Earth rotation theory can be expressed as:

$$M_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & (1 + \kappa)\sigma A & C - (1 + \kappa)B & 0 \\ -(1 + \kappa)\sigma A & 0 & 0 & C - (1 + \kappa)B \\ -(C - (1 + \kappa)A) & 0 & 0 & (1 + \kappa)\sigma B \\ 0 & -(C - (1 + \kappa)A) & -(1 + \kappa)\sigma B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (1)$$

$$M_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & (A_f + A\xi)\sigma & -(B_f + B\xi) & 0 \\ -(A_f + A\xi)\sigma & 0 & 0 & -(B_f + B\xi) \\ A_f + A\xi & 0 & 0 & (B_f + B\xi)\sigma \\ 0 & A_f + A\xi & -(B_f + B\xi)\sigma & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (2)$$

$$M_{13} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & (A_s + A\zeta)\sigma & -(B_s + B\zeta) & 0 \\ -(A_s + A\zeta)\sigma & 0 & 0 & -(B_s + B\zeta) \\ A_s + A\zeta & 0 & 0 & (B_s + B\zeta)\sigma \\ 0 & A_s + A\zeta & -(B_s + B\zeta)\sigma & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (3)$$

$$M_{14} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & (A_s + B_s)\alpha_3 e_s \sigma / 2 & -(A_s + B_s)\alpha_3 e_s / 2 & 0 \\ -(A_s + B_s)\alpha_3 e_s \sigma / 2 & 0 & 0 & -(A_s + B_s)\alpha_3 e_s / 2 \\ (A_s + B_s)\alpha_3 e_s / 2 & 0 & 0 & (A_s + B_s)\alpha_3 e_s \sigma / 2 \\ 0 & (A_s + B_s)\alpha_3 e_s / 2 & -(A_s + B_s)\alpha_3 e_s \sigma / 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (4)$$

$$M_{21} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A_f(1 + \gamma)\sigma & 0 & 0 \\ -A_f(1 + \gamma)\sigma & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & B_f(1 + \gamma)\sigma \\ 0 & 0 & -B_f(1 + \gamma)\sigma & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (5)$$



$$M_{41} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad M_{42} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (13)$$

$$M_{43} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad M_{44} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sigma & 0 & 0 \\ -\sigma & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sigma \\ 0 & 0 & -\sigma & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (14)$$

Note that for **Class II, III, IV**, only the components related with solid inner core are different from components of **Class I**, hence, we provided only the components of the normal mode matrix related with the solid inner core below.

For **Class II**, the first three components of the normal mode matrix related with the solid inner core, i.e.  $M_{3j}$  ( $j = 1, 2, 3$ ) are the same as **Class I**, and the only different component  $M_{34}$  can be expressed as:

$$M_{34} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & (A_s + B_s)e_s\sigma/2 \\ -(A_s + B_s)e_s\sigma/2 & 0 \\ (A_s + B_s)e_s/2 - \alpha_1(C_s - A_s) & 0 \\ 0 & (A_s + B_s)e_s/2 - \alpha_1(C_s - A_s) \\ -((A_s + B_s)e_s/2 - \alpha_1(C_s - B_s)) & 0 \\ 0 & -((A_s + B_s)e_s/2 - \alpha_1(C_s - B_s)) \\ 0 & (A_s + B_s)e_s\sigma/2 \\ -(A_s + B_s)e_s\sigma/2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (15)$$

We found that the **Class II** can be obtained by setting  $\alpha_g = 0$ ,  $\alpha_2 = \alpha_1 - \alpha_3\alpha_g = \alpha_1$  in **Class I**.

For **Class III**, the component of the normal mode matrix related with the solid inner core (i.e.  $M_{3j}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ) can be expressed as:

$$M_{31} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A_s(1 + \theta)\sigma & C_s - B_s(1 + \theta) & 0 \\ -A_s(1 + \theta)\sigma & 0 & 0 & C_s - B_s(1 + \theta) \\ -(C_s - A_s(1 + \theta)) & 0 & 0 & B_s(1 + \theta)\sigma \\ 0 & -(C_s - A_s(1 + \theta)) & -B_s(1 + \theta)\sigma & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (16)$$

$$M_{32} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \chi A_s\sigma & B_s(K_{ICB} - \chi) & 0 \\ -\chi A_s\sigma & 0 & 0 & B_s(K_{ICB} - \chi) \\ -A_s(K_{ICB} - \chi) & 0 & 0 & \chi B_s\sigma \\ 0 & -A_s(K_{ICB} - \chi) & -\chi B_s\sigma & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (17)$$

$$M_{33} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A_s(1 + \nu)\sigma & -B_s(1 + K_{ICB} + \nu) & 0 \\ -A_s(1 + \nu)\sigma & 0 & 0 & -B_s(1 + K_{ICB} + \nu) \\ A_s(1 + K_{ICB} + \nu) & 0 & 0 & B_s(1 + \nu)\sigma \\ 0 & A_s(1 + K_{ICB} + \nu) & -B_s(1 + \nu)\sigma & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (18)$$

$$M_{34} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & (A_s + B_s)e_s\sigma/2 \\ -(A_s + B_s)e_s\sigma/2 & 0 \\ (A_s + B_s)e_s/2 + \alpha_g(C_s - A_s) & 0 \\ 0 & (A_s + B_s)e_s/2 + \alpha_g(C_s - A_s) \\ -((A_s + B_s)e_s/2 + \alpha_g(C_s - B_s)) & 0 \\ 0 & -((A_s + B_s)e_s/2 + \alpha_g(C_s - B_s)) \\ 0 & (A_s + B_s)e_s\sigma/2 \\ -(A_s + B_s)e_s\sigma/2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (19)$$

For **Class IV**, the first three components of the normal mode matrix related with the solid inner core, i.e.  $M_{3j}$  ( $j = 1, 2, 3$ ) are the same as **Class III**, and the only different component  $M_{34}$  can be expressed as:

$$M_{34} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & (A_s + B_s)e_s\sigma/2 & -(A_s + B_s)e_s/2 & 0 \\ -(A_s + B_s)e_s\sigma/2 & 0 & 0 & -(A_s + B_s)e_s/2 \\ (A_s + B_s)e_s/2 & 0 & 0 & (A_s + B_s)e_s\sigma/2 \\ 0 & (A_s + B_s)e_s/2 & -(A_s + B_s)e_s\sigma/2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (20)$$

We can find that the **Class IV** can be obtained by setting  $\alpha_g = 0$  in **Class III**.

## 2 The Eigenvalue Matrix with Eigenvalue Method

The core mantle coupling category cases in Appendix A are also correct for the normal mode solutions of the triaxial three-layered Earth rotation theory based on the eigenvalue method. The matrix **F** is the same for both four classes of core mantle couplings, and the matrix **G** for **Class I** has been provided in section 3.2. For the **Class II**, the matrix **G** will be the same as **Class I** after setting  $\alpha_g = 0$ ,  $\alpha_2 = \alpha_1 - \alpha_3\alpha_g = \alpha_1$ .

For the **Class III**, the matrix **G** can be expressed as:

$$\mathbf{G} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -(C - (1 + \kappa)B) & 0 & B_f + B\xi \\ C - (1 + \kappa)A & 0 & -(A_f + A\xi) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & C_f + K_{CMB}B_f + K_{ICB}B_s \\ 0 & 0 & -(C_f + K_{CMB}A_f + K_{ICB}A_s) & 0 \\ 0 & -(C_s - B_s(1 + \theta)) & 0 & -B_s(K_{ICB} - \chi) \\ C_s - A_s(1 + \theta) & 0 & A_s(K_{ICB} - \chi) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B_s + B\zeta & 0 & (A_s + B_s)\alpha_3e_s/2 \\ -(A_s + A\varsigma) & 0 & -(A_s + B_s)\alpha_3e_s/2 & 0 \\ 0 & -K_{ICB}B_s & 0 & 0 \\ K_{ICB}A_s & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B_s(1 + K_{ICB} + \nu) & 0 & (A_s + B_s)e_s/2 + \alpha_g(C_s - B_s) \\ -A_s(1 + K_{ICB} + \nu) & 0 & -[(A_s + B_s)e_s/2 + \alpha_g(C_s - A_s)] & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

For the **Class IV**, the matrix **G** can be obtained by setting  $\alpha_g = 0$  in **Class III**.