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#### Abstract

Using semi-classical analysis in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ we present a quite general model for which the topological index formula of Atiyah-Singer predicts a spectral flow with the transition of a finite number of eigenvalues between clusters (energy bands). This model corresponds to physical phenomena that are well observed for quantum energy levels of small molecules [17, 18], also in geophysics for the oceanic or atmospheric equatorial waves [30, 8] and expected to be observed in plasma physics [34].
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## 1 Introduction

The famous index theorem of Atiyah Singer obtained in the 60 ' relates two different domains of mathematics: spectral theory of pseudo-differential operators and differential topology [5][21]. This theorem has a strong importance in mathematics with many applications (e.g. the Riemann-Roch-Hirzebruch index formula that is used in geometric quantization [11]) but also in physics: in quantum field theory with anomalies [32, chap.19], in molecular physics with energy spectrum [17, 18, 20]. Recently P. Delplace, J.B. Marston and A. Venaille [8] have discovered that a famous model of oceanic equatorial waves established by Matsuno in 1966 [30] has remarkable topological properties, namely that the existence of $\mathcal{N}=+2$ equatorial modes in the Matsuno's model is related to the fact that the dispersion equation of this model defines a vector bundle over ${ }^{2} S^{2}$ whose topology is characterized by a Chern index with value $\mathcal{C}=+2$. In the similar context of waves but in plasma physics, Hong Qin, Yichen Fu [34] have recently predicted a manifestation of the index formula.

In this paper we propose a general mathematical model that contains as particular cases the normal form used for molecular physics in [17, 18] and the model of Matsuno [30][8] of equatorial waves. For this general model we have on one side a spectral index $\mathcal{N} \in \mathbb{Z}$ that counts the number of eigenvalues that move upwards as a parameter $\mu$ increases and on the other side a topological Chern index $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbb{Z}$ associated to a vector bundle that characterizes the equivalence class of the model. We establish the index formula $\mathcal{N}=\mathcal{C}$.

There are many studies about topological phenomena in condensed matter physics. Closely related to this paper are the works related to bulk-interface correspondence by Guillaume Bal [2], Chris Bourne, Johannes Kellendonk, and Adam Rennie [6][7], Alexis Drouot [10], A Elgart, GM Graf, and JH Schenker [13], Gian Michele Graf and Marcello Porta [22], Yosuke Kubota [28], Emil Prodan and Hermann Schulz-Baldes [33], and Julio Cesar Avila, Hermann Schulz-Baldes, and Carlos Villegas-Blas[1]. In particular the work of Alexis Drouot [10] uses microlocal analysis as here. There are also the works by C Dembowski, H-D Gräf, HL Harney, A Heine, WD Heiss, H Rehfeld, and A Richter [9], Jacob Shapiro and Clément Tauber [36], Alex Bols, Jeffrey Schenker, and Jacob Shapiro[4].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we present the general model and the main result of this paper, Theorem 2.7. In Section 2.5 we give the proof of Theorem 2.7. The proof relies on the index theorem on Euclidean space of Fedosov-Hörmander given in [25, thm 7.3 p. 422], [5, Thm 1, page 252] and explained in the appendix.

Sections 3 and 4 are applications of this general model in physics. In Section 3 we present a simple model used in $[17,18]$ to show the manifestation of the index formula in experimental molecular spectra of quantum waves. In Section 4 we present the model of equatorial geophysics waves of Matsuno [30] and the topological interpretation from [8].

[^1]The reader may prefer to read first Section 3 and 4 that present the examples with detailed computations before Section 2 that presents the general but more abstract model.

Appendix A gives a short overview of symbols and pseudo-differential operators. Appendix B gives a short overview of vector bundles over spheres.

This article is made from the lecture notes in French [15].
Acknowledgement 1.1. The author thanks P. Delplace and A. Venaille for interesting discussions about models of geophysical waves.

## 2 A general model on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and index formula

In this Section we propose a general framework that will contains the particular models of molecular physics of Section 3 and of geophysics of Section 4. For this general model we define a spectral index $\mathcal{N}$ that corresponds to the number of eigenvalues that move upwards with respect to an external parameter $\mu$ and we define a topological index (Chern index) $\mathcal{C}$ of a vector bundle that characterizes the (stable) isomorphism class of the model. We establish the index formula $\mathcal{N}=\mathcal{C}$.

### 2.1 Admissible family of symbols $\left(H_{\mu}\right)_{\mu}$

Let $\mu \in]-2,2\left[\right.$ be a parameter. Let $n \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{0\}$ and $(x, \xi) \in T^{*} \mathbb{R}^{n}=\mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$ a point on the cotangent space $T^{*} \mathbb{R}^{n}$ called "slow phase space". Let $d \geq 2$ be an integer and Herm ( $\mathbb{C}^{d}$ ) denotes Hermitian operators on $\mathbb{C}^{d}$. We consider a function $(\mu, x, \xi) \rightarrow H_{\mu}(x, \xi)$ smooth with respect to $\mu, x, \xi$ and valued in $\operatorname{Herm}\left(\mathbb{C}^{d}\right)$ :

$$
H_{\mu}: \begin{cases}T^{*} \mathbb{R}^{n} & \rightarrow \operatorname{Herm}\left(\mathbb{C}^{d}\right)  \tag{2.1}\\ (x, \xi) & \mapsto H_{\mu}(x, \xi)\end{cases}
$$

called symbol (we suppose that $H_{\mu} \in S_{\rho, \delta}^{m}\left(T^{*} \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ belongs to the class of Hörmander symbols. This corresponds to suitable hypothesis of regularity at infinity, see Section A).

For fixed values of $\mu, x, \xi$, the eigenvalues of the matrix $H_{\mu}(x, \xi)$ are real and are denoted

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{1}(\mu, x, \xi) \leq \ldots \leq \omega_{d}(\mu, x, \xi) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will assume the following hypothesis ${ }^{3}$ for the family of symbols $\left(H_{\mu}\right)_{\mu}$. This hypothesis is illustrated on Figure 2.1.
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Figure 2.1: Illustration of Assumption 2.1. On figure (a), for parameters $(\mu, x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R} \times$ $\mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$ in the green domain, we assume that the spectrum of the hermitian matrix $H_{\mu}(x, \xi)$, has $r$ eigenvalues smaller than $-C$ and that the others are greater than $C>0$. Equivalently, on figure (b), the spectrum of $H_{\mu}(x, \xi)$ for any $(x, \xi)$ is contained in the red domain.

Assumption 2.1. «Spectral gap assumption». For the family of symbols $\left(H_{\mu}\right)_{\mu}$, (2.1), we suppose that there exists an index $r \in\{1, \ldots d-1\}$ and $C>0$ such that for every $(\mu, x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ such that $\|(\mu, x, \xi)\| \geq 1$ and $|\mu| \leq 2$, we have

$$
\omega_{r}(\mu, x, \xi)<-C \text { and } \omega_{r+1}(\mu, x, \xi)>+C .
$$

### 2.2 Spectral index $\mathcal{N}$ for the family of symbols $\left(H_{\mu}\right)_{\mu}$

The reader may read first the appendix A that gives an introduction with examples to pseudo-differential operators (PDO) and pseudo-differential calculus.

Let us introduce a new parameter $\epsilon>0$ called adiabatic parameter or semi-classical parameter. We define the pseudo-differential operator ${ }^{4}$ (PDO)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}_{\mu, \epsilon}:=\operatorname{Op}_{\epsilon}\left(H_{\mu}\right) \quad \in \operatorname{Herm}\left(L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d}\right), \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

obtained by Weyl quantization of the symbol $H_{\mu}$.
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Figure 2.2: For $\epsilon>0$ fixed, this is a schematic picture of the spectrum of the operator $\hat{H}_{\mu, \epsilon}$. From Theorem 2.2, in the green domain, there is no spectrum. In the red domain, the spectrum is discrete: discrete eigenvalues are shown in blue and depend continuously on $\mu, \epsilon$. Consequently we can label the eigenvalues by some increasing number $n$ and label each spectral gap by the index $n$ of the first eigenvalue below it. We define then the spectral index of the family of symbols $\left(H_{\mu}\right)_{\mu}$ by $\mathcal{N}=n_{\text {in }}-n_{\text {out }}$. In this example, $\mathcal{N}=n_{\text {in }}-n_{\text {out }}=0-(-2)=+2$ corresponding to the fact that $\mathcal{N}=+2$ eigenvalues are moving upward as $\mu$ increases.

Theorem 2.2. We do the assumption 2.1. Then for every $\alpha>0$ there exists $\epsilon_{0}>0$ such that for every $0<\epsilon<\epsilon_{0}$,

- for any $\mu$ such that $1+\alpha<|\mu|<2$, the operator $\hat{H}_{\mu, \epsilon}$ has no spectrum in the interval $]-C+\alpha,+C-\alpha[$.
- for any $\mu$ such that $|\mu| \leq 1+\alpha$, the operator $\hat{H}_{\mu, \epsilon}$ has discrete spectrum in the interval $]-C+\alpha, C-\alpha[$ that depends continuously on $\mu, \epsilon$.

See figure 2.2.
Proof. We follow quite standard techniques from micro-local analysis. From assumption 2.1, if $|\mu|>1+\alpha$ then the symbol has no spectrum in the interval $[-C, C]$. Hence for any $z \in I:=[-C+\alpha, C-\alpha]$, the operator $\left(z \operatorname{Id}-\hat{H}_{\mu, \epsilon}\right)$ is invertible with approximate inverse given by $\mathrm{Op}_{\epsilon}\left(\left(z-H_{\mu}(x, \xi)\right)^{-1}\right)$. This means that there is no spectrum for $\hat{H}_{\mu}$ in this interval $I$.

If $|\mu|<1+\alpha$, the symbol $H_{\mu}(x, \xi)$ may have some spectrum in this spectral range $I$. However, from assumption 2.1, the points $(\mu, x, \xi)$ for which $\operatorname{Ran}\left(H_{\mu}(x, \xi)\right) \cap I$ is non empty are included in the compact ball $\|(\mu, x, \xi)\| \leq 1$ (here $\operatorname{Ran}\left(H_{\mu}(x, \xi)\right)$ stands for the
numerical range of the matrix $\left.H_{\mu}(x, \xi)\right)$. Let us take $\chi_{\mu}:(x, \xi) \rightarrow \chi_{\mu}(x, \xi) \geq 0$ that is a smooth regularization of the characteristic function of the set $\|(\mu, x, \xi)\| \leq 1$. We take $A>0$ large enough such that the perturbed symbol $H_{\mu}^{\prime}(x, \xi)=H_{\mu}(x, \xi)+A \chi_{\mu}(x, \xi)$ has no spectrum in $[-C, C]$ for every $\mu$ such that $|\mu|<1+\alpha$. Since $\chi_{\mu}$ has compact support, then $\mathrm{Op}_{\epsilon}\left(\chi_{\mu}\right)$ is trace class hence compact, see (A.4). Then as before, $\mathrm{Op}_{\epsilon}\left(H_{\mu}^{\prime}\right)$ has no spectrum in $[-C+\alpha, C-\alpha]$ for $\mu$ s.t. $|\mu|<1+\alpha$ (i.e. the perturbation $A \chi_{\mu}$ has pushed the spectrum above). For $z \in[-C+\alpha, C-\alpha]$, we write

$$
\left(z-H_{\mu}\right)^{-1}=\left(z-H_{\mu}^{\prime}+A \chi_{\mu}\right)^{-1}=\left(z-H_{\mu}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(1+\left(z-H_{\mu}^{\prime}\right)^{-1} A \chi_{\mu}\right)^{-1}
$$

By quantization of this relation, we have that $\mathrm{Op}_{\epsilon}\left(\left(z-H_{\mu}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\right)$ is bounded and analytic in $z, \operatorname{Op}_{\epsilon}\left(A \chi_{\mu}\right)$ is compact, hence from analytic Fredholm theorem [35, p.201], $\mathrm{Op}_{\epsilon}\left(1+\left(z-H_{\mu}^{\prime}\right)^{-1} A \chi_{\mu}\right)^{-1}$ and therefore $\left(z-\hat{H}_{\mu, \epsilon}\right)^{-1}$ are meromorphic in $z$ with residues that are operators of finite rank, i.e. the spectrum is discrete.

As a consequence of Theorem 2.2 we can define the spectral index $\mathcal{N}$ as follows, as shown on figure 2.2.

Definition 2.3. «Spectral index $\mathcal{N}$ of the family of symbols $\left(H_{\mu}\right)_{\mu}$ ». With assumption 2.1 and from Theorem 2.2, for fixed $\epsilon$, each spectral gap can be labeled as follows. Let $\left(\omega_{n}(\mu, \epsilon)\right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be the eigenvalues of the operator $\hat{H}_{\mu, \epsilon}$ that belongs to the interval $\left.I_{\alpha}:=\right]-C+\alpha,+C-\alpha[$, labeled by $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and sorted by increasing values (this is well defined up to a constant). For a given $n$, the eigenvalue $\omega_{n}(\mu, \epsilon) \in \mathbb{R}$ is continuous w.r.t. $\mu, \epsilon$. For a point $(\mu, \omega) \in(-1-\alpha, 1+\alpha) \times I_{\alpha}$ different from an eigenvalue, we associate the index $n(\mu, \omega) \in \mathbb{Z}$ of the eigenvalue just below it, i.e. such that $\omega_{n}(\mu, \epsilon)<\omega<\omega_{n+1}(\mu, \epsilon)$. We denote $n_{\text {in }}:=n(-1,0)$ the index of the first gap and $n_{\text {out }}:=n(1,0)$ the index of the last gap. This defines an integer

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{N}:=n_{\text {in }}-n_{\text {out }} \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

called the spectral index of the family of symbols $\left(H_{\mu}\right)_{\mu}$. This integer $\mathcal{N}$ counts the number of eigenvalues that go upwards as $\mu$ increases. $\mathcal{N}$ is independent on $\epsilon$ and more generally invariant under any continuous variation of the symbol $\left(H_{\mu}\right)_{\mu}$ family satisfying the assumption 2.1. Hence, $\mathcal{N}$ is a topological index.

Remark 2.4. The last remark that $\mathcal{N}$ is invariant under continuous variation of the symbol comes from the fact that the map $H_{\mu} \rightarrow \mathcal{N} \in \mathbb{Z}$ is continuous hence locally constant

Remark 2.5. We have used Weyl quantization in (2.3) to define the operator $\hat{H}_{\mu, \epsilon}$. We could have choose any other quantization procedure. The index $\mathcal{N}$ does not depend on the choice of quantization.

Question 2.6. How to compute the spectral index $\mathcal{N} \in \mathbb{Z}$ directly from the symbol $\left(H_{\mu}\right)_{\mu}$ ?
Answer: in the next section, with Theorem 2.7, we will see that $\mathcal{N}$ is simply related to the degree of a certain map $f: S^{2 n-1} \rightarrow S^{2 n-1}$ that is obtained from the symbol $\left(H_{\mu}\right)_{\mu}$.

### 2.3 Chern topological index $\mathcal{C}$ and index formula

The reader may read first the appendix B. 3 that gives an introduction and general informations about topology of vector bundles over spheres.

Let

$$
S^{2 n}:=\left\{(\mu, x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+2 n}, \quad\|(\mu, x, \xi)\|=1\right\}
$$

be the unit sphere in the space of parameters. From assumption 2.1, for every parameter $(\mu, x, \xi) \in S^{2 n}$, we have a spectral gap between eigenvalues $\omega_{r}(\mu, x, \xi)$ and $\omega_{r+1}(\mu, x, \xi)$. Then we can define the spectral projector associated to the first $r$ eigenvalues by Cauchy formula

$$
\Pi_{1 ; r}(\mu, x, \xi):=\frac{i}{2 \pi} \oint_{\gamma}\left(z-H_{\mu}(x, \xi)\right)^{-1} d z
$$

where the integration path $\gamma \subset \mathbb{C}$ enclosed the segment $\left[\omega_{1}(\mu, x, \xi), \omega_{r}(\mu, x, \xi)\right]$ and crosses the spectral gaps. The spectral space associated to the first $r$ eigenvalues $\omega_{1} \ldots \omega_{r}$ is then the image of this projector

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\mu, x, \xi):=\operatorname{Ran} \Pi_{1 ; r}(\mu, x, \xi) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The linear space $F(\mu, x, \xi) \subset \mathbb{C}^{d}$ has complex dimension $r$ and defines a smooth complex vector bundle of rank $r$ over the sphere $S^{2 n}$, that we denote $F \rightarrow S^{2 n}$. From remark 2.8 below, we can suppose that $r \geq n$.

From Bott's theorem B.20, the topology of $F \rightarrow S^{2 n}$ is characterized by an integer $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbb{Z}$ called Chern index defined in (B.18) from the degree deg $(f)$ of a map $f: S^{2 n-1} \rightarrow S^{2 n-1}$ in (B.17), by $\mathcal{C}=\frac{\operatorname{deg}(f)}{(n-1)!}$, and $f$ is directly obtained from the clutching function

$$
\begin{equation*}
g: S^{2 n-1} \rightarrow U(r) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the bundle $F \rightarrow S^{2 n}$ on the equator $S^{2 n-1}$ with respect to some local trivialization. In dimension $n=1$ this is more simple because $\mathcal{C}$ is just the winding number of the clutching function $g: S^{1} \rightarrow U(1) \equiv S^{1}$ on the equator $S^{1}$. The physical applications considered later in this paper correspond to dimension $n=1$.

Theorem 2.7. «Index formula». Let $\left(H_{\mu}\right)_{\mu}$ be a family of symbols that satisfies assumption 2.1. Let $\mathcal{N} \in \mathbb{Z}$ be the spectral index defined in (2.4) and let $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbb{Z}$ be the Chern topological index defined from the vector bundle $F \rightarrow S^{2 n}$ by (B.18). We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{N}=\mathcal{C} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of Theorem 2.7 is given in Section 2.5. It is based on the index theorem on Euclidean space of Fedosov-Hörmander given in [25, thm 7.3 p. 422],[5, Thm 1, page 252]. Remark 2.8. If one replaces the symbol $H_{\mu}(x, \xi) \in \operatorname{Herm}\left(\mathbb{C}^{d}\right)$ in (2.1) by the symbol $\tilde{H}_{\mu}(x, \xi) \in \operatorname{Herm}\left(\mathbb{C}^{d+m}\right)$ obtained by adding a constant diagonal term

$$
\tilde{H}_{\mu}(x, \xi)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
H_{\mu}(x, \xi) & 0 \\
0 & \omega_{0} \mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^{m}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $\omega_{0}<-C$ then one observes that

- $\tilde{H}_{\mu}$ satisfies assumption 2.1.
- The spectral index of $\tilde{H}_{\mu}$ and $H_{\mu}$ are equal, i.e. $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}=\mathcal{N}$. This is because $\operatorname{Op}_{\epsilon}\left(\tilde{H}_{\mu}\right) \equiv$ $\mathrm{Op}_{\epsilon}\left(H_{\mu}\right) \oplus \mathrm{Op}_{\epsilon}\left(\omega_{0} \mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^{m}}\right)$ and the spectrum of $\mathrm{Op}_{\epsilon}\left(\omega_{0} \mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^{m}}\right)=\omega_{0} \mathrm{Op}_{\epsilon}\left(\mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^{m}}\right)$ is on the constant horizontal line $\omega=\omega_{0}<-C$, so does not give moving eigenvalues.
- The associated vector bundle $\tilde{F} \rightarrow S^{2 n}$ is $\tilde{F}=F \oplus T_{m}$ where $T_{m}=S^{2 n} \times \mathbb{C}^{m}$ is the trivial bundle and $\operatorname{rank}(\tilde{F})=\operatorname{rank}(F)+m$.
This remark shows that the spectral index does not change if one adds a trivial bundle $T_{m}$ to the bundle $F$. It means that $\mathcal{N}$ depends only on the equivalence class of $F$ (or $H$ ) in the K-theory group $\tilde{K}\left(S^{2 n}\right)$, cf [24].


### 2.4 Special case of matrix symbols that are linear in $(\mu, x, \xi)$

In this section, we give a simple but important remark to understand why the model of Matsuno presented in Section 4 does not depend on a small parameter $\epsilon$ but nevertheless belongs to the general model presented here. This is the same for the normal form model presented in Section 3.

Suppose that

$$
\tilde{H}:(\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{x}, \tilde{\xi}) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+2 n} \rightarrow H(\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{x}, \tilde{\xi}) \in \operatorname{Herm}\left(\mathbb{C}^{d}\right)
$$

is a linear map with respect to $(\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{x}, \tilde{\xi})$ and consider the quantization rule $\mathrm{Op}_{1}(\tilde{\xi})=$ $-i \partial_{\tilde{x}}$ (i.e. with $\epsilon=1$ ). For example, see the normal form symbol (3.1) or the Matsuno's symbol (4.4).

For any $\epsilon>0$, we do the change of variables

$$
\mu=\sqrt{\epsilon} \tilde{\mu}, \quad x=\sqrt{\epsilon} \tilde{x}
$$

that gives

$$
\mathrm{Op}_{\epsilon}(\xi)=-i \epsilon \partial_{x}=-i \sqrt{\epsilon} \partial_{\tilde{x}}=\sqrt{\epsilon} \mathrm{Op}_{1}(\tilde{\xi})
$$

Hence the symbol $H(\mu, x, \xi)=\sqrt{\epsilon} \tilde{H}(\mu, x, \xi)$ satisfies

$$
\mathrm{Op}_{\epsilon}\left(H_{\mu}\right)=\sqrt{\epsilon} \mathrm{Op}_{1}\left(\tilde{H}_{\tilde{\mu}}\right)
$$

In other words all these models with different $\epsilon$ are equivalent up to a scaling of the parameters and the operator (and spectrum). The benefit to consider an additional semiclassical (or adiabatic) parameter $\epsilon \ll 1$ is that one can perturb the linear symbol to a non linear symbol and still get the index formula $\mathcal{N}=\mathcal{C}$ from Theorem 2.7.

### 2.5 Proof of the index formula (2.7)

In this section we give a proof of Formula (2.7). This proof relies on the index Theorem on Euclidean space of Fedosov-Hörmander given in [25, thm 7.3 p. 422],[5, Thm 1, page 252].

For a given family of symbols $H=\left(H_{\mu}\right)_{\mu \in(-2,2)}$ with assumption 2.1, we have defined two topological indices $\mathcal{N}_{H} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{H} \in \mathbb{Z}$. These indices are topological, i.e. they depend only on the class of equivalence of the symbols and we want to show that they are equal, i.e. $\mathcal{N}_{H}=\mathcal{C}_{H}$.

Let us denote $F \rightarrow S^{2 n}$ the smooth vector bundle of rank $r$ defined from $H$ in (2.5). We will construct a new symbol in the same equivalence class, so having the same indices $\mathcal{N}_{H}, \mathcal{C}_{H}$, but that will be easier to handle to show that $\mathcal{N}_{H}=\mathcal{C}_{H}$. Let $g: S^{2 n-1} \rightarrow U(r)$ be the clutching function on the equator of the bundle $F$, as defined in (2.6) or appendix B.3.2. We extend $g$ outside of $S^{2 n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}_{x, \xi}^{2 n}$ giving a 1-homogeneous function $\tilde{g}: \mathbb{R}_{x, \xi}^{2 n} \rightarrow \operatorname{Mat}\left(\mathbb{C}^{r}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{g}:(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n} \rightarrow \tilde{g}(x, \xi):=\|(x, \xi)\| g\left(\frac{(x, \xi)}{\|(x, \xi)\|}\right) \in \operatorname{Mat}_{r}(\mathbb{C}) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we define the (new) symbol $H_{\mu}$ as follows. For $\mu \in \mathbb{R},(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}$, let

$$
H_{\mu}(x, \xi):=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\mu \operatorname{Id}_{r} & -\tilde{g}(x, \xi)  \tag{2.9}\\
-\tilde{g}^{\dagger}(x, \xi) & \mu \operatorname{Id}_{r}
\end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{Herm}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2 r}\right)
$$

Lemma 2.9. There are two eigenvalues of $H_{\mu}(x, \xi)$ defined in (2.9), given by $\omega_{ \pm}(\mu, x, \xi)= \pm\|(\mu, x, \xi)\|$, each with multiplicity $r$. For $(\mu, x, \xi) \in S^{2 n}$, the eigenspace $F_{-}(\mu, x, \xi)$ associated to $\omega_{-}(\mu, x, \xi)=-1$ defines a vector bundle $F_{-} \rightarrow S^{2 n}$ of rank $r$ isomorphic to the initial given vector bundle $F \rightarrow S^{2 n}$.

Remark 2.10. Eq.(2.9) car be related to a more general construction of a projector from a given vector bundle, see [21, p.14].
Proof. For $(\mu, x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$, we denote $R=\|(\mu, x, \xi)\|$. Since $g$ is unitary on $S^{2 n-1}$, we get that $\tilde{g}^{\dagger} \tilde{g}=\tilde{g} \tilde{g}^{\dagger}=\|(x, \xi)\|^{2}=R^{2}-\mu^{2}$ and easily check that eigenvalues $\omega^{ \pm}$and eigenvectors $U_{j}^{ \pm}$defined by $H_{\mu}(x, \xi) U_{j}^{ \pm}=\omega^{ \pm} U_{j}^{ \pm}$are given for $j=1, \ldots r$ by

$$
\omega_{ \pm}(\mu, x, \xi)= \pm R, \quad U_{j}^{ \pm}(\mu, x, \xi)=\binom{(-\mu \pm R) \delta_{j}}{-\tilde{g}^{\dagger} \delta_{j}}
$$

where $\delta_{j}:=(0, \ldots, \underbrace{1}_{j}, 0 \ldots) \in \mathbb{C}^{r}$ denotes the canonical basis vector of $\mathbb{C}^{r}$. So there are two eigenvalues $\omega_{ \pm}(\mu, x, \xi)$ each with multiplicity $r$. We denote $F_{ \pm}(\mu, x, \xi):=$ $\operatorname{Vect}\left(U_{j}^{ \pm}, j \in\{1 \ldots r\}\right) \subset \mathbb{C}^{2}$ the associated eigenspaces. We compute that

$$
\left\|U_{j}^{ \pm}\right\|^{2}=(-\mu \pm R)^{2}+\sum_{j^{\prime}}\left|\left\langle\delta_{j^{\prime}} \mid \tilde{g}^{\dagger} \delta_{j}\right\rangle\right|^{2}=(-\mu \pm R)^{2}+R^{2}-\mu^{2}=2 R(R \mp \mu)
$$

Since the vectors $\left(U_{j}^{ \pm}\right)_{j}$ are orthogonal, the spectral projector $\pi_{-}$on $F_{-}$is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi^{-}=\sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{1}{\left\|U_{j}^{-}\right\|^{2}} U_{j}^{-}\left\langle U_{j}^{-} \mid \cdot\right\rangle \quad: \quad \mathbb{C}^{2 r} \rightarrow F_{-}(\mu, x, \xi) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider $S^{2 n}=\left\{(\mu, x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}, R=1\right\}$ the unit sphere in the parameter space, the northern hemisphere $H_{1}:=\left\{(\mu, x, \xi) \in S^{2 n}, \mu \geq 0\right\}$ and southern hemisphere $H_{2}:=\left\{(\mu, x, \xi) \in S^{2 n}, \mu \leq 0\right\}$. For a given $j \in\{1, \ldots, r\}$, the orthogonal projection of the fixed vector $\binom{\delta_{j}}{0} \in \mathbb{C}^{2 r}$ onto $F_{-}(\mu, x, \xi)$ gives the global section:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{1}^{(j)}(\mu, x, \xi):=\pi_{-}\binom{\delta_{j}}{0} \underset{(2.10)}{=}-\frac{1}{2} U_{j}^{-} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We compute $\left\|s_{1}^{(j)}\right\|^{2}=\frac{1}{2}(1+\mu)$ hence $\left\|s_{1}^{(j)}\right\|^{2} \neq 0$ does not vanish on $H_{1}$. Hence $\left(s_{1}^{(j)}\right)_{j \in\{1, \ldots r\}}$ is a trivialization of $F_{-} \rightarrow H_{1}$. We consider also the following trivialization of $F_{-} \rightarrow H_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{2}^{(j)}(\mu, x, \xi):=\pi_{-}\binom{0}{\delta_{j}}=\frac{-1}{2(1-\mu)} \sum_{j^{\prime}=1}^{r} U_{j^{\prime}}^{-}\left\langle\tilde{g}^{\dagger} \delta_{j^{\prime}} \mid \delta_{j}\right\rangle, \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have $\left\|s_{2}^{(j)}\right\|^{2}=\frac{1}{2}(1-\mu)$ hence $\left\|s_{2}^{(j)}\right\|^{2} \neq 0$ on $H_{2}$ and $\left(s_{2}^{(j)}\right)_{j \in\{1, \ldots . r\}}$ is a trivialization of $F_{-} \rightarrow H_{2}$. We observe that

$$
s_{2}^{(j)}=\frac{-1}{2(1-\mu)} \sum_{j^{\prime}=1}^{r} U_{j^{\prime}}^{-} \tilde{g}_{j^{\prime}, j}=\frac{1}{(2.11)} \frac{1}{(1-\mu)} \sum_{j^{\prime}=1}^{r} \tilde{g}_{j^{\prime}, j} s_{1}^{(j)}
$$

Hence on the equator $S^{2 n-1}=\left\{\mu=0,(x, \xi) \in S^{2 n-1}\right\}$ the clutching function $f_{21}: S^{2 n-1} \rightarrow$ $U(r)$ of $F_{-}$defined by $s_{2}^{(j)}(0, x, \xi)=\sum_{k=1}^{r} f_{21}^{(j, k)}(x, \xi) s_{1}^{(k)}(0, x, \xi)$ is given by $f_{21}(x, \xi)=$ $\tilde{g}(x, \xi)=g(x, \xi)$, that is the clutching function of $F$. Hence $F_{-}$and $F$ are isomorphic.

From Lemma 2.9, we see that the symbol $H_{\mu}$ in (2.9) satisfies the assumption 2.1. As in (2.3) we define the operator

$$
\hat{H}_{\mu, \epsilon} \underset{(2.3)}{=} \mathrm{Op}_{\epsilon}\left(H_{\mu}\right) \underset{(2.9)}{=}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\mu \mathrm{Id} & -\mathrm{Op}_{\epsilon}(\tilde{g})  \tag{2.13}\\
-\mathrm{Op}_{\epsilon}(\tilde{g})^{\dagger} & \mu \mathrm{Id}
\end{array}\right) \quad \in \operatorname{Herm}\left(L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2 r}\right)
$$

and from Theorem 2.2 we can define the spectral index $\mathcal{N}_{H}$ in (2.4).
Lemma 2.11. The operator $\operatorname{Op}_{\epsilon}(\tilde{g}) \in \operatorname{Herm}\left(L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{r}\right)$ is Fredholm with index

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ind}\left(\mathrm{Op}_{\epsilon}(\tilde{g})\right)=\mathcal{N}_{H} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For simplicity of notation, we denote the operator $A:=\mathrm{Op}_{\epsilon}(\tilde{g})$. Since $\tilde{g}^{\dagger} \tilde{g}=\tilde{g} \tilde{g}^{\dagger}=$ $\|(x, \xi)\|^{2}$ we see that $A$ is elliptic hence Fredholm [5, thm3 p.185], with index [5, thm2 p.16]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ind} A=\operatorname{dimKer} A-\operatorname{dimKer} A^{\dagger} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left\langle u \mid A^{\dagger} A u\right\rangle=\|A u\|^{2} \geq 0$, we have that $A^{\dagger} A$ has discrete and positive spectrum denoted $A^{\dagger} A=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^{*}} \lambda_{k} \pi_{k}$, with positive eigenvalues $0<\lambda_{1} \leq \lambda_{2} \leq \ldots$ and $\pi_{k}$ being the spectral projector associated to $\lambda_{k}$. We denote $\pi_{0}$ the projector on $\operatorname{Ker} A$. Similarly we denote $A A^{\dagger}=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^{*}} \lambda_{k}^{\prime} \pi_{k}^{\prime}$ and $\pi_{0}^{\prime}$ the projector on $\operatorname{Ker} A^{\dagger}$. In fact for a given $k>0$, we have $\lambda_{k}^{\prime}=\lambda_{k}>0$ and $\pi_{k}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{\lambda_{k}} A \pi_{k} A^{\dagger}, \pi_{k}=\frac{1}{\lambda_{k}} A^{\dagger} \pi_{k}^{\prime} A$, because $\operatorname{Tr}\left(A \pi_{k} A^{\dagger}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(A^{\dagger} A \pi_{k}\right)=$ $\lambda_{k}>0$. For $k>0$, we have the isomorphism $A: \operatorname{Im} \pi_{k} \rightarrow \operatorname{Im} \pi_{k}^{\prime}$ and $A^{\dagger}: \operatorname{Im} \pi_{k}^{\prime} \rightarrow \operatorname{Im} \pi_{k}$. If $\left(e_{l}\right)_{l=1 \ldots \operatorname{dimIm} \pi_{k}}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\operatorname{Im} \pi_{k}$ then $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_{k}}}\left(A e_{l}\right)_{l},\left(e_{l}\right)_{l}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\operatorname{Im} \pi_{k}^{\prime} \oplus \operatorname{Im} \pi_{k}$. In this basis, the operator $\hat{H}_{\mu, \epsilon}$ is represented by the matrix

$$
\hat{H}_{\mu, \epsilon} \equiv\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\mu & -\sqrt{\lambda_{k}} \\
-\sqrt{\lambda_{k}} & \mu
\end{array}\right)
$$

The eigenvalues of this matrix are $\omega_{k}^{ \pm}= \pm\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda_{k}\right)^{1 / 2}$ and never vanish for any $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, since $\lambda_{k}>0$. Additionally, for $k=0$, we have $\lambda_{0}=0$, hence $\hat{H}_{\mu, \epsilon} \equiv\left(\begin{array}{cc}-\mu & 0 \\ 0 & \mu\end{array}\right)$ has eigenvalue $-\mu$ with multiplicity rank $\pi_{0}^{\prime}$, and eigenvalue $\mu$ with multiplicity rank $\pi_{0}$. As a function of $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, these eigenvalues vanish transversely for $\mu=0$, as on Figure 3.2 and we get the index $\mathcal{N}_{H}=\operatorname{rank} \pi_{0}-\operatorname{rank} \pi^{\prime}{ }_{0}$. Consequently

$$
\mathcal{N}_{H}=\operatorname{rank} \pi_{0}-\operatorname{rank} \pi^{\prime}{ }_{0}=\operatorname{dimKer} A-\operatorname{dimKer} A_{(2.15)}^{\dagger} \operatorname{Ind} A .
$$

The index Theorem on Euclidean space of Fedosov-Hörmander given in [25, thm 7.3 p. 422] or Eq. (B.27) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ind}\left(\mathrm{Op}_{\epsilon}(\tilde{g})\right)=\mathcal{C}_{H} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

So we conclude that $\mathcal{N}_{H} \underset{(2.14,2.16)}{=} \mathcal{C}_{H}$.

### 2.6 Some models with topological contact without exchange of states

In Section 2, we have seen a model constructed from a symbol $H_{\mu}(x, \xi)$ on a phase space $(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ (i.e. $n$ degrees of freedom) and parameter $\mu \in(-2,2)$, with a spectral gap for $\mu<-1$ and $\mu>1$ and with a spectral index $\mathcal{N} \in \mathbb{Z}$ that counts the exchange of discrete energy eigenvalues (or states) between two energy bands, as the parameter $\mu$ increases (energy bands are the spectrum below the gap and the spectrum above the gap). We have seen that $\mathcal{N}$ is equal to the Chern index $\mathcal{C}$ of a vector bundle $F \rightarrow S^{2 n}$ of rank $r$ that is defined from the symbol.

- If the vector bundle $F$ is trivial, it means that the two bands are not "topologically coupled" and we can perturb continuously the symbol $\left(H_{\mu}\right)_{\mu}$ so that the gap may exist for every values of $\mu \in(-2,2)$, i.e. we can "open the gap".
- If the vector bundle $F$ is non trivial, it means that the two bands are "topologically coupled" with a "topological contact" and we can not "open the gap", or remove the contact between the two bands.

If $\mathcal{N}=\mathcal{C} \neq 0$ then the bundle $F$ is not trivial and we can not open the gap, since some energy levels pass through it, and this situation cannot be changed by continuous perturbations. From Bott's theorem B.20, if $r=\operatorname{rank}(F) \geq n$ then $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbb{Z}$ characterizes the topology of $F$. In other words, if $r \geq n$ then $\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{N}=0 \Leftrightarrow F$ is trivial.

However for vector bundles $F$ of smaller ranks, $r<n$ this is not always true (we only have the obvious fact $F$ is trivial $\Rightarrow \mathcal{C}=\mathcal{N}=0$ but not the converse). There exist some non trivial bundles $F \rightarrow S^{2 n}$ with Chern index $\mathcal{C}(F)=0$. From table 2, the simplest example is for $F \rightarrow S^{6}$, i.e. $n=3$ degrees of freedom, with rank $r=2$, because $\operatorname{Vect}^{2}\left(S^{6}\right)=\mathbb{Z}_{2}=\{0,1\}$. Suppose for example that $F \rightarrow S^{6}$ is non trivial and with topological class $[F]=1 \in \operatorname{Vect}^{2}\left(S^{6}\right)=\mathbb{Z}_{2}$. It means that the two bands have a "topological contact", i.e. that we can not open the gap. Nevertheless $\mathcal{N}=\mathcal{C}=0$, i.e. there is no exchange of states between the two bands at the contact (since the spectrum is discrete, there is some small gap that goes to zero as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ ). See figure below.


If one adds a second similar contact (at some other value of $\mu$ ), then since $1+1=0$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$, the result is that the two contact annihilate themselves and one can finally "open the gap". See figure below.


These kind of phenomena may occur with vector bundles $F \rightarrow S^{2 n}$ that are in the "non stable range", where the homotopy groups are very complicated, see the appendix B.3.

For a different example of the role of topology in spectral phenomena, in the paper [20] there is a simple model used molecular physics, for which the energy bands are topological coupled and associated to a rank 2 vector bundle that can not be splitted into two rank 1 vector bundles. This involves Chern numbers $C_{1}, C_{2}$ and shows the manifestation of algebraic topology in quantum mechanics of molecules or more generally quantum interacting systems.

## 3 Spectral flow and index formula for quantum waves in molecules

References for this Section are [17, 19, 18, 14].

### 3.1 Introduction

A small molecule is a set of atoms (electrons and nuclei) and can be considered as an isolated but complex quantum system since many degrees of freedom interact strongly on different time scales: the electrons that are light evolve on very short scales of time $\tau_{e} \in\left[10^{-16} s, 10^{-15} s\right]$, which are small compared to the time scales of the vibration motion of the atoms $\tau_{\text {vib }} \in\left[10^{-15} s, 10^{-14} s\right]$, themselves small compared to the slower rotation of the molecule $\tau_{\text {rot. }} \in\left[10^{-12} s, 10^{-10} s\right]$. In quantum mechanics the state of the molecule is described by a multivariate "quantum wave function" and a stationary state of the molecule corresponds to an eigenfunction of the Hamiltonian operator. The corresponding eigenvalue is the energy of this state. If the molecule is sufficiently isolated from its environment, one can experimentally measure its quantum energy levels (discrete spectrum) by spectroscopy. These quantum energy levels correspond to stationary collective states of all the internal interactions between all these different degrees of freedom. It seems to be (and it is) a very complicated problem, but these different time scales allows to approximate the dynamics by some "fiber bundle description". This is called the adiabatic theory. In simple words the fast motion phase space is a fiber bundle over the slow motion phase space. In quantum mechanics (or more generally in wave mechanics, like optics, acoustics ...) one has to


Figure 3.1: Energy levels (in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) of the molecule $C D_{4}$ (carbon with 4 deuterium atoms) as a function of the total angular momentum $J \in \mathbb{N}$ (rotation energy and which is a preserved quantity). The fine structure of the spectrum corresponds to the slow rotation motion and the broad structure to the faster vibration motion. There are groups of levels and levels that pass between these groups. The index formula gives the exact values of number of levels $\mathcal{N}_{j}$ in each group [17, 19, 18, 14].
quantize this fiber bundle description. Although this adiabatic approach does not solve completely the problem it gives a geometric description and some rough (and robust under perturbations) first description of the spectrum can be obtained from topological properties of these fiber bundles. This is the subject of this Section. See figure 3.1.

### 3.2 Simple model (normal form)

References for this section: [17, 18]. The following model not only is relevant in molecular physics to illustrate the spectral behavior of rotational / vibrational (slow / fast) energy levels of nuclei, but also plays an important role in the general theory because it is an "elementary topological normal form".

Let $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ be a parameter that is fixed. Let $(x, \xi) \in T^{*} \mathbb{R} \equiv \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ "slow variables" on phase space $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. We introduce the "symbol"

$$
H_{\mu}(x, \xi):=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\mu & x+i \xi  \tag{3.1}\\
x-i \xi & +\mu
\end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{Herm}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)
$$

We will call $\mathcal{H}=\mathbb{C}^{2}$ the fast Hilbert space. The space of "slow Hilbert" is $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ and corresponds to the quantification of the phase space $T^{*} \mathbb{R}$ of "slow variables" $x, \xi$ and replace them by quantum operators. Let $\epsilon>0$, the "adiabatic parameter" and set

$$
\hat{H}_{\mu}:=\mathrm{Op}_{\epsilon}\left(H_{\mu}\right):=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\mu \operatorname{Id} & \hat{x}+i \hat{\xi}  \tag{3.2}\\
\hat{x}-i \hat{\xi} & \mu \operatorname{Id}
\end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{Herm}\left(L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x}\right) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2}\right)
$$

where Id : $L^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^{2}(\mathbb{R}), \hat{\xi}:=\mathrm{Op}_{\epsilon}(\xi):=-i \epsilon \frac{d .}{d x} \in \operatorname{Herm}\left(L^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right)$, and $\hat{x}$ is the multiplication operator $x$ in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x}\right)$, see Section A for more details.
Remark 3.1. In [17, 18] it is shown how this normal form gives a micro-local description of the interaction between the fast vibration motion and the slow rotational motion of the molecule of Figure 3.1. In few words, $(x, \xi)$ are local coordinates on the sphere $S^{2}$ of rotation in a vicinity of a point where two spectral bands have a contact, and the $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ space describes the quantum dynamics of the fast vibrations by restricting to an effective two level problem.

### 3.2.1 Spectral index $\mathcal{N}$

In the following Theorem, $\left(\varphi_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is the orthonormal basis of Hermite functions of $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ defined by the Gaussian function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{0}(x)=\frac{1}{(\pi \epsilon)^{1 / 4}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{x^{2}}{\epsilon}}, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{n+1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n+1}} a^{\dagger} \varphi_{n}, \quad a \varphi_{n}=\sqrt{n} \varphi_{n-1} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the operators (so called annihilation and creation operators from quantum optics)

$$
\begin{equation*}
a:=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \epsilon}}(\hat{x}+i \hat{\xi}), \quad a^{\dagger}:=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \epsilon}}(\hat{x}-i \hat{\xi}) . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 3.2. «Spectrum of $\hat{H}_{\mu}$. For each parameter $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, the operator $\hat{H}_{\mu}$, (3.2), has discrete spectrum in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x}\right) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}_{\mu} \phi_{n}^{ \pm}=\omega_{n}^{ \pm} \phi_{n}^{ \pm}, \quad n \geq 1 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with for any $n \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{0\}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\omega_{n}^{ \pm}}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}= \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}\right)^{2}+2 n}  \tag{3.7}\\
& \phi_{n}^{ \pm}=\binom{\frac{\sqrt{2 n \epsilon}}{\mu+\omega_{n}^{ \pm}} \varphi_{n-1}}{\varphi_{n}}
\end{align*}
$$

and for $n=0$,

$$
\hat{H}_{\mu} \phi_{0}=\omega_{0} \phi_{0}
$$

with

$$
\begin{gathered}
\omega_{0}=\mu \\
\phi_{0}=\binom{0}{\varphi_{0}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Observe that there is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{N}=+1 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

eigenvalue transiting upwards, for $\mu$ increasing. See figure 3.2.
Remark 3.3. It appears in (3.7) that $\sqrt{\epsilon}$ is a natural parameter of "scaling". See Section 2.4 for a discussion.

For the moment we can not say that (3.8) is a result of topology. For $\mathcal{N}$ to be recognized as a "topological index", it would be necessary for this model to belong to a set of models and to show that this number $\mathcal{N}=+1$ is model independent (robust by continuous perturbation within this set). This is done in Section 2.

Proof. We will see from (3.12) that the operator $\hat{H}_{\mu}$ is elliptic hence ${ }^{5} \hat{H}_{\mu}$ has discrete spectrum that we will determine now by different (but similar) methods.

Method 1: This first method is direct, simple and will be used again for the proof of Theorem 4.2. Any vector $\psi \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2}$ is written

$$
\psi=\sum_{n \geq 0}\binom{a_{n} \varphi_{n}}{b_{n} \varphi_{n}}, \quad a_{n}, b_{n} \in \mathbb{C}
$$
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Figure 3.2: Spectrum of (3.6).

Put $\omega=\sqrt{\epsilon} \tilde{\omega}$ and $\mu=\sqrt{\epsilon} \tilde{\mu}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{H}_{\mu} \psi=\omega \psi \Leftrightarrow \sum_{n \geq 0}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\mu-\omega & \sqrt{2 \epsilon} a \\
\sqrt{2 \epsilon} a^{\dagger} & \mu-\omega
\end{array}\right)\binom{a_{n} \varphi_{n}}{b_{n} \varphi_{n}}=0 \\
& \Leftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sum_{n \geq 0}-a_{n}(\tilde{\mu}+\tilde{\omega}) \varphi_{n}+b_{n} \sqrt{2} \sqrt{n} \varphi_{n-1}=0 \\
\sum_{n \geq 0} a_{n} \sqrt{2} \sqrt{n+1} \varphi_{n+1}+b_{n}(\tilde{\mu}-\tilde{\omega}) \varphi_{n}=0
\end{array}\right. \\
& \Leftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-a_{n}(\tilde{\mu}+\tilde{\omega})+b_{n+1} \sqrt{2} \sqrt{n+1}=0, \quad \forall n \geq 0 \\
a_{n-1} \sqrt{2} \sqrt{n}+b_{n}(\tilde{\mu}-\tilde{\omega})=0
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\tilde{\omega}=-\tilde{\mu}$ then there is no non zero solution.
If $\tilde{\omega} \neq-\tilde{\mu}$ then

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a_{n^{\prime}}=\frac{\sqrt{2\left(n^{\prime}+1\right)}}{\tilde{\mu}} b_{n^{\prime}+1}, \quad \forall n^{\prime} \geq 0 \\
b_{n^{\prime}}\left(-\frac{2 n^{\prime}}{\tilde{\mu}+\tilde{\omega}}+(\tilde{\omega}-\tilde{\mu})\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $n \geq 0$. If $b_{n} \neq 0$ then

$$
\tilde{\mu}^{2}-\tilde{\omega}^{2}=-2 n \Leftrightarrow \tilde{\omega}= \pm \sqrt{\tilde{\mu}^{2}+2 n}, \quad n \geq 0 .
$$

Hence

- If $n=0$, we have $\tilde{\omega}_{0}=\tilde{\mu}$ (because $\tilde{\omega}=-\tilde{\mu}$ is excluded) and $b_{0}=1$ gives $b_{n^{\prime}}=0$ for $n^{\prime} \geq 1$ and $a_{n^{\prime}}=0$ for $n^{\prime} \geq 0$.
- For $n \geq 1$, we have $\tilde{\omega}_{n}= \pm \sqrt{\tilde{\mu}^{2}+2 n}$ and $b_{n}=1$ giving $b_{n^{\prime}}=0$ for $n^{\prime} \neq n$ and $a_{n-1}=\frac{\sqrt{2 n}}{\tilde{\mu}+\tilde{\omega}}$ and $a_{n^{\prime}}=0$ for $n^{\prime} \neq n-1$.

Method 2: This second method explicitly uses a "symmetry" of the problem. We first calculate the spectrum of $\hat{H}_{\mu}^{2}$ and then we diagonalize $\hat{H}_{\mu}$ in the eigenspace obtained. Observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\hat{x}, \hat{\xi}]=\hat{x} \hat{\xi}-\hat{\xi} \hat{x}=i \in \mathrm{Id} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& a^{\dagger} a \underset{(3.5)}{ }= \frac{1}{2 \epsilon}(\hat{x}-i \hat{\xi})(\hat{x}+i \hat{\xi}) \\
&=\frac{1}{2 \epsilon}\left(\hat{x}^{2}+\hat{\xi}^{2}+i(\hat{x} \hat{\xi}-\hat{\xi} \hat{x})\right) \\
&=\frac{1}{(3.9)}\left(\hat{x}^{2}+\hat{\xi}^{2}-\epsilon \mathrm{Id}\right)  \tag{3.10}\\
& a^{\dagger} a \varphi_{n} \underset{(3.4)}{=} n \varphi_{n} . \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{H}_{\mu}^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
=(3.2) \\
= & 0 \\
\mu^{2}+\hat{x}^{2}+\hat{\xi}^{2}-i(\hat{x} \hat{\xi}-\hat{\xi} \hat{x}) & 0 \\
0 & \mu^{2}+\hat{x}^{2}+\hat{\xi}^{2}+i(\hat{x} \hat{\xi}-\hat{\xi} \hat{x})
\end{array}\right) \\
& \underset{(3.9)}{=}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mu^{2}+\hat{x}^{2}+\hat{\xi}^{2}+\epsilon & 0 \\
0 & \mu^{2}+\hat{x}^{2}+\hat{\xi}^{2}-\epsilon
\end{array}\right) \\
&=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mu^{2}+2 \epsilon a^{\dagger} a+2 \epsilon & 0 \\
0 & \mu^{2}+2 \epsilon a^{\dagger} a
\end{array}\right)=\left(2 \epsilon a^{\dagger} a+\mu^{2}\right) \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^{2}}+\operatorname{Id}_{L^{2}} \otimes\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 \epsilon & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We deduce that the spectrum of $\hat{H}_{\mu}^{2}$ consists of eigenvalues $\lambda_{n}=\omega_{n}^{2}=\left(2 \epsilon n+\mu^{2}\right), n \geq 0$, and the associated eigenspace $E_{n}$ is

$$
\begin{gathered}
E_{n}=\operatorname{Span}\left\{\binom{\varphi_{n-1}}{0},\binom{0}{\varphi_{n}}\right\} \quad: \text { if } n \geq 1, \\
E_{0}=\operatorname{Span}\left\{\binom{0}{\varphi_{0}}\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

It remains to diagonalize $\hat{H}_{\mu}$ in each space $E_{n}$. For $n=0$, we observed that

$$
\hat{H}_{\mu}\binom{0}{\varphi_{0}}=\mu\binom{0}{\varphi_{0}},
$$

and for $n \geq 1$,

$$
\hat{H}_{\mu}\binom{\varphi_{n-1}}{0}=\binom{-\mu \varphi_{n-1}}{\sqrt{2 \epsilon n} \varphi_{n}}, \quad \hat{H}_{\mu}\binom{0}{\varphi_{n}}=\binom{\sqrt{2 \epsilon n} \varphi_{n-1}}{\mu \varphi_{n}}
$$

So in the basis of $E_{n}, \hat{H}_{\mu}$ is represented by the matrix

$$
\hat{H}_{\mu / E_{n}} \equiv\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\mu & \sqrt{2 \epsilon n} \\
\sqrt{2 \epsilon n} & \mu
\end{array}\right)
$$

whose eigenvalues and eigenvectors are

$$
\omega_{n}^{ \pm}= \pm \sqrt{\mu^{2}+2 \epsilon n}
$$

and eigenvectors ${ }^{6}$

$$
U_{n}^{ \pm}=\binom{\left(\omega_{n}^{ \pm}-\mu\right) \varphi_{n-1}}{\sqrt{2 \epsilon n} \varphi_{n}}=\binom{\frac{\sqrt{2 n \epsilon}}{\mu+\omega_{n}^{ \pm}} \varphi_{n-1}}{\varphi_{n}}
$$

### 3.2.2 Topological Chern Index $\mathcal{C}$

We can first consult the section B which introduces in simple terms the notion of topology of a complex vector bundle of rank 1 on the sphere $S^{2}$.

Proposition 3.4. «Topological aspects of the symbol». The eigenvalues of the matrix $H_{\mu}(x, \xi) \in \operatorname{Herm}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)$, Eq.(3.1), are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{ \pm}(\mu, x, \xi)= \pm \sqrt{\mu^{2}+x^{2}+\xi^{2}} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

There is therefore a degeneracy $\omega_{+}=\omega_{-}$for $(\mu, x, \xi)=(0,0,0)$. For $(\mu, x, \xi) \in$ $S^{2}=\left\{(\mu, x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{3},|(\mu, x, \xi)|=1\right\}$, i.e. on the unit sphere in the parameter space, the eigenspace $F_{-}(\mu, x, \xi) \subset \mathbb{C}^{2}$ associated with the eigenvalue $\omega_{-}$defines a complex vector bundle of rank 1, denoted $F_{-}$. Its isomorphism class is characterized by the topological Chern index

$$
\mathcal{C}\left(F_{-}\right)=+1 .
$$

Similarly for eigenvalue $\omega_{+}$,

$$
\mathcal{C}\left(F_{+}\right)=-1
$$

Proof. We will calculate the index $\mathcal{C}$ by two equivalent methods, see section B .
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Figure 3.3: We have $\omega^{-}(\mu, x, \xi) \leq-|\mu|, \omega^{+}(\mu, x, \xi) \geq|\mu|$. The red domain represents the possible values of $\omega_{-}(\mu, x, \xi)$ with $\mu$ fixed and $(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$. Similarly, the blue domain represent $\omega_{-}(\mu, x, \xi)$. The degeneracy is at $(\mu, x, \xi)=(0,0,0)$.

Method 1 (with a clutching function) We have

$$
p(\omega):=\operatorname{det}\left(\omega \operatorname{Id}-H_{\mu}(x, \xi)\right) \underset{(3.1)}{=} \operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\omega+\mu & -(x+i \xi) \\
-(x-i \xi) & \omega-\mu
\end{array}\right)=\omega-\left(\mu^{2}+x^{2}+\xi^{2}\right)
$$

hence $p(\omega)=0$ gives eigenvalues $\omega_{ \pm}= \pm r$ with $r:=\sqrt{\mu^{2}+x^{2}+\xi^{2}}$, i.e. Eq. (3.12). The eigenvectors of $H_{\mu}$ are respectively ${ }^{7}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{+}=\binom{-\mu+r}{x-i \xi}, \quad U_{-}=\binom{-\mu-r}{x-i \xi} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. $H_{\mu}(x, \xi) U_{ \pm}=\omega_{ \pm} U_{ \pm}$. Write $F_{ \pm}(\mu, x, \xi):=\operatorname{Vect}\left(U_{ \pm}\right) \subset \mathbb{C}^{2}$ the associated eigenspaces. The spectral projector $\pi_{-}$on $F_{-}$is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{-}=\frac{1}{\left\|U_{-}\right\|^{2}} U_{-}\left\langle U_{-} \mid \cdot\right\rangle \quad: \mathbb{C}^{2} \rightarrow F_{-}(\mu, x, \xi) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider $S^{2}=\left\{(\mu, x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}, r=|(\mu, x, \xi)|=1\right\}$ the unit sphere in the parameter space and the northern and southern hemispheres $H_{1}:=\left\{(\mu, x, \xi) \in S^{2}, \mu \geq 0\right\}, H_{2}:=\left\{(\mu, x, \xi) \in S^{2}, \mu \leq 0\right\}$. The projection of the fixed vector $\binom{1}{0} \in \mathbb{C}^{2}$ on $F_{-}$gives the global section:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{1}(\mu, x, \xi):=\pi_{-}\binom{1}{0} \underset{(3.14)}{=} \frac{(-\mu-1)}{\left((\mu+1)^{2}+x^{2}+\xi^{2}\right)}\binom{-\mu-1}{x-i \xi} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have $\left\|s_{1}\right\|^{2}=\frac{(\mu+1)^{2}}{\left((\mu+1)^{2}+x^{2}+\xi^{2}\right)}=\frac{1+\mu}{2}$ hence $\left\|s_{1}\right\|^{2} \neq 0$ on $H_{1}$. Hence $s_{1}$ is a trivialization of $F_{-} \rightarrow H_{1}$. We consider also the following trivialization of $F_{-} \rightarrow H_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{2}(\mu, x, \xi):=\pi_{-}\binom{0}{1}=\frac{(x+i \xi)}{\left((\mu+1)^{2}+x^{2}+\xi^{2}\right)}\binom{-\mu-1}{x-i \xi} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^6]We have $\left\|s_{2}\right\|^{2}=\frac{\left(x^{2}+\xi^{2}\right)}{\left((\mu+1)^{2}+x^{2}+\xi^{2}\right)}=\frac{1-\mu}{2}$ hence $\left\|s_{2}\right\|^{2} \neq 0$ on $H_{2}$. The clutching function on the equator $S^{1}=\left\{\mu=0, x+i \xi=e^{i \theta}, \theta \in[0,2 \pi[ \}\right.$ is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{2} & (\theta)=f_{21}(\theta) s_{1}(\theta) \\
& \Leftrightarrow(x+i \xi)\binom{-1}{x-i \xi}=-f_{21}(\theta)\binom{-1}{x-i \xi} \\
& \Leftrightarrow f_{21}(\theta)=-e^{i \theta} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The degree of the function $f_{21}: \theta \in S^{1} \rightarrow f_{21}(\theta)=-e^{i \theta} \in U(1) \equiv S^{1}$ is $\mathcal{C}=\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{21}\right)=$ +1 .

Method 2 (indices of zeroes of a global section) We consider the global section of $F_{-} \rightarrow S^{2}, s_{2}(\mu, x, \xi)$ given in (3.16) that vanishes at $(\mu, x, \xi)=(1,0,0)$ from $\left\|s_{2}\right\|^{2}=\frac{1-\mu}{2}$. In a neighborhood of this point in first order of $(x, \xi)$ and writing $x+i \xi=\epsilon e^{i \theta} \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\epsilon \ll 1$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
s_{2}(\mu, x, \xi) & =\frac{(x+i \xi)}{=}\binom{-2}{0}+o(x, \xi)  \tag{3.17}\\
& =-\frac{\epsilon}{2} e^{i \theta}\binom{1}{0}+o(\epsilon) \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

It appears the function $e^{i \theta} \rightarrow e^{i \theta}$ whose index (or «winding number») is $\mathcal{C}=+1$.
Method 3 (with curvature integral) We will use spherical coordinates $(\theta, \varphi) \in$ $] 0, \pi[\times] 0,2 \pi\left[\right.$ on the sphere $S^{2}$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
x+i \xi & =\sin \theta e^{i \varphi} \\
\mu & =\cos \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

A unit vector $v(\theta, \varphi)$ in the fiber $F_{-}$over the unit sphere $S^{2}$ (except at points where $(1+\cos \theta)=0)$ is given by

$$
v_{(3.13)}^{=} \frac{U_{-}}{\left\|U_{-}\right\|}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1+\mu)}}\binom{-\mu-1}{x-i \xi}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1+\cos \theta)}}\binom{-\cos \theta-1}{\sin \theta e^{-i \varphi}}
$$

We will use the curvature integral formula (B.10) that gives

$$
\mathcal{C}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{S^{2}} i \Omega
$$

with the curvature two form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{(B .9)}^{=}\langle d v \wedge \mid d v\rangle & =\left(\left\langle\frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta} \left\lvert\, \frac{\partial v}{\partial \varphi}\right.\right\rangle-\left\langle\left.\frac{\partial v}{\partial \varphi} \right\rvert\, \frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta}\right\rangle\right) d \theta \wedge d \varphi \\
& =2 i \operatorname{Im}\left(\left\langle\frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta} \left\lvert\, \frac{\partial v}{\partial \varphi}\right.\right\rangle\right) d \theta \wedge d \varphi
\end{aligned}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta}=\frac{\sin \theta}{(2(1+\cos \theta))^{3 / 2}}\binom{-\cos \theta-1}{\sin \theta e^{-i \varphi}}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1+\cos \theta)}}\binom{\sin \theta}{\cos \theta e^{-i \varphi}} \\
\frac{\partial v}{\partial \varphi}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1+\cos \theta)}}\binom{0}{-i \sin \theta e^{-i \varphi}} \\
\operatorname{Im}\left(\left\langle\frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta} \left\lvert\, \frac{\partial v}{\partial \varphi}\right.\right\rangle\right)=-\frac{\sin ^{3} \theta}{(2(1+\cos \theta))^{2}}-\frac{\cos \theta \sin \theta}{(2(1+\cos \theta))} \\
=-\frac{1}{4} \sin \theta
\end{gathered}
$$

We get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C} & =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{S^{2}} i \Omega=-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\theta=0}^{\pi} \int_{\varphi=0}^{2 \pi} 2 \operatorname{Im}\left(\left\langle\frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta} \left\lvert\, \frac{\partial v}{\partial \varphi}\right.\right\rangle\right) d \theta d \varphi \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\theta=0}^{\pi} \sin \theta d \theta=1
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.2.3 Conclusion on the model (3.2)

In the model defined by (3.2), we observe from the symbol, a vector bundle $F_{-}$whose index of Chern is $\mathcal{C}\left(F_{-}\right)=+1$ and we observe that there is $\mathcal{N}=+1$ level transiting (upwards) in the spectrum of the operator. We see in Section 2, Theorem 2.7, that this equality

$$
\mathcal{N}=\mathcal{C}
$$

is a special case of a more general result, called the index formula, valid for a continuous family of symbols and for spaces and bundles of larger dimensions.

Another equivalent formulation given in $[17,19,18]$ in a more general context: for $|\mu| \gg 1$, there are two groups of levels $j=-,+$ in the spectrum of $\hat{H}_{\mu}$. When changing $\mu=-\infty \rightarrow+\infty$ each group has a variation $\Delta \mathcal{N}_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}$ of the number of levels. We have the formula

$$
\Delta \mathcal{N}_{j}=-\mathcal{C}_{j}
$$

where $\mathcal{C}_{j}$ is the Chern index of the bundle $F_{j} \rightarrow S^{2}$.

## 4 Spectral flow and index formula for oceanic equatorial waves

In this Section we present the model of Matsuno (1966) [30] for equatorial waves and the topological interpretation given by P. Delplace, J. B. Marston, and A. Venaille in [8].


Figure 4.1: Marine currents May 27, 2018, according to the website nullschool. We observe the equatorial waves and the accumulation of energy on the east coasts of continents.

### 4.1 Matsuno's model

We first present the physical meaning of the Matsuno's model [30]. See also this Document, [38].

The shallow water model: See also Shallow_water_equations on wikipedia.. Let $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be local coordinates on the horizontal plane near the equator. $x_{1}$ is the longitude and $x_{2}$ the latitude. The function $(h(x, t)+H) \in \mathbb{R}$ with $H>0$ represents the depth of water (or of a layer of hot water) at position $x$ and time $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The vector $u(x, t)=\left(u_{1}(x, t), u_{2}(x, t)\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ represents the (horizontal) velocity of this water. Water is submitted to gravity ( $g=9.81 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$ is the g -force) and since the earth is rotating with frequency $\Omega$, there is also an effective Coriolis force. The Navier-Stokes equations with shallow water assumptions give

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} h+\operatorname{div}((h+H) u) & =0  \tag{4.1}\\
\partial_{t} u+u \cdot \operatorname{grad}(u) & =-g \operatorname{grad}(h)-f n \wedge u
\end{align*}
$$

with $f(x)=2 \Omega \cdot n(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n(x)$ being the unit normal vector at position $x$. See Figure 4.2.

Linearization: The idea of Matsuno is to linearize the equations (4.1) in the vicinity of $x_{2}=0$ (the equator), $u=0$ (small velocities), $h=0$ (small fluctuations). We assume

$$
f(x)=\beta x_{2}, \quad \beta>0
$$



Horizontal plane


Figure 4.2: Illustration of quantities for the shallow water model (4.1).

Then (4.1) at first order give the following linear equations

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} h & =-H \operatorname{div}(u)  \tag{4.2}\\
\partial_{t} u & =-\operatorname{ggrad}(h)-\beta x_{2}\binom{-u_{2}}{u_{1}}
\end{align*}
$$

With $c=\sqrt{g H}$ and the change of variables

$$
t^{\prime}=\sqrt{c \beta} t, \quad x^{\prime}=\sqrt{\frac{\beta}{c}} x, \quad h^{\prime}=\sqrt{\frac{\beta}{c}} h, \quad u^{\prime}=\frac{1}{c} u
$$

we obtain the dimensionless equations, written without ' (equivalently we put $H=1$, $g=1, \beta=1$ ):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t} h & =-\partial_{x_{1}} u_{1}-\partial_{x_{2}} u_{2} \\
\partial_{t} u_{1} & =-\partial_{x_{1}} h+x_{2} u_{2} \\
\partial_{t} u_{2} & =-\partial_{x_{2}} h-x_{2} u_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

We will write

$$
\Psi=\left(\begin{array}{c}
h \\
u_{1} \\
u_{2}
\end{array}\right) \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x_{1}, x_{2}, t}^{3}\right) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{3}
$$

Then

$$
i \partial_{t} \Psi=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -i \partial_{x_{1}} & -i \partial_{x_{2}} \\
-i \partial_{x_{1}} & 0 & i x_{2} \\
-i \partial_{x_{2}} & -i x_{2} & 0
\end{array}\right) \Psi
$$

Since the coefficients do not depend on $x_{1}$ one can assume the Fourier mode in $x_{1}$ :

$$
\Psi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, t\right)=e^{i \mu x_{1}} \psi\left(x_{2}, t\right)
$$

with Fourier variable $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\psi \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x_{2}, t}^{2}\right) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{3}$. In other words, $\mu$ is the spatial frequency in $x_{1}$ (and $\lambda_{1}=\frac{2 \pi}{\mu}$ is the wave length).

For simplicity we replace $\left(x_{2}, \xi_{2}\right)$ by $(x, \xi)$. This gives the Matsuno model:
Definition 4.1. The «Matsuno model» is the system of equations for $\psi:(t, x) \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \psi(t, x) \in \mathbb{C}^{3}$ given by

$$
i \partial_{t} \psi=\hat{H}_{\mu} \psi
$$

with the operator

$$
\hat{H}_{\mu}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \mu & \hat{\xi}  \tag{4.3}\\
\mu & 0 & i \hat{x} \\
\hat{\xi} & -i \hat{x} & 0
\end{array}\right)=\operatorname{Op}\left(H_{\mu}\right), \quad \in \operatorname{Herm}\left(L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x}\right) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{3}\right)
$$

and its symbol

$$
H_{\mu}\left(x, \xi_{2}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \mu & \xi  \tag{4.4}\\
\mu & 0 & i x \\
\xi & -i x & 0
\end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{Herm}\left(\mathbb{C}^{3}\right)
$$

and $\hat{\xi}=\mathrm{Op}_{1}(\xi):=-i \partial_{x}, \hat{x}=\mathrm{Op}_{1}(x):=x$.

### 4.2 Spectral index $\mathcal{N}$

The following proposition describes the spectrum of the operator $\hat{H}_{\mu}$ with respect to the $\mu$ parameter.

Proposition 4.2. «Spectrum of $\hat{H}_{\mu}$ ». [30] For each $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, the operator $\hat{H}_{\mu}$, (4.3), has a discrete spectrum in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x}\right) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{3}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}_{\mu} \phi_{n}^{(j)}=\omega_{n}^{(j)} \phi_{n}^{(j)}, \quad j=1,2,3, \quad n \geq 1, \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\omega_{n}^{(j)}, j=1,2,3$ solutions of the equation of degree 3 in $\omega$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{3}-\left(\mu^{2}+2 n+1\right) \omega-\mu=0 \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

called gravity waves for $j=1,3$ and Rossby planetary waves for $j=2$.
In addition there are the solutions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{H}_{\mu} \phi_{K}=\mu \phi_{K} \quad \text { : Kelvin mode } \\
& \hat{H}_{\mu} \phi_{Y}^{ \pm}=\omega_{ \pm} \phi_{Y}^{ \pm} \quad: \text { Yanaï mode }
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\omega_{ \pm}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\mu \pm \sqrt{\mu^{2}+4}\right)$ solutions of $\left(\omega^{2}-\mu \omega-1\right)=0$. We observe in figure 4.3 that when $\mu$ increases, there is

$$
\mathcal{N}=+2
$$

eigenvalues that are going upward.

Remarks on the physics of equatorial waves: (from oral explanations by Antoine Venaille).

- The Matsuno model applies either to the ocean or the atmosphere. It can for instance describe the dynamics of the upper oceanic layer called the thermocline ( 1 km depth), above the abyss $(4 \mathrm{~km})$. It can also describe the dynamics of the troposphere $(10 \mathrm{~km})$ below the stratosphere ( 50 km ).
- The Matsuno model applies to the ocean (warm water layer of thickness $\sim 1 \mathrm{~km}$ ) or to the atmosphere (boundary layer between troposphere and stratosphere around 10 $\mathrm{km})$.
- The El Nino phenomenon in the atmosphere-ocean climate system is triggered by a trapped oceanic Kelvin wave propagating across the Pacific ocean. It is symmetric in $x_{2}$, of wavelength $\lambda_{1}=2 \pi / \mu$ and propagates towards Peru. More precisely El Nino is a phenomena that couple ocean and atmosphere. The Kelvin oceanic mode is an essential ingredient for the apparition of high temperature anomalies on the Peru coast and has global consequences.
- From satellites, Yanai modes can sometimes be observed in the form of regular cloud pattern asymmetric with respect to the equator. These clouds reflect the patterns of vertical velocity fields, related to horizontal temperature anomalies.


Figure 4.3: Representations of eigenvalues $\omega_{n}^{(j)}(\mu)$, Eq.(4.5). We observe a spectral index of $\mathcal{N}=+2$ levels.

- The group velocity of the wave $\Psi$ according to $x_{1}$ corresponds to the derivative of the curves $\omega(\mu): v_{g}=\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \mu}$. For the Rossby waves, at fixed $\omega$, we observe a component with strong group velocity $v_{1}=\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \mu}<0$ to the east and another component more weak $v_{2}=\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \mu}>0$ to the west. See figure 4.4. There is thus an accumulation of energy (then dissipation) on the east coasts of the continents, very visible, ex: Gulf Stream on the figure 4.1.

Proof. We will see from (4.7) that the operator $\hat{H}_{\mu}$ is elliptic. So ${ }^{8} \hat{H}_{\mu}$ has discrete spectrum.

[^7]

Figure 4.4: At a given frequency $\omega$, there are two components with group velocities $v_{1}>0$, $v_{2}<0$ but $\left|v_{2}\right| \gg\left|v_{1}\right|$. This explains why energy accumulates on the east coasts, see figure 4.1.

Any vector $\psi \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{3}$ can be written

$$
\psi=\sum_{n \geq 0}\left(\begin{array}{c}
a_{n} \varphi_{n} \\
b_{n} \varphi_{n} \\
c_{n} \varphi_{n}
\end{array}\right), \quad a_{n}, b_{n}, c_{n} \in \mathbb{C}
$$

We introduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\hat{x}_{2}+i \hat{\xi}_{2}\right), \quad a^{\dagger}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\hat{x}_{2}-i \hat{\xi}_{2}\right), \\
& \Leftrightarrow \hat{x}_{2}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(a+a^{\dagger}\right), \quad \hat{\xi}_{2}=-i \partial_{x}=\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\left(a^{\dagger}-a\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{H}_{\mu} \psi= \omega \psi \Leftrightarrow \sum_{n \geq 0}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-\omega & \mu & \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\left(a^{\dagger}-a\right) \\
\mu & -\omega & \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\left(a+a^{\dagger}\right) \\
\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\left(a^{\dagger}-a\right) & \frac{-i}{\sqrt{2}}\left(a+a^{\dagger}\right) & -\omega
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
a_{n} \varphi_{n} \\
b_{n} \varphi_{n} \\
c_{n} \varphi_{n}
\end{array}\right)=0 \\
& \Leftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sum_{n \geq 0}-\omega a_{n} \varphi_{n}+\mu b_{n} \varphi_{n}+\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\left(a^{\dagger}-a\right) c_{n} \varphi_{n}=0 \\
\sum_{n \geq 0} \mu a_{n} \varphi_{n}-\omega b_{n} \varphi_{n}+\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\left(a^{\dagger}+a\right) c_{n} \varphi_{n}=0 \\
\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\left(a^{\dagger}-a\right) a_{n} \varphi_{n}-\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\left(a^{\dagger}+a\right) b_{n} \varphi_{n}-\omega c_{n} \varphi_{n}=0
\end{array}\right. \\
& \Leftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\omega a_{n}+\mu b_{n}+\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\left(c_{n-1} \sqrt{n}-c_{n+1} \sqrt{n+1}\right)=0 \\
\mu a_{n}-\omega b_{n}+\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\left(c_{n-1} \sqrt{n}+c_{n+1} \sqrt{n+1}\right)=0 \\
\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\left(a_{n-1} \sqrt{n}-a_{n+1} \sqrt{n+1}\right)-\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\left(b_{n-1} \sqrt{n}+b_{n+1} \sqrt{n+1}\right)-\omega c_{n}=0
\end{array}\right. \\
& \Leftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(\mu-\omega)\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right)+i \sqrt{2} c_{n-1} \sqrt{n}=0 \\
(\mu+\omega)\left(a_{n}-b_{n}\right)+i \sqrt{2} c_{n+1} \sqrt{n+1}=0 \\
\left(a_{n-1}-b_{n-1}\right) \sqrt{n}-\left(a_{n+1}+b_{n+1}\right) \sqrt{n+1}+i \sqrt{2} \omega c_{n}=0
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

We introduce

$$
\begin{gathered}
s_{n}:=a_{n}+b_{n}, \quad d_{n}:=b_{n}-a_{n} \\
\Leftrightarrow a_{n}=\frac{1}{2}\left(s_{n}-d_{n}\right), \quad b_{n}=\frac{1}{2}\left(s_{n}+d_{n}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Then

$$
\Leftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(\mu-\omega) s_{n^{\prime}}+i \sqrt{2 n^{\prime}} c_{n^{\prime}-1}=0 \\
-(\mu+\omega) d_{n^{\prime}}+i \sqrt{2\left(n^{\prime}+1\right)} c_{n^{\prime}+1}=0 \\
-d_{n^{\prime}-1} \sqrt{n^{\prime}}-s_{n^{\prime}+1} \sqrt{n^{\prime}+1}+i \sqrt{2} \omega c_{n^{\prime}}=0
\end{array} \quad \forall n^{\prime} \geq 0\right.
$$

We consider different cases.
form a basis of the Hilbert space.

1. If $\mu=\omega$, this gives $c_{n^{\prime}}=0$ for $n^{\prime} \geq 0$ and so $d_{n^{\prime}}=0$ for $n^{\prime} \geq 0$ and $s_{n^{\prime}}=0$ for $n^{\prime} \geq 1$. There is a solution with $s_{0}=1$, called "Kelvin Wave".
2. If $\mu=-\omega$, this gives $c_{n^{\prime}}=0, s_{n^{\prime}}=0, d_{n^{\prime}}=0$ for all $n^{\prime} \geq 0$, so there is no solution.
3. If $\mu-\omega \neq 0$ and $\mu+\omega \neq 0$ then

$$
s_{n^{\prime}+1}=\frac{-i \sqrt{2\left(n^{\prime}+1\right)}}{(\mu-\omega)} c_{n^{\prime}}, \quad d_{n^{\prime}-1}=\frac{i \sqrt{2 n^{\prime}}}{(\mu+\omega)} c_{n^{\prime}}
$$

hence for every $n^{\prime} \geq 0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\frac{i \sqrt{2} n^{\prime}}{(\mu+\omega)} c_{n^{\prime}}-\frac{-i \sqrt{2}\left(n^{\prime}+1\right)}{(\mu-\omega)} c_{n^{\prime}}+i \sqrt{2} \omega c_{n^{\prime}} & =0 \\
\Leftrightarrow\left(-n^{\prime}(\mu-\omega)+\left(n^{\prime}+1\right)(\mu+\omega)+\omega\left(\mu^{2}-\omega^{2}\right)\right) c_{n^{\prime}} & =0 \\
\Leftrightarrow\left(\omega^{3}-\omega\left(2 n^{\prime}+1+\mu^{2}\right)-\mu\right) c_{n^{\prime}} & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

If moreover $c_{n} \neq 0$ then $\omega^{3}-\omega\left(2 n+1+\mu^{2}\right)-\mu=0$.
(a) If $c_{0}=1$ then $\omega^{3}-\omega\left(1+\mu^{2}\right)-\mu=(\omega+\mu)\left(\omega^{2}-\mu \omega-1\right)=0$ giving $\left(\omega^{2}-\mu \omega-1\right)=$ 0 (since $\omega=-\mu$ is excluded) and the «Yanai waves»:

$$
\omega_{ \pm}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\mu \pm \sqrt{\mu^{2}+4}\right)
$$

with components $c_{0}=1, c_{n^{\prime}}=0$ for $n^{\prime} \geq 1$. $s_{0}=0, s_{1}=\frac{-i \sqrt{2}}{(\mu-\omega)}$ and $s_{n^{\prime}}=0$ for $n^{\prime} \geq 2 . d_{n^{\prime}}=0$ for $n^{\prime} \geq 0$. This determines $a_{n^{\prime}}, b_{n^{\prime}}$.
(b) If $c_{n}=1$ and $c_{n^{\prime}}=0$ for $n^{\prime} \neq n$, then $\omega_{n}^{(j)}, j=1,2,3$, are solutions of (4.6). This determines the components $d_{n^{\prime}}, s_{n^{\prime}}, a_{n^{\prime}}, b_{n^{\prime}}$, called gravity waves for $j=1,3$ and Rossby planetary waves for $j=2$.

### 4.3 Topological Chern index $\mathcal{C}$

We can first consult the section B which introduces the notion of topology of a complex vector bundle of rank 1 on the sphere $S^{2}$.


Figure 4.5: Domains that represent the eigenvalues $\omega^{(j)}(\mu, x, \xi)$ for $\mu$ fixed and all possible values of $(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}, j=1,2,3$. We have $\omega^{(1)} \leq-|\mu|, \omega^{(2)}=0, \omega^{(3)} \geq|\mu|$.

Proposition 4.3. "Topological aspects of the $H_{\mu}(x, \xi)$ (3.1)". [8] The eigenvalues of the matrix $H_{\mu}(x, \xi) \in \operatorname{Herm}\left(\mathbb{C}^{3}\right)$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega^{(1)}(\mu, x, \xi)=-\sqrt{\mu^{2}+x^{2}+\xi^{2}}  \tag{4.7}\\
& \omega^{(2)}(\mu, x, \xi)=0  \tag{4.8}\\
& \omega^{(3)}(\mu, x, \xi)=+\sqrt{\mu^{2}+x^{2}+\xi^{2}} \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

There is therefore a degeneracy at $(\mu, x, \xi)=(0,0,0)$. For $(\mu, x, \xi) \in S^{2} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$, and $j=1,2,3$, the eigenspace $F^{(j)}(\mu, x, \xi) \subset \mathbb{C}^{2}$ associated with the eigenvalue $\omega^{(j)}(\mu, x, \xi)$ defines a complex vector bundle of rank 1 above $S^{2}$, whose topological indices of Chern $\mathcal{C}_{j}$ are respectively

$$
\mathcal{C}_{1}=+2, \quad \mathcal{C}_{2}=0, \quad \mathcal{C}_{3}=-2
$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of the proposition3.4. We will use two different methods to compute $\mathcal{C}_{j}$. First using zeros of a global section (B.4) and secondly using curvature integral (B.10). The eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the matrix $H_{\mu}(x, \xi)$ are ${ }^{9}$

$$
\omega_{1}(\mu, x, \xi)=-r, \quad \omega_{2}(\mu, x, \xi)=0, \quad \omega_{3}(\mu, x, \xi)=r
$$

with $r=\sqrt{\mu^{2}+x^{2}+\xi^{2}}$. Eigenvectors are

$$
U_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mu^{2}+\xi^{2}  \tag{4.10}\\
i \xi x-\mu r \\
-i \mu x-\xi r
\end{array}\right), \quad U_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
-x \\
i \xi \\
-i \mu
\end{array}\right), \quad U_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mu^{2}+\xi^{2} \\
i \xi x+\mu r \\
-i \mu x+\xi r
\end{array}\right) .
$$

We have

$$
H_{\mu}(x, \xi)=\sum_{j=1}^{3} \omega_{j} \Pi_{j}
$$

[^8]with orthogonal spectral projectors
\[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Pi_{j}=\frac{U_{j}\left\langle U_{j} \mid \cdot\right\rangle}{\left\|U_{j}\right\|^{2}} \\
F_{j}(\mu, x, \xi)=\operatorname{Im}_{j}(\mu, x, \xi) \subset \mathbb{C}^{3}
\end{gathered}
$$
\]

is the eigenspace and defines a complex bundle of rank 1 on $\mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash\{0\}$ noted $F_{j}$.
Computation of $\mathcal{C}_{1} \quad$ Let $u_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 0 \\ 1\end{array}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{3}$ a fixed vector and

$$
s_{1}(\mu, x, \xi)=\Pi_{1} u_{0} \in \mathbb{C}^{3}
$$

that defines a global section of the bundle $F_{1}$. We consider the sphere $S^{2}=\{(\mu, x, \xi), r=1\}$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{1}(\mu, x, \xi)=\Pi_{1} u_{0} \underset{(4.10)}{=} \frac{U_{1}}{\left\|U_{1}\right\|^{2}}(i \mu x-\xi) \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\left\|U_{1}\right\|^{2} \underset{(4.10)}{=} 2\left(\mu^{2}+\xi^{2}\right)$

$$
\left\|s_{1}\right\|^{2}=\frac{\left((\mu x)^{2}+\xi^{2}\right)}{2\left(\mu^{2}+\xi^{2}\right)}
$$

We observe that $\left\|s_{1}\right\|^{2}$ vanishes at two points $(\mu, x, \xi)=( \pm 1,0,0) \in S^{2}$. Near the point $(\mu, x, \xi)=(1,0,0)$, writing $x+i \xi=\epsilon e^{i \theta}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{1} \underset{(4.11)}{=} \frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
-1 \\
0
\end{array}\right)(i \mu x-\xi)+o(\epsilon) \\
& \quad=\frac{i}{2}\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
-1 \\
0
\end{array}\right) \epsilon e^{i \theta}+o(\epsilon)
\end{aligned}
$$

There appears the function $e^{i \theta} \in S^{1} \rightarrow e^{i \theta} \in S^{1}$ with degree +1 . Near the point $(\mu, x, \xi)=$ $(-1,0,0)$, writing $\xi+i x=\epsilon e^{i \theta}$ (that respects the orientation on $S^{2}$ ), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{1} & =\frac{1}{=}\binom{1}{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
2 \\
-1 \\
0
\end{array}\right)(i \mu x-\xi)+o(\epsilon) \\
& =-\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
-1 \\
0
\end{array}\right) \epsilon e^{i \theta}+o(\epsilon)
\end{aligned}
$$

There appears the function $e^{i \theta} \in S^{1} \rightarrow e^{i \theta} \in S^{1}$ of degree +1 . In total we deduce that

$$
\mathcal{C}_{1}=+1+1=+2 .
$$

Computation of $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ We have for $(\mu, x, \xi) \in S^{2}$ on $\left\|U_{2}\right\|=1$ so $U_{2}$ defines a non-zero global section of fiber $F_{2}$ therefore

$$
\mathcal{C}_{2}=0 .
$$

Computation of $\mathcal{C}_{3}$ Let we use $\sum_{j=1}^{3} \mathcal{C}_{j}=0$ (because the bundle $\mathbb{C}^{3} \rightarrow S^{2}$ is trivial) giving directly $\mathcal{C}_{3}=-2$, we calculate $\mathcal{C}_{3}$ as we did for $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ :

Let $u_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 0 \\ 1\end{array}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{3}$ a fixed vector and

$$
s_{3}(\mu, x, \xi)=\Pi_{3} u_{0} \in \mathbb{C}^{3}
$$

which defines a global section of the bundle $F_{3}$. We consider the sphere $S^{2}=\{(\mu, x, \xi), r=1\}$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{3}(\mu, x, \xi)=\Pi_{3} u_{0} \underset{(4.10)}{=} \frac{U_{3}}{\left\|U_{3}\right\|^{2}}(i \mu x+\xi) \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\left\|U_{3}\right\|^{2} \underset{(4.10)}{=} 2\left(\mu^{2}+\xi^{2}\right)$,

$$
\left\|s_{3}\right\|^{2}=\frac{\left((\mu x)^{2}+\xi^{2}\right)}{2\left(\mu^{2}+\xi^{2}\right)}
$$

We observe that $\left\|s_{3}\right\|^{2}$ vanishes in two points $(\mu, x, \xi)=( \pm 1,0,0) \in S^{2}$. Near the point $(\mu, x, \xi)=(1,0,0)$, setting $x+i \xi=\epsilon e^{i \theta}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{3} & =\frac{1}{(4.12)}\left(\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right)(i \mu x+\xi)+o(\epsilon) \\
& =\frac{i}{2}\left(\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right) \epsilon e^{-i \theta}+o(\epsilon)
\end{aligned}
$$

There is the function $e^{i \theta} \rightarrow e^{-i \theta}$ of degree -1 . Near the point $(\mu, x, \xi)=(-1,0,0)$, writing $\xi+i x=\epsilon e^{i \theta}$ (that respects the orientation on $S^{2}$ ), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{1}=\frac{1}{(4.12)} \frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right)(i \mu x+\xi)+o(\epsilon) \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right) \epsilon e^{-i \theta}+o(\epsilon)
\end{aligned}
$$

There appears function $e^{i \theta} \rightarrow e^{-i \theta}$ of degree -1 . In total we deduce that

$$
\mathcal{C}_{3}=-1-1=-2 .
$$

2nd method of computation using curvature integral: We will use spherical coordinates $(\theta, \varphi) \in] 0, \pi[\times] 0,2 \pi\left[\right.$ on the sphere $S^{2}$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
x & =\cos \theta \\
\xi & =\sin \theta \cos \varphi \\
\mu & =\sin \theta \sin \varphi .
\end{aligned}
$$

A unit vector $v(\theta, \varphi)$ in the fiber $F_{1}$ over the unit sphere $S^{2}$ is given by

$$
v=\frac{U_{1}}{\left\|U_{1}\right\|} \underset{(4.10)}{=} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\left(\mu^{2}+\xi^{2}\right)}}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mu^{2}+\xi^{2} \\
i \xi x-\mu r \\
-i \mu x-\xi r
\end{array}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\sin \theta \\
i \cos \theta \cos \varphi-\sin \varphi \\
-i \cos \theta \sin \varphi-\cos \varphi
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then

$$
\frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\cos \theta  \tag{4.13}\\
-i \sin \theta \cos \varphi \\
i \sin \theta \sin \varphi
\end{array}\right), \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial \varphi}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
-i \cos \theta \sin \varphi-\cos \varphi \\
-i \cos \theta \cos \varphi+\sin \varphi
\end{array}\right)
$$

We use the curvature integral formula (B.10) that gives

$$
\mathcal{C}_{1}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{S^{2}} i \Omega
$$

with the curvature two form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega \underset{(B .9)}{=}\langle d v \wedge \mid d v\rangle & =\left(\left\langle\frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta} \left\lvert\, \frac{\partial v}{\partial \varphi}\right.\right\rangle-\left\langle\left.\frac{\partial v}{\partial \varphi} \right\rvert\, \frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta}\right\rangle\right) d \theta \wedge d \varphi \\
& =2 i \operatorname{Im}\left(\left\langle\frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta} \left\lvert\, \frac{\partial v}{\partial \varphi}\right.\right\rangle\right) d \theta \wedge d \varphi \\
& =-i \sin \theta d \theta \wedge d \varphi
\end{aligned}
$$

We get

$$
\mathcal{C}_{1}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{S^{2}} i \Omega=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\theta=0}^{\pi} \int_{\varphi=0}^{2 \pi}(\sin \theta) d \theta d \varphi=2
$$

### 4.4 Conclusion on the model (3.2)

Formulation given in [17, 19, 18] in a more general context: for $|\mu| \gg 1$, there are three groups of levels $j=1,2,3$ in the spectrum of $\hat{H}_{\mu}$. When changing $\mu=-\infty \rightarrow+\infty$ each group has a variation $\Delta \mathcal{N}_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}$ of the number of levels. We have the formula

$$
\Delta \mathcal{N}_{j}=-\mathcal{C}_{j}
$$

where $\mathcal{C}_{j}$ is the Chern index of the bundle $F_{j} \rightarrow S^{2}$.
Another possible formulation: In the model defined by (3.2), one observes from the symbol, a vector bundle $F_{1}$ (or $F_{1} \oplus F_{2}$ ) whose index of Chern is $\mathcal{C}=+2$ and we observe that there is $\mathcal{N}=+2$ levels that transits (upwards) in the spectrum of the operator. We see in Section 2, Theorem 2.7, that this equality

$$
\mathcal{N}=\mathcal{C}
$$

is a special case of a more general result, called the index formula, valid for a continuous family of symbols and for spaces and bundles of larger dimensions.

## A Quantization, pseudo-differential-operators, semi-classical analysis on $\mathbb{R}^{2 d}$

## A. 1 Quantization and pseudo-differential-operators (PDO)

References for this Section are [31][39][29].
We denote $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ the "position" and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ its dual variable, called "momentum". Let $\epsilon>0$ be a small parameter called semi-classical parameter.

Definition A.1. If $a(x, \xi) \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n} ; \mathbb{C}\right)$ is a function on phase space $T^{*} \mathbb{R}^{n}=$ $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ called symbol, we associate a pseudo-differential operator (PDO) denoted $\hat{a}=\operatorname{Op}_{\epsilon}(a)$ defined on a function $\psi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\hat{a} \psi)(x)=\left(\mathrm{Op}_{\epsilon}(a) \psi\right)(x)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi \epsilon)^{n}} \int a\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \xi\right) e^{i \xi \cdot(x-y) / \epsilon} \psi(y) d y d \xi \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The operation

$$
\mathrm{Op}_{\epsilon}: \quad a \rightarrow \hat{a}=\mathrm{Op}_{\epsilon}(a)
$$

that gives an operator $\hat{a}$ from a symbol $a$ is called Weyl quantization.
Remark A.2. For example,

- For a function $V(x)$ (function of $x$ only) we get that $\mathrm{Op}_{\epsilon}(V(x))=V(x)$, is the multiplication operator by $V$. For example $\hat{x}_{j}=\operatorname{Op}_{\epsilon}\left(x_{j}\right)$ is called the position operator.
- We have $\hat{\xi}_{j}=\mathrm{Op}_{\epsilon}\left(\xi_{j}\right)=-i \epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}}$ called the momentum operator and for a function $W: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we have $\mathrm{Op}_{\epsilon}(W(\xi))=W\left(\left(\operatorname{Op}_{\epsilon}\left(\xi_{j}\right)\right)_{j}\right)$, hence $\mathrm{Op}_{\epsilon}\left(|\xi|^{2}\right)=$ $\sum_{j}\left(\mathrm{Op}_{\epsilon}\left(\xi_{j}\right)\right)^{2}=-\epsilon^{2} \Delta$. The Schrödinger or Hamiltonian operator $\hat{H}$ in quantum mechanics is obtained from the Hamilton function $H(x, \xi)$ by Weyl quantization:

$$
H(x, \xi)=\frac{\left|\xi^{2}\right|}{2 m}+V(x) \quad \rightarrow \quad \hat{H}=\mathrm{Op}(H)=-\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{2 m} \Delta+V(x)
$$

- We have $\operatorname{Op}_{\epsilon}(\bar{a})=\left(\operatorname{Op}_{\epsilon}(a)\right)^{\dagger}$ (the $L^{2}$-adjoint).


## A. 2 Algebra of operators PDO

The following proposition shows that the product of two PDO is a PDO

Proposition A.3. - [39, sec.4.3]«Composition of PDO and star product of symbols»: For any $a, b \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)$ we have for $\epsilon \ll 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Op}_{\epsilon}(a) \circ \mathrm{Op}_{\epsilon}(b)=\mathrm{Op}_{\epsilon}(a \star b) \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $a \star b \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
a \star b & =\left(e^{i \epsilon \hat{A}}(a(x, \xi) b(y, \eta))\right)_{y=x, \eta=\xi} \\
& =a b+\epsilon \frac{1}{2 i}\{a, b\}+\epsilon^{2} \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\hat{A}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{x} \partial_{\eta}-\partial_{\xi} \partial_{y}\right)$.

- «Commutator of PDO and Poisson brackets of symbols»:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left(-\frac{i}{\epsilon}\right) \mathrm{Op}_{\epsilon}(a),\left(-\frac{i}{\epsilon}\right) \mathrm{Op}_{\epsilon}(b)\right]=\left(-\frac{i}{\epsilon}\right) \mathrm{Op}_{\epsilon}(\{a, b\})(1+O(\epsilon)) \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e.:

$$
[a, b]_{\star}:=a \star b-b \star a=i \epsilon\{a, b\}+O\left(\epsilon^{3}\right)
$$

- Trace of PDO:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{Op}_{\epsilon}(a)\right)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi \epsilon)^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 n}} a(x, \xi) d x d \xi \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Theorem of boundedness. see [31, Section 1.4].

Example A.4. In dimension $n=1$, we compute directly that $x\left(-i \epsilon \frac{d}{d x}\right) \psi-\left(-i \epsilon \frac{d}{d x}\right)(x \psi)=$ $i \epsilon \psi$ and $\{x, \xi\}=1$. This gives $[\hat{x}, \hat{\xi}]=i \epsilon \operatorname{Id}$ or

$$
\left[\left(-\frac{i}{\epsilon}\right) \mathrm{Op}_{\epsilon}(x),\left(-\frac{i}{\epsilon}\right) \mathrm{Op}_{\epsilon}(\xi)\right]=\left(-\frac{i}{\epsilon}\right) \mathrm{Op}_{\epsilon}(\{x, \xi\})
$$

in accordance with (A.3).

## A. 3 Classes of symbols

The relations of proposition A. 3 are a little bit formal. In order to make them useful, one has to control the remainders in terms of operator norm. For this we need to make some assumption on the symbols that express their "slow variation at the Plank scale $d x d \xi \sim \epsilon$ " (i.e. uncertainty principle). We call class of symbol the set of symbols that forms an algebra for the operator of composition $\star$. For example, the following classes of symbols have been introduced by Hörmander [26]. Let $M$ be a smooth compact manifold. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, we denote $\langle x\rangle:=\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$, called the Japanese bracket.

Definition A.5. Let $m \in \mathbb{R}$ called the order. Let $0 \leq \delta<\frac{1}{2}<\rho \leq 1$. The class of symbols $S_{\rho, \delta}^{m}$ contains smooth functions $a \in C^{\infty}\left(T^{*} M\right)$ such that on any charts of $U \subset M$ with coordinates $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots x_{n}\right)$ and associated dual coordinates $\xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots \xi_{n}\right)$ on $T_{x}^{*} U$, any multi-index $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$, there is a constant $C_{\alpha, \beta}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \partial_{x}^{\beta} a(x, \xi)\right| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta}\langle\xi\rangle^{m-\rho|\alpha|+\delta|\beta|} \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The case $\rho=1, \delta=0$ is very common. We denote $S^{m}:=S_{1,0}^{m}$.
For example on a chart, $p(x, \xi)=\langle\xi\rangle^{m}$ is a symbol $p \in S^{m}$.
If $m \leq m^{\prime}$ then $S^{m} \subset S^{m^{\prime}}$. We have $S^{-\infty}:=\bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{R}} S^{m}=\mathcal{S}\left(T^{*} M\right)$.
Remark A.6. The geometric meaning of Definition A. 5 may be not very clear a priori. Hörmander improved the geometrical meaning in [25, 26] by introducing an associated metric on phase space $T^{*} M$. See also [31], [16].

## B Vector bundles and topology

Some references for this appendix are Fedosov [21], page 11, Hatcher [24] p. 14.
We will give precise definitions in Section B.3. We begin in Section B. 1 and B. 2 by a description of vector bundles based on examples and sufficient to understand the case of dimension $n=1$ used in this paper.

A complex (or real) vector bundle $F \rightarrow B$ of rank $r$ is a collection of complex (or real) vector spaces $F_{x}$ of dimension $r$, called fiber, and continuously parametrized by points $x$ on a manifold $B$, called "base space". Locally over $U \subset B, F$ is isomorphic to a direct product $U \times \mathbb{C}^{r}$.

## B. 1 Topology of a real vector bundle of rank 1 on $S^{1}$

## B.1.1 Construction of a real vector bundle of rank 1 on $S^{1}$

The simplest example is the case where the base space is the circle $B=S^{1}$ and the rank is $r=1$, i.e. each fiber is isomorphic (as a vector space) to the real line $\mathbb{R}$.

One can easily imagine two examples of real fiber space of rank 1 on $S^{1}$ :

- The trivial bundle $S^{1} \times \mathbb{R}$ that we obtain from the trivial bundle $[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}$ on the segment $x \in[0,1]$ (i.e. direct product) and identifying the points $(0, t) \sim(1, t)$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
- The Moebius bundle, which is obtained from the bundle $[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}$ on the segment $x \in[0,1]$, identifying $(0, t) \sim(1,-t), t \in \mathbb{R}$.


The Moebius bundle is not isomorphic to the trivial bundle. One way to justify this is that in the case of the trivial bundle, the complement of the null section $(s(x)=0, \forall x)$ has two connected components, whereas for the bundle of Moebius, the complement has only one component. (Make a paper construction that is cut with scissors according to $s(x)=0$ to observe this).

(a) trivial bundle $S^{1} \times \mathrm{R}$
$\mathrm{SW}=0$

(b) Moebius bundle SW=1

Theorem B.1. Any real vector bundle $F \rightarrow S^{1}$ of rank 1 is isomorphic to the trivial bundle or to the Möebius's bundle. In other words, there are only two classes of equivalences:

$$
\operatorname{Vect}_{\mathbb{R}}^{1}\left(S^{1}\right)=\{0,1\}
$$

associated with the Stiefel-Whitney index $S W=0$ : trivial bundle, $S W=1$ : bundle of Moebius.

Proof. Starting from any bundle $F \rightarrow S^{1}$ of rank 1 , we cut the base space $S^{1}$ at a point, and we are left with the bundle $[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}$ over $x \in[0,1]$. To reconstruct the initial bundle $F$, there are two possibilities: for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, identify $(0, t) \sim(1, t)$, or $(0, t) \sim(1,-t)$, which gives the trivial or Moebius bundle respectively.

## Remark B.2.

- the Stiefel-Whitney index $S W=0,1$ gives the number of half turns that the fibers make above the base space $S^{1}$. The case $S W=2$ (one full turn) is isomorphic to the trivial bundle. We therefore agree that the index $S W \in \mathbb{Z} /(2 \mathbb{Z})$, i.e. $S W$ is an integer modulo 2 . It is interesting to have the additive structure on the SW indices $(1+1=0$ for example).
$\bullet$ Note that in the space $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, a ribbon making a turn, i.e. $S W=2$, can not be deformed continuously towards the trivial bundle. ${ }^{10}$. This restriction is due to the embedding in the space $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ (in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$, this would be possible), and is not an intrinsic property of the bundle that is nevertheless trivial.


## B.1.2 Topology of a real vector bundle of rank 1 over $S^{1}$ from the zeros of a section

Definition B.3. If $F \rightarrow B$ is a vector space, a global section of the bundle is an application (continuous or $C^{\infty}$ ) $s: B \rightarrow F$ such that each base point $x \in B$ is maped to a point in the fiber $s(x) \in F_{x}$. We note

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{\infty}(B, F) \tag{B.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

the space of the smooth sections of the bundle $F$.


[^9]We call zeros of the section $s$ the points $x \in B$ such that $s(x)=0$. Let us first consider the very simple and instructive case of a real bundle of rank 1 on $S^{1}$. A section is locally like a real value numerical function, so generically, it vanishes transversely at isolated points. Note that "generic" means "except for exceptional case". The following figure shows that we have the following result:

Theorem B.4. If $F \rightarrow S^{1}$ is a real bundle of rank 1 on $S^{1}$, and $s$ is a "generic" section, then the topological index $S W(F)$ is given by

$$
S W(F)=\sum_{x t . q \cdot s(x)=0} \sigma_{s}(x)
$$

where $\sigma_{s}(x)=1$ for a generic zero of section $s$. The sum is obtained modulo 2, and so $S W(F) \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}=\{0,1\}$. The result is independent of the chosen section $s$.


## B. 2 Topology of a complex rank 1 vector bundle over $S^{2}$

We proceed similarly to the previous Section B.1.

## B.2.1 Construction of a complex vector bundle of rank 1 on $S^{2}$

Let's first see how to build a complex fiber space of rank 1 over $S^{2}$. We cut the sphere $S^{2}$ along the equator $S^{1}$, obtaining two hemispheres $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$. We get two trivial bundles $F_{1}=H_{1} \times \mathbb{C}$ and $F_{2}=H_{2} \times \mathbb{C}$ on each hemisphere. To construct a bundle on $S^{2}$, it is enough to decide how to "connect" or "identify" the fibers of $F_{1}$ above the equator with those of $F_{2}$. Note $\theta \in S^{1}$ the angle ${ }^{11}$ (longitude) that characterizes a point on the equator. Note $\varphi(\theta) \in S^{1}$ the angle which means that the fiber $F_{2}(\theta)$ is identified to the fiber $F_{1}(\theta)$ after a rotation of angle $\varphi(\theta)$ : a $v \in F_{1}(\theta) \equiv \mathbb{C}$ is identified with the $e^{i \varphi(\theta)} v \in F_{2}(\theta)$. After gluing that way the two hemispheres and the fibers above the equator, we obtain a complex vector bundle $F \rightarrow S^{2}$ of rank 1. Thus the bundle $F$ that we have just built is

[^10]defined by its clutching function on the equator
$$
\varphi: \theta \in S^{1} \rightarrow \varphi(\theta) \in S^{1}
$$

It is a continuous and periodic function so: $\varphi(2 \pi) \equiv \varphi(0)[2 \pi]$, or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(2 \pi)=\varphi(0)+2 \pi \mathcal{C}, \quad \mathcal{C} \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{B.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the integer $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbb{Z}$ that represents the number of revolutions that $\varphi$ makes when $\theta$ goes around. We call $\mathcal{C}$ the degree of the application $\varphi: S^{1} \rightarrow S^{1}$. It is clear that two functions $\varphi, \varphi^{\prime}$ are homotopic if and only if they have the same degree $\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$, and therefore the bundles $F$ and $F^{\prime}$ are isomorphic if and only if $\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$.

ou


Theorem B.5. Any complex fiber bundle $F \rightarrow S^{2}$ of rank 1 is isomorphic to a bundle constructed as above with a clutching function $\varphi$ on the equator. Its topology is characterized by an integer $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbb{Z}$ called (1st) Chern index given by $\mathcal{C}=\operatorname{deg}(\varphi)$. In other words the equivalence class of rank 1 complex vector bundle on $S^{2}$ is

$$
\operatorname{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}^{1}\left(S^{2}\right)=\mathbb{Z}
$$

Proof. We must show that every bundle $F$ is isomorphic to a bundle constructed as above. Starting from a given bundle $F$, we cut the base space $S^{2}$ along the equator denoted $S^{1}$ to obtain two bundles $F_{1} \rightarrow H_{1}$ and $F_{2} \rightarrow H_{2}$. Each of these bundles is trivial because[24, corrollaire 1.8 p .21$]$ the base spaces are disks (contractile spaces). The bundle $F$ is thus defined by its clutching function above the equator $S^{1}, \varphi: S^{1} \rightarrow S^{1}$.

Consider the example of the tangent bundle $T S^{2}$ of the sphere. $T S^{2}$ can be identified with a complex bundle of rank 1 because $S^{2}$ is orientable.

Theorem B.6. The tangent bundle TS ${ }^{2}$ has Chern index

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}\left(T S^{2}\right)=+2 \tag{B.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and is therefore non trivial.

Proof. We will calculate the degree $C$ of its recollection function defined by Eq. (B.15). We proceed as in the proof above. We trivialize the bundle above $H_{1}$, and $H_{2}$, and we deduce the degree $C$ of the gluing function. See figure that represents the two hemispheres seen from above and below with a vector field on each. We find $\mathcal{C}=+2$.


$$
\varphi(2 \pi)=4 \pi=2 \times 2 \pi \text { hence } \mathcal{C}=+2
$$

Remark B.7. The trivial bundle $S^{2} \times \mathbb{C}$ has the Chern index $\mathcal{C}=0$.

## B.2.2 Topology of the rank 1 vector bundle on $S^{2}$ from the zeros of a section

There is a result analogous to Thm. B. 4 for a complex bundle $F \rightarrow S^{2}$ of rank 1 on $S^{2}$. Before establishing it, let us notice that a section $s$ of such a bundle is locally like a function with two variables and with values in $\mathbb{C}$, so generically, it vanishes transversely at isolated points. If $\theta \in S^{1}$ parameterizes a small circle of points $x_{\theta}$ around a zero $x \in S^{2}$ of $s$, then by hypothesis, the value of the section $s\left(x_{\theta}\right) \in F_{x_{\theta}} \equiv \mathbb{C}$ is non-zero for all $x_{\theta}$, and we write $\varphi \in S^{1}$ his argument. For each zero $x$ of the section $s$ is therefore associated an application
$\varphi: \theta \rightarrow \varphi(\theta)$ whose degree, also called index of the zero (defined by Eq. (B.15)), will be noted $\sigma_{s}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}$. Generically, $\sigma_{s}(x)= \pm 1$. (Note that the sign of $\sigma_{s}(x)$ depends on the chosen orientation of the base space and the fiber. In the case of the tangent bundle on $S^{2}$, these two orientations are not independent, and the result $\sigma_{s}(x)$ becomes independent of the choice of orientation).


Theorem B.8. If $F \rightarrow S^{2}$ is a complex bundle of rank 1 on $S^{2}$, and s is a "generic" section, then the topological index of Chern $\mathcal{C}(F)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}(F)=\sum_{x \text { t.q. } s(x)=0} \sigma_{s}(x) \quad \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{B.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{s}(x)= \pm 1$ characterizes the degree of zero. The result is independent of the chosen section $s$.

Proof. In the proof of the theorem B.5, we have constructed sections $v_{1}, v_{2}$ for the respectively bundles $F \rightarrow H_{1}, F \rightarrow H_{2}$, that never vanish. If we modify these sections $v_{1}, v_{2}$ to make them coincide on the equator for the purpose of constructing a global section $s$ of the bundle $F \rightarrow S^{2}$, we can get do this except in points isolated, which will be the zeros of $s$, and one realizes that the sum of the indices will be equal to the degree of the clutching function $\varphi$ therefore equal to $C(F)$.

Example of the bundle $T S^{2}$ The following figure shows a vector field on the $S^{2}$ sphere. It is a global section of the tangent bundle. This vector field has two zeros with indices +1 each. Thus we find $\mathcal{C}\left(T S^{2}\right)=+2$, i.e. Eq. (B.3).


Tangent bundle $T S^{2}$

Remark B.9. If we want to give an explicit computation we need an explicit global section (or vector field on $T S^{2}$ ). We can take the fixed vector in $\mathbb{R}^{3}: V=(0,0,1)$ oriented along the $z$ axis. Then for a given point $x \in S^{2}$ we choose:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(x)=P_{x} V \in T_{x} S^{2} \tag{B.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{x}: \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow T_{x} S^{2}$ is the orthogonal projector given by $P_{x}=\operatorname{Id}-|x\rangle\langle x \mid$.$\rangle . We get$

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(x)=V-x\langle x \mid V\rangle=\left(-x_{3} x_{1},-x_{3} x_{2}, 1-x_{3}^{2}\right) \tag{B.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The vector field $s(x)$ vanishes at the north and south pole. At distance $\epsilon$ of north pole $(0,0,1)$, we use local oriented coordinates $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \equiv \epsilon e^{i \theta}$ and get $s(x)=\left(-x_{1},-x_{2}, 0\right)+$ $O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)=-e^{i \theta}+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)$. The map $e^{i \theta} \in S^{1} \rightarrow-e^{i \theta} \in S^{1}$ has degree 1 hence the zero has index $\sigma=+1$. At distance $\epsilon$ of south pole $(0,0,-1)$, we use local oriented coordinates $\left(x_{2}, x_{1}\right) \equiv \epsilon e^{i \theta}$ and get $s(x)=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, 0\right)+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)=e^{i \theta}+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)$. The map $e^{i \theta} \in S^{1} \rightarrow e^{i \theta} \in$ $S^{1}$ has degree 1 hence the zero has again index $\sigma=+1$. Formula (B.4) gives

$$
\mathcal{C}\left(T S^{2}\right)=+1+1=+2 .
$$

## B.2.3 Topology of the rank 1 vector bundle on $S^{2}$ from a curvature integral in differential geometry

Let $F \rightarrow S^{2}$ be a complex vector bundle of rank 1 over $S^{2}$. Let us assume ${ }^{12}$ that there exists a fixed vector space $\mathbb{C}^{d}$ such that for every $x \in S^{2}$, the fiber $F_{x} \subset \mathbb{C}^{d}$ is a linear subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{d}$ for some $d \geq 1$. For every point $x \in S^{2}$, let us denote $P_{x}: \mathbb{C}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{d}$ the orthogonal projector onto $F_{x}$. Then if $s \in C^{\infty}\left(S^{2} ; F\right)$ is a smooth section we can consider

[^11]$s \in C^{\infty}\left(S^{2} ; \mathbb{C}^{d}\right)$ as a $d$ multi-components function on $S^{2}$. If $V \in T_{x} S^{2}$ is a tangent vector at point $x \in S^{2}$, the derivative $V(s) \in \mathbb{C}^{d}$ can be projected onto $F_{x}$. We get
$$
\left(D_{V} s\right)(x):=P_{x} V(s) \in F_{x}
$$
called the covariant derivative of $s$ along $V$ at point $x$. It measures the variations of $s$ within the fibers $F$. Since $V(s)=d s(V)$ where $d s$ means the differential ${ }^{13}$, we usually write
$$
D s:=P d s
$$
for the covariant derivative or Levi-Civita connection (in differential geometry, $P d s \in$ $C^{\infty}\left(S^{2} ; \Lambda^{1} \otimes F\right)$ is a one form valued in $\left.F\right)$.

Suppose that $U \subset S^{2}$ and for every point $x \in U$ one has $v(x) \in F_{x}$ a unitary vector that depends smoothly on $x \in U$. This is called a local unitary trivialization of $F \rightarrow U$ (as in the proof of Theorem B.6). Since the fiber $F_{x}$ is dimension 1, the vector $v(x)$ is a unitary basis of $F_{x}$ and if $V \in T_{x} S^{2}$, the covariant derivative $\left(D_{V} v\right)(x)=P_{x} V(v) \in F_{x}$ can expressed in this basis with one complex component:

$$
\left(D_{V} v\right)(x)=\underbrace{(A(x))(V)}_{\in \mathbb{R}} v(x)
$$

where $A(x)=i \mathcal{A}(x)$ is $i \mathbb{R}$ valued ${ }^{14}$ linear form on $T_{x} S^{2}$ (a cotangent vector) called ${ }^{15}$ connection one form. In short,

$$
\begin{equation*}
D v=A v . \tag{B.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

${ }^{13}$ In local coordinates $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ on $S^{2}$, if $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ is a function, then its differential is written

$$
d f=\sum_{k}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{k}}\right) d x_{k}
$$

and a tangent vector is written $V=\sum_{k} V_{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}$. Then since $d f(V)=V(f)$ gives in particular for the function $x_{k}$ that $d x_{k}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l}}\right)=\frac{\partial x_{k}}{\partial x_{l}}=\delta_{k=l}$, we get that $d f(V)=\sum_{k}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{k}}\right) V_{k}$.
${ }^{14} A$ is imaginary valued from the fact that $\langle v \mid v\rangle=1$ hence

$$
0=d\langle v \mid v\rangle=\langle D v \mid v\rangle+\langle v \mid D v\rangle=2 \operatorname{Re}(\langle v \mid A v\rangle)=2 \operatorname{Re}(A)
$$

[^12]Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega:=d A \tag{B.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the two form ${ }^{16}$ called the curvature of the connection.

Lemma B.10. Let $F \rightarrow S^{2}$ be a rank 1 complex vector bundle with $F_{x} \subset \mathbb{C}^{d}$. Let $v(x) \in F_{x}$ a given local unitary trivialization and $D v=A v$ with $A$ the connection one form and $\Omega$ the curvature two form. Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
A=\langle v \mid d v\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{d}}=\sum_{k}\left\langle v \left\lvert\, \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{k}}\right.\right\rangle d x_{k} \\
\Omega=\langle d v \mid \wedge d v\rangle=\sum_{k, l}\left\langle\left.\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{k}} \right\rvert\, \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{l}}\right\rangle d x_{k} \wedge d x_{l} . \tag{B.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

and $\Omega$ does not depend on the trivialization, hence is globally defined on $S^{2}$. Finally the topological Chern index $\mathcal{C}$ defined in (B.2) is given by the curvature integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \iint_{S^{2}} i \Omega \tag{B.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The orthogonal projector is given by

$$
P_{x}=\left|v_{x}\right\rangle\left\langle v_{x} \mid .\right\rangle
$$

hence the covariant derivative is given by $D s=P d s=P_{x}=\left|v_{x}\right\rangle\left\langle v_{x} \mid d s\right\rangle$ and since by definition $D v=A v$ we get $A=\langle v \mid d v\rangle$ and

$$
\Omega=d A=d\left(\sum_{k}\left\langle v \left\lvert\, \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{k}}\right.\right\rangle d x_{k}\right)=\sum_{k, l}\left\langle\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{l}} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{k}}\right\rangle d x_{l} \wedge d x_{k}+\underbrace{\sum_{k, l}\left\langle v \left\lvert\, \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x_{l} \partial x_{k}}\right.\right\rangle d x_{l} \wedge d x_{k}}_{=0} .
$$

The second term vanishes since $\left(\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x_{l} \partial x_{k}}\right)_{k, l}$ is a symmetric array and $\left(d x_{l} \wedge d x_{k}\right)_{k, l}$ is antisymmetric. If we replace $v$ by another trivialization $v^{\prime}(x)=e^{i \alpha(x)} v(x)$ (this is called a Gauge transformation) then

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{\prime} & =\left\langle v^{\prime} \mid d v^{\prime}\right\rangle=e^{-i \alpha} \sum_{k}\left\langle v \left\lvert\, \frac{\partial e^{i \alpha} v}{\partial x_{k}}\right.\right\rangle d x_{k}=\sum_{k}\left(i \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x_{k}}\right) d x_{k}+\left\langle v \left\lvert\, \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{k}}\right.\right\rangle d x_{k} \\
& =i d \alpha+A
\end{aligned}
$$

[^13]is changed but
$$
\Omega^{\prime}=d A^{\prime}=i d d \alpha+d A=\Omega
$$
is unchanged because $d d \alpha=\sum_{k, l}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \alpha}{\partial x_{l} \partial x_{k}}\right) d x_{l} \wedge d x_{k}=0$.
As in Section B.2.1, let $H_{1}, H_{2}$ be the north and south hemispheres of $S^{2}$ and suppose that for every point $x \in H_{1}, v_{1}(x) \in F_{x}$ is a unitary vector that depends smoothly on $x$, i.e. $v_{1}$ is a trivialization of $F \rightarrow H_{1}$. Suppose that $v_{2}$ is a trivialization of $F \rightarrow H_{2}$ (as in the proof of Theorem B.6). Let $x \equiv(\theta, \varphi)$ denotes the spherical coordinates on $S^{2}$. For a given $0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ on Hemisphere $H_{1}$, let $\gamma_{\theta}: \varphi \in[0,2 \pi] \rightarrow \gamma_{\theta}(\varphi) \in S^{2}$ be the closed path. Let $\psi_{\theta}^{(1)}(0) \in F_{\theta, 0}$ and
$$
\psi_{\theta}^{(1)}(\varphi)=e^{i \alpha_{\theta}^{(1)}(\varphi)} v_{1}(\theta, \varphi) \in F_{\theta, \varphi}
$$
obtained for $0 \leq \varphi \leq 2 \pi$ by parallel transport, i.e. under the condition of zero covariant derivative
$$
\frac{D \psi_{\theta}^{(1)}}{d \varphi}=0 \Leftrightarrow \frac{D\left(e^{i \alpha_{\theta}^{(1)}} v_{1}\right)}{d \varphi}=0 \underset{(B .7)}{\Leftrightarrow} i \frac{d \alpha_{\theta}^{(1)}}{d \varphi} v_{1}+A v_{1}=0
$$
giving that
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{\theta}^{(1)}(2 \pi)-\alpha_{\theta}^{(1)}(0)=\int_{\gamma_{\theta}} i A \underset{(B, 8, \text { Stokes })}{\overline{\overline{ }}} \iint_{H_{\theta}} i \Omega \tag{B.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $H_{\theta}=\left\{\left(\theta^{\prime}, \varphi^{\prime}\right), \theta^{\prime} \geq \theta, \varphi^{\prime} \in[0,2 \pi]\right\} \subset S^{2}$ is a surface with boundary $\gamma_{\theta}$. The angle $\alpha_{\theta}^{(1)}(2 \pi)$ is called the holonomy of the connection on the closed path $\gamma_{\theta}$ and also called Berry's phase after the paper of M. Berry [3] that shows its natural manifestation in quantum mechanics, see also [15]. We can do the same on the south hemisphere $H_{2}$ with $v_{2}$ and angles $\alpha_{\theta}^{(2)}$, giving at $\theta=0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{0}^{(2)}(2 \pi)-\alpha_{0}^{(2)}(0)=-\iint_{H_{2}} i \Omega \tag{B.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

with opposite signe because the orientation of $\gamma_{0}$ is reversed. In particular, on the equator $\theta=0$ that belongs to both Hemisphere, we have for every $\varphi$ that

$$
v_{2}(0, \varphi)=e^{i \beta(\varphi)} v_{1}(0, \varphi)
$$

and by definition of Chern index $\mathcal{C}$,

$$
\beta(2 \pi) \underset{(B .2)}{=} \beta(0)+2 \pi \mathcal{C}
$$

Also

$$
\psi_{0}^{(1)}(\varphi)=e^{i \alpha_{0}^{(1)}(\varphi)} v_{1}(0, \varphi), \quad \psi_{0}^{(2)}(\varphi)=e^{i \alpha_{0}^{(2)}(\varphi)} v_{2}(0, \varphi)
$$

and since the parallel transport preserves the angles, $\psi_{0}^{(2)}(\varphi)=e^{i c} \psi_{0}^{(1)}(\varphi)$ with a constant $c$ (independent on $\varphi$ ). Finally we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{2}(0, \varphi) & =e^{i \beta(\varphi)} v_{1}(0, \varphi)=e^{i\left(\beta(\varphi)-\alpha_{0}^{(1)}(\varphi)\right)} \psi_{0}^{(1)}(\varphi)=e^{i\left(\beta(\varphi)-\alpha_{0}^{(1)}(\varphi)-c\right)} \psi_{0}^{(2)}(\varphi) \\
& =e^{i\left(\beta(\varphi)-\alpha_{0}^{(1)}(\varphi)-c+\alpha_{0}^{(2)}(\varphi)\right)} v_{2}(0, \varphi)
\end{aligned}
$$

hence

$$
\beta(\varphi)=\alpha_{0}^{(1)}(\varphi)+c-\alpha_{0}^{(2)}(\varphi)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C} & =\frac{1}{2 \pi}(\beta(2 \pi)-\beta(0))=\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left(\left(\alpha_{0}^{(1)}(2 \pi)-\alpha_{0}^{(1)}(0)\right)-\left(\alpha_{0}^{(2)}(2 \pi)-\alpha_{0}^{(2)}(0)\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{(B .11, B .12)}\left(\iint_{H_{1}} i \Omega+\iint_{H_{2}} i \Omega\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \iint_{S^{2}} i \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark B.11. Formula (B.10) is a special case of a more general Chern-Weil formula formula (B.19) given below for a general vector bundle $F \rightarrow S^{2 n}$ of rank $r$.

Example B.12. For the special case of the tangent bundle $T S^{2}$, with fiber $T_{x} S^{2} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$, if $i \Omega$ is the ( 2 form) Gauss curvature of the sphere (that is, the curvature of the tangent bundle $T S^{2}$, which is the solid angle), the Gauss-Bonnet formula gives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{S^{2}} i \Omega=\frac{4 \pi}{2 \pi}=2 \tag{B.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

as in (B.3).

## B. 3 General vector bundles over sphere $S^{k}$

## B.3.1 Definitions

Definition B.13. We say that $(F, \pi, B)$ is a complex vector bundle of rank $r$ if $F, B$ are manifolds, $\pi: F \rightarrow B$ a map such that there exists a covering $\left(U_{i}\right)_{i}$ of $B$ and diffeomorphisms $\varphi_{i}: \pi^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right) \rightarrow U_{i} \times \mathbb{C}^{r}$ such that

1. $\pi: \pi^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right) \rightarrow U_{i}$ is the composition of $\varphi_{i}$ with projection onto $U_{i}$
2. if $U_{i} \cap U_{j} \neq \emptyset$ then $\varphi_{i} \varphi_{j}^{-1}:\left(U_{i} \cap U_{j}\right) \times \mathbb{C}^{r} \rightarrow\left(U_{i} \cap U_{j}\right) \times \mathbb{C}^{r}$ is given by $(x, u) \rightarrow\left(x, f_{i j}(x) u\right)$ with $f_{i j}(x) \in G L(r, \mathbb{C})$.

We say that $\varphi_{i}$ are trivialization functions, and $f_{i j}$ are transition functions.
Proposition B.14. The transition functions satisfy the cocycle conditions:

$$
f_{j i}=f_{i j}^{-1}, \forall x \in U_{i} \cap U_{j} \quad f_{i j} f_{j k} f_{k i}=1, \forall x \in U_{i} \cap U_{j} \cap U_{k}
$$

Conversely functions $f_{i j}$ with cocycle conditions, define a unique vector bundle.

Proof. $f_{j i}^{-1}=\left(\varphi_{j} \varphi_{i}^{-1}\right)^{-1}=\varphi_{i} \varphi_{j}^{-1}=f_{i j}$. And $f_{i j} f_{j k} f_{k i}=\left(\varphi_{i} \varphi_{j}^{-1}\right)\left(\varphi_{j} \varphi_{k}^{-1}\right)\left(\varphi_{k} \varphi_{i}^{-1}\right)=1$.
Definition B.15. Two vector bundles $(F, \pi, B)$ and $\left(F^{\prime}, \pi^{\prime}, B\right)$ (with same base $B$ ) are isomorphic if there exists $h: F \rightarrow F^{\prime}$ which preserves the fibers and such that $h: F_{x} \rightarrow$ $F_{x}^{\prime}$ is an isomorphism of linear spaces.

We write $\operatorname{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}^{r}(B)$ for the isomorphism class of complex vector bundles of rank $r$ over $B$.

Proposition B.16. Two vector bundles $F$ and $F^{\prime}$ are isomorphic if and only if there exists functions $h_{i}: U_{i} \rightarrow G L(n, \mathbb{C})$ such that

$$
f_{i j}^{\prime}=h_{i} f_{i j} h_{j}^{-1}
$$

where $f_{i j}, f_{i j}^{\prime}$ are the transition functions.

Proof. If $h$ is an isomorphism, define $h_{i}=\varphi_{i}^{\prime} h \varphi_{j}^{-1}$. Conversely, define $h=\left(\varphi_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{-1} h_{i} \varphi_{i}$ on $U_{i}$ which does not depend on $i$.

## B.3.2 Complex Vector bundles over spheres $S^{k}$

Reference: Hatcher [24] p.22. We treat the case where the base space is a sphere

$$
B=S^{k}:=\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots x_{k+1}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}, \quad \sum_{j} x_{j}^{2}=1\right\}
$$

The sphere $S^{k}=D_{1}^{k} \bigcup D_{2}^{k}$ can be decomposed in two disks (or hemispheres), the north hemisphere $D_{1}^{k}$ where $x_{k+1} \geq 0$ and the south hemisphere $D_{2}^{k}$ where $x_{k+1} \leq 0$. The common set is the equator $S^{k-1}=D_{1}^{k} \cap D_{2}^{k}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}, x_{k+1}=0\right\}$ which is also a sphere $S^{k-1}$. So a vector bundle is described by the transition function at the equator: $f_{21}: S^{k-1} \rightarrow G L(r, \mathbb{C})$, which is called the clutching function. Let us denote $\left[f_{21}\right]$ the homotopy class of the map $f_{21}$. The set of homotopy classes is $\left[S^{k-1}, G L(r, \mathbb{C})\right] \equiv\left[S^{k-1}, U(r)\right]=: \pi_{k-1}(U(r))$ is called homotopy group of $U(r)$.

Proposition B.17. Two vector bundles $F \rightarrow S^{k}, F^{\prime} \rightarrow S^{k}$ are isomorphic if and only if their clutching functions are homotopic $\left[f_{21}\right]=\left[f_{21}^{\prime}\right]$. In other words the group of equivalence classes of vector bundles coincide with the homotopy groups:

$$
\operatorname{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}^{r}\left(S^{k}\right) \equiv \pi_{k-1}(U(r))
$$

| $\pi_{n}\left(S^{m}\right)$ | $\pi_{1}$ | $\pi_{2}$ | $\pi_{3}$ | $\pi_{4}$ | $\pi_{5}$ | $\pi_{6}$ | $\pi_{7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $S^{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $S^{2}$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ |
| $S^{3}$ | 0 | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$ |
| $S^{4}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{12}$ |
| $S^{5}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ |
| $S^{6}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ |
| $S^{7}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}$ |

Table 1: Homotopy groups of the spheres $\pi_{m}\left(S^{n}\right)$

Homotopy groups of spheres The groups $\operatorname{Vect}^{r}\left(S^{k}\right)=\pi_{k-1}(U(r))$ can be obtained from homotopy groups of the spheres $\pi_{m}\left(S^{n}\right)$ from the fact that

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(r) / U(r-1) \equiv S^{2 r-1} \tag{B.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is obtained by observing that the unit sphere in $\mathbb{C}^{r}$ is $S^{2 r-1}$ and thus, for $f \in U(r)$ and $e_{r}=(0, \ldots, 0,1) \in \mathbb{C}^{r}$ we have $f\left(e_{r}\right) \in S^{2 r-1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{r}$ that characterizes $f$ up to $U(r-1)$, i.e. its action on $\mathbb{C}^{r-1}$. See table 1. See Hatcher's book.

We have

$$
\pi_{n}\left(S^{n}\right)=\mathbb{Z}
$$

which is the degree and is computed as follows.
Definition B.18. The degree of a map $f: S^{m} \rightarrow S^{m}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg}(f):=\sum_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} \operatorname{sign}\left(\operatorname{det}\left(D_{x} f\right)\right) \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{B.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is independent of the choice of the generic point $y \in S^{m}$. In the case $f: S^{1} \rightarrow$ $S^{1}$, the degree $\operatorname{deg}(f)$ is also called «winding number of $f »$.

For $m<n$ we have

$$
\pi_{m}\left(S^{n}\right)=0,
$$

because the image of $f: S^{m} \rightarrow S^{n}$ is not onto and therefore gives $f: \mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow S^{n}$ which can be retracted to a point because $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ is contractible. For $m>n$, the homotopy groups of the spheres $\pi_{n}\left(S^{m}\right)$ are quite complicated and are not all known.

Homotopy groups of $U(r)$ From the fibration (B.14) and table 1 we deduce table 2. See [24], [23].

| $\pi_{k}(U(r))$ | $\pi_{1}$ | $\pi_{2}$ | $\pi_{3}$ | $\pi_{4}$ | $\pi_{5}$ | $\pi_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $U(1)$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $U(2)$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$ |
| $U(3)$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$ |
| $U(4)$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 0 |
| $U(5)$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 0 |
|  | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $\tilde{K}\left(S^{k}\right)$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 0 |


| Vect $^{r}$ | $\left.S^{k}\right)$ | $S^{2}$ | $S^{3}$ | $S^{4}$ | $S^{5}$ | $S^{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vect $^{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vect $^{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 0 | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vect $^{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$ |
| Vect $^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 0 |
| Vect $^{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 0 |
|  | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $\tilde{K}\left(S^{k}\right)$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 0 |

Table 2: Equivalence groups of complex vector bundles of rank $r$ over sphere $S^{k}$. $\operatorname{Vect}^{r}\left(S^{k}\right)=\pi_{k-1}(U(r))$.

## Observations on table 2

- $\operatorname{Vect}^{2}\left(S^{5}\right) \equiv \mathbb{Z}_{2}=\{0,1\}$ : means that there is only one class of non trivial bundles of rank 2 over $S^{5}$.
- $\operatorname{Vect}^{\mathrm{r}}\left(S^{3}\right) \equiv 0, \forall r \geq 1$, means that complex vector bundles over $S^{3}$ are all trivial.
- $\operatorname{Vect}^{1}\left(S^{k \geq 2}\right) \equiv 0$ means that all vector bundles of rank 1 over $S^{k \geq 2}$ are all trivial.

A remarkable observation is the following theorem: (K-theory ${ }^{17}$ )
Theorem B.19. «Bott periodicity Theorem 1959». If $2 r \geq k$ then $\operatorname{Vect}^{\mathrm{r}}\left(S^{k}\right)$ is independent on $r$. We denote $\tilde{K}\left(S^{k}\right):=\operatorname{Vect}^{r}\left(S^{k}\right)$ called group of $K$-theory. Moreover there is the periodicity property:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{K}\left(S^{k+2}\right) & =\tilde{K}\left(S^{k}\right)=\mathbb{Z} \text { if } k \text { is even } \\
& =0 \text { if } k \text { is odd }
\end{aligned}
$$

For the proof, see [24].

## B.3.3 Topological Chern index $\mathcal{C}$ of a complex vector bundle $F \rightarrow S^{2 n}$ of rank

 $r \geq n$From the table 2, if $F \rightarrow S^{2 n}$ is a complex vector bundle of rank $r$, with $r \geq n$, then its isomorphism class is characterized by an integer $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbb{Z}$ called topological Chern index.

Here is an explicit expression for $\mathcal{C}$. The equivalence class of the bundle $F$ is characterized by the homotopy class of the clutching function at the equator $g=f_{21}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g: S^{2 n-1} \rightarrow U(r) \tag{B.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^14]which is the transition function from north hemisphere to south hemisphere.


If $r>n$, we can continuously deform $g$ so that $\forall x \in S^{2 n-1}, g_{x}\left(e_{r}\right)=e_{r}$, where $\left(e_{1}, \ldots e_{r}\right)$ is the canonical basis of $\mathbb{C}^{r}$. Cf [5, Section III.1.B, p.271]. Then $g$ restricted to $\mathbb{C}^{r-1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{r}$ gives a function $g: S^{2 n-1} \rightarrow U(r-1)$. By iteration we get the case $r=n$ with a clutching function $g: S^{2 n-1} \rightarrow U(n)$. Then using $g$ we define the function

$$
f: \begin{cases}S^{2 n-1} & \rightarrow S^{2 n-1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}  \tag{B.17}\\ x & \rightarrow g_{x}\left(e_{1}\right)\end{cases}
$$

The degree deg $(f)$ has been defined in Definition B.18.
Theorem B.20. (Bott 1958)[5, Section III.1.B, p.271]. Let $F \rightarrow S^{2 n}$ be a complex vector bundle of rank $r \geq n$. The topological index

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}:=\frac{\operatorname{deg}(f)}{(n-1)!} . \tag{B.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an integer $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbb{Z}$ (not only a rational number!) and characterizes the topology of $F$. Namely, if $F \rightarrow S^{2 n}$ and $F^{\prime} \rightarrow S^{2 n}$ are fiber bundles of same rank $r \geq n$ with the same index $\mathcal{C}$ then $F$ and $F^{\prime}$ are isomorphic.

Remark B.21. If the vector bundle $F \rightarrow S^{2 n}$ has a (arbitrary) connection, the Chern-Weil theory permits to express the topological index $\mathcal{C}$ from the curvature $\Omega$ of the connection, considered as a imaginary valued 2-form on $S^{2 n}$ as follows. We first define $\mathrm{Ch}(F)$ called
the Chern Character which is a differential form on $S^{2 n}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Ch}(F) & :=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\exp \left(\frac{i \Omega}{2 \pi}\right)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}\left(1+\frac{i \Omega}{2 \pi}+\frac{1}{2!}\left(\frac{i \Omega}{2 \pi}\right) \wedge\left(\frac{i \Omega}{2 \pi}\right)+\ldots\right) \\
& =\mathrm{Ch}_{0}(F)+\mathrm{Ch}_{2}(F)+\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

We denote $\mathrm{Ch}_{2 n}(F)$ its component of exterior degree $2 n$ which is a volume form on $S^{2 n}$ :

$$
\mathrm{Ch}_{2 n}(F)=\frac{1}{n!}\left(\frac{i \Omega}{2 \pi}\right)^{\wedge n}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}=\int_{S^{2 n}} \mathrm{Ch}_{2 n}(F) \tag{B.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Formula (B.19) is a generalization of Gauss-Bonnet formula (B.13). For example, for a rank 1 complex vector bundle $F \rightarrow S^{2}$, i.e. $n=1$, we have $\mathrm{Ch}_{2}(F)=\frac{i \Omega}{2 \pi}$ and (B.19) gives (B.10).

## B.3.4 A normal form bundle $F_{n} \rightarrow S^{2 n-1}$ in each K-isomorphism class

We have seen in Theorem B. 19 that for $r \geq n$ then the isomorphism class of complex vector bundles of rank $r$ over $S^{2 n-1}$ is $\operatorname{Vect}^{r}\left(S^{k}\right) \equiv \mathbb{Z}$. In this section we provide and explicit model for the generator in this class, i.e. giving the topological index $\mathcal{C}=$ $+1 \in \operatorname{Vect}^{r}\left(S^{k}\right) \equiv \mathbb{Z}$.

These models can be considered as canonical forms (or normal forms). We will consider $S^{2 n-1}:=\left\{\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots z_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}\right.$ s.t. $\left.\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|z_{j}\right|=1\right\}$ as the unit sphere.

Definition B.22. [37, section 1.2]"Normal form bundles". For $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, we define a normal (canonical) vector bundle $F_{n} \rightarrow S^{2 n}$ of rank $r=2^{n-1}$ from the normal (canonical) form clutching function

$$
g_{n}: S^{2 n-1} \rightarrow U\left(2^{n-1}\right)
$$

by

$$
g_{1}: \begin{cases}S^{1} \subset \mathbb{C} & \rightarrow U(1) \subset \mathbb{C}  \tag{B.20}\\ z & \rightarrow z\end{cases}
$$

and iteration

$$
g_{n+1}(z_{1}, \underbrace{z_{2}, \ldots z_{n+1}}_{z})=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
z_{1} \operatorname{Id}_{2^{n-1}} & -\left(g_{n}(z)\right)^{\dagger}  \tag{B.21}\\
g_{n}(z) & \overline{z_{1}} \operatorname{Id}_{2^{n-1}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\operatorname{Id}_{2^{n-1}}$ denotes the $2^{n-1} \times 2^{n-1}$ identity matrix.

Remark B.23. The map $\mathcal{B}: g_{n} \rightarrow g_{n+1}$ in (B.21) is called the Bott map, see [37, section 1.1] and references therein. Here are the first few expressions of $g_{n}$ :
$g_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)=z_{1}, \quad g_{2}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}z_{1} & -\overline{z_{2}} \\ z_{2} & \overline{z_{1}}\end{array}\right), \quad g_{3}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}z_{1} & 0 & -\overline{z_{2}} & -\overline{z_{3}} \\ 0 & z_{1} & z_{3} & -z_{2} \\ z_{2} & -\overline{z_{3}} & \overline{z_{1}} & 0 \\ z_{3} & \overline{z_{2}} & 0 & \overline{z_{1}}\end{array}\right), \ldots$

Remark B.24. These normal forms $g_{n}$ correspond to Hurwitz Radon matrices [12] and are related to gamma matrices, of generalized gamma matrices.

Proposition B.25. The normal form bundle $F_{n} \rightarrow S^{2 n}$ of rank $r=2^{n-1}$ in Definition $B .22$ is a generator of the $K$-theory group $\tilde{K}\left(S^{2 n}\right) \equiv \operatorname{Vect}^{r}\left(S^{2 n}\right) \equiv \mathbb{Z}$, hence has topological index

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}=+1 \tag{B.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the proof, see [37, section 1.1] and references therein. Here let us observe that taking the first column in (B.22) and removing zero elements we get the vector $\left(\begin{array}{c}z_{1} \\ \vdots \\ z_{n}\end{array}\right) \in$ $S^{2 n-1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$. Equivalently, with $\delta_{1}=(1,0, \ldots 0) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$, the map $g_{n} \delta_{1}: S^{2 n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2^{2 n-1}}$ retracts to the identity map Id: $\mathbb{C}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}$.

## B.3.5 Quantization of the normal form bundle

In the next Proposition, we consider the normal clutching function given in (B.21) as a function

$$
g_{n}:\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots z_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n} \rightarrow U\left(2^{n-1}\right)
$$

see examples (B.22). By writing $z_{j}=x_{j}+i \xi_{j}$, with $j=1 \ldots n$, we get a function $g_{n}\left(x_{1}, \xi_{1}, x_{2}, \xi_{2}, \ldots x_{n}, \xi_{n}\right) \in U\left(2^{n-1}\right)$ considered as a symbol on $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ valued in unitary matrices. Following definition (A.1) we quantize this symbol, giving an operator

$$
\hat{g}_{n}:=\mathrm{Op}_{1}\left(g_{n}\right): \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n} ; \mathbb{C}^{2^{n-1}}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n} ; \mathbb{C}^{2^{n-1}}\right)
$$

In fact this operation is quite simple since the symbol is linear: to get the operator $\hat{g}_{n}$ from the symbol $g_{n}$, we only have to replace each complex variable $z_{j}=x_{j}+i \xi_{j}$ by $\mathrm{Op}_{1}\left(z_{j}\right)=\mathrm{Op}_{1}\left(x_{j}\right)+i \mathrm{Op}_{1}\left(\xi_{j}\right)=: \sqrt{2} a_{j}$ where $a_{j}$ is called the annihilation operator. For example from (B.22), we get

$$
\hat{g}_{1}=\sqrt{2} a_{1}, \quad \hat{g}_{2}=2\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{1} & -a_{2}^{\dagger} \\
a_{2} & a_{1}^{\dagger}
\end{array}\right), \quad \hat{g}_{3}=2^{3 / 2}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{1} & 0 & -a_{2}^{\dagger} & -a_{3}^{\dagger} \\
0 & a_{1} & a_{3} & -a_{2} \\
a_{2} & -a_{3}^{\dagger} & a_{1}^{\dagger} & 0 \\
a_{3} & a_{2}^{\dagger} & 0 & a_{1}^{\dagger}
\end{array}\right), \ldots \ldots .
$$

Proposition B.26. "Normal form quantum operator". The operator $\hat{g}_{n}:=$ $\mathrm{Op}_{1}\left(g_{n}\right)$ is Fredholm with index

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ind}\left(\hat{g}_{n}\right)=+1 \tag{B.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For the symbols we compute $\left(g_{1}^{\dagger} g_{1}\right)(z) \underset{(B .20)}{=}|z|^{2}$ and

$$
g_{n+1}^{\dagger} g_{n+1} \underset{(B .21)}{=}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}+g_{n}^{\dagger} g_{n} & 0 \\
0 & \left|z_{1}\right|^{2}+g_{n} g_{n}^{\dagger}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Recursively we deduce that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}, g_{n+1}^{\dagger} g_{n+1}$ vanishes only at $z=0$, the operators $\hat{g}_{n}^{\dagger} \hat{g}_{n}$ and $\hat{g}_{n}$ are elliptic hence Fredholm [5, thm3 p.185]. From (B.21) we compute recursively that

$$
\operatorname{Ker}\left(\hat{g}_{n}\right)=\operatorname{Span}\left\{\left(\begin{array}{c}
\varphi_{0} \\
0 \\
\vdots
\end{array}\right)\right\}, \quad \operatorname{Ker}\left(\hat{g}_{n}^{\dagger}\right)=\{0\},
$$

where $\varphi_{0}$ is the Gaussian function (3.3) spanning the kernel of $a_{1}:=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\mathrm{Op}_{1}\left(x_{j}\right)+i \mathrm{Op}_{1}\left(\xi_{j}\right)\right)$. We deduce that the index is [5, thm2 p.16]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ind}\left(\hat{g}_{n}\right)=\operatorname{dimKer}\left(\hat{g}_{n}\right)-\operatorname{dimKer}\left(\hat{g}_{n}^{\dagger}\right)=1-0=1 . \tag{B.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

## B.3.6 The index formula on Euclidean space of Fedosov-Hörmander

For the previous canonical vector bundle $F_{n} \rightarrow S^{2 n}$ with topological index $\mathcal{C}$ and clutching function $g_{n}$ we have observed that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ind}\left(\mathrm{Op}_{1}\left(g_{n}\right)\right) \underset{(B .24, B .23)}{=} \mathcal{C}, \tag{B.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that $\mathcal{C}=+1$, meaning that this vector bundle $F_{n}$ is the generator of its equivalence class in $K$-theory. Since both indices Ind $\left(\mathrm{Op}_{1}\left(g_{n}\right)\right)$ and $\mathcal{C}$ are additive under direct sum of vector bundles in $K$-theory, we deduce the next Theorem showing that (B.26) is generally true.

We consider $F \rightarrow S^{2 n}$, a general complex vector bundle of rank $r$ with topological index $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbb{Z}$ as defined in (B.18) and clutching function $g: S^{2 n-1} \rightarrow U(r)$ on the equator $S^{2 n-1}$ as defined in (B.16). We extend $g$ from $S^{2 n-1}$ to 1-homogeneous function on $\mathbb{R}^{2 n} \backslash\{0\}$ by $g(z):=|z| g\left(\frac{z}{|z|}\right)$ and consider this extension as a symbol $g: \mathbb{R}^{2 n} \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(r)$. Quantization (A.1) gives an operator $\mathrm{Op}_{1}(g)$.

Theorem B.27. [25, thm 7.3 p. 422/[5, Thm 1, page 252]"The index formula on Euclidean space of Fedosov-Hörmander". Let $F \rightarrow S^{2 n}$ be a complex vector bundle of rank $r$ with topological index $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and clutching function $g: S^{2 n-1} \rightarrow$ $U(r)$ on the equator $S^{2 n-1}$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ind}\left(\mathrm{Op}_{1}(g)\right)=\mathcal{C} \tag{B.27}
\end{equation*}
$$
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1} 2010$ Mathematics Subject Classification: 35Q86 PDEs in connection with geophysics, 81V55 Molecular physics, 55R50 Stable classes of vector space bundles, K-theory, 47A53 (Semi-) Fredholm operators; index theories, 19K56 Index theory, 81Q20 Semiclassical techniques, including WKB and Maslov methods
    81Q05 Closed and approximate solutions to the Schrödinger, Dirac, Klein-Gordon and other equations of quantum mechanics

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Here $S^{2}$ is not related to the surface of the earth but is a surface in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ that enclosed a singularity at the origin.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Here $\|(\mu, x, \xi)\|:=\sqrt{\mu^{2}+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(x_{j}^{2}+\xi_{j}^{2}\right)}$ is the Euclidean distance from $(\mu, x, \xi)$ to the origin in $\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}$.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ The operator $\hat{H}_{\mu, \epsilon}$ belongs to $\operatorname{Herm}\left(L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d}\right) \equiv \operatorname{Herm}\left(L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; \mathbb{C}^{d}\right)\right)$, i.e. is a self-adjoint operator in the space of functions on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $d$ complex components.

[^4]:    ${ }^{5}$ We can do without this argument by noticing at the end of the computation that the found eigenvectors form a basis of the Hilbert space.

[^5]:    ${ }^{6}$ With online xcas, write $\mathrm{H}:=[[-\mathrm{mu}, \mathrm{a}],[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{mu}]]$;eigenvals $(\mathrm{H})$;eigenvects $(\mathrm{H})$;

[^6]:    ${ }^{7}$ In xcas online, write: $H:=[[-m u, x+i * x i],[x-i * x i, m u]$; eigenvals( $H$ ) ; eigenvects ( $H$ ) ;

[^7]:    ${ }^{8}$ We can do without this argument by noticing at the end of the computation that the found eigenvectors

[^8]:    ${ }^{9}$ Obtained with xcas, by writing: $H:=[[0, m u, x i],[m u, 0, i * x],[x i,-i * x, 0]]$; eigenvals(H); eigenvects(H) ;

[^9]:    ${ }^{10}$ Because if we cut this ribbon on the section $s=0$, we obtain two ribbons interlaced, whereas the same cut for a trivial ribbon gives two separate ribbons

[^10]:    ${ }^{11}$ Here, we note $S^{1}$ the circle. $\theta \in S^{1}$ is therefore marked with an angle $\theta \in[0,2 \pi]$.

[^11]:    ${ }^{12}$ This is the case in the model of Section 2 and every vector bundle can be realized like this, see [21].

[^12]:    ${ }^{15}$ If $s \in C\left(S^{2} ; F\right)$ is an arbitrary section, then locally one can write $s(x)=\phi(x) v(x)$ with some complex component $\phi(x) \in \mathbb{C}$. Then

    $$
    \begin{aligned}
    D s & =D(\phi v)=(d \phi) v+\phi D v=(d \phi) v+\phi A v \\
    & =(d \phi+\phi A) v=\sum_{k}\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{k}}+A_{k} \phi\right)\left(d x_{k}\right) v
    \end{aligned}
    $$

    with $A=\sum_{k} A_{k} d x_{k}$. Writing $A=i \mathcal{A}$, it shows that the components of the covariant derivatice $D s$ with respect to the unitary trivialization $v(x)$ and local coordinates $\left(x_{k}\right)_{k}$ on $U$ are $\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{k}}+i \mathcal{A}_{k} \phi\right)_{k}$. In quantum physics books it is common to see the expression $\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{k}}+i \mathcal{A}_{k} \phi\right)_{k}$ for a definition of the "covariant derivative" or "minimal coupling", e.g. [27, p.31].

[^13]:    ${ }^{16}$ In local coordinates if $A=\sum_{k} A_{k} d x_{k}$ is a one form with components $A_{k}(x)$ then $d A=\sum_{k, l} \frac{\partial A_{k}}{\partial x_{l}} d x_{l} \wedge$ $d x_{k}$.

[^14]:    ${ }^{17}$ The symbol $K(X)$ comes from «Klassen» in german, by A. Grothendieck 1957, see Lectures of Karoubi. The symbol $C(X)$ was already used.

