Vortex patterns in the almost-bosonic anyon gas
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We study theoretically and numerically the ground state of a gas of 2D anyons in an external
trapping potential. We treat anyon statistics in the magnetic gauge picture, perturbatively around
the bosonic end. This leads to a mean-field energy functional, whose ground state displays vortex
lattices similar to those found in rotating Bose-Einstein condensates. A crucial difference is however
that the vortex density is proportional to the underlying matter density of the gas.
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The eventual unambiguous observation of the elusive exotic particles going under the name of anyons [14, 20, 22, 34] remains one of the most exciting prospects of condensed matter physics. Apart from speculative applications [21], much excitement comes from the possibility of observing quasi-particles [2, 11, 12] who do not fall into the ubiquitous dichotomy between bosons and fermions.

Contrarily to what happens for bosons and fermions, the many-body problem for non-interacting anyons is in general not exactly solvable. Even the most basic putative experiment thus calls for approximating schemes for its interpretation. In particular, in view of several recent proposals to create anyons in cold quantum gases [3, 19, 31, 35–37], it seems desirable to develop numerically amenable models to describe trapped gases of many anyons. In this note we study such a mean-field-type model, obtained in an “almost bosonic limit”.

In previous work we have derived this model from the many-body Hamiltonian (in a somewhat idealized situation, see the details in [18]) and studied a local density approximation thereof [6]. Now we aim at a more quantitative analysis, for which we numerically simulate the average-field approximation thereof [6]. Now we aim at a more quantitative analysis, for which we numerically simulate the average-field approximation thereof [6]. Now we aim at a more quantitative analysis, for which we numerically simulate the average-field approximation thereof [6]. Now we aim at a more quantitative analysis, for which we numerically simulate the average-field approximation thereof [6].

Average-field approximation. We are interested in the most basic question for the many-body Hamiltonian (1): compute the ground state energy and associated ground states. Since the wave functions we act on are bosonic, a basic mean-field approximation suggests itself. For weak interactions [15–17, 24, 25], the ground state of a bosonic system is close to a Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC),

\[ \Psi_N(x_1, \ldots, x_N) \approx u(x_1) \ldots u(x_N). \]  

This does not depend much on the particular shape of the interactions and in fact also holds [18] for ground states
of (1) in the limit \( N \to \infty \) with \( \alpha \) being scaled as

\[ \alpha = \frac{\beta}{N}, \quad \beta \text{ fixed}, \quad (3) \]

a limit we refer to as “almost bosonic”. Inserting the ansatz (2) in (1), the ground state energy and ground states of (1) are found by minimizing the “average-field” functional

\[ E_\beta^{af}[u] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left[ (-i \nabla + \beta A(|u|^2)) u \right]^2 + V|u|^2 \]

\[ A[\rho](x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{(x - y)^\perp}{|x - y|^2} \rho(y) dy. \quad (4) \]

From now on we shall be concerned only with the effective ground state problem

\[ E_\beta^{af} = \min \left\{ E_\beta^{af}[u], \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u|^2 = 1 \right\} = E_\beta^{af}[u_\beta^{af}], \quad (5) \]

which means that we expect our results to be quantitatively valid for anyons with small statistics parameter \( \alpha \). However, we expect the qualitative findings to be general. Note the kinship of this formalism with that of Chern-Simons theory, see [9, 13, 23] and references therein.

**Local density approximation.** In view of (3), \( \beta \) should actually be a large parameter in the \( N \to \infty \) limit. This suggests looking first at the limit \( \beta \to \infty \) of Problem (5). In this situation, the self-consistent magnetic interaction in (4) will make the gas expand, so that the first approximation that comes to mind is a LDA. We expect (and, in fact, proved in [6]) that in such a limit

\[ E_\beta^{af} \sim E_\beta^{TF}, \quad |u_\beta^{af}|^2 \sim \rho_\beta^{TF} \quad (6) \]

where \( E_\beta^{TF} \) is the local density approximation of the ground state energy:

\[ E_\beta^{TF} = \min \left\{ E_\beta^{TF}[\rho], \quad \rho \geq 0, \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \rho = 1 \right\} = E_\beta^{TF}[\rho_\beta^{TF}] \]

\[ E_\beta^{TF}[\rho] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (e(\beta, \rho(x)) + V(x)\rho(x)) \, dx. \quad (7) \]

Here \( \rho \) plays the role of the matter density \( |u|^2 \) and \( e(\beta, \rho) \) is the thermodynamic limit [6] of the ground state energy of the homogeneous analogue of (4), at average density \( \rho \) and scaled statistics parameter \( \beta \). It follows from simple scaling considerations that

\[ e(\beta, \rho) = \beta \rho^2 e(1,1). \]

Thus

\[ E_\beta^{TF}[\rho] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\beta e(1,1)\rho(x)^2 + V(x)\rho(x)) \, dx. \]

The above is similar to the TF functional often used to describe BECs in first approximation (which in turn is, in a rather formal way, similar to the true TF functional for electrons). Note that \( E_\beta^{TF} \) depends on a single unknown parameter \( e(1,1) \), the thermodynamic ground state energy per unit area at density \( \rho = 1 \) and scaled statistics parameter \( \beta = 1 \).

**Energy and density profile.** The TF ground state problem is exactly solvable, modulo the unknown parameter \( e(1,1) \). One finds

\[ \rho_\beta^{TF}(x) = \frac{1}{2\beta e(1,1)} \left( \lambda_\beta^{TF} - V(x) \right)_+ \quad (8) \]

where the chemical potential \( \lambda_\beta^{TF} \) is set by the normalization \( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \rho_\beta^{TF} = 1 \) and \(( . )_+ \) stands for the positive part.
More explicitly, we shall in the sequel restrict to radial power-law traps

\[ V(x) = |x|^s, \quad s > 0. \]

(9)

The length scale of the cloud is then \( \sim \beta^{1/(s+2)} \) in the limit \( \beta \to \infty \) and, by scaling,

\[ E^{\text{TF}}_\beta = \beta^{4/(s+2)} E^{\text{TF}}_1 \]

in terms of the energy at \( \beta = 1 \)

\[ E^{\text{TF}}_1 = \frac{1}{2s+1} s \left( \frac{s+1}{s} \right)^{2+\frac{s}{2}} \left( \frac{2e(1,1)}{\pi} \right)^{\frac{s}{2}}, \]

which can be combined with (10) to recover \( e(1,1) \), given \( E^{\text{TF}}_\beta \):\[
\begin{align*}
\epsilon(1,1) &= \frac{\pi}{2} \left( \frac{2s+1}{s} \right)^{\frac{s}{2}} \left( \frac{s}{s+2} \right)^{\frac{s}{2}} \left( \frac{E^{\text{TF}}_\beta}{\beta} \right)^{\frac{s}{2}}. \tag{11}
\end{align*}
\]

**Vortex density.** Concerning the phase of the wave function we expect the appearance of quantized vortices with average vorticity density given by

\[ \mu_v = -2\pi \beta |u_\beta|^2 \approx -2\pi \rho \beta^{1+2}. \]

Actually, the very fact that a result such as (12) can hold is an indication that the system nucleates quantized vortices. Indeed, for the LDA to be acceptable, it must be that the long-range forces encoded in the magnetic vector potential \( A[|u|^2] \) of (4) are screened. The physics is thus that a pattern of phase circulation is developed by the ground state on a “microscopic” length scale. This phase circulation cancels the long-range component of \( A[|u|^2] \) and allows for the TF profile to emerge on the macroscopic length scale \( \beta^{1/(s+2)} \). We refer to [6] for details, in particular for the discussion of a trial state giving the correct energy by developing phase circulations on length scales \( O(\beta^{-s/2(1+s+2)}) \).

It is intuitively clear that the phase circulation responsible for the validity of the LDA must come from quantized vortices in the gas. Indeed, if one writes the magnetic kinetic energy of (4) in the manner

\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \sqrt{\rho}|^2 + \rho |\nabla \varphi + \beta A[\rho]|^2 \]

by separating density \( \rho \) and phase \( \varphi \), minimization of the second term would suggest

\[ \nabla \varphi \approx -\beta A[\rho] \]

and thus, taking the curl,

\[ \text{curl } \nabla \varphi \approx -2\pi \beta \rho \]

which is possible only if \( \varphi \) has singularities with nontrivial circulation, i.e. vortices, distributed according to (12). Just as in a rotating Bose gas [11][14][10], we expect that the vortices are singly quantized, for the self-energy of a vortex of degree \( d \) should be proportional to \( d^2 \).

Another argument is as follows. Consider the energy \( E^{\text{TF}}(\beta, M) \) of a homogeneous anyon gas of total mass \( M \) in a fixed container \( \Omega \). Minimization of the functional \( \int J^2 \) leads to the variational equation

\[ (\nabla \times - \beta A[|u|^2])^2 u = (\beta A[|u|^2]|u|^2 + J[|u|]) u = \lambda u, \]

(13)

with the current

\[ J[|u|] := \frac{i}{2} (\nabla \bar{u} - \bar{u} \nabla u), \]

(14)

and the chemical potential (Lagrange multiplier)

\[ \lambda = \frac{\partial E^{\text{TF}}(\beta, M)}{\partial M}. \]

In the case of a fixed container, [6]-[10] yield \( E^{\text{TF}}(\beta, M) \propto \beta M^2 \), thus we expect that \( \lambda \propto \beta M \). But, multiplying (13) by \( \bar{u} \) and integrating (boundary terms vanish because of magnetic Neumann boundary conditions)

\[ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \times (\beta A[|u|^2])| u|^2 - \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 = 2\beta^2 \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 \left( \frac{x^\perp}{|x|^2} \ast K[|u|] \right), \]

(15)

with

\[ K[|u|] := A[|u|^2]|u|^2 + \frac{1}{\beta} J[|u|]. \]

The left hand side of (15) is \( O(\beta) \) so we should expect, for large \( \beta \)

\[ \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 \left( \frac{x^\perp}{|x|^2} \ast K[|u|] \right) = \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 (\log |x| \ast \text{curl } K[|u|]) = O(\beta^{-1}). \]

But, on a length scale where the density \( |u|^2 \) is homogeneous enough we may approximate

\[ \text{curl } K[|u|] \approx 2\pi |u|^4 + \frac{|u|^2}{\beta} \text{curl } \nabla \varphi, \]

so that the above again suggests that (12) must hold on sufficiently large scales. We vindicate this expectation in the sequel, by numerical simulations of the fully ground state problem.

**Numerical method.** To minimize (4), we approximate the evolution in imaginary time of an initial trial state.
The actual implementation is by a pre-conditioned conjugate gradient method [38, 39] using the differential of the energy functional (see [13]), with a projection step ensuring the preservation of the mass. The most costly task is to compute the non-linear non-local terms in (13). Since they have the form of convolutions, we find it more convenient to work in Fourier space. Our numerical window is thus equipped with periodic boundary conditions and we use a Fast Fourier Transform with respect to a Cartesian grid, so that the non-linearities are simply dealt with by products in the Fourier domain. Note that the kernel defining $A[\rho]$ is singular and long range, which forces us to use a cut-off in the space variable and a rather large computational domain in the Fourier space to evaluate it.

**Numerical results: energy and density.** We first plot the density in color levels for two model choices of the trapping potential in Figures 1 and 2. The main virtue of these plots is to make the vortex lattice apparent. For quantitative tests we first extract from the numerical data the value of the unknown parameter $\epsilon(1,1)$ using (11). In Figure 3 we plot the value of $\epsilon(1,1)$ so obtained, as a function of $\beta$ for two types of traps, quadratic and quartic. The convergence for large $\beta$ to the same value for the two traps is a clear sign of agreement between theory and numerics.

Note that we find $\epsilon(1,1) \approx 2\pi \times 1.18 \approx 2\pi \times (2\sqrt{\pi}/3)$, which is different from a frequently used [3, 13, 29, 30, 32, 33] first guess which is as follows. If one imagines the homogeneous gas as bosons in the lowest Landau level of the approximately constant magnetic field of intensity $2\pi\beta\rho$, the energy per unit area is $e(\beta,\rho) = 2\pi\beta\rho^2$ per particle, hence $e(1,1) = 2\pi$.

A further benchmark is given by a comparison of the theoretical and numerical density profiles. Now that $\epsilon(1,1)$ has been extracted from the numerically computed energies, we can use its value to compute the TF density profile (8). For a reliable comparison with the numerical densities, one must first average out the fast oscillations of the latter, due to the vortex lattice. Comparisons of the theoretical TF profile and rotationally-averaged numerical profile are shown in Figure 4. We find an excellent agreement, in particular as regards the essential size of the cloud (TF radius).

**Numerical results: vorticity.** The vortex lattice in Figures 1 and 2 is clearly visible, as expected. For large values of $\beta$, its inhomogeneity becomes apparent, see in particular the plot for $\beta = 140$ of Figure 1 where vortices are much more tightly packed at the center of the cloud than at the boundary. For a more quantitative test, we can compare the numerical data to the expected finding (12). More precisely we count the number $N_v^{\text{num}}(r)$ of vortices (spotted as zeros in the density) present on the numerical figures within a disk of radius $r$ and compare this to the expected number

$$N_v^{\text{theo}}(r) = 4\pi^2\beta \int_0^r \rho_{TF}(s)sds.$$ 

Plots of the theoretical and numerical quantities are pro-
emergence is due to the screening of long-range effective magnetic interactions. This and further theoretical considerations suggest the nucleation of vortex patterns in the gas. Our numerical simulations confirm this picture and are found to be in very good agreement with the local density approximation. In particular, the vortex density is directly linked to the matter density, and thus inhomogeneous.
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