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Abstract

In this paper, we consider generalized domination structure in graphs, which stipulates the structure of a minimum dominating set. Two cycles of length 0 mod 3 intersecting with one path are the constituents of the domination structure and by taking every three vertices on the cycles we can obtain a minimum dominating set. For a cubic graph, we construct generalized domination structure by adding edges in a certain way. We prove that the minimum dominating set of a cubic graph is determined in polynomial time.
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1 Notation

In this paper, a graph $G$ is finite, undirected, and simple with the vertex set $V$ and edge set $E$. We follow [1] for basic notation. For a vertex $v \in V(G)$, the open neighborhood, denoted by $N_G(v)$, is $\{u \in V(G): uv \in E(G)\}$, and the closed neighborhood, denoted by $N_G[v]$, is $N_G(v) \cup \{v\}$, also for a set $W \subseteq V(G)$, let $N_G(W) = \bigcup_{v \in W} N_G(v)$ and $N_G[W] = N_G(W) \cup W$. A dominating set $X \subseteq V(G)$ is such that $N_G[X] = V(G)$. For a set $S \subseteq V(G)$, as is clear from the context, $S$ denotes $G[S]$. A minimum dominating set, called a d-set, is a dominating set of minimum cardinality. Two cycles $C_1$ and $C_2$ are said to be connecting without seams if $C_1 \cap C_2$ is one path. For a graph $G$, structure $H$ is the union of maximal number of cycles of length 0 mod 3 in $G$ where each cycle of length 0 mod 3 is connecting without seams for some other cycle of length 0 mod 3, or one cycle of length 0 mod 3 in $H$, in addition, if $V(G - H) = \emptyset$, we call this structure domination structure. Let $\mathcal{F}(G)$ be the set of all structures in a graph $G$.
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2 Generalized domination structure in cubic graphs

We consider a connected graph $G$, otherwise consider each component one by one. We introduce the construction scheme $K$ as follows.

**K:** Input a connected graph $G$.

1. Let $G_0 = G$ and $k = 0$.
2. Let $v$ be a cut vertex of $G_k$. For every pair of components $C_1$ and $C_2$ of $G_k - v$ and for every pair of vertices $v_1 \in C_1 \cap N_{G_k}(v)$ and $v_2 \in C_2 \cap N_{G_k}(v)$, add an edge $v_1v_2$. Increment $k$.
3. Let $D_2$ be an induced cycle of length 2 mod 3 in $G_k$. Take a vertex $w \in V(D_2)$, and set $N_{D_2}(w) = \{w_1, w_2\}$. Now, add an edge $w_1w_2$. Set $w_1w_2\alpha \subseteq D_2$. Now, add two edges $w_1\alpha$ and $\alpha$. Increment $k$.
4. Let $D_1$ be an induced cycle of length 1 mod 3 in $G_k$. Take a vertex $x \in V(D_1)$, and set $N_{D_1}(x) = \{x_1, x_2\}$. Now, add an edge $x_1x_2$. Set $x_1x_2\alpha \subseteq D_1$. Now, add an edge $x\alpha$. Increment $k$.
5. Repeat (2)-(4).
6. Return the resulting graph $G_k$.

The next remark is a basic concept of the following proofs.

**Remark 2.1.** Let $X$ be a dominating set of $G$. Every subset $D \subseteq X$ is a $d$-set of $N_G[D]$ if and only if $X$ is a $d$-set of $G$.

Let $K(G)$ be a graph constructed by applying $K$ to $G$. Note that $K(G)$ is not unique and constructed from $G$ arbitrarily.

**Proposition 2.1.** $K(G)$ is domination structure. Moreover, $|\mathcal{F}(K(G))| = 1$.

**Proof.** From the rules, $K(G)$ is 2-connected. Hence $K(G)$ has an ear decomposition. Since all induced cycles are of length 0 mod 3, the domination structure $H$ is obtained by finding 0 mod 3 induced cycles connecting without seams one by one, so that $H = K(G)$. We had the claim.

Suppose that for all $v \in V(G)$, $d_G(v) \geq 3$.

**Fact 2.1.** For domination structure $H = K(G)$, label every three vertices on the induced cycles that constitute $H$ in order of connecting without seams. Note that a certain induced cycle of $H$ is not counted for the labeling, and may have no labels, where the vertices of the induced cycle are all in other induced cycles of $H$. There exist at most $|V(G)|$ cases of labeling. (i) For
every labeling, the set of all labeled vertices is a dominating set of \( K(G) \). (ii) For at least one labeling, the set of all labeled vertices is a \( d \)-set of \( K(G) \).

**Proof.** By the first vertex choice for the labeling, all labeled vertices are uniquely determined in \( V(G) \) since for all \( v \in V(G) \), \( d_G(v) \geq 3 \), and so there exist at most \(|V(G)|\) cases of labeling. The statement (i) is obvious. The statement (ii) follows from Remark 2.1.

Let \( Y \) be a \( d \)-set of \( K(G) \) that is obtained by applying Fact 2.1. Let \( Y \) be the set of all \( Y \). Let \( X \) be a \( d \)-set of \( G \). Let \( X \) be the set of all \( Y \).

**Proposition 2.2.** For some \( X \in \mathcal{X} \), and some \( Y \in \mathcal{Y} \), \( Y \subseteq X \).

**Proof.** For some \( Y \in \mathcal{Y} \), if \( Y \) is a dominating set of \( G \), then for some \( X \in \mathcal{X} \), \( X = Y \). Otherwise, for all \( Y \in \mathcal{Y} \), \( Y \) is not a dominating set of \( G \). Now, for some \( v \in Y \), and some \( w \in V(G) \setminus Y \), \( vw \) is an added edge for \( K(G) \) and \( N_G(w) \subseteq V(G) \setminus Y \). Now, we consider such \( v \) and \( w \). Let \( Z' = \{ w \in V(G) \setminus Y : v \in Y, \; vw \in E(K(G)) \setminus E(G), \; N_G(w) \subseteq V(G) \setminus Y \} \) and \( E' = \{ vw \in E(K(G)) \setminus E(G) : w \in V(G) \setminus Y, \; v \in Y, \; N_G(w) \subseteq V(G) \setminus Y \} \). Let \( E''(vw) \) be an induced cycle of length 0 mod 3 in \( K(G) \) such that for \( vw \in E', \; vw \in E''(vw) \) holds. Let \( E(vw) \) be the set of all \( E''(vw) \) for \( vw \in E' \) and let \( E = \bigcup_{vw \in E'} E(vw) \). Let \( J \) be the union of all induced cycles of length 0 mod 3 in \( K(G) \) other than the induced cycles in \( E \). By the definition of \( Y \) and Remark 2.1, \( J \cap Y \) is a \( d \)-set of \( N_G[J \cap Y] \), and \( Y \) is a \( d \)-set of \( G - Z' = N_G[Y] \). Let \( W \) be a subset of \( V(G) \setminus Y \) of minimum cardinality such that \( Y \cup W \) is a dominating set of \( G \). By the definition of \( W \), \( W \) is a \( d \)-set of \( N_G[W] \). Since for all \( x \in V(G) \), \( d_G(x) \geq 3 \), and by Fact 2.1, \( E(G[Y]) = \emptyset \), and \( Y \cup W \) is a minimal dominating set of \( G \). Therefore, by Remark 2.1 for some \( X \in \mathcal{X} \), \( X = Y \cup W \). □

Suppose that \( Y \) is a \( d \)-set of \( K(G) \) that satisfies Proposition 2.2.

**Fact 2.2.** Let \( G' \) be constructed by deleting \( Y \), and for every pair \( w_1, w_2 \in \bigcup_{y \in Y} N_G(y) \), adding an edge \( w_1w_2 \) to \( G \). Let \( Z_1 \) be a \( d \)-set of \( G' \). Let \( G'' = G - N_G[Y] \) and \( Z_2 \) be a \( d \)-set of \( G'' \). If \( |Z_1| < |Z_2| \), then \( Y \cup Z_1 \) is a \( d \)-set of \( G \), and \( Z_1 \cap \bigcup_{y \in Y} N_G(y) \neq \emptyset \). If \( |Z_1| \geq |Z_2| \), then \( Y \cup Z_2 \) is a \( d \)-set of \( G \).

**Proof.** Obviously, \( Y \cup Z_2 \) is a \( d \)-set of \( G \) if and only if \( |Z_1| \geq |Z_2| \). For a set \( A \subseteq V(G) \), suppose that \( Y \cup A \) is a \( d \)-set of \( G \). Let \( A' = A \setminus \bigcup_{y \in Y} N_G(y) \). By Remark 2.1, \( A' \) is a \( d \)-set of \( N_G[A'] \). Suppose that \( |Z_1| < |Z_2| \), then \( A' \) is not a dominating set of \( G'' \), and \( A \cap \bigcup_{y \in Y} N_G(y) \neq \emptyset \). Now, \( A \) is a minimal...
dominating set of $G'$. By the definition of $G'$, $Y \cup Z_1$ is a minimal dominating set of $G$, and so it suffices that $A = Z_1$. Thus $Z_1 \cap \bigcup_{y \in Y} N_G(y) \neq \emptyset$. 

Suppose that $G$ is cubic.

**Theorem 2.1.** For some $X \in \mathcal{X}$, $X$ is determined in polynomial time.

**Proof.** By Proposition 2.2, $Y \subseteq X$ for some $X \in \mathcal{X}$. Let $G_0 = G$. Let $G_1$ be constructed by deleting $Y$, and for every pair $w_1, w_2 \in \bigcup_{y \in Y} N_G(y)$, adding an edge $w_1w_2$ to $G_0$. Let $G_2 = G_0 - N_{G_0}[Y]$. Let $W_1$ be a $d$-set of $G_1$ and $W_2$ be a $d$-set of $G_2$. Since $G_0$ is cubic and by the definition of $G_2$, each component of $G_2$ is path or cycle. Thus $W_2$ is determined in polynomial time. Suppose that $|W_1| < |W_2|$. Let $Y_1$ be a $d$-set of $K(G_1)$ that satisfies Proposition 2.2. By the definition of $Y_1$, it suffices that $Y_1 \cap \bigcup_{y \in Y} N_{G_0}(y) \neq \emptyset$. Let $G_3$ be constructed by deleting $Y_1$, and for every pair $w_1, w_2 \in \bigcup_{y \in Y_1} N_{G_1}(y)$, adding an edge $w_1w_2$ to $G_1$. Let $G_4 = G_1 - N_{G_1}[Y_1]$. Let $W_3$ be a $d$-set of $G_3$ and $W_4$ be a $d$-set of $G_4$. By the definition of $G_4$, each component of $G_4$ is path. Thus $W_4$ is determined in polynomial time. Suppose that $|W_3| < |W_4|$. Let $Y_2$ be a $d$-set of $K(G_3)$ that satisfies Proposition 2.2. By the definition of $Y_2$, it suffices that $Y_2 \cap \bigcup_{y \in Y_1} N_{G_1}(y) \neq \emptyset$. Let $G_5$ be constructed by deleting $Y_2$, and for every pair $w_1, w_2 \in \bigcup_{y \in Y_2} N_{G_3}(y)$, adding an edge $w_1w_2$ to $G_3$. Let $G_6 = G_3 - N_{G_3}[Y_2]$. Let $W_5$ be a $d$-set of $G_5$ and $W_6$ be a $d$-set of $G_6$. By the definition of $G_6$, $G_6$ is independent. Thus $W_6 = V(G_6)$. Suppose that $|W_5| < |W_6|$. Let $Y_3$ be a $d$-set of $K(G_5)$ that satisfies Proposition 2.2. By the definition of $Y_3$, it suffices that $Y_3 \cap \bigcup_{y \in Y_2} N_{G_3}(y) \neq \emptyset$. Now, $Y_3$ is a dominating set of $G_5$ and so it suffices that $W_5 = Y_3$. By Fact 2.2 if $|W_5| < |W_6|$, then it suffices that $W_3 = Y_2 \cup W_5$, otherwise, it suffices that $W_3 = Y_2 \cup W_6$. By Fact 2.2 if $|W_3| < |W_4|$, then it suffices that $W_1 = Y_1 \cup W_3$, otherwise, it suffices that $W_1 = Y_1 \cup W_4$. By Fact 2.2 if $|W_1| < |W_2|$, then it suffices that $X = Y \cup W_1$, otherwise, it suffices that $X = Y \cup W_2$. The proof is complete. 
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