Anisotropic ultrafast optical response of terahertz pumped graphene
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We have measured the ultrafast anisotropic optical response of highly doped graphene to an intense single cycle terahertz pulse. The time profile of the terahertz-induced anisotropy signal at 800 nm has minima and maxima repeating those of the pump terahertz electric field modulus. It grows with increasing carrier density and demonstrates a specific nonlinear dependence on the electric field strength. To describe the signal, we have developed a theoretical model that is based on the energy and momentum balance equations and takes into account optical phonons of graphene and substrate. According to the theory, the anisotropic response is caused by the displacement of the electronic momentum distribution from zero momentum induced by the pump electric field in combination with polarization dependence of the matrix elements of interband optical transitions.

Due to the peculiar electronic band structure of graphene, the field-induced motion of electrons was predicted to be strongly nonlinear in this material. High nonlinearity together with the unique electronic and optical properties make graphene a prospective material for photonic and optoelectronic applications. In the light of this perspective, nonlinear optical phenomena in graphene are actively studied. Among them are harmonic generation, saturable absorption, self-phase modulation, and four-wave mixing. In the optical range the frequency of light is higher than the electron-electron scattering rate, so the resulting “coherent” electronic response is determined by the properties of single-electron band structure. In the THz range another limiting case is realized — the characteristic time of electron–electron scattering processes is shorter than the period of the light wave. The energy imparted by the electric field to electrons is quickly redistributed heating the electron gas, while the electron-phonon collisions cool and decelerate the gas. The concept of “incoherent” nonlinearity that appears due to the change of electron gas conductivity upon heating was employed recently to explain highly effective generation of THz harmonics in graphene.

The routine technique used to evaluate the optical nonlinearity of graphene is the spectral analysis of light transmitted through the sample in search of harmonics of the pump frequency radiated by the nonlinear current. In the present work we employ an alternative approach by using an optical probe to detect the transient THz field-induced shift of electron momentum distribution (note that such shift can induce the optical 2nd harmonic generation, as was recently observed). In graphene, due to the specific polarization dependence of matrix elements of interband transitions in combination with Pauli blocking, an anisotropy of electronic distribution implies an anisotropy of infrared optical conductivity, which can be measured by detecting depolarization of probe light reflected from the sample. We measure the ultrafast anisotropic optical response of graphene to intense THz pulses and show that though the corresponding signal is rather weak, it can be reliably detected for heavily doped graphene and contains specific nonlinear features. To interpret the signal, we develop a model based on the Boltzmann kinetic equation solved in the hydrodynamic approximation.

The sample used in our experiments was a sheet of single-layer CVD graphene on the SiO2/Si substrate (the thickness of SiO2 was 300 nm). Four indium contacts were attached to the sample in order to apply gate voltage and to measure the resistance of the graphene layer. Nearly single-cycle THz pulses with a duration of about 1 ps were generated in a lithium niobate crystal in the process of optical rectification of femtosecond laser pulses with tilted fronts (see, e.g., Ref. for details). The THz generation stage was fed by 50 fs laser pulses at 800 nm, 1.2 mJ per pulse at 1 kHz repetition rate. THz radiation was focused by a parabolic mirror so that the peak electric field of the THz pulses incident on the sample was ~ 400 kV/cm (denoted below as ). The waveform of the pulses was characterized by means of electro-optic detection in a 0.15 mm thick (110)-cut ZnTe crystal. The central frequency of the THz pulse was ~ 1.5 THz, while its spectral width ~ 2 THz (FWHM). In the experiments we detected transient anisotropic changes of reflectance of the sample caused by the pump THz pulses. The probe 50 fs pulses at 800 nm were polarized before the sample at 45° relative to the vertical polarization of pump THz pulses. Both pump and probe beams were incident onto the sample at an angle of ~ 7°. Upon reflection from the sample excited by THz radiation the probe pulses experienced a small rotation of polarization, which was detected by measuring the intensities of two orthogonal polarization components of the reflected probe beam using a Wollaston prism and a pair of photodiodes. The quantity

\[ F = 1 - \frac{I_{y}}{I_{x}}, \]  

(1)

as a function of the probe pulse delay time is referred to as anisotropy signal or anisotropic response.

The anisotropic response of the sample induced by the pump THz pulse is shown in Fig.1 for the peak values of the electric field of \( E_{\text{max}} \) and \( E_{\text{max}}/2 \). As soon as the signal from the regions of SiO2/Si substrate not covered by graphene was below the noise level, we concluded that the source of
The inset shows a magnified view of the central peaks of $E(t)$ and of the THz field (the arrows point at kinks in the $E(t)$ waveforms).

To estimate the doping level of graphene, we measured the resistance of the sample as a function of gate voltage ($V_g$) and, consequently, of the Fermi level of graphene. Time evolution of the electron gas in highly doped graphene under intense THz field $E(t)$ is dominated by its intraband dynamics, described in terms of two separate momentum distribution functions $f_\gamma(k,t)$ for electrons in conduction and valence bands. Time evolution of these functions is described by the semiclassical Boltzmann kinetic equation

$$\frac{\partial f_\gamma}{\partial t} = \frac{-eE}{\hbar} \frac{\partial f_\gamma}{\partial k} + \langle f_\gamma \rangle + n \cdot \langle n f_\gamma \rangle - f_\gamma \Gamma^\text{in}_\gamma (1 - f_\gamma) - \Gamma^\text{out}_\gamma f_\gamma + \left( \frac{\partial f_\gamma}{\partial t} \right)_{\text{ee}}.$$  

The terms in the right hand side describe, respectively, electron acceleration by the applied electric field, elastic collisions with impurities, with momentum-dependent scatter-
FIG. 3. Momentum distribution functions $f_x(k)$ of electrons in the conduction band of graphene in the hydrodynamic model. (a) The initial state of the electron gas with $T = 300$ K, $\mu = 500$ meV, $V_x = 0$. (b) The moving heated gas with $T = 1000$ K, $\mu = 450$ meV (reduced to ensure particle number conservation), $V_x = 0.24$ vF. The arcs schematically depict the electron states, preferentially involved in the interband transitions at $h\omega_{ph} = 1.55$ eV with the linear polarizations shown by the arrows.

ing time $\tau_{mp}(k)$, electron-phonon and electron-electron collisions, $f^{\text{in}}_{y}(k,t)$ are the rates of electron scattering into the $k\gamma$ state and out of this state. Intermittent dynamics of the electron gas induced by the THz field in our case should be slow with respect to fast electron-electron collisions, which thermalize the electron gas on a time scale less than $30\hbar/\gamma$. Consequently, $f_{y}(k,t)$ can be taken in the form of the “hydrodynamic” distribution function

$$f_{y}^{\text{drift}}(k,t) = \exp \left[ \frac{E_{k\gamma} - \hbar \mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{t}(t) - \mu(t)}{T(t)} \right] + 1 \right]^{-1}, \quad (3)$$

which is formed due to electron-electron collisions with conservation of total energy, momentum and particle number. Here $E_{k\gamma} = \gamma_{k\gamma}k$ are the single-particle energies, while temperature $T$, chemical potential $\mu$, and drift velocity $\mathbf{V}$ are slowly varying functions of time. Fig. 3 shows examples for $n$-doped graphene. Note that owing to the linear dispersion in graphene the distribution function is not just a shifted Fermi sphere, as it would be the case of massive electrons, but rather a gas with anisotropic temperature. Combined action of the strong THz field that accelerates electrons and rapid thermalization makes the distribution function elongated in the direction of the THz field, while the subsequent impurity and phonon scattering tends to make $f_{y}(k,t)$ isotropic, leading to electron heating.

A nonzero drift velocity $V_x$ (we take $E$ and $V$ along the $x$ axis) makes the distribution functions $f_{y}^{\text{an}}(k)$ angular anisotropic at the probe pulse wave vector modulus $|k| = k_{pr} = \omega_{pr}/\gamma_{pr}$. In combination with the angular dependence of the matrix elements of interband transitions, it leads to the anisotropy of the optical conductivity tensor at $\omega = \omega_{pr}$:

$$\sigma_{xx} = \frac{e^2}{4\pi\hbar} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \left\{ \sin^2 \phi \cos^2 \phi \right\} (f_--f_+)_{k=k_{pr}}. \quad (4)$$

The small difference between $\sigma_{xx}$ and $\sigma_{yy}$ manifests itself in the reflectances $R_{xx}$ of the whole graphene/SiO$_2$/Si structure for the $x$- and $y$-polarized probe pulses at normal incidence. Defining the optical contrast of graphene on a substrate as $C \equiv -\frac{\partial R}{\partial \mathbf{r}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial \sigma}$, we can calculate the anisotropy signal as

$$F = \frac{R_x - R_y}{R_x} \approx C \frac{\sigma_{yy} - \sigma_{xx}}{\epsilon^2/4\hbar}$$

$$= \frac{C}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \cos 2\phi \left( f_--f_+ \right)_{k=k_{pr}}. \quad (5)$$

For graphene on Si covered by the 300 nm thick SiO$_2$ layer, the optical contrast at $\lambda_{0} = 800$ nm is rather small and negative. Calculating it using the transfer matrix method, which allows us to take into account multiple reflections from graphene and Si substrate, and taking the universal optical conductivity of graphene $\sigma = \epsilon^2/4\hbar$ in the calculation, we get $C \approx -0.0044$. In principle, by adjusting the SiO$_2$ layer thickness in order to enhance the visibility of graphene it is possible to increase the observed signal.

The physical origin of the optical anisotropy is illustrated by Fig. 3(b). The interband transitions for the $y$-polarized light become suppressed with respect to those for the $x$ polarization due to Pauli blocking, caused by the thermal tail of the displaced distribution function $f_y \neq 0$. The resulting difference of the conductivities, $\sigma_{yy} < \sigma_{xx}$, leads to a positive anisotropy signal since $C$ is negative. This picture is symmetric when $V_x$ changes sign, so in the limit of low drift velocity $F \approx V_x^2$. Unlike studies with linearly polarized optical pump$^{47,48}$, where momentum distribution of the photoexcited electrons and holes is highly anisotropic ($\sim \sin^2 \phi$) from the very beginning in spite of the zero total momentum, in our case the anisotropy arises as the electron Fermi sphere is displaced from zero momentum by the strong THz field. In both cases the distribution functions acquire nonzero second angular harmonics ($\sim \cos \phi \sin \phi$) that is necessary for the anisotropy of the optical response.

We solve the Boltzmann equation in the hydrodynamic approximation, using balance equations for the total energy, momentum and particle number of the electron gas similarly to the works on electron transport in graphene in stationary high electric fields$^{19,20}$. In these equations, the energy and momentum time derivatives caused by phonons are calculated using full electron-phonon collision integrals. We consider 6 phonon modes: 4 modes of graphene $\Gamma$ and $K$ and 2 modes of SiO$_2$ surface polar phonons$^{29,30,35}$. We assume polarization- and momentum-independent phonon occupation numbers $n_{\mu} = \left[ \exp(\hbar\omega_{\mu}/T) - 1 \right]^{-1}$ determined by two separate temperatures for graphene optical $T_{GO}$ and surface polar $T_{SPP}$ phonons. Since hot phonons play an important role in the electron gas dynamics in strong fields$^{36,37,38}$, we calculate time evolution of $T_{GO}$ and $T_{SPP}$ from the energy balance for the corresponding phonon gases, which exchange energy with electrons and additionally lose energy via phonon decay with the characteristic times $\tau_{ph} \approx 2\hbar/\gamma_{ph}$ and $\tau_{SPP} \approx 1\hbar/\gamma_{SPP}$. For the scattering time on long-range impurities, relevant for graphene on a SiO$_2$ substrate$^{27}$, we take $\tau_{imp}(k) \approx s/k$, where $s$ can be related to the low-field carrier mobility $\mu_c = 2e\nu_F s/\hbar$, which is about...
1000 cm$^2$/V·s in our sample. In numerical calculations we use the THz electric field strength of $\sim 70$ kV/cm, which is several times lower than the incident field $E_{\text{max}}$. This reduction of the field acting on graphene electrons is caused by the destructive interference of the incident THz wave with the wave reflected from the underlying $p$-doped Si substrate with the reflectivity $R_{\text{THz}} \approx 0.6 \div 0.7$.

Typical calculation results for $F$ are shown in Fig. 1. We take the doping level $\mu = 500$ meV and the values 70 kV/cm and 35 kV/cm for the peak strength of the electric field acting on graphene electrons. One can see that our numerical model reproduces the magnitude of $F$ and the general similarity of $F(t)$ and $|E(t)|$ relatively well at realistic parameters. Fig. 2 illustrates the dependence of the calculated $F$ on the doping level. For the calculation we used Fermi levels $\mu = 430$ and 480 meV for the cases of lower and higher doping. These values were close to those extracted from the resistance measurements and allowed us to reproduce the experimental results relatively well. Both the theory and the experiment demonstrate the same qualitative effect — $F$ grows with increasing doping level. However, generally the theory predicts highly nonlinear doping dependence of $F$, especially for strong THz fields. Note that increasing $|\mu|$ or decreasing $\omega_0$ in order to bring optically probed energy regions $\pm \hbar \omega_0 / 2$ closer to the Fermi level would significantly increase the anisotropy signal.

The detected anisotropic response of graphene, however, contains specific features, that our model is not able to reproduce. First, the third peak behaves differently with respect to the first two ones: its growth upon doubling the electric field is considerably higher ($\sim 4.5$) than for the first two peaks ($\sim 2.5$), and is underestimated by the theory. This anomalous behavior at the end of the THz pulse can be caused by the heating of the electron gas in graphene, the temperature of which is expected to be maximal after the action of the peak electric field, as shown by the calculated profiles of $T(t)$ in Fig. 3(a). It should also be noted that the rise time of the third peak is the shortest of all three peaks ($\sim 50$ fs) and is comparable with the characteristic time of electron-electron interactions in graphene, so in this regime our hydrodynamic approximation can miss some features of the coherent collisionless dynamics of electrons driven by the high electric field.

One more interesting property of the anisotropic response is the sharp bend or kink observed in the signal at $\sim 40$ fs, after the THz electric field has reached the maximum and just began to decrease. It is visible in the signals recorded at both field strengths and is marked by arrows in the inset to Fig. 1. One can see that due to this kink the form of the anisotropy signal differs considerably from the THz waveform. The latter evolves smoothly similar to a sine wave, while the former resembles a wave crest indicating the nonlinearity of the THz response of graphene. Such behavior of the anisotropic signal near the peak electric field can be a signature of similar nonlinear features in the THz-induced current, although we do not measure the latter directly in our experiment.

Finally, in view of the long-standing search of the nonlinear current response of graphene in the THz range, we calculate the electric current density $j$ (Fig. 3(b)). The electric current demonstrates strong nonlinearities: first, its peak values change insignificantly when the electric field is doubled, that can be considered as a manifestation of the electric current saturation, and, second, $j$ becomes lower at the same field strength near the end of the pulse, which can be attributed to the influence of electron gas heating.

In conclusion, we have measured the ultrafast anisotropic optical response of highly doped graphene under intense THz excitation and developed the model of temporal dynamics of the momentum distribution functions based on the Boltzmann equation, solved in the hydrodynamic approximation. Theoretical calculations provide good description of the general shape and magnitude of the anisotropy signal at realistic parameters, and also predict strong nonlinearities of the THz-field induced electric current. We demonstrate that the anisotropic optical response measured with subcycle temporal resolution contains information on the ultrafast dynamics of the electron gas, its heating, isotropization and concomitant nonlinearities. Our work links the areas of nonlinear THz electrodynamics of graphene, ultrafast pseudospin dynamics of Dirac electrons, and strong-current graphene physics, thereby providing an alternative tool for studying high-field phenomena in graphene in the far-IR and THz range.

This work was supported by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation (Project No. RFMEFI61316X0054). The experiments were performed using the Unique Scientific Facility “Multipurpose femtosecond spectroscopic complex” of the Institute for Spectroscopy of the Russian Academy of Sciences.
