Universal Short Range Correlations in Bosonic Helium Clusters
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Short-range correlations in bosonic helium clusters, composed of \(^4\)He atoms, are studied utilizing the generalized contact formalism. The emergence of universal \(n\)-body short range correlations is formulated and demonstrated numerically via Monte Carlo simulations. The values of the \(n\)-particle contacts are evaluated for \(n \leq 5\). In the thermodynamic limit, the two-body contact is extracted from available experimental measurements of the static structure factor of liquid \(^4\)He at high momenta, and found in a good agreement with the value extracted from our calculations.

Interacting multiparticle systems where the interaction range is much smaller than any other characteristic length scale, such this associated with the density or the average momentum, can be studied using the zero range approximation. In this limit, the interaction details are neglected and the system acquires universal features depending only on its density \(\rho\) and the scattering length \(a_s\). When \(a_s\) is small, the particles interact weakly and the system is amenable to perturbative treatment. When it is large, the particles are strongly correlated and one needs to resort to numerical methods to study the system properties.

About a decade ago, while studying two-component Fermi systems with large \(a_s\), Tan has succeeded to show that many of its properties are governed by a single parameter, the so-called contact \(C\), which measures the probability of two particles being in close proximity [1]. Following Tan’s work, different relations between various properties of such system and the contact, known as the Tan relations, were derived and verified experimentally with ultracold gases [2–5]. One example is the one-body momentum distribution \(n(k)\) tail, determined to be

\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} n(k) = C/k^4. \quad (1)
\]

The Pauli principle prevents identical fermions from approaching each other in a relative \(s\)-wave state. Consequently, three-body correlations are typically negligible in an ultracold two-component atomic Fermi gas. Instead, it is instructive therefore to study the adaptation of Tan’s relations to weak universality. For instance, relations between the one and two-body momentum distributions as well as the two-body density were studied in nuclei [10,11]. In the following we will investigate such relations for bosonic \(^4\)He clusters.

\(^4\)He clusters have attracted a lot of attention. For a long time, the \(^4\)He trimer seemed to be the most promising candidate for experimental validation of the Efimov effect [13], as liquid Helium was for Bose-Einstein condensation. Recently \(^4\)He dimer and trimer densities were measured experimentally [15,16]. The results compare very well with theoretical calculations using \(^4\)He pair potential models. The dimer and trimer densities at short range play a crucial role in the contact formalism we study here. The atomic clusters exhibit a universal short-range \(2,3\)-body behavior stemming from the dimer and trimer wavefunctions, respectively. Moreover, this phenomenon also continues with the coalescence of more atoms inside these clusters, showing the emergence of

Here \(r_{ij} = r_i - r_j\) is the interparticle distance and \(R_{ij} = (r_i + r_j)/2\) is the pair’s center of mass coordinate. In the zero-range approximation the universal pair wavefunction is given by \(\phi_2(r_{ij}) = 1/r_{ij} - 1/a_s + O(r_{ij})\).

Recently, the contact formalism was generalized to systems where the zero-range approximation is not justified [9,12]. This is the situation, for example, in the atomic nucleus, where the interparticle distance is about 2.4 fm, while the nuclear interaction range, estimated from the pion mass, is about \(h/m_\pi c \approx 1.4\) fm. This is also the situation in \(^4\)He atomic clusters, where the average interparticle distance within clusters with more than three atoms is about 5 Å, while the van der Waals length, characterizing the potential’s range, is about 5.4 Å.

In such cases, one would not expect to see a strong universality, i.e. relations which do not depend on the interaction details and are determined only by scattering parameters such as \(a_s\). Still, given an interaction model strong at small distances, the wavefunction factorization (Eq. 2) remains valid since at close distance a correlated particle pair is barely influenced by the surrounding particles and therefore its wavefunction \(\phi_2(r)\) should be the same regardless of the system size or state. We will call this situation weak universality.

\[ r_{ij} = r_i - r_j \quad \text{is the interparticle distance and } R_{ij} = (r_i + r_j)/2 \quad \text{is the pair’s center of mass coordinate. In the zero-range approximation the universal pair wavefunction is given by } \phi_2(r_{ij}) = 1/r_{ij} - 1/a_s + O(r_{ij}). \]
4, 5, \ldots \text{-body universality.}

In order to study the properties of $^4$He clusters we solve the
N-body Schrödinger equation with the LM2M2 pair potential \[17\).

As we argued above, in the limit of vanishing inter-
particle distance $r \to 0$ we expect the wavefunction $\Psi$ to
factorize as in Eq. \[2\] into a universal 2-body function
and a residual state dependent function. If true, this
factorization holds also for $N = 2$. Consequently, we can
identify $\phi_2$ with the dimer wavefunction.

The resulting two-body contact is defined as the norm
of the residual non-universal part of the wavefunction
multiplied by the number of pairs,

$$C_2^{(N)} = \frac{N(N-1)}{2} \langle A_2^{(N)} | A_2^{(N)} \rangle = \left(\frac{N}{2}\right)^2 \langle A_2^{(N)} | A_2^{(N)} \rangle. \hspace{1cm} \text{(3)}$$

Using this definition, the pair density function at short
distances attains an extremely simple form,

$$\rho_2^{(N)}(r) = \frac{1}{V} \sum_{i<j} \delta(r_{ij} - r) \rho_2(r) \equiv \rho_2^{(2)}(r) = \int d\Omega_2 |\phi_2(r)|^2, \hspace{1cm} \text{where } \Omega_2 \text{ is the solid angle.} \hspace{1cm} \text{(4)}$$

In a bosonic system, coalescence of more particles
should provide further factorizations of the wavefunction
\[18\]. When particles $i, j$ and $k$ come close together,
the wavefunction is factorized as

$$\Psi \rightarrow \phi_3(x, y) A_3^{(N)}(R_{ijk}, \{ r_i \}_{i \neq i,j,k}) \hspace{1cm} \text{(5)}$$

where the triplet wavefunction depends on the Jacobi co-
ordinates $x_{ijk} = \sqrt{1/2}(r_i - r_j)$ and $y_{ijk} = \sqrt{2/3}(r_k - (r_i + r_j)/2)$, and the factorization holds for small hyper-
radius $r_{ijk} = x_{ijk} + y_{ijk}^2$. Here $R_{ijk}$ is the three body
center of mass coordinate. In analogy with Eq. \[3\], the
three-body contact in the $N$-body system is defined to be
the number of triplets times the norm of the particular
part of the wavefunction in three-body coalescence,

$$C_3^{(N)} = \binom{N}{3} \langle A_3^{(N)} | A_3^{(N)} \rangle. \hspace{1cm} \text{(6)}$$

The triplet density operator is defined as,

$$\rho_3^{(N)}(r) = \frac{1}{V^2} \sum_{i<j<k} \delta(r_{ijk} - r) \hspace{1cm} \text{(7)}$$

and its expectation value in the $N$-body system is

$$\rho_3^{(N)}(r) = \langle \psi | \rho_3^{(N)}(r) | \psi \rangle \rightarrow C_3^{(N)} \rho_3(r) \hspace{1cm} \text{(8)}$$

where $\rho_3^{(N)}(r) = \int d\Omega_3 |\phi_3(x, y)|^2$, and $\Omega_3$
denotes the hyperangles associated with $x$, and $y$.

Similar factorization is assumed in the $n$-body coalescence,
leading to the definition of the $n$-body contact,
and to the $n$-body density function,

$$\rho_n^{(N)}(r) \rightarrow C_n^{(N)} \rho_n(r), \hspace{1cm} \text{(9)}$$

where here $r = \sqrt{\sum_{i<j} (r_i - r_j)^2/n}$ is the $n$-body hy-
perradius. This is one of the main results of this paper
and in the following we shall show that this is indeed the
case for $n \leq 5$ in atomic $^4$He droplets with $N$ atoms. In
the mean time we note that with the above definition the contact for
$n = N$ equals unity since $\rho_n(r) \equiv \rho_n^{(n)}(r)$.

Using this factorization, the zero-range result for the
high momentum limit of the 1-body momentum distri-
bution (Eq. \[1\]), is now modified to get \[19\]

$$n^{(N)}(k) \longrightarrow 2C_2^{(N)} \hat{\phi}_2(k)^2 \hspace{1cm} \text{(10)}$$

where $\hat{\phi}_2(k)$ is the Fourier transform (FT) of $\phi_2(r)$. The
high momentum limit of the static structure factor, which is
proportional to the contact in the zero-range limit \[5\],
gets now the form

$$S(Q) \rightarrow 1 + \frac{2C_2^{(N)}}{Q} \frac{4\pi}{Q} \int drr \sin(Qr) \rho_2(r), \hspace{1cm} \text{(11)}$$

where $Q$ is the momentum transfer. It is also possible
to relate the contact to the potential energy which,
for a cluster of bosons interacting via 2-body forces
can be written using the 2-body density $\langle V_2^{(N)} \rangle = \int drr \rho_2^{(N)}(r) \rho_2(r)$. For a short range interaction we can
replace $\rho_2^{(N)}$ by its asymptotic form, Eq. \[4\], relating the
$N$-body potential energy to the 2-body contact and potential energy \[19\],

$$\langle V_2^{(N)} \rangle = C_2^{(N)} \langle V_2^{(2)} \rangle, \hspace{1cm} \text{(12)}$$

which generalizes the zero-range result of Ref. \[20\].

The $N$ dependence - To understand the dependence of the
$n$-body contact on the total particle number $N$ in the
cluster, it is useful to relate the pair density $\rho_2^{(N)}$ to
the 2-body density $\chi(r, r') = \sum_{i<j} \langle \psi | \delta(r_i - r_j) \delta(r_j' - r_i') | \psi \rangle
$,

$$\rho_2^{(N)}(r) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \int dR_{12} \chi(r_1, r_2). \hspace{1cm} \text{(13)}$$

In the limit $N \to \infty$ the system becomes homoge-
neous, $\chi(r_1, r_2) \to \chi(r_{12})$ and therefore $\rho_2^{(N)}(r_{12}) = V \chi(r_{12})/2N \chi(r_{12})/2\rho$ where $V$ is the volume of the
system and $\rho = N/V$ is the density. Taking now the limit
$r_{12} \rightarrow 0$ one can get the relation \[19\]

$$\chi(r) \rightarrow \frac{2 \rho C_2^{(N)}}{N} \rho_2(r). \hspace{1cm} \text{(14)}$$

We know that in the thermodynamic limit $\chi$ and $\rho$ are
finite. It follows that $C_2^{(N)} \propto N$ as $N \to \infty$. The
same argument can be repeated for $n = 3, 4, 5, \ldots$ leading
to the general conclusion that for any $n$-body coa-
lescence $C_n^{(N)} \propto N$ as $N \to \infty$. Equipped with this
observation it seems natural to define a reduced contact
$C_n^{(N)} = C_n^{(N)}/N$. As the atomic He clusters behave very
much like a cluster of rigid balls, we expect that the leading corrections to the above argument will depend on the ratio between surface particles $\propto N^{2/3}$ and volume particles $\propto N$. Consequently in the limit $N \to \infty$ the contacts are expected to have the following $N$ dependence

$$C^{(N)}_n = \hat{C}^{\infty}_n + \alpha_n N^{-1/3} + \beta_n N^{-2/3} + \ldots \quad (15)$$

The computational method - Throughout the years, a variety of numerical methods have been developed to solve the few-body Schrödinger equation. However, the increasing dimensionality and the hard-core nature of the $^4$He-$^4$He pair potential make this problem hard to handle for most numerical methods. Here we use the Variational Monte Carlo (VMC) and Diffusion Monte Carlo (DMC) methods. Since these methods are well-known we will only describe them very briefly, for a comprehensive review see e.g. [21].

Given a trial wave-function $\Psi_T$, the variational energy

$$E_{\text{var}} = \langle \Psi_T | H | \Psi_T \rangle \geq E_0 \quad (16)$$

is an upper bound to the true ground-state energy $E_0$. In the VMC method the integrals in Eq. (16) are evaluated using the Monte Carlo numerical integration technique, typically the Metropolis algorithm [22]. Using the variational principle (16), parameters characterizing $\Psi_T$ can be optimized, minimizing the trial energy or its variance.

DMC is an alternative approach to solve the Schrödinger equation through propagation of the solution in imaginary time $\tau = -it$,

$$\frac{\partial \Psi(r_1 \ldots r_N, \tau)}{\partial \tau} = (T + V - E_R) \Psi(r_1 \ldots r_N, \tau). \quad (17)$$

where $E_R$ is a reference energy. Eq. (17) is treated as a diffusion-reaction process for so-called walkers, distributed according to $\Psi$. As time propagates, $\Psi$ will be dominated by the eigenstate with the lowest energy which has a non-zero overlap with the initial state. All other eigenstates will decay exponentially faster. The ground state energy is the reference energy which conserves the walkers number.

Improved results are obtained by introducing a trial wavefunction to guide the diffusion process, therefore a typical DMC calculation starts with an optimized VMC wavefunction. We adopt the trial wavefunction form of Ref. [23], $\Psi_T = \prod_{i<j} f(r_{ij})$ where

$$f(r) = \exp \left[ -(p_5/r)^5 - (p_2/r)^2 - p_1 r \right] / r^{p_0}. \quad (18)$$

Here $p_5, p_2, p_1,$ and $p_0$ are variational parameters, which can be found in Ref. [24].

Ground state energies - To benchmark our Monte Carlo code we have calculated the ground-state energies of small $^4$He clusters with the LM2M2 pair-potential. Calculations were done with 4000 walkers, using 10000 blocks of 500 iterations each. The first 100 blocks were used for equilibration.

Table I: The ground-state energies (in mK) of small $^4$He clusters, with the LM2M2 pair-potential. The dimer energy is 1.30348 mK [32].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>126.39</td>
<td>126.40</td>
<td>125.5(6)</td>
<td>124(2)</td>
<td>125.9(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>557.7</td>
<td>558.98</td>
<td>557(1)</td>
<td>558(3)</td>
<td>557.4(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1296(1)</td>
<td>1310(5)</td>
<td>1300(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2309(3)</td>
<td>2308(5)</td>
<td>2315(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3565(4)</td>
<td>3552(6)</td>
<td>3571(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>5020(4)</td>
<td>5030(8)</td>
<td>5041(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>6677(6)</td>
<td>6679(9)</td>
<td>6697(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>8495(7)</td>
<td>8532(10)</td>
<td>8519(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The $^4$He trimer ground state energy using this potential has been calculated using several few-body techniques. Most results agree with $B_3 = 126.0(5)$ mK [25-32], while different values also exist [33, 34].

Few calculations have been done for larger clusters. The tetramer energy was calculated in Refs. [29,32] using the LM2M2 potential. In Ref. [35] a soft-core potential was used while in Refs. [36,37] an effective field theory approach was followed. In both cases the interaction parameters were fitted to the LM2M2 potential.

Larger clusters were investigated using the DMC method [29,30]. In Table I we compare these calculations with our results, showing good agreement with the published binding energies.

The $n$-body density function – To calculate the $n$-body densities we have used a combination of VMC and DMC estimates,

$$\langle \hat{O} \rangle = 2 \langle \hat{O} \rangle_{\text{DMC}} - \langle \hat{O} \rangle_{\text{VMC}} \quad (19)$$

where $\langle \hat{O} \rangle_{\text{DMC}} = \langle \Psi_T | \hat{O} | \Psi_T \rangle / \langle \Psi_T | \Psi_T \rangle$ is the mixed DMC estimate, and $\langle \hat{O} \rangle_{\text{VMC}} = \langle \Psi_T | \hat{O} | \Psi \rangle / \langle \Psi_T | \Psi \rangle$ is the VMC estimate. This result is accurate to second order in the wavefunction $O(\delta \Psi^2)$, $\delta \Psi = \Psi_T - \Psi$ [38]. Moreover, we checked our results with pure-estimator based on the descendant weighting method [39], and found no significant change.

For the smaller clusters, the resulting $n$-body densities exhibit a typical bell shape, starting from zero at $r = 0$, reaching a maximum value, and finally falling exponentially at large $r$. According to Eq. (4), we expect that at short distances the pair density function $\rho^{(N)}_2$ will coincide with the dimer density $\rho_2$ up to a scaling factor, the 2-body contact $C^{(N)}_2$, which we can extract fitting these two functions [40]. This situation is expected to repeat itself for the 3-body density function, Eq. (8), and in general for any $n$-body density, Eq. (7).

Having extracted the contacts [40] we are in position to demonstrate the validity of Eq. (4). To this end, we plot in Fig. 1 the normalized $n$-body densities $\rho^{(N)}_n / C^{(N)}_n$. 
The $n$-body density function normalized with the appropriate contact $\rho_{n}^{(N)}/C_n^{(N)}$ is presented as function of the $n$-body radius for $n = 2, 3, 4, 5$. For each $n$ the reference density $\rho_n$ is drawn with a black line. The densities for $N = 10, 15, 20 \ldots 50$, are given by the colored lines (from dark to light).

Table II: The asymptotic values of the reduced $n$-body contacts $\tilde{C}_n^{(N)} = C_n^{(N)}/N$ of $^4$He droplets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>$\tilde{C}_n$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$230 \pm 25$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$500 \pm 60$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$1800 \pm 300$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$5900 \pm 1000$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

as a function of the $n$-body radius $r/r_m$. $r_m = 2.6965\,\text{Å}$ being the minimum 2-body potential locus. The plot contains results for $^4$He clusters with $N = n$ and $N = 10, 15, 20 \ldots 50$ particles. Inspecting the plot we see that, indeed, for each $n$ there is a range $r_n$ such that for $r \leq r_n$ all the normalized densities collapse into a single curve. For the pair density this range is approximately $1.3r_m$ and it grows linearly with $n$, i.e. $r_n \approx n \cdot 0.65r_m$.

The numerical values of the extracted contacts are presented in the supplementary material [24]. Here we analyze the $N$ dependence of the $n$-body contacts. From Eq. (15) we expect $\tilde{C}_n^{(N)} = C_n^{(N)}/N$ to be finite in the thermodynamic limit. Our MC code was designed to study small He clusters with $N \leq 50$ particles, and is therefore ill-equipped to study this $N \to \infty$ limit. Instead, to estimate $\tilde{C}_n^\infty$ we fit our calculated contacts to Eq. (15). Doing so, we have found that, for $N \geq 10$, 3 terms are enough to describe $C_2^{(N)}, C_3^{(N)}$, and 4 terms for $C_4^{(N)}, C_5^{(N)}$. The asymptotic values of the reduced contacts are given in Table II. The calculated contacts are plotted together with the asymptotic expansion in Fig. 2 where we observe that the calculated values are well reproduced by the asymptotic expansion.

Having calculated the 2-body contacts, the $Q \to \infty$ limit of the structure factor can be evaluated for any helium droplet and compared with experiment.

For liquid helium, the structure factor was measured using x-ray scattering [11, 12], and neutron scattering techniques [13]. Following the analysis of Donnelly and Barenghi [14] we adopt the latter data set and compare it with the contact theory, Fig. 3. In the range $Q \geq 2\,\text{Å}^{-1}$, dominated by the short-range pair function $\phi_2$, we see a nice agreement between the two. The data fits contact values in the range $\tilde{C}_2^\infty \in (200, 250)$ as predicted by our calculations, Tab. II.

The dynamic structure factor $S(Q, E)$ of liquid $^4$He was recently measured by Prisk et al. [15], using the

Figure 1: The $n$-body density function normalized with the appropriate contact $\rho_{n}^{(N)}/C_n^{(N)}$ is presented as function of the $n$-body radius for $n = 2, 3, 4, 5$. For each $n$ the reference density $\rho_n$ is drawn with a black line. The densities for $N = 10, 15, 20 \ldots 50$, are given by the colored lines (from dark to light).

Figure 2: The evolution of the reduced $n$-body contacts $\tilde{C}_n^{(N)} = C_n^{(N)}/N$ with the system size $N$. Symbols - calculated values, curves - the asymptotic expansion given in Eq. (15).

Figure 3: The structure factor of liquid $^4$He as a function of the momentum transfer $Q$, a comparison between the experimental data of Svensson et al. [13] and the contact theory, Eq. (11). The experimental data are presented by dots. The band corresponds to calculated contact values in the range $\tilde{C}_2^\infty \in (200, 250)$. 
neutron Compton scattering technique. In the impulse approximation, \( S(Q, E) \) and consequently the neutron Compton profile can be calculated from the 1-body momentum distribution \( n(k) \). Utilizing the contact relation \( \mathcal{C}_2 \), we analyzed these results. Overall we got reasonable agreement between the data and the theory for contact values \( C_2 = 180 \pm 40 \), a value consistent with both the MC calculation and the static structure factor data.

**Conclusion.** Summing up, utilizing the generalized contact formalism, we have studied short-range correlations in bosonic Helium clusters composed of \(^4\)He atoms. Specifically, we have studied \( n \)-body coalescences, and the emergence of universal \( n \)-body short-range correlations. Employing the LM2M2 pair potential, VMC and DMC calculations were used to demonstrate and verify the universal nature of these correlations. For systems with up to \( N = 50 \) particles, the values of the \( n \)-body contacts were evaluated numerically for \( n \leq 5 \). The thermodynamic limit was studied, extrapolating our numerical results. Comparing our prediction with the experimental two-body contact, extracted from available measurements of the structure factor of liquid \(^4\)He at high momenta, we have found a good agreement. It would be interesting to compare our predictions with detailed Monte Carlo simulations of Helium liquid.

The implications of the current formalism on the momentum distribution and the dynamic structure factors call for further experimental studies in the high momentum sector.
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The variational parameters

In Table S1 we present the trial wave function parameters (Eq. (18)) optimized with VMC calculation for small $^4$He clusters $N \leq 50$.

Table S1: The optimal parameters for the trial wave function (Eq. 18).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$N$</th>
<th>$p_0$</th>
<th>$p_1$</th>
<th>$p_2$</th>
<th>$p_5$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.</td>
<td>0.0309</td>
<td>0.3002</td>
<td>0.9022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2136</td>
<td>0.1784</td>
<td>0.8884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.3333</td>
<td>0.2547</td>
<td>0.1774</td>
<td>0.8898</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.2654</td>
<td>0.2975</td>
<td>0.877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2279</td>
<td>0.1076</td>
<td>0.8825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.1667</td>
<td>0.2257</td>
<td>0.1166</td>
<td>0.8844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.1429</td>
<td>0.2063</td>
<td>0.1559</td>
<td>0.8822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0.1972</td>
<td>0.1455</td>
<td>0.8829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.1111</td>
<td>0.1744</td>
<td>0.1202</td>
<td>0.8839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.0714</td>
<td>0.1379</td>
<td>0.1896</td>
<td>0.8823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.0714</td>
<td>0.1046</td>
<td>0.2401</td>
<td>0.881</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.0417</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>0.2526</td>
<td>0.8795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.0345</td>
<td>0.0796</td>
<td>0.2733</td>
<td>0.8789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.0294</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.2727</td>
<td>0.8801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.1029</td>
<td>0.0405</td>
<td>0.3052</td>
<td>0.884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.0926</td>
<td>0.0364</td>
<td>0.3276</td>
<td>0.8808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.1068</td>
<td>0.0262</td>
<td>0.3326</td>
<td>0.8798</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The contacts

In Table S2 we present the contacts for small $^4$He clusters $N \leq 50$ calculated using a mixed VMC-DMC estimate. The table includes contacts $C_n^{(N)}$ for $n = 2 - 5$ coalescing particles. As explained in the main body of this manuscript, the contacts were extracted from the calculated $n$-particle densities $\rho_n^{(N)}(r)$.
Table S2: The numerical values of the $n$-body contacts in the $N$-body system $C^{(N)}_n$ for $^4$He clusters in the range $N \in (2, 50)$, calculated using the VMC-DMC mixed estimate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$N$</th>
<th>$C^{(N)}_2$</th>
<th>$C^{(N)}_3$</th>
<th>$C^{(N)}_4$</th>
<th>$C^{(N)}_5$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$1.00e + 00 \pm 0e + 00$</td>
<td>$1.00e + 00 \pm 0e + 00$</td>
<td>$1.00e + 00 \pm 0e + 00$</td>
<td>$1.00e + 00 \pm 0e + 00$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$1.78e + 01 \pm 3e - 02$</td>
<td>$1.95e + 01 \pm 4e - 02$</td>
<td>$6.19e + 00 \pm 6e - 03$</td>
<td>$4.85e + 01 \pm 1e - 02$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$4.88e + 01 \pm 1e - 01$</td>
<td>$6.55e + 00 \pm 4e - 03$</td>
<td>$3.63e + 00 \pm 5e - 02$</td>
<td>$2.37e + 01 \pm 2e - 02$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$9.11e + 01 \pm 3e - 01$</td>
<td>$1.95e + 01 \pm 4e - 02$</td>
<td>$6.19e + 00 \pm 6e - 03$</td>
<td>$4.85e + 01 \pm 1e - 02$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>$1.43e + 02 \pm 6e - 01$</td>
<td>$4.08e + 01 \pm 9e - 02$</td>
<td>$1.93e + 01 \pm 8e - 03$</td>
<td>$6.18e + 00 \pm 1e - 02$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>$2.01e + 02 \pm 8e - 01$</td>
<td>$7.15e + 01 \pm 2e - 01$</td>
<td>$4.45e + 01 \pm 1e - 02$</td>
<td>$2.11e + 01 \pm 4e - 02$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>$2.67e + 02 \pm 1e + 00$</td>
<td>$1.11e + 02 \pm 3e - 01$</td>
<td>$8.31e + 01 \pm 8e - 02$</td>
<td>$5.06e + 01 \pm 2e - 01$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>$3.38e + 02 \pm 2e + 00$</td>
<td>$1.59e + 02 \pm 6e - 01$</td>
<td>$1.39e + 02 \pm 9e - 02$</td>
<td>$1.03e + 02 \pm 5e - 01$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>$4.15e + 02 \pm 2e + 00$</td>
<td>$2.13e + 02 \pm 7e - 01$</td>
<td>$2.07e + 02 \pm 5e - 01$</td>
<td>$1.74e + 02 \pm 1e + 00$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>$8.54e + 02 \pm 6e + 00$</td>
<td>$6.01e + 02 \pm 3e + 00$</td>
<td>$8.39e + 02 \pm 2e + 00$</td>
<td>$1.08e + 03 \pm 1e + 01$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>$1.36e + 03 \pm 1e + 01$</td>
<td>$1.14e + 03 \pm 8e + 00$</td>
<td>$1.92e + 03 \pm 3e + 00$</td>
<td>$3.07e + 03 \pm 3e + 01$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>$1.93e + 03 \pm 2e + 01$</td>
<td>$1.78e + 03 \pm 1e + 01$</td>
<td>$3.37e + 03 \pm 6e + 00$</td>
<td>$6.13e + 03 \pm 6e + 01$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>$2.52e + 03 \pm 2e + 01$</td>
<td>$2.51e + 03 \pm 2e + 01$</td>
<td>$5.14e + 03 \pm 9e + 00$</td>
<td>$1.02e + 04 \pm 1e + 02$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>$3.15e + 03 \pm 3e + 01$</td>
<td>$3.34e + 03 \pm 3e + 01$</td>
<td>$7.30e + 03 \pm 9e + 00$</td>
<td>$1.56e + 04 \pm 2e + 02$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>$3.83e + 03 \pm 4e + 01$</td>
<td>$4.26e + 03 \pm 4e + 01$</td>
<td>$9.83e + 03 \pm 6e + 00$</td>
<td>$2.23e + 04 \pm 2e + 02$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>$4.48e + 03 \pm 5e + 01$</td>
<td>$5.14e + 03 \pm 5e + 01$</td>
<td>$1.23e + 04 \pm 1e + 01$</td>
<td>$2.87e + 04 \pm 3e + 02$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>$5.16e + 03 \pm 6e + 01$</td>
<td>$6.09e + 03 \pm 6e + 01$</td>
<td>$1.50e + 04 \pm 1e + 01$</td>
<td>$3.62e + 04 \pm 4e + 02$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>