AN ANALOGUE OF KUMMER’S RELATION BETWEEN THE IDEAL CLASS NUMBER AND THE UNIT INDEX OF CYCLOTONIC FIELDS
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Abstract. In this paper, we obtain a formula for the special value of Euler-Dirichlet $L$-function $L_E(s, \chi)$ at $s = 1$. This leads to another class number formula of $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_m)^+$, the maximal real subfield of $m$th cyclotomic field. From this formula, we construct a new type of cyclotomic units in $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_p^n)$, which implies a similar Kummer’s relation between the ideal class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_p^n)^+$ and the unit index.

1. Introduction

Throughout the paper, $\chi$ will always be a primitive Dirichlet character with conductor $f_\chi$ or $f$. Therefore, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\chi(n) = 0 \iff \gcd(n, f) > 1$.

An alternating form of Dirichlet $L$-function:

$$L_E(s, \chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} \chi(n)}{n^s}, \text{ Re}(s) > 0,$$

is called Euler-Dirichlet $L$-function. We see that $L_E(s, \chi)$ is indeed the following Dirichlet eta function with a character:

$$\eta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n^s}, \text{ Re}(s) > 0.$$

The above $\eta(s)$ is a particular case of Witten’s zeta functions in mathematical physics (see [3, p. 248, (3.14)]) and it has been used by Euler to obtain a functional equation of Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$ (see [7, p.273–276]). There is also a connection between $L_E(s, \chi)$ and the ideal class group of the $p^{n+1}$-th cyclotomic field where $p$ is a prime number. For details, we refer to a recent paper [3], especially [3, Propositions 3.2 and 3.4].

In this paper, we obtain a formula for the special value of Euler-Dirichlet $L$-function $L_E(s, \chi)$ at $s = 1$ (see Theorem 1.5). As a consequence, we get another class number formula of $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_m)^+$, the maximal real subfield of $m$th cyclotomic field (see Theorem 1.6). From this formula, we construct a new type of cyclotomic units in $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_p^n)$ (see Eq. (1.13)), which implies a similar Kummer’s relation between the class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_p^n)^+$ and the unit index (see Theorem 1.8).

*Corresponding author.
1.1. **Background.** The Dirichlet $L$-function $L(s, \chi)$ ($L$-series) is defined by

\begin{equation}
L(s, \chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s},
\end{equation}

where the series on the right is absolutely convergent for Re$(s) > 1$ and is conditionally convergent for Re$(s) > 0$ for non-principal $\chi$ (see [2]). Let

\begin{equation}
\zeta_f = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{f}} = \cos \left(\frac{2\pi}{f}\right) + i \sin \left(\frac{2\pi}{f}\right)
\end{equation}

be a primitive $f$th root of unity and

\begin{equation}
\tau(\chi) = \sum_{r \pmod{f}} \chi(r) \zeta_f^r,
\end{equation}

be the Gauss sum corresponding to the character $\chi$, where $r$ runs through a full (or a reduced) system of residues modulo $f$. If $\chi$ is non-principal, the following result on the special value of $L(s, \chi)$ at $s = 1$ is well-known.

**Theorem 1.1** (See Washington [6, p.38, Theorem 4.9]).

\begin{equation}
L(1, \chi) = \begin{cases} 
-\frac{2\pi i}{f} \sum_{1 \leq k < f/2} \chi(k) \log |1 - \zeta_f^k| & \text{if } \chi \text{ is even, i.e. } \chi(-1) = 1, \\
\pi \frac{i \tau(\chi)}{f^2} \sum_{k=1}^{f} \chi(k)k & \text{if } \chi \text{ is odd, i.e. } \chi(-1) = -1.
\end{cases}
\end{equation}

This formula has an important application in algebraic number theory by connecting $L(1, \chi)$ with the class number formula of abelian fields $K/Q$, that is:

**Theorem 1.2** (See Lang [4, p.77]).

\begin{equation}
\frac{2^{r_1}(2\pi)^{r_2}hR}{wd^{1/2}} = \prod_{\chi \neq 1} L(1, \chi),
\end{equation}

where the product is taken over all the primitive characters induced by the characters of $\text{Gal}(K/Q)$ and

- $w = w_K$ = number of roots of unity in $K$,
- $h = h_K$ = class number of $K$,
- $R = R_K$ = regulator of $K$,
- $d = d_K$ = absolute value of the discriminant.

If $K$ is real, then $r_1 = [K : Q]$ and $r_2 = 0$; if $K$ is complex, then $r_1 = 0$ and $r_2 = \frac{1}{2}[K : Q]$. 
Assume that \( m \) is odd or \( m \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \), \( K = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_m) \) and \( K^+ = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_m)^+ \) be the \( m \)-th cyclotomic field and its maximal real subfield, respectively; \( h \) and \( h^+ \) be the class number of \( K \) and \( K^+ \), respectively.

For convenience of the notations, we also denote \( \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \) by \( \mathbb{Z}(m) \). Let \( f_\chi \) be the conductor of \( \chi \). Introduce the group
\[
G = \mathbb{Z}(m)^*/\pm 1 \quad \text{and} \quad G_\chi = \mathbb{Z}(f_\chi)^*/\pm 1
\]

Combining (1.6) and (1.7), we have the class number formula of \( K^+ \).

**Theorem 1.3** (See Lang [4, p.81]).

\[
h^+ = \frac{1}{R^+} \prod_{\chi \neq 1} \sum_{k \in G_\chi} -\chi(k) \log |1 - \zeta_k^k|,
\]

where the product over \( \chi \neq 1 \) is taken over the non-trivial characters of \( G \), or equivalently, the non-trivial even characters of \( \mathbb{Z}(m)^* \).

For \( k \) prime to \( m \), we let
\[
g_k = \frac{\zeta_m^k - 1}{\zeta_m - 1}.
\]

Then \( g_k \) is called a cyclotomic unit. It is easy to see that \( g_k \) is equal to a real unit times a root of unity. Since \( \zeta_m^k \) only depends on the residue class of \( k \mod m \), without loss of generality, we may assume that \( k \) is odd. Then
\[
\zeta_m^{-v} g_k \quad \text{for} \quad v = \frac{k - 1}{2}
\]
is real (i.e. fixed under \( \sigma_{-1} \)), and call it the real cyclotomic unit.

Let \( E \) be the group of unit in \( K \) and \( \mathcal{E} \) be the subgroup of \( E \) generated by the roots of unity and the cyclotomic units. Let \( E^+ \) be the group of unit in \( K^+ \) and \( \mathcal{E}^+ \) be the the subgroup of \( E^+ \) generated by \( \pm 1 \) and real cyclotomic units. Assume \( m = p^a \) is a prime power, we have
\[
E/\mathcal{E} \cong E^+/\mathcal{E}^+.
\]

From (1.9) and the Dedekind determinant formula (see Theorem 5.1), we have the following Kummer’s result related to the class number \( h^+ \) and the unit indexes \((E : \mathcal{E}) = (E^+ : \mathcal{E}^+)\).

**Theorem 1.4** (See Lang [4, p.85, Theorem 5.1]). Let \( K = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_m) \), \( K^+ = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_m)^+ \) and \( h^+ \) be the class number of \( K^+ \). Assume \( m = p^a \) is a prime power. Then
\[
h^+ = (E : \mathcal{E}) = (E^+ : \mathcal{E}^+).
\]

1.2. **Our results.** First, we will calculate the special value of \( L_E(s, \chi) \) at \( s = 1 \) (comparing with Theorem 1.1 above).
Theorem 1.5.

\[ L_E(1, \chi) = \frac{2\tau(\chi)}{f} \sum_{1 \leq k < f/2} \overline{\chi}(k) \log \left| \cos \left( \frac{\pi k}{f} \right) \right| \]

\[ = \frac{\tau(\chi)}{f} \sum_{k=1}^{f} \overline{\chi}(k) \log |1 + \zeta_f^k|, \]

if \( \chi \) is even, i.e. \( \chi(-1) = 1 \).

\[ L_E(1, \chi) = -\frac{2\pi i \tau(\chi)}{f^2} \sum_{1 \leq k < f/2} \overline{\chi}(k)k, \]

if \( \chi \) is odd, i.e. \( \chi(-1) = -1 \).

Assume that \( m \) is odd or \( m \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \), \( K = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_m) \) and \( K^+ = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_m)^+ \) be the \( m \)-th cyclotomic field and its maximal real subfield, respectively; \( h \) and \( h^+ \) be the class number of \( K \) and \( K^+ \), respectively. Denote by

\[ \eta = \prod_{\chi \neq 1} (1 - \chi(2)) \]

(1.11)

where the product over \( \chi \neq 1 \) is taken over the non-trivial characters of \( G \), or equivalently, the non-trivial even characters \( \mathbb{Z}(m)^* \). Combing (1.10) and the class number formula of abelian fields (see (1.7)), we also have another class number formula of \( K^+ \) (comparing with Theorem 1.3 above).

Theorem 1.6.

(1.12)

\[ \eta h^+ = \frac{1}{R^+} \prod_{\chi \neq 1} \sum_{k \in G_\chi} \chi(k) \log |1 + \zeta_f^k|, \]

where the product over \( \chi \neq 1 \) is taken over the non-trivial characters of \( G \), or equivalently, the non-trivial even characters \( \mathbb{Z}(m)^* \).

For \( k \) prime to \( m \), we define a new type of cyclotomic units to be

\[ \tilde{g}_k = \frac{\zeta_m^k + 1}{\zeta_m + 1} \]

(1.13)

(comparing with the definition of \( g_k \) above). Then \( \tilde{g}_k \) is equal to a real unit times a root of unity. Since \( \zeta_m^k \) only depends on the residue class of \( k \) mod \( m \), without loss of generality, we may assume that \( k \) is odd. Then

\[ \zeta_m^{-v} \tilde{g}_k \text{ for } v = \frac{k - 1}{2} \]

is real (i.e. fixed under \( \sigma_{-1} \)), and we call it a new type of cyclotomic units.

Let \( E \) be the group of unit in \( K \) and \( \tilde{E} \) be the subgroup of \( E \) generated by the roots of unity and the new type cyclotomic units defined above. Let \( E^+ \) be the group of unit in \( K^+ \) and \( \tilde{E}^+ \) be the subgroup of \( E^+ \) generated by \( \pm 1 \) and the new type real cyclotomic units introduced above. We have the following isomorphism.

Proposition 1.7. Assume \( m = p^n \) is a prime power, we have

\[ E/\tilde{E} \cong E^+/\tilde{E}^+. \]
From (1.12) and the Dedekind determinant formula (see Theorem 5.1), we also have a new formula related to $h^+$ and the unit indexes $(E : \tilde{E})$ and $(E^+ : \tilde{E}^+)$ (comparing with Theorem 1.4 above).

**Theorem 1.8.** Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_m)$, $K^+ = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_m)^+$ and $h^+$ be the class number of $K^+$. Assume $m$ is a prime power. If either $m = 2^n$; or $m = p^n$ is an odd prime power and $-1, 2$ generate the group $\mathbb{Z}(m)^*$, then $\eta \neq 0$ and

$$|\eta|h^+ = (E : \tilde{E}) = (E^+ : \tilde{E}^+).$$

Otherwise $\eta = 0$ and $E$ (resp. $E^+$) is of infinite index in $E$ (resp. $E^+$).

2. **Proof of Theorem 1.5**

Let $\chi$ be a non-trivial Dirichlet character with conductor $f$. We rearrange the terms in the series for $L_E(s, \chi)$ according to the residue classes mod $f$. That is, we write

$$n = qf + r, \quad \text{where } 1 \leq r \leq f \text{ and } q = 0, 1, 2, \ldots,$$

and obtain

$$-L_E(s, \chi) = \sum_{r=1}^{f} \chi(r) \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{qf+r}}{(qf+r)^s}$$

$$= \sum_{(r,f)=1} \chi(r) \sum_{n \equiv r \pmod{f}} \frac{(-1)^n}{n^s},$$

since $\chi(r) = 0$ if $(r, f) > 1$. The inner series can be written in the form

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n c_n}{n^s},$$

where

$$c_n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } n \equiv r \pmod{f} \\ 0 & \text{for } n \not\equiv r \pmod{f}. \end{cases}$$

To find a convenient way of writing the coefficients $c_n$, we consider the following formula:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{f-1} \zeta_f^{\ell k} = \begin{cases} f & \text{for } \ell \equiv 0 \pmod{f} \\ 0 & \text{for } \ell \not\equiv 0 \pmod{f}, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\zeta_f = \cos \left( \frac{2\pi}{f} \right) + i \sin \left( \frac{2\pi}{f} \right) = e^{2\pi i/f}$$

is a primitive $f$th root of unity. We remark

$$c_n = \frac{1}{f} \sum_{k=0}^{f-1} \zeta_f^{(r-n)k}.$$
(see [1, p. 332]). Therefore, combing with (2.1), (2.2) and (2.4) we have the identity

\[
-L_E(s, \chi) = \sum_{(r,f)=1} \chi(r) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{f} \sum_{k=0}^{f-1} \zeta_f^{(r-n)k} (-1)^n \frac{1}{n^s}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{f} \sum_{k=1}^{f-1} \left( \sum_{(r,f)=1} \chi(r) \zeta_f^k \right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n \zeta_f^{-nk}}{n^s},
\]

since \( \sum_{(r,f)=1} \chi(r) = 0 \) if \( \chi \) is not the principal character.

The Gauss sum \( \tau(\chi) \) (1.5) depends not only on \( \chi \), but also on the choice of the root \( \zeta_f \). Throughout the proof of this theorem, we always assume \( \zeta_f = \cos(2\pi/f) + i\sin(2\pi/f) \).

We see that [6, Lemma 4.7]

\[
\sum_{r \pmod{f}} \chi(r) \zeta_f^k = \overline{\chi}(k) \tau(\chi).
\]

Using (2.6) we can write (2.5) in the form

\[
-L_E(s, \chi) = \frac{\tau(\chi)}{f} \sum_{k=1}^{f-1} \overline{\chi}(k) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n \zeta_f^{-nk}}{n^s}.
\]

The above series (2.7) converges for \( 0 < s < \infty \) and represents a continuous function of \( s \). Hence we may set \( s = 1 \) in this last equation and obtain

\[
-L_E(1, \chi) = \frac{\tau(\chi)}{f} \sum_{k=1}^{f-1} \overline{\chi}(k) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n \zeta_f^{-nk}}{n}.
\]

To find the sum of the inner series on the right in (2.8), we consider

\[
\log(1 + z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n} z^n, \text{ convergent for } |z| < 1.
\]

It is well known that the analytic function \( \log(1 + z) \) defined by (2.9) has \( z = -1 \) as its only singular point at finite distance. Since this series also converges at the point \( z = \zeta_f^{-k} \) (on the unit circle), then by Abel’s theorem, we have

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n \zeta_f^{-nk}}{n} = -\log(1 + \zeta_f^{-k})
\]

and hence

\[
L_E(1, \chi) = \frac{\tau(\chi)}{f} \sum_{k=1}^{f} \overline{\chi}(k) \log(1 + \zeta_f^{-k}),
\]

thus we have obtained a finite expression for the series \( L_E(1, \chi) \).
The formula (2.11) can be further investigated and considerably simplified as follows. Let

\begin{equation}
S_\chi = \sum_{k=1}^{f} \chi(k) \log(1 + \zeta_f^{-k}),
\end{equation}

where \( k \) running through a reduced system of residues modulo \( f \). From (2.3), the number \( 1 + \zeta_f^{-k} \) (for \( 0 < k < f \)) can be represented as

\begin{equation}
1 + \zeta_f^{-k} = 1 + e^{-\frac{2\pi ki}{f}} = 2 \left( e^{\frac{\pi ki}{f}} + e^{-\frac{\pi ki}{f}} \right) e^{-\frac{\pi ki}{f}},
\end{equation}

which is equivalent to the relation

\begin{equation}
1 + \zeta_f^{-k} = 2 \cos \left( \frac{\pi k}{f} \right) \left( \cos \left( -\frac{\pi k}{f} \right) + i \sin \left( -\frac{\pi k}{f} \right) \right).
\end{equation}

Therefore

\begin{equation}
\log(1 + \zeta_f^{-k}) = \begin{cases} 
\log |1 + \zeta_f^{-k}| - i \left( \frac{\pi k}{f} \right), & 0 < k < \frac{f}{2}, \\
\log |1 + \zeta_f^{-k}| + i \left( \pi - \frac{\pi k}{f} \right), & \frac{f}{2} < k < f.
\end{cases}
\end{equation}

Further, since \( 1 + \zeta_f^{-k} \) and \( 1 + \zeta_f^k \) are conjugate, we have

\begin{equation}
\log(1 + \zeta_f^k) = \begin{cases} 
\log |1 + \zeta_f^k| + i \left( \frac{\pi k}{f} \right), & 0 < k < \frac{f}{2}, \\
\log |1 + \zeta_f^k| + i \left( \frac{\pi k}{f} - \pi \right), & \frac{f}{2} < k < f.
\end{cases}
\end{equation}

Now assume that the character \( \chi \) (and hence also \( \bar{\chi} \)) is even. Interchanging \( k \) and \(-k\) in (2.12), we have

\begin{equation}
S_\chi = \sum_{k=1}^{f} \bar{\chi}(-k) \log(1 + \zeta_f^k) = \sum_{k=1}^{f} \bar{\chi}(k) \log(1 + \zeta_f^k).
\end{equation}

Combining (2.12), (2.15), (2.16) and (2.17), this yields

\begin{equation}
2S_\chi = \sum_{k=1}^{f} \bar{\chi}(k) \left[ \log(1 + \zeta_f^{-k}) + \log(1 + \zeta_f^k) \right]
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
= 2 \sum_{k=1}^{f} \bar{\chi}(k) \log |1 + \zeta_f^k| 
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
= 2 \sum_{k=1}^{f} \bar{\chi}(k) \log \left| 2 \cos \left( \frac{\pi k}{f} \right) \right|.
\end{equation}

Thus

\begin{equation}
S_\chi = \sum_{k=1}^{f} \bar{\chi}(k) \log |1 + \zeta_f^k|
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
= \sum_{k=1}^{f} \bar{\chi}(k) \log \left| \cos \left( \frac{\pi k}{f} \right) \right|.
\end{equation}
since \( \sum_{k=1}^{f} \overline{\chi}(k) = 0 \). The summation item in (2.19) is unchanged if we replace \( k \) by \( f - k \). Therefore,

\[
S_{\chi} = 2 \sum_{1 \leq k < f/2} \overline{\chi}(k) \log \left| \cos \left( \frac{\pi k}{f} \right) \right|.
\]

Then combing with (2.11) and (2.12), we have

\[
L_E(1, \chi) = \frac{2\tau(\chi)}{f} \sum_{1 \leq k < f/2} \overline{\chi}(k) \log \left| \cos \left( \frac{\pi k}{f} \right) \right| = \frac{\tau(\chi)}{f} \sum_{k=1}^{f} \overline{\chi}(k) \log |1 + \zeta_f^k|,
\]

which is the desired result if \( \chi \) is a even character.

If the character \( \chi \) is odd, then interchanging \( k \) and \( -k \) in (2.12), we have

\[
S_{\chi} = -\sum_{k=1}^{f} \overline{\chi}(k) \log(1 + \zeta_f^k),
\]

and by (2.15) and (2.16), we have

\[
2S_{\chi} = \sum_{k=1}^{f} \overline{\chi}(k) \left[ \log(1 + \zeta_f^{-k}) - \log(1 + \zeta_f^k) \right]
\]

\[
= -2 \left[ \sum_{1 \leq k < f/2} \overline{\chi}(k) \frac{\pi k}{f} + \sum_{f/2 < k < f} \overline{\chi}(k) i \left( \frac{\pi k}{f} - \pi \right) \right]
\]

and

\[
S_{\chi} = -\frac{\pi i}{f} \left[ \sum_{1 \leq k < f/2} \overline{\chi}(k) k + \sum_{f/2 < k < f} \overline{\chi}(k) (k - f) \right]
\]

\[
= -\frac{\pi i}{f} \left[ \sum_{1 \leq k < f/2} \overline{\chi}(k) k + \sum_{-f/2 < k < 0} \overline{\chi}(k + f) k \right]
\]

\[
= -\frac{2\pi i}{f} \sum_{1 \leq k < f/2} \overline{\chi}(k) k.
\]

The last equality is got by changing \( k \) to \( -k \) in the second summation and applying the oddness of \( \chi \). Then combing with (2.11) and (2.12), we have

\[
L_E(1, \chi) = -\frac{2\pi i\tau(\chi)}{f^2} \sum_{1 \leq k < f/2} \overline{\chi}(k) k,
\]

which is the desired result if \( \chi \) is an odd character.
3. Proof of Theorem 1.6

For $K^+ = \mathbb{Q}((\mu_m)^+$, we have $[K^+ : \mathbb{Q}] = \frac{\varphi(m)}{2}$, where $\varphi$ is Euler-phi function. Let $d$ be the absolute value of the discriminant of $K^+$ and $G = \mathbb{Z}(m)^*/\{\pm 1\}$ is the Galois group of $K^+$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. By the Conductor-Discriminant-formula ([6, p.28 Theorem 3.1]),

$$d = \prod_{\chi} f_{\chi}$$

where $\chi$ runs over all the characters of $G$. From the functional equation of Dirichlet L-function, one can deduce that ([6, p.36 Corollary 4.6])

$$\prod_{\chi} \tau(\chi) = d^{1/2}.$$ (3.2)

For $\text{Re}(s) > 1$,

$$\gamma(s) - 2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s} - 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(2n)}{(2n)^s} = L_E(s, \chi).$$ (3.3)

By analytic continuation, the above equality holds in the whole complex plane. Thus

$$\gamma(1, \chi) = L_E(1, \chi).$$ (3.4)

Multiplying the class number formula (1.7) by $\eta = \prod_{\chi \neq 1} (1 - \chi(2))$ and using (3.4) we have

$$\eta 2^{\varphi(m) - 1} h^+ R^+ = d^{1/2} \prod_{\chi \neq 1} L_E(1, \chi)$$ (3.5)

where the product over $\chi \neq 1$ is taken over non-trivial characters of $G$, or equivalently, non-trivial even characters of $\mathbb{Z}(m)^*$. Applying theorem 1.5,

$$\eta 2^{\varphi(m) - 1} h^+ R^+ = d^{1/2} \prod_{\chi \neq 1} \tau(\chi) \sum_{k=1}^{f_{\chi}} \chi(k) \log |1 + \zeta_{f_{\chi}}^k|.$$ (3.6)

Substituting (3.1) and (3.2) into (3.6), we get

$$\eta 2^{\varphi(m) - 1} h^+ R^+ = \prod_{\chi \neq 1} \sum_{k=1}^{f_{\chi}} \chi(k) \log |1 + \zeta_{f_{\chi}}^k|.$$ (3.7)

Since

$$\sum_{k=1}^{f_{\chi}} \chi(k) \log |1 + \zeta_{f_{\chi}}^k| = 2 \sum_{k \in G_{\chi}} \chi(k) \log |1 + \zeta_{f_{\chi}}^k|$$

and there are exactly $\frac{\varphi(m)}{2}$ even characters and $\frac{\varphi(m)}{2} - 1$ non-trivial even characters, we have

$$\eta h^+ R^+ = \prod_{\chi \neq 1} \sum_{k \in G_{\chi}} \chi(k) \log |1 + \zeta_{f_{\chi}}^k|,$$ (3.8)

which is the desired result.
4. Proof of Proposition 1.7

For $m$ being a prime power, we know that $E = E^+ W$, where $W = \langle -1, \zeta_m \rangle$ is the group of roots of unity in $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$ ([6, p. 40, Theorem 4.12 and Corollary 4.13]). Since $\tilde{E} \supset W$, the following natural morphism
\[ \varphi : E^+ \to E/\tilde{E} \]
induced by the inclusion $E^+ \to E$ is surjective. The kernel is $E^+ \cap \tilde{E}$, which by definition is just $\tilde{E}^+$. Therefore,
\[ E/\tilde{E} \cong E^+ / \tilde{E}^+, \]
which is the desired result.

5. Proof of Theorem 1.8

The Galois group of $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_m)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}(m)^*$ under the map:
\[ a \mapsto \sigma_a \]
where $\sigma_a : \zeta_m \mapsto \zeta_m^a$. If $\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_r$ is a basis for $E^+$ (mod roots of unity), then the regulator $R^+$ is the absolute value of the determinant
\[ R(E) = R^+ = \pm \det_{a,j} |\sigma_a \epsilon_j|, \]
where $j = 1, \ldots, r$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}(m)^*/\pm 1$ and $a \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{m}$ (see [4, p. 85]). Let $G = \mathbb{Z}(m)^*/\pm 1$ and view $a \in G$ and $a \neq 1$ in $G$. Using the cyclotomic units introduced in (1.13), we also form a new type of cyclotomic regulator as follows
\[ R(\tilde{E}) = \tilde{R}_{\text{cyc}} = \pm \det_{a,k} |\sigma_a \tilde{g}_k| \]
with $a, k \in G$.

As pointed out by Serre to Lang (see [4, p. 90]), the following determinant relation is due to Dedekind, February 1896, who communicated it to Frobenius in March.

**Theorem 5.1** (Dedekind determinant formula, [4, p. 90, Theorem 6.2]). Let $G$ be a finite group and $f$ be any (complex valued) function on $G$. Then
\[ \det_{a,b} f(ab^{-1}) = \left[ \sum_{a \in G} f(a) \right] \det_{a,b \neq 1} [f(ab^{-1}) - f(a)]. \]

Therefore, for a finite abelian group $G$,
\[ \prod_{\chi \neq 1} \sum_{a \in G} \chi(a) f(a^{-1}) = \det_{a,b \neq 1} [f(ab^{-1}) - f(a)]. \]

The above Dedekind determinant relation implies the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.2.** We have for $G = \mathbb{Z}(m)^*/\pm 1$,
\[ \pm \det_{a,k} |\sigma_a \tilde{g}_k| = \prod_{\chi \neq 1} \sum_{k \in G} \chi(k) \log |1 + \zeta_m^k|. \]
Lemma 5.3. Let $m = p^n$ be a prime power and $\chi \neq 1$ be a nontrivial character of $G = \mathbb{Z}(m)^*/\pm 1$. Denote $G_{\chi} = \mathbb{Z}(f_{\chi})^*/\pm 1$. Then, we have

\begin{equation}
\sum_{k \in G_{\chi}} \chi(k) \log |1 + \zeta_{f_{\chi}}^{k}| = \pm \sum_{k \in G} \chi(k) \log |1 + \zeta_{m}^{k}|.
\end{equation}

Proof. Let $f_{\chi} = p^s$. We only need to prove the case $s < n$. Write the residue classes in $\mathbb{Z}(p^n)$ in the form

\[ y = k + cp^s, \quad 0 \leq c < p^{n-s}, \]

and $k$ ranges over a fixed set of representatives for residue classes of $\mathbb{Z}(p^s)^*$, then we have

\begin{equation}
\sum_{y \mod p^n} \chi(y) \log |1 + \zeta_{m}^{y}| = \sum_{k \mod p^s} \sum_{c=0}^{p^{n-s}-1} \chi(k) \log |1 + \zeta_{p^n}^{k}(\zeta_{p^n}^c)|
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
= \sum_{k \mod p^s} \chi(k) \sum_{c=0}^{p^{n-s}-1} \log |1 + \zeta_{p^n}^{k}(\zeta_{p^n}^c)|
\end{equation}

Since

\[ \prod_{\chi \equiv_{p^n}^* 1} (X - \lambda Y) = X^{p^{n-s}} - Y^{p^{n-s}}, \]

we have

\[ \prod_{c=0}^{p^{n-s}-1} (1 + \zeta_{p^n}^{k}(\zeta_{p^n}^c)) = \prod_{c=0}^{p^{n-s}-1} (1 - (-\zeta_{p^n}^{k})(\zeta_{p^n}^c))
\]

\[ = 1 - (-\zeta_{p^n}^{k})^{p^{n-s}}
\]

which implies that

\begin{equation}
\prod_{c=0}^{p^{n-s}-1} (1 + \zeta_{p^n}^{k}(\zeta_{p^n}^c)) = \begin{cases} 
1 + \zeta_{p^n}^{k}, & \text{if } p \neq 2; \\
1 - \zeta_{p^n}^{k}, & \text{if } p = 2.
\end{cases}
\end{equation}

For odd $p$, by (5.4) and (5.5), we obtain

\begin{equation}
\sum_{y \mod p^n} \chi(y) \log |1 + \zeta_{m}^{y}| = \sum_{k \mod p^s} \chi(k) \sum_{c=0}^{p^{n-s}-1} \log |1 + \zeta_{p^n}^{k}(\zeta_{p^n}^c)|
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
= \sum_{k \mod p^s} \chi(k) \log |1 + \zeta_{p^n}^{k}|.
\end{equation}
For $p = 2$, we have $s \geq 2$ since $\chi \neq 1$. In this case, similarly, we get
\[
\sum_{y \mod p^n} \chi(y) \log |1 + \zeta^y_m| = \sum_{k \mod p^s} \chi(k) \log |1 - \zeta^k_p| = \sum_{k \mod p^s} \chi(k) \log |1 + \zeta^{k+p^s/2}_p| = \sum_{k \mod p^s} \chi(k) \log |1 + \zeta^k_p| = \chi(1 + p^s/2) \sum_{k \mod p^s} \chi(k) \log |1 + \zeta^k_p| = -\sum_{k \mod p^s} \chi(k) \log |1 + \zeta^k_p|.
\]

Since $(1 + p^s/2)^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p^s}$, $\chi(1 + p^s/2) = \pm 1$. But it can not equal to 1. Otherwise, the conductor of $\chi$ would be $p^s/2$. This explains the last equality.

Summing up, we have
\[
(5.7) \quad \sum_{y \mod p^n} \chi(y) \log |1 + \zeta^y_m| = \pm \sum_{k \mod p^s} \chi(k) \log |1 + \zeta^k_p|.
\]
The minus sign occurs if and only if $p = 2$ and $s < n$. Recall
\[
G = \mathbb{Z}(p^n)^*/\pm 1 \quad \text{and} \quad G_\chi = \mathbb{Z}(f_\chi)^*/\pm 1 = \mathbb{Z}(p^n)^*/\pm 1.
\]
As $\chi$ is even, dividing both sides of (5.7) by 2, we get the desired formula. □

We have the following result related to $h^+$ and $\widetilde{R}_{\text{cyc}/R^+}$.

**Proposition 5.4.** Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_m)$, $K^+ = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_m)^+$ and $h^+$ be the class number of $K^+$. Assume $m = p^n$ is a prime power. Then
\[
|h|^h = \widetilde{R}_{\text{cyc}/R^+}.
\]

**Proof.** By (5.1), Lemmas 5.2 and 5.3 and Theorem 1.6, we have
\[
\widetilde{R}_{\text{cyc}} = \pm \det \log |\sigma_a \tilde{g}_k| = \pm \prod_{\chi \neq 1} \sum_{k \in G_\chi} \chi(k) \log |1 + \zeta^k_{f_\chi}| = |\eta| R^+ h^+.
\]

The subgroup $\tilde{E}^+$ has finite index in the group $E^+$ if and only if $\tilde{R}_{\text{cyc}} \neq 0$. Moreover, if $\tilde{R}_{\text{cyc}} \neq 0$, by [6, p.41, Lemma 4.15] we have
\[
(5.9) \quad (E : \tilde{E}) = (E^+ : \tilde{E}^+) = \tilde{R}_{\text{cyc}/R^+}.
\]

From Proposition 5.4, $\tilde{R}_{\text{cyc}} \neq 0$ if and only if $\eta = \prod_{\chi \neq 1} (1 - \chi(2)) \neq 0$. Clearly, for $m = 2^n$, $\eta = 1$. Therefore, we also have a new formula related to $h^+$ and the unit indexes $(E : \tilde{E})$ and $(E^+ : \tilde{E}^+)$. 
Corollary 5.5. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_m)$, $K^+ = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_m)^+$ and $h^+$ be the class number of $K^+$. Assume either $m = 2^n$; or $m = p^n$ is an odd prime power and $\chi(2) \neq 1$ for any non-trivial character $\chi$ of $G = \mathbb{Z}(m)^*/\pm 1$. Then

$$|\eta| h^+ = (E : \tilde{E}) = (E^+ : \tilde{E}^+).$$

The following lemma concerns the non-vanishing of $\eta$ for the odd case.

Lemma 5.6. For $m = p^n$ being an odd prime power, $\chi(2) \neq 1$ for any non-trivial character $\chi$ of $G = \mathbb{Z}(m)^*/\pm 1$ if and only if $-1, 2$ generate the group $\mathbb{Z}(m)^*$.

Proof. $\chi(2) \neq 1$ for any non-trivial character $\chi$ of $G$ if and only if $\widehat{G}/\langle\bar{2}\rangle$, the character group of $G/\langle\bar{2}\rangle$ is trivial, where $\bar{2}$ is the image of 2 in $G$, which is equivalent to $G/\langle\bar{2}\rangle$ is trivial. Since $G/\langle\bar{2}\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}(m)^*/\langle-1, 2\rangle$, we get the desired result. □

Finally by Corollary 5.5 and Lemma 5.6 we get Theorem 1.8.
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