

Dynamics of Renyi entanglement entropy in local quantum circuits with charge conservation^{*}

Yichen Huang (黄溢辰)
 Microsoft Research AI
 Redmond, Washington 98052, USA
 yichen.huang@microsoft.com

December 7, 2021

Abstract

In local quantum circuits with charge conservation, we initialize the system in random product states and study the dynamics of the Renyi entanglement entropy R_α . We rigorously prove that R_α with Renyi index $\alpha > 1$ at time t is $\leq O(\sqrt{t \ln t})$ if the transport of charges is diffusive. Very recent numerical results of Rakovszky et al. show that this upper bound is saturated (up to the sub-logarithmic correction) in random local quantum circuits with charge conservation.

1 Introduction

Entanglement, a concept of quantum information theory, has been widely used in condensed matter and statistical physics to provide insights beyond those obtained via “conventional” quantities. For example, in a non-integrable system the growth of the von Neumann entanglement entropy reveals the ballistic light cone set by the Lieb-Robinson bound [15], while the energy transport is diffusive [13].

The von Neumann entanglement entropy is the standard entanglement measure for pure states. However, it is also instructive to study the Renyi entanglement entropy, which reflects the entanglement spectrum [14] and is easier to measure experimentally [1, 3, 11]. It is known that the von Neumann and Renyi entanglement entropies may behave differently in some cases: from the scaling of eigenstate entanglement [5, 8, 17] to describing the simulability of quantum many-body states [24, 23, 9].

Quantum circuits are not only a model of quantum computation [4, 27, 20], but also useful for the study of quantum many-body systems [25, 2, 10]. Since the dynamics of local Hamiltonians can be simulated by local quantum circuits [16], one may gain insights into the former from the latter. In particular, some recent works [18, 26, 19] studied random local quantum circuits, which are minimal models of quantum chaotic dynamics. Furthermore, the evolution governed by time-independent chaotic local Hamiltonians preserves energy. Such evolution is more faithfully described by random local quantum circuits with conserved quantities, in which the diffusive transport is easily observed [12, 21].

In (not necessarily random) local quantum circuits with charge conservation, we initialize the system in random product states and study the dynamics of the Renyi entanglement entropy R_α .

^{*}Dedicated to the Chinese New Year of the Pig.

Perhaps surprisingly, we rigorously prove that R_α with Renyi index $\alpha > 1$ at time t is $\leq O(\sqrt{t \ln t})$ if the transport of charges is diffusive. It is straightforward to extend this result to cases where the transport is sub- or super-diffusive. Indeed, the proof explicitly shows that the growth of R_α with $\alpha > 1$ is a probe of transport. This is in contrast to the linear (in t) growth of the von Neumann entanglement entropy.

We conjecture that the upper bound $O(\sqrt{t \ln t})$ on the Renyi entanglement entropy R_α with $\alpha > 1$ holds more generally for the dynamics of time-independent local Hamiltonians with diffusive energy transport. It is known to fail [7] in the integrable XY chain, whose transport is ballistic.

2 Preliminaries

We start with some basic definitions. We use the natural logarithm throughout this paper.

Definition 1 (entanglement entropy). The Renyi entanglement entropy R_α with index $\alpha \in (0, 1) \cup (1, +\infty)$ of a bipartite pure state $\rho_{AB} = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ is defined as

$$R_\alpha(\rho_A) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \ln \text{tr} \rho_A^\alpha = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \ln \sum_{i \geq 1} \Lambda_i^\alpha, \quad (1)$$

where $\Lambda_1 \geq \Lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq 0$ with $\sum_{i \geq 1} \Lambda_i = 1$ are the eigenvalues (in descending order) of the reduced density matrix $\rho_A = \text{tr}_B \rho_{AB}$. The min-entropy is defined as

$$R_\infty(\rho_A) := \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} R_\alpha(\rho_A) = -\ln \Lambda_1. \quad (2)$$

Note that the von Neumann entanglement entropy is given by

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1} R_\alpha(\rho_A) = -\text{tr}(\rho_A \ln \rho_A). \quad (3)$$

Lemma 1. For $\alpha > 1$, we have

$$R_\infty(\rho_A) \leq R_\alpha(\rho_A) \leq \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} R_\infty(\rho_A). \quad (4)$$

Proof. The first inequality is a consequence of fact that R_α is monotonically non-increasing with respect to α (this is why R_∞ is called the min-entropy). The second inequality follows from

$$R_\alpha(\rho_A) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \ln \sum_{i \geq 1} \Lambda_i^\alpha \leq \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \ln \Lambda_1^\alpha = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} R_\infty(\rho_A). \quad (5)$$

□

Definition 2 (local quantum circuit with charge conservation). Consider a chain of $2n$ spin-1/2's. Let the time-evolution operator be

$$U(t, 0) = U(t, t-1)U(t-1, t-2) \cdots U(1, 0), \quad (6)$$

where t is a positive integer. Each layer of the circuit consists of two sub-layers of local unitaries:

$$U(t, t-1) = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} U_t^{2i, 2i+1} \prod_{i=1}^n U_t^{2i-1, 2i}. \quad (7)$$

Each unitary $U_t^{i,i+1}$ acts on two neighboring spins $i, i+1$ and is block diagonal in the $\{|00\rangle, |01\rangle, |10\rangle, |11\rangle\}$ basis:

$$U_t^{i,i+1} = \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & * & * & 0 \\ 0 & * & * & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * \end{pmatrix}, \quad (8)$$

i.e., $U_t^{i,i+1}$ is the direct sum of a phase factor, a unitary matrix of order 2, and another phase factor. It should be clear that every $U_t^{i,i+1}$ and hence $U(t, 0)$ preserve the total charge $\sum_{i=1}^{2n} \sigma_z^i$.

Of special interest is the so-called random local quantum circuit with charge conservation, where each $U_t^{i,i+1}$ is the direct sum of a random phase factor, a Haar-random unitary matrix of order 2, and another random phase factor. In this model, it is straightforward to prove that the transport of charges is diffusive [21], i.e., the evolution of the distribution of charges $\{\langle \sigma_z^i \rangle\}_{i=1}^{2n}$ is (approximately) described by the diffusion equation. We emphasize that the main result of this paper only assumes diffusive transport and does not require randomness in $U_t^{i,i+1}$ or $U(t, 0)$.

3 Results

We are ready to state and prove the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1. *Consider the spin chain as a bipartite quantum system $A \otimes B$. Subsystem A consists of spins $1, 2, \dots, n$, i.e., we study the entanglement across the middle cut. Initialize the system in a random product state $|\psi_{\text{init}}\rangle$ in the σ_x basis, i.e., each spin is in either $|+\rangle := \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$ or $|-\rangle := \frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$ with equal probability. Let $\alpha > 1$ and $\rho_A := \text{tr}_B(U(t, 0)|\psi_{\text{init}}\rangle\langle\psi_{\text{init}}|U^\dagger(t, 0))$ be the reduced density matrix of subsystem A at time t . If the transport of charges under the dynamics $U(t, 0)$ is diffusive, then*

$$R_\alpha(\rho_A) \leq \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1} O(\sqrt{t \ln t}) \quad (9)$$

holds with probability $\geq 1 - 1/p(t)$, where p is a polynomial of arbitrarily high degree.

Proof. We divide the spin chain into two parts. One of them, labeled by “in,” consists of $2m$ spins (with indices $n - m + 1, n - m + 2, \dots, n + m$) near the cut, where m is some positive integer to be determined later. The other part, labeled by “out,” is the rest of the system. The initial state can be factored into

$$|\psi_{\text{init}}\rangle = |\psi_{\text{init}}\rangle_{\text{in}} \otimes |\psi_{\text{init}}\rangle_{\text{out}}, \quad (10)$$

where $|\psi_{\text{init}}\rangle_{\text{in}}$ and $|\psi_{\text{init}}\rangle_{\text{out}}$ are random product states in the “in” and “out” parts of the system, respectively. Define

$$|\psi_0\rangle = |0\rangle_{\text{in}}^{\otimes 2m} \otimes |\psi_{\text{init}}\rangle_{\text{out}} \quad (11)$$

so that $|\langle \psi_0 | \psi_{\text{init}} \rangle| = 2^{-m}$. Since $U(t, 0)$ is unitary, we have

$$|\langle U(t, 0)\psi_0, U(t, 0)\psi_{\text{init}} \rangle| = 2^{-m}. \quad (12)$$

The left-hand side of this equation is the absolute value of the inner product between $U(t, 0)|\psi_0\rangle$ and $U(t, 0)|\psi_{\text{init}}\rangle$. Occasionally we do not use the standard Dirac notation because it is cumbersome.

Let Z with $|Z| = 2^{2n-2}$ be the set of all computational basis states (i.e., product states in the σ_z basis) obeying the constraint that spins n and $n + 1$ are in the state $|00\rangle$. Let P be the projection

onto the subspace span Z . The state $|\psi_0\rangle$ has an extended region of $|0\rangle$'s in the middle of the chain. Since the transport of charges is described by the diffusion equation, we have

$$\|(1 - P)U(t, 0)|\psi_0\rangle\| \leq e^{-\Omega(m^2/t)}. \quad (13)$$

Assume without loss of generality that n is odd. The only local unitary in $U(t, t-1)$ acting on both subsystems A and B is in the second product on the right-hand of Eq. (7). Define a modified local quantum circuit

$$\begin{aligned} V(t, 0) &= V(t, t-1)V(t-1, t-2) \cdots V(1, 0), \\ V(t, t-1) &= \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} U_t^{2i, 2i+1} \prod_{i=1}^{(n-1)/2} U_t^{2i-1, 2i} u_t^{n, n+1} \prod_{i=(n+3)/2}^n U_t^{2i-1, 2i}, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where $u_t^{n, n+1} := \langle 00|U_t^{n, n+1}|00\rangle$ is a complex number. It is easy to see that

$$U(t, t-1)P = V(t, t-1)P. \quad (15)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} U(t, 0)|\psi_0\rangle &= U(t, t-1)U(t-1, 0)|\psi_0\rangle \approx U(t, t-1)PU(t-1, 0)|\psi_0\rangle \\ &= V(t, t-1)PU(t-1, 0)|\psi_0\rangle \approx V(t, t-1)U(t-1, 0)|\psi_0\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where each approximation step generates an additive error upper bounded by the right-hand side of (13). Iterating this process, we have

$$\|\Delta_t\| \leq te^{-\Omega(m^2/t)}, \quad |\Delta_t\rangle := U(t, 0)|\psi_0\rangle - V(t, 0)|\psi_0\rangle. \quad (17)$$

Recall that both $|\psi_{\text{init}}\rangle_{\text{in}}$ and $|\psi_{\text{init}}\rangle_{\text{out}}$ are random product states in the σ_x basis. We now fix the latter but not the former. Then, $|\psi_0\rangle$ is fixed but $|\psi_{\text{init}}\rangle$ is not. Let

$$S = \{|+\rangle, |-\rangle\}_{\text{in}}^{\otimes 2m} \otimes |\psi_{\text{init}}\rangle_{\text{out}} \quad (18)$$

be the set of all possible initial states consistent with $|\psi_{\text{init}}\rangle_{\text{out}}$ so that $|S| = 2^{2m}$. Since the states in S are pairwise orthogonal,

$$\sum_{|\psi_{\text{init}}\rangle \in S} |\langle \Delta_t | U(t, 0) | \psi_{\text{init}} \rangle|^2 \leq \|\Delta_t\|^2 \implies \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{|\psi_{\text{init}}\rangle \in S} |\langle \Delta_t | U(t, 0) | \psi_{\text{init}} \rangle| \leq 2^{-m} \|\Delta_t\|, \quad (19)$$

where we used the inequality of arithmetic and geometric means. Define a subset of S as

$$S' := \{|\psi_{\text{init}}\rangle \in S : |\langle \Delta_t | U(t, 0) | \psi_{\text{init}} \rangle| \leq 2^{-m} \|\Delta_t\| p(t)\}. \quad (20)$$

Markov's inequality implies that

$$|S'|/|S| \geq 1 - 1/p(t). \quad (21)$$

It suffices to prove (9) for all states in S' . To this end, we make use of

Lemma 2 (Eckart-Young theorem [6]). *Let*

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{i \geq 1} \lambda_i |a_i\rangle_A \otimes |b_i\rangle_B \quad (22)$$

be the Schmidt decomposition of the state $|\psi\rangle$, where $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots > 0$ with $\sum_{i \geq 1} \lambda_i^2 = 1$ are the Schmidt coefficients in descending order. Any state $|\phi\rangle$ of Schmidt rank D satisfies

$$|\langle \phi | \psi \rangle| \leq |\langle \psi' | \psi \rangle| = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^D \lambda_i^2} \quad (23)$$

where

$$|\psi'\rangle := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^D \lambda_i^2}} \sum_{i=1}^D \lambda_i |a_i\rangle_A \otimes |b_i\rangle_B. \quad (24)$$

For any particular state $|\psi_{\text{init}}\rangle \in S'$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle V(t, 0)\psi_0, U(t, 0)\psi_{\text{init}} \rangle| &= |\langle U(t, 0)\psi_0, U(t, 0)\psi_{\text{init}} \rangle - \langle \Delta_t | U(t, 0) | \psi_{\text{init}} \rangle| \\ &\geq 2^{-m} - |\langle \Delta_t | U(t, 0) | \psi_{\text{init}} \rangle| \geq 2^{-m}(1 - \|\Delta_t\| p(t)) \geq 2^{-m}(1 - te^{-\Omega(m^2/t)} p(t)). \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Let λ_1 be the largest Schmidt coefficient of $U(t, 0)|\psi_{\text{init}}\rangle$, and $\Lambda_1 = \lambda_1^2$ be the largest eigenvalue of the reduced density matrix $\rho_A = \text{tr}_B(U(t, 0)|\psi_{\text{init}}\rangle\langle\psi_{\text{init}}|U^\dagger(t, 0))$. Since none of the local unitaries in $V(t, t-1)$ or $V(t, 0)$ act on both subsystems A and B , $V(t, 0)$ does not generate any entanglement so that $V(t, 0)|\psi_0\rangle$ is a product state between A and B (i.e., a state of Schmidt rank 1). Combining this with (25) and Lemma 2, we have

$$\lambda_1 \geq 2^{-m}(1 - te^{-\Omega(m^2/t)} p(t)). \quad (26)$$

Therefore,

$$R_\alpha(\rho_A) \leq \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} R_\infty(\rho_A) = -\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} \ln \Lambda_1 = -\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha-1} \ln \lambda_1. \quad (27)$$

We complete the proof by choosing $m = O(\sqrt{t \ln t})$ with a sufficiently large pre-factor hided in the Big-O notation. \square

It is straightforward to extend Theorem 1 to cases where the transport is sub- or super-diffusive.

Corollary 1. *In the setting of Theorem 1, suppose that the transport of charges under $U(t, 0)$ has the scaling: distance $\sim t^z$ for $0 < z < 1$. Then,*

$$R_\alpha(\rho_A) \leq \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} O(t^z \text{poly} \ln t) \quad (28)$$

holds with probability $\geq 1 - 1/p(t)$.

It is also straightforward to extend Theorem 1 to higher spatial dimensions.

Notes

Very recently, we became aware of a relate work [22], which also studied the growth of the Renyi entanglement entropy in diffusive systems. The numerical results there show that the upper bound in Theorem 1 is saturated (up to the sub-logarithmic correction) in random local quantum circuits with charge conservation.

References

- [1] D. A. Abanin and E. Demler. Measuring entanglement entropy of a generic many-body system with a quantum switch. *Physical Review Letters*, 109(2):020504, 2012.
- [2] X. Chen, Z.-C. Gu, and X.-G. Wen. Local unitary transformation, long-range quantum entanglement, wave function renormalization, and topological order. *Physical Review B*, 82(15):155138, 2010.
- [3] A. J. Daley, H. Pichler, J. Schachenmayer, and P. Zoller. Measuring entanglement growth in quench dynamics of bosons in an optical lattice. *Physical Review Letters*, 109(2):020505, 2012.
- [4] D. Deutsch. Quantum computational networks. *Proceedings of the Royal Society A*, 425(1868):73–90, 1989.
- [5] A. Dymarsky, N. Lashkari, and H. Liu. Subsystem eigenstate thermalization hypothesis. *Physical Review E*, 97(1):012140, 2018.
- [6] C. Eckart and G. Young. The approximation of one matrix by another of lower rank. *Psychometrika*, 1(3):211–218, 1936.
- [7] M. Fagotti and P. Calabrese. Evolution of entanglement entropy following a quantum quench: Analytic results for the XY chain in a transverse magnetic field. *Physical Review A*, 78:010306(R), 2008.
- [8] J. R. Garrison and T. Grover. Does a single eigenstate encode the full Hamiltonian? *Physical Review X*, 8(2):021026, 2018.
- [9] Y. Huang. *Classical simulation of quantum many-body systems*. PhD thesis, University of California, Berkeley, 2015.
- [10] Y. Huang and X. Chen. Quantum circuit complexity of one-dimensional topological phases. *Physical Review B*, 91(19):195143, 2015.
- [11] R. Islam, R. Ma, P. M. Preiss, M. E. Tai, A. Lukin, M. Rispoli, and M. Greiner. Measuring entanglement entropy in a quantum many-body system. *Nature*, 528(7580):77–83, 2015.
- [12] V. Khemani, A. Vishwanath, and D. A. Huse. Operator spreading and the emergence of dissipative hydrodynamics under unitary evolution with conservation laws. *Physical Review X*, 8(3):031057, 2018.
- [13] H. Kim and D. A. Huse. Ballistic spreading of entanglement in a diffusive nonintegrable system. *Physical Review Letters*, 111(12):127205, 2013.
- [14] H. Li and F. D. M. Haldane. Entanglement spectrum as a generalization of entanglement entropy: Identification of topological order in non-abelian fractional quantum Hall effect states. *Physical Review Letters*, 101(1):010504, 2008.
- [15] E. H. Lieb and D. W. Robinson. The finite group velocity of quantum spin systems. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 28(3):251–257, 1972.
- [16] S. Lloyd. Universal quantum simulators. *Science*, 273(5278):1073–1078, 1996.
- [17] T.-C. Lu and T. Grover. Renyi entropy of chaotic eigenstates. arXiv:1709.08784, 2017.

- [18] A. Nahum, J. Ruhman, S. Vijay, and J. Haah. Quantum entanglement growth under random unitary dynamics. *Physical Review X*, 7(3):031016, 2017.
- [19] A. Nahum, S. Vijay, and J. Haah. Operator spreading in random unitary circuits. *Physical Review X*, 8(2):021014, 2018.
- [20] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang. *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2010.
- [21] T. Rakovszky, F. Pollmann, and C. W. von Keyserlingk. Diffusive hydrodynamics of out-of-time-ordered correlators with charge conservation. *Physical Review X*, 8(3):031058, 2018.
- [22] T. Rakovszky, F. Pollmann, and C. W. von Keyserlingk. Sub-ballistic growth of Rényi entropies due to diffusion. arXiv:1901.10502, 2019.
- [23] N. Schuch, M. M. Wolf, F. Verstraete, and J. I. Cirac. Entropy scaling and simulability by matrix product states. *Physical Review Letters*, 100(3):030504, 2008.
- [24] F. Verstraete and J. I. Cirac. Matrix product states represent ground states faithfully. *Physical Review B*, 73(9):094423, 2006.
- [25] G. Vidal. Class of quantum many-body states that can be efficiently simulated. *Physical Review Letters*, 101(11):110501, 2008.
- [26] C. W. von Keyserlingk, T. Rakovszky, F. Pollmann, and S. L. Sondhi. Operator hydrodynamics, OTOCs, and entanglement growth in systems without conservation laws. *Physical Review X*, 8(2):021013, 2018.
- [27] A. C.-C. Yao. Quantum circuit complexity. In *Proceedings of the 34th IEEE Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, pages 352–361, 1993.