

WEIGHTED TRANSLATION SEMIGROUPS: MULTIVARIABLE CASE

GEETANJALI M. PHATAK AND V. M. SHOLAPURKAR

ABSTRACT. M. Embry and A. Lambert initiated the study of a weighted translation semigroup $\{S_t\}$ in $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+))$, with a view to explore a continuous analogue of a weighted shift operator. We continued the work, characterized some special types of semigroups and developed an analytic model for the left invertible weighted translation semigroup. The present paper deals with the generalization of the weighted translation semigroup in multi-variable set up. We develop the toral analogue of the analytic model and also describe the spectral picture. We provide many examples of weighted translation semigroups in multi-variable case. Further, we replace the space $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ by $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$ and explore the properties of weighted translation semigroup $\{S_{\vec{t}}\}$ in $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^d))$, in both one and multi variable cases.

1. INTRODUCTION

The class of weighted shift operators has been systematically studied in [12] and generalized to multivariable set up in [9]. With a view to study a continuous analogue of weighted shifts, M. Embry and A. Lambert initiated the study of a semigroup of operators $\{S_t\}$ indexed by a non-negative real number t in [7], [8] and termed it as weighted translation semigroup. The operators S_t are defined on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ by using a weight function. This work is continued in [10], where we characterized some special types of weighted translation semigroups, especially, hyperexpansive weighted translation semigroups. In [11], we proved that the weighted translation semigroup $\{S_t\}$ is analytic and possesses wandering subspace property. Also we proved that a left invertible operator S_t is modeled as a multiplication by z on a suitable reproducing kernel Hilbert space. It turned out that the spectrum of a left invertible operator S_t is a closed disc and the point spectrum is empty.

In section 2, we introduce the commuting tuple $S_{\mathbf{t}}$ whose components are weighted translation semigroups in $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+))$ and discuss its properties. We present several examples in this section.

In section 3, we prove that the tuple $S_{\mathbf{t}}$ is analytic and possesses wandering subspace property. We also prove that the tuple $S_{\mathbf{t}}$ is unitarily equivalent to a commuting operator valued multishift. Further, we describe the toral analytic

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47B20, 47B37; Secondary 47A10, 46E22.

Key words and phrases. weighted translation semigroup, completely hyperexpansive, analytic, Taylor spectrum .

model for the toral left invertible tuple S_t . At the end of this section, the Taylor spectrum of the toral left invertible tuple S_t is discussed. The major part of the work in section 3 is devoted to the comparison of the commuting d -tuple S_t under consideration and a multishift S_λ on directed cartesian product of rooted directed trees as described in [5]. At the end of this paper, a comparative analysis of these two classes of operator tuples has been summarized. The techniques used in the proofs of results in section 3 are similar to those in [5]. However, the intrinsic differences in the classes of operators get reflected in the proofs accounting for some subtle differences. In the recent work in [3], S. Chavan developed toral and spherical analytic models for a commuting tuple of operators.

In section 4, we define the weighted translation semigroup $\{S_t\}$ in $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^d))$ and discuss its properties. In fact, the weighted translation semigroup $\{S_t\}$ is a special case where $d = 1$. In section 5, we introduce the special commuting tuple whose components are weighted translation semigroups in $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^d))$ and discuss several properties. In this case, we observe that the symbols of the semigroups under consideration are multi-variable functions. This consideration results in some differences in properties of weighted translation semigroups studied earlier.

2. THE COMMUTING TUPLE

2.1. Prelude. Let $T = (T_1, \dots, T_d)$ be a tuple of commuting bounded linear operators $T_i (1 \leq i \leq d)$ on a Hilbert space H . Then T^* represents (T_1^*, \dots, T_d^*) and for $p = (p_1, \dots, p_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$, T^p denotes $T_1^{p_1} \dots T_d^{p_d}$. The open polydisc centered at the origin and of polyradius $r = (r_1, \dots, r_d)$ with $r_1, \dots, r_d > 0$ denoted by \mathbb{D}_r^d is $\mathbb{D}_r^d := \{z = (z_1, \dots, z_d) \in \mathbb{C}^d : |z_1| < r_1, \dots, |z_d| < r_d\}$. The class of completely hyperexpansive operators is explored in [14] and generalised to multi variable set up in [2]. We first recall some definitions useful in the sequel ([1],[4]).

Definition 2.1 :

- (1) A d -tuple $S = (S_1, \dots, S_d)$ of commuting operators S_i in $\mathcal{B}(H)$ is sub-normal if there exist a Hilbert space K containing H and a d -tuple $N = (N_1, \dots, N_d)$ of commuting normal operators N_i in $\mathcal{B}(K)$ such that $N_i H \subseteq H$ and $N_i|_H = S_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$.
- (2) Let H_1, \dots, H_d be commuting operators on H . The tuple (H_1, \dots, H_d) is called *hyponormal* if the $d \times d$ operator matrix $([H_j^*, H_i]) \geq 0$, where

$$[H_j^*, H_i] = H_j^* H_i - H_i H_j^*.$$

- (3) A commuting d -tuple $Q = (Q_1, \dots, Q_d)$ of positive operators Q_1, \dots, Q_d in $\mathcal{B}(H)$ is called as the *generating d -tuple* on H .

- (4) For a commuting d -tuple $T = (T_1, \dots, T_d)$ of operators on H , the *spherical generating 1-tuple associated with T* is given by

$$Q_s(X) = \sum_{i=1}^d T_i^* X T_i \quad (X \in \mathcal{B}(H)).$$

- (5) For a fixed integer $p \geq 1$, the tuple T is said to be *spherical p -expansion* (resp. *spherical p -contraction*) if

$$B_p(Q_s) = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq q \leq p} (-1)^q \binom{p}{q} Q_s^q(I) \leq 0 \quad (\text{resp. } \geq 0),$$

where $Q_s^0(I) = I$.

- (6) The tuple T is called *spherical p -hyperexpansion* (resp. *spherical p -hypercontraction*) if T is a spherical k -expansion (resp. spherical k -contraction) for all $k = 1, \dots, p$. If $B_p(Q_s) = 0$, then T is a *spherical p -isometry*.
- (7) The tuple T is said to be *spherical complete hyperexpansion* (resp. *spherical complete hypercontraction*) if T is a spherical p -expansion (resp. spherical p -contraction) for all positive integers p .
- (8) Let Q_s be the spherical generating 1-tuple associated with T . Then T is *jointly left-invertible* if there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that $Q_s(I) \geq \alpha I$. Let T be a jointly left-invertible d -tuple of bounded operators on H . The *spherical Cauchy dual* of T is defined as the d -tuple $T^s = (T_1^s, \dots, T_d^s)$, where $T_i^s = T_i(Q_s(I))^{-1}$ ($i = 1, \dots, d$).
- (9) Given a commuting d -tuple $T = (T_1, \dots, T_d)$ on H , a *toral generating d -tuple* is given by

$$Q_t = (Q_1, \dots, Q_d), \quad Q_i(X) = T_i^* X T_i \quad (X \in \mathcal{B}(H)).$$

- (10) Let Q_t be the toral generating d -tuple associated with T . Then T is *toral left-invertible* if there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that $Q_i(I) \geq \alpha I$, for $1 \leq i \leq d$. Let T be a toral left-invertible d -tuple of bounded operators on H . The *toral Cauchy dual* of T is defined as the d -tuple $T' = (T'_1, \dots, T'_d)$, where $T'_i = T_i(T_i^* T_i)^{-1}$ ($i = 1, \dots, d$).
- (11) The tuple T is called *toral complete hyperexpansion* if

$$B_n(Q_t) = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{N}^d, 0 \leq p \leq n} (-1)^{|p|} \binom{n}{p} Q_t^p(I) \leq 0 \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}^d \setminus \{0\},$$

where $Q_t^q(I) = (Q_1^{q_1} \circ \dots \circ Q_d^{q_d})(I)$ for $q = (q_1, \dots, q_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$.

In definitions (5), (6) and (7), if $p = 1$ then by convention, the prefix 1 is dropped and if $m = 1$ then we drop the term spherical.

We now define the operator S_t as defined in [10], but in a notation suitable to multi-variable set up. For a fixed positive integer i , let φ_i be a measurable,

positive function on \mathbb{R}_+ such that for each fixed $t_i \in \mathbb{R}_+$, the function φ_{it_i} defined by

$$\varphi_{it_i}(x) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{\varphi_i(x)}{\varphi_i(x-t_i)}} & \text{if } x \geq t_i, \\ 0 & \text{if } x < t_i \end{cases}$$

is essentially bounded.

Definition 2.2 : For a fixed positive integer i and each fixed $t_i \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we define S_{it_i} on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ by

$$S_{it_i}f(x) = \begin{cases} \varphi_{it_i}(x)f(x-t_i) & \text{if } x \geq t_i, \\ 0 & \text{if } x < t_i. \end{cases}$$

The family $G_i = \{S_{it_i} : t_i \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ in $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+))$ is a semigroup with $S_{i0} = I$, the identity operator and for all $t_i, r_i \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $S_{it_i} \circ S_{ir_i} = S_{it_i+r_i}$. Observe that the family $G_1 \times \cdots \times G_d$ is also a semigroup.

We say that φ_{it_i} is a *weight function corresponding to the operator S_{it_i}* . Further, the semigroup $G_i = \{S_{it_i} : t_i \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ is referred to as the *weighted translation semigroup with symbol φ_i* . Throughout this article, we assume that the symbol $\varphi_i, 1 \leq i \leq d$ is a continuous function on \mathbb{R}_+ .

Remark 2.3 : Consider a tuple of operators $(S_{1t_1}, \dots, S_{dt_d})$. It can be seen that for $1 \leq i, j \leq d$,

$$S_{it_i}S_{jt_j} = S_{jt_j}S_{it_i}$$

if and only if

$$\frac{\varphi_i(x)\varphi_j(x-t_i)}{\varphi_i(x-t_i)\varphi_j(x-t_i-t_j)} = \frac{\varphi_j(x)\varphi_i(x-t_j)}{\varphi_j(x-t_j)\varphi_i(x-t_i-t_j)}$$

for all $x \geq t_i + t_j$. Observe that if $\varphi_i = \varphi_j$, then the operators S_{it_i} and S_{jt_j} commute.

Example 2.4 : The pair (S_{1t_1}, S_{2t_2}) is commuting for the following symbols:

- (1) $\varphi_1(x) = c, \varphi_2(x) = e^x$
- (2) $\varphi_1(x, y) = e^{-x}, \varphi_2(x, y) = e^x$

■

Recall that

$$S_{it_i}^*f(x) = \sqrt{\frac{\varphi_i(x+t_i)}{\varphi_i(x)}}f(x+t_i) \quad \text{for almost every } x \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

Also

$$S_{it_i}^*S_{it_i}f(x) = \frac{\varphi_i(x+t_i)}{\varphi_i(x)}f(x) \quad \text{for almost every } x \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

Note that the semigroup $G_1 \times \cdots \times G_d$ is a toral isometry if every commuting d -tuple $S_t = (S_{1t_1}, \dots, S_{dt_d})$ in $G_1 \times \cdots \times G_d$ is a toral isometry.

Remark 2.5 : The commuting d -tuple $(S_{1t_1}, \dots, S_{dt_d})$ is a toral isometry if and only if

$$I - S_{it_i}^* S_{it_i} = 0 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq d.$$

This is true if and only if

$$\varphi_i(x) - \varphi_i(x + t_i) = 0 \text{ for all } x, t_i \in \mathbb{R}_+, 1 \leq i \leq d.$$

Therefore the semigroup $G_1 \times \dots \times G_d$ is a toral isometry if and only if φ_i is a constant function for $1 \leq i \leq d$.

Using the characterization of a toral isometry as stated above, we prove that the toral left invertible commuting d -tuple S_t admits a polar decomposition.

Proposition 2.6. *If $S_t = (S_{1t_1}, \dots, S_{dt_d})$ is a toral left invertible commuting d -tuple, then there exist a toral isometry $(U_{1t_1}, \dots, U_{dt_d})$ and a commuting d -tuple $(D_{1t_1}, \dots, D_{dt_d})$ of diagonal, positive, invertible bounded operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ such that $S_{it_i} = U_{it_i} D_{it_i}$, $1 \leq i \leq d$. Further, this decomposition is unique.*

Proof. For a fixed positive integer i , $1 \leq i \leq d$, define the operators U_{it_i} and D_{it_i} on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ as follows:

$$U_{it_i} f(x) = \begin{cases} f(x - t_i) & \text{if } x \geq t_i, \\ 0 & \text{if } x < t_i \end{cases}$$

and

$$D_{it_i} f(x) = \sqrt{\frac{\varphi_i(x + t_i)}{\varphi_i(x)}} f(x), \forall x \geq 0.$$

It can be seen that $S_{it_i} = U_{it_i} D_{it_i}$, $1 \leq i \leq d$ and this decomposition is unique. \square

We now quote the proposition which is useful in constructing examples of spherical hyperexpansion using toral hyperexpansion [4, Proposition 3.7].

Proposition 2.7. *Let $T = (T_1, \dots, T_m)$ be an m -tuple on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and set $S = (T_1/\sqrt{m}, \dots, T_m/\sqrt{m})$. If T is a toral complete hyperexpansion (resp. toral p -expansion, toral p -isometry) then S is a spherical complete hyperexpansion (resp. spherical p -expansion, spherical p -isometry).*

Note that if T is a complete hyperexpansion (resp. p -isometry) then the m -tuple (T, \dots, T) is a toral complete hyperexpansion (resp. toral p -isometry). By Proposition 2.7, $(T/\sqrt{m}, \dots, T/\sqrt{m})$ is a spherical complete hyperexpansion (resp. spherical p -isometry).

We here quote proposition useful in constructing hyponormal tuples.

Proposition 2.8. [1, Proposition 3] *Let $S \in \mathcal{B}(H)$. Then S is subnormal if and only if (I, S, \dots, S^{p-1}) is hyponormal for every $p \geq 1$.*

Example 2.9 : We here present examples of some special tuples.

- (1) Recall that functions $\varphi_1(x) = \log(x+2)$, $\varphi_2(x) = \frac{x+\lambda}{x+1}$ ($0 < \lambda < 1$), $\varphi_3(x) = 2 - e^{-x}$ are completely alternating. Therefore each operator S_{it_i} in a weighted translation semigroup G_i with symbol φ_i , $1 \leq i \leq 3$ is completely hyperexpansive. Hence the pair (S_{it_i}, S_{it_i}) is a toral complete hyperexpansion and the pair $(S_{it_i}/\sqrt{2}, S_{it_i}/\sqrt{2})$ is a spherical complete hyperexpansion for $1 \leq i \leq 3$.
- (2) Let $\varphi_1(x) = \sqrt{x+1}$. Since the function φ_1 is concave, each operator S_{1t_1} in a weighted translation semigroup G_1 with symbol φ_1 is 2-hyperexpansion. Hence the pair (S_{1t_1}, S_{1t_1}) is a toral 2-hyperexpansion and the pair $(S_{1t_1}/\sqrt{2}, S_{1t_1}/\sqrt{2})$ is a spherical 2-hyperexpansion.
- (3) Observe that each operator S_{it_i} in a weighted translation semigroup G_i with symbol φ_i , $1 \leq i \leq 2$ is an isometry, where $\varphi_1(x) = c$, $\varphi_2(x) = d$. Therefore the pair (S_{1t_1}, S_{2t_2}) , is a toral isometry and the pair $(S_{1t_1}/\sqrt{2}, S_{2t_2}/\sqrt{2})$ is a spherical isometry.
- (4) Observe that each operator S_{1t_1} in a weighted translation semigroup G_1 with symbol $\varphi_1(x, y) = x + 1$ is a 2-isometry. Hence the pair (S_{1t_1}, S_{1t_1}) is a toral 2-isometry and the pair $(S_{1t_1}/\sqrt{2}, S_{1t_1}/\sqrt{2})$ is a spherical 2-isometry.
- (5) Recall that functions $\varphi_1(x) = \frac{1}{x+1}$, $\varphi_2(x) = \frac{x+\lambda}{x+1}$ ($\lambda > 1$), $\varphi_3(x) = e^{-x}$ are completely monotone. Therefore each operator S_{it_i} in a weighted translation semigroup G_i with symbol φ_i , $1 \leq i \leq 3$ is subnormal contraction. Hence the pair (I, S_{it_i}) is hyponormal. ■

3. ANALYTIC MODEL AND TAYLOR SPECTRUM

In this section, we prove that a commuting d -tuple $S_{\mathbf{t}} = (S_{1t_1}, \dots, S_{dt_d})$ is analytic and possesses wandering subspace property. Also a toral left invertible commuting d -tuple $S_{\mathbf{t}}$ is modeled as a multiplication by coordinate functions z_i on a suitable reproducing kernel Hilbert space.

Recall that the Cauchy dual S'_t of a left invertible operator S_t is given by

$$S'_t f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\varphi_t(x)} f(x-t) & \text{if } x \geq t, \\ 0 & \text{if } x < t. \end{cases}$$

Let $S_{\mathbf{t}} = (S_{1t_1}, \dots, S_{dt_d})$ be a toral left invertible commuting d -tuple. Then the toral Cauchy dual tuple $S'_{\mathbf{t}} = (S'_{1t_1}, \dots, S'_{dt_d})$ of $S_{\mathbf{t}}$ is given by for $1 \leq i \leq d$,

$$S'_{it_i} f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\varphi_{it_i}(x)} f(x-t_i) & \text{if } x \geq t_i, \\ 0 & \text{if } x < t_i. \end{cases}$$

Note that the toral Cauchy dual d -tuple $(S'_{1t_1}, \dots, S'_{dt_d})$ is commuting if and only if the toral left invertible d -tuple $(S_{1t_1}, \dots, S_{dt_d})$ is commuting.

We first describe the joint kernel E of the commuting d -tuple $S_{\mathbf{t}}^* = (S_{1t_1}^*, \dots, S_{dt_d}^*)$. Recall that $E = \cap_{i=1}^d \ker S_{it_i}^*$ and $\ker S_{it_i}^* = \chi_{[0, t_i)} L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ (Refer [11, Lemma 3.2]). Observe that $\chi_{[0, t_i)} L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \subset \chi_{[0, t_j)} L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ if $t_i < t_j$. Thus $E = \chi_{[0, t_j)} L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$, where $t_j = \min\{t_1, \dots, t_d\}$.

A commuting d -tuple $T = (T_1, \dots, T_d)$ on a Hilbert space H is called *analytic* if $\cap_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} T^\alpha H = \{0\}$.

Proposition 3.1. *A commuting d -tuple $S_{\mathbf{t}} = (S_{1t_1}, \dots, S_{dt_d})$ is analytic.*

Proof. Suppose $k = (k_1, \dots, k_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$. For simplicity, we use notation

$$\sqrt{\frac{\varphi(x)}{\varphi(x - kt)}} = \sqrt{\frac{\varphi_d(x)}{\varphi_d(x - k_d t_d)}} \cdots \sqrt{\frac{\varphi_1(x - k_2 t_2 - \cdots - k_d t_d)}{\varphi_1(x - k_1 t_1 - \cdots - k_d t_d)}}.$$

Observe that for $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$

$$(S_{dt_d}^{k_d} \cdots S_{1t_1}^{k_1} f)(x) = \sqrt{\frac{\varphi(x)}{\varphi(x - kt)}} f(x - k_1 t_1 - \cdots - k_d t_d)$$

if $x \geq k_1 t_1 + \cdots + k_d t_d$ and

$$(S_{1t_1}^{k_1} \cdots S_{dt_d}^{k_d} f)(x) = 0 \text{ if } x < k_1 t_1 + \cdots + k_d t_d.$$

Hence

$$\bigcap_{(k_1, \dots, k_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d} S_{1t_1}^{k_1} \cdots S_{dt_d}^{k_d} L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \subseteq \bigcap_{(k_1, \dots, k_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d} \chi_{[k_1 t_1 + \cdots + k_d t_d, \infty)} L^2(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

The result follows from the fact that

$$\bigcap_{(k_1, \dots, k_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d} \chi_{[k_1 t_1 + \cdots + k_d t_d, \infty)} L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) = \{0\}.$$

□

The following Lemma follows in similar manner as [11, Lemma 3.5].

Lemma 3.2. *Let $S_{\mathbf{t}} = (S_{1t_1}, \dots, S_{dt_d})$ be a toral left invertible commuting d -tuple in $G_1 \times \cdots \times G_d$ and let E be the joint kernel of $S_{\mathbf{t}}^*$. Then the multisequence $\{S_{\mathbf{t}}^k E\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}^d}$ is mutually orthogonal.*

Remark 3.3 : Using similar argument, it can be proved that the multisequence $\{S_{\mathbf{t}}'^k E\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}^d}$ is also mutually orthogonal.

We say that a commuting d -tuple $T = (T_1, \dots, T_d)$ on a Hilbert space H possesses wandering subspace property if $H = [E]_T$, where E is the joint kernel of T^* and $[E]_T = \vee_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} T^\alpha E$. The following Proposition follows in similar manner as [11, Proposition 3.4].

Proposition 3.4. *A commuting d -tuple $S_{\mathbf{t}} = (S_{1_{t_1}}, \dots, S_{d_{t_d}})$ in $G_1 \times \dots \times G_d$ possesses wandering subspace property.*

Remark 3.5 : Using same technique given in the proof of [11, Proposition 3.4], it can be proved that the commuting d -tuple $S'_{\mathbf{t}}$ also possesses wandering subspace property.

We first define operator valued multishift. Let M be a nonzero complex Hilbert space and let $l_M^2(\mathbb{N}^d)$ denote the Hilbert space of square summable multisequence $\{h_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d}$ in M . If $\{W_\alpha^{(j)}\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(M)$ for $j = 1, \dots, d$, then the linear operator W_j in $l_M^2(\mathbb{N}^d)$ is defined by $W_j(h_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} = (k_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d}$ for $(h_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \in \mathcal{D}$, where

$$(k_\alpha) = \begin{cases} W_{\alpha - \epsilon_j}^{(j)} h_{\alpha - \epsilon_j} & \text{if } \alpha_j \geq 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha_j = 0 \end{cases}$$

and $\mathcal{D} := \{(h_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \in l_M^2(\mathbb{N}^d) : (k_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \in l_M^2(\mathbb{N}^d)\}$.

The d -tuple $W = (W_1, \dots, W_d)$ is called *an operator valued multishift with operator weights* $\{W_\alpha^{(j)} : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d, j = 1, \dots, d\}$.

The proof of the following proposition follows in a similar way as given in [5, Corollary 4.1.12].

Proposition 3.6. *Let $S_{\mathbf{t}} = (S_{1_{t_1}}, \dots, S_{d_{t_d}})$ be a toral left invertible commuting d -tuple in $G_1 \times \dots \times G_d$. Let E be the joint kernel of $S_{\mathbf{t}}^*$. Then $S_{\mathbf{t}}$ is unitarily equivalent to a commuting operator valued multishift W on $l_E^2(\mathbb{N}^d)$.*

We now define the kernel condition useful in constructing the analytic model.

Definition 3.7 : Let $T = (T_1, \dots, T_d)$ be a toral left invertible d -tuple on a Hilbert space H and let E denote the joint kernel of T^* . Let T' be the toral Cauchy dual of T . We say that T satisfies *kernel condition* if $E \subseteq \ker T_j^* T'^{\alpha}_{[j]}$ for all $j = 1, \dots, d$ and for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$, where, for $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$ and $j = 1, \dots, d$,

$$T'^{\alpha}_{[j]} = \begin{cases} I & \text{if } d = 1, \\ \prod_{i \neq j} T_i'^{\alpha_i} & \text{if } d \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Note that the toral left invertible commuting d -tuple $S_{\mathbf{t}} = (S_{1_{t_1}}, \dots, S_{d_{t_d}})$ satisfies kernel condition [5, Remark 4.2.3(iii)].

The following theorem is a multivariable analogue of Shimorin's analytic model developed in [11, Theorem 3.7]. The reader may compare this theorem with [5, Theorem 4.2.4] for a similar model developed in the context of multishifts on directed cartesian product of rooted directed trees.

Theorem 3.8. *Let $S_{\mathbf{t}} = (S_{1_{t_1}}, \dots, S_{d_{t_d}})$ be a toral left invertible commuting d -tuple in $G_1 \times \dots \times G_d$. Let E be the joint kernel of $S_{\mathbf{t}}^*$ and let*

$$r := (r(S_{1_{t_1}}')^{-1}, \dots, r(S_{d_{t_d}}')^{-1}),$$

where $r(T)$ denotes the spectral radius of the bounded operator T . Then there exist a reproducing kernel Hilbert space \mathcal{H} of E -valued analytic functions defined on the polydisc \mathbb{D}_r^d with center origin and polyradius r and a unitary operator $U : L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that $US_{j_{t_j}} = M_{z_j}U$ for $j = 1, \dots, d$.

Proof. For $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$, define

$$U_f(z) := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^d} (PS_{\mathbf{t}}'^{*k} f) z^k, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^d,$$

where P is the orthogonal projection on E . The power series U_f converges absolutely on the polydisc \mathbb{D}_r^d . Let \mathcal{H} denote the complex vector space of E -valued analytic functions of the form U_f . We now define a map $U : L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ given by $U(f) = U_f$. By definition, U is onto. We now need to show that U is injective.

Let $U(f) = U_f = 0$ for some $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$. Then $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^d} (PS_{\mathbf{t}}'^{*k} f) z^k = 0$. This implies that $PS_{\mathbf{t}}'^{*k} f = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}^d$. The fact $\ker S_{j_{t_j}}' = \ker S_{j_{t_j}}^*$, implies that the joint kernel of the tuple $S_{\mathbf{t}}' = E$. By the wandering subspace property of the d -tuple $S_{\mathbf{t}}'$ [Remark 4.6], we have $\bigvee_{k \in \mathbb{N}^d} S_{\mathbf{t}}'^k = L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$. By taking orthogonal complement on both sides, we get $\bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}^d} (S_{\mathbf{t}}'^k(E))^\perp = \{0\}$. Note that $(S_{\mathbf{t}}'^k(E))^\perp = \ker PS_{\mathbf{t}}'^{*k}$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}^d$. Thus $\bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}^d} \ker PS_{\mathbf{t}}'^{*k} = \{0\}$. Recall that $PS_{\mathbf{t}}'^{*k} f = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}^d$. Therefore $f \in \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}^d} \ker PS_{\mathbf{t}}'^{*k}$. This implies that $f = 0$. Hence U is injective.

The inner product on \mathcal{H} can be now defined as $\langle U_f, U_g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle f, g \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)}$ for all $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$. Since U is a surjective isometry, it is a unitary operator. A complete vector space \mathcal{H} is now a Hilbert space. For $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$,

$$\begin{aligned} (US_{j_{t_j}})(f)(z) &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^d} (PS_{\mathbf{t}}'^{*k} S_{j_{t_j}} f) z^k \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^d, k_j=0} (PS_{\mathbf{t}}'^{*k} S_{j_{t_j}} f) z^k + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^d, k_j \geq 1} (PS_{\mathbf{t}}'^{*k} S_{j_{t_j}} f) z^k \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^d, k_j=0} (PS_{\mathbf{t}[j]}'^{*k} S_{j_{t_j}} f) z^k + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^d} (PS_{\mathbf{t}}'^{*k+\epsilon_j} S_{j_{t_j}} f) z^{k+\epsilon_j} \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^d} (PS_{\mathbf{t}}'^{*k+\epsilon_j} S_{j_{t_j}} f) z^{k+\epsilon_j}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that by kernel condition, $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^d, k_j=0} (PS_{\mathbf{t}[j]}'^{*k} S_{j_{t_j}} f) z^k = 0$. Since the toral Cauchy dual tuple $S_{\mathbf{t}}'$ is commuting and $S_{j_{t_j}}'^* S_{j_{t_j}} = I$, the sum on the right hand side of the last step is equal to $z_j \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^d} (PS_{\mathbf{t}}'^{*k} f) z^k = z_j U_f(z) = M_{z_j} U(f)(z)$. Hence $US_{j_{t_j}} = M_{z_j} U$.

Using Remark 3.3 and argument similar to [11, Theorem 3.7(ii)], it can be proved that $(PS_{\mathbf{t}}'^{*j} S_{\mathbf{t}}'^k)|_E = 0$ for all $j \neq k$ in \mathbb{N}^d . We now compute the reproducing kernel for the RKHS \mathcal{H} associated to the operator tuple $S_{\mathbf{t}}$. These computations are similar as given in the proof of [13, Proposition 2.13]. Note

that $E = \ker S_{\mathbf{t}}^*$ is infinite dimensional. It is easy to see that for $e \in E$, $z, \lambda \in \mathbb{D}_r^d$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $k_{\mathcal{H}}(z, \lambda)e(x) = \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^d} P S_{\mathbf{t}}'^{*n} S_{\mathbf{t}}'^n z^n \bar{\lambda}^n \right) e(x)$. It can be seen that for $f, g \in E$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}_r^d$, $\langle U_f, k_{\mathcal{H}}(\cdot, \lambda)g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle U_f(\lambda), g \rangle_E$. Hence \mathcal{H} is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space with kernel k . \square

Example 3.9 : We now compute the reproducing kernel for the RKHS \mathcal{H} associated to the pair (S_{1t_1}, S_{2t_2}) in some special cases.

(1) Let $\varphi_1(x) = a, \varphi_2(x) = b$. Then the pair (S_{1t_1}, S_{2t_2}) is a toral isometry.

For $e \in E$, $z, \lambda \in \mathbb{D}_r^2$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$k_{\mathcal{H}}(z, \lambda)e(x) = \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^2} P S_{\mathbf{t}}'^{*n} S_{\mathbf{t}}'^n z^n \bar{\lambda}^n \right) e(x) = \left(\sum_{(n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{N}^2} z_1^{n_1} z_2^{n_2} \bar{\lambda}_1^{n_1} \bar{\lambda}_2^{n_2} \right) e(x).$$

(2) Let $\varphi_1(x) = \varphi_2(x)$. For $e \in E$, $z, \lambda \in \mathbb{D}_r^2$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$\begin{aligned} k_{\mathcal{H}}(z, \lambda)e(x) &= \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^2} P S_{\mathbf{t}}'^{*n} S_{\mathbf{t}}'^n z^n \bar{\lambda}^n \right) e(x) \\ &= \left(\sum_{(n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{N}^2} \frac{\varphi_1(x)}{\varphi_1(x + n_1 t_1 + n_2 t_2)} z_1^{n_1} z_2^{n_2} \bar{\lambda}_1^{n_1} \bar{\lambda}_2^{n_2} \right) e(x). \end{aligned}$$

■

3.1. Taylor Spectrum. For the definition and basic properties of Taylor spectrum, the reader is referred to [6].

Proposition 3.10. *Let $S_{\mathbf{t}} = (S_{1t_1}, \dots, S_{dt_d})$ be a commuting d -tuple in $G_1 \times \dots \times G_d$. For every $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists a unitary operator M_{θ} on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ such that $M_{\theta}^* S_{j_{t_j}} M_{\theta} = e^{-i\theta t_j} S_{j_{t_j}}$ for $j = 1, \dots, d$.*

Proof. For $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, define the map $M_{\theta} : L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ as

$$(M_{\theta} f)(x) = e^{i\theta x} f(x).$$

Then clearly M_{θ} is a unitary operator. It can be seen that the operator $S_{j_{t_j}}$ is unitarily equivalent to the operator $e^{-i\theta t_j} S_{j_{t_j}}$. \square

Remark 3.11 : By the Proposition 3.10, the commuting d -tuples $(S_{1t_1}, \dots, S_{dt_d})$ and $(e^{-i\theta t_1} S_{1t_1}, \dots, e^{-i\theta t_d} S_{dt_d})$ are unitarily equivalent. Hence the Taylor spectrum of a commuting d -tuple $S_{\mathbf{t}}$ has poly-circular symmetry, that is, for any $w = (w_1, \dots, w_d) \in \sigma(S_{\mathbf{t}})$ and $z = (z_1, \dots, z_d) \in \mathbb{T}^d$, $z.w = (z_1 w_1, \dots, z_d w_d) \in \sigma(S_{\mathbf{t}})$. In particular, $\sigma(S_{\mathbf{t}}^*) = \sigma(S_{\mathbf{t}})$.

For proving the connectedness of a Taylor spectrum of a commuting d -tuple $S_{\mathbf{t}}$, we need a lemma. Given a positive integer d , we set $H^{\oplus d} := H \oplus \dots \oplus H$

(d times). For a commuting d -tuple $T = (T_1, \dots, T_d)$ of operators on a Hilbert space H , consider the linear transformation $D_T : H \rightarrow H^{\oplus d}$ given by for $h \in H$

$$D_T(h) := (T_1 h, \dots, T_d h).$$

Observe that $\ker D_T = \ker T = \bigcap_{j=1}^d \ker T_j$.

Lemma 3.12. *Let $S_{\mathbf{t}} = (S_{1_{t_1}}, \dots, S_{d_{t_d}})$ be a commuting d -tuple. For $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let $T^{(i)}$ denote the commuting d -tuple $(S_{1_{t_1}}^{*i}, \dots, S_{d_{t_d}}^{*i})$. Then $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \ker D_{T^{(i)}}$ is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$.*

Proof. Note that $\ker D_{T^{(i)}} = \chi_{[0, it_r)} L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$, where $t_r = \min\{t_1, \dots, t_d\}$. It is sufficient to prove that $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \ker D_{T^{(i)}}$ contains some orthonormal basis of $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$. Let $\{\widetilde{\psi_{jk}}\}$, where j is an integer and k is a non-negative integer be an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ as described in [11, Theorem 3.7(iv)]. For fixed j and k , if $\frac{k+1}{2^j} < it_r$, then $\widetilde{\psi_{jk}} \in \ker D_{T^{(i)}}$. Therefore each $\widetilde{\psi_{jk}} \in \ker D_{T^{(i)}}$ for some non-negative integer i . Hence the orthonormal basis $\{\widetilde{\psi_{jk}}\} \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \ker D_{T^{(i)}}$. \square

Now proof of the following proposition follows on the lines similar to the proof of [5, Proposition 3.2.4].

Proposition 3.13. *The Taylor spectrum of a commuting d -tuple $S_{\mathbf{t}} = (S_{1_{t_1}}, \dots, S_{d_{t_d}})$ is connected.*

Remark 3.14 : Recall that the point spectrum of each operator $S_{j_{t_j}}$ is empty [11, Proposition 4.3(1)]. This implies that the point spectrum of a commuting d -tuple $S_{\mathbf{t}}$ is empty.

Remark 3.15 : Recall that \mathbb{D}_r^d is the polydisc with center origin and polyradius r , where $r = (r(S_{1_{t_1}}')^{-1}, \dots, r(S_{d_{t_d}}')^{-1})$. Note that the polydisc \mathbb{D}_r^d is contained in the point spectrum of $S_{\mathbf{t}}^*$ for a toral left invertible commuting d -tuple $S_{\mathbf{t}}$. The proof of this fact is similar to the proof of [11, Proposition 4.3(2)]. By the poly-circular symmetry of the Taylor spectrum, $\mathbb{D}_r^d \subseteq \sigma(S_{\mathbf{t}})$. Suppose R is the polyradius $R = (r(S_{1_{t_1}}), \dots, r(S_{d_{t_d}}))$. Then by the projection property of the Taylor spectrum, $\sigma(S_{\mathbf{t}}) \subseteq \sigma(S_{1_{t_1}}) \times \dots \times \sigma(S_{d_{t_d}}) = \mathbb{D}_R^d$. Also the Taylor spectrum of $S_{\mathbf{t}}$ is connected [Proposition 3.13]. If the commuting d -tuple $S_{\mathbf{t}}$ is a toral isometry, then $r = R = (1, \dots, 1)$. In this case, the Taylor spectrum is a polydisc.

3.2. Comparative Analysis. We now summarize the comparative analysis of the commuting d -tuple $S_{\mathbf{t}}$ under consideration and a multishift S_{λ} on directed cartesian product of rooted directed trees as described in [5].

- (1) The joint kernel of the tuple $S_{\mathbf{t}}^*$ is always infinite dimensional but the joint kernel of S_{λ}^* may be finite dimensional [5, Corollary 3.1.16].

- (2) The toral Cauchy dual tuple S'_t is always commuting but the toral Cauchy dual tuple S'_λ may not be commuting [5, Proposition 5.1.1].
- (3) The tuple S_t admits polar decomposition. However, the multishift S_λ admits polar decomposition if and only if the toral Cauchy dual tuple of S_λ is commuting [5, Proposition 5.1.1].
- (4) The multisequence $\{S_t^k E\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}^d}$ is mutually orthogonal but, the multisequence $\{S_\lambda^k E\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}^d}$ may not be mutually orthogonal.
- (5) The toral left invertible commuting d -tuple S_t satisfies kernel condition but the multishift S_λ may not satisfy kernel condition. (refer to paragraph after [5, Remark 4.2.3]).
- (6) The Taylor spectrum of the tuple S_t has poly circular symmetry. However, the operator tuple S_λ is strongly circular [5, Proposition 3.2.1].

4. WEIGHTED TRANSLATION SEMIGROUPS IN $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^d))$

All the properties of the weighted translation semigroup $\{S_t\}$ in $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+))$ proved in [10] and [11], are also shared by the semigroup $\{S_{\bar{t}}\}$ in $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^d))$. For positive integer d , let $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$ denote the Hilbert space of complex valued square integrable Lebesgue measurable functions on \mathbb{R}_+^d . Let $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^d))$ denote the algebra of bounded linear operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$.

Definition 4.1 : For a measurable, positive function φ defined on \mathbb{R}_+^d and $\bar{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_d), \bar{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$ define the function $\varphi_{\bar{t}} : \mathbb{R}_+^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ by

$$\varphi_{\bar{t}}(\bar{x}) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{\varphi(\bar{x})}{\varphi(\bar{x} - \bar{t})}} & \text{if } x_i \geq t_i, 1 \leq i \leq d, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here, $\bar{x} - \bar{t} = (x_1 - t_1, \dots, x_d - t_d)$.

Suppose that $\varphi_{\bar{t}}$ is essentially bounded for every $\bar{t} \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$.

Definition 4.2 : For each fixed $\bar{t} \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$, we define $S_{\bar{t}}$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$ by

$$S_{\bar{t}} f(\bar{x}) = \begin{cases} \varphi_{\bar{t}}(\bar{x}) f(\bar{x} - \bar{t}) & \text{if } x_i \geq t_i, 1 \leq i \leq d, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Remark 4.3 : It is easy to see that for every $\bar{t} \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$, $S_{\bar{t}}$ is a bounded linear operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$ with $\|S_{\bar{t}}\| = \|\varphi_{\bar{t}}\|_\infty$, where $\|\varphi_{\bar{t}}\|_\infty$ stands for the essential supremum of $\varphi_{\bar{t}}$. The family $\{S_{\bar{t}} : \bar{t} \in \mathbb{R}_+^d\}$ in $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^d))$ is a semigroup with $S_{\bar{0}} = I$, the identity operator and for all $\bar{t}, \bar{s} \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$, $S_{\bar{t}} \circ S_{\bar{s}} = S_{\bar{t} + \bar{s}}$. Here, $\bar{0}$ is the d -tuple $(0, \dots, 0)$ in \mathbb{R}_+^d .

We say that $\varphi_{\bar{t}}$ is a *weight function corresponding to the operator $S_{\bar{t}}$* . Further, the semigroup $\{S_{\bar{t}} : \bar{t} \in \mathbb{R}_+^d\}$ is referred to as the *weighted translation semigroup with symbol φ* . Throughout this work, we assume that the symbol φ is a continuous function on \mathbb{R}_+^d .

By similar computations as in the case of an operator S_t in $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+))$, it is easy to see that the adjoint of $S_{\bar{t}}$ is given by

$$S_{\bar{t}}^* f(\bar{x}) = \sqrt{\frac{\varphi(\bar{x} + \bar{t})}{\varphi(\bar{x})}} f(\bar{x} + \bar{t}) \quad \text{for almost every } \bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$$

and

$$S_{\bar{t}}^* S_{\bar{t}} f(\bar{x}) = \frac{\varphi(\bar{x} + \bar{t})}{\varphi(\bar{x})} f(\bar{x} + \bar{t}) \quad \text{for almost every } \bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}_+^d.$$

Here, $\bar{x} + \bar{t} = (x_1 + t_1, \dots, x_d + t_d)$.

We now present the special types of weighed translation semigroups by choosing some special types of symbols. The characterizations of weighed translation semigroups in this case are similar to those as described in [10, Corollary 3.3].

Example 4.4 : Let $\{S_{\bar{t}}\}$ be a weighted translation semigroup with symbol φ .

- (1) Let $\varphi_1(x, y) = e^{(x+y)}$, $\varphi_2(x, y) = e^{\sqrt{xy}}$. All these functions are log convex. Thus the semigroups $\{S_{\bar{t}}\}$ corresponding to symbols $\varphi_i, i = 1, 2$ are hyponormal.
- (2) Let $\varphi_1(x, y) = 2x - y - x^2 + 2xy - y^2 + 1$, $\varphi_2(x, y) = Ax^a y^b + 1$ ($A > 0, a, b \geq 0, a+b \leq 1$). All these functions are concave. Thus the semigroups $\{S_{\bar{t}}\}$ corresponding to symbols $\varphi_i, i = 1, 2$ are 2-hyperexpansive.
- (3) The semigroup $\{S_{\bar{t}}\}$ is an m -isometry if ϕ is a polynomial of degree $m-1$.
- (4) Let $\varphi_1(x, y) = \log(x + y + 2)$, $\varphi_2(x, y) = \sqrt{(x+1)(y+1)}$, $\varphi_3(x, y) = x + y + 1$. All these functions are completely alternating. Thus the semigroups $\{S_{\bar{t}}\}$ corresponding to symbols $\varphi_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ are completely hyperexpansive.
- (5) Let $\varphi_1(x, y) = \frac{1}{x+y+1}$, $\varphi_2(x, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x+y+1}}$, $\varphi_3(x, y) = e^{-(x+y)}$. All these functions are completely monotone. Thus the semigroups $\{S_{\bar{t}}\}$ corresponding to symbols $\varphi_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ are subnormal contractions.
- (6) Let $\varphi_1(x, y) = ye^x + xe^y + 1$, $\varphi_2(x, y) = e^{xy}$. All these functions are absolutely monotone. Thus the semigroups $\{S_{\bar{t}}\}$ corresponding to symbols $\varphi_i, i = 1, 2$ are alternatingly hyperexpansive.
- (7) Let $\varphi(x, y) = \frac{x+y+\lambda}{x+y+1}$, $\lambda \geq 0$. Then $\frac{\partial^n \varphi}{\partial x^{k_1} \partial y^{k_2}} = \frac{(1-\lambda)(-1)^{n-1} n!}{(x+y+1)^{n+1}}$, where $n = k_1 + k_2$. If $0 < \lambda < 1$, then φ is a completely alternating function and the semigroup $\{S_{\bar{t}}\}$ is completely hyperexpansive. If $\lambda > 1$, then φ is a completely monotone function and the semigroup $\{S_{\bar{t}}\}$ is a subnormal contraction.

We now describe the kernel of the adjoint $S_{\bar{t}}^*$, for $\bar{t} \neq \bar{0}$.

Lemma 4.5. *For $\bar{t} \neq \bar{0}$, $\ker S_{\bar{t}}^* = E = \chi_{[0,t_1)} \times \dots \times \chi_{[0,t_d)} L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$. In particular, $\ker S_{\bar{t}}^*$ is infinite dimensional.*

Remark 4.6 : The following properties of $S_{\bar{t}}$ are analogues of the corresponding properties of S_t . Let $\bar{t} \neq \bar{0}$.

- (1) An operator $S_{\bar{t}}$ is analytic.
- (2) An operator $S_{\bar{t}}$ possesses wandering subspace property.
- (3) A left invertible operator $S_{\bar{t}}$ possesses an analytic model.
- (4) A left invertible operator $S_{\bar{t}}$ is an operator valued weighted shift.
- (5) The spectrum of a left invertible operator $S_{\bar{t}}$ is a disc and the point spectrum is empty.

5. THE SPECIAL TUPLE

We now define a tuple of a special type. Recall that a commuting d -tuple S_t defined in Section 2, is a toral isometry if and only if each symbol φ_i , $1 \leq i \leq d$ is a constant function [Remark 2.5]. However, in the special case, defined below we do have non-constant functions as symbols for a commuting tuple which is a toral isometry.

Let φ_i be a measurable, positive function on \mathbb{R}_+^d such that for each fixed $t_i \in \mathbb{R}_+$, the function φ_{it_i} defined by

$$\varphi_{it_i}(x_1, \dots, x_d) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{\varphi_i(x_1, \dots, x_d)}{\varphi_i(x_1, \dots, x_i - t_i, \dots, x_d)}} & \text{if } x_i \geq t_i, \\ 0 & \text{if } x_i < t_i \end{cases}$$

is essentially bounded.

Definition 5.1 : For each fixed $t_i \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we define S_{it_i} on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$ by

$$S_{it_i}f(x_1, \dots, x_d) = \begin{cases} \varphi_{it_i}(x_1, \dots, x_d)f(x_1, \dots, x_i - t_i, \dots, x_d) & \text{if } x_i \geq t_i \\ 0 & \text{if } x_i < t_i. \end{cases}$$

Remark 5.2 : It is easy to see that for every $t_i \in \mathbb{R}_+$, S_{it_i} is a bounded linear operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$ with $\|S_{it_i}\| = \|\varphi_{it_i}\|_\infty$, where $\|\varphi_{it_i}\|_\infty$ stands for the essential supremum of φ_{it_i} . The family $G_i = \{S_{it_i} : t_i \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ in $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^d))$ is a semigroup with $S_{i0} = I$, the identity operator. Note that the family $G_1 \times \dots \times G_d$ is also a semigroup.

We say that φ_{it_i} is a *weight function corresponding to the operator S_{it_i}* . Further, the semigroup $G_i = \{S_{it_i} : t_i \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ is referred to as the *weighted translation semigroup with symbol φ_i* . Throughout this work, we assume that the symbol φ_i , $1 \leq i \leq d$ is a continuous function on \mathbb{R}_+^d .

Remark 5.3 : Observe that $S_{it_i} = S_{\bar{t}}$, where $\bar{t} = (0, \dots, 0, t_i, 0, \dots, 0)$. Here, the i^{th} component of the d -tuple \bar{t} is t_i and all other entries are zero. Therefore by Remark 4.6, each operator S_{it_i} is analytic and possesses wandering subspace property. Also the spectrum of each left invertible operator S_{it_i} is a closed disc and the point spectrum is empty.

Consider a tuple $(S_{1t_1}, \dots, S_{dt_d}) \in G_1 \times \dots \times G_d$. It is easy to see that for $1 \leq i, j \leq d$,

$$S_{it_i} S_{jt_j} = S_{jt_j} S_{it_i}$$

if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\varphi_i(x_1, \dots, x_d) \varphi_j(x_1, \dots, x_i - t_i, \dots, x_d)}{\varphi_i(x_1, \dots, x_i - t_i, \dots, x_d) \varphi_j(x_1, \dots, x_i - t_i, \dots, x_j - t_j, \dots, x_d)} \\ &= \frac{\varphi_j(x_1, \dots, x_d) \varphi_i(x_1, \dots, x_j - t_j, \dots, x_d)}{\varphi_j(x_1, \dots, x_j - t_j, \dots, x_d) \varphi_i(x_1, \dots, x_i - t_i, \dots, x_j - t_j, \dots, x_d)} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_i \geq t_i, x_j \geq t_j$. Note that if $\varphi_i = \varphi_j$, then above condition is satisfied.

Example 5.4 : Let b, c, λ be positive real numbers. The pair (S_{1t_1}, S_{2t_2}) is commuting for the following symbols:

- (1) $\varphi_1(x, y) = c, \varphi_2(x, y) = b$
- (2) $\varphi_1(x, y) = c, \varphi_2(x, y) = e^{-x-y}$
- (3) $\varphi_1(x, y) = c, \varphi_2(x, y) = e^{x+y}$
- (4) $\varphi_1(x, y) = e^{-x-y}, \varphi_2(x, y) = e^{x+y}$
- (5) $\varphi_1(x, y) = y + 1, \varphi_2(x, y) = x + 1$
- (6) $\varphi_1(x, y) = \frac{x + \lambda}{x + y + 1}, \varphi_2(x, y) = \frac{y + \lambda}{x + y + 1}$ ■

It is easy to see that

$$S_{it_i}^* f(x_1, \dots, x_d) = \sqrt{\frac{\varphi_i(x_1, \dots, x_i + t_i, \dots, x_d)}{\varphi_i(x_1, \dots, x_d)}} f(x_1, \dots, x_i + t_i, \dots, x_d)$$

for almost every $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$ and

$$S_{it_i}^* S_{it_i} f(x_1, \dots, x_d) = \frac{\varphi_i(x_1, \dots, x_i + t_i, \dots, x_d)}{\varphi_i(x_1, \dots, x_d)} f(x_1, \dots, x_d)$$

for almost every $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$.

We say that the semigroup $G_1 \times \dots \times G_d$ is a *toral isometry* if every commuting d -tuple $(S_{1t_1}, \dots, S_{dt_d})$ in $G_1 \times \dots \times G_d$ is a toral isometry.

Remark 5.5 : The commuting d -tuple $(S_{1t_1}, \dots, S_{dt_d})$ is a toral isometry if and only if

$$I - S_{it_i}^* S_{it_i} = 0 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq d.$$

A simple calculation reveals that this condition holds if and only if

$$\varphi_i(x_1, \dots, x_d) - \varphi_i(x_1, \dots, x_i + t_i, \dots, x_d) = 0 \quad \forall x_1, \dots, x_d \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

Therefore the semigroup $G_1 \times \cdots \times G_d$ is a toral isometry if and only if

$$\varphi_i(x_1, \dots, x_d) - \varphi_i(x_1, \dots, x_i + t_i, \dots, x_d) = 0 \quad \forall x_1, \dots, x_d, t_i \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

But this condition holds if and only if φ_i is independent of x_i for $1 \leq i \leq d$.

Remark 5.6 : The toral left invertible commuting d -tuple $(S_{1t_1}, \dots, S_{dt_d})$ also admits polar decomposition as given in the Proposition 2.6.

We now turn our attention towards constructing examples of different classes of operator tuples. The definitions of classes of operator tuples under consideration are given in Section 2. We use Proposition 2.7 to construct following examples.

Example 5.7 :

- (1) The functions $\varphi_1(x, y) = \sqrt{x + y + 1}$, $\varphi_1(x, y) = \log(x + y + 2)$, $\varphi_1(x, y) = \frac{x+y+\lambda}{x+y+1}$ ($0 < \lambda < 1$) are completely alternating. Therefore each weighted translation semigroup S_{1t_1} with symbol φ_1 is completely hyperexpansive. Hence each pair (S_{1t_1}, S_{1t_1}) is a toral complete hyperexpansion and the pair $(S_{1t_1}/\sqrt{2}, S_{1t_1}/\sqrt{2})$ is a spherical complete hyperexpansion.
- (2) The pair (S_{1t_1}, S_{2t_2}) , where $\varphi_1(x, y) = y + 1$, $\varphi_2(x, y) = x + 1$ is a toral isometry. Then the pair $(S_{1t_1}/\sqrt{2}, S_{2t_2}/\sqrt{2})$ is a spherical isometry.
- (3) The pair (S_{1t_1}, S_{2t_2}) , where $\varphi_1(x, y) = c$, $\varphi_2(x, y) = x + 1$ is a toral 2-isometry. Then the pair $(S_{1t_1}/\sqrt{2}, S_{2t_2}/\sqrt{2})$ is a spherical 2-isometry.
- (4) The weighted translation semigroup corresponding to the symbol $\varphi_1(x, y) = x + y + 1$ is 2-isometry. Hence the pair (S_{1t_1}, S_{1t_1}) is a toral 2-isometry and the pair $(S_{1t_1}/\sqrt{2}, S_{1t_1}/\sqrt{2})$ is a spherical 2-isometry. ■

We here quote a proposition useful in constructing hyponormal tuples.

Proposition 5.8. [1, Remark 7] *If $H_1, \dots, H_m \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, $H_i^* H_j = H_j^* H_i$ for $i \neq j$ and each H_i is hyponormal, then the tuple (H_1, \dots, H_m) is hyponormal.*

Note that the above proposition is not useful to construct hyponormal tuples of the type S_t defined in Section 2.

Consider the pair (S_{1t_1}, S_{2t_2}) . It is easy to see that

$$S_{1t_1}^* S_{2t_2} = S_{2t_2} S_{1t_1}^*$$

if and only if

$$\frac{\varphi_1(x + t_1, y) \varphi_2(x + t_1, y)}{\varphi_1(x, y) \varphi_2(x + t_1, y - t_2)} = \frac{\varphi_2(x, y) \varphi_1(x + t_1, y - t_2)}{\varphi_2(x, y - t_2) \varphi_1(x, y - t_2)}$$

and

$$S_{2t_2}^* S_{1t_1} = S_{1t_1} S_{2t_2}^*$$

if and only if

$$\frac{\varphi_2(x, y + t_2)\varphi_1(x, y + t_2)}{\varphi_2(x, y)\varphi_1(x - t_1, y + t_2)} = \frac{\varphi_1(x, y)\varphi_2(x - t_1, y + t_2)}{\varphi_1(x - t_1, y)\varphi_2(x - t_1, y)}.$$

Example 5.9 : We now construct examples of hyponormal tuples.

- (1) Let b, c be positive real numbers. The pair (S_{1t_1}, S_{2t_2}) is hyponormal for the following pair of functions:
 - (a) $\varphi_1(x, y) = c, \varphi_2(x, y) = b$
 - (b) $\varphi_1(x, y) = e^x, \varphi_2(x, y) = e^y$
 - (c) $\varphi_1(x, y) = c, \varphi_2(x, y) = e^{x+y}$
 - (d) $\varphi_1(x, y) = e^{-x-y}, \varphi_2(x, y) = e^{x+y}$
 - (e) $\varphi_1(x, y) = e^{-y}, \varphi_2(x, y) = e^{-x}$
- (2) The functions $\varphi_1(x, y) = e^{-(x+y)}, \varphi_1(x, y) = \frac{1}{x+y+1}$ are completely monotone. Therefore each weighted translation semigroup S_{1t_1} with symbol φ_1 is subnormal. Hence by Proposition 2.8, each tuple $(I, S_{1t_1}, S_{1t_1}^2, \dots, S_{1t_1}^{p-1})$, $p \geq 1$ is hyponormal. ■

Using similar techniques as discussed in Section 3, the toral analytic model for the special tuple can be constructed. Further, the properties of Taylor spectrum are similar.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Athavale, *On joint hyponormality of operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **103** (1988), 417-423.
- [2] A. Athavale and V. Sholapurkar, *Completely hyperexpansive operator tuples*, Positivity **3** (1999), 245-257.
- [3] S. Chavan, *An operator valued kernel associated with a commuting tuple of Hilbert space operators*, Bull. Sci. Math. **145** (2018), 38-52.
- [4] S. Chavan and V. Sholapurkar, *Rigidity theorems for spherical hyperexpansions*, Complex Analysis and Operator Theory **7** (2013), 1545-1568.
- [5] S. Chavan, D. K. Pradhan and S. Trivedi, *Multishifts on directed cartesian product of rooted directed trees*, Dissertationes Math. **527** (2017), 102 pp.
- [6] R. Curto, *Applications of several complex variables to multiparameter spectral theory*. Surveys of some recent results in operator theory, Vol II, 25-90, Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser. 192, Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow, (1988).
- [7] M. Embry and A. Lambert, *Weighted translation semigroups*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **7** (1977) 333-344.
- [8] M. Embry and A. Lambert, *Subnormal Weighted translation semigroups*, Journal of Functional Analysis **24** (1977), 268-275.
- [9] N. Jewell and A. Lubin, *Commuting weighted shifts and analytic function theory in several variables*, J. Operator Theory, **1** (1979), 207-223.
- [10] G. Phatak and V. Sholapurkar, *Hyperexpansive weighted translation semigroups*, Colloquium Mathematicum, DOI: 10.4064/cm7583-12-2018
- [11] G. Phatak and V. Sholapurkar, *An analytic model for left invertible weighted translation semigroups*, Acta. Sci. Math. (Szeged) **85** (2019), 295-311.
- [12] A. Shields *Weighted shift operators and analytic function theory* Topics in Operator Theory, Math. Surveys Monographs, Am. Math. Soc. Providence **13** (1974) 49-128.
- [13] S. Shimorin, *Wold-type decompositions and wandering subspaces for operators close to isometries*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **531** (2001), 147-189.

- [14] V. Sholapurkar and A. Athavale, *Completely and alternatingly hyperexpansive operators*, J. Operator Theory **43** (2000), 43-68.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, S. P. COLLEGE, PUNE- 411030, INDIA
E-mail address: `gmphatak19@gmail.com`

HEAD, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, S. P. COLLEGE, PUNE- 411030, INDIA
E-mail address: `vmshola@gmail.com`