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Abstract: An order $2m$ complex tensor $\mathcal{H}$ is said to be Hermitian if

$$\mathcal{H}_{i_1 \cdots i_m j_1 \cdots j_m} = \mathcal{H}^*_{j_1 \cdots j_m i_1 \cdots i_m}$$

for all $i_1 \cdots i_m j_1 \cdots j_m$. It can be regarded as an extension of Hermitian matrix to higher order. This paper is focusing on the concepts and basis properties of Hermitian tensors, partial traces of tensors, rank-one Hermitian decomposition, nonnegative Hermitian tensors, Hermitian tensor eigenvalues and separable Hermitian tensors are introduced.
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1 Introduction

An $m$th-order complex tensor denoted by $\mathcal{A} = (A_{i_1 \cdots i_m}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n_1 \times \cdots \times n_m}$ is a multi-array consisting of numbers $A_{i_1 \cdots i_m} \in \mathbb{C}$ for all $i_k \in \{1, \ldots, n_k\}$ and $k \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$. Tensor is the extension of matrix to higher order.

In the study of matrices, symmetric matrices and Hermitian matrices are playing significant roles [1]. As an extension of symmetric matrices, a tensor $\mathcal{S} = (S_{i_1 \cdots i_m}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n_1 \times \cdots \times n}$ is called symmetric [2] if its entries $S_{i_1 \cdots i_m}$ are invariant under any permutation operator $P$ of $\{1, \ldots, m\}$, i.e.

$$S_{i_1 \cdots i_m} = S_{P[i_1 \cdots i_m]},$$

(1.1)

where $P[i_1 \cdots i_m] := [i_{P[1]} \cdots i_{P[m]}].$

---
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Lots of study have been conducted regarding properties of symmetric tensors such as symmetric tensor rank [2, 3], symmetric tensor decomposition [4, 5, 6], symmetric tensor eigenvalues [7] and copositive tensors. Symmetric tensors are also applied to plenty of practical problems. Similarly, Hermitian tensor as an extension of Hermitian matrices is defined as follows.

**Definition 1.1.** A $2m$th-order tensor $\mathcal{H} = (H_{i_1 \cdots i_m j_1 \cdots j_m}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n_1 \times \cdots \times n_m \times n_1 \times \cdots \times n_m}$ is called a Hermitian tensor if $H_{i_1 \cdots i_m j_1 \cdots j_m} = H^*_{j_1 \cdots j_m i_1 \cdots i_m}$ for every $i_1, \ldots, i_m$ and $j_1, \ldots, j_m$, where $x^*$ denotes the complex conjugate of $x$. A Hermitian tensor $\mathcal{H}$ is called a symmetric Hermitian tensor if $n_1 = \cdots = n_m$ and its entries $H_{i_1 \cdots i_m j_1 \cdots j_m}$ are invariant under any permutation operator $P$ of $\{1, \ldots, m\}$ with $H_{i_1 \cdots i_m j_1 \cdots j_m} = \mathcal{H}_{P[i_1 \cdots i_m]}[P[j_1 \cdots j_m]]$.

In [8, Definition 3.7], Jiang et al. call a Hermitian tensor $\mathcal{H}$ as a conjugate partial-symmetric tensor if $n_1 = \cdots = n_m$ and its entries $H_{i_1 \cdots i_m j_1 \cdots j_m}$ are invariant under any permutation operators $P$ and $Q$ of $\{1, \ldots, m\}$ with $H_{i_1 \cdots i_m j_1 \cdots j_m} = \mathcal{H}_{P[i_1 \cdots i_m]}Q[j_1 \cdots j_m]$. Hence, a conjugate partial-symmetric tensor is a special symmetric Hermitian tensor.

The space of all Hermitian tensors $\mathcal{H} \in \mathbb{C}^{n_1 \times \cdots \times n_m \times n_1 \times \cdots \times n_m}$ is denoted by $\mathbb{H}[n_1, \ldots, n_m]$ for convenience. Generally an order $2m$ tensor $\mathcal{H}$ is called Hermitian if there is a permutation $P[1, 2, \cdots, 2m] = [p_1, \ldots, p_m, q_1, \ldots, q_m]$ such that such that

$$B_{i_1 \cdots i_{2m}} = H_{i_{p_1} \cdots i_{p_m} j_{q_1} \cdots j_{q_m}}$$

(1.2)

$B$ is a Hermitian tensor defined in (1.1). The general Hermitian tensor can be transformed into a usual Hermitian tensor by index permutation. It suffices to study the usual Hermitian tensor in (1.1), so our paper is focusing on the Hermitian tensor defined in (1.1).

Hermitian tensors can be applied in quantum physics research. An $m$-partite pure state $|\psi\rangle$ of a composite quantum system can be regarded as a normalized element in a Hilbert
tensor product space $\mathbb{C}^{n_1 \times \cdots \times n_m}$. The pure state $|\psi\rangle$ is denoted as

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{i_1, \ldots, i_m=1}^{n_1, \ldots, n_m} \chi_{i_1 \cdots i_m} |e^{(1)}_{i_1} \cdots e^{(m)}_{i_m}\rangle,$$

where $\chi_{i_1 \cdots i_m} \in \mathbb{C}$, $\{|e^{(k)}_{i_k}\rangle : i_k = 1, 2, \ldots, n_k\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{C}^{n_k}$. Hence, a pure state is uniquely corresponding to a complex tensor $X = (X_{i_1 \cdots i_m})$ under a given orthonormal basis.

For a general mixed state $\rho$, its density matrix is always written as

$$\rho = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i |\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_i|,$$

where $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i = 1$, $|\psi_i\rangle$ is a pure state and $\langle \psi_i|$ is the complex conjugate transpose of $|\psi_i\rangle$. Hence, the density matrix of $\rho$ is also uniquely corresponding to a Hermitian tensor $\mathcal{H} \in \mathbb{H}[n_1, \ldots, n_m]$ with $\mathcal{H}_{i_1 \cdots i_m j_1 \cdots j_m} = \mathcal{H}^*_{j_1 \cdots j_m i_1 \cdots i_m}$ under a given orthonormal basis. In quantum physics, there are many properties on the separable problem and entanglement value problem of quantum mixed states. All these properties can also be seen as properties of Hermitian tensors.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some basic properties including unitary equivalent relationship, and invariant properties under unitary transformation are derived. Section 3 introduces partial traces of Hermitian tensors. Section 4 introduces the concept of rank-one Hermitian decomposition, proves the existence of Hermitian decomposition for all Hermitian tensors. Hermitian tensor eigenvalues and separable Hermitian tensors are discussed in Section 5 and Section 6.

## 2 Some basic properties

Let $A, B \in \mathbb{H}[n_1, \ldots, n_m]$ be Hermitian tensors. Their inner product is defined as

$$\langle A, B \rangle := \sum_{i_1, \ldots, i_m, j_1, \ldots, j_m=1}^{n_1, \ldots, n_m, n_1, \ldots, n_m} A^*_{i_1 \cdots i_m j_1 \cdots j_m} B_{i_1 \cdots i_m j_1 \cdots j_m},$$

(2.3)
the F-norm of tensor $A$ is defined as

$$||A||_F := \sqrt{\langle A, A \rangle}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.4)$$

and the matrix trace of tensor $A$ is defined as

$$\text{Tr}_M A := \sum_{i_1, \ldots, i_m=1}^{n_1, \ldots, n_m} A_{i_1 \cdots i_m}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.5)$$

For vectors $u_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{n_1}, \ldots, u_m \in \mathbb{C}^{n_m}$, the rank-1 Hermitian tensor is denoted by

$$\otimes_{i=1}^m u_i \otimes_{j=1}^m u_j^* := u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_m \otimes u_1^* \cdots \otimes u_m^*.$$  

So $\otimes_{i=1}^m u_i \otimes_{j=1}^m u_j^*$ is the tensor that

$$(\otimes_{i=1}^m u_i \otimes_{j=1}^m u_j^*)_{i_1 \cdots i_m j_1 \cdots j_m} := (u_1)_{i_1} \cdots (u_m)_{i_m} (u_1^*)_{j_1} \cdots (u_m^*)_{j_m}.  \hspace{1cm} (2.6)$$

**Lemma 2.1.** For a Hermitian tensor $A \in \mathbb{H}[n_1, \ldots, n_m]$ and vectors $u_k \in \mathbb{C}^{n_k} (k = 1, \ldots, m)$, we have:

i) $A_{i_1 \cdots i_m}$ and $\langle A, \otimes_{i=1}^m u_i \otimes_{j=1}^m u_j^* \rangle$ are real;

ii) $\text{Tr}_M (\otimes_{i=1}^m u_i \otimes_{j=1}^m u_j^*) = ||u_1||^2 \cdots ||u_m||^2$.

**Proof.** i) Since $A$ is Hermitian, $A_{i_1 \cdots i_m j_1 \cdots j_m} = A_{j_1 \cdots j_m i_1 \cdots i_m}$. By (2.3) and (2.6), we have that

$$\langle A, \otimes_{i=1}^m u_i \otimes_{j=1}^m u_j^* \rangle = \sum_{i_1, \ldots, i_m, j_1, \ldots, j_m=1}^{n_1, \ldots, n_m, n_1, \ldots, n_m} A_{i_1 \cdots i_m j_1 \cdots j_m} (u_1)_{i_1} \cdots (u_m)_{i_m} (u_1^*)_{j_1} \cdots (u_m^*)_{j_m}.$$  

Hence, $\langle A, \otimes_{i=1}^m u_i \otimes_{j=1}^m u_j^* \rangle$ is real, the first result follows.
ii) One can check that

\[
\text{Tr}_M(\otimes_{i=1}^m u_i \otimes_{j=1}^m u_j^*) = \sum_{i_1, \ldots, i_m=1}^{n_1, \ldots, n_m} (u_1)_{i_1} \cdots (u_m)_{i_m} (u_1)^* \cdots (u_m)^* 
\]

\[
= \left( \sum_{i_1=1}^{n_1} (u_1)_{i_1} (u_1)^* \right) \cdots \left( \sum_{i_m=1}^{n_m} (u_m)_{i_m} (u_m)^* \right) = \prod_{i=1}^m ||u_i||^2. 
\]

This completes the proof. \( \square \)

Let \( Q \in \mathbb{C}^{n_k \times n_k} \) be a square matrix, \( k = 1, \ldots, m \). The mode-\( k \) product of a tensor \( A \) by a matrix \( Q \) is a \( 2m \)-th order tensor, its entries are given by

\[
(A \times_k Q)_{i_1 \cdots i_{k-1} t_{k+1} \cdots i_{2m}} := \sum_{t=1}^{n_k} A_{i_1 \cdots i_{k-1} t_{k+1} \cdots i_{2m}} Q_{t_k}, \quad (2.7)
\]

**Definition 2.1.** Let \( A \in \mathbb{H}[n_1, \ldots, n_m] \) be a Hermitian tensor. The transformation \( A \rightarrow B = A \times_1 Q_1 \cdots \times_m Q_m \times_{m+1} Q_1^* \cdots \times_{2m} Q_m^* \) is called a *unitary transformation* if each \( Q_k \in \mathbb{C}^{n_k \times n_k} \) is unitary. For such case, \( B \) is said to be *unitary equivalent* to \( A \). If all \( Q_k \) may be taken to be real (and hence is real orthogonal), then the transformation is said to be (real) orthogonally transformation, \( B \) is said to be (real) orthogonally equivalent to \( A \).

Unitary transformation is the extension of unitarily similarity of matrix. As we know, unitarily similar matrices share some common property such as eigenvalues and orthogonality. There are also some invariant properties under unitary transformation stated in (2.1).

**Proposition 2.1.** Assume that \( A \in \mathbb{H}[n_1, \ldots, n_m] \) be a Hermitian tensor, \( Q_k \in \mathbb{C}^{n_k \times n_k} \) be unitary matrices for \( k = 1, \ldots, m \). Let \( B = A \times_1 Q_1 \cdots \times_m Q_m \times_{m+1} Q_1^* \cdots \times_{2m} Q_m^* \). Then

(i) \( B \) is also a Hermitian tensor;

(ii) \( \text{Tr}_M A = \text{Tr}_M B \);

(iii) \( ||A||_F = ||B||_F \).

**Proof.** (i) Since \( A \) is a Hermitian tensor, then \( A_{k_1 \cdots k_m t_1 \cdots t_m}^* = A_{t_1 \cdots t_m k_1 \cdots k_m} \).
Hence, $B$ is also a Hermitian tensor.

(ii) Assume that $Q \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is a unitary matrix, then

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Q)_{ti} (Q)_{ki}^* = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{if } t = k; \\
0, & \text{others.}
\end{cases}
$$

$$
\text{Tr}_M B = \sum_{i_1, \ldots, i_m} B_{i_1 \cdots i_m i_1 \cdots i_m}
= \sum_{i_1, \ldots, i_m} \sum_{t_1, \ldots, t_m} A_{t_1 \cdots t_m k_1 \cdots k_m} (Q)_{t_1 i_1} (Q)_{i_2 \cdots i_m} (Q)_{t_1 i_1} \cdots (Q)_{t_m i_m} (Q)_{k_1 j_1} \cdots (Q)_{k_m j_m}
= \sum_{i_1, \ldots, i_m} \sum_{t_1, \ldots, t_m} A_{t_1 \cdots t_m k_1 \cdots k_m} \sum_{i_1, \ldots, i_m} (Q)_{t_1 i_1} (Q)_{i_2 \cdots i_m} (Q)_{t_1 i_1} \cdots (Q)_{t_m i_m} (Q)_{k_1 j_1} \cdots (Q)_{k_m j_m}
= \sum_{i_1, \ldots, i_m} A_{t_1 \cdots t_m} \sum_{i_1} (Q)_{i_1} (Q)_{i_1 \cdots i_m} \sum_{i_1, \ldots, i_m} (Q)_{t_1 i_1} (Q)_{i_2 \cdots i_m} (Q)_{t_1 i_1} \cdots (Q)_{t_m i_m} (Q)_{k_1 j_1} \cdots (Q)_{k_m j_m}
= \sum_{i_1, \ldots, i_m} A_{t_1 \cdots t_m}
= \text{Tr}_M A
$$

(iii)

$$
||B||_F^2 = \sum_{i_1, \ldots, i_m, j_1, \ldots, j_m} B_{i_1 \cdots i_m j_1 \cdots j_m} B_{i_1 \cdots i_m j_1 \cdots j_m}^*
= \sum_{t_1, \ldots, t_m, k_1 \cdots k_m} \sum_{t'_1, \ldots, t'_m, k'_1 \cdots k'_m} A_{t_1 \cdots t_m k_1 \cdots k_m} A_{t'_1 \cdots t'_m k'_1 \cdots k'_m}^* 
\cdot \sum_{i_1, \ldots, i_m} (Q)_{i_1} (Q)_{i_1}^* \cdots (Q)_{i_m} (Q)_{i_m}^* \sum_{j_1, \ldots, j_m} (Q)_{j_1} (Q)_{j_1}^* \cdots (Q)_{j_m} (Q)_{j_m}^* 
= \sum_{t_1, \ldots, t_m, k_1 \cdots k_m} \sum_{t'_1, \ldots, t'_m, k'_1 \cdots k'_m} A_{t_1 \cdots t_m k_1 \cdots k_m} A_{t'_1 \cdots t'_m k'_1 \cdots k'_m}^*
$$
The matrix trace and the F-norm of a Hermitian tensor are univariate under an unitary transformation. Unitary equivalent is an equivalence relation on Hermitian tensors.

\section{Partial traces of tensors}

\textbf{Definition 3.1.} Let $\mathcal{H} \in \mathbb{H}[n_1, \cdots, n_m]$ be a Hermitian tensor. For $k \in \{1, \cdots, m\}$, define the \textit{non-$k$ partial trace} of $\mathcal{H}$, denoted by $\text{Tr}_k(\mathcal{H})$, which is a $n_k \times n_k$ matrix with its entries

$$
(\text{Tr}_k(\mathcal{H}))_{ij} = \sum_{i_1, \cdots, i_{k-1}, i_{k+1}, \cdots, i_m} \mathcal{H}_{i_1 \cdots i_{k-1} i_{i_k} \cdots i_{k+1} \cdots i_m}^i j_{i_{k-1} \cdots i_{k+1} \cdots i_m}.
$$

Generally, for $I = \{k_1, \cdots, k_s\}$ with $1 \leq k_1 < \cdots < k_s \leq m$, the \textit{non-$I$ partial trace} $\text{Tr}_I(\mathcal{H})$ of $\mathcal{H}$ is defined as a $2^s$th-order Hermitian tensor $\text{Tr}_I(\mathcal{H}) \in \mathbb{H}[n_{k_1}, \cdots, n_{k_s}]$. Its entries are defined as follows

$$(\text{Tr}_I(\mathcal{H}))_{k_1 \cdots k_s j_1 \cdots j_s} = \sum_{i_k = j_k \text{ if } k \not\in I} \mathcal{H}_{k_1 \cdots i_k \cdots i_m j_1 \cdots j_m}.
$$

Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n_1 \times \cdots \times n_m}$ be a complex tensor. Let $\mathcal{A}^*$ be a conjugate tensor of $\mathcal{A}$. A \textit{Hermitianized tensor} of $\mathcal{A}$ is defined as $\rho(\mathcal{A}) := \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}^* \in \mathbb{H}[n_1, \cdots, n_m]$ with its entries as

$$
\rho(\mathcal{A})_{i_1 \cdots i_m j_1 \cdots j_m} := \mathcal{A}_{i_1 \cdots i_m} \mathcal{A}^*_{j_1 \cdots j_m}.
$$

Let $\rho = \rho(\mathcal{A})$. For $k \in \{1, \cdots, m\}$, then $\text{Tr}_k(\rho)$ is an $n_k \times n_k$ matrix with its entries

$$(\text{Tr}_k(\rho))_{ij} = \sum_{i_1, \cdots, i_{k-1}, i_{k+1}, \cdots, i_m} \mathcal{A}_{i_1 \cdots i_{k-1} i_{k+1} \cdots i_m}^i j_{i_{k-1} i_{k+1} \cdots i_m}.
$$

This completes the proof. \hfill \Box

Hence, an unitary transformation is a map from Hermitian tensors to Hermitian tensors.
Generally, for $I = \{k_1, \cdots, k_s\}$ with $1 \leq k_1 < \cdots < k_s \leq m$, the non-$I$ partial trace $\text{Tr}_I(\rho)$ of $\rho$ is a $2s$th-order Hermitian tensor $\text{Tr}_I(\rho) \in \mathbb{H}[n_{k_1}, \cdots, n_{k_s}]$. By definition 3.1, its entries are as follows

\[
(\text{Tr}_I(\rho))_{i_{k_1} \cdots i_{k_s} j_{k_1} \cdots j_{k_s}} = \sum_{i_k = j_k \text{ if } k \notin I} A_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_m} A^*_{j_1 j_2 \cdots j_m}.
\]

The following example is another way to understand the concept non-$k$ partial trace.

**Example 3.1.** Let $e_i = (0, \cdots, 0, 1, 0, \cdots, 0)^\top \in \mathbb{C}^{n_{i-1}}$ and $f_j = (0, \cdots, 0, 1, 0, \cdots, 0)^\top \in \mathbb{C}^{m_{j-1}}$. A $2nd$-order tensor $A$ is defined as

\[
A = (a_{ij})_{n \times m} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} a_{ij} e_i \otimes f_j.
\]

\[
\rho(A) = \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} a_{ij} e_i \otimes f_j \right) \otimes \left( \sum_{k,l=1}^{n,m} a_{kl} e_k \otimes f_l \right)^* = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \sum_{k,l=1}^{n,m} a_{ij} a^*_{kl} e_i \otimes f_j \otimes e_k \otimes f_l.
\]

When $j = l$, the non-$1$ partial trace of $\rho$ is followed by (3.8) as

\[
\text{Tr}_1(\rho) = \sum_{i,k=1}^{n,n} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{ij} a^*_{kj} \right) e_i \otimes e_k = \left( \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{ij} a^*_{kj} \right)_{n \times n}.
\]

The following is the Schmidt polar form, more detail seeing [10].

**Theorem 3.1. (Schmidt polar form)** Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n_1 \times n_2}$ be a $2nd$-order tensor. Let $\rho = \rho(A)$, and let $\rho_1 = \text{Tr}_1(\rho)$ and $\rho_2 = \text{Tr}_2(\rho)$ be the partial traces. Then:

1. $\rho_1$ and $\rho_2$ have the same nonzero eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_r$ (with the same multiplicities) and any extra dimensions are made up with zero eigenvalues (noting then $r \leq \min(n_1, n_2)$);

2. $A$ can be written as $A = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sqrt{\lambda_i} e_i f_i$, where $e_i$ (respectively $f_i$) are orthonormal eigenvectors of $\rho_1$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n_1}$ (respectively $\rho_2$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n_2}$) belonging to $\lambda_i$. This expression is called the Schmidt polar form of $A$.

However, if $A$ is a higher order tensor then the Schmidt polar form does not hold as the following example.


Example 3.2. We consider a 3rd-order tensor here. Let

\[ v(\alpha, \beta) = (\cos \alpha \sin \beta, \sin \alpha \sin \beta, \cos \beta)^T. \]

Let \( A = 0 \).

\[ 0.371391v(\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{3}) \otimes v(\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{5\pi}{6}) \otimes v(\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{5\pi}{6}) + 0.742781v(\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{3}) \otimes v(\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{3}) \]

\[ + 0.557086v(\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{3}) \otimes v(\frac{-\pi}{6}, \frac{\pi}{3}) \otimes v(\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{3}). \]

\[ \rho = \rho(A), \] \( \rho_1 = \text{Tr}_1(\rho), \) \( \rho_2 = \text{Tr}_2(\rho), \) and \( \rho_3 = \text{Tr}_3(\rho). \)

We calculate their eigenvalues directly

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>partial traces</th>
<th>eigenvalues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \rho_1 )</td>
<td>0.57901, 0.42099, 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho_2 )</td>
<td>0.624058, 0.339349, 0.0365928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho_3 )</td>
<td>0.590626, 0.383293, 0.0260811</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is observed that \( \rho_1, \rho_2 \) and \( \rho_3 \) have different eigenvalues. Hence, the Schmidt polar form is false for the 3rd-order tensor. \( \square \)

A decomposition \( A = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i u_i^{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_i^{(m)} \) with \( 0 \neq \lambda_i \in \mathbb{R} \) is called orthogonal if \( u_i^{(1)}, \cdots, u_i^{(m)} \in \mathbb{C}^{n_k} \) are normalized and orthogonal for all \( k = 1, \cdots, m. \)

Theorem 3.2. Assume that \( A \in \mathbb{C}^{n_1 \times \cdots \times n_m} \) is an \( m \)th-order tensor with an orthogonal decomposition

\[ A = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i u_i^{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_i^{(m)}. \]

Let \( \rho = \rho(A), \) \( \rho_k = \text{Tr}_k(\rho). \) Then \( \rho_1, \cdots, \rho_m \) have the same nonzero eigenvalues \( \lambda_1^2, \cdots, \lambda_r^2 \) (with the same multiplicities), and

\[ \rho_k = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i^2 u_i^{(k)} \otimes u_i^{(k)*}. \]

Lemma 3.1. Assume that \( u_i, v_i \in \mathbb{C}^{n_i}, i = 1, \cdots, m. \) Let \( U = u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_m, V = v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_m. \)

Then

\[ \text{Tr}_M(U \otimes V^*) = \text{Tr}(u_1 v_1^*) \cdots \text{Tr}(u_m v_m^*). \]
Proof. Let \( u_i = (u_{i1}, \ldots, u_{in_i})^T \) and \( v_i = (v_{i1}, \ldots, v_{in_i})^T \), \( i = 1, \ldots, m \). Then

\[
\text{Tr}_M(U \otimes V^*) = \sum_{k_1, \ldots, k_m = 1}^{n_1, \ldots, n_m} u_{1k_1} \cdots u_{mk_m} v_{1k_1}^* \cdots v_{mk_m}^*
\]

\[
= \left( \sum_{k_1 = 1}^{n_1} u_{1k_1} v_{1k_1}^* \right) \cdots \left( \sum_{k_m = 1}^{n_m} u_{mk_m} v_{mk_m}^* \right)
\]

\[
= \text{Tr}(u_1 v_1^*) \cdots \text{Tr}(u_m v_m^*).
\]

This completes the proof. \( \Box \)

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Since \( \{u_{1}^{(k)}, \ldots, u_{r}^{(k)}\} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n_k} \) are normalized and orthogonal for \( k = 1, \ldots, m \), then

\[
\text{Tr}(u_{i}^{(k)} \otimes u_{j}^{(k)*}) = \delta(i, j) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i \neq j; \\ 1, & \text{else}. \end{cases} \tag{3.9}
\]

By Lemma 3.1 and (3.9), it is followed that

\[
\rho_k = \text{Tr}_k(\rho) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{r} \lambda_i \lambda_j \text{Tr}_k \left( u_i^{(1)} \otimes u_j^{(1)*} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_i^{(m)} \otimes u_j^{(m)*} \right)
\]

\[
= \sum_{i,j=1}^{r} \lambda_i \lambda_j \text{Tr}_M \left( \prod_{t=1,t\neq k}^{m} u_{i}^{(t)} \otimes u_{j}^{(t)*} \right) u_i^{(k)} \otimes u_j^{(k)*}
\]

\[
= \sum_{i,j=1}^{r} \lambda_i \lambda_j \left( \prod_{t=1,t\neq k}^{m} \text{Tr}(u_{i}^{(t)} \otimes u_{j}^{(t)*}) \right) u_i^{(k)} \otimes u_j^{(k)*}
\]

\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_i^2 u_i^{(k)} \otimes u_i^{(k)*}
\]

This completes the proof. \( \Box \)

Theorem 3.3. Let \( A \in \mathbb{C}^{n_1 \times \cdots \times n_m} \) be an normalized \( m \)th-order tensor. Let \( \rho = \rho(A) \), \( \rho_k = \text{Tr}_k(\rho) \). Then \( A \) is a rank-one tensor iff \( \rho_1, \ldots, \rho_m \) have the same only one nonzero eigenvalue \( \lambda = 1 \).

Proof. The necessity is followed by Theorem 3.2 directly for \( r = 1 \). Now we prove the sufficiency. Since \( \rho_1, \ldots, \rho_m \) have the same only one nonzero eigenvalue \( \lambda = 1 \), then \( \rho_1, \ldots, \rho_m \) are rank-one matrices and can be written as \( \rho_k = u^{(k)} u^{(k)*} \), \( k = 1, \ldots, m \).
Firstly, since $\rho_1$ has a single nonzero eigenvalue $\lambda = 1$, we see $A$ as a $n_1 \times (n_2 \times \cdots \times n_m)$ matrix, by Schmidt polar form, there is a normalized tensor $\tilde{U}^{(1)} \in \mathbb{C}^{n_2 \times \cdots \times n_m}$, such that

$$A = u^{(1)} \otimes \tilde{U}^{(1)}.$$ 

It follows that

$$\rho_2 = \text{Tr}_2(\rho) = \text{Tr}_2(u^{(1)} \otimes \tilde{U}^{(1)} \otimes u^{(1)*} \otimes \tilde{U}^{(1)*}) = \text{Tr}_1(\tilde{U}^{(1)} \otimes \tilde{U}^{(1)*}).$$

Secondly, since $\rho_2$ has a single nonzero eigenvalue $\lambda = 1$, we see $\tilde{U}^{(1)}$ as a $n_2 \times (n_3 \times \cdots \times n_m)$ matrix, again by Schmidt polar form, there is a normalized state $\tilde{U}_2^{(1)} \in \mathbb{C}^{n_3 \times \cdots \times n_m}$, such that

$$\tilde{U}^{(1)} = u^{(2)} \otimes \tilde{U}^{(2)}, \text{ and } \rho_3 = \text{Tr}_1(\tilde{U}^{(2)} \otimes \tilde{U}^{(2)*}).$$

And so on, it follows that

$$A = u^{(1)} \cdots u^{(m)}.$$ 

This completes the proof. □

**Corollary 3.1.** Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n_1 \times \cdots \times n_m}$ be a normalized $m$th-order tensor. Let $\rho = \rho(A)$, $\rho_k = \text{Tr}_k(\rho)$. Then $A$ is a rank-one tensor iff $\text{Det}(\rho_k - I_k) = 0$, where $I_k$ is a unit matrix, $k = 1, \cdots, m$.

**Proof.** Since $A$ is normalized and all nonzero eigenvalues of $\rho_k$ are positive, hence the sum of all eigenvalues (including multiplicities) of $\rho_k$ equals 1. It implies that if 1 is a nonzero eigenvalue of $\rho_k$ then 1 is the single nonzero eigenvalue of $\rho_k$. By Theorem 3.3 it follows that $A$ is a rank-one tensor iff 1 is a nonzero eigenvalue of $\rho_k$. By matrix theory, we know that 1 is an eigenvalue of $\rho_k$ iff $\text{Det}(\rho_k - I_k) = 0$. Hence, the result hold. □

**Theorem 3.4.** Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n_1 \times \cdots \times n_m}$ be $m$th-order tensors. Let $\rho^A = \rho(A)$, $\rho_k^A = \text{Tr}_k(\rho^A)$, $\rho^B = \rho(B)$, $\rho_k^B = \text{Tr}_k(\rho^B)$. Then $\rho_k^A$ and $\rho_k^B$ are unitary equivalent for $k = 1, \cdots, m$ if $A$ and $B$ are unitary equivalent.
Proof. Assume that \( \{ e^{(k)}_1, \cdots, e^{(k)}_{n_k} \} \) is an orthonormal basis of \( \mathbb{C}^{n_k} \), \( k = 1, \cdots, m \), and

\[
\rho^A = \sum A_{i_1 \cdots i_m} A^*_{j_1 \cdots j_m} e^{(1)}_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e^{(m)}_{i_m} \otimes e^{(1)*}_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e^{(m)*}_{j_m}.
\]

Then

\[
(p_k^A)_{ij} = \left( \sum A_{i_1 \cdots i_{k-1} i_{k+1} \cdots i_m} A^*_{i_1 \cdots i_{k-1} j_{k+1} \cdots j_m} \right) e^{(k)}_i \otimes e^{(k)*}_j.
\]

Since \( A \) and \( B \) are unitary equivalent, hence there are unitary matrices \( Q_1, \cdots, Q_m \) such that \( \rho^B = \rho^A \times Q_1 \cdots Q_m \times Q_1^* \cdots Q_m^* \). Let \( f^{(k)}_i = Q_i e^{(k)}_i \), \( i = 1, \cdots, n_k \). Then \( \{ f^{(k)}_1, \cdots, f^{(k)}_{n_k} \} \) is another orthonormal basis of \( \mathbb{C}^{n_k} \), and

\[
\rho^B = \sum A_{i_1 \cdots i_m} A^*_{j_1 \cdots j_m} f^{(1)}_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes f^{(m)}_{i_m} \otimes f^{(1)*}_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes f^{(m)*}_{j_m}.
\]

Hence,

\[
(p_k^B)_{ij} = \left( \sum A_{i_1 \cdots i_{k-1} i_{k+1} \cdots i_m} A^*_{i_1 \cdots i_{k-1} j_{k+1} \cdots j_m} \right) f^{(k)}_i \otimes f^{(k)*}_j.
\]

It follows that

\[
\rho^B_k = \rho^B \times Q_k \times Q_k^*.
\]

Hence, \( \rho^A_k \) and \( \rho^B_k \) are unitary equivalent. This completes the proof. \( \square \)

This means that eigenvalues of partial traces are unchanged under unitary transformations. A tensor is determined by its partial traces.

4 Hermitian decomposition and ranks

Definition 4.1. For a Hermitian tensor \( \mathcal{H} \in \mathbb{H}[n_1, \ldots, n_m] \), if it can be written as

\[
\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_i u^{(1)}_i \otimes \cdots \otimes u^{(m)}_i \otimes u^{(1)*}_i \otimes \cdots \otimes u^{(m)*}_i
\]

(4.10)

for \( \lambda_i \in \mathbb{R} \), \( u^{(j)}_i \in \mathbb{C}^{n_j} \) and \( \| u^{(j)}_i \| = 1 \), then \( \mathcal{H} \) is called Hermitian decomposable. In this case, (4.10) is called a Hermitian decomposition of \( \mathcal{H} \). The smallest number \( r \) in (4.10) is called the Hermitian rank of \( \mathcal{H} \), which we denote as \( \text{rank}_H(\mathcal{H}) \).
It is well known that every tensor and symmetric tensor have their canonical decomposition and symmetric decomposition respectively. So a natural question is that whether every Hermitian tensor is Hermitian decomposable or not. Fortunately the answer is yes.

**Theorem 4.1.** Every Hermitian tensor $\mathcal{H} \in \mathbb{H}[n_1, \ldots, n_m]$ is Hermitian decomposable.

**Proof.** It is clear that for each $A, B \in \mathbb{H}[n_1, \ldots, n_m]$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, then $aA + bB \in \mathbb{H}[n_1, \ldots, n_m]$, which means that $\mathbb{H}[n_1, \ldots, n_m]$ is a linear space over $\mathbb{R}$. Denote $\mathbb{H}[n_1, \ldots, n_m]_1$ as the set of all rank-1 Hermitian decomposable tensors in $\mathbb{H}[n_1, \ldots, n_m]$. Then $\mathbb{H}[n_1, \ldots, n_m]_1$ is also a linear space over $\mathbb{R}$, and it is a subspace of $\mathbb{H}[n_1, \ldots, n_m]$. In the following, we will show that $\mathbb{H}[n_1, \ldots, n_m]$ and $\mathbb{H}[n_1, \ldots, n_m]_1$ have the same dimension.

Denote $[n] := \{1, \ldots, n\}$, $[n_1, \ldots, n_m] := \{(i_1, \ldots, i_m) | i_1 \in [n_1], \ldots, i_m \in [n_m]\}$. Denote $E_{i_1 \cdots i_m j_1 \cdots j_m}$ as a $2m$th-order tensor with only one nonzero entry $(E_{i_1 \cdots i_m j_1 \cdots j_m})_{i_1 \cdots i_m j_1 \cdots j_m} = 1$. Denote $I := (i_1, \ldots, i_m)$, $J := (j_1, \ldots, j_m)$. Then $E_{IJ} = E_{i_1 \cdots i_m j_1 \cdots j_m}$. Define an order $I < J$ if there is a number $k \in [m]$ such that $i_1 = j_1, \ldots, i_{k-1} = j_{k-1}$ and $i_k < j_k$.

On the one hand, let $E_1 = \{E_{IJ} : I \in [n_1, \ldots, n_m]\}$, $E_2 = \{E_{IJ} + E_{JI} : I < J, I, J \in [n_1, \ldots, n_m]\}$, $E_3 = \{\sqrt{-1}E_{IJ} - \sqrt{-1}E_{JI} : I, J \in [n_1, \ldots, n_m], I < J\}$. Then $E_1 \cup E_2 \cup E_3$ is a basis of the linear space $\mathbb{H}[n_1, \ldots, n_m]$ over $\mathbb{R}$. Since $\#E_1 = N$, $\#E_2 = \#E_3 = \frac{N(N-1)}{2}$, where $\#$ denotes the number of entries of the set. Hence, the dimension of $\mathbb{H}[n_1, \ldots, n_m]$ is $n^2$, where $n = n_1 \times \cdots \times n_m$.

On the other hand, let $\{e^{(k)}_{i_k} \otimes e^{(k)*}_{i_k} | i_k = 1, \ldots, D_k\}$ is a basis of the linear space $\mathbb{H}[n_k]$ over $\mathbb{R}$, $D_k$ is the dimension. Then $D_k = n_k^2$. Let

$$E = \{e^{(1)}_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e^{(m)}_{i_m} \otimes e^{(1)*}_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e^{(m)*}_{i_m} | i_1 = 1, \ldots, D_k, k = 1, \ldots, m\}.$$ 

Then $E$ is a basis of the linear space $\mathbb{H}[n_1, \ldots, n_m]_1$ over $\mathbb{R}$, and its dimension $\#E = n_1^2 \times \cdots \times n_m^2 = n^2$.

Hence, $\mathbb{H}[n_1, \ldots, n_m]_1 = \mathbb{H}[n_1, \ldots, n_m]$. It follows that every Hermitian tensor is Hermitian decomposable. □
Let $n = n_1 \times \cdots \times n_m$. Every Hermitian tensor $\mathcal{H}$ can be flattened as a Hermitian matrix $H \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, labeled in the way that

$$(H)_{I,J} = \mathcal{H}_{i_1 \cdots i_m j_1 \cdots j_m}$$

(4.11)

for $I := (i_1, \ldots, i_m)$ and $J := (j_1, \ldots, j_m)$. For a tensor $U \in \mathbb{C}^{n_1 \times \cdots \times n_m}$, $U^*$ denotes the tensor obtained by applying complex conjugates to its entries. Note that $U \otimes U^*$ is always Hermitian, because

$$(U \otimes U^*)_{i_1 \cdots i_m j_1 \cdots j_m} = (U)_{i_1 \cdots i_m} (U^*)_{j_1 \cdots j_m}.$$ 

The following is the spectral theorem for Hermitian tensors.

**Theorem 4.2.** For every Hermitian tensor $\mathcal{H} \in \mathbb{H}[n_1, \ldots, n_m]$, there exist nonzero real numbers $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and tensors $U_i \in \mathbb{C}^{n_1 \times \cdots \times n_m}$ such that

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i=1}^s \lambda_i U_i \otimes U_i^*, \quad \text{where} \quad \langle U_i, U_i \rangle = 1, \quad \langle U_i, U_j \rangle = 0 \ (i \neq j).$$

(4.12)

**Proof.** Let $H$ be the matrix labeled as in (4.11). By the definition, the tensor $\mathcal{H}$ is Hermitian if and only if the matrix $H$ is Hermitian, which is then equivalent to that

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^s \lambda_i q_i q_i^*$$

for real scalars $\lambda_i$ and orthonormal vectors $q_1, \ldots, q_s \in \mathbb{C}^N$. We label vectors in $\mathbb{C}^N$ by $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_m)$. Each $q_i \in \mathbb{C}^N$ can be folded into a tensor $U_i \in \mathbb{C}^{n_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes n_m}$ such that

$$(q_i)_I = (U_i)_{i_1 \cdots i_m}.$$ 

The above decomposition for $H$ is then equivalent to

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i=1}^s \lambda_i U_i \otimes U_i^*.$$ 

Also note that

$$\langle U_i, U_j \rangle = \langle q_i, q_j \rangle = q_i^* q_j,$$

which equals 1 for $i = j$ and zero otherwise. \(\square\)
From the proof, we can see that the real scalars $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_s$ in (4.12) are eigenvalues of the Hermitian matrix $H$. We call them \textit{matrix eigenvalues} of $H$. The Hermitian eigenvalues are defined as in (5.15)-(5.16). The equation (4.12) is called an \textit{eigen-matrix decomposition} of $H$.

**Definition 4.2.** A Hermitian tensor $\mathcal{H} \in \mathbb{H}[n_1, \ldots, n_m]$ is called \textit{Orthogonally Hermitian decomposable} (OH-decomposable) if there exist vectors $u_k^{(j)}$ satisfying (4.10) and

$$\left( (u_i^{(1)})^* u_j^{(1)} \right) \cdots \left( (u_i^{(m)})^* u_j^{(m)} \right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases} \quad (4.13)$$

This is equivalent to that the rank-1 tensors $u_i^{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_i^{(m)} \otimes u_i^{(1)*} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_i^{(m)*}$ are orthogonal to each other.

**Proposition 4.1.** Assume that the matrix $H$ has no repeated nonzero eigenvalues. Then, $\mathcal{H}$ is rank-1 OH-decomposable if and only if each $U_i$ in (4.12) is a rank-1 tensor.

## 5 Nonnegativity and Hermitian Eigenvalues

For a Hermitian tensor $\mathcal{H} \in \mathbb{H}[n_1, \ldots, n_m]$, recall that $\mathcal{H}(x)$ is the conjugate polynomial

$$\mathcal{H}(x) = \langle \mathcal{H}, \otimes_{i=1}^m x_i \otimes_{j=1}^m x_j^* \rangle,$$

in $x := (x_1, \ldots, x_m)$, with complex variables $x_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{n_1}, \ldots, x_m \in \mathbb{C}^{n_m}$. Note that $\mathcal{H}(x)$ always achieves real values and $\mathcal{H}(x)$ is Hermitian quadratic in each $x_i$.

**Definition 5.1.** A Hermitian tensor $\mathcal{H}$ is called \textit{nonnegative} (resp., \textit{positive}) if $\mathcal{H}(x) \geq 0$ (resp., $\mathcal{H}(x) > 0$) for all $\|x_1\| = \cdots = \|x_m\| = 1$. The set of all nonnegative Hermitian tensors is denoted as

$$\text{NN}\mathbb{H}[n_1, \ldots, n_m] := \{ \mathcal{H} \in \mathbb{H}[n_1, \ldots, n_m] : \mathcal{H}(x) \geq 0, \forall x_i \in \mathbb{C}^{n_i} \}.$$

**Proposition 5.1.** The set $\text{NN}\mathbb{H}[n_1, \ldots, n_m]$ is a proper cone, i.e., it is closed, convex, pointed and solid.
Proof. Clearly, $\mathbb{N}[n_1, \ldots, n_m]$ is a closed, convex cone. It is solid, i.e., it has an interior point. For instance, the tensor $\mathcal{I}$ such that

$$\mathcal{I}(x) = (x_1^* x_1) \cdots (x_m^* x_m)$$

is an interior point, because the minimum value of $\mathcal{I}(x)$ over the spheres $\|x_1\| = \cdots = \|x_m\| = 1$ is one. The cone $\mathbb{N}[n_1, \ldots, n_m]$ is also pointed. This is because if $\mathcal{H} \in \mathbb{N}[n_1, \ldots, n_m]$ and $-\mathcal{H} \in \mathbb{N}[n_1, \ldots, n_m]$, then $\mathcal{H} = 0$. This is because $\mathcal{H}(x) \equiv 0$ on $\|x_1\| = \cdots = \|x_m\| = 1$ and $\mathcal{H}$ is Hermitian. □

The nonnegativity or positivity of a Hermitian tensor is related to its Hermitian eigenvalues, which we define as follows. Consider the optimization problem

$$\min \quad \mathcal{H}(x) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad x_1^* x_1 = 1, \ldots, x_m^* x_m = 1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.14)

The first order optimality conditions for (5.14) are

$$\langle \mathcal{H}, \otimes_{i=1, i \neq k}^{m} x_i \otimes_{j=1}^{m} x_j^* \rangle = \lambda_k x_k^*,$$

$$\langle \mathcal{H}, \otimes_{i=1}^{m} x_i \otimes_{j=1, j \neq k}^{m} x_j^* \rangle = \lambda_k x_k,$$

where $\lambda_k$ is the Lagrange multiplier for $x_k^* x_k = 1$, for $k = 1, \ldots, m$. Because of the constraints $x_k^* x_k = 1$, one can show that all Lagrange multipliers are equal. So we can write them as

$$\langle \mathcal{H}, \otimes_{i=1, i \neq k}^{m} x_i \otimes_{j=1}^{m} x_j^* \rangle = \lambda x_k^*, \hspace{1cm} (5.15)$$

$$\langle \mathcal{H}, \otimes_{i=1}^{m} x_i \otimes_{j=1, j \neq k}^{m} x_j^* \rangle = \lambda x_k. \hspace{1cm} (5.16)$$

Clearly, we can get

$$\lambda = \langle \mathcal{H}, \otimes_{i=1}^{m} x_i \otimes_{j=1}^{m} x_j^* \rangle = \mathcal{H}(x).$$

Hence, by Theorem 2.1, we know that $\lambda$ must be real. Since,

$$\langle \mathcal{H}, \otimes_{i=1, i \neq k}^{m} x_i \otimes_{j=1}^{m} x_j^* \rangle^* = \langle \mathcal{H}, \otimes_{i=1}^{m} x_i \otimes_{j=1}^{m} x_j^* \rangle,$$

hence equations (5.15) and (5.16) are equivalent.
Definition 5.2. For a Hermitian tensor $\mathcal{H} \in \mathbb{H}[n_1, \ldots, n_m]$, if a tuple $(\lambda; u_1, \cdots, u_m)$, with each $\|u_i\| = 1$, satisfies (5.15) or (5.16) for $k = 1, \ldots, m$, then $\lambda$ is called a *Hermitian eigenvalue*, and $(\lambda; u_1, \cdots, u_m)$ is called a *Hermitian eigentuple*. In particular, $u_i$ is called the *mode-i Hermitian eigenvector*, and $\otimes_{i=1}^m u_i \otimes_{j=1}^m u_j^*$ is called the *Hermitian eigentensor*.

Clearly, the largest (resp., smallest) Hermitian eigenvalue of $\mathcal{H}$ is the maximum (resp., minimum) value of $\mathcal{H}(x)$ over the multi-spheres $\|x_i\| = 1$. Consequently, A Hermitian tensor $\mathcal{H}$ is nonnegative (resp., positive) if and only if all its Hermitian eigenvalues are greater than or equal to zero (resp., strictly bigger than zero).

6 Separability and entanglement

Definition 6.1. A Hermitian tensor $\mathcal{H} \in \mathbb{H}[n_1, \ldots, n_m]$ is called *separable* if

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i=1}^r u_i^{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_i^{(m)} \otimes u_i^{(1)*} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_i^{(m)*} \quad (6.17)$$

for some vectors $u_i^{(j)} \in \mathbb{C}^{n_j}$. If it is not separable, then $\mathcal{H}$ is called *entangled*. The set of all separable tensors in $\mathbb{H}[n_1, \ldots, n_m]$ is denoted as $\text{Separ}_\mathbb{H}[n_1, \ldots, n_m]$. If it exists, the equation (6.17) is called a *positive Hermitian decomposition* of $\mathcal{H}$.

Recall the cone of nonnegative Hermitian tensors:

$$\text{NN}_\mathbb{H}[n_1, \ldots, n_m] := \{ \mathcal{H} \in \mathbb{H}[n_1, \ldots, n_m] : \mathcal{H}(x) \geq 0, \ x = (x_1, \ldots, x_m), \ \forall x_i \in \mathbb{C}^{n_i} \}.$$  

Theorem 6.1. The set $\text{Separ}_\mathbb{H}[n_1, \ldots, n_m]$ is a proper cone (i.e., closed, convex, pointed and solid), and its dual cone is $\text{NN}_\mathbb{H}[n_1, \ldots, n_m]$.

Proof. Note that $\text{Separ}_\mathbb{H}[n_1, \ldots, n_m]$ is the conic hull of the compact set

$$S := \{ \otimes_{i=1}^m v^{(i)} \otimes_{j=1}^m v^{(j)*} : \|v^{(1)}\| = \cdots = \|v^{(m)}\| = 1 \}.$$  

So, $\text{Separ}_\mathbb{H}[n_1, \ldots, n_m]$ is a closed convex cone. Clearly,

$$\text{Separ}_\mathbb{H}[n_1, \ldots, n_m] \subseteq \text{Dual}(\text{NN}_\mathbb{H}[n_1, \ldots, n_m]).$$
By the Hahn-Banach separating hyperplane theorem, the reverse containment is also true. Hence,

\[ \text{Separ} \mathbb{H}[n_1, \ldots, n_m] = \text{Dual}(\text{NN} \mathbb{H}[n_1, \ldots, n_m]). \]

Since \( \text{NN} \mathbb{H}[n_1, \ldots, n_m] \) is solid and pointed, its dual cone \( \text{Separ} \mathbb{H}[n_1, \ldots, n_m] \) is also solid and pointed, i.e., it is also a proper cone. \[ \square \]

**Theorem 6.2.** If \( \mathcal{H} \) is a separable Hermitian tensor, then all the matrix eigenvalues and Hermitian eigenvalues of \( \mathcal{H} \) are nonnegative.

**Proof.** When \( \mathcal{H} \) is separable, it has a decomposition like (6.17). Its Hermitian flattening matrix \( H \) takes the form

\[ H = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \mathcal{U}_i \mathcal{U}_i^*, \]

where each \( \mathcal{U}_i \) is the vector corresponding to the tensor \( u_i^{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_i^{(m)} \). Clearly, the matrix \( H \) is positive semidefinite, hence all the matrix eigenvalues are nonnegative. Moreover, we also have

\[ \mathcal{H}(x) = \langle \mathcal{H}, \otimes_{i=1}^{m} x_i \otimes_{j=1}^{m} x_j^* \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{r} |u_i^{(1)*} x_i|^2. \]

It is always nonnegative over the multi-sphere \( \|x_i\| = 1 \). So, the critical values of \( \mathcal{H}(x) \) are all nonnegative, i.e., all the Hermitian eigenvalues are nonnegative. \( \square \)

**Theorem 6.3.** (Hughston-Jozsa-Wootters, 1993 [10]) Let \( n = n_1 \times n_2 \times \ldots \times n_m \). Assume that \( \mathcal{H} \) is a Hermitian tensor with a positive eigen-matrix decomposition

\[ \mathcal{H} = \sum_{i=1}^{s} \mathcal{U}_i \mathcal{U}_i^*, \quad (6.18) \]

and a positive decomposition

\[ \mathcal{H} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \mathcal{V}_i \mathcal{V}_i^*. \quad (6.19) \]

Let \( U = (\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{U}_s) \) be an \( n \times s \) matrix, and \( V = (\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{V}_r) \) be an \( n \times r \) matrix, respectively. Then \( r \geq s \) and there is an \( s \times r \) matrix \( Q \) satisfying \( QQ^\dagger = I_{s \times s} \), such that
V = UQ, where \( I_{s \times s} \) denotes the \( s \times s \) unit matrix. Further more, if \( r > s \), then \( Q \) can be extended to an \( r \times r \) unitary matrix \( P \), such that \((U, 0) = VP^{-1}\), where \((U, 0)\) is an \( n \times r \) matrix.

**Theorem 6.4.** Assume that \( \mathcal{H} \) is a Hermitian tensor with a positive decomposition (4.12) and a positive rank-one Hermitian decomposition (4.10) with \( p_i \) and \( \lambda_j \) are positive for \( i = 1, \ldots, r, j = 1, \ldots, s \). Let \( x_{ij} = \langle U_j, u_i^{(1)} \cdots u_i^{(m)} \rangle \), \( Q_{ij} = \sqrt{p_i/\lambda_j} x_{ij} \), for \( i = 1, \ldots, r, j = 1, \ldots, s \).

Then \( r \geq s \), \( Q^\dagger Q = I_{s \times s} \), and
\[
\left( \begin{array}{c} \sqrt{p_1} u_1^{(1)} \cdots u_1^{(m)} \\ \vdots \\ \sqrt{p_r} u_r^{(1)} \cdots u_r^{(m)} \end{array} \right) = Q \left( \begin{array}{c} \sqrt{\lambda_1} u_1 \\ \vdots \\ \sqrt{\lambda_s} u_s \end{array} \right), \quad \left( \begin{array}{c} \sqrt{\lambda_1} u_1 \\ \vdots \\ \sqrt{\lambda_s} u_s \end{array} \right) = Q^\dagger \left( \begin{array}{c} \sqrt{p_1} u_1^{(1)} \cdots u_1^{(m)} \\ \vdots \\ \sqrt{p_r} u_r^{(1)} \cdots u_r^{(m)} \end{array} \right).
\]

**Proof.** Since \( x_{ij} = \langle U_j, u_i^{(1)} \cdots u_i^{(m)} \rangle \) and \( Q_{ij} = \sqrt{p_i/\lambda_j} x_{ij} \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, r, j = 1, \ldots, s \), then \( Q = (\text{diag}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{p_1}}, \ldots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_r}})U^\dagger V)^T \). By Theorem 6.3 it follows that \( r \geq s \), \( Q^\dagger Q = I_{s \times s} \), \( V^T = QU^T \) and \( U^T = Q^\dagger V^T \). Hence, these results are followed. □

From Theorem 6.3 and Theorem 6.4 we know that if \( \mathcal{H} \) is a Hermitian tensor with a positive eigen-matrix decomposition (6.18) and a positive matrix decomposition (6.19), then \( \text{Span}(U_1, \ldots, U_s) = \text{Span}(V_1, \ldots, V_r) \), and there is a matrix \( Q_{s \times r} \) such that \( QQ^\dagger = I_{s \times s} \) and \( V = UQ \). Hence, by this method one can find all positive matrix decompositions of \( \mathcal{H} \). If \( V_i \) are rank-one tensors, then one obtain a positive rank-one Hermitian decomposition of \( \mathcal{H} \).

The following example shows that not every Hermitian tensor with a positive eigen-matrix decomposition has a positive rank-one Hermitian decomposition.

**Example 6.1.** Let \( e_1^{(i)}, e_2^{(i)} \in \mathbb{C}^n \) satisfying \( ||e_1^{(i)}|| = ||e_2^{(i)}|| = 1 \) and \( e_1^{(i)} \perp e_2^{(i)} \) for \( i = 1, 2 \).

Let
\[
\mathcal{T} = 3e_1^{(1)} \otimes e_1^{(2)} \otimes e_1^{(1)*} \otimes e_2^{(2)*} + 3e_2^{(1)} \otimes e_2^{(2)} \otimes e_2^{(1)*} \otimes e_1^{(2)*} \]
\[
- e_1^{(1)} \otimes e_1^{(2)} \otimes e_2^{(1)*} \otimes e_2^{(2)*} - e_2^{(1)} \otimes e_2^{(2)} \otimes e_1^{(1)*} \otimes e_1^{(2)*} \tag{6.20}
\]
be a Hermitian tensor in \( \mathbb{H}[n_1, n_2] \). Let
\[
U_1 = e_1^{(1)} \otimes e_1^{(2)} + e_2^{(1)} \otimes e_2^{(2)}, \quad U_2 = e_1^{(1)} \otimes e_2^{(2)} - e_2^{(1)} \otimes e_1^{(2)}.
\]
Then

\[ T = U_1 \otimes U_1^* + 2U_2 \otimes U_2^*. \]

This is a positive eigen-matrix decomposition. Assume that \( T \) has a positive rank-one Hermitian decomposition

\[ T = \sum_{k=1}^{r} p_k A_k \otimes A_k^*, \tag{6.21} \]

where \( A_k = u_k \otimes v_k \), unit vectors \( u_k \in \mathbb{C}^{n_1} \), \( v_k \in \mathbb{C}^{n_2} \). By Theorem 6.3, \( A_k \) can be written as a linearly combination of \( \{U_1, U_2\} \). Assume that \( A = x_1 U_1 + x_2 U_2 \). Then

\[ A = (x_1 + x_2)e_1^{(1)} \otimes e_1^{(2)} + (x_1 - x_2)e_2^{(1)} \otimes e_2^{(2)}. \]

\[ \text{Tr}_1(A \otimes A^*) = |x_1 + x_2|^2 e_1^{(1)} \otimes e_1^{(1)*} + |x_1 - x_2|^2 e_2^{(1)} \otimes e_2^{(1)*}. \]

Since \( A \) is a rank-1 tensor, then \( \text{Det}(\text{Tr}_1(A \otimes A^*) - I) = 0 \). It follows that \( x_1 = \pm x_2 \). Hence, \( A = 2x_1 e_1^{(1)} \otimes e_1^{(2)} \) or \( A = 2x_2 e_2^{(1)} \otimes e_2^{(2)} \). Substitute them into (6.21), we obtain the positive rank-one Hermitian decomposition as

\[ T = 4p_1 |x_1|^2 e_1^{(1)} \otimes e_1^{(2)} \otimes e_1^{(1)*} \otimes e_1^{(2)*} + 4p_2 |x_2|^2 e_2^{(1)} \otimes e_2^{(2)} \otimes e_2^{(1)*} \otimes e_2^{(2)*}. \tag{6.22} \]

However, tensors \( T \) of (6.20) and (6.22) can’t be the same. Hence, the Hermitian tensor \( T \) of (6.20) has no positive rank-one Hermitian decomposition.

### 7 Conclusion

Hermitian tensor can be seen as an extension of Hermitian matrix to higher order. This paper introduces the concepts of Hermitian tensors, partial traces, rank-one Hermitian decomposition, Hermitian tensor eigenvalues and separable Hermitian tensors, etc, and gives their basic properties. All these concepts are useful in quantum physics. A fundamental problem in quantum physics and also an important problem in quantum information science is to detect whether a given state is entangled, and if so, how entangled it is. Hence, based on the consideration of studying on entanglement of quantum mixed states, there are three aspects that
need to be studied in the future, as follows: (1) separability discrimination of Hermitian tensors and decomposition algorithms; (2) numerical methods of quantum entanglement value; (3) properties of partial traces of Hermitian tensors.
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