RUBÉN A. HIDALGO

Abstract. Let $g,k \geq 2$ be integers. A closed Riemann surface $S$ is called a $(g,k)$-Fermat curve if it admits a group $H \cong \mathbb{Z}_k^{2g}$ of conformal automorphisms, acting freely on it, such that $S/H$ has genus $g$. In this case, we say that $H$ is a $(g,k)$-Fermat group and that $(S,H)$ is a $(g,k)$-Fermat pair. We obtain that $H$ is always a normal subgroup of $\text{Aut}(S)$ and we obtain that in general such group is unique. If $G$ be a co-compact torsion free Fuchsian group and, for an integer $k \geq 2$, we set $G_k$ as its normal subgroup generated by its commutators and the $k$-powers of its elements, then $(S = \mathbb{H}^2/G_k, G(G_k))$ is a $(g,k)$-Fermat pair. There is a natural holomorphic embedding $\Theta_k : \mathcal{T}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(G_k)$ of the corresponding Teichmüller spaces. Let $\pi : \mathcal{T}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(G)$ and $\pi_k : \mathcal{T}(G_k) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(G_k)$ be the corresponding Galois branched covers over their moduli spaces. As $G_k$ is a characteristic subgroup of $G$, there is a holomorphic map $\Phi_k : \mathcal{M}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(G_k)$ such that $\pi_k \circ \Theta_k = \Phi_k \circ \pi$. As consequence of the results on $(g,k)$-Fermat curves, we obtain conditions for the injectivity of $\Phi_k$.

1. Introduction

Let $g,k \geq 2$ be integers. A closed Riemann surface $S$ is called a $(g,k)$-Fermat curve if it admits a group $H \cong \mathbb{Z}_k^{2g}$ of conformal automorphisms, acting freely on it, such that $S/H$ has genus $g$. In this case, we say that $H$ is a $(g,k)$-Fermat group, that $(S,H)$ is a $(g,k)$-Fermat pair and that $S$ is a $k$-homology cover of $S/H$. By the Riemann-Hurwitz formula, $S$ has genus $1 + k^2(g - 1)$. In Section 2 we prove the following result.

**Theorem 1.**

1. Every $(g,k)$-Fermat curve is non-hyperelliptic.
2. Any two $(g,k)$-Fermat pairs are topologically equivalent.
3. If $(S,H)$ is a $(g,k)$-Fermat pair, then $H$ is a normal subgroup of $\text{Aut}(S)$. In particular, $\text{Aut}(S/H) = \text{Aut}(S)/H$.
4. If $(S,H)$ is a $(g,2)$-Fermat pair and $S/H$ is hyperelliptic, then $H$ is the unique $(g,2)$-Fermat group of $S$.
5. Let $p \geq 3$ a prime integer and $r \geq 1$. If $(S,H)$ is a $(g,p^r)$-Fermat pair such that $S/H$ has no conformal automorphism of order $p$, then $H$ is the unique $(g,p^r)$-Fermat group.

In Corollary 2.4 we describes the deck groups of the Galois closures of branched coverings $\pi \circ P : R \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$, where $P : R \rightarrow X$ is a (unbranched) regular covering between closed Riemann surfaces of genus at least two and deck group isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_k^n$ and $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ is a branched regular covering with deck group a cyclic group of order a prime integer $p$ not dividing $k$. In the particular case that $k = 2$, $p \geq 3$ and $n = 1$, this asserts [3, Theorem 2.6] (Remark 2).

In Section 3 we study the following concerning moduli spaces. If $G$ be a co-compact torsion free Fuchsian group, acting on the hyperbolic plane $\mathbb{H}$, and, for an integer $k \geq 2$,
we set $G_k$ as its normal subgroup generated by its commutators and the $k$-powers of its elements, then $(S = \mathbb{H}^2/G_k, G/G_k)$ is a $(g, k)$-Fermat pair. There is a natural holomorphic embedding $\Theta_k : \mathcal{T}(G) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}(G_k)$ of the corresponding Teichmüller spaces. Let $\pi : \mathcal{T}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(G)$ and $\pi_k : \mathcal{T}(G_k) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(G_k)$ be the corresponding Galois branched covers over their moduli spaces. As $G_k$ is a characteristic subgroup of $G$, there is a holomorphic map $\Phi_k : \mathcal{M}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(G_k)$ such that $\pi_k \circ \Theta_k = \Phi_k \circ \pi$. As consequence of the results on $(g, k)$-Fermat curves, in Section 2, we obtain conditions for the injectivity of $\Phi_k$.

**Theorem 2.** Let $g \geq 2, k \geq 2$, $G \cong \pi_k$ and $G_k$ be as above. Then $\Phi_{G_k} : \mathcal{M}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(G_k)$ is injective in either (i) or (ii) below holds.

(i) $k = p^r$, where $r \geq 1$ and $p \geq 3$ is a prime integer such that $g \notin \{1 + ap, ap + b(p - 1)/2; a, b \in \{0, 1, \ldots\}\}$ (in particular, if $p > 2g + 1$).

(ii) $g = 2$ and $k = p \geq 2$ is a prime integer.

We also obtain the following rigidity property.

**Theorem 3.** For $j = 1, 2$, let $G_j \cong \pi_{g_j}$, where $g_j \geq 2$, such that $(G_1)_k = (G_2)_k$ for some $k \geq 2$. Then (i) $g_1 = g_2$ and (ii) if $N_1$ is the normalizer of $G_1$ in $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ and $N_1/G_1$ admits no element of order a prime divisor of $k$, then $G_1 = G_2$. In particular, if $p \geq 2g + 1$ is a prime integer and $r \geq 1$, then $(G_1)_{p^r} = (G_2)_{p^r}$ implies $G_1 = G_2$.

### 2. $(g, k)$-Fermat curves

#### 2.1. Non-hyperellipticity of $(g, k)$-Fermat curves

**Proposition 1.** Every $(g, k)$-Fermat curve is non-hyperelliptic.

**Proof.** Let $S$ be a $(g, k)$-Fermat curve and let $H \cong \mathbb{Z}_k^{2g}$ be a $(g, k)$-Fermat group of $S$. Assume that $S$ is hyperelliptic and let $\iota$ be its hyperelliptic involution. Let $\pi : S \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{C}}$ be a two-fold branched cover. As $\text{deck}(\pi) = \langle \iota \rangle$ and $\iota$ is in the center of $\text{Aut}(S)$, the quotient abelian group $H/\langle \iota \rangle$ is a group of Möbius transformations keeping invariant the $2(1 + k^{2g}(g - 1)) + 2$ branch values of $\pi$. The only finite abelian groups of Möbius transformations are either (i) the trivial group, (ii) the Klein group $\mathbb{Z}_2^2$ and (iii) the cyclic groups. In case (i) we must have $H = \langle \iota \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, a contradiction. In case (ii), we must have that $H/\langle \iota \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^2$, which means that $k = 2$ and either $H$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2^2$ or $\mathbb{Z}_2$, again a contradiction. In case (iii), $H/\langle \iota \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_n$, that is, either $H$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_n$, $\mathbb{Z}_{2n}$, or $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, in each case a contradiction. $\square$

#### 2.2. Topological rigidity of $(g, k)$-Fermat pairs

Let $(S_1, H_1)$ and $(S_2, H_2)$ be such that, for each $j = 1, 2$, $S_j$ is a Riemann surfaces and $H_j$ is a group of conformal automorphisms of it. Then we say that these pairs are *isomorphic* (respectively, *topologically equivalent*) if there is an biholomorphism (respectively, an orientation preserving homeomorphism) $\psi : S_1 \rightarrow S_2$ such that $\psi H_1 \psi^{-1} = H_2$.

If $(S, H)$ is a $(g, k)$-Fermat pair and $\Gamma$ is a Fuchsian group such that $S/H = \mathbb{H}^2/\Gamma$, then $(\mathbb{H}^2/\Gamma_k, \Gamma/\Gamma_k)$ happens to be a $(g, k)$-Fermat pair.

**Proposition 2.** Let $(S, H)$ be a $(g, k)$-Fermat curve and let $\Gamma$ be a Fuchsian group such that $S/H = \mathbb{H}^2/\Gamma$. Then $(S, H)$ and $(\mathbb{H}^2/\Gamma_k, \Gamma/\Gamma_k)$ are isomorphic pairs.

**Proof.** As $S$ is an unbranched regular cover of $S/H$, there is a normal subgroup $F$ of $\Gamma$ such that $S = \mathbb{H}^2/F$ and $H = \Gamma/F$. As $H$ is abelian, $\Gamma/\Gamma_k \leq F$ and, as $H \cong \mathbb{Z}_k^{2g}$, $\Gamma/\Gamma_k \leq F$. Since $\Gamma_k$ and $\Gamma$ both have index $k^{2g}$ in $\Gamma$, it follows that $F = \Gamma_k$. $\square$
As the subgroup $\Gamma_k$ is a characteristic subgroup of $\Gamma$, the above asserts the following.

**Corollary 1.** Any two $(g, k)$-Fermat pairs are topologically equivalent.

2.3. **Normality of $(g, k)$-Fermat groups.** The above asserts that if a $(g, k)$-Fermat curve has two $(g, k)$-Fermat groups, then they must be topologically conjugated by a suitable orientation preserving self-homeomorphism. We may wonder for the uniqueness of such group. We first start with the following normality property.

**Theorem 4.** Every $(g, k)$-Fermat group of $S$ is a normal subgroup of $\text{Aut}(S)$.

**Proof.** Let $H$ be a $(g, k)$-Fermat group of $S$, that is, $S_H = S/H$ is a closed Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 2$. Let $\Gamma$ be a Fuchsian group such that $S_H = \mathbb{H}^2/\Gamma$. Then $S = \mathbb{H}^2/\Gamma_k$ and $H = \Gamma/\Gamma_k$. Let $\tilde{S}_H = \mathbb{H}^2/\Gamma'$, the homology cover of $S_H$, and let $L = \Gamma/\Gamma' \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$. If $L^k$ is the subgroup of $L$ generated by the $k$-powers of its elements (so it is unique), then $S = \tilde{S}_H/L^k$ and $H = L/L^k$.

Let $\phi \in \text{Aut}(S)$ and let $\eta \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{H}^2)$ be a lifting of it (so normalizing $\Gamma_k$). As $\Gamma'$ is the smallest normal subgroup $K$ of $\Gamma$ such that $\Gamma_k/K \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$, $\Gamma'$ is invariant under conjugation by $\eta$. It follows that $\phi$ lifts to an automorphism $\psi \in \text{Aut}(\tilde{S}_H)$. As the group $L$ is the unique subgroup of $\tilde{S}_H$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ with quotient of genus $g$ [15], the group $L$ is also kept invariant under conjugation by $\psi$. As $\psi$ also keeps invariant $L^k$, it follows that $\phi$ keeps invariant $H$ under conjugation.

\[\square\]

**Corollary 2.** Let $(S, H)$ be a $(g, k)$-Fermat pair. If $S/H$ has no conformal automorphism of order a prime integer that divides $k$, then $H$ is the unique $(g, k)$-Fermat group. In particular, if $\text{Aut}(S/H)$ is trivial, then $\text{Aut}(S) = H$.

In terms of Fuchsian groups, the above can be written as follows.

**Corollary 3.** For $j = 1, 2$, let $G_j$ be a Fuchsian group of genus $g_j \geq 2$ such that $(G_1)_k = (G_2)_k$ for some $k \geq 2$. Then (i) $g_1 = g_2$ and (ii) if $N_1$ is the normalizer of $G_1$ in $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ and $N_1/G_1$ admits no element of order a prime divisor of $k$, then $G_1 = G_2$.

2.4. **An application of normality to Galois closure of coverings.**

**Theorem 5.** Let $R$ be a closed Riemann surface of genus at least two, $n \geq 1$, $k \geq 2$, $\mathbb{Z}_k^n \cong G < \text{Aut}(R)$ acting freely on $R$, and $P : R \to X$ be a regular (unbranched) covering map with deck($P$) = $G$. Let $(S, H)$ be a $(g, k)$-Fermat pair with $S/H = X$ and let $\pi_H : S \to X$ be a regular covering map with deck($\pi_H$) = $H$. Then there exists $L < H$ and a regular covering map $\pi_L : S \to R$ with deck($\pi_L$) = $L$, such that $\pi_H = P \circ \pi_L$, in particular, $G = H/L$.

**Proof.** Let $\Gamma$ be a Fuchsian group of genus $g$ such that $\mathbb{H}^2/\Gamma = X$. The covering map $P : R \to X$ is determined by a surjective homomorphism $\theta : \Gamma \to G$ with a torsion free kernel $\Gamma_R$ such that $R = \mathbb{H}^2/\Gamma_R$ and $G = \Gamma/\Gamma_R$. As necessarily $\Gamma_k < \Gamma_R$, we have $L = \Gamma_k/\Gamma_k$.

Let $(S, H)$ be a $(g, k)$-Fermat pair and let $\Gamma$ be a Fuchsian group such that $X = S/H = \mathbb{H}^2/\Gamma$. Up to isomorphisms we may assume that $S = \mathbb{H}^2/\Gamma_k$. As $H$ is a normal subgroup of $\text{Aut}(S)$, by Theorem 4, and $\Gamma_k$ is a characteristic subgroup of $\Gamma$, we have a short exact sequence

$$1 \to H \to \text{Aut}(S) \xrightarrow{\rho} \text{Aut}(X) \to 1.$$  

In particular, for every $A < \text{Aut}(X)$ we may consider $\rho^{-1}(A) = \bar{A} < \text{Aut}(S)$. As a consequence of Theorem 5, we obtain the following fact.
Corollary 4. Let $R$ be a closed Riemann surface of genus at least two, $n \geq 1$, $k \geq 2$, $\mathbb{Z}_n^k \cong G < \text{Aut}(R)$, acting freely on $R$, and $P : R \to X$ be a regular (unbranched) covering map with $\text{deck}(P) = G$. Let $(S, H)$ be a $(g, k)$-Fermat pair with $S/H = R$ and let $\pi_H : S \to X$ be a regular covering map with $\text{deck}(\pi_H) = H$. Let $L < H$ be such that $S/L = R$ (as in Theorem 5).

Let $A < \text{Aut}(X)$ and $\pi : X \to X/A$ be a regular (possible branched) cover with $\text{deck}(\pi) = A$ and consider the (possible branched) covering map $\pi \circ P : S \to X/A$. Let $\rho^{-1}(A) = \tilde{A} < \text{Aut}(S)$ and $K < H$ maximal $A$-invariant subgroup of $H$ contained in $L$. If $Z = \tilde{X}/K$, then $Q : Z \to X/A$, the branched covering induced by $A/K$, is the closure Galos covering of $\pi \circ P.$

Proof. Only need to observe that the Galois closure, in this case, corresponds to the subgroup $K = \cap_{\tau \in \tilde{A}} \text{Alt}^{-1}$, which is maximal $A$-invariant subgroup of $H$ contained in $L$. □

Next we particularize the above to the cyclic case $A = \langle \tau \rangle$.

Corollary 5. Let $R$ be a closed Riemann surface of genus at least two. Let $k \geq 2$, $n \geq 1$, $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_n^k$ acting freely on $R$, and $P : R \to X$ be a regular (unbranched) covering map with $\text{deck}(P) = G$. Assume $\tau \in \text{Aut}(X)$ is an automorphism of prime order $p \geq 2$ which does not divides $k$, let $\pi : X \to X/\langle \tau \rangle$ be a regular (possible branched) cover with $\text{deck}(\pi) = \langle \tau \rangle$ and let us consider the (possible branched) covering map $\pi \circ P : S \to X/\langle \tau \rangle$.

Let $(S, H)$ be a $(g, k)$-Fermat pair with $S/H = R$ and let $\pi_H : S \to X$ be a regular covering map with $\text{deck}(\pi_H) = H$, and let $L < H$ be such that $S/L = R$ (as in Theorem 5). Then

1. There exists $\phi \in \text{Aut}(S)$, of order $p$, such that $\langle \rho(\phi) \rangle = \langle \tau \rangle$.
2. The (branched) covering $\pi \circ P : S \to X/\langle \tau \rangle$ is a regular covering if and only if $L$ is $\phi$-invariant under conjugation.
3. Let us assume that $X/\langle \tau \rangle$ has genus zero and $\tau$ has exactly $r \geq 3$ fixed points. Then the invariant subgroups of $H$ under conjugation by $\phi$ are of the form $\mathbb{Z}_k^{(p-1)m}$, where $m \in \{0, 1, \ldots, r-2\}$. In particular, in this case, the Galois closure $Q : Z \to X/\langle \tau \rangle$ has deck group isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_k^{(p-1)(r-2-m)} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_p$, where $m$ is maximum such that $L$ is contained inside an $\phi$-invariant subgroup of $H$ being isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_k^{(p-1)m}$.

Proof. (1). We know the existence of some $\eta \in \text{Aut}(S)$ with $\rho(\eta) = \tau$. It follows that $\eta^p \in H$. If $\eta$ has order $p$, we take $\phi = \eta$. Otherwise, as $\langle \eta^p \rangle = \langle \eta^k \rangle = 1$, and $(k, p) = 1$, we have that $\rho(\eta^k)$ must be non-trivial, and we may take $\phi = \eta^k$.

(2). This is a direct consequence of Corollary 4.

(3). The first part follows from the existence of adapted homology basis for $X$ under $\tau$ due to Gilman [8] (see remark below). The second part is then consequence of the first part and Corollary 4.

Remark 1 (Gilman’s adapted homology basis). Let $X$ be a closed Riemann surface of genus at least two and $\tau \in \text{Aut}(X)$ be a conformal automorphism of order a prime integer $p$ such that $X/\langle \tau \rangle$ has genus zero and exactly $r \geq 3$ cone points. Then there exists a basis $a_1, \ldots, a_{2g}$ of $H_g(X; \mathbb{Z})$ (it might not be a canonical one) admitting a disjoint decomposition into $(r-2)$ subcollections $\{a_{j_1}, \ldots, a_{j_r-1}\}$, $j = 1, \ldots, r-2$, such that, for each $j$ it holds that, if we set $a_{j_0} = (a_{j_1}a_{j_2} \cdots a_{j_{r-1}})^{-1}$ and $\tau_*$ is the induced action of $\tau$ on $H_g(X; \mathbb{Z})$, then

$$
\tau_*(a_{j_1}) = a_{j_1}, \tau_*(a_{j_2}) = a_{j_2}, \ldots, \tau_*(a_{j_{r-2}}) = a_{j_{r-2}}, \tau_*(a_{j_{r-1}}) = a_{j_{r-1}}.
$$
Remark 2. If in Corollary 2.4 we assume \( k = n = 2 \) and \( X/\langle \tau \rangle \) of genus zero, then (by Gilman’s adapted homology basis result) one has that \( m = r - 3 \) and we obtain as a particular case [3, Theorem 2.6].

2.5. Uniqueness of \((g, k)\)-Fermat groups. If \((S, H)\) is a \((g, k)\)-Fermat pair, then we know that \( \text{Aut}(S/H) = \text{Aut}(S)/H \) (by Theorem 4). This in particular asserts that, if \( S/H \) has no automorphism of order a prime divisor of \( k \), then \( H \) is the unique \((g, k)\)-Fermat group of \( S \) (moreover, if \( S/H \) has no non-trivial automorphisms, the generic situation for \( g \geq 3 \), then \( \text{Aut}(S) = H \)). In this way, the only possible situations for \( H \) not to be unique is when \( S/H \) has non-trivial conformal automorphisms of order a prime divisor of \( k \). We proceed to the case that \( k \) is a power of a prime integer.

Theorem 6. If \( S \) is a \((g, 2)\)-Fermat curve admitting a \((g, 2)\)-Fermat group \( H \) such that \( S/H \) is hyperelliptic, then it is the unique \((g, 2)\)-Fermat group of \( S \).

Proof. Let \( \iota \) be the hyperelliptic involution of \( S/H \). Let \( K \) be a Fuchsian group acting on the hyperbolic plane \( \mathbb{H}^2 \) such that \( \mathbb{H}^2/K = (S/H)/\langle \iota \rangle \) (the Riemann sphere with exactly \( 2g + 2 \) cone points of order two). The group \( K \) has a presentation of the form \( K = \langle y_1, \ldots, y_{2g+2} : y_1^2 = \cdots = y_{2g+2} = y_{1}y_2 \cdots y_{2g+2} = 1 \rangle \). Let \( \Gamma \) be the (unique) index two torsion free subgroup of \( K \), that is, \( \Gamma = \langle \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_{2g+2} \rangle \). In this case, \( S/H = \mathbb{H}^2/\Gamma \) (the hyperelliptic involution \( \iota \) is induced by each of the generators \( y_i \)). We claim that \( K' = \Gamma^{(2)} \). In fact, as \( \Gamma^{(2)} \) is a characteristic subgroup of \( \Gamma \) and \( \Gamma \) is a normal subgroup of \( K \), it follows that \( \Gamma^{(2)} \) is a normal subgroup of \( K \). As each of the commutators \( [y_i, y_j] = y_iy_jy_i^{-1}y_j^{-1} = (y_iy_j)^2 \in \Gamma^{(2)} \), we observe that \( K' \) is a subgroup of \( \Gamma^{(2)} \). Since \( [K : \Gamma^{(2)}] = [K : \Gamma][\Gamma : \Gamma^{(2)}] = 2 \times 2^{g} = 2^{2g+1} \) and \( [K : K'] = 2^{2g+1} \), it follows the desired equality. In this way, \( S = \mathbb{H}^2/K' = \mathbb{H}^2 \) is a generalized Fermat curve of type \((2, 2g + 1)\) whose generalized Fermat group of the same type is \( K/K' \cong \mathbb{P}^{2g+1}_2 \) (see [9]). The generalized Fermat group \( K/K' \) is generated by involutions \( a_1, \ldots, a_{2g+1} \), where \( a_j \) is induced by the generator \( y_j \). We set \( a_{2g+1} \) the one induced by \( y_{2g+2} \), so \( a_1 \cdots a_{2g+1} = 1 \). It is known that the only elements of \( K/K' \) acting with fixed points on \( S \) are the elements \( a_j \). Also, the subgroup \( H \) is the unique index two subgroup of \( K/K' \) acting freely on \( S \), this being \( H = \langle a_1a_2, a_1a_3, \ldots, a_1a_{2g+2} \rangle \).

Now, let us assume there is another \((g, 2)\)-Fermat group \( L \) of \( S \). If \( L \) is a subgroup of \( K/K' \), then \( L = H \) by the uniqueness of \( H \). So, let us assume that there is some \( \alpha \in L - H \). As \( K/K' \) is the unique generalized Fermat group of type \((2, 2g + 1)\) of \( S \) [12], \( \alpha \) normalizes it. As \( H \) is its unique index two subgroup acting freely on \( S \), \( \alpha \) also normalizes \( H \). As \( \alpha \) has order two, and it normalizes \( K/K' \), it induces a M"obius transformation \( \beta \) of order two that permutes the \( 2g + 2 \) cone points of \( S/(K/K') \). There are two possibilities: (A) none of the cone points is fixed by \( \beta \), or (B) \( \beta \) fixes exactly two of them. Up to post-composition by a suitable M"obius transformation, we may assume these cone points to be \( \infty, 0, 1, \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{2g-1} \), and that in case (A) \( \beta(\infty) = 0, \beta(1) = \lambda_1 \) and \( \beta(\lambda_{2j+1}) = \lambda_{2j} \) \((j = 1, \ldots, g - 1)\), and in case (B) \( \beta(\infty) = \infty, \beta(0) = 0, \beta(1) = \lambda_1 \), and \( \beta(\lambda_{2j+1}) = \lambda_{2j} \) \((j = 1, \ldots, g - 1)\). Note that in case (A) \( \beta(z) = \lambda_1/z \) and in case (B) we must have \( \lambda_1 = -1 \) and \( \beta(z) = -z \).

In [9] it was proved that \( S \) can be represented by an algebraic curve of the form

\[
\begin{align*}
\lambda_1x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 &= 0 \\
\lambda_2x_4^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 &= 0 \\
\cdots & \cdots \\
\lambda_{2g-1}x_g^2 + x_2^2 + x_{2g+2}^2 &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

(1)

and, in this model, \( \alpha_j([x_1 : \cdots : x_{2g+2}]) = [x_1 : \cdots : x_{j-1} : -x_j : x_{j+1} : \cdots : x_{2g+2}] \).
Assume we are in case (A). Following Corollary 9 in [9],
\[ \alpha([x_1 : \cdots : x_{2g+2}]) \]
\[ \parallel \]
\[ [x_2 : A_2 x_1 : A_3 x_4 : A_4 x_3 : \cdots : A_{2j-1} x_{2j-2} : A_{2j} x_{2j-1} : \cdots : A_{2g+1} x_{2g+2} : A_{2g+2} x_{2g+1}], \]
where \( A_2 = a_1, A_3 = 1, A_2^2 = a_{2j-3}, A_2^2 = a_{2j-3}. \) As \( \alpha \) has order two, we must also have \( A_2 = A_3 A_4 = A_5 A_6 = \cdots = A_{2j-1} A_{2j} = \cdots = A_{2g+1} A_{2g+2}. \) The point \([1 : \mu : p_1 : \cdots : p_{2g+2}]\), where
\[ \mu^2 = A_2, p_3 = \sqrt{(A_1 - 1)/(1 - \mu^5)}, \]
\[ p_{2j-1} = \sqrt{(A_{2j-1} - A_{2j-2})/(1 - A_{2j}/A_{2j-1})}, \]
is a fixed point of \( \alpha \) in \( S \) (in the above algebraic model). This is a contradiction to the fact that \( \alpha \) must act freely on \( S \).

Assume we are in case (B). Again, in this case \( \alpha \) must have the form
\[ \alpha([x_1 : \cdots : x_{2g+2}]) \]
\[ \parallel \]
\[ [x_1 : A_2 x_2 : A_3 x_4 : A_4 x_3 : \cdots : A_{2j-1} x_{2j} : A_{2j} x_{2j-1} : \cdots : A_{2g+1} x_{2g+2} : A_{2g+2} x_{2g+1}], \]
where, for every \( j, -1 = A_{2j}^2. \) In this case, \( \alpha^2([x_1 : \cdots : x_{2g+2}]) = [x_1 : -x_2 : x_3 : \cdots : x_{2g+2}], \) which is a contradiction for \( \alpha \) to be an involution.

**Corollary 6.** For \( j = 1, 2 \), let \( S_j \) be a hyperelliptic Riemann surface of genus \( g_j \geq 2 \) and let \( \tilde{S}_j \) be a 2-homology cover of it. Then \( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \) are isomorphic if and only if \( \tilde{S}_1 \) and \( \tilde{S}_2 \) are isomorphic.

**Proof.** If we write \( S_j = \mathbb{H}^2/\Gamma_j \), then \( \tilde{S}_j = \mathbb{H}^2/\Gamma_j^2. \) One direction is clear, if \( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \) are isomorphic, then \( \Gamma_1 = \Gamma_2 \Gamma_2 \) and \( \Gamma_2 \) are conjugated by some element of \( \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \). Such a conjugation preserves the characteristic subgroups, that it also conjugates \( \Gamma_1 \) and \( \Gamma_2 \). In the other direction, without lost of generality, we may assume that \( \Gamma_2 \Gamma_2 = \Gamma_2 \). In particular, \( \tilde{S}_1 = \tilde{S}_2 = S \), so they have the same genus, that is, \( 1 + 2^{g_1} (g_1 - 1) = 1 + 2^{g_2} (g_2 - 1). \) This asserts that \( g_1 = g_2 = g \). In fact, if we assume \( g_1 > g_2 \), then the above equality is equivalent to \( 1 < 2^{g_1} (g_1 - 1)/(g_1 - 1) < 1 \), a contradiction. Now, this asserts that \( S \) is a \((g, 2, 2)-\text{Fermat} \) curve and it contains \((g, 2)-\text{Fermat} \) groups \( H_1 \) and \( H_2 \) such that \( S_j = S/H_j \). It follows from Theorem 6 that \( H_1 = H_2 \), that is, \( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \) are isomorphic.

In the case \( k = p^r \), where \( p \geq 3 \) is a prime integer and \( r \geq 1 \), Corollary 2 asserts the following.

**Corollary 7.** Let \((S, H)\) be a \((g, p^r)-\text{Fermat} \) pair, where \( p \geq 2 \) is a prime integer. If \( S/H \) has no order \( p \) conformal automorphism, then \( H \) is the unique \((g, p^r)-\text{Fermat} \) group of \( S \).

As the generic Riemann surface of genus \( g \geq 3 \) has no non-trivial automorphisms, then generically there is a unique \((g, p^r)-\text{Fermat} \) group for \( g \geq 3 \).

By the Riemann-Hurwitz formula, if a closed Riemann surface of genus \( g \geq 2 \) admits a conformal automorphism of order \( p \geq 3 \) prime, then \( g \in \{1 + ap, ap + b(p - 1)/2; a, b \in \{0, 1, \ldots \}\}. \) In particular, the following holds.

**Corollary 8.** Let \( g \geq 2 \) and \( p \geq 3 \) be a prime. If \( g \notin \{1 + ap, ap + b(p - 1)/2; a, b \in \{0, 1, \ldots \}\} \) (in particular, if \( p > 2g + 1 \)), then every \((g, p^r)-\text{Fermat} \) curve has a unique \((g, p^r)-\text{Fermat} \) group.
Corollary 9. For \(j = 1, 2\), let \(G_j\) be a Fuchsian group of genus \(g \geq 2\). If \((G_1)_p = (G_2)_p\), for some prime integer \(p \geq 2g + 1\), then \(G_1 = G_2\).

Theorem 7. Every \((2, p)\)-Fermat curve, where \(p \geq 2\) is a prime integer, has a unique \((2, p)\)-Fermat group.

Proof. Let \(S\) be a \((2, p)\)-Fermat curve, where \(p\) is a prime integer. As for \(p = 2\) the uniqueness follows from Theorem 6, we may assume \(p \geq 3\). Let us assume \(S\) admits two different \((2, p)\)-Fermat groups, say \(H_1\) and \(H_2\). We may also assume these two are contained in the same \(p\)-Sylow subgroup \(K\) of \(\text{Aut}(S)\) (which still different because of Theorem 4).

Then on \(S/H_1\) the group \(H_2\) induces a group of conformal automorphisms isomorphic to \(Z_p^n\), some \(n \geq 1\). As no Riemann surface of genus two admits a conformal automorphism of order \(p \geq 7\), then \(p \in \{3, 5\}\). As, for \(p \in \{3, 5\}\), a Riemann surface of genus two admits no group of conformal automorphisms isomorphic to \(Z_p^n\), then \(r = 1\), that is, \(H_1\) is a normal subgroup of index \(p\) of \(K\). It can be seen (by applying the Riemann-Hurwitz formula), that an order \(p\) conformal automorphism of \(S/H_1\) acts with fixed points and genus zero, and that \(S/K\) is a genus zero orbifold with some \(r \in \{3, 4\}\) cone points of order \(p\). So, the subgroup \(H_1 \subset K\) is the only subgroup of index \(p\) of \(K\) acting freely on \(S\), contradicting the same fact for \(H_2\).

2.6. Remark. [A relation between \((g, k)\)-Fermat curves and Torelli’s theorem] Let \(R = \mathbb{H}^2/F\) be a closed Riemann surface of genus \(g \geq 2\) and let \(H^{1,0}(R) \cong \mathbb{C}^g\) be its space of holomorphic one-forms. The homology group \(H_1(R; \mathbb{Z})\) is naturally embedded, as a lattice, in the dual space \((H^{1,0}(R))^\ast\) of \(H^{1,0}(R)\) by integration of form. The quotient \(JR = (H^{1,0}(R))^\ast/H_1(R; \mathbb{Z})\) is a \(g\)-dimensional complex torus with a principally polarized structure obtained from the intersection form on homology. Torelli’s theorem [1] asserts that two surfaces are isomorphic if and only if their jacobian varieties are isomorphic as principally polarized abelian varieties. Let \(\pi : (H^{1,0}(R))^\ast \to JR\) be a holomorphic Galois cover induced by the action of \(H_1(R; \mathbb{Z})\). If we fix a point \(p \in R\), then there is a natural holomorphic embedding \(\varphi : R \to JR : q \mapsto \left[\frac{q}{p}\right]\). It holds that (i) \(\pi^{-1}(\varphi(R)) = \overline{R}\) is a Riemann surface admitting the group \(H_1(R; \mathbb{Z})\) as a group of conformal automorphisms such that \(R = \overline{R}/H_1(R; \mathbb{Z})\) and (ii) \(\overline{R} = \mathbb{H}^2/F^\ast\). In this way, Torelli’s theorem is “in some sense” equivalent to the commutator rigidity for \(F\). If \(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k\) is a basis for \(H_1(R; \mathbb{Z})\), then \((\alpha_1^k, \ldots, \alpha_k^k, \beta_1^k, \ldots, \beta_k^k)\) is a basis for \(H_1(R; \mathbb{Z})^k\). The quotient \(g\)-dimensional torus \(J_kR = (H^{1,0}(R))^\ast/H_1(R; \mathbb{Z})^k\) has as induced polarization the \(k\)-times the principal one and it admits a group \(L \cong \mathbb{Z}_k^g\) of automorphisms such that \(JR = J_kR/L\).

There is a natural isomorphism between \(JR\) and \(J_kR\) preserving the polarizations (amplification by \(k\)). In particular, \(R\) is uniquely determined (up to isomorphisms) by \(J_kR\). Let \(\pi_k : (H^{1,0}(R))^\ast \to J_kR\) be a holomorphic Galois cover induced by the action of \(H_1(R; \mathbb{Z})^k\). If \(R_k = \pi_k(\overline{R}) \subset J_kR\), then \((R_k, L)\) is a \((g, k)\)-Fermat pair with \(R = R_k/L\) and \(R_k = \mathbb{H}^2/F_k\).

In this way, the uniqueness of \((g, k)\)-Fermat groups, up to conjugacy, is somehow related to the determination of \(R\), up to isomorphisms, by the abelian variety \(J_kR\).

3. \((g, k)\)-Fermat curves and an embedding of moduli spaces

3.1. Teichmüller and moduli spaces of Fuchsian groups of the first kind. Let \(G\) be a Fuchsian group of the first kind, that is, a discrete subgroup of the group \(\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})\) of conformal automorphisms of the upper half plane \(\mathbb{H}^2\), whose limit set is all the extended real line (it can be either finitely or infinitely generated). We will also assume that \(G\) is not
a triangular group, that is, \( S_G = \mathbb{H}^2 / G \) is not an orbifold of genus zero with exactly three cone points (including punctures).

By a Fuchsian geometric representation of \( G \) we mean an injective homomorphism \( \theta : G \hookrightarrow \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \) : \( a \mapsto \theta(a) = f \circ a \circ f^{-1} \), where \( f : \mathbb{H}^2 \to \mathbb{H}^2 \) is a quasiconformal homeomorphism whose Beltrami coefficient \( \mu \in L_1^\infty(\mathbb{H}^2) \) is compatible with \( G \), that is, 
\[
\mu(a(z))\overline{a'(z)} = \mu(z)a'(z), \quad \text{for every } a \in G \text{ and a.e. } z \in \mathbb{H}^2 \text{ (see [16] for details).}
\]

Two such Fuchsian geometric representations \( \theta_1 \) and \( \theta_2 \) are Teichmüller equivalent if there is some \( A \in \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \) such that \( \theta_2(a) = A \circ \theta_1(a) \circ A^{-1} \), for every \( a \in G \). The set \( \mathcal{T}(G) \), of those Teichmüller equivalence classes, is called the Teichmüller space of \( G \). Let \( \mathbb{L} \subset \mathbb{C} \) be the lowest half-plane and \( \mathcal{H}^{2,0}(G) \) be the complex Banach space of all holomorphic maps \( \psi : \mathbb{L} \to \mathbb{C} \) such that \( \psi(a(z))\overline{a'(z)} = \psi(z) \), for \( a \in G \) and \( z \in \mathbb{L} \), and \( ||\psi/\text{Im}(z)||_\infty < \infty \).

It is known the existence of an embedding (Bers embedding) \( \rho : \mathcal{T}(G) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{2,0}(G) \), with \( \rho(\mathcal{T}(G)) \) being an open bounded contractible subset \([2, 5, 6, 7, 16]\), in particular, providing a global holomorphic chart for \( \mathcal{T}(G) \) and turn it into a simply connected Banach manifold. The space \( \mathcal{T}(G) \) is finite dimensional if and only if \( G \) is finitely generated; if \( \mathbb{H}^2 / G \) is a surface of genus \( g \geq 0 \) with some number \( r \geq 0 \) of cone points, including punctures, then \( \mathcal{T}(G) \) has dimension \( 3g - 3 + r \) (see, for instance, [16]).

A Fuchsian geometric representation \( \theta : G \hookrightarrow \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \), with \( \theta(G) = G \), induces an automorphism \( \rho \in \text{Aut}(G) \), defined by \( \rho(a) = \theta(a) \), called a geometric automorphism of \( G \). Let us denote by \( \text{Aut}^+(G) \) the subgroup of \( \text{Aut}(G) \) formed by all the geometric automorphisms. (If \( G \) is finitely generated, then every \( \rho \in \text{Aut}(G) \) that preserves parabolic elements is, by Nielsen’s theorem, of the form \( \rho(a) = h \circ a \circ h^{-1} \), where \( h : \mathbb{H}^2 \to \mathbb{H}^2 \) is some homeomorphism, which may or not preserve the orientation; so \( \text{Aut}^+(G) \) is an index two subgroup of \( \text{Aut}(G) \).) As the group \( \text{Inn}(G) \), of inner automorphisms of \( G \), is a a normal subgroup of \( \text{Aut}^+(G) \), we may consider \( \text{Out}^+(G) = \text{Aut}^+(G) / \text{Inn}(G) \), the group of geometric exterior automorphisms of \( G \). There is natural action, by bijections, of \( \text{Aut}^+(G) \) on \( \mathcal{T}(G) \) defined by \( \text{Aut}^+(G) \times \mathcal{T}(G) \to \mathcal{T}(G) : (\rho, [\theta]) \mapsto [\theta \circ \rho^{-1}] \). The above action of \( \text{Aut}^+(G) \) is not faithful as for \( \rho \in \text{Inn}(G) \) it holds that \( \theta = \theta \circ \rho^{-1} \) are Teichmüller equivalent. The induced action \( \text{Out}^+(G) \times \mathcal{T}(G) \to \mathcal{T}(G) : (\rho, [\theta]) \mapsto [\theta \circ \rho^{-1}] \) turns out to be faithful. Moreover, \( \text{Out}^+(G) \) acts properly discontinuously as a group of holomorphic automorphisms of \( \mathcal{T}(G) \). In [17], Royden proved that these are all the biholomorphisms of \( \mathcal{T}(G) \) for \( G \) torsion free co-compact (i.e., \( S_G \) is a closed Riemann surface) and later extended by Earle and Kra in [4] to the case that \( G \) is finitely generated of type \((g, n)\) (i.e., \( S_G \) is an analytically finite Riemann surface of genus \( g \) and \( r \) cone points) if \( 2g + r > 4 \), and by Markovic [14] for \( G \) being infinitely generated. The quotient complex orbifold \( \mathcal{M}(G) = \mathcal{T}(G) / \text{Out}^+(G) \) is called the moduli space of \( G \) (it is formed by all the \( \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \)-conjugacy classes of the Fuchsian groups \( \theta(G) \), where \( \theta \) runs over all Fuchsian representations of it) and has the same dimension as \( \mathcal{T}(G) \).

3.2. Embedding of moduli spaces. Let \( K \) be a subgroup of \( G \) being also of the first kind (for instance, if \( K \) is either of finite index or a non-trivial normal subgroup). As every Fuchsian geometric representation of \( G \) restricts to a Fuchsian geometric representation of \( K \) and this restriction process respects the Teichmüller equivalence, there is an holomorphic embedding \( \Theta_K : \mathcal{T}(G) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}(K) \). Let \( \pi_G : \mathcal{T}(G) \to \mathcal{M}(G) \) and \( \pi_K : \mathcal{T}(K) \to \mathcal{M}(K) \) be the corresponding holomorphic projection maps onto the moduli spaces. In general, there might not be a (holomorphic) map \( \Phi_K : \mathcal{M}(G) \to \mathcal{M}(K) \) such that \( \pi_K \circ \Phi_K = \Phi_K \circ \pi_G \). In fact, the existence of such a map happens if and only if \( K \) is invariant under the action of \( \text{Aut}^+(G) \); we will say that \( K \) is a geometrical characteristic subgroup (for instance, if \( K \) is a characteristic subgroup of \( G \)).
Let us assume that $K$ is a geometrical characteristic subgroup of $G$. Given $[\theta(K)] \in \mathcal{M}(K)$, the cardinality of $\Phi_K^2([\theta(K)])$ is equal to the maximal number of Fuchsian geometric representations $[\theta_j]_{j \in I}$ such that $\theta_j(K) = \theta(K)$ and, for $j_1 \neq j_2$, $\theta_{j_1}(G)$ and $\theta_{j_2}(G)$ are not PSL$_2(\mathbb{R})$-conjugated. In particular, $\Phi_K$ is injective if and only if the following rigidity property holds: “If $\theta_1$ and $\theta_2$ are Fuchsian representations of $G$ such that $\theta_1(K) = \theta_2(K)$, then $\theta_1(G)$ and $\theta_2(G)$ are PSL$_2(\mathbb{R})$-conjugated”.

If $K = G'$ (the derived subgroup of $G$), then the above rigidity property was proved to hold in the following cases.

(i) $G \cong \pi_g = \langle \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_g, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k : \prod_{j=1}^{g} [\alpha_j, \beta_j] = 1, g \geq 2 \rangle$, $g \geq 2$ ([15]).

(ii) $G \cong \langle \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_g, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k, r_1, \ldots, r_2 : \prod_{j=1}^{g} [\alpha_j, \beta_j] \prod_{i=1}^{r} \delta_{i} = 1 \rangle$, $3g - 3 + r > 0$, ([10, 11]) and

(iii) $G \cong \langle \delta_1, \ldots, \delta_{n+1} : \delta_1^{g} = \cdots = \delta_{n+1}^{g} = \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} \delta_{j} = 1 \rangle$, for $(n-2)(k-2) > 1$, (as a consequence of the results in [12]).

In fact, in either of the above cases (i)-(iii), the much more stronger result was proved in the above papers: “If $G' = G_2$, then $G_1 = G_2$”.

Note that, if in (ii) we assume $g = 0$ and $r = 1$, and in (iii) we assume $r = 3$ and $k = 4$, then $T(G) = \mathbb{H}^2$ and the above asserts that the Teichmüller disc $\Theta_{G'}(T(G)) \subset T(G')$ projects under $\pi_G$ to a genus zero one-punctured curve (that is, a copy of the complex plane) in the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(G')$ (i.e. an example of a Teichmüller curve).

### 3.3. The rigidity problem for $G_k$ and $(g,k)$-Fermat curves.

Let us consider the above rigidity property in the case that $G \cong \pi_g$, where $g \geq 2$ (that is a Fuchsian group uniformizing a closed Riemann surface of genus $g$) and its characteristic subgroup $K = G_k := \langle G', G^{(k)} \rangle$, where $k \geq 2$ and $G^{(k)}$ is the subgroup generated by all $k$-powers of the elements of $G$. (These types of subgroup have been previously considered by Macbeath in [13] to construct infinitely many Hurwitz curves.) Note that the value of $g$ is completely determined by $G_k$ as $G/G_k \cong \mathbb{Z}_k^g$. The surface $S = \mathbb{H}^2/G$ is a $(g,k)$-Fermat curve and $H = G/G_k$ a $(g,k)$-Fermat group.

**Proposition 3.** The injectivity of $\Phi_{G_k} : \mathcal{M}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(G_k)$ is equivalent for every $(g,k)$-Fermat curve to have a unique, up to conjugation by conformal automorphisms, $(g,k)$-Fermat group.

**Proof.** As observed in the introduction, $\Phi_{G_k}$ is non-injective if and only if there are two Fuchsian representations $\theta_1, \theta_2$ of $G \cong \pi_g$ such that $\theta_1(G_k) = \theta_2(G_k) = \Gamma$ and with $\theta_1(G)$ and $\theta_2(G)$ being not PSL$_2(\mathbb{R})$ conjugated, that is, the $(g,k)$-Fermat curve $S = \mathbb{H}^2/G$ admits two non-conjugated $(g,k)$-Fermat groups $H_1 = \theta_1(G)/\Gamma$ and $H_2 = \theta_2(G)/\Gamma$. □

As a consequence of Proposition 3 and the results obtained in Section 2, we obtain

**Corollary 10.** Let $g \geq 2$ and $p \geq 3$ be a prime. If $g \notin \{1 + ap, ap + b(p - 1)/2; a, b \in \{0, 1, \ldots \} \}$ (in particular, if $p > 2g + 1$), then the map $\Phi_{G_p} : \mathcal{M}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(G_p)$ is injective.

**Corollary 11.** If $p \geq 2$ is a prime integer, then (i) the holomorphic map $\Phi_{\pi_1, p} : \mathcal{M}(\pi_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\pi_1, p)$ is injective and (ii) if $G_1$ and $G_2$ are two Fuchsian groups of genus two such that $(G_1)_p = (G_2)_p$, then $G_1 = G_2$.
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