Braiding of Majorana corner states in electric circuits and its non-Hermitian generalization
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We propose to realize Majorana edge and corner states in electric circuits. First, we simulate the Kitaev model by an LC electric circuit and the $p_x + ip_y$ model by an LC circuit together with operational amplifiers. Zero-energy edge states emerge in the topological phase, which are detectable by measuring impedance. Next, we simulate the Bernevig-Hughes-Zhang model by including an effective magnetic field without breaking the particle-hole symmetry, where zero-energy corner states emerge in the topological phase. It is demonstrated that they are Ising anyons subject to the braiding. Namely we derive $\sigma^2 = -1$ for them, where $\sigma$ denotes the single-exchange operation. They may well be called Majorana states. We also study non-Hermitian generalizations of these models by requiring the particle-hole symmetry. It is shown that the braiding holds in certain reciprocal non-Hermitian generalizations.

Introduction: A Majorana state will be a key for future topological quantum computations\cite{1} owing to the braiding. Majorana states are realized in topological superconductors\cite{2} and Kitaev spin liquid\cite{3}. In these systems, the particle-hole symmetry (PHS) plays an essential role since the zero-energy states becomes Majorana states\cite{4}. Recently, Majorana corner states are proposed in various systems\cite{5,6}, where the braiding has been shown for some of them\cite{7,8}. Furthermore, Majorana states in non-Hermitian systems are studied in various contexts\cite{9,10,11,12}. It is an interesting problem to seek other systems realizing Majorana states. Especially, it is fascinating if Majorana states are simulated by electric circuits.

Various topological phases are realized in electric circuits, such as the SSH model\cite{13,14}, graphene\cite{15}, Weyl semimetal\cite{16,17}, nodal-line semimetal\cite{18,19}, higher-order topological phases\cite{20,21}, Chern insulators\cite{22}, and non-Hermitian topological phases\cite{23,24}. A topological phase transition is induced by tuning variable capacitors and inductors. The impedance signals the edge and corner states\cite{25,26,27}.

In this paper, we propose to realize Majorana edge states and corner states in electric circuits. The essence is that parameters are tunable to make the system respect the PHS. First, we simulate the Kitaev model either by a pure LC circuit or by an LC circuit together with operational amplifiers. The $p_x + ip_y$ model is also constructed by aligning these two circuits along the orthogonal directions. Zero-energy edge states corresponding to Majorana states are well observed by measuring the impedance. Next, we simulate the Bernevig-Hughes-Zhang (BHZ) model together with an effective Zee- man field by an electric circuit. The model corresponds to a second-order topological superconductor with the emergence of a pair of Majorana corner states. We demonstrate explicitly that the braiding holds between a pair of corner states by calculating the Berry phase in an electric circuit.

The introduction of resistance makes the electric circuit non-Hermitian due to the Joule loss\cite{28}. There are two types of non-Hermitian models, i.e., reciprocal models and nonreciprocal models, where nonreciprocity indicates that the forward and backward hopping amplitudes are different between two nodes. As far as the PHS is respected, it is shown that the braiding holds for the two corner states in a reciprocal non-Hermitian extension of the BHZ model. However, the braiding becomes meaningless in the nonreciprocal extension.

Electric-circuit realization of the Kitaev model: The Kitaev $p$-wave topological superconductor model is the fundamental model hosting Majorana zero-energy edge states in one-dimensional (1D) space. The model is represented in the two forms, i.e., by the Hamiltonian $H^p$ with the imaginary superconducting pairing, and $H^p$ with the real superconducting pairing. It consists of the hopping term $H_t$ and the superconducting interaction term $H_{SC}^i$, where

$$H^i = H_t \sigma_z + H_{SC}^i,$$

\( \sigma_z \) is the Pauli matrix. The Kitaev model is constructed so that the 1D circuits (a) and (b) are aligned in the $x$ and $y$ directions, respectively.

FIG. 1: Minimal electronic circuits realizing the Kitaev model. Each circuit consists of two main wires colored in red and blue, simulating electron and hole bands, respectively. The unit cell is shown by a dotted cyan box, and contains two nodes colored in red and blue. (a) Imaginary superconducting gap model is realized by a pure LC circuit. (b) Real superconducting gap model is realized by an additional use of operational amplifiers. (c) Structure of an operational amplifier. (d) The $p_x + ip_y$ model is constructed so that the 1D circuits (a) and (b) are aligned in the $x$ and $y$ directions, respectively. Green and purple links represent pairing interactions of the types (a) and (b), respectively.
where the sum is taken over all adjacent nodes $b$, and $J_{ab}(\omega)$ is called the circuit Laplacian.

(i) In the case of the circuit in Fig.1(a) we explicitly obtain

\[ J = \left( \begin{array}{c} f_1 \\ g_1 \\ f_2 \\ g_2 \end{array} \right), \]  

with

\[ f_1 = -2C \cos k + 2C - (\omega^2 L_0)^{-1}, \]
\[ f_2 = 2(\omega^2 L)^{-1} \cos k - 2(\omega^2 L)^{-1} + C_0, \]
\[ g_1 = C_X e^{ik} (\omega^2 L_X)^{-1} e^{-ik}, \]
\[ g_2 = (\omega^2 L_X)^{-1} e^{ik} - C_X e^{-ik}, \]

(6)

describing the imaginary superconducting pairing model $H^y$.

(ii) In the case of the circuit in Fig.1(b) we explicitly obtain

\[ J = \left( \begin{array}{c} f_1 \\ g_1 \end{array} \right), \]  

where $f_1$ and $f_2$ are given by (6) and

\[ g_1 = g_2 = (i\omega R_b)^{-1} e^{ik} - (i\omega R_f)^{-1} e^{-ik}, \]

(7)

describing the real superconducting pairing model $H^x$.

The key procedure is to equate the circuit Laplacian (5) with the Hamiltonian (1). In doing so, it is necessary to require the PHS for the circuit, which requires us to tune the parameters to satisfy $\omega_0 \equiv 1/\sqrt{LC} = 1/\sqrt{L_0 C_0} = 1/\sqrt{L_X C_X}$, and set the AC frequency as $\omega = \omega_0$. At this frequency, we may set $J_{ab}(\omega) = i\omega H^x_{ab}(\omega)$, which dictates the correspondence between the circuit and the superconductor model as $t = -C$, $\mu = -2C + C_0$, $\Delta_y = C_X$, and $\Delta_x = (\omega R_X)^{-1}$. The system is precisely at the topological phase-transition point $|\mu| = |2t|$ without the capacitors $C_0$ and the inductors $L_0$, since the condition $\mu = -2t$ is satisfied. It is topological in the presence of $C_0$ and $L_0$. The system turns into a trivial phase when we exchange the capacitors $C_0$ and inductors $L_0$ connected to ground.

By calculating the LDOS as in Fig.2(a1), we find the emergence of the zero-energy edge states in the topological phase. They are observable by measuring the impedance between the $a$ and $b$ nodes, which is given by $Z_{ab} = V_{ab}/I_{ab} = G_{ab}$, where $G$ is the Green function defined by the inverse of the Laplacian $J$, $G \equiv J^{-1}$. We show numerical results in Fig.2(a2) for typical values of parameters, where we have set one node at the left or right edge. The behavior of the impedance is very similar to that of the LDOS.
We simulate the BHZ model with the electric circuit. It is straightforward to generalize the above circuits in Fig.1 to the present one as in Fig.3. Such a model is given by the Bernevig-Hughes-Zhang Hamiltonian

\[ H = t (\cos k_x + \cos k_y) - \mu, \]
\[ H_{SC} = \Delta (\sigma_x \sin k_x + \sigma_y \sin k_y). \]

The model is simulated by layering the circuit for the $x$ direction and the circuit for the $y$ direction as shown in Fig.3. (c) Impedance is the same at $\theta = \pi/4$ and $5\pi/4$. (d) LDOS of the corner states for $\pi/4 < \theta < 9\pi/4$, and their braiding. (e) Evolution of the Berry phase towards a double-exchange operation. (f) Energy spectrum evolution during the braiding. The Majorana corner states in red remains to be separated from the bulk spectrum in blue.

The zero-energy edge states subject to the PHS are Majorana states in condensed matter physics. A key question is whether they may be called Majorana states in electric circuits. Majorana particles are known to be Ising anyons possessing the property $\sigma^2 = -1$, where $\sigma$ denotes the single-exchange operation. It is well known that only fermions and bosons are possible in 3D, for which $\sigma^2 = 1$. On the other hand, anyons are possible only in 2D. We recognize that this anyonic property is most important as a characteristics of Majorana states for future application to quantum computers.

We investigate the braiding for a pair of corner states. By increasing $\theta$ continuously from $\pi/4$ to $5\pi/4$ ($9\pi/4$), we can exchange the position of two corner states once (twice) as in Fig.4(d). The corner states remain to be zero-energy states with a finite gap during the process, as shown in Fig.4(d). Thus, they remain well separated from the bulk bands.

Let $|\psi_\alpha(\theta)\rangle$ be an eigenstate of $H_{BHZ}(\theta)$. When we increase $\theta$ adiabatically, the wave function $|\psi_\alpha(\theta)\rangle$ develops as

\[ |\psi_\alpha(\theta)\rangle = \sum_{\beta=1,2} e^{i\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}(\theta)} |\psi_\beta(\pi/4)\rangle, \]

where $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}(\theta) = \gamma_{\alpha\beta} \theta$ with $\gamma_{\alpha\beta}$ being the impedance at $\theta = \pi/4$, $5\pi/4$ in Fig.4(a)–(c), where the zero-energy corner states are clearly observed. See the LDOS at other values of $\theta$ elsewhere.
where \( \Theta = \theta - \pi/4 \), and \( \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}(\Theta) \) is the Berry phase,

\[
\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}(\Theta) = i \int_{\pi/4}^{\pi/4+\Theta} \langle \psi_\alpha(\theta) | \partial_\theta | \psi_\beta(\theta) \rangle \, d\theta. \tag{12}
\]

There are two-fold degenerate zero-energy corner states at \( \theta = \pi/4 \). Since these two states are well separated as in Fig.2(a), we may label them by \( \alpha = 1, 2 \). Furthermore, we may construct the eigenfunctions continuous in \( \theta \) such that \( \langle \psi_\alpha(\theta) | \psi_\beta(\theta) \rangle = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \) for any value of \( \theta \). Then, it follows that \( \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}(\Theta) \) is diagonal; \( \Gamma(\Theta) \equiv \Gamma_{11}(\Theta) = \Gamma_{22}(\Theta) \). We show a numerical result for \( \Gamma(\Theta) \) in Fig.3(e). In particular, we obtain \( \Gamma(2\pi) = \pi \).

The single and double exchanges correspond to the rotations \( \Theta = \pi \) and \( \Theta = 2\pi \), respectively. After the double exchange we obtain \( \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}(2\pi) = \pi\delta_{\alpha\beta} \), which yields \( |\psi_1\rangle \rightarrow -|\psi_1\rangle \) and \( |\psi_2\rangle \rightarrow -|\psi_2\rangle \), or \( \sigma^2 = -1 \). Consequently, the braiding is satisfied by the corner states in electric circuits. Hence, let us call the zero-energy corner states Majorana states also in the electric-circuit formalism. We can check that the braiding is robust against disorders.

Non-Hermitian Majorana states: We have so far analyzed Hermitian models. It is easy to make a model non-Hermitian by introducing resistors and diodes into an electric circuit. Since we are interested in Majorana states, we only consider the systems respecting the PHS. The PHS is generalized straightforwardly to the non-Hermitian case. The Majorana particle is identical to its antiparticle. A new feature is that its energy is zero or pure imaginary.

A non-Hermitian model is either reciprocal or nonreciprocal. To make the analysis concrete, we explicitly consider a non-Hermitian Kitaev model respecting the PHS. The Hamiltonian \( H(\theta) \) together with (2) and (3) is generalized as

\[
H(\theta) = i\gamma I + \left( f(t^b\theta, t^f\theta; k) \, g(\Delta^b, \Delta^f; k) \right) \tag{13}
\]

with \( f(t^b\theta, t^f\theta; k) = t^b e^{ik} + t^f e^{-ik} - \mu, \quad g(\Delta^b, \Delta^f; k) = -i(\Delta^b e^{-ik} - \Delta^f e^{ik}), \) and \( \gamma \) representing dissipation, where \( t^b(t^f) \) is a backward (forward) hopping amplitude, \( \Delta^b(\Delta^f) \) is a backward (forward) superconducting pairing amplitude, and \( \mu \) is the chemical potential. Parameters \( t^b, t^f, \Delta^b, \Delta^f \) take complex values, while \( \mu \) and \( \gamma \) take real values. It satisfies the PHS, \( \Xi^{-1}(H(k)) = -H(-k) \), with \( \Xi = \sigma_z K \).

By diagonalizing (13), the bulk gap is found to close at

\[
|\mu| = |\text{Re} (t^b + t^f)| + |\Delta^b - \Delta^f|. \tag{14}
\]

Gap-closing points are not phase-transition points when skin edge states are present in non-Hermitian theory.

We seek for topological phases. The system remains to be in the class \( Z_2 \) even for the non-Hermitian system and, hence, the topological number is given by the \( Z_2 \) invariant \( \nu \) in the original Kitaev model as

\[
(-1)^\nu = -\text{sgn} \left[ H_z(0) H_z(\pi) \right], \tag{15}
\]

where \( H_z \) is the coefficient of \( \sigma_z \) by expanding \( H \) as \( H(\theta) = \sum_{\alpha = 0, x, y, z} H_\alpha(k) \sigma_\alpha \), and \( k = 0, \pi \) are the PHS invariant momenta. The formula (15) is valid also for the non-Hermitian system because of the PHS. Calculating it explicitly we find that

\[
(-1)^\nu = -\text{sgn} \left[ \text{Re} \left( t^b \pm t^f \right)^2 - \mu^2 \right]. \tag{16}
\]

It follows from (16) that there are two phases with the phase-transition points \( \mu_{\pm} = \pm \left| \text{Re} \left( t^b \pm t^f \right) \right| \). The system is topological (\( \nu = 1 \)) for \( |\mu| < \left| \text{Re} \left( t^b + t^f \right) \right| \) and trivial (\( \nu = 0 \)) for \( |\mu| > \left| \text{Re} \left( t^b + t^f \right) \right| \). The justification of (16) as the topological number is made by confirming numerically the non-Hermitian bulk-edge correspondence. The gap-closing points (14) become identical to the topological phase-transition points \( \mu_{\pm} \) when the system is reciprocal, \( \Delta^b = \Delta^f \).

The LDOS is shown for all eigen-energies in Fig.2(a1)–(c1) by taking typical values of sample parameters in the Hermitian, reciprocal non-Hermitian and nonreciprocal non-Hermitian cases. The characteristic feature of the nonreciprocal non-Hermitian model is the emergence of skin edge states as in [Fig.2(c1)], where all the eigen states are localized at one edge, as was first found in the non-Hermitian Su-Schrieffer-Heeger model. Namely, two topological edge states are mixed between themselves and furthermore they are mixed with the bulk states in the vicinity of one edge. This is also the case for the topological corner states in the nonreciprocal non-Hermitian BHZ model. The braiding becomes meaningless in the nonreciprocal non-Hermitian modes because there are no separated corner states.

We may construct a reciprocal non-Hermitian model respecting the PHS, by inserting a resistor \( R \) to a capacitance \( C \) and an inductor \( L \) in series in Fig.3. The circuit Laplacian is obtained just by replacing \( C \rightarrow (1/C + i\omega R)^{-1} \) and \( \omega^2 L \rightarrow \omega^2 L - i\omega R \). We can check that the resultant non-Hermitian model is different from the original Hermitian model only by a pure imaginary shift. In such a case the braiding holds just as it is since the wave functions are not modified.

Discussion: We have shown that Majorana edge and corner states are simulated by electric circuits. The Majorana corner states emerge in electric circuits whose size is as small as \( N = 4 \), which is a benefit on future high-density applications. Majorana states will be a key for future topological quantum computations, where the essential property is the braiding between two Majorana states. Furthermore, various extensions are possible to electric circuits for such as the dimerized Kitaev model and the Kitaev ladder model. Our results might open a new way for topological quantum computations based on Majorana states in electric circuits.