

# PT-Symmetric Quantum Mechanics : $(N \times N)$ Matrix Model

Biswanath Rath

Department of Physics, North Orissa University, Takatpur, Baripada -757003,  
Odisha, INDIA (:E.mail:biswanathrath10@gmail.com).

We propose a model **CCS**(complex-conjugate-space) to understand the inner and outer product nature of wave functions in non-hermitian **PT**-symmetry model in quantum mechanics considering  $(N \times N)$  matrix model . Further we reflect the correct nature of C-symmetry ,P-parity and original Hamiltonian matrix for any arbitrary values of N. Interestingly the present result on  $N=2$  , remains the same reported earlier by Bender,Brody and Jones model **PT**-symmetry operator . In non-conventional way one can notice that wave functions in a **PT**-symmetry model satisfies similar relations as in hermitian operator .

PACS no-03.65.Db

Key words- **PT**-symmetry ,Hermiticity ,wave functions , properties .

## I.Introduction

Since the development of quantum mechanics it is well known that Wave functions in Hermitian operator satisfies the following relations [1]

$$\langle \psi_i | \psi_j \rangle = \delta_{i,j} \quad (1)$$

$$\langle \psi_i | H = H^\dagger | \psi_i \rangle = E_i \quad (2)$$

$$H = \sum_i E_i | \psi_i \rangle \langle \psi_i | \quad (3)$$

$$I = \sum_i | \psi_i \rangle \langle \psi_i | \quad (4)$$

In above  $E_i$  is real and  $I$  is an unit matrix having dimension (NxN). Interestingly understanding of inner oand outer product as reflected above is very easy . However if **Bra** ( $\langle |$  is different from **ket** ( $| \rangle$ ) then above analysis can hardly be valid . Quantum mechanical model where **Bra** is different from **ket** are seen [2-4]in the **PT**-symmetric model of Hamiltonian satisfying the condition

$$[H, PT] = 0 \rightarrow H \neq H^\dagger \quad (5)$$

It should be borne in mind that all the Hamiltonian satisfying the above condition not necessarily give real spectra . Hence **PT**-symmetry model can be categorized as

(i) un-broken **PT**-symmetry and (ii) broken **PT**-symmetry . Here

$P$  stands for parity operator having the behaviour

$$P(x) \rightarrow -x \quad (6)$$

$$P(i) \rightarrow i \quad (7)$$

Similarly **T**-stands for time -reversal operator having the behaviour[2]

$$T(i) \rightarrow -i \quad (8)$$

and

$$T(x) \rightarrow x \quad (9)$$

In other words **PT** stands for parity-time reversal symmetry[2] . It interesting to discuss all previous models in the derivation of appropriate inner product and outer product . In BBJ [2] model authors argue that

$$\langle \psi | = CPT\psi = \langle \psi^{CPT} | \quad (10)$$

where  $C$  is the C-symmetry operator having eigenvalues  $\lambda_C = \pm 1$  satisfying the condition

$$[H, C] = 0 \quad (11)$$

and  $P$ -is the parity operator having eigenvalues  $\lambda_P = \pm 1$  . Both of these operators are determined from the original Hamiltonian operator [2,3] .For example consider the Hamiltonian

$$H = \sigma_0 r \cos \theta + \sigma(s, 0, s \sin \alpha) \quad (12)$$

where  $\sigma_{1,2,3}$  are the Pauli matrices and  $\sigma_0$ =unit matrix. The Parity operator is

$$P = \sigma_1 \quad (13)$$

and

$$C = \sigma(s, 0, s \sin \alpha)(s = \sec \alpha) \quad (14)$$

Here the outer product is defined as

$$\langle \psi_{\pm}^{CPT} | \psi_{\pm} = 1; \langle \psi_{\pm}^{CPT} | \psi_{\mp} \rangle = 0 \quad (15)$$

On the other hand in Wang model [4] ,one defines the outer product as

$$\langle \psi_{\pm} | W | \psi_{pm} \rangle = 1; \langle \psi_{\pm} | W | \psi_{mp} \rangle = 0 \quad (16)$$

where  $W = (R^{-1})^{\dagger} W_0 R^{-1}$  ( for details see the reference [4]). In contract to these two medel , Manneheim [5] discussed the outer product as

$$\langle \psi_{\pm} | V | \psi_{pm} \rangle = 1; \langle \psi_{\pm} | V | \psi_{mp} \rangle = 0 \quad (17)$$

In comparing above models we notice that all the approaches are different from each other [2-5] in describing the same physics . However all the previous models are discussed considering  $(2 \times 2)$  matrix model and no discussion has been given for  $(N \times N)$  model of non-Hermitian model . On the other hand we feel that inner and outer product in complex **PT**-symmetry can be described elegantly without the help of previous assumptions as follows . The presentation is as follows : first we discuss the model considering a  $(2\dot{2})$  matrix , then extend it for higher values of N say N=3,4,5,9,10 . The proposed matrix model considered here is [2,3]

$$H^{PT} = \begin{bmatrix} r e^{i\theta} & s \\ s & r e^{-i\theta} \end{bmatrix} \quad (18)$$

It is actually a three parameter model. The model under unbroken eigenvalues[2] are the following

$$E_{1,2} = r \cos \theta \pm s \cos \phi \quad (19)$$

and the corresponding eigenfunctions are [6]

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \cos \phi}} \begin{bmatrix} e^{i\phi/2} \\ e^{-i\phi/2} \end{bmatrix} \quad (20)$$

and

$$|\phi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \cos \phi}} \begin{bmatrix} e^{-i\phi/2} \\ -e^{i\phi/2} \end{bmatrix} \quad (21)$$

In above relations one has to use  $r \sin \theta = s \sin \phi$ . It is easy to see that in normal understanding of quantum mechanics ,the above wave functions are not normalised so  $\langle \psi | H | \psi \rangle \neq E_1$  or  $\langle \phi | H | \phi \rangle \neq E_2$ . Now we will consider a space, where the above relations will remain valid similar to to one in standard Hilbert's space understanding.

## II. Complex Conjugate Space (CCS)

In order to accept the above wave functions pertaining to **PT**-symmetry ,let us adopt the complex-conjugate -space(**CCS**) .In complex-conjugate-space (**CCS**) the normalisation condition is

### Normalisation condition

$$\langle \psi^* | \psi \rangle = \langle \phi^* | \phi \rangle = 1 \quad (22)$$

Interested readers can verify that

$$\langle \psi^* | = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \cos \phi}} [e^{i\phi/2}, e^{-i\phi/2}] \quad (23)$$

$$\langle \phi^* | = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \cos \phi}} [e^{-i\phi/2}, -e^{i\phi/2}] \quad (24)$$

and the corresponding normalisation condition as proposed above . Under the complex-conjugate-space the other lations are the following

### Orthogonality condition

$$\langle \psi^* | \phi \rangle = 0 = \langle \phi^* | \psi \rangle \quad (25)$$

### Energy calculation

$$\langle \psi^* | H^{PT} | \psi \rangle = E_1 = r \cos \theta + s \cos \phi \quad (26)$$

$$\langle \phi^* | H^{PT} | \psi \rangle = E_2 = r \cos \theta - s \cos \phi \quad (27)$$

### Original Matrix

Then it is easy to see that

$$H^{PT} = E_1 |\psi\rangle \langle \psi^*| + E_2 |\phi\rangle \langle \phi^*| = \begin{bmatrix} r e^{i\theta} & s \\ s & r e^{-i\theta} \end{bmatrix} \quad (28)$$

### Identity operator (Completeness relation )

$$I = |\psi\rangle \langle \psi^*| + |\phi\rangle \langle \phi^*| = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (29)$$

### C-Symmetry operator

In hermiticity we do not give importance to another symmetry called C-symmetry having eigenvalues  $\pm 1$  . In **PT**-symmetry one can calculate  $C$  as

$$C = |\psi\rangle \langle \psi^*| - |\phi\rangle \langle \phi^*| = \begin{bmatrix} i \tan \phi & \sec \phi \\ \sec \phi & -i \tan \phi \end{bmatrix} \quad (30)$$

Interested reader can verify that

$$\langle \psi^* | C | \psi \rangle = \lambda_1 = 1 \quad (31)$$

$$\langle \phi^* | C | \psi \rangle = \lambda_2 = -1 \quad (32)$$

In this context, the parity operator can be written as

### P-Parity operator

$$P = |\psi^* \rangle \langle \psi^*| - |\phi^* \rangle \langle \phi^*| = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (33)$$

### III. Higher values on N

Here we consider the operator  $C$  and  $P$  for higher order matrix having dimension  $N \geq 2$ . The operator  $P$  can be expressed as

$$P = \sum_n (-1)^n |\psi_n^* \rangle \langle \psi_n^*| \quad (34)$$

and  $C$ -symmetry operator can be expressed as

$$C = \sum_n (-1)^n |\psi_n \rangle \langle \psi_n^*| = \sum_n (-1)^n \psi_n \otimes \psi_n^\dagger \quad (35)$$

$$|\psi_+ \rangle \langle \psi_+^*| - |\psi_- \rangle \langle \psi_-^*| = C \quad (36)$$

and identity operator as

$$|\psi_+^* \rangle \langle \psi_+| + |\psi_-^* \rangle \langle \psi_-| = I \quad (37)$$

Now apply it to for different size of matrix as follows .

**N=3**

Let us apply to  $H_3^{PT}$

$$H_3^{PT} = \begin{bmatrix} r_1 e^{i\theta_1} & s_1 & 0 \\ s_1 & r_1 e^{-i\theta_1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a \end{bmatrix} \quad (38)$$

with known solutions as

$$|\psi_+^{(1)} \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \cos \phi_1}} \begin{bmatrix} e^{i\phi_1/2} \\ e^{-i\phi_1/2} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (39)$$

$$|\psi_-^{(1)} \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \cos \phi_1}} \begin{bmatrix} e^{-i\phi_1/2} \\ -e^{i\phi_1/2} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (40)$$

$$|\psi_a\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (41)$$

where  $r_1 \sin \theta_1 = s_1 \sin \phi_1$  ( the condition for unbroken spectra . Then it is easy to see that

$$\langle \psi_+^{(1)*} | H_1^{PT} | \psi_+^{(1)} \rangle = E_+^{(1)} = r_1 \cos \theta_1 + s_1 \cos \phi_1 \quad (42)$$

$$\langle \psi_-^{(1)*} | H_1^{PT} | \psi_-^{(1)} \rangle = E_-^{(1)} = r_1 \cos \theta_1 + s_1 \cos \psi_1 \quad (43)$$

$$\langle \psi_a | H_1^{PT} | \psi_a \rangle = a \quad (44)$$

$$C_3 = |\psi_+^{(1)}\rangle \langle \psi_+^{(1)*}| - |\psi_-^{(1)}\rangle \langle \psi_-^{(1)*}| + |\psi_a\rangle \langle \psi_a| = \begin{bmatrix} i \tan \phi_1 & \sec \phi_1 & 0 \\ \sec \phi_1 & -i \tan \phi_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (45)$$

$$P_3 = |\psi_+^{(1)*}\rangle \langle \psi_+^{(1)*}| - |\psi_-^{(1)*}\rangle \langle \psi_-^{(1)*}| + |\psi_a\rangle \langle \psi_a| = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (46)$$

**N=4**

Let us apply to  $H_4^{PT}$

$$H_4^{PT} = \begin{bmatrix} r_1 e^{i\theta_1} & s_1 & 0 & 0 \\ s_1 & r_1 e^{-i\theta_1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & r_2 e^{i\theta_2} & s_2 \\ 0 & 0 & s_2 & r_2 e^{-i\theta_2} \end{bmatrix} \quad (47)$$

having solutions

$$|\psi_+^{(1)}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \cos \phi_1}} \begin{bmatrix} e^{i\phi_1/2} \\ e^{-i\phi_1/2} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (48)$$

$$|\psi_-^{(1)}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \cos \phi_1}} \begin{bmatrix} e^{-i\phi_1/2} \\ -e^{i\phi_1/2} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (49)$$

$$|\psi_+^{(2)}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \cos \phi_2}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ e^{i\phi_2/2} \\ e^{-i\phi_2/2} \end{bmatrix} \quad (50)$$

and

$$|\psi_-^{(2)}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \cos \phi_2}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ e^{-i\phi_2/2} \\ -e^{i\phi_2/2} \end{bmatrix} \quad (51)$$

where  $r_i \sin \theta_i = s_i \sin \phi_i$  (i=1,2 the condition for unbroken spectra). Then it is easy to see that

$$\langle \psi_\pm^{(i)} | H_4^{PT} | \psi_\pm^{(i)} \rangle = E_\pm^{(i)} = r_i \cos \theta_i \pm s_i \cos \phi_i \quad (52)$$

$$C_4 = \sum_i [|\psi_+^{(i)}\rangle \langle \psi_+^{(i*)}| - |\psi_-^{(i)}\rangle \langle \psi_-^{(i*)}|] = \begin{bmatrix} i \tan \phi_1 & \sec \phi_1 & 0 & 0 \\ \sec \phi_1 & -i \tan \phi_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & i \tan \phi_2 & \sec \phi_2 \\ 0 & 0 & \sec \phi_2 & -i \tan \phi_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (53)$$

$$P_4 = \sum_i [|\psi_+^{(i*)}\rangle \langle \psi_+^{(i*)}| - |\psi_-^{(i*)}\rangle \langle \psi_-^{(i*)}|] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (54)$$

**N=5**



Similarly for

$$H_5^{PT} = \begin{bmatrix} r_1 e^{i\theta_1} & s_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ s_1 & r_1 e^{-i\theta_1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & r_2 e^{i\theta_2} & s_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & s_2 & r_2 e^{-i\theta_2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a \end{bmatrix} \quad (55)$$

one can have

$$C_5 = \begin{bmatrix} i \tan \phi_1 & \sec \phi_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \sec \phi_1 & -i \tan \phi_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & i \tan \phi_2 & \sec \phi_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sec \phi_2 & -i \tan \phi_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (56)$$

$$P_5 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (57)$$

**N=10**

Let us define  $\tau_j = r_j e^{i\theta_j}; \sigma_j = r_j e^{-i\theta_j}; r_j \sin \theta_j = s_j \sin \phi_j$ .

$$H_{10}^{PT} = \begin{bmatrix} \tau_1 & s_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ s_1 & \sigma_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \tau_2 & s_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & s_2 & \sigma_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \tau_3 & s_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & s_3 & \sigma_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \tau_4 & s_4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & s_4 & \sigma_4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \tau_5 & s_5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & s_5 & \sigma_5 \end{bmatrix} \quad (58)$$

In order to present the expression for  $\mathbf{C}_{10}$  we define  $\Omega_j = i \tan \phi_j$ ;  $\Lambda_j = \sec \phi_j$

$$C_{10} = \begin{bmatrix} \Omega_1 & \Lambda_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \Lambda_1 & -\Omega_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \Omega_2 & \Lambda_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \Lambda_2 & -\Omega_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \Omega_3 & \Lambda_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \Lambda_3 & -\Omega_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \Omega_4 & \Lambda_4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \Lambda_4 & -\Omega_4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \Omega_5 & \Lambda_5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \Lambda_5 & -\Omega_5 \end{bmatrix} \quad (59)$$

$$P_{10} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & & & \\ 1 & 0 & & & & & \\ & & 0 & 1 & & & \\ & & 1 & 0 & & & \\ & & & & 0 & 1 & \\ & & & & 1 & 0 & \\ & & & & & & 0 & 1 \\ & & & & & & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (60)$$

In this paper we show that in general  $C$  and  $P$  can be calculated from the known wave functions using appropriate expression (for any matrix size (NxN); N=2,3,4 ,...100,.....10000,...) . Further both C and P have the same eigenvalues  $\pm 1$ . In general both are not trace less operators . Interestingly P is always a real operator where as ,C may be complex or real-complex depending upon the nature of matrix size.C can also be calculated following the literature[6]. Lastly we believe a simple procedure is required in view of its interesting applications [7-10].

## References

- [1] D.Griffiths ,Introduction to Quantum Mechanics ,(Dorling Kindersley(India),Pvt Ltd .licensees of Pearson Education in South Asia (2005)).
- [2] C.M.Bender and S.Boettcher,Real Spectra in Non-Hermitian Hamiltonian hav-  
inh **PT**-symmetry , Phy. Rev. Lett **80**,5243(1998).
- [3] C.M.Bender,D.C.Brody and H.F.Jones,Complex Extension of Quantum Mechan-  
ics, Phy. Rev. Lett **89**(**27**,270401(2002); Erratum, **92**(**11**),119902(2004).

- [4] W.Wang,  $2 \times 2$  PT-Symmetric matrices and their applications , Phil,Tran,R,Soc **A 371**:20120045.
- [5] P.D.Manneheim ,Appropriate inner product for **PT**-symmetric Hamiltonian, Phy. Rev **D97**,045001(2018).
- [6] B.Rath, A Generalised approach on Generation of Commutative matrix ,J.Adv.Appl.Math, **4(1)**,22(2019).
- [7] Y.Lee,M.Hsich ,S.Flammia and R.Lee,Local **PT**-symmetry violates the No-Signaling Principle,Phy. Rev. Lett **112**,130404(2014).
- [8] M.Znojil,Is **PT**-symmetric quantum theory false as a fundamental theory ?,arXiv:1404.1555v2.(2016).
- [9] C.M.Bender ,D.C.Brody ,J.Caldeira ,U.Gunther ,B.K.Meister and B.F.Samsonov,*PT*-symmetric quantum state discrimination;Phil.Trans.Roy.Soc, **A371**:20120160.
- [10] H.Eleuch and I.Rotter,Non-Hermitian formalism and nonlinear physics,arXiv:1812.03897(2018).