CLASSIFYING SPACES FOR ÉTALE ALGEBRAS WITH GENERATORS

ABHISHEK KUMAR SHUKLA AND BEN WILLIAMS

Abstract. We construct varieties $B(r; \mathbb{A}^n)$ such that a map $X \rightarrow B(r; \mathbb{A}^n)$ corresponds to a degree-$n$ étale algebra on $X$ equipped with $r$ generating global sections. We then show that when $n = 2$, i.e., in the quadratic étale case, that the singular cohomology of $B(r; \mathbb{A}^n)(\mathbb{R})$ can be used to reconstruct a famous example of S. Chase and to extend its application to showing that there is a smooth affine $r - 1$-dimensional $\mathbb{R}$-variety on which there are étale algebras $A_n$ of arbitrary degrees $n$ that cannot be generated by fewer than $r$ elements. This shows that in the étale algebra case, a bound established by U. First and Z. Reichstein in [2] is sharp.

1. Introduction

Given a topological group $G$, one may form the classifying space, well-defined up to homotopy equivalence, as the base space of any principal $G$-bundle $EG \rightarrow BG$ where the total space is contractible. If $G$ is a finite nontrivial group, then $BG$ is necessarily infinite dimensional, [12], and so there is no hope of producing $BG$ as a variety even over $\mathbb{C}$. Nonetheless, as in [14], one can approximate $BG$ by taking a large representation $V$ of $G$ on which $G$ acts freely outside of a high-codimension closed set $Z$, and such that $(V - Z)/G$ is defined as a quasiprojective variety. The higher the codimension of $Z$ in $V$, the better an approximation $(V - Z)/G$ is to the notional $BG$.

In this paper, we consider the case of $G = S_n$, the symmetric group on $n$ letters. The representations we consider as our $V$s are the most obvious ones, $r$-copies of the permutation representation of $S_n$ on $\mathbb{A}^n$. The closed loci we consider minimal: the loci where the action is not free. We use the language of étale algebras to give an interpretation of the resulting spaces. For a fixed $S_n$ and field $k$, and for a given multiple $r$ of the permutation representation, the $k$-variety $B(r; \mathbb{A}^n) := (V - Z)/S_n$ produced by this machine represents “étale algebras equipped with $r$ generating global sections” up to isomorphism of these data. The varieties $B(r; \mathbb{A}^n)$ are therefore in the same relation to the group $S_n$ as the projective spaces $\mathbb{P}^r$ are to the group scheme $\mathbb{G}_m$.

Section 2 is concerned with preliminary results on generation of étale algebras. The main construction of the paper, that of $B(r; \mathbb{A}^n)$, is made in Section 3 and the functor it represents is described.

A choice of $r$ global sections generating an étale algebra $A$ of degree $n$ on a $k$-variety $X$ corresponds to a map $\phi : X \rightarrow B(r; \mathbb{A}^n)$. While the map $\phi$ is dependent on the chosen generating sections, we show in Section 4 that if one is prepared to pass to a limit, in a sense made precise there, that the $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopy class of a composite $\tilde{\phi} : X \rightarrow B(r; \mathbb{A}^n) \rightarrow B(\infty; \mathbb{A}^n)$ depends only on the isomorphism class of $A$ and not the generators. As a practical matter, this means that
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for a wide range of cohomology theories, $E^*$, the map $E^*(\tilde{\phi})$ depends only on $\mathcal{A}$ and not on the generators used to define it.

In Section 5, we observe that the motivic cohomology, and therefore the Chow groups, of the varieties $B(r; \mathbb{A}^2)$ has already been calculated in [1].

A degree-2 or quadratic étale algebra $\mathcal{A}$ over a ring $R$ carries an involution $\sigma$ and a trace map $\text{Tr} : \mathcal{A} \to R$. There is a close connection between $\mathcal{A}$ and the rank-1 projective module $\mathcal{L} = \ker(\text{Tr})$. In Section 6, we show that the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ can be generated by $r$ elements if and only if the projective module $\mathcal{L}$ can be generated by $r$ elements.

A famous counterexample of S. Chase, appearing in [13], shows that there is a smooth affine $r - 1$-dimensional $\mathbb{R}$-variety Spec $R$ and a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on Spec $R$ requiring $r$ global sections to generate. This shows a that a bound of O. Forster [4] on the minimal number of sections required to generate a line bundle on Spec $R$, namely dim $R + 1$, is sharp. In light of Section 6, the same smooth affine $\mathbb{R}$-variety of dimension $r - 1$ can be used to produce étale algebras $\mathcal{A}$, of arbitrary degree $n$, requiring $r$ global sections to generate. This fact was observed independently by M. Ojanguren. It shows that a bound established by U. First and Z. Reichstein in [2] is sharp in the case of étale algebras: they can always be generated by dim $R + 1$ global sections and this cannot be improved in general. The details are worked out in Section 7, and we incidentally show that the example of S. Chase follows easily from our construction of $B(r; \mathbb{A}^2)$ and some elementary calculations in the singular cohomology of $B(r; \mathbb{A}^2)(\mathbb{R})$.

Finally, we offer some thoughts about determining whether the bound of First and Reichstein is sharp if one restricts to varieties over algebraically closed fields.

1.1. Notation and other preliminaries.

- All rings in this paper are assumed to be unital, associative, and commutative.
- $k$ denotes a base ring, which will later be assumed to be a field of characteristic different from 2.
- A variety $X$ is a geometrically reduced, separated scheme of finite type over a field. We do not require the base field to be algebraically closed, nor do we require varieties to be irreducible.
- $C_2$ denotes the cyclic group of order 2.

We use the functor-of-points formalism heavily throughout, which is to say we view a scheme $X$ as the presheaf of sets it represents on a category of schemes

$$X(U) = \text{Mor}_{\text{Sch}}(U, X).$$

In fact, the presheaf $X(\cdot)$ is a sheaf on the big Zariski site of all schemes, which is to say that if $W = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$ is a cover of a scheme by Zariski open subschemes, then

$$X(W) \to \prod_{i \in I} X(U_i) \Rightarrow \prod_{(i,j) \in I^2} X(U_i \cap U_j)$$

is a coequalizer diagram.

**Remark 1.1.** The scheme $\mathbb{A}^1 = \text{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[t]$ represents the functor

$$X \mapsto \mathbb{A}^1(X) = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \mathcal{O}_X(X).$$

Similarly, $\mathbb{A}^n$ represents the functor

$$X \mapsto (\mathcal{O}_X(X))^n.$$
This can be deduced from the case of affine $X$, where one has
\[ \text{Hom}_{\text{Alg}}(\mathbb{Z}[t_1, \ldots, t_n], R) = R^n \]
as sets.

2. Étale algebras

Let $R$ be a ring and $S$ an $R$-algebra. Then there is a morphism of rings $\mu : S \otimes_R S \to S$ sending $a \otimes b$ to $ab$. We obtain an exact sequence
\[ 0 \to \ker(\mu) \to S \otimes_R S \xrightarrow{\mu} S \to 0 \]

**Definition 2.1.** Let $R$ be a ring. An $R$-algebra $S$ is called finite étale if $S$ is finitely presented, flat as an $R$-module and $S$ is projective $S \otimes_R S$-module, where $S \otimes_R S$ acts through $\mu$.

As $S$ is finitely generated and flat over $R$ it is also a projective $R$-module. We say that the étale algebra is of degree $n$ if the rank of $S$ as a projective $R$-module is $n$.

It is clear that $S$ is projective $S \otimes_R S$-module if and only if the sequence (1) splits.

**Remark 2.2.** Over a ring $R$, and for any integer $n > 0$, there exists a “trivial” étale algebra $R^n$ with componentwise addition and multiplication.

The following lemma states that all étale algebras are fppf-locally isomorphic to the trivial one.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let $R$ be a ring and $S$ an $R$-algebra. Let $S$ be a faithful $R$-module. Then the following are equivalent:

1. $S$ is an étale algebra of degree $n$.
2. There is a faithfully flat $R$-algebra $T$ such that $S \otimes_R T \cong T^n$ as $T$-algebras.

A proof may be found in [3].

We may extend this definition to schemes.

**Definition 2.4.** Let $X$ be a $k$-scheme. Let $A$ be a locally free sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_X$-algebras. For simplicity, we assume $A$ has a constant degree $n$. We say that $A$ is an étale $X$-algebra or étale algebra over $X$ if for every open affine subset $U \subset X$ the $A(U)$ is an étale algebra, and we call $n$ the rank of $A$.

**Remark 2.5.** For $X$ a $k$-scheme and $n$ a positive integer there exists a trivial étale algebra $\mathcal{O}_X^n$ with componentwise addition and multiplication.

**Lemma 2.6.** Let $X$ be a $k$-scheme and $A$ be a coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-algebra. Then the following are equivalent:

1. $A$ is an étale $X$-algebra of degree $n$.
2. There is an affine flat cover $\{U_i \xrightarrow{f_i} X\}$ such that $f_i^*A \cong \mathcal{O}_{U_i}^n$ as $\mathcal{O}_{U_i}$-algebras.

**Proof.** This is immediate from Lemma [2.3].

**Definition 2.7.** If $A$ is an étale algebra over a ring $R$, then a subset $\Lambda \subset A$ is said to generate $A$ over $R$ if no strict $R$-subalgebra of $A$ contains $\Lambda$.

If $\Lambda = \{a_1, \ldots, a_r\} \subset A$ is a finite subset, then the smallest subalgebra of $A$ containing $\Lambda$ agrees with the image of the evaluation map $k[x_1, \ldots, x_r]^{(a_1, \ldots, a_r)} \to A$. Therefore, saying that $\Lambda$ generates $A$ is equivalent to saying this map is surjective.
Proposition 2.8. Let \( \Lambda = \{a_1, \ldots, a_r\} \) be a finite set of elements of \( A \), an étale algebra over a ring \( R \). The following are equivalent:

1. \( \Lambda \) generates \( A \) as an \( R \)-algebra.
2. There exists a set of elements \( \{f_1, \ldots, f_n\} \subset R \) that generate the unit ideal and such that, for each \( i \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \), the image of \( \Lambda \) in \( A_{f_i} \) generates \( A_{f_i} \) as an \( R_{f_i} \)-algebra.
3. For each \( m \in \text{MaxSpec } R \), the image of \( \Lambda \) in \( A_m \) generates \( A_m \) as an \( R_m \)-algebra.
4. Let \( k(m) \) denote the residue field of the local ring \( R_m \). For each \( m \in \text{MaxSpec } R \), the image of \( \Lambda \) in \( A \otimes_R k(m) \) generates \( A \otimes_R k(m) \) as a \( k(m) \)-algebra.

Proof. In the case of a finite subset, \( \Lambda = \{a_1, \ldots, a_r\} \), the condition that \( \Lambda \) generates \( A \) is equivalent to the surjectivity of the evaluation map \( R[x_1, \ldots, x_r] \to A \).

The question of generation is therefore a question of whether a certain map is an epimorphism in the category of \( R \)-modules, and conditions (2)-(4) are well-known equivalent conditions saying that this map is an epimorphism. \( \square \)

Using Proposition 2.8, we extend the definition of “generation of an algebra” from the case where the base is affine to the case of a general scheme.

Definition 2.9. Let \( A \) be an étale algebra over a scheme \( X \). For \( \Lambda \subset \Gamma(X, A) \) we say that \( \Lambda \) generates \( A \) if, for each open affine \( U \subset X \) the \( \mathcal{O}_X(U) \)-algebra \( A(U) \) is generated by restriction of sections in \( \Lambda \) to \( U \).

2.1. Generation of trivial algebras. Let \( n \geq 2 \) and \( r \geq 1 \). Consider the trivial étale algebra \( \mathbb{A}^n_X \) over a scheme \( X \). A global section of this algebra is equivalent to a morphism \( X \to \mathbb{A}^n \), and an \( r \)-tuple \( \Lambda \) of sections is a morphism \( X \to (\mathbb{A}^n)^r \). One might hope that the subfunctor \( \mathcal{F} \subseteq (\mathbb{A}^n)^r \) of \( r \)-tuples of sections generating \( \mathcal{O}^n_X \) as an étale algebra is representable, and this turns out to be the case.

In order to define subschemes of \( (\mathbb{A}^n)^r \), it will be necessary to name coordinates:

\[
(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n, x_{2n}, \ldots, x_{rn}).
\]

It will also be useful to retain the grouping into \( n \)-tuples, so we define \( \tilde{x}_i = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{in}) \).

Notation 2.10. Fix \( n \) and \( r \) as above. For \( (i, j) \in \{1, \ldots, n\}^2 \) with \( i < j \), let \( Z_{ij} \subset (\mathbb{A}^n)^r \) denote the closed subscheme given by the intersection of the vanishing loci \( \bigcap_{k=1}^{r} V(x_{ki} - x_{kj}) \).

Write \( U(r; \mathbb{A}^n) \), or \( U(r) \) when \( n \) is clear from the context, for the open subscheme of \( (\mathbb{A}^n)^r \) given by

\[
U(r; \mathbb{A}^n) = (\mathbb{A}^n)^r - \bigcup_{i<j} Z_{ij}
\]

Proposition 2.11. Let \( n \geq 2 \) and \( r \geq 1 \). The open subscheme \( U(r; \mathbb{A}^n) \subset (\mathbb{A}^n)^r \) represents the functor sending a scheme \( X \) to \( r \)-tuples \( (a_1, \ldots, a_r) \) of global sections of \( \mathcal{O}^n_X \) that generate it as an \( \mathcal{O}^n_X \)-algebra.

Proof. Temporarily, let \( \mathcal{F} \) denote the subfunctor of \( (\mathbb{A}^n)^r \) defined by

\[
\mathcal{F}(X) = \{ \Lambda \subseteq (\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}^n_X))^r \mid \Lambda \text{ generates } \mathcal{O}^n_X \}.
\]

It follows from Proposition 2.8 and Definition 2.9 that \( \mathcal{F} \) is actually a sheaf on the big Zariski site.

Both \( U(r; \mathbb{A}^n) \) and \( \mathcal{F} \) are subsheaves of the sheaf represented by \( (\mathbb{A}^n)^r \), and therefore in order to show they agree, it suffices to show \( U(r; \mathbb{A}^n)(R) = \mathcal{F}(R) \) when \( R \) is a local ring.
Let $R$ be a local ring. The set $U(r; \mathbb{A}^n)(R)$ consists of certain $r$-tuples $(\vec{a}_1, \ldots, \vec{a}_r)$ of elements of $R^n$. Letting $a_{ki}$ denote the $i$-th element of $\vec{a}_k$, then the $r$-tuples are those with the property that for each $i \neq j$, there exists some $k$ such that $a_{ki} - a_{kj} \in R^\times$. The proposition now follows from Lemma 2.12 below.

Lemma 2.12. Let $R$ be a local ring, with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$. Let $(\vec{a}_1, \ldots, \vec{a}_r)$ denote an $r$-tuple of elements in $R^n$, and let $a_{ki}$ denote the $i$-th element of $\vec{a}_k$. The following are equivalent:

- The set $\{\vec{a}_1, \ldots, \vec{a}_r\}$ generates the (trivial) étale $R$-algebra $R^n$.
- For each pair $(i, j)$ satisfying $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, there is some $k \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$ such that the element $a_{ki} - a_{kj}$ is a unit in $R^\times$.

Proof. Suppose $\{\vec{a}_1, \ldots, \vec{a}_r\}$ generates $R^n$ as an algebra. That is, any $n$-tuple $(r_1, \ldots, r_n) \in R^n$ may be expressed by evaluating a polynomial $p \in R[X_1, \ldots, X_r]$ at $(\vec{a}_1, \ldots, \vec{a}_r)$, i.e., for any $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, we have $p(a_{1i}, \ldots, a_{ri}) = r_i$.

In particular, for any pair of indices $(i, j)$ with $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, it is possible to find a polynomial $p \in R[X_1, \ldots, X_r]$ such that $p(a_{1i}, a_{2i}, \ldots, a_{ri}) = 1$ and $p(a_{1j}, a_{2j}, \ldots, a_{rj}) = 0$. We remark that reduction modulo $\mathfrak{m}$ is a homomorphism of rings, so that the class of $p(c_1, \ldots, c_r)$ modulo $\mathfrak{m}$ depends only on the classes of $c_1, \ldots, c_r$ modulo $\mathfrak{m}$.

If $a_{li} - a_{lj} \in \mathfrak{m}$ for all $l$, then $a_{li} = a_{lj} \mod \mathfrak{m}$ and we obtain

$$
1 = p(a_{1i}, a_{2i}, \ldots, a_{ri}) - p(a_{1j}, a_{2j}, \ldots, a_{rj}) = 0 \mod \mathfrak{m}
$$

a contradiction, so there exists some $l$ such that $a_{li} - a_{lj}$ is a unit in $R$.

Conversely, suppose that for each pair $i < j$, we can find some $k$ such that $a_{ki} - a_{kj}$ is a unit. For any pair $i \neq j$, we can find a polynomial $p_{i,j} \in R[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$ with the property that $p_{i,j}(a_{1i}, \ldots, a_{ri}) = 1$ and $p_{i,j}(a_{1j}, \ldots, a_{rj}) = 0$ by taking

$$
p_{i,j} = (a_{ki} - a_{kj})^{-1}(x_k - a_{kj})
$$

for instance.

Let $p_i = \prod_{j \neq i} p_{i,j}$. The polynomial $p_i$ has the property that

$$
p_i(a_{1j}, \ldots, a_{rj}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$

and from here it is immediate that evaluation at $(\vec{a}_1, \ldots, \vec{a}_r)$ yields a surjection $R[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \to R^n$. □

3. Classifying spaces

Fix $n \geq 2$ and $r \geq 1$. In this subsection we work over a fixed field $k$.

We tacitly change base from $\text{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$ to $\text{Spec} k$, so that $U(r; \mathbb{A}^n)$ denotes the $k$-variety that should properly be written $U(r; \mathbb{A}^n) \times_{\text{Spec} \mathbb{Z}} \text{Spec} k$. The reason we make this change of base is to use standard results about quotient varieties.

Notation 3.1. For a given $k$-variety $X$, a degree-$n$ étale algebra $A$ with $r$ generating sections denotes the data of a degree-$n$ étale algebra $A$ over $X$, and an $r$-tuple of sections $(a_1, \ldots, a_r) \in \Gamma(X, A)$ that generate $A$. These data will be briefly denoted $(A, a_1, \ldots, a_r)$. An isomorphism $\psi : (A, a_1, \ldots, a_r) \to (A', a'_1, \ldots, a'_r)$ of such data consists of a map $\psi : A \to A'$ of étale algebras over $X$ such that $\psi(a_i) = a'_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$. The isomorphism class of $(A, a_1, \ldots, a_r)$ will be denoted $[A, a_1, \ldots, a_r]$. 
**Definition 3.2.** For a given $X$, there is a set, rather than a proper class, of isomorphism classes of degree-$n$ étale algebras over $X$, and so there is a set of isomorphism classes of degree-$n$ étale algebras with $r$ generating sections. Since generation is a local condition by Proposition 2.8, it follows that there is a functor

$$\mathcal{F}(r; \mathbb{A}^n) : k\text{-Var} \to \text{Set},$$

$$\mathcal{F}(r; \mathbb{A}^n)(X) = \{ [A, a_1, \ldots, a_r] \mid (A, a_1, \ldots, a_r) \text{ is a degree-}n \text{ étale algebra over } X$$

and $r$ generating sections

The purpose of this section is to produce a variety $B(r; \mathbb{A}^n)$ representing the functor $\mathcal{F}(r; \mathbb{A}^n)$, on the category of $k$-varieties.

### 3.1. Construction of $B(r; \mathbb{A}^n)$.

**Remark 3.3.** Fix a field $F$. The automorphism group of the trivial étale $F$-algebra $F^n$ may be calculated as follows: The elements

$$e_i = (0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0) \in F^n$$

are determined, up to reordering, by the conditions that $e_i^2 = e_i$, $e_i \neq 0$, $e_i e_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n e_i = 1$ in the étale algebra structure on $F^n$. Any automorphism of the étale $F$-algebra $F^n$ permutes the $e_i$ and is determined by this permutation, and from there it is immediate that $\text{Aut}_{F\text{-alg}}(F^n)$ is the symmetric group, $S_n$.

There is an action of the symmetric group $S_n$ on $\mathbb{A}^n$, given by permuting the coordinates, and from there, there is a diagonal action of $S_n$ on $(\mathbb{A}^n)^r$, and one verifies that the action restricts to one on the open subscheme $U(r; \mathbb{A}^n)$.

We fix a degree $n$, and in the interest of brevity, we omit $\mathbb{A}^n$ from the notation.

**Proposition 3.4.** The action of $S_n$ on $U(r; \mathbb{A}^n)$ is scheme-theoretically free.

**Proof.** Since $U(r; \mathbb{A}^n)$ is a variety, it suffices to verify that the action is free on the sets $U(r; \mathbb{A}^n)(K)$ where $K/k$ is a field extension. Here one is considering the diagonal $S_n$ action on $r$-tuples $(\tilde{a}_1, \ldots, \tilde{a}_r)$ where each $\tilde{a}_i \in K^n$ is a vector and such that for all indices $i \neq j$, there exists some $\tilde{a}_i$ such that the $i$-th and $j$-th entries of $\tilde{a}_i$ are different. The result follows.

**Notation 3.5.** There is a free diagonal action of $S_n$ on $U(r; \mathbb{A}^n) \times \mathbb{A}^n$, such that the projection $p : U(r; \mathbb{A}^n) \times \mathbb{A}^n \to U(r; \mathbb{A}^n)$ is equivariant. Write $q : E(r; \mathbb{A}^n) \to B(r; \mathbb{A}^n)$ for the induced map of quotient varieties. These are again quasiprojective varieties (by, for instance [10, Section 7]) and there is a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
U(r; \mathbb{A}^n) \times \mathbb{A}^n & \xrightarrow{\pi'} & E(r; \mathbb{A}^n) \\
\downarrow p & & \downarrow q \\
U(r; \mathbb{A}^n) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & B(r; \mathbb{A}^n)
\end{array}$$

**Remark 3.6.** The sheaf of sections of the map $p : U(r; \mathbb{A}^n) \times \mathbb{A}^n \to U(r; \mathbb{A}^n)$ is the trivial degree-$n$ étale algebra $O_{U(r; \mathbb{A}^n)}^n$ on $U(r; \mathbb{A}^n)$. The action of $S_n$ on these sections is by algebra automorphisms, and so the sheaf of sections of the quotient map $q : E(r; \mathbb{A}^n) \to B(r; \mathbb{A}^n)$ is endowed with the structure of a degree-$n$ étale algebra $\mathcal{E}(r; \mathbb{A}^n)$ on $B(r; \mathbb{A}^n)$. We will often confuse the scheme $E(r; \mathbb{A}^n)$ over $B(r; \mathbb{A}^n)$ with the étale algebra of sections $\mathcal{E}(r; \mathbb{A}^n)$. 
The map \( p \) has \( r \) canonical sections \( \{s_j\}_{j=1}^r \) given as follows:

\[
s_j(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2, \ldots, \tilde{x}_r) = ((\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2, \ldots, \tilde{x}_r), \tilde{x}_j).
\]

These sections are \( S_n \)-equivariant, and so descend to sections \( \{t_i : B(r; \mathbb{A}^n) \to E(r; \mathbb{A}^n)\}_{i=1}^r \) of the map \( q \).

**Remark 3.7.** The quotient variety \( B(r; \mathbb{A}^n) \) is smooth since \( U(r; \mathbb{A}^n) \) is smooth and \( \pi \) is étale—see [8, Ch. I, Remark 2.24]. Since \( \pi \) is finite it is proper. The variety \( B(r; \mathbb{A}^n) \) is quasiprojective but not projective. Indeed, if \( B(r; \mathbb{A}^n) \to \text{Spec}(k) \) were proper then \( U(r; \mathbb{A}^n) \to \text{Spec}(k) \) would be proper too, but \( U(r; \mathbb{A}^n) \) is an open subvariety of an affine variety.

**3.2. The functor represented by \( B(r; \mathbb{A}^n) \).** We now establish the identity of functors \( B(r; \mathbb{A}^n)(X) = \mathcal{F}(r; \mathbb{A}^n)(X) \).

By Remark 3.6 \( \mathcal{F}(r; \mathbb{A}^n)(B(r; \mathbb{A}^n)) \) has a canonical element \([\mathcal{E}(r; \mathbb{A}^n), t_1, \ldots, t_r]\).

**Lemma 3.8.** If \([A, s_1, \ldots, s_r] \in \mathcal{F}(r; \mathbb{A}^n)(L) \) where \( L \) is a separably closed field over \( k \), then there exists a unique morphism of schemes \( \phi : \text{Spec}(L) \to B(r; \mathbb{A}^n) \) such that

\[
[A, s_1, \ldots, s_r] = [\phi^*(\mathcal{E}(r; \mathbb{A}^n)), \phi^*t_1, \ldots, \phi^*t_r]
\]

**Proof.** Since \( L \) is separably closed, there exists an \( L \)-isomorphism \( A \xrightarrow{\psi} L^n \). Let \( \{\psi(s_i)\} \subset L^n \) denote the corresponding sections of \( L^n \).

We thus obtain a map \( \phi : \text{Spec}(L) \to U(r; \mathbb{A}^n) \) defined by giving the \( L \)-point \((\psi(s_1), \ldots, \psi(s_r))\).

Post-composing this map with the projection \( U(r; \mathbb{A}^n) \to B(r; \mathbb{A}^n) \), we obtain a morphism \( \phi : \text{Spec}(L) \to B(r; \mathbb{A}^n) \). It is a tautology that \( \phi^*(\mathcal{E}(r; \mathbb{A}^n)) = A \) and \( \phi^*(t_i) = s_i \).

It now behooves us to show that \( \phi \) does not depend on the choices made in the construction.

Suppose \( \phi' : \text{Spec} L \to B(r; \mathbb{A}^n) \) is another morphism satisfying the conditions of the lemma. We may lift this \( L \)-point of \( B(r; \mathbb{A}^n) \) to an \( L \)-point \( \tilde{\phi}' : \text{Spec} L \to U(r; \mathbb{A}^n) \), since \( \pi \) is finite. By hypothesis we have

\[
[A, s_1, \ldots, s_r] = [\phi'^*(\mathcal{E}(r; \mathbb{A}^n)), \phi'^*t_1, \ldots, \phi'^*t_r].
\]

Thus \( \phi \) and \( \tilde{\phi}' \) differ by a \( S_n = \text{Aut}_L(L^n) \) automorphism, which is to say \( \phi = \phi' \) as required. \( \square \)

The universality of \( B(r; \mathbb{A}^n) \) extends to all \( k \)-varieties, as follows.

**Proposition 3.9.** If \( X \) is a variety over \( k \) and if \([A, s_1, \ldots, s_r] \in \mathcal{F}(r; \mathbb{A}^n)(X) \) then there exists a unique morphism of \( k \)-schemes \( \phi : X \to B(r; \mathbb{A}^n) \) such that

\[
[A, s_1, \ldots, s_r] = [\phi^*(\mathcal{E}(r; \mathbb{A}^n)), \phi^*t_1, \ldots, \phi^*t_r].
\]

**Proof.** If \([A, s_1, \ldots, s_r] \in \mathcal{F}(r; \mathbb{A}^n)(X) \) then there exists an étale cover \( U = \{\text{Spec}(R_i) \to X\} \) of reduced affine schemes such that there exist isomorphisms \( \psi_i : A|_{\text{Spec} R_i} \xrightarrow{\cong} R_i^r \). Write \( U_i = \text{Spec} R_i \).

Then we obtain a morphism

\[
U_i \xrightarrow{\phi_i = (s_1|_{U_i}, \ldots, s_r|_{U_i})} U(r; \mathbb{A}^n).
\]

We post-compose with \( \pi \) to get maps \( \phi_i : U_i \to B(r; \mathbb{A}^n) \). The maps \( \phi_i|_{U_i \times U_j} \) and \( \phi_j|_{U_i \times U_j} \) agree on all geometric points by Lemma 3.8 and thus are equal. By étale descent the maps \( \phi_i \) define a map \( \phi : X \to B(r; \mathbb{A}^n) \) satisfying (2).

If \( \phi' : X \to B(r; \mathbb{A}^n) \) is a different map satisfying (2), then \( \phi \) and \( \phi' \) must differ on some geometric point. This not possible by Lemma 3.8. \( \square \)
Corollary 3.10. The functor $\mathcal{F}(r; \mathbb{A}^n)$ of Definition 3.2 is represented by the scheme $B(r; \mathbb{A}^n)$.

Example 3.11. Let us consider the toy example where $X = \text{Spec } K$ where $K$ is a field containing $k$, $n \geq 2$, and where $r = 1$. That is, we are considering étale algebras $A/K$ along with a chosen generating element $a \in A$. After base change to the separable closure, $K^s$, we obtain a $S_n$-equivariant isomorphism of $K^s$-algebras:

$$\psi : A_{K^s} \cong (K^s)^{\times n}.$$  

For the sake of the exposition, use $\psi$ to identify source and target. The element $a \in A$ yields a chosen generating element $\tilde{a} \in (K^s)^n$. The element $\tilde{a}$ is a vector of $n$ pairwise distinct elements of $K^s$. The element $\tilde{a}$ is a $K^s$-point of $U(1; \mathbb{A}^n)$. In general, this point is not defined over $K$, but its image in $B(1; \mathbb{A}^n)$ is.

Since $U(1; \mathbb{A}^n) \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$, and $B(1; \mathbb{A}^n) = U(1; \mathbb{A}^n)/\Sigma_n$, the image of $\tilde{a}$ in $B(1; \mathbb{A}^n)(K^s)$ may be presented as the elementary symmetric polynomials in the $a_i$. To say that the image of $\tilde{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ in $B(1; \mathbb{A}^n)$ is defined over $K$ is to say that the coefficients of the polynomial $\prod_{i=1}^n (x-a_i)$ are defined in $K$.

The variety $B(1; \mathbb{A}^n)$ is the $k$-variety parametrizing degree-$n$ polynomials with distinct roots, i.e., with invertible discriminant.

Example 3.12. To reduce the toy example even further, let us consider the case of $k = K$ a field of characteristic different from 2, and $n = 2$.

The variety $B(1; \mathbb{A}^2)$ may be presented as spectrum of the $C_2$-fixed subring of $k[x, y, (x - y)^{-1}]$ under the action interchanging $x$ and $y$. This is $k[(x + y), (x - y)^2, (x - y)^{-2}]$, although it is more elegant to present it after the change of coordinates $c_1 = x + y$ and $c_0 = xy$:

$$B(1; \mathbb{A}^2) = \text{Spec } k[c_1, c_0, (c_1^2 - 4c_0)^{-1}]$$

A quadratic étale $k$-algebra equipped with the generating element $a$ corresponds to the point $(c_1, c_0) \in B(1; \mathbb{A}^2)(k)$ where $a$ satisfies the minimal polynomial $a^2 - c_1a + c_0 = 0$.

For instance if $k = \mathbb{R}$, the quadratic étale algebra of complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$ with generator $s + ti$ over $\mathbb{R}$ (here $t \neq 0$), corresponds to the point $(2s, s^2 + t^2) \in B(1; \mathbb{A}^2)(\mathbb{R})$, whereas $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, generated by $(s + t, s - t)$ over $\mathbb{R}$ (again $t \neq 0$), corresponds to the point $(2s, s^2 - t^2)$.

4. Stabilization in Cohomology

We might wish to use the varieties $B(r; \mathbb{A}^n)$ to define cohomological invariants of étale algebras. The idea is the following: suppose given such an algebra $A$ on a $k$-scheme $X$, and suppose one can find generators $(a_1, \ldots, a_r)$ for $A$. Then one has a classifying map $\phi : X \to B(r; \mathbb{A}^n)$, and one may apply a cohomology functor $E^*$, such as Chow groups or algebraic $K$-theory, to obtain “characteristic classes” for $A$-along-with-$(a_1, \ldots, a_r)$, in the form of $\phi^* : E^*(B(r; \mathbb{A}^n)) \to E^*(X)$. The dependence on the specific generators chosen is a nuisance, and we see in this section that this dependence goes away provided we are prepared to pass to a limit “$B(\infty)$” and assume that the theory $E^*$ is $\mathbb{A}^1$-invariant, in that $E^*(X) \to E^*(X \times \mathbb{A}^1)$ is an isomorphism.

Definition 4.1. There are stabilization maps $U(r; \mathbb{A}^n) \to U(r + 1; \mathbb{A}^n)$ obtained by augmenting an $r$-tuple of $n$-tuples by the $n$-tuple $(0, 0, \ldots, 0)$. These stabilization maps are $S_n$-equivariant and therefore descend to maps $B(r; \mathbb{A}^n) \to B(r + 1; \mathbb{A}^n)$.

The stabilization maps defined above may be composed with another, to yield maps $B(r; \mathbb{A}^n) \to B(r'; \mathbb{A}^n)$ for all $r < r'$. These maps will also be called stabilization maps.
Proposition 4.2. Let $X$ be a regular $k$-scheme. Suppose $[A, a_1, \ldots, a_r] \in \mathcal{F}(r; \mathbb{A}^n)(X)$ and $[A', a'_1, \ldots, a'_r] \in \mathcal{F}(t; \mathbb{A}^n)(X)$ have the property that $A \cong A'$ as étale algebras. Let $\phi : X \to B(r; \mathbb{A}^n)$ and $\phi' : X \to B(r'; \mathbb{A}^n)$ be the corresponding classifying morphisms. For $R = r + r'$, the composite maps $\tilde{\phi} : X \to B(r; \mathbb{A}^n) \to B(R; \mathbb{A}^n)$ and $\tilde{\phi}' : X \to B(r'; \mathbb{A}^n) \to B(R; \mathbb{A}^n)$ given by stabilization are naively $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopic.

An “elementary $\mathbb{A}^1$ homotopy” between maps $\phi, \phi' : X \to B$ is a map $\Phi : X \times \mathbb{A}^1 \to B$ specializing to $\phi$ at 0 and $\phi'$ at 1. Two maps $\phi, \phi' : X \to B$ are “naively $\mathbb{A}^1$ homotopic” if they may be joined by a finite sequence of elementary homotopies. Two naively homotopic maps are identified in the $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopy theory of schemes of $\mathbb{F}$, but they do not account for all identifications in that theory.

Proof. We may assume that $A = A'$. We may also assume, by padding, that $r = r'$.

Write $t$ for the parameter of $\mathbb{A}^1$. Let $A[t]$ denote the pull-back of $A$ along the projection $X \times \mathbb{A}^1 \to X$.

Consider the sections $((1-t)a_1, \ldots, (1-t)a_r, ta'_1, \ldots, ta'_r)$ of $A[t]$. Since either $t$ or $(1-t)$ is a unit at all local rings of points $\mathbb{A}^1$, by appeal to Proposition 2.8 and consideration of the restrictions to $X \times (\mathbb{A}^1 - \{0\})$ and $X \times (\mathbb{A}^1 - \{1\})$, we see that $((1-t)a_1, \ldots, (1-t)a_r, ta'_1, \ldots, ta'_r)$ furnish a set of generators for $A[t]$. At $t = 0$, they specialize to $(a_1, \ldots, a_r, 0, \ldots, 0)$, viz., the generators specified by the stabilized map $\phi : X \to B(r; \mathbb{A}^n) \to B(2r; \mathbb{A}^n)$. At $t = 1$, they specialize to $(0, \ldots, 0, a'_1, \ldots, a'_r)$, which is not precisely the list of generators specified by $\phi' : X \to B(r; \mathbb{A}^n) \to B(2r; \mathbb{A}^n)$, but may be brought to this form by another elementary $\mathbb{A}^1$ homotopy.

Corollary 4.3. Let $\phi$ and $\phi'$ be as in the previous proposition. If $E^*$ denotes any $\mathbb{A}^1$-invariant cohomology theory, then $E^* (\tilde{\phi}) = E^* (\tilde{\phi}')$.

5. The motivic cohomology of the spaces $B(r; \mathbb{A}^2)$

For this section, let $k$ denote a fixed field of characteristic different from 2. The motivic cohomology of the spaces $B(r; \mathbb{A}^2)$ has already been calculated in [I].

5.1. Change of coordinates.

Lemma 5.1. There is an equivariant isomorphism $U(r; \mathbb{A}^2) \cong \mathbb{A}^r \setminus \{0\} \times \mathbb{A}^r$, where $C_2$ acts by multiplication by $-1$ on first factor $\mathbb{A}^r \setminus \{0\}$ and trivially on the second factor $\mathbb{A}^r$. Taking quotient by $C_2$-action yields $B(r; \mathbb{A}^2) \cong (\mathbb{A}^r \setminus \{0\})/C_2 \times \mathbb{A}^r$.

Proof. By means of the change of coordinates

\[ x_i - y_i = z_i, \quad x_i + y_i = w_i \]

we see that $U(r; \mathbb{A}^2) \cong (\mathbb{A}^r \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbb{A}^r$. Moreover, the action of $C_2$ on $U(r; \mathbb{A}^2)$ is given by $z_i \mapsto -z_i$ and $w_i \mapsto w_i$. We therefore obtain an isomorphism $B(r; \mathbb{A}^2) = U(r; \mathbb{A}^2)/C_2 \cong (\mathbb{A}^r \setminus \{0\})/C_2 \times \mathbb{A}^r$. Write $V(r; \mathbb{A}^2)$ for $\mathbb{A}^r \setminus \{0\}/C_2$. It is immediate that $B(r; \mathbb{A}^2) \cong V(r; \mathbb{A}^2) \times \mathbb{A}^r$, and so there is a split inclusion $V(r; \mathbb{A}^2) \to B(r; \mathbb{A}^2)$ which is moreover an $\mathbb{A}^1$-equivalence.

5.2. The deleted quadric presentation.

Definition 5.2. Endow $\mathbb{P}^{2r-1}$ with the projective coordinates $a_1, \ldots, a_r, b_1, \ldots, b_r$. Let $Q_{2r-2}$ denote the closed subvariety given by the vanishing of $\sum_{i=1}^r a_ib_i$, and let $DQ_{2r-1}$ denote the open complement $\mathbb{P}^{2r-1} \setminus Q_{2r-2}$. 

The main computation of [1] is a calculation of the modulo-2 motivic cohomology of \( DQ_{2r-1} \), and of a family of related spaces \( DQ_{2r} \). Denote the modulo-2 motivic cohomology of \( \text{Spec } k \) by \( M_2 \). This is a bigraded ring \( M_2^{i,n} \), concentrated in degrees \( 0 \leq n \leq i \). There are two notable classes, \( \rho \in M_2^{1,1} \), the reduction modulo 2 of \( -1 \in K_1^M(k) = H^{1,1}(\text{Spec } k, Z) \), and \( \tau \in M_2^{0,1} \), corresponding to the identity \((-1)^2 = 1\). If \(-1\) is a square in \( k \), then \( \rho = 0 \), but \( \tau \) is always a nonzero class.

**Proposition 5.3** (Dugger–Isaksen, [1] Theorem 4.9). There is an isomorphism of graded rings

\[
H^{*,*}(DQ_{2r-1}; \mathbb{F}_2) \cong \frac{M_2[a,b]}{(a^2 - \rho a - \tau b, b^r)}
\]

where \(|a| = (1,1)\) and \(|b| = (2,1)\).

Moreover, the inclusion \( DQ_{2r-1} \to DQ_{2r+1} \) given by \( a_{r+1} = b_{r+1} = 0 \) induces the map \( H^{*,*}(DQ_{2r+1}; \mathbb{F}_2) \to H^{*,*}(DQ_{2r-1}; \mathbb{F}_2) \) sending \( a \) to \( a \) and \( b \) to \( b \).

This proposition subsumes two other notable calculations of invariants. In the first place, owing to the Beilinson–Lichtenbaum conjecture [15], it subsumes the calculation of \( H^*_\text{et}(DQ_{2r-1}, \mathbb{F}_2) \). For instance, if \( k \) is algebraically closed, then \( M_2 = \mathbb{F}[\tau] \), and one deduces that \( H^*_\text{et}(DQ_{2r-1}, \mathbb{F}_2) \cong \mathbb{F}_2[a,b]/(a^2 - b, b^r) = \mathbb{F}_2[a]/(a^2r) \).

In the second, since \( H^{2n,n}(\cdot, \mathbb{F}_2) \) is identified with \( CH^\bullet(\cdot) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_2 \), the calculation of the proposition subsumes that of the Chow groups modulo 2. In fact, the extension problems that prevented Dugger and Isaksen from calculating \( H^{*,*}(DQ_{2r-1}; \mathbb{Z}) \) do not arise in this range, and by reference to the appendix of [1], which in turn refers to [6], one can calculate the integral Chow rings. This is done in the first two paragraphs of the proof of [1] Theorem 4.9.

**Proposition 5.4.** One may present

\[
CH^*(DQ_{2r-1}) = \frac{\mathbb{Z}[b]}{(2b, b^r)}, \quad |b| = 1.
\]

As before, the map \( DQ_{2r-1} \to DQ_{2r+1} \) given by adding 0s induces the map \( b \mapsto b \) on Chow rings. Moreover \( CH^*(DQ_{2r-1}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_2 \) can be identified with the subring of \( H^{*,*}(DQ_{2r-1}; \mathbb{F}_2) \) generated by \( b \).

The reason we have explained all this is that there is a composite map

\[ DQ_{2r-1} \to (A^r \setminus \{0\})/C_2 = B(r; \mathbb{A}^2) \]

both of which are \( \mathbb{A}^1 \)-equivalences. and so Propositions 5.3 and 5.4 amount to a calculation of the motivic and étale cohomologies and Chow rings of \( B(r; \mathbb{A}^2) \). Both maps in diagram (3) are compatible in the evident way with an increase in \( r \), so that we may use the material of this section to compute the stable invariants of \( B(r; \mathbb{A}^2) \) in the sense of Section 4.

The \( \mathbb{A}^1 \)-equivalence \( B(r; \mathbb{A}^2) \to (A^r \setminus \{0\})/C_2 \) was constructed above in Lemma 5.1 so it remains to prove the following.

**Lemma 5.5.** Let \( r \geq 1 \). The variety \( DQ_{2r-1} \) is affine and has coordinate ring

\[
R = \left[ \frac{k[x_1, \ldots, x_r, y_1, \ldots, y_r]}{(1 - \sum_{i=1}^r x_i y_i)} \right]^{C_2}
\]

where the \( C_2 \) action on \( x_i \) and \( y_i \) is by \( x_i \mapsto -x_i \) and \( y_i \mapsto -y_i \).
Proof. The variety $DQ_{2r-1}$ is a complement of a hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^{2r-1}$, and is therefore affine.

Let $Q$ denote $a_1 b_1 + \cdots + a_r b_r$. The coordinate ring of $DQ_{2r-1}$ is the ring of degree-0 terms in the graded ring $S = k[a_1, \ldots, a_r, b_1, \ldots, b_r, Q^{-1}]$, where $|a_i| = |b_i| = 1$ and $|Q^{-1}| = -2$. This ring is the subring of $S$ generated by the terms $a_i a_j Q^{-1}$, $a_i b_j Q^{-1}$ and $b_i b_j Q^{-1}$.

Consider the ring

$$T = \frac{k[x_1, \ldots, x_r, y_1, \ldots, y_r]}{(1 - \sum_{i=1}^r x_i y_i)}.$$  

One may define a map of rings $\phi : S \to T$ by sending $a_i \mapsto x_i$ and $b_i \mapsto y_i$, since $Q \mapsto 1$ under this assignment. Restricting to $\Gamma(DQ_{2r-1}, \mathcal{O}_{DQ_{2r-1}}) \subset S$, one obtains a map $\Gamma(DQ_{2r-1}, \mathcal{O}_{DQ_{2r-1}}) \to T$ for which the image is precisely the subring generated by terms $x_i x_j$, $x_i y_j$ and $y_i y_j$, i.e., the fixed subring under the $C_2$ action given by $x_i \mapsto -x_i$ and $y_i \mapsto -y_i$.

It remains to establish this map is injective. We show that the kernel of the map $\phi : S \to T$ contains only one homogeneous element, 0, so that the restriction of this map to the subring of degree-0 terms in $S$ is injective. The kernel of $\phi$ is the ideal $(Q - 1)$. Since $S$ is an integral domain, degree considerations imply that no nonzero multiple of $(Q - 1)$ is homogeneous. □

**Proposition 5.6.** For all $r$, there is an $\mathbb{A}^1$-equivalence $DQ_{2r-1} \to (\mathbb{A}^r \setminus \{0\})/C_2$.

Proof. Let $T$ be as in the proof of Lemma 5.5. It is well known that $\text{Spec } T$ is an affine vector bundle torsor over $\mathbb{A}^r \setminus \{0\}$. In fact, for each $j \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$, if we define $U_j \cong \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\} \times \mathbb{A}^{r-1}$ to be the open subscheme of $\mathbb{A}^r \setminus \{0\}$ where the $j$-th coordinate is invertible, then we arrive at a pull-back diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{A}^{r-1} \times U_j & \cong & \text{Spec } T \times_{\mathbb{A}^r \setminus \{0\}} U_j \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
U_j & \rightarrow & \mathbb{A}^r \setminus \{0\}
\end{array}$$

Since $U_j$ inherits a free $C_2$-action, it follows that in the quotient we obtain a vector bundle $(\mathbb{A}^{r-1} \times U_j)/C_2 \to U_j/C_2$, and so the map $(\text{Spec } T)/C_2 \to (\mathbb{A}^r \setminus \{0\})/C_2$ is an $\mathbb{A}^1$-equivalence, as claimed. □

As a consequence of Proposition 5.6 we observe that the affine variety $DQ_{2r-1}$ is an affine approximation of $B(r; \mathbb{A}^2)$.

6. Relation to line bundles in the quadratic case

We continue to work over a field $k$, and to require that the characteristic of $k$ be different from 2.

In the case where $n = 2$, the structure group of the degree-$n$ étale algebra is $C_2$, the cyclic group of order 2, which happens to be a subgroup of $\mathbb{G}_m$. More explicitly, $H^1_{\text{et}}(\text{Spec } R, C_2)$ is an abelian group which is isomorphic to the isomorphism classes of quadratic étale algebras on $\text{Spec } R$. On the other hand due to the Kummer sequence and $C_2 \subset \mathbb{G}_m$ we have

$$0 \to R^*/R^{*2} \to H^1_{\text{et}}(\text{Spec } R, C_2) \to 2 \text{Pic}(R) \to 0$$

which means that $H^1_{\text{et}}(\text{Spec } R, C_2)$ is also isomorphic to isomorphism class of 2-torsion line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ with a choice of trivialization $\phi : \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{O}_R$.

This is the basis of the following construction.
Construction 6.1. Let $X$ be a scheme such that $2$ is invertible in all residue fields, and let $A$ be a quadratic étale algebra on $X$. There is a trace map $\text{Tr}: A \to \mathcal{O}$

and an involution $\sigma: A \to A$ given by $\sigma = \text{Tr} - \text{id}$. Define $L$ to be the kernel of $\text{Tr}: A \to \mathcal{O}$. The sequence of sheaves on $X$

\begin{equation}
0 \to L \to A \to \mathcal{O} \to 0
\end{equation}

is split short exact, where the splitting $\mathcal{O} \to A$ is given on sections by $x \mapsto \frac{1}{2}x$.

The construction of $L$ from $A$ gives an explicit instantiation of the map $H^2_{\text{ét}}(X, C_2) \to H^1_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$ on isomorphism classes. We note that $L$ must necessarily be a 2-torsion line bundle, in that $L \otimes L$ is trivial.

It is partly possible to reverse the construction of $L$ from $A$.

Construction 6.2. Let $X$ be as above, and let $L$ be a line-bundle on $X$ such that there is an isomorphism $\mathcal{L} \otimes L \to \mathcal{O}$. Let $\phi: \mathcal{L} \otimes L \to \mathcal{O}$ be a specific choice of isomorphism. From the data $(\mathcal{L}, \phi)$, we may produce an étale algebra $A = \mathcal{O} \oplus L$ on which the multiplication is given, on sections, by $(r, x) \cdot (r', x') = (rr' + o(x \otimes x'), xx' + r'x)$.

Proposition 6.3. Let $X$ be a scheme such that $2$ is invertible in all residue fields of points of $X$. Let $A$ be a quadratic étale algebra on $X$. Let $L$ be the associated line bundle to $A$, as in Construction 6.1. Then $A$ can be generated by $r$ global sections as an étale algebra if and only if $\mathcal{L}$ can be generated by $r$ global sections as a line bundle.

Proof. Using the split exact sequence (4), we may write $A = \mathcal{O} \oplus L$. Write $q: A \to L$ for the projection $q(a) = a - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(a)$.

The questions of generation of $A$ and of $L$ may be reduced to stalks at points of $Y$, by Proposition 2.8 for the algebra and a similar result for the line bundle.

We may therefore suppose $(R, m)$ is a local ring in which $2$ is a unit, and that $A/R$ is a quadratic étale algebra. Since $2$ is invertible, we may write $A = R[z]/(z^2 - a)$ for some element $a \in R$. In this presentation, $\sigma(z) = -z$ and $\text{Tr}(az + b) = 2b$. The kernel of the trace map is therefore $Rz$. The map $q: A \to Rz$ is given by $q(az + b) = az$.

An $r$-tuple $\vec{a} = (a_1 z + b_1, \ldots, a_r z + b_r)$ of elements of $A$ generate it as an $R$-algebra if and only if $q(\vec{a}) = (a_1 z, \ldots, a_r z)$ do. This tuple generates $A$ as an algebra if and only if at least one of the $a_i$ is not in $m$—if one $a_i \in R^\times$, then the algebra generated contains $z$ and therefore all of $A$, whereas if $a_i \in m$ for all $i$, they correspond to the zero element in the étale algebra at the closed point of $\text{Spec } R$ and hence, by Proposition 2.8, cannot generate $A$.

On the other hand, $q(\vec{a}) = (a_1 z, \ldots, a_r z)$, and this generates $Rz = \ker(\text{Tr})$ if and only if at least one of the $a_i$ is a unit.

Remark 6.4. Let $k$ be a field of characteristic different from $2$. An étale algebra of degree $2$ generated by $r$ global sections corresponds to a map $X \to B(r; \mathbb{A}^2)$. A line bundle generated by $r$ global sections corresponds to a map $X \to \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$. In the light of Proposition 6.3, there must be a map of varieties $B(r; \mathbb{A}^2) \to \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$. This map is given by $B(r; \mathbb{A}^2) \xrightarrow{\cong} (\mathbb{A}^r \setminus \{0\})/C_2 \times \mathbb{A}^r \xrightarrow{P_1} (\mathbb{A}^r \setminus \{0\})/C_2 \to (\mathbb{A}^r \setminus \{0\})/\mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ where the morphisms are, left to right, the isomorphism of Lemma 5.3, projection onto the second factor, and the map induced by the inclusion $C_2 \subset \mathbb{G}_m$. 
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7. The example of Chase

The following will be referred to as “the example of Chase”.

Construction 7.1. Let

\[ S = \frac{\mathbb{R}[z_1, \ldots, z_r]}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^r z_i^2 - 1\right)} \]

which is equipped with a \(C_2\)-action given by \(z_i \mapsto -z_i\). Let \( R = S^{C_2} \). The dimension of both \( R \) and \( S \) is \( r - 1 \).

The ring \( R \) carries a projective module of rank 1, i.e., a line bundle, that requires \( r \) global sections in order to generate it. This example given in [13, Theorem 4].

Remark 7.2. In fact, the line bundle in question is of order 2 in the Picard group, so Proposition 6.3 applies and there is an associated quadratic étale algebra on \( \text{Spec } R = Y(r) \), requiring \( r \) generators. The algebra is, of course, dependent on a choice of trivialization of the square of the line bundle, but one may choose the trivialization so the étale algebra in question is \( S \) itself as an \( R \)-algebra.

Remark 7.3. This construction shows that the bound of First and Reichstein, [2], on the number of generators required by an étale algebra of degree 2 is tight. This was first observed, to the best of our knowledge, by M. Ojanguren in private communication.

Even better, replacing \( S \) by \( S \times \mathbb{R}^{n-2} \) over \( R \), one produces a degree-\( n \) étale algebra over \( R \) requiring \( r \) elements to generate, so the bound is tight in the case of étale algebras of arbitrary degrees. We owe this observation to Zinovy Reichstein.

The original method of proof that the line bundle in the example of Chase cannot be generated by fewer than \( r \) global sections uses the Borsuk–Ulam theorem. Here we show that a variation on that proof follows naturally from our general theory of classifying objects. The Borsuk–Ulam theorem is a theorem about the topology of \( \mathbb{R}P^n \), so it can be no surprise that it is replaced here by facts about the singular cohomology of \( \mathbb{R}P^n \).

7.1. The singular cohomology of the real points of \( B(r; \mathbb{A}^2) \). In addition to the general results about the motivic cohomology of \( B(r; \mathbb{A}^2) \), we can give a complete description of the homotopy type of the real points \( B(r; \mathbb{A}^2)(\mathbb{R}) \).

If \( X \) is a nonsingular \( \mathbb{R} \) -variety, then it is possible to produce a complex manifold from \( X \) by first extending scalars to \( \mathbb{C} \) and then employing the usual Betti realization functor to produce a manifold \( X(\mathbb{C}) \). Since \( X \) is defined over \( \mathbb{R} \), however, the resulting manifold is equipped with an action of the Galois group \( \text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}) \cong C_2 \). We write \( X(\mathbb{R}) \) for the Galois-fixed points of \( X(\mathbb{C}) \).

Remark 7.4. The real realization functor \( X \rightsquigarrow X(\mathbb{R}) \) preserves finite products, so that if \( f, g : X \to Y \) are two maps of varieties and \( H : X \times \mathbb{A}^1 \to X' \) is an \( \mathbb{A}^1 \)-homotopy between them, then \( f(\mathbb{R}), g(\mathbb{R}) \) are homotopic maps of varieties, via the homotopy obtained by restricting \( H(\mathbb{R}) : X(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{A}^1(\mathbb{R}) = X(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R} \to X'(\mathbb{R}) \) to the subspace \( X(\mathbb{R}) \times [0, 1] \).

Using Lemma [5.1] present \( U(r; \mathbb{A}^2) \) as the variety of \( 2r \)-tuples \((z_1, \ldots, z_r, w_1, \ldots, w_r)\) such that \((z_1, \ldots, z_r) \neq (0, \ldots, 0)\). This variety carries an action by \( C_2 \) sending \( z_i \mapsto -z_i \) and fixing the \( w_i \). We know \( U(r; \mathbb{A}^2) \) and \( B(r; \mathbb{A}^2) \) are naively homotopy equivalent to \( \mathbb{A}^r \setminus \{0\} \) and \( \mathbb{A}^r \setminus \{0\}/C_2 \) respectively.

Construction 7.5. We now consider an inclusion that is not, in general, an equivalence. Let \( P(r) = \text{Spec } S \) denote the subvariety of \( \mathbb{A}^r \setminus \{0\} \) consisting of \( r \)-tuples \((z_1, \ldots, z_r)\) such that \( \sum_{i=1}^r z_i^2 = 1 \).
This is an \((r-1)\)-dimensional closed affine subscheme of \(\mathbb{A}^r \setminus \{0\}\), invariant under the \(C_2\) action on \(\mathbb{A}^r \setminus \{0\}\). The quotient of \(P(r)\) by \(C_2\) is \(Y(r) = \text{Spec } R\), and is equipped with an evident map \(Y(r) \to (\mathbb{A}^r \setminus \{0\})/C_2 \to B(r; \mathbb{A}^2)\). Here \(S\) and \(R\) take on the same meanings as in Construction 7.1.

**Proposition 7.6.** Let notation be as in Construction 7.5. The real manifold \(B(r; \mathbb{A}^2)(\mathbb{R})\) has the homotopy type of

\[
B(r; \mathbb{A}^2)(\mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathbb{R}P^{r-1} \coprod \mathbb{R}P^{r-1}.
\]

The closed inclusion \(Y(r) \to B(r; \mathbb{A}^2)\) includes \(Y(r)(\mathbb{R}) \to B(r; \mathbb{A}^2)(\mathbb{R})\) as a deformation retract of one of the connected components.

**Proof.** By Lemma 5.1 and Remark 7.4, the manifold \(B(r; \mathbb{A}^2)(\mathbb{R})\) is homotopy equivalent to \(\mathbb{A}^r/\{0\}/C_2(\mathbb{R})\). The manifold \(\mathbb{A}^r/\{0\}/C_2(\mathbb{R})\) consists of equivalence classes of \(r\)-tuples of complex numbers \((z_1, ..., z_r)\), where the \(z_i\) are not all 0, under the relation

\[(z_1, ..., z_r) \sim (-z_1, ..., -z_r).\]

The real points of \(\mathbb{A}^r/\{0\}/C_2\) consist of Galois-invariant equivalence classes. There are two components of this manifold: either the terms in \((z_1, ..., z_r)\) are all real or they are all imaginary. In either case, the connected component is homeomorphic to the manifold \(\mathbb{R}P^{r-1}\).

We now consider the manifold \(Y(r)(\mathbb{R})\). This arises as the Galois-fixed points of \(Y(r)(\mathbb{C})\), which in turn is the quotient of \(P(r)(\mathbb{C})\) by a sign action. That is, \(P(r)(\mathbb{C})\) is the complex manifold of \(r\)-tuples \((z_1, ..., z_r)\) satisfying \(\sum_{i=1}^r z_i^2 = 1\). Again, in an \(\mathbb{R}\)-points, the \(z_i\) are either all real or all purely imaginary. The condition \(\sum_{i=1}^r z_i^2 = 1\) is incompatible with purely imaginary \(z_i\), so \(Y(r)(\mathbb{R})\) is the manifold of \(r\)-tuples of real numbers \((z_1, ..., z_r)\) satisfying \(\sum_{i=1}^r z_i^2 = 1\), taken up to sign. In short, \(Y(r)(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}P^{r-1}\).

As for the inclusion \(Y(r)(\mathbb{R}) \to B(r; \mathbb{A}^2)(\mathbb{R})\), it admits the following description, as can be seen by tracing through all the morphisms defined so far. Suppose given an equivalence class of real numbers \((z_1, ..., z_r)\), satisfying \(\sum_{i=1}^r z_i^2 = 1\), taken up to sign. Then embed \((z_1, ..., z_r)\) as the point of \(B(r; \mathbb{A}^2)(\mathbb{R})\) given by the class of \((z_1, z_2, ..., z_r, 0, ..., 0)\). That is, embed \(\mathbb{R}P^{r-1}\) in \(\mathbb{R}^r \times (\mathbb{R}^{r-1} \setminus \{0\})/C_2\) by embedding \(\mathbb{R}P^{r-1} \subset (\mathbb{R}^r \setminus \{0\})/C_2\) as a deformation retract, and then embedding the latter space as the zero section of the trivial bundle. It is elementary that this composite is also a deformation retract. \(\Box\)

**Remark 7.7.** We remark that the functor \(X \mapsto X(\mathbb{R})\) does not commute with colimits. For instance \(U(r; \mathbb{A}^2)(\mathbb{R})/C_2\), which is connected, is not the same as \(B(r; \mathbb{A}^2)(\mathbb{R})\).

In fact, the two components of \(B(r; \mathbb{A}^2)(\mathbb{R})\) as calculated above correspond to two isomorphism classes of quadratic étale \(\mathbb{R}\)-algebras: one component corresponds to the split algebra \(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}\), and the other to the nonsplit \(\mathbb{C}\).

We will need two properties of \(H^\bullet(\mathbb{R}P^r; \mathbb{F}_2)\) here. Both are standard and may be found in [5].

1. \(H^\bullet(\mathbb{R}P^r; \mathbb{F}_2) \cong \mathbb{F}_2[\theta]/(\theta^{r+1})\) where \(|\theta| = 1\).

2. The standard inclusion of \(\mathbb{R}P^r \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}P^{r+1}\) given by augmenting by 0 induces the evident reduction map \(\theta \mapsto \theta\) on cohomology.

**Proposition 7.8.** We continue to work over \(k = \mathbb{R}\). Let \(s_r : B(r; \mathbb{A}^2) \to B(r+1; \mathbb{A}^2)\) be the stabilization map of Definition 7.7. The induced map on cohomology groups

\[s_r^* : H^j(B(r+1; \mathbb{A}^2)(\mathbb{R}); \mathbb{F}_2) \to H^j(B(r; \mathbb{A}^2)(\mathbb{R}); \mathbb{F}_2)\]

is an isomorphism when \(j \leq r\) and is 0 otherwise.
Proof. The map \( s^*_k \) is attained by considering the inclusion \( U(r; \mathbb{A}^2) \rightarrow U(r + 1; \mathbb{A}^2) \), which is given by augmenting an \( r \)-tuple of pairs \( (a_1, b_1, \ldots, a_r, b_r) \) by \( (0, 0) \), and then taking the quotient by \( C_2 \). After \( \mathbb{R} \)-realization, one is left with a map \( B(r; \mathbb{A}^2)(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow B(r + 1; \mathbb{A}^2)(\mathbb{R}) \) which on each connected component is homotopy equivalent to the standard inclusion \( \mathbb{R}P^r \rightarrow \mathbb{R}P^{r+1} \). The result follows. \( \square \)

Proposition 7.9 (Ojanguren). Let \( S \) and \( R \) be as in Construction 7.3. \( \mathbb{A}^2 \) algebra over fields containing a square root of \( 7^2 \).

By reference to Corollary 4.3, for sufficiently large values of \( r \), by Proposition 7.6 and 7.8. In particular, it is a surjection when \( r \) is sufficiently large. For simplicity, suppose \( S \) and \( R \) are as in Construction 7.5 classifies a quadratic étale algebra over \( Y(r) \), and we can identify this algebra as \( S \).

The map \( \phi : Y(r) \rightarrow B(r; \mathbb{A}^2) \) induces stable maps \( \tilde{\phi} : Y(r) \rightarrow B(R; \mathbb{A}^2) \). Any such stable map induces a surjective map

\[ \tilde{\phi}^* : H^*(B(R; \mathbb{A}^2)(\mathbb{R}); \mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow H^*(Y(r)(\mathbb{R}); \mathbb{F}_2) \]

by Proposition 7.6 and 7.8. In particular, it is a surjection when \( * = r - 1 \).

Suppose \( S \) can be generated by \( r - 1 \) elements, then there is a classifying map \( \phi' : Y(r) \rightarrow B(r - 1; \mathbb{A}^2) \), from which one can produce a stable map

\[ (\tilde{\phi}')^* : H^*(B(R; \mathbb{A}^2)(\mathbb{R}); \mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow H^*(B(r - 1; \mathbb{A}^2); \mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow H^*(Y(r)(\mathbb{R}); \mathbb{F}_2). \]

By reference to Corollary 4.3 for sufficiently large values of \( r \), the maps \( \tilde{\phi}^* \) and \( (\tilde{\phi}')^* \) agree. But \( (\tilde{\phi})^* \) induces the 0-map when \( * = r - 1 \), since \( H^*(B(r - 1; \mathbb{A}^2)(\mathbb{R}); \mathbb{F}_2) \) is a direct sum of two copies of \( \mathbb{F}_2[\theta]/(\theta^{r-1}) \). This contradicts the surjectivity of \( \tilde{\phi}^* \) in this degree. \( \square \)

7.2. Algebras over fields containing a square root of \(-1\).

Remark 7.10. When the field \( k \) contains a square root \( i \) of \(-1\), the analogous construction to that of Chase exhibits markedly different behaviour. For simplicity, suppose \( r \) is an even integer. Consider the ring

\[ S' = \frac{k[z_1, \ldots, z_r]}{(\sum_{i=1}^{r} z_i^2 - 1)} \]

with the action of \( C_2 \) given by \( z_i \mapsto -z_i \). Let \( R' = (S')^C_2 \). After making the change of variables \( x_j = z_{2j-1} + i z_{2j} \) and \( y_j = z_{2j-1} - i z_{2j} \), we see that \( S' \) is isomorphic to

\[ k[x_1, \ldots, x_{r/2}, y_1, \ldots, y_{r/2}] / (\sum_{j=1}^{r/2} x_j y_j - 1) \]

and \( R' \) is isomorphic to the subring consisting of terms of even degree. The smallest \( R' \)-subalgebra of \( S' \) containing the \( r/2 \)-terms \( x_1, \ldots, x_{r/2} \) contains each of the \( y_j \) because of the relation

\[ y_j = \sum_{i=1}^{r/2} x_i (y_i y_j) \]

so \( S' \) may be generated over \( R' \) by \( r/2 \) elements. In fact, \( R' \) is the coordinate ring of \( DQ_{r-1} \), by Lemma 5.3. In Proposition 7.13 below, we show that \( S' \) cannot be generated by fewer than \( r/2 \) elements over \( R' \).

One may reasonably ask therefore, over a field \( k \) containing a square root of \(-1\):
Question 7.11. For a given dimension \( d \), is there a smooth \( d \)-dimensional affine variety Spec \( R \) and an étale algebra \( A \) over Spec \( R \) such that \( A \) cannot be generated by fewer than \( d+1 \) elements?

The result of [2] implies that if \( d+1 \) is increased, then the answer is negative.

Remark 7.12. If \( d = 1 \), the answer to the question is positive. An example can be produced using any smooth affine curve \( Y \) for which \( 2 \text{Pic}(Y) \neq 0 \). Specifically, one may take a smooth elliptic curve and discard a point to produce such a \( Y \). A nontrivial 2-torsion line bundle \( L \) on \( Y \) cannot be generated by 1 section, since it is not trivial. One may also choose a trivialization \( \phi : L \otimes L \to \mathcal{O} \), and therefore endow \( L \otimes \mathcal{O} \) with the structure of a quadratic étale algebra, as in Construction 6.2, and this algebra also cannot be generated by 1 element.

Proposition 7.13. Let \( k \) be a field containing a square root \( i \) of \(-1\). Let \( T \) denote the ring

\[
T = \frac{k[x_1, \ldots, x_r, y_1, \ldots, y_r]}{(\sum_{i=1}^r x_i y_i - 1)}
\]

endowed with the \( C_2 \) action given by \( x_i \mapsto -x_i \) and \( y_i \mapsto -y_i \). Let \( R = T^{C_2} \). Then the quadratic étale algebra \( T \) over \( R \) can be generated by the \( r \) elements \( x_1, \ldots, x_r \), but cannot be generated by fewer than \( r \) elements.

Proof. The ring \( R \) is the coordinate ring of the variety \( DQ_{2r-1} \) in Lemma 5.5. In particular, there is an \( \mathbb{A}^1 \)-equivalence \( \phi : DQ_{2r-1} \to B(r; \mathbb{A}^2) \), as in equation 3. Tracing through this composite, one sees it classifies the quadratic étale algebra generated by \( x_1, \ldots, x_r \), i.e., \( T \) itself—the argument being as given for \( DQ_{r-1} \) in Remark 7.10.

Suppose for the sake of contradiction that \( T \) can be generated by \( r-1 \) elements over \( R \). Let \( \phi' : DQ_{2r-1} \to B(r-1; \mathbb{A}^2) \) be a classifying map for some such \( r-1 \)-tuple of generators. Let \( \hat{\phi} \) and \( \hat{\phi}' \) denote the composite maps \( DQ_{2r-1} \to B(2r-1; \mathbb{A}^2) \). By Corollary 4.4, these maps induce the same map on Chow groups. But in degree \( r-1 \), the map \( \hat{\phi}^* : \text{CH}^{-1}(B(2r-1; \mathbb{A}^2)) \to \text{CH}^{-1}(B(r; \mathbb{A}^2)) \to \text{CH}(DQ_{2r-1}) \) is an isomorphism of cyclic groups of order 2, by reference to Proposition 5.4 while by the same proposition, \( (\hat{\phi}')^* : \text{CH}^{-1}(B(2r-1; \mathbb{A}^2)) \to \text{CH}^{-1}(B(r-1; \mathbb{A}^2)) \to \text{CH}(DQ_{2r-1}) \) is 0.

The following shows that the bound of [2] is not quite sharp when applied to quadratic étale algebras over smooth \( \bar{k} \)-algebras where \( \bar{k} \) is an algebraically closed field.

Proposition 7.14. Let \( \bar{k} \) be an algebraically closed field. Let \( n \geq 2 \), and Spec \( R \) an \( n \)-dimensional smooth affine \( \bar{k} \)-variety. If \( A \) is a quadratic étale algebra on Spec \( R \), then \( A \) may be generated by \( n \) global sections.

Proof. Let \( L \) be a torsion line bundle on Spec \( R \), or, equivalently, a rank-1 projective module on \( R \). A result of Murthy’s, [11 Corollary 3.16], implies that \( L \) may be generated by \( n \) elements if and only if \( c_1(L)^n = 0 \). By another result of Murthy’s, [11 Theorem 2.14], the group \( \text{CH}^n(R) \) is torsion free, so it follows that if \( L \) is a 2-torsion line bundle, then \( L \) can be generated by \( n \) elements. The proposition follows by Proposition 6.3.
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