arXiv:1902.08400v3 [quant-ph] 5 Oct 2020

Effects of entanglement on vortex dynamics in the hydrodynamic representation of quantum mechanics

Satoya Imai[1,2]

[1]Naturwissenschaftlich-Technische Fakultät, Universität Siegen, Walter-Flex-Str. 3, D-57068 Siegen, Germany
[2]Department of Physical Engineering, Mie University, Mie 514-8507, Japan

March 3, 2022

Abstract. The hydrodynamic representation of quantum mechanics describes virtual flow as if a quantum system were fluid in motion. This formulation illustrates pointlike vortices when the phase of a wavefunction becomes nonintegrable at nodal points. We study the dynamics of such pointlike vortices in the hydrodynamic representation for a two-particle wavefunction. In particular, we discuss how quantum entanglement influences vortex-vortex dynamics. For this purpose, we employ the time-dependent quantum variational principle combined with the Rayleigh-Ritz method. We analyze the vortex dynamics and establish connections with Dirac's generalized Hamiltonian formalism.

1. Introduction

There is a mathematical analogy between hydrodynamics and quantum mechanics. The analogy virtually represents a quantum state as if it were in fluid dynamics. The hydrodynamic representation of quantum mechanics was initially proposed by Madelung in 1926 [1]. Historically, these works have played a fundamental role in quantum theory and continuously fascinated the minds of physicists [2–9].

In recent years, many works have discussed these subjects from several viewpoints: quantum equilibrium hypothesis [10, 11], initial-value problem [12], decomposition of the non-relativistic field velocity [13], Fisher information [14, 15], quantum wave packet [16–18], solitary waves and nonlinear Schrödinger equation [19–21], non-Abelian fluid [22,23], vortex dynamics in quantum trajectories [24–26], convective diffusion in complex hydrodynamics [27], symmetries and conservation laws using the Noether's theorem [28], quantum teleportation [29], the Klein-Gordon-Einstein equations in a weakly relativistic regime [30], the Navier-Stokes equation for viscous fluid [31], thermo-hydrodynamic like description with Fisher information [32,33], geometric structures of quantum theory [34], propagation equation for particle probability densities [35], analytic self-similar solutions for Madelung's representation [36], turbulent hydrodynamics in the Reynolds equation [37], and Finslerian geometrization of quantum mechanics [38].

The Madelung hydrodynamic representation comes from the time-dependent Schrödinger equation in terms of amplitude and phase of a wavefunction. The phase factor is known to violate its integrability at nodal points, where the amplitude vanishes. Such a nonintegrable phase can induce pointlike vortices on two-dimensional space. Here, it is desirable to develop the hydrodynamic representation with nonintegrable phases by analogy with vortex dynamics in classical two-dimensional flow [39].

In this paper, we study quantum vortex dynamics in the hydrodynamic representation for a two-particle wavefunction with nonintegrable phases. In particular, our motivation is to relate vortex dynamics with entanglement, a type of non-local quantum correlation. We purpose to explore exciting aspects of entanglement within the hydrodynamical framework. Our idea is to introduce an ansatz for a two-particle entangled wavefunction that expresses pairs of clockwise and anticlockwise pointlike vortices.

On the other hand, the wavefunction ansatz cannot be an exact solution of the Schrödinger equation describing such a vortex system. To deal with this issue, we employ the time-dependent variational principle developed by Dirac [40, 41] combined with the Rayleigh-Ritz method. This method enables us to treat pointlike vortices as collective coordinates and to obtain the equations of motion for vortex coordinates approximately.

Here, we address the non-trivial question of how entanglement influences the approximate vortex-vortex dynamics. Our results go beyond straightforward analogies with classical hydrodynamics, at least in two respects. First, we show that the presence of entanglement can generate highly complicated nonlinear vortex dynamics, unlike sim-

ple linear dynamics in the absence. Second, we find that stronger entanglement can yield faster dynamics in vortex systems. For simplicity, we will consider some restrictions on vortex coordinates. Also, we provide a quantitative analysis of entanglement in vortex systems. Moreover, we establish connections with Dirac's generalized Hamiltonian formalism [42]. These results may shed further light on the fundamental link between entanglement and quantum-mechanical hydrodynamics.

2. Hydrodynamic representation of quantum mechanics

In this section, we describe the hydrodynamic representation of quantum mechanics. We begin by considering the polar form of a wavefunction in position representation:

$$\Psi(\boldsymbol{r},t)=\sqrt{\rho}(\boldsymbol{r},t)\mathrm{e}^{iS(\boldsymbol{r},t)/\hbar}, \tag{1}$$

where $\boldsymbol{r}=(x,y,z)$. The amplitude $\rho(\boldsymbol{r},t)$ is defined by

$$\rho(\boldsymbol{r},t)=|\Psi(\boldsymbol{r},t)|^2, \tag{2}$$

and satisfies the normalization condition. The phase $S(\boldsymbol{r},t)$ is defined by

$$S(\boldsymbol{r},t)=\frac{\hbar}{2i}\log\left(\frac{\Psi(\boldsymbol{r},t)}{\Psi^*(\boldsymbol{r},t)}\right), \tag{3}$$

and has the same dimension as the action. The wavefunction (1) satisfies the time-dependent Schrödinger equation in position representation

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\Psi(\boldsymbol{r},t)}{\partial t}=-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2\Psi(\boldsymbol{r},t)+U(\boldsymbol{r},t)\Psi(\boldsymbol{r},t), \tag{4}$$

where $\nabla=(\partial/\partial x,\partial/\partial y,\partial/\partial z)$ and $U(\boldsymbol{r},t)$ is a time-dependent trapping potential. Henceforth, we consider units where $\hbar=m=1$. Substituting the wavefunction (1) into the Schrödinger equation (4), we have

$$\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t}+\nabla\cdot(\rho\boldsymbol{u})=0, \tag{5}$$

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t}=-(K+Q+U), \tag{6}$$

where $\boldsymbol{u}\equiv\nabla S$, $K\equiv\boldsymbol{u}^2/2$, and $Q\equiv-\nabla^2\sqrt{\rho}/(2\sqrt{\rho})$ are respectively called the velocity vector field, the kinetic energy, and the quantum potential [2]. From the definition (3), $\boldsymbol{u}$ is also written as

$$\boldsymbol{u}=\nabla\left[\frac{1}{2i}\log\left(\frac{\Psi}{\Psi^*}\right)\right]. \tag{7}$$

Eq. (5) describes the conservation law for probability in quantum mechanics, whereas Eq. (6) is known as the quantum Hamilton-Jacobi equation (see [3]). Taking the gradient of Eq. (6), we find the following nonlinear equation:

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}}{\partial t} + (\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla)\boldsymbol{u} = -\nabla(Q + U), \tag{8}$$

where we use that $(\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla)\boldsymbol{u} = \nabla K + (\nabla \times \boldsymbol{u}) \times \boldsymbol{u}$ and $\nabla \times \boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{0}$. Eqs. (5) and (8), respectively, correspond to the mass conservation law and the Euler equation in classical hydrodynamics. Both equations draw the analogy between hydrodynamics and quantum mechanics.

The hydrodynamic representation of quantum mechanics is interesting for at least four reasons. First, it is strictly based on the position representation of the quantum state: $\Psi(\boldsymbol{r}, t) = \langle \boldsymbol{r} \,|\, \Psi(t) \rangle$. That is, even if considering the momentum representation, one cannot obtain Eqs. (5) and (8). Second, the hydrodynamic representation is mathematically equivalent to the time-dependent Schrödinger equation. This fact implies that there is no dissipative term violating its time-reversal invariance. Third, the hydrodynamic representation illustrates the virtual flow describing the probability current in the position representation as if the isolated quantum system were fluid in motion.

Finally, the flow of the velocity vector field is irrotational, that is, the vorticity naively vanishes:

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\omega} &\equiv \nabla \times \boldsymbol{u} \\ &= \nabla \times \nabla S \\ &= \boldsymbol{0}. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

However, this is not the case when the phase becomes nonintegrable. Such situations arise at the position where the amplitude vanishes: $\rho = 0$. These ideas were initially considered by Dirac in his theory of magnetic monopoles [43, 44].

3. Wavefunction with nonintegrable phase

In this section, we consider the hydrodynamic representation for a single-particle wavefunction with a nonintegrable phase. It is well known that the phase becomes nonintegrable at the position where the amplitude vanishes. In particular in two dimensions, the position is known as the nodal point, which can be regarded as a pointlike vortex. In this paper, we attempt to provide a new theoretical formulation for the dynamics of such pointlike vortices. However, only using the polar form of the wavefunction is insufficient to give the formulation. To cope with this situation, we propose a wavefunction with time-dependent nodal points. Such a wavefunction will promise to be suitable to describe the pointlike vortex moving on two-dimensional space.

Let us write a normalized wavefunction in the xy-plane

$$\psi(\boldsymbol{r},t) = A\{x - X(t) + i\epsilon[y - Y(t)]\}, \tag{10}$$

where $\boldsymbol{r} = (x, y)$, $\epsilon = \pm 1$, and A is assumed to be a normalization factor. The amplitude of this wavefunction is given by

$$|\psi(\boldsymbol{r},t)|^2 = A^2\{[x - X(t)]^2 + [y - Y(t)]^2\}. \tag{11}$$

Then it vanishes if $\boldsymbol{r} = \boldsymbol{X}(t) = (X(t), Y(t))$, which is one nodal point.

Now, the concept of a pointlike vortex induced by the nonintegrable phase can be introduced into the hydrodynamic representation:

$$[\nabla \times \boldsymbol{u}]_z = 2\epsilon\pi\delta^2(\boldsymbol{r} - \boldsymbol{X}(t)). \tag{12}$$

Here, $\epsilon = \pm 1$ denotes the sign of the pointlike vortex, where $\epsilon = -1$ $(+1)$ expresses clockwise (anticlockwise). Eq. (12) means that the pointlike vortex appears at the nodal point $\boldsymbol{r} = \boldsymbol{X}(t)$ in the direction of the virtual z-axis perpendicular to the xy-plane. The pointlike vortex can move on the xy-plane in time but becomes at rest if $\boldsymbol{X}(t) = \boldsymbol{0}$.

4. Variational approach

In this section, we discuss how to describe the vortex dynamics in the hydrodynamic representation. First, we present an ansatz for a two-particle entangled wavefunction with nonintegrable phases. This ansatz expresses clockwise and anticlockwise pointlike vortex pairs. Next, we provide a powerful approximation tool for the time-dependent variational approach. This tool enables us to obtain the equations of motion for vortex coordinates. Finally, from more general perspectives of the variational approach, we consider other trial wavefunctions beyond the current ansatz.

4.1. Ansatz

Let us introduce an ansatz for a two-particle entangled wavefunction

$$\Phi_{\text{ansatz}}(\boldsymbol{r}_1, \boldsymbol{r}_2, t) = N\left[\lambda\psi_1 \otimes \phi_1 + (1-\lambda)\psi_2 \otimes \phi_2\right], \tag{13}$$

where

$$\psi_1(\boldsymbol{r}_1,t) = \{x_1 - X_1(t) + i\epsilon_1[y_1 - Y_1(t)]\}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha(x_1^2+y_1^2)/2}, \tag{14}$$

$$\psi_2(\boldsymbol{r}_1,t) = \{x_1 - X_1(t) + i\epsilon_2[y_1 - Y_1(t)]\}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha(x_1^2+y_1^2)/2}, \tag{15}$$

$$\phi_1(\boldsymbol{r}_2,t) = \{x_2 - X_2(t) + i\gamma_1[y_2 - Y_2(t)]\}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha(x_2^2+y_2^2)/2}, \tag{16}$$

$$\phi_2(\boldsymbol{r}_2,t) = \{x_2 - X_2(t) + i\gamma_2[y_2 - Y_2(t)]\}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha(x_2^2+y_2^2)/2}. \tag{17}$$

The wavefunction $\Phi_{\rm ansatz}$ defined on Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_\psi \otimes \mathcal{H}_\phi$ is entangled if $\lambda \neq 0$. Each of unnormalized wavefunctions, ψ_i, ϕ_i, defined on local Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}_\psi$, $\mathcal{H}_\phi$ is written in the similar form of the wavefunction (10). In these expressions, N is the normalization factor that will be given below, and

$$0 \leq \lambda < \frac{1}{2} \tag{18}$$

is the entanglement parameter, which we will later explain why λ does not become $1/2$. In addition, ϵ_i, $\gamma_i = \pm 1$ denote the signs of the vortices. To distinguish two wavefunctions, ψ_1, ψ_2 (or ϕ_1, ϕ_2), we impose

$$\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 = \gamma_1 \gamma_2 = -1. \tag{19}$$

This condition implies that the rotational directions between two vortices are opposite. Therefore, ψ_1, ψ_2 (or ϕ_1, ϕ_2) are mutually identified by the different vortex signs. Moreover, to deal with the regularization of divergent integrals, we introduce a positive real constant factor of α in the Gaussian. This procedure makes the wavefunction normalizable. The key idea in this paper is the ansatz (13) that is the two-particle entangled wavefunction with nonintegrable phases describing clockwise and anticlockwise pointlike vortex pairs. Intuitively, this entanglement in vortex systems may look like two-particle entanglement in spin $1/2$ systems, in the sense that clockwise and anticlockwise pointlike vortices can be analogous with quantum spin up and down.

Using the normalization condition

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d^2\boldsymbol{r}_1\, d^2\boldsymbol{r}_2\, |\Phi_{\rm ansatz}(\boldsymbol{r}_1, \boldsymbol{r}_2, t)|^2 = 1, \tag{20}$$

we obtain

$$N = \frac{\alpha/\pi}{\sqrt{\Lambda\left(\frac{1}{\alpha} + X_1^2 + Y_1^2\right)\left(\frac{1}{\alpha} + X_2^2 + Y_2^2\right) + \Upsilon\left[(X_1^2 - Y_1^2)(X_2^2 - Y_2^2) + \mu X_1 Y_1 X_2 Y_2\right]}}, \tag{21}$$

where

$$\Lambda = \lambda^2 + (1-\lambda)^2, \tag{22}$$

$$\Upsilon = 2\lambda(1-\lambda), \tag{23}$$

$$\mu = -(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2)(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2) = \pm 4. \tag{24}$$

The normalization factor N is not a constant because each of the vortex variables, X_i, Y_i, depends on time.

Note that there is no unique ansatz for a wavefunction describing a vortex system.

As long as the amplitude vanishes at nodal points, the ansatz would be proposed. Also, some restrictions on three-dimensional space would be considered. On the other hand, we desire to discuss new findings without handling such complicated situations. To this end, we require a simple ansatz that can be used for analytical calculations.

The wavefunction ansatz (13) is a type of non-Gaussian entangled states in continuous-variable systems. Non-Gaussian entangled states have recently been required for many quantum information processing tasks, e.g., universal quantum computation [45], teleportation [46], and violations of Bell inequalities [47, 48]. Such non-Gaussian states can be expected to possess new quantum-mechanical powers beyond Gaussian states. In this sense, non-Gaussianity can be considered as a physical resource [49, 50]. In particular, the ansatz (13) may seem to have a form similar to a special case of the well-known Laguerre-Gaussian wavefunctions that have been experimentally realized and demonstrates EPR steering [51]. In addition, in subsection 5.3, we will show that the von Neumann entanglement entropy of the ansatz does not vanish. This signature of entanglement can imply violations of inequalities from the assumptions of locality and realism. On the other hand, it would be challenging to develop our framework from these perspectives of non-Gaussianity and non-locality. This is because the Madelung hydrodynamic representation depends only on the position representation and describes the virtual flow as an ideal physics.

4.2. Time-dependent variational approach

Here, we describe a theoretical tool for developing vortex dynamics based on the ansatz. We stress that the ansatz cannot be an exact solution of the two-body time-dependent Schrödinger equation. Nevertheless, we hope that the ansatz is a clear approximate solution that adequately represents the quantum model of the vortex system. To address this situation, we employ the time-dependent variational principle for quantum mechanics developed by Dirac [40] (see [41]). This method enables us to propose the ansatz as a trial wavefunction and to obtain an approximate solution.

Let us begin by writing the action

$$I = \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt\, L, \tag{25}$$

where t_f, t_i are respectively the final and initial times. In the present case, the Lagrangian is given by

$$L = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d^2\boldsymbol{r}_1\, d^2\boldsymbol{r}_2\, \Psi^*(\boldsymbol{r}_1, \boldsymbol{r}_2, t) \left(i\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - H \right) \Psi(\boldsymbol{r}_1, \boldsymbol{r}_2, t), \tag{26}$$

where $H = -{\nabla_1}^2/2 - {\nabla_2}^2/2 + U(\boldsymbol{r}_1, \boldsymbol{r}_2, t)$, $U(\boldsymbol{r}_1, \boldsymbol{r}_2, t)$ is a time-dependent trapping

potential, and $\nabla_i = (\partial/\partial x_i, \partial/\partial y_i)$, $i = 1, 2$. It is well known that the time-dependent variational principle for the Schrödinger equation automatically satisfies the normalization constraint and the time boundary conditions. This is because we here do not consider the conditions.

Let us employ the variational principle combined with the Rayleigh-Ritz method. We adopt the wavefunction (13) with the normalization factor (21) as a trial wavefunction. Substituting it into the Lagrangian, and performing the integral with respect to $\boldsymbol{r}_1$, $\boldsymbol{r}_2$ in position space, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} L =& \frac{E\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}+X_2^2+Y_2^2\right)(\dot{X}_1Y_1-X_1\dot{Y}_1)+\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}+X_1^2+Y_1^2\right)(\dot{X}_2Y_2-X_2\dot{Y}_2)}{\Lambda\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}+X_1^2+Y_1^2\right)\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}+X_2^2+Y_2^2\right)+\Upsilon\left[(X_1^2-Y_1^2)(X_2^2-Y_2^2)+\mu X_1Y_1X_2Y_2\right]} \\ & -\frac{\Lambda}{2}\frac{\frac{2}{\alpha}+X_1^2+Y_1^2+X_2^2+Y_2^2}{\Lambda\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}+X_1^2+Y_1^2\right)\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}+X_2^2+Y_2^2\right)+\Upsilon\left[(X_1^2-Y_1^2)(X_2^2-Y_2^2)+\mu X_1Y_1X_2Y_2\right]} \\ & -\bar{U}(X_1,Y_1,X_2,Y_2,t), \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

where an irrelevant additive constant has not been included, and the over-dot denotes the time derivative. In this expression, we define

$$\bar{U}(X_1,Y_1,X_2,Y_2,t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d^2\boldsymbol{r}_1\, d^2\boldsymbol{r}_2\; \Phi^*_{\rm ansatz}(\boldsymbol{r}_1,\boldsymbol{r}_2,t)U(\boldsymbol{r}_1,\boldsymbol{r}_2,t)\Phi_{\rm ansatz}(\boldsymbol{r}_1,\boldsymbol{r}_2,t), \tag{28}$$

$$E = \lambda^2\epsilon_1 + (1-\lambda)^2\epsilon_2, \tag{29}$$

$$\Gamma = \lambda^2\gamma_1 + (1-\lambda)^2\gamma_2. \tag{30}$$

This time-dependent variational approach derives the reduced Lagrangian for the vortex variables, $L(X_1, Y_1, X_2, Y_2, \dot{X}_1, \dot{Y}_1, \dot{X}_2, \dot{Y}_2)$, from the Lagrangian (26), as an effective description. The advantage of this approach is that by performing the integral in position space, we can focus only on the degrees of freedom related to the vortex system and eliminate the other degrees of freedom. To take this advantage, we can treat pointlike vortices as collective coordinates. Then we can turn the vortex coordinates into the generalized coordinates in the phase space.

It is convenient to assume that the vortex dynamics can be localized to a time-dependent trapping potential. To do so, we assume that $\bar{U}$ is approximated to a constant and therefore can be ignored. In addition, we require that the value of α be large so that the Gaussian wave packet does not spread.

4.3. Other trial wavefunctions

Here, apart from two-particle systems, we discuss the time-dependent variational approach based on other trial wavefunctions. Although this subsection is not the main part of this paper, we hope that such a discussion will be helpful for further theoretical

studies using variational methods.

First, let us write the following ansatz for a single-particle wavefunction with nonintegrable phases in the xy-plane:

$$\phi_n(\boldsymbol{r},t) = N\{x - X_1(t) + i\epsilon_1[y - Y_1(t)]\}^{k_1} \times \cdots \times \{x - X_n(t) + i\epsilon_n[y - Y_n(t)]\}^{k_n} \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha(x^2+y^2)/2}, \tag{31}$$

where $\boldsymbol{r} = (x, y)$, $\epsilon_i = \pm 1$ denote the signs of the vortices, α is a positive real constant, and N is a normalization factor. The amplitude of this wavefunction vanishes at $\boldsymbol{r} = \boldsymbol{X}_i(t) = (X_i(t), Y_i(t))$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Then this single-particle wavefunction has the n nodal points, which can induce the n pointlike vortices:

$$[\nabla \times \boldsymbol{u}]_z = 2\pi k_1 \epsilon_1 \delta^2(\boldsymbol{r} - \boldsymbol{X}_1(t)) + \cdots + 2\pi k_n \epsilon_n \delta^2(\boldsymbol{r} - \boldsymbol{X}_n(t)). \tag{32}$$

Here, the natural numbers k_i express the strength of the pointlike vortices. The constants $k_i\epsilon_i$ are referred to as the vortex charges.

In principle, the time-dependent variational approach allows us to derive the reduced Lagrangian with these vortex variables from the substitution of the wavefunction ansatz into the Lagrangian given by

$$L = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d^2\boldsymbol{r}\ \phi_n^*(\boldsymbol{r},t) \left(i\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - H \right) \phi_n(\boldsymbol{r},t), \tag{33}$$

where $H = -\nabla^2/2 + U(\boldsymbol{r},t)$. On the other hand, to calculate the integral in two-dimensional space and to obtain straightforward analytical expressions, we would require fewer parameters. For instance, let us consider that $k_1 = k$, $k_2 = 1$, $k_{i\neq 1,2} = 0$, $\boldsymbol{X}_1(t) = \boldsymbol{0}$, and $\boldsymbol{X}_2(t) = \boldsymbol{X}(t)$. In this setting, the single-particle wavefunction ansatz has the two nodal points, where one is at rest, and the other can move in time. Since the normalization factor N is now calculated by

$$N = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha^{k+2}/(\pi k!)}{k + 1 + \alpha\,(X^2 + Y^2)}}, \tag{34}$$

we have the reduced Lagrangian

$$L = \frac{\epsilon_2 \alpha(\dot{X}Y - X\dot{Y})}{k + 1 + \alpha(X^2 + Y^2)} - \frac{c\alpha}{k + 1 + \alpha(X^2 + Y^2)}, \tag{35}$$

where an irrelevant additive constant has not been included, $c = \epsilon_1\epsilon_2 k - (k+1)/2$ is a constant, and we assume that the time-dependent trapping potential is approximated to a constant.

Next, let us extend two-particle systems with pointlike vortices to three-particle systems. In particular, we are interested in a genuine three-particle entangled wavefunction,

which is neither fully separable nor biseparable (see [52]). As examples of such states, we can introduce the GHZ-like state and W-like state

$$\psi_{\rm GHZ} = N\left\{\lambda_1\psi_+^{\otimes 3} + \lambda_2\psi_-^{\otimes 3}\right\}, \tag{36}$$

$$\psi_{\rm W} = N\left\{\lambda_1\psi_+ \otimes \psi_-^{\otimes 2} + \lambda_2\psi_- \otimes \psi_+ \otimes \psi_- + \lambda_3\psi_-^{\otimes 2} \otimes \psi_+\right\}, \tag{37}$$

where λ_i are positive entanglement parameters satisfying $\sum_i \lambda_i = 1$, N is a normalization factor, and unnormalized wavefunctions, ψ_+ and ψ_-, are given by

$$\psi_+(\boldsymbol{r}_i, t) = \{x_i - X_i(t) + i[y_i - Y_i(t)]\}\, {\rm e}^{-\alpha(x_i^2+y_i^2)/2}, \tag{38}$$

$$\psi_-(\boldsymbol{r}_i, t) = \{x_i - X_i(t) - i[y_i - Y_i(t)]\}\, {\rm e}^{-\alpha(x_i^2+y_i^2)/2}. \tag{39}$$

By using the variational approach with these trial wavefunctions, one can study the dynamics of three pointlike vortices. It would be interesting to analyze the physical differences in three-particle entanglement effects on the two types of vortex dynamics and to compare them with the two-particle case. Furthermore, one can generalize to genuine multi-particle entangled states in vortex systems.

Finally, let us give a general explanation of the time-dependent quamtum variational approach. The variational method is an approximate way to solve the time-dependent Schrödinger equation. Rather than considering the exact analytical solution, one offers a so-called trial wavefunction, which would be the most appropriate solution for the variations. Such a trial wavefunction essentially represents a physical model and contains several parameters. The task is to compute the best approximation of the analytical solution for these parameters. The main benefit of this variational approach is that it can be applied to any form of Hamiltonian. For this purpose, it is necessary to choose the trial wavefunction that has a computationally convenient and physically acceptable form.

A typical example is the Gaussian trial wavefunction

$$\Psi_G(x,t) = N\exp\left\{-\frac{[x - X(t)]^2}{2\left[\Sigma^2(t) + iG(t)\right]} + iP(t)\left[x - X(t)\right]\right\}, \tag{40}$$

where N is a normalization factor, and $X(t)$, $P(t)$, $\Sigma(t)$, and $G(t)$ are the time-dependent coordinate variables in the one-dimensional position space. This function is called the Gaussian ansatz for the wavefunction. The first and second terms in the exponent respectively describe localization and propagation of the plane wave. Since this trial wavefunction has the quadratic form, one can straightforwardly perform the analytical calculation. We remark that the Gaussian ansatz for the density matrix is known to be used for the variational principle for the Liouville-von Neumann equation [53].

Let us consider the case of the harmonic oscillator. Then the Gaussian ansatz becomes the exact solution of the time-dependent Schrödinger equation. Here, solving

the Schrödinger equation means knowing how the time-dependent coordinate variables evolve in time. One way to know that is to directly substitute the Gaussian ansatz into the time-dependent Schrödinger equation. This way leads to the equations for the coordinate variables. Another way is to apply the Gaussian ansatz as a trial function to the time-dependent variational approach. This way derives the reduced Lagrangian with coordinate variables from the Lagrangian given by

$$L = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx\ \Psi_G^*(x,t)\left(i\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{1}{2}x^2\right)\Psi_G(x,t). \tag{41}$$

Then, from the Euler-Lagrange equations of the reduced Lagrangian, one can know the time evolution of coordinate variables. Either way, the same solution can be obtained.

However, if a given Hamiltonian contains an anharmonic term, for example, x^4, the situation changes. This is because there is no exact solution to the Schrödinger equation. In this case, the first way cannot be used, but the second way (the variational approach) can be used; by applying the Gaussian ansatz to the time-dependent variational approach, one can likewise have the reduced Lagrangian and find its approximate solution from the Euler-Lagrange equations.

5. Entanglement and vortex dynamics

In this section, we study how entanglement influences the approximate vortex-vortex dynamics. We focus on the terms Λ, E, Γ, and Υ that can be characterized by the entanglement parameter λ. Our findings highlight aspects of entanglement effects.

5.1. λ is equal to $1/2$

Let us see a relation between the entanglement parameter and the kinetic term in the reduced Lagrangian (27). If $\lambda = 1/2$ [i.e., E, $\Gamma = 0$], then the kinetic terms naively vanish. Now, a question arises: what this vanishing means physically? Making a discussion about this particular quantum case is essential for at least two implications.

The first point is from the time-dependent variational principle for the Schrödinger equation. We begin by writing the complex conjugate of the wavefunction (13):

$$\Phi_{\text{ansatz}}^* = N\left[\lambda\psi_1^* \otimes \phi_1^* + (1-\lambda)\psi_2^* \otimes \phi_2^*\right]. \tag{42}$$

Notice that the condition (19), which is $\epsilon_1\epsilon_2 = \gamma_1\gamma_2 = -1$, leads to the fact that $\psi_1 = \psi_2^*$ and $\phi_1 = \phi_2^*$. The complex conjugate is thus rewritten as

$$\Phi_{\text{ansatz}}^* = N\left[\lambda\psi_2 \otimes \phi_2 + (1-\lambda)\psi_1 \otimes \phi_1\right]. \tag{43}$$

If $\lambda = 1/2$, the complex conjugate is equal to the wavefunction (13):

$$\Phi^*_{\rm ansatz} = \Phi_{\rm ansatz}. \tag{44}$$

In the scheme of the time-dependent variational principle for the Schrödinger equation, a wavefunction and its complex conjugate must be independent of each other. In order to distinguish both functions, the wavefunction must be a complex value. If the wavefunction is a real value, the time-dependent variational principle does not work correctly. Thus Eq. (44) tells us that the ansatz (13) will be inappropriate for a trial wavefunction if $\lambda = 1/2$. This is why we avoided $\lambda = 1/2$ in the previous section.

The second point is from the quantification of pure two-particle entanglement. As a measure of entanglement, consider the von Neumann entropy of partial systems. We will later show that the von Neumann entanglement entropy of the ansatz has the largest value at $\lambda = 1/2$. Hence, we can conclude that the time-dependent variational principle for the Schrödinger equation is not available when quantum states in vortex systems are mostly entangled.

5.2. Nonlinear effects

Let us discuss the effects of entanglement on the vortex dynamics. In the first place, the terminology of vortex dynamics here means the equations of motion for the vortex coordinates X_1, Y_1, X_2, and Y_2. The equations of motion are obtained by using the Euler-Lagrange equations calculated from the reduced Lagrangian. Indeed, if the wavefunction ansatz (13) is entangled [i.e., $\lambda \neq 0$], we can find that the Euler-Lagrange equations become highly complicated nonlinear. On the other hand, if the ansatz is not entangled [i.e., $\lambda = 0$], the Euler-Lagrange equations turn out to be simply linear, especially to behave like a harmonic oscillator. Hence, we can naturally indicate that entanglement can generate nonlinear effects on vortex dynamics.

Another way to understand such nonlinear effects is to use the Hamiltonian formalism. With the help of the Legendre transformation, the reduced Hamiltonian for the vortex coordinates is written as

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \dot{X}_1 p_{X_1} + \dot{Y}_1 p_{Y_1} + \dot{X}_2 p_{X_2} + \dot{Y}_2 p_{Y_2} - L \\ &= \frac{\Lambda}{2} \frac{\frac{2}{\alpha} + X_1^2 + Y_1^2 + X_2^2 + Y_2^2}{\Lambda \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} + X_1^2 + Y_1^2\right)\left(\frac{1}{\alpha} + X_2^2 + Y_2^2\right) + \Upsilon \left[\left(X_1^2 - Y_1^2\right)\left(X_2^2 - Y_2^2\right) + \mu X_1 Y_1 X_2 Y_2\right]}, \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

where p_{X_1}, p_{Y_1}, p_{X_2}, and p_{Y_2} are the canonical momenta. Setting the angular momenta as $s_1 = X_1 p_{Y_1} - Y_1 p_{X_1}$ and $s_2 = X_2 p_{Y_2} - Y_2 p_{X_2}$, we have

$$H = \frac{\alpha\Lambda}{2}\left(E s_1 + \Gamma s_2 - 2 g s_1 s_2\right), \tag{46}$$

where g is a position-dependent coupling coefficient defined by

$$g(X_1, Y_1, X_2, Y_2, \lambda) = -\frac{\Lambda\left(\frac{1}{\alpha} + X_1^2 + Y_1^2\right)\left(\frac{1}{\alpha} + X_2^2 + Y_2^2\right) + \Upsilon\left[\left(X_1^2 - Y_1^2\right)\left(X_2^2 - Y_2^2\right) + \mu X_1 Y_1 X_2 Y_2\right]}{E\Gamma\left(X_1^2 + Y_1^2\right)\left(X_2^2 + Y_2^2\right)}. \quad (47)$$

The angular momenta s_1 and s_2 are the canonical variables. They can be respectively conjugate to the phases $\theta_1 = \tan^{-1}(Y_1/X_1)$ and $\theta_2 = \tan^{-1}(Y_2/X_2)$. It follows that the transformation to the angular momenta is the canonical transformation, not a simple change of variables. More specifically, there is a function $f(s_1, \theta_1 s_2, \theta_2, \lambda)$ that can be equal to $g(X_1, Y_1, X_2, Y_2, \lambda)$, although it cannot be explicitly represented.

The reduced Hamiltonian (46) is in analogy with the Hamiltonian in quantum spin models. In particular, when $g < 0$ (or $g > 0$), i.e., $\epsilon_1 = +\gamma_1$ (or $\epsilon_1 = -\gamma_1$), the quantum vortex system looks like to be characterized as the Ferro-coupling (Antiferro-coupling). However, in the absence of entanglement, the coupling term, $-2gs_1s_2$, is ignored because it becomes independent of the vortex coordinates. There, the angular momenta become invariant in time. This result is consistent with the harmonically oscillating vortex dynamics that we previously described. Hence, we can suggest that the presence of the entanglement parameter strongly complicates the vortex dynamics, resulting in the nonlinear effects.

Moreover, let us discuss the vortex dynamics in terms of the difference in the vortex signs. As clearly as possible, we perform the transformation from (X_2, Y_2) to $(-X_1, -Y_1)$. The reduced Lagrangian (27) is thus written as

$$L = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{\alpha} + X^2 + Y^2\right)\left[(E + \Gamma)(\dot{X}Y - X\dot{Y}) - \Lambda\right]}{\Lambda\left(\frac{1}{\alpha} + X^2 + Y^2\right)^2 + \Upsilon\left[\left(X^2 - Y^2\right)^2 + \mu X^2 Y^2\right]}, \quad (48)$$

where we take out the notation of subscript of (X_1, Y_1). Now we focus on a relation between the terms E and Γ, where $E = \lambda^2\epsilon_1 + (1-\lambda)^2\epsilon_2$ and $\Gamma = \lambda^2\gamma_1 + (1-\lambda)^2\gamma_2$. When $E = -\Gamma$ [i.e., $\epsilon_1 = -\gamma_1$], the kinetic term naively vanishes. This vanishing means that the vortex system becomes static. Note here that the wavefunction ansatz (13) remains complex unless $\lambda \to 1/2$, unlike Eq. (44). On the other hand, when $E = +\Gamma$ [i.e., $\epsilon_1 = +\gamma_1$], the vortex system is no longer static, and the Euler-Lagrange equations can be nonlinear. That is, whether the vortex dynamics behaves the nonlinear motion or not can depend on the signs of the vortices. This result also comes from the sort of entanglement effects. We can conclude that the vortex dynamics can be involved with not only the entanglement parameter but also the vortex signs.

5.3. Quantitative analysis of entanglement in vortex systems

Let us study entanglement of the wavefunction ansatz (13) in vortex systems from quantitative viewpoints. For this purpose, we quantify how much the ansatz is entangled by using the von Neumann entropy: for any quantum state ρ,

$$S(\rho) = -\mathrm{tr}\,(\rho \log \rho). \tag{49}$$

The von Neumann entropy formally corresponds to the Shannon entropy in classical information theory, which has a clear operational interpretation. In general, for a pure two-particle state $|\psi\rangle_{AB}$, an entanglement measure $E(\psi_{AB})$ is given by the von Neumann entropy of the reduced density matrices [54, 55]:

$$E(\psi_{AB}) = S(\rho_A) = S(\rho_B), \tag{50}$$

where $\rho_A = \mathrm{tr}_B\,(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|_{AB})$ and $\rho_B = \mathrm{tr}_A\,(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|_{AB})$ are respectively the reduced density matrices on the subsystems. The von Neumann entanglement entropy naturally satisfies the following characteristic properties: (i) vanishing on non-entangled states (ii) invariance under local unitary transformations (iii) additivity (iv) monotonicity under LOCC. Note that the second equality on the formula (50) comes from the triangle inequality showed by Araki and Lieb.

We adapt the von Neumann entanglement entropy as the entanglement measure of the wavefunction ansatz in vortex systems. Let us begin by defining the density matrix of the ansatz

$$\rho_{\mathrm{ansatz}} = |\Phi_{\mathrm{ansatz}}\rangle\langle\Phi_{\mathrm{ansatz}}|\,, \tag{51}$$

where $|\Phi_{\mathrm{ansatz}}\rangle = N\left[\lambda\,|\psi_1\rangle \otimes |\phi_1\rangle + (1-\lambda)\,|\psi_2\rangle \otimes |\phi_2\rangle\right]$ becomes the wavefunction (13) when the position representation is considered. Then we have the reduced density matrix

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_\psi &= \mathrm{tr}_\phi\,(\rho_{\mathrm{ansatz}}) \\ &= N^2\left[a'\lambda^2\,|\psi_1\rangle\langle\psi_1| + a'(1-\lambda)^2\,|\psi_2\rangle\langle\psi_2| + \lambda(1-\lambda)\left(b'\,|\psi_1\rangle\langle\psi_2| + (b')^*\,|\psi_2\rangle\langle\psi_1|\right)\right],\end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

where $a' = a'_1 = a'_2$ and

$$a'_1 = \langle \phi_1 \,|\, \phi_1 \rangle = \frac{\pi}{\alpha}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha} + X_2^2 + Y_2^2\right), \tag{53}$$

$$a'_2 = \langle \phi_2 \,|\, \phi_2 \rangle = \frac{\pi}{\alpha}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha} + X_2^2 + Y_2^2\right), \tag{54}$$

$$b' = \langle \phi_2 \,|\, \phi_1 \rangle = \frac{\pi}{\alpha}\left[X_2^2 - Y_2^2 + i(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)X_2Y_2\right], \tag{55}$$

$$(b')^* = \langle \phi_1 \,|\, \phi_2 \rangle = \frac{\pi}{\alpha}\left[X_2^2 - Y_2^2 - i(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)X_2Y_2\right]. \tag{56}$$

Here we introduce $|e_1\rangle$ and $|e_2\rangle$ as follows:

$$|e_1\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}}\,|\psi_1\rangle\,, \tag{57}$$

$$|e_2\rangle = \frac{\sqrt{a}}{\sqrt{c}}\,|\psi_2\rangle - \frac{b^*}{\sqrt{ac}}\,|\psi_1\rangle\,, \tag{58}$$

where $a = a_1 = a_2$ and

$$a_1 = \langle \psi_1 \,|\, \psi_1 \rangle = \frac{\pi}{\alpha}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha} + X_1^2 + Y_1^2\right), \tag{59}$$

$$a_2 = \langle \psi_2 \,|\, \psi_2 \rangle = \frac{\pi}{\alpha}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha} + X_1^2 + Y_1^2\right), \tag{60}$$

$$b = \langle \psi_2 \,|\, \psi_1 \rangle = \frac{\pi}{\alpha}\left[X_1^2 - Y_1^2 + i(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2)X_1Y_1\right], \tag{61}$$

$$b^* = \langle \psi_1 \,|\, \psi_2 \rangle = \frac{\pi}{\alpha}\left[X_1^2 - Y_1^2 - i(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2)X_1Y_1\right]. \tag{62}$$

Notice that $\langle e_1 \,|\, e_1 \rangle = \langle e_2 \,|\, e_2 \rangle = 1$ and $\langle e_1 \,|\, e_2 \rangle = \langle e_2 \,|\, e_1 \rangle = 0$. The reduced density matrix is thus rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_\psi = N^2 \Bigg\{ & \left[aa'\lambda^2 + \frac{a'|b|^2}{a}(1-\lambda)^2 + (bb' + b^*(b')^*)\lambda(1-\lambda)\right] |e_1\rangle\langle e_1| + \frac{a'c}{a}(1-\lambda)^2\,|e_2\rangle\langle e_2| \\ & + \sqrt{c}\left[\frac{a'b^*}{a}(1-\lambda)^2 + b'\lambda(1-\lambda)\right] |e_1\rangle\langle e_2| + \sqrt{c}\left[\frac{a'b}{a}(1-\lambda)^2 + (b')^*\lambda(1-\lambda)\right] |e_2\rangle\langle e_1| \Bigg\},\end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

where $c = a^2 - |b|^2$. Using the inverse unitary diagonalization, we have the von Neumann entanglement entropy

$$\begin{aligned} E(\Phi_{\text{ansatz}}) &= S(\rho_\psi) \\ &= -\sum_{p_i = p_\pm} p_i \log p_i, \end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

where eigenvalues $p_\pm$ are given by

$$p_\pm = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 4N^2\lambda^2(1-\lambda)^2 cc'}}{2}, \tag{65}$$

and $c' = (a')^2 - |b'|^2$. Calculating the λ-derivation of the entanglement entropy

$$\frac{\partial E(\Phi_{\rm ansatz})}{\partial \lambda} = 0, \tag{66}$$

we immediately obtain the condition $\lambda = 1/2$. Therefore, we find that the entanglement entropy has the largest value at the mostly entangled state. As mentioned in subsection 5.1, the ansatz (13) is inappropriate for a trial wavefunction in this case. Evidently, $S(\rho_\psi) = S(\rho_\phi)$ holds because $p_\pm$ are symmetric under exchange of (X_1, Y_1) and (X_2, Y_2). Note that one can address the analysis of the entanglement measure beyond this result by approaching the issue that $E(\Phi_{\rm ansatz})$ cannot increase under LOCC.

Furthermore, we develop a quantitative analysis of entanglement. Let us define a continuous-variable version of concurrence by analogy with discrete-variable cases [56, 57]: for the pure two-particle ansatz $|\Phi_{\rm ansatz}\rangle$,

$$C(\Phi_{\rm ansatz}) = \sqrt{2[1 - {\rm tr}\,(\rho_\psi^2)]} = \sqrt{2[1 - {\rm tr}\,(\rho_\phi^2)]}. \tag{67}$$

Note that the concurrence is a dimension-dependent factor, and in continuous-variable systems, it can exceed 1. Now, we can expect that $C(\Phi_{\rm ansatz})$ is also an entanglement measure in vortex systems. Then we have

$$C(\Phi_{\rm ansatz}) = \sqrt{4N^2\lambda^2(1-\lambda)^2 cc'}. \tag{68}$$

Clearly, $C(\Phi_{\rm ansatz})$ vanishes if the ansatz is non-entangled, i.e., $\lambda = 0$. In the simplest case that $X_1 = Y_1 = X_2 = Y_2 = 0$, $C(\Phi_{\rm ansatz}) = \Upsilon/\Lambda = 2\lambda(1-\lambda)/[\lambda^2 + (1-\lambda)^2] \leq 1$, with equality if $\lambda = 1/2$. Note here that $C(\Phi_{\rm ansatz})$ is a monotonic and strictly increasing function. Also, when $X_1 = X$, $Y_1 = Y$, and $X_2 = Y_2 = 0$, then we can show that $C(\Phi_{\rm ansatz}) \leq 1$, with equality if $\lambda = 1/2$ and $X^2 + Y^2 = 0$. Interestingly, we find a functional relation between the concurrence and the von Neumann entanglement entropy

$$E(\Phi_{\rm ansatz}) = h\left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - C(\Phi_{\rm ansatz})^2}}{2}\right), \tag{69}$$

where $h(x) = -x\log x - (1-x)\log(1-x)$ is the binary entropy function.

Let us generalize this functional relation by introducing the quantum Rényi-α entropy

[55, 58, 59]

$$S_\alpha(\rho) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha}\log[\mathrm{tr}(\rho^\alpha)], \tag{70}$$

for any $\alpha > 0$. In the limit $\alpha \to 1$, $S_\alpha(\rho)$ converges to the von Neumann entropy, i.e., $\lim_{\alpha\to 1} S_\alpha(\rho) = S(\rho)$. For a pure two-particle state $|\psi\rangle_{AB}$, the Rényi-α entanglement entropy is defined by

$$E_\alpha(\psi_{AB}) = S_\alpha(\rho_A) = S_\alpha(\rho_B). \tag{71}$$

Here, $E_\alpha(\psi_{AB})$ vanishes on non-entangled states and is invariant under local unitary transformations. For the ansatz, the Rényi-α entanglement entropy is given by

$$E_\alpha(\Phi_{\mathrm{ansatz}}) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha}\log[p_+^\alpha + p_-^\alpha]. \tag{72}$$

Then we obtain the generalized functional relation between the concurrence and the Rényi-α entanglement entropy

$$E_\alpha(\Phi_{\mathrm{ansatz}}) = f_\alpha\left(C(\Phi_{\mathrm{ansatz}})\right), \tag{73}$$

where for $\alpha > 0$

$$f_\alpha(x) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha}\log\left[\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1-x^2}}{2}\right)^\alpha + \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{1-x^2}}{2}\right)^\alpha\right]. \tag{74}$$

In the limit $\alpha \to 1$, the function $f_\alpha(x)$ converges to the binary entropy function, i.e., $\lim_{\alpha\to 1} f_\alpha(x) = h(x)$.

6. Dirac's generalized canonical formulation

Let us develop the framework of the vortex dynamics based on Dirac's generalized canonical formulation. As explicitly as possible, we fix the vortex coordinate (X_2, Y_2) at the origin $(0, 0)$. The reduced Lagrangian (27) thus takes a simple form

$$L = \frac{\alpha E(\dot{X}Y - X\dot{Y})}{\Lambda[1+\alpha(X^2+Y^2)]} - \frac{\alpha}{2[1+\alpha(X^2+Y^2)]}, \tag{75}$$

where we take out the notation of subscript of (X_1, Y_1). Moreover, let us perform the point transformation from (X, Y) to dimensionless variables (ξ, η)

$$\xi = X\sqrt{\frac{2\alpha E}{\Lambda[1+\alpha(X^2+Y^2)]}}, \tag{76}$$

$$\eta = Y\sqrt{\frac{2\alpha E}{\Lambda[1+\alpha(X^2+Y^2)]}}. \tag{77}$$

The reduced Lagrangian is thus rewritten as

$$L = \frac{\dot{\xi}\eta - \xi\dot{\eta}}{2} + \frac{\alpha\Lambda}{4E}(\xi^2+\eta^2), \tag{78}$$

where an irrelevant additive constant has been included. Here, without loss of generality, we can determine the signs of the pointlike vortices, such as $\epsilon_1 = -1$, $\epsilon_2 = +1$, and therefore $E = 1 - 2\lambda > 0$. This point transformation is regular since the Jacobian determinant does not vanish:

$$\frac{\partial(\xi,\eta)}{\partial(X,Y)} = \frac{2\alpha E}{\Lambda[1+\alpha(X^2+Y^2)]^2} \neq 0. \tag{79}$$

According to the identical equation

$$1 + \alpha(X^2+Y^2) = \frac{2E}{2E - \Lambda(\xi^2+\eta^2)}, \tag{80}$$

we have

$$\xi^2 + \eta^2 < \frac{2E}{\Lambda}. \tag{81}$$

Let us apply this reduced Lagrangian formulation to the Dirac's generalized canonical formalism [42]. We can then show the canonical conjugate nature of the canonical coordinates ξ and η (see Appendix):

$$\{\xi, \eta\}_D = 1, \tag{82}$$

where $\{,\}_D$ is the Dirac bracket. The relation (82) is in analogy with the one for the pointlike vortex system in classical 2-dimensional flow [39]. With the help of the Legendre transformation, the reduced Hamiltonian is written as

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \dot{\xi}p_\xi + \dot{\eta}p_\eta - L \\ &= -\frac{\alpha\Lambda}{4E}(\xi^2+\eta^2). \end{aligned} \tag{83}$$

Since the Hamilton's equations are written by $\dot{f} = \{f, H\}_D$, we have

$$\dot{\xi} = -\kappa\alpha\eta, \tag{84}$$

$$\dot{\eta} = \kappa\alpha\xi, \tag{85}$$

where κ is a positive constant given by

$$\kappa = \frac{\lambda}{2E}. \tag{86}$$

It is evident that Eqs. (84) and (85) are the same as the Euler-Lagrange equations calculated from the reduced Lagrangian (78).

Let us compare our results with the classical two-dimensional flow. Clearly, $\xi^2 + \eta^2$ is a constant of motion. This reminds us of the Hamiltonian-like formalism of classical vortex systems in two dimensions [39]. In classical hydrodynamics, the Hamiltonian-like function is in the logarithm form of the constant of motion, while the present reduced Hamiltonian is not the case.

Finally, we analyze the vortex dynamics. Calculating the Euler-Lagrange equations for the vortex variables in the reduced Lagrangian (75), we find that the vortex coordinates behave like the harmonic oscillator:

$$\ddot{X}(t) + \omega^2 X(t) = 0, \tag{87}$$

$$\ddot{Y}(t) + \omega^2 Y(t) = 0, \tag{88}$$

where the angular frequency ω is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \omega &= \kappa\alpha \\ &= \frac{\lambda^2 + (1-\lambda)^2}{2(1-2\lambda)}\alpha, \end{aligned} \tag{89}$$

and $X^2 + Y^2$ is a constant of motion. An interesting situation arises when the entanglement parameter λ changes. If $\lambda = 0$, then the angular frequency ω is equal to $\alpha/2$. On the other hand, if $\lambda \neq 0$, then ω monotonically increases as λ approaches to $1/2$; in the limit $\lambda \to 1/2$, ω goes to infinity. This implies that entanglement effects are also linked to the rotational speed up in vortex systems. That is, the stronger entanglement is, the faster the dynamics become. Following the nonexistence of entanglement in classical hydrodynamics, we can conclude that this result goes beyond straightforward analogies and provides a characteristic feature of the quantum formalism.

7. Conclusion

In this paper, we have considered the hydrodynamic representation of quantum mechanics for two particles with nonintegrable phases. We have introduced the ansatz for the entangled wavefunction representing pointlike vortices. Based on the time-dependent variational principle for the Schrödinger equation combined with the Rayleigh-Ritz method, we have obtained the reduced Lagrangian for the vortex variables. Then we have discussed the effects of entanglement on the approximate vortex-vortex dynamics. By considering some limitations, we have shown that entanglement can strongly complicate vortex dynamics. This result can contain a seed of potentially interesting issues from mathematical and physical viewpoints. Moreover, we have also found that strong entanglement can be linked to the rotational speed up in vortex systems. This result can go beyond simple analogies with classical vortex dynamics. Also, we have provided a quantitative analysis of entanglement in vortex systems. Finally, we have developed the formulation of vortex dynamics using Dirac's generalized Hamiltonian formalism.

In quantum information theory, many works study how quantum dynamics (or operations) affect entanglement. On the other hand, we have advanced the converse question of how entanglement affects dynamics. Exploring this direction might yield new insights into aspects of entanglement. It also would be interesting to apply our special non-Gaussian entangled wavefunctions with nonintegrable phases in vortex systems to quantum information processing tasks.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Otfried Gühne for careful reading of the manuscript. This work has been supported by the DFG and the ERC (Consolidator Grant 683107/TempoQ).

Appendix: Canonical conjugate nature of ξ and η

Here, we show the canonical conjugate nature of the canonical coordinates ξ and η. We begin by considering the canonical momenta, $p_\xi = \partial L/\partial\dot{\xi} = \eta/2$, and $p_\eta = \partial L/\partial\dot{\eta} = -\xi/2$. These lead to the weak constraints: $\chi_\xi = p_\xi - \eta/2 \approx 0$, $\chi_\eta = p_\eta + \xi/2 \approx 0$, where the symbol "$\approx$" is called the weak equality. It is considered that the weak constraints are the inner ones coming from the structure of the reduced Lagrangian itself, and, in principle, the dynamics of the constrained vortex systems can be described by the two canonical variables ξ, η.

The Poisson bracket is written as

$$\{A, B\}_P = \sum_{\zeta=\xi,\eta} \left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial \zeta}\frac{\partial B}{\partial p_\zeta} - \frac{\partial A}{\partial p_\zeta}\frac{\partial B}{\partial \zeta} \right), \tag{90}$$

where A and B are the functions of $(\xi, p_\xi, \eta, p_\eta)$. Therefore, we have that $\{\chi_\xi, \chi_\eta\}_P = -1$,

which does not vanish. We propose that χ_ξ and χ_η are the second-class constraints in Dirac's generalized canonical formalism [42]. In the present case, it is standard to apply not the Poisson bracket but the Dirac bracket defined by

$$\{A, B\}_D = \{A, B\}_P - \sum_{a,b=\xi,\eta} \{A, \chi_a\}_P \, C^{-1}_{ab} \, \{\chi_b, B\}_P. \tag{91}$$

Here, C_{ab}'s are matrix elements satisfying $C_{ab} = \{\chi_a, \chi_b\}_P$. That is, $C_{\xi\xi} = -C_{\eta\eta} = 0$, and $C_{\xi\eta} = -C_{\eta\xi} = -1$. Note that the second-class constraints are the identical equations in the Dirac bracket. Hence, we have

$$\{\xi, \eta\}_D = 1. \tag{92}$$

References

[1] E. Madelung: Quantentheorie in hydrodynamischer form. Z. Phys. 40, 322-326 (1926)

[2] D. Bohm: A suggested interpretation of the quantum theory in terms of "hidden" variables. I. Phys. Rev. 85, 166-179 (1952)

[3] P. R. Holland: The Quantum Theory of Motion. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1993)

[4] T. Takabayasi: On the formulation of quantum mechanics associated with classical pictures. Prog. Theor. Phys. 8, 143-182 (1952)

[5] T. Takabayasi: Remarks on the formulation of quantum mechanics with classical pictures and on relations between linear scalar fields and hydrodynamic fields. Prog. Theor. Phys. 9, 187-222 (1953)

[6] T. Takabayasi: Vortex, Spin and Triad for Quantum Mechanics of Spinning Particle. I: General Theory. Prog. Theor. Phys. 70, 1-17 (1983)

[7] D. Bohm, J. P. Vigier: Model of the causal interpretation of quantum theory in terms of a fluid with irregular fluctuations. Phys. Rev. 96, 208-216 (1954)

[8] M. D. Kostin: On the Schrödinger-Langevin Equation. J. Chem. Phys. 57, 3589-3591 (1972)

[9] M. D. Kostin: Friction and dissipative phenomena in quantum mechanics. J. Stat. Phys. 12, 145-151 (1975)

[10] A. Valentini: Signal-locality, uncertainty, and the subquantum H-theorem. I. Phys. Lett. A 156, 5-11 (1991)

[11] A. Valentini: Signal-locality, uncertainty, and the subquantum H-theorem. II. Phys. Lett. A 158, 1-8 (1991)

[12] T. C. Wallstrom: On the initial-value problem for the Madelung hydrodynamic equations. Phys. Lett. A 184, 229-233 (1994)

[13] G. Salesi: Spin and Madelung fluid. Mod. Phys. Lett. A, 11, 1815-1824 (1996)

[14] M. Reginatto: Derivation of the equations of nonrelativistic quantum mechanics using the principle of minimum Fisher information. Phys. Rev. A 58, 1775 (1998)

[15] A. R. Plastino, M. Casas, A. Plastino: Bohmian quantum theory of motion for particles with position-dependent effective mass. Phys. Lett. A 281, 297-304 (2001)

[16] C. L. Lopreore, R. E. Wyatt: Quantum Wave Packet Dynamics with Trajectories. Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 5190 (1999)

[17] A. S. Sanz, S. Miret-Artés: A trajectory-based understanding of quantum interference. J. Phys. A 41, 435303 (2008)

[18] C. C. Chou, A. S. Sanz, S. Miret-Artés, R. E. Wyatt: Hydrodynamic view of wave-packet interference: quantum caves. Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 250401 (2009)

[19] R. Fedele, H. Schamel: Solitary waves in the Madelung's fluid: Connection between the nonlinear Schrödinger equation and the Korteweg-de Vries equation. Eur. Phys. J. B 27, 313-320 (2002)

[20] S. De Martino, M. Falanga, C. Godano, G. Lauro: Logarithmic Schrödinger-like equation as a model for magma transport. EPL 63, 472-475 (2003)

[21] K. G. Zloshchastiev, Nonlinear wave-mechanical effects in Korteweg fluid magma transport, EPL 122, 39001 (2018)

[22] P. J. Love, B. M. Boghosian: Quaternionic Madelung transformation and non-Abelian fluid dynamics. Physica A 332, 47-59 (2004)

[23] R. Jackiw, V. P. Nair, S. Y. Pi, A. P. Polychronakos: Perfect Fluid Theory and its Extensions. J. Phys. A 37, 327-432 (2004)

[24] D. A. Wisniacki, E. R. Pujals: Motion of vortices implies chaos in Bohmian mechanics. EPL 71, 159-165 (2005)

[25] D. A. Wisniacki, E. R. Pujals, F. Borondo: Vortex interaction, chaos and quantum probabilities. EPL 73, 671-676 (2006)

[26] D. A. Wisniacki, E. R. Pujals, F. Borondo: Vortex dynamics and their interactions in quantum trajectories. J. Phys. A 40, 14353 (2007)

[27] R. Tsekov: Bohmian mechanics versus Madelung quantum hydrodynamics. Ann. Univ. Sofia, Fac. Phys. Special Edition, 112-119 (2012)

[28] P. R. Holland: Symmetries and conservation laws in the Lagrangian picture of quantum hydrodynamics. In: S. K. Ghosh and P. K. Chattaraj (eds.) Concepts and Methods in Modern Theoretical Chemistry: Statistical Mechanics, chap. 4. Taylor & Francis, Boca Raton (2013)

[29] R. Tsekov: Complex quantum hydrodynamics with teleportation. New Adv. Phy. 8, 111-121 (2014)

[30] A. Suárez and P. -H. Chavanis: Hydrodynamic representation of the Klein-Gordon-Einstein equations in the weak field limit: General formalism and perturbations analysis. Phys. Rev. D 92, 023510 (2015)

[31] P. Fernández de Córdoba, J. M. Isidro, and J. Vázquez Molina: Schroedinger vs. Navier-Stokes. Entropy 18, 34 (2016)

[32] E. Heifetz, E. Cohen: Toward a Thermo-hydrodynamic Like Description of Schrödinger Equation via the Madelung Formulation and Fisher Information. Found. Phys. 45, 1514-1525 (2015)

[33] E. Heifetz, R. Tsekov, E. Cohen, Z. Nussinov: On entropy production in the Madelung fluid and the role of Bohm's potential in classical diffusion. Found. Phys. 46, 815-824 (2016)

[34] M. Reddiger: The Madelung Picture as a Foundation of Geometric Quantum Theory. Found. Phys. 47, 1317-1367 (2017)

[35] D. M. Heim: Recursive formulation of Madelung continuity equation leads to propagation equation. J. Math. Phys. 59, 122101 (2018)

[36] I. F. Barna, M. A. Pocsai, and L. Matyas: Analytic solutions of the Madelung equation. Journal of Generalized Lie Theory and Applications 11, 271 (2017)

[37] R. Tsekov, E. Heifetz, and E. Cohen: A Hydrodynamic Interpretation of Quantum Mechanics via Turbulence. C. R. Acad. Bulg. Sci. 72, 448-454 (2019)

[38] S.-D. Liang, S. V. Sabau, and T. Harko: Finslerian geometrization of quantum mechanics in the hydrodynamical representation. Phys. Rev. D 100, 105012 (2019)

[39] H. Lamb: Hydrodynamics. (6th ed.) Cambridge University Press, New York (1932)

[40] P. A. M. Dirac: Note on Exchange Phenomena in the Thomas Atom Math. Proc. Cambridge 26, 376-385 (1930)

[41] R. Jackiw, A. Kerman: Time-dependent variational principle and the effective action. Phys. Lett. 71A, 158-162 (1979)

[42] P. A. M. Dirac: Lectures on Quantum Mechanics. Dover, New York (2001)

[43] P. A. M. Dirac: Quantised singularities in the electromagnetic field. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 133 60 (1931)

[44] P. A. M. Dirac: The Theory of Magnetic Poles. Phys. Rev. 74, 817 (1948)

[45] S. Lloyd and S. L. Braunstein: Quantum computation over continuous variables. Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 1784 (1999)

[46] T. Opatrný, G. Kurizki, and D.-G. Welsch: Improvement on teleportation of continuous variables by photon subtraction via conditional measurement. Phys. Rev. A 61, 032302 (2000)

[47] Z.-B. Chen, J.-W. Pan, G. Hou, and Y.-D. Zhang: Maximal Violation of Bell's Inequalities for Continuous Variable Systems. Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 040406 (2002)

[48] H. Nha and H. Carmichael: Proposed Test of Quantum Nonlocality for Continuous Variables. Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 020401 (2004)

[49] Q. Zhuang, P. W. Shor, and J. H. Shapiro: Resource theory of non-Gaussian operations. Phys. Rev. A 97, 052317 (2018)

[50] R. Takagi and Q. Zhuang: Convex resource theory of non-Gaussianity. Phys. Rev. A 97, 062337 (2018)

[51] P. Chowdhury, T. Pramanik, A. S. Majumdar, and G. S. Agarwal: Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen-steering using quantum correlations in non-Gaussian entangled states. Phys. Rev. A 89, 012104 (2014)

[52] O. Gühne and G. Toth: Entanglement detection. Phys. Rep. 474, 1 (2009)

[53] O. Eboli, R. Jackiw and S. Y. Pi: Quantum fields out of thermal equilibrium. Phys. Rev. D 37, 3557 (1988)

[54] S. L. Braunstein and P. van Loock: Quantum information with continuous variables. Rev. Mod. Phys. 77, 513 (2005)

[55] G. Adesso and F. Illuminati: Entanglement in continuous variable systems: Recent advances and current perspectives. J. Phys. A 40, 7821 (2007)

[56] S. Hill and W. K. Wootters: Entanglement of a Pair of Quantum Bits. Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 5022 (1997)

[57] V. S. Bhaskara and P. K. Panigrahi: Generalized concurrence and partial transpose for pure continuous variable systems of arbitrary degrees of freedom using Lagrange's identity and wedge product. e-print arXiv:quant-ph/1706.01448

[58] J. S. Kim and B. C. Sanders: Monogamy and polygamy for multi-qubit entanglement using Rényi entropy. J. Phys. A 43, 442305 (2010)

[59] G. Adesso, S. Ragy, and A. R. Lee: Continuous variable quantum information: Gaussian states and beyond. Open Syst. Inf. Dyn. 21, 1440001 (2014)