Cosmogenic production of $^{39}\text{Ar}$ and $^{37}\text{Ar}$ in argon
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We have experimentally determined the production rate of $^{39}\text{Ar}$ and $^{37}\text{Ar}$ from cosmic ray neutron interactions in argon at sea level. Understanding these production rates is important for argon-based dark matter experiments that plan to utilize argon extracted from deep underground because it is imperative to know what the ingrowth of $^{39}\text{Ar}$ will be during the production, transport, and storage of the underground argon. These measurements also allow for the prediction of $^{39}\text{Ar}$ and $^{37}\text{Ar}$ concentrations in the atmosphere which can be used to determine the presence of other sources of these isotopes. Through controlled irradiation with a neutron beam that mimics the cosmic ray neutron spectrum, followed by direct counting of $^{39}\text{Ar}$ and $^{37}\text{Ar}$ decays with sensitive ultra-low background proportional counters, we determined that the production rate from cosmic ray neutrons at sea-level is expected to be $(759 \pm 128)\text{atoms/(kg}_{\text{Ar}}\text{day})$ for $^{39}\text{Ar}$, and $(51.0 \pm 7.4)\text{atoms/(kg}_{\text{Ar}}\text{day})$ for $^{37}\text{Ar}$. We also performed a survey of the alternate production mechanisms based on the state-of-knowledge of the associated cross-sections to obtain a total sea-level cosmic ray production rate of $(1048 \pm 133)\text{atoms/(kg}_{\text{Ar}}\text{day})$ for $^{39}\text{Ar}$, $(56.7 \pm 7.5)\text{atoms/(kg}_{\text{Ar}}\text{day})$ for $^{37}\text{Ar}$ in underground argon, and $(92 \pm 13)\text{atoms/(kg}_{\text{Ar}}\text{day})$ for $^{37}\text{Ar}$ in atmospheric argon.

TABLE I. Stable and long-lived isotopes of argon, along with their typical abundances [12] and specific activity in atmospheric argon.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isotope</th>
<th>Abundance</th>
<th>Specific Activity [Bq/kg$_{\text{Ar}}$]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$^{40}\text{Ar}$</td>
<td>0.9960 Stable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{36}\text{Ar}$</td>
<td>0.0033 Stable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{38}\text{Ar}$</td>
<td>0.0006 Stable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{39}\text{Ar}$</td>
<td>$8.2 \times 10^{-16}$</td>
<td>1.0 [7, 8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{37}\text{Ar}$</td>
<td>$\approx 1.3 \times 10^{-20}$</td>
<td>$\approx 4.5 \times 10^{-2}$ [9]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{42}\text{Ar}$</td>
<td>$6.8 \times 10^{-21}$</td>
<td>$6.8 \times 10^{-5}$ [10, 11]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To mitigate the effects of $^{39}\text{Ar}$, the next generation of argon-based dark matter detectors propose to use argon extracted from deep underground. The DarkSide-50 collaboration has demonstrated that underground argon (UAr) they are using as their dark matter target has an $^{39}\text{Ar}$ rate of $7.3 \times 10^{-4}\text{Bq/kg}_{\text{Ar}}$ [15], a factor $\approx 1400$ below atmospheric levels. The use of UAr rather than atmospheric argon (AAr) has allowed for a reduction in energy threshold and increase in nuclear recoil acceptance while maintaining a background-free WIMP dark matter search [5, 15]. Additionally, the use of low radioactivity UAr is critical for low-mass dark matter searches which extend to lower energy thresholds than the standard WIMP search [16]. The need for argon...
The natural cosmogenic production of both $^{37}$Ar and $^{39}$Ar in argon is expected to be dominated by neutron-induced reactions but there are no existing measurements of the total production cross-section or integrated cosmogenic production rate. Estimates for dark matter experiments have therefore had to rely on semi-empirical calculations, which as we show, significantly underestimate the production rates. In this paper we describe a measurement of the integrated production rate from a neutron beam at the Los Alamos Neutron Science Center (LANSCE) ICE HOUSE facility [22, 23] which has a very similar energy spectrum to that of cosmic ray neutrons at sea-level (see Figure 1). While the spectral shape is similar, the neutron beam has a flux that is $\approx 4.5 \times 10^8$ times higher above 10 MeV, which allows for the production of measurable amounts of $^{39}$Ar in short periods of time. We irradiated samples of underground and atmospheric argon for 3 days on the neutron beam and then measured the resulting activity in ultra-low background gas proportional counters (ULBPC) at Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL). The ULBPCs are custom-built to detect low levels of $^{39}$Ar and $^{37}$Ar in gas samples for the purpose of radiometric dating and support of future nuclear test ban treaty monitoring [24], with a sensitivity to $^{39}$Ar at the level of $2.5 \times 10^{-2}$ Bq/kg$^{39}$Ar [25, 26] and to $^{37}$Ar at the level of $4.3 \times 10^{-3}$ Bq/kg$^{37}$Ar [19, 26].

The high intensity of the LANSCE neutron beam and the sensitivity of the ULBPC detectors allows us to experimentally measure the $^{39}$Ar and $^{37}$Ar production rate and extrapolate them to estimate the sea-level cosmogenic neutron production rate.

II. $^{39}$Ar PRODUCTION MECHANISMS

The production of $^{39}$Ar in the atmosphere is primarily due to cosmic rays. While several of the production channels do not have measured cross-sections, based on the known flux of the various cosmogenic particles and the general behavior of cross-sections for similar isotopes, it is estimated that interactions with fast neutrons account for more than 94% of the total $^{39}$Ar production in the atmosphere [7]. There are two primary fast neutron reactions that result in the production of $^{39}$Ar: $^{40}$Ar$(n, 2n)^{39}$Ar and $^{40}$Ar$(n, np) + (n, pn) + (n, d)\rightarrow ^{39}$Cl. In the latter case, which we will henceforth abbreviate to $^{40}$Ar$(n, d)^{39}$Cl, the short-lived $^{39}$Cl decays to $^{39}$Ar through $\beta$ decay with a 55.6 minute half-life. Since $^{39}$Ar is a pure $\beta$ emitter it is not possible to directly measure the total production cross-section by

\[1\text{ The neutron-induced production of } ^{39}\text{S, which sequentially decays to } ^{39}\text{Cl and } ^{39}\text{Ar, is estimated to be 4 orders of magnitude smaller than the direct } ^{39}\text{Ar production. For other possible production mechanisms see Section VII.}\]
FIG. 2. Estimates (continuous lines) and experimental measurements (data points) of $^{39}$Ar production cross-sections from $^{40}$Ar. 
(a) $^{40}$Ar($n$, $2n$)$^{39}$Ar cross-sections. Note that the experimental measurements are partial cross-sections to excited states of $^{39}$Ar [27]. 
(b) $^{40}$Ar($n$, d)$^{39}$Cl cross-sections with experimental measurements at $\approx 14.6$ MeV [28–30]. The Silberberg and Tsao (n, d) cross-section calculated by ACTIVIA is combined with the (n, 2n) cross-section shown in panel (a).

conventional methods that rely on $\gamma$-ray detectors to tag the reaction products. The only existing measurements are of the partial cross-sections $^{40}$Ar($n$, 2$n$$\gamma$)$^{39}$Ar to excited states of $^{39}$Ar [27] and of $^{40}$Ar($n$, d)$^{39}$Cl through the detection of gamma rays from the $^{39}$Cl decay [28–31].

Estimates of the cosmogenic activation rates have therefore had to rely on either semi-empirical calculations such as the Silberberg and Tsao equations [32–37] that are employed by codes such as YIELDX [38], COSMO [39], and ACTIVIA [40]. Monte Carlo simulations of the hadronic interactions between nucleons and nuclei that are performed by codes such as INCL [41], ABLA [42], TALYS [43], etc., or compiled databases that combine calculations with experimental data such as ENDF [44], MENDL-2P [45], and TENDL [46]. The $^{39}$Ar production cross-section estimates from some of these different methods are shown in Figure 2. It can be seen that the estimates vary by up to an order of magnitude at certain energies, yielding similar sized variation in the predicted $^{39}$Ar production rate. It should be noted that previous estimates of the cosmogenic activation rate of underground argon [50] have used the Silberberg and Tsao semi-empirical calculations as implemented in the COSMO and ACTIVIA codes, which have the lowest predicted cross-sections and are significantly below the experimentally measured partial cross-sections.

FIG. 3. Estimates of $^{37}$Ar production cross-sections from $^{40}$Ar. There are no known experimental measurements.

III. $^{37}$Ar PRODUCTION MECHANISMS

The production of $^{37}$Ar in the atmosphere is also dominated by neutron interactions on argon. Previous calculations [51] estimate that 93% of the total production in the troposphere is due to fast neutrons through $^{40}$Ar($n$, 4$n$)$^{37}$Ar, with the remaining fraction due to the capture of thermal and epithermal neutrons through $^{36}$Ar($n$, $\gamma$)$^{37}$Ar. The only detectable signal from $^{37}$Ar decays are the low-energy x-rays and Auger electrons following the electron capture, and thus the $^{40}$Ar($n$, 4$n$)$^{37}$Ar production cross-section cannot be measured with $\gamma$-ray detectors. To our knowledge there are no known experimental measurements of this cross-section. Examples of estimates from semi-empirical calculations, simulations, and evaluated

---

2 The Silberberg and Tsao, and MENDL-2P cross-sections were obtained from the ACTIVIA code package [47], the INCLXX cross-sections were calculated using the INCL++ code (v6.0.1) with the ABLA07 de-excitation model [48], and the TALYS cross-sections calculated using TALYS-1.9 [49]. The default parameters were used for all programs.

3 For other possible production mechanisms see Section VII.
Three samples of argon gas were prepared for irradiation, two UAr samples and one AAr sample. The UAr samples were taken from the same source of gas that was used in the DarkSide-50 experiment and the AAr sample was commercial ultra high purity (99.999%) grade argon obtained from Oxarc. An AAr sample was used in addition to the UAr samples to investigate if the different isotopic composition of the gases would lead to significantly different $^{39}$Ar and $^{37}$Ar production. In addition to $^{40}$Ar, AAr contains $^{36}$Ar at 0.334% and $^{38}$Ar at 0.063% [12, 52]. UAr is composed almost solely of $^{40}$Ar with the $^{36}$Ar concentration measured by mass spectrometry to be less than 0.01% and the $^{38}$Ar concentration expected to be reduced by a similar factor compared to AAr, consistent with measurements of gas extracted from deep underground wells [7]. For the sake of clarity, all numbers and uncertainties related to the gas samples in the main body of this paper refer to one of the UAr samples (cylinder II). The corresponding numbers for the AAr sample (cylinder III) are included in Table II, while the other UAr sample (cylinder I) was kept as contingency and not measured.

The gas samples were filled at 1.12 bar and 296 K in three identical aluminum cylinders, each with an interior volume of 1.96 L (calculated through calibrated volume-ratio measurements), corresponding to (3.60 ± 0.08) g of gas, where the uncertainty includes the uncertainties in pressure, temperature, and volume. The custom-designed cylinders had a nominal 76.2 mm (3") internal diameter and 439 mm internal length and were fabricated from aluminum to minimize the attenuation of the neutron beam as well as activation of the cylinder material. The end caps of the cylinder, through which the neutron beam passed, had a thinned (1.5 ± 0.1) mm-thick central wall and were welded onto the cylindrical section. The gas was filled and emptied through a single 6.35 mm diameter double-valved tube on each cylinder and the cylinders were evacuated to $\approx 1 \times 10^{-5}$ bar before being filled with the argon gas sample.

The samples were irradiated at the LANSCE WNR ICE-HOUSE II facility [23] on Target 4 Flight Path 30 Right (4FP30R). A broad-spectrum (0.2–800 MeV) neutron beam was produced via spallation of 800 MeV protons on a tungsten target. A 50.8 mm (2") diameter beam collimator was used to restrict the majority of the neutrons to within the diameter of the gas cylinder. The neutron fluence was measured with $^{238}$U foils by an in-beam fission chamber [54] placed downstream of the collimator. The beam has a pulsed time structure which allows the incident neutron energies to be determined using a time-of-flight (TOF) measurement between the proton beam pulse and the fission chamber signals [22, 54]. As shown in Figure 4, the three gas cylinders were placed end-to-end with the two UAr cylinders (I and II) closest to the fission chamber and AAr cylinder III furthest away. The closest end of the UAr cylinder I was located 791 mm downstream from the fission chamber.

The beam exposure took place over three days between 11th–14th December 2017. The fluence measured by the fission chamber is shown in Figure 1, with a total of $(1.03 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{13}$ neutrons above 10 MeV. The uncertainty is dominated by the systematic uncertainty in the $^{238}$U(n, f) cross-section which varies from 2% to 5% below 200 MeV [55] (which includes most of the production range) and we have conservatively assumed 5% across the whole spectrum. The uncertainty in the neutron energy spectrum due to the timing uncertainty in the TOF measurement (1.2 ns) is negligible for this measurement. While the nominal beam diameter was set by the 2" collimator, the cross-sectional beam profile has significant tails at larger radii, with roughly 10% falling outside the 3" diameter of the gas cylinders. Additionally the beam is slightly diverging, with an estimated cone opening angle of 0.233°. A Geant4 [56, 57] simulation that included the measured beam profile and beam divergence, the measured neutron spectrum, and the full geometry and materials of the gas cylinder, was used to calculate the neutron fluence through each cylinder. The estimated reduction factor of the neutron fluence through UAr cylinder II in the 10-200 MeV range, compared to the fluence measured by the fission chamber, is 0.876 ± 0.028, where the uncertainty includes the uncertainty in the beam profile and a ± 0.25” uncertainty in the alignment of the beam center with the cylinder axes.

Following the irradiation the cylinders were stored for a cool-down period of roughly a month before they
were shipped to PNNL for counting. The measured pressure of the argon in the irradiated cylinders upon return to PNNL matched the initial fill pressures within uncertainties, indicating that no argon had leaked out during the transportation or irradiation. Activation calculations indicated that along with $^{39}$Ar and $^{37}$Ar, several other long-lived radioisotopes would also be produced by the irradiation, most notably $^{32}$P, $^{33}$P, $^{35}$S, $^{22}$Na, $^{3}$H, and $^{7}$Be. However previous experiments involving the irradiation of argon [58, 59] found that activation products remain in the irradiation canister when argon is transferred out. Since tritium is especially dangerous as it can introduce a long-lived radioactive background into the ULBPC, the argon gas was cryo-pumped through a hydrogen getter into a smaller cylinder for loading into the ULBPC. The irradiated gas was then mixed with 10% methane to form P-10 count gas.

A sample of the P-10 gas mixture from UAr cylinder II was loaded into the 100.5 cm$^3$ ULBPC at 6.44 bar and 295 K, corresponding to an argon mass of 1.07 g. The irradiated UAr gas was counted for 31.8 days at low gain to measure the $^{39}$Ar spectrum from 15–400 keV and was also counted at high gain from 0–15 keV for 3.91 days to measure the 3 keV $^{37}$Ar peak.

The $^{39}$Ar detection efficiency of the ULBPC detector was calibrated with a 62.6 mBq $^{39}$Ar source [53], and a 15.6 day background run was also acquired. As shown in Figure 5, the extremely good agreement in spectral shape between the efficiency spectrum and the data indicate that no other $\beta$-decaying activation products were present in the gas sample. After subtracting the measured background spectrum and accounting for the calibrated detection efficiency of 0.688 ± 0.003, the measured $^{39}$Ar activity in the ULBPC was found to be (46.1 ± 0.5) mBq.

For $^{37}$Ar, the spectrum was fit with a Gaussian to represent the $\approx 2.8$ keV Auger electrons and x-rays following a K-shell electron capture and an exponential decay for the underlying $^{39}$Ar spectrum (shown in Figure 6). The branching ratio for the K-shell electron capture is (90.2 ± 0.2)% [18, 60] and the combined ULBPC fiducial volume efficiency for both Auger electrons and x-rays is 0.79 ± 0.02 [61]. Including systematic uncertainties from the choice of fit range and response function we obtained a total measured $^{37}$Ar activity in the ULBPC of (216 ± 7) mBq, scaled to the start of the counting time (accounting for the decay of $^{37}$Ar during the measurement period).

Scaling these activities by the fraction of the total irradiated gas measured and the radioactive decay between the start of the irradiation and the measurement, we found an $^{39}$Ar activity of (155 ± 4) mBq and an $^{37}$Ar activity of (26.0 ± 1.0) Bq for all the gas in the irradiated UAr cylinder II. Following the same procedure described above, for the gas from AAr cylinder III we found an $^{39}$Ar activity of (150 ± 4) mBq and an $^{37}$Ar activity of (25.3 ± 1.0) Bq.

One must also account for the cosmogenic $^{39}$Ar and $^{37}$Ar activity that is present in the samples even without the beam irradiation. Atmospheric argon was found to contain a specific activity of (1.01 ± 0.08) Bq/kg$_{Ar}$ [8] of $^{39}$Ar, corresponding to an activity of (3.7 ± 0.3) mBq in AAr cylinder III, which must be subtracted from the measured activity when calculating the beam activation rate. The UAr sample was obtained from the same source of gas used by DarkSide-50 that was found to have an $^{39}$Ar specific activity of (0.73 ± 0.11) mBq/kg$_{Ar}$. Compared to the DarkSide-50 target which was transported deep underground in February 2015, our UAr samples spent approximately

![Energy spectrum from gas in irradiated UAr cylinder II (black) as measured by the ULBPC at low gain. The spectrum from a $^{39}$Ar calibration sample [53] is overlaid for comparison (red) along with a background spectrum (blue).](image1)

![Energy spectrum from gas in irradiated UAr cylinder II (black) as measured by the ULBPC at high gain. The peak region around 3 keV is fit (red solid) with a Gaussian (representing the $^{37}$Ar Auger electrons and x-rays) and a falling exponential (for the underlying background).](image2)


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>UAr cylinder II Value</th>
<th>Unc.[%]</th>
<th>AAr cylinder III Value</th>
<th>Unc.[%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atomic Weight [12]</td>
<td>39.962±0.005</td>
<td>7×10⁻³</td>
<td>39.9478±0.0005</td>
<td>3.8×10⁻⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>⁴⁰Ar Isotopic Abundance [12]</td>
<td>1.0000±0.0007</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.996035±0.000054</td>
<td>4.2×10⁻⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass [g]</td>
<td>3.603±0.084</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>3.626±0.084</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>⁴⁰Ar Areal Density [atoms/cm²]</td>
<td>(1.218±0.020)×10²¹</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>(1.219±0.020)×10²¹</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutrons (&gt; 10 MeV) through cylinder</td>
<td>(9.03±0.54)×10¹²</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>(8.77±0.53)×10¹²</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ULBPC Sample Mass [g]</td>
<td>1.070±0.011</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.052±0.011</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ULBPC ³⁹Ar Activity [mBq]</td>
<td>46.12±0.46</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>43.96±0.46</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ULBPC ³⁷Ar Activity [mBq]</td>
<td>216.3±6.8</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>90.07±2.80</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>³⁹Ar Half-life [yrs] [13]</td>
<td>268±8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>268±8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-existing ³⁹Ar Activity [mBq]</td>
<td>(4.3±0.4)×10⁻³</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>3.7±0.3</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beam-Averaged Cross-Section [cm²]</td>
<td>(1.72±0.12)×10⁻²⁵</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>(1.67±0.12)×10⁻²⁵</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beam Induced ³⁹Ar Activity [mBq]</td>
<td>155.4±4.2</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>146.7±4.1</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>³⁷Ar Half-life [days] [18]</td>
<td>35.01±0.019</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>35.01±0.019</td>
<td>0.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>³⁷Ar Decay Correction Factor</td>
<td>(2.7996±0.0061)×10⁻²</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>(1.2205±0.0032)×10⁻²</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-existing ³⁷Ar Activity [Bq]</td>
<td>(1.6±0.5)×10⁻⁴</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>(1.6±0.5)×10⁻⁴</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beam-Averaged Cross-Section [cm²]</td>
<td>(1.033±0.074)×10⁻⁶</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>(1.031±0.075)×10⁻⁶</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beam Induced ³⁷Ar Activity [Bq]</td>
<td>26.0±1.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>25.3±1.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II. Central values and uncertainties of the parameters and experimentally measured values used to determine the beam-induced ³⁹Ar and ³⁷Ar activity in UAr cylinder II and AAr cylinder III. See text for details.

1170 additional days on the surface, exposed to cosmic-rays. Even assuming the highest considered cross-section, the total pre-existing rate of ³⁹Ar in the irradiated gas sample is roughly 4.3 µBq, negligible compared to the measured activity.

For the short-lived ³⁷Ar, both samples will have reached equilibrium activity from cosmogenic production at sea-level. Measurements of the ³⁷Ar activity in the low troposphere (excluding variations due to inflows of stratospheric air, outgassing of soil air, and emissions from nuclear installations) indicate an equilibrium value of 0.5–1.0 mBq/m³ air (30–60 mBq/kg Ar) [9]. The equilibrium rate at sea-level is expected to be significantly lower than the rate averaged over the troposphere (in Section VII we estimate it to be (0.7–1.1 mBq/kg Ar)), but we conservatively use the averaged tropospheric value as an upper limit, corresponding to total activity of (1.6±0.5)×10⁻⁴ Bq, which is in any case negligible compared to the measured activity.

The final estimates for the beam-induced activity in the UAr [AAr] sample at the end of the irradiation are (155±4) mBq [(147±4) mBq] ³⁹Ar and (26±1) Bq [(25±1) Bq] ³⁷Ar. The specific input values used as well as the included statistical and systematic uncertainties are listed in Table II. To compare the results between the UAr and AAr samples we can divide the measured activity by the total number of neutrons and target ⁴⁰Ar atoms to obtain a beam-averaged cross-section (listed in Table II). The ratio of the UAr to AAr beam-averaged cross-section, after eliminating common systematic uncertainties, is 1.029±0.055 and 1.002±0.052 for ³⁹Ar and ³⁷Ar respectively. The good agreement between the irradiated UAr and AAr activities indicate that, as expected, there was no appreciable contribution from neutron interactions with the small fractions of naturally occurring ³⁶Ar and ³⁸Ar isotopes present in the AAr sample. The agreement also verifies the accuracy of the simulations of the beam attenuation between the targets and the independent ULBPC activity measurements.

V. CROSS-SECTIONS

If the neutron beam had an energy spectrum identical to that of the cosmic ray neutron flux we could simply estimate the cosmogenic production rate by scaling the measured activity by the ratio of the cosmic-ray neutrons to that of the neutron beam. However the beam spectrum falls off faster at higher energies than that of cosmic rays (see Figure 1). Thus we must rely on a model for the production cross-sections to extrapolate from the beam measurement to the cosmogenic production rate.

We can evaluate the accuracy of the different cross-section models by comparing the predicted ³⁹Ar and ³⁷Ar production rates from the LANSCE neutron beam irradiation to the measured rates. The number of isotopes atoms N(t) at a given time t is governed by the equation

\[
\frac{dN}{dt} = +P(t) - \frac{N(t)}{\tau} \tag{1}
\]

where \(\tau\) is the mean life [sec] of the isotope decay and \(P(t)\) is the isotope production rate [atoms/sec]. Ignoring any existing isotope concentration (subtracted off
For a given cross-section model and from the measured experimental value), the measured decay rate at any time after the start of the beam irradiation is given by

$$D(t) \equiv \frac{N(t)}{\tau} = \frac{e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}}{\tau} \int_0^t P(t') e^{\frac{-t'}{\tau}} dt'$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

For a given cross-section model $\sigma(E)$ [cm$^2$]

$$P(t) = n_d \int S(E,t) \cdot \sigma(E) \, dE$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $n_d$ is the areal number density of the target argon atoms [atoms/cm$^2$] and $S(E,t)$ is the energy spectrum of neutrons [neutrons/(MeV sec)]. The second column of Table III shows the calculated values for the different $^{39}$Ar cross-section models considered, with the corresponding numbers for $^{39}$Ar shown in Table IV. We note that not all the cross-section models considered span the entire range of neutron energies. The TENDL 2015 and MENDL-2P cross-sections are only reported up to 200 MeV, while the TALYS cross-sections have been extended up to 1 GeV [62]. The INCL++ model can handle neutron-induced reactions up to 15-20 GeV, while the Silberberg & Tsao semi-empirical cross-section calculations implemented in ACTIVIA can be performed at any energy, though the cross-sections are assumed to be independent of energy above $\approx 3$ GeV.

From the ratio of the experimentally measured values to the predictions (shown in the third column of Table III and IV) it can be seen that the TENDL-2015, TALYS, and INCL++ models all predict $^{39}$Ar activities within 10% of the measured LANSCE activation value.

However, the Silberberg and Tsao cross-section model used as the default by the ACTIVIA and COSMO codes, and previously used to estimate the cosmogenic production rate for argon dark matter experiments [50], predict values more than a factor of 4 smaller than the experimental measurement. The predictions for the $^{37}$Ar production vary from the experimental measurements by roughly a factor three in both directions, with the TALYS and INCL++ models accurate to within about 50%.

If we assume that the shape of the cross-section model as a function of energy is correct, the ratio of the experimentally measured activity to the predicted activity represents the normalization factor that will need to be applied to each model to best match the experimental data. In the next section we will use this ratio to estimate the $^{37}$Ar and $^{39}$Ar production rates from cosmic ray neutrons.

### VI. PRODUCTION RATES FROM FAST COSMIC RAY NEUTRONS

There have been several measurements and calculations of the cosmic ray neutron flux (for eg. [63-65]). The intensity of the neutron flux varies with altitude, location in the geomagnetic field, and solar magnetic activity (though the spectral shape does not vary as significantly) and correction factors must be applied to calculate the appropriate flux [66]. The most commonly used reference spectrum for sea-level cosmic ray neutrons is the so-called “Gordon” spectrum [21] (shown in Figure 1), which is based on measurements at five
different sites in the United States, scaled to sea-level at the location of New York City during the mid-point of solar modulation. We have used the parameterization given in [21] which agrees with the data to within a few percent. The spectrum uncertainties at high energies are dominated by uncertainties in the spectrometer detector response function (<4% below 10 MeV and 10-15% above 150 MeV) and we have assigned an average uncertainty of 12.5%.

The production rate per unit target mass \( P' \) [atoms/(kg\(\text{Ar} \times \text{sec})]\) of isotopes from the interaction of cosmic ray neutrons can be written as

\[
P' = n \int \Phi(E) \cdot \sigma(E) \, dE
\]

where \( n \) is the number of target atoms per kilogram of argon and \( \Phi(E) \) is the cosmic neutron flux [neutrons/(cm\(^2\) sec MeV)]. The integral is evaluated from 1 MeV to 10 GeV.

The predicted production rate per unit target mass for the cross-section models considered is shown in the fourth column of Table III and Table IV for \( ^{39}\text{Ar} \) and \( ^{37}\text{Ar} \) respectively. Scaling these values by the ratio of the experimental to predicted activities for the LANSCE neutron beam, we obtain our best estimates for the cosmic neutron induced production rates per unit target mass, shown in the final columns. The spread in the values for the different cross-sections is an indication of the systematic uncertainty in the extrapolation from the LANSCE beam measurement to the cosmic neutron spectrum. If either the LANSCE neutron beam spectral shape was the same as that of the cosmic ray neutrons, or the cross-section models all agreed in shape, the central values in the final column would be identical. The estimated activation rate from cosmic ray neutrons is \( (759 \pm 56_{\text{exp}} \pm 65_{\text{cs}} \pm 95_{nf}) \) atoms\( ^{39}\text{Ar} \)/(kg\(\text{Ar} \times \text{day})\) and \( (51.0 \pm 3.8_{\text{exp}} \pm 0.6_{\text{cs}} \pm 6.4_{nf}) \) atoms\( ^{39}\text{Ar} \)/(kg\(\text{Ar} \times \text{day})\), where the first uncertainty listed is due to experimental measurement uncertainties (represented by the average uncertainty on the ratio of the measured to predicted activity from the LANSCE beam irradiation for a specific cross-section model), the second is due to the uncertainty in the shape of the cross-section models (calculated as the standard deviation of the scaled cosmogenic production rates by the different models), and the third is due to the uncertainty in the sea-level cosmic neutron flux.

VII. ALTERNATE PRODUCTION MECHANISMS

In addition to activity induced by fast neutrons, interactions of gamma-rays, muons, protons, and thermal neutrons also contribute to the total production rate of \( ^{39}\text{Ar} \) and \( ^{37}\text{Ar} \). Previous calculations estimated that interactions of fast neutrons account for 94% of the total \( ^{39}\text{Ar} \) production in the atmosphere [7] and 93% of the production of \( ^{37}\text{Ar} \) in the troposphere [51]. However, at the Earth’s surface the ratio of the fast neutron flux compared to other cosmogenic particles is significantly different due to different attenuation lengths in the atmosphere and the relative contributions must be re-evaluated. In the following two sub-sections we describe the methods we used to estimate the individual contributions using existing measurements and models.

A. Alternate \( ^{39}\text{Ar} \) Production Mechanisms

The production rate from muon captures at sea-level, \( P_{\mu0} \), can be estimated by

\[
P_{\mu0} = R_0 \cdot \frac{\lambda_c(\mu, n)^{40}\text{Ar}}{\lambda_d + \lambda_c(\mu, n)^{40}\text{Ar}} \cdot f^* (\text{Cl}^{39})
\]

where \( R_0 = (484 \pm 71) \mu^{-1}/(\text{kg}_{\text{Ar}} \times \text{day}) \) is the rate of stopped muons at sea-level [73] and we have added a 10% uncertainty to account for the 10% difference in the \( (Z/A) \) value for argon compared to air, \( \lambda_c(\mu, n)^{40}\text{Ar} \) = \( (1.20 \pm 0.08) \times 10^6 \) sec is the capture rate of muons on argon [74], \( \lambda_d = 4.552 \times 10^5 \) sec is the decay rate of muons [75], and \( f^* (\text{Cl}^{39}) = 0.490 \pm 0.014 \) is the effective probability of producing \( \text{Cl}^{39} \) or \( \text{Cl}^{37} \) [76, 77]. The sea-level production rate from muon captures is therefore estimated to be \( (172 \pm 26) \) atoms/(kg\(\text{Ar} \times \text{day})\).

\[
P_{\text{Ar}^{40}(\gamma, n)^{39}\text{Ar} \text{ and } 40}\text{Ar}(\gamma, p)^{39}\text{Cl}
\]

The flux of high energy gamma rays at the Earth’s surface was obtained using the PARMA analytical model [78] as implemented in the EXPACS software program [67]. Similar to the neutron spectrum, we used New York city as our reference location for the gamma spectrum, which is shown in Figure 7. We verified that the gamma flux predicted by the model agreed with experimental measurements [79] to within 20% in the energy range of interest (10-30 MeV), which we used as our estimate of the systematic uncertainty. Experimental measurements of the \( 40\text{Ar}(\gamma, n)^{39}\text{Ar} \) cross-section [80-82] and the \( 40\text{Ar}(\gamma, p)^{39}\text{Cl} \) cross-section [80, 82] are shown in Figure 8. Where multiple measurements exist we have used the mean and sample standard deviation of the calculated production rates as our estimates of the central value and uncertainty. The estimates for the individual processes are shown in Table V with the total sea-level production rate from gamma rays estimated to be \( (113 \pm 24) \) atoms/(kg\(\text{Ar} \times \text{day})\).
have used the mean and sample standard deviation of
squared by the same factor used in Table III. As before, we
estimates on the
low [83] and high [58] energies are shown in Figure 8
spectra from [69] and [68] (scaled by the angular distri-
production rate from protons we have used the proton
interactions of protons in the atmosphere. To estimate the
ray neutrons due to the additional electromagnetic in-
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FIG. 9. Thermal and epi-thermal neutron flux (black, left axis)
and capture cross-sections on $^{36}\text{Ar}$ (red) and $^{38}\text{Ar}$ (blue) along with experimental data points at thermal energies [70–72].

$^{40}\text{Ar}(p, 2p)^{36}\text{Cl}$ and $^{40}\text{Ar}(p, pn)^{39}\text{Ar}$

At sea-level the flux of 10-100 MeV cosmic ray pro-
tons is at least thirty times lower than that of cosmic ray neutrons due to the additional electromagnetic in-
teractions of protons in the atmosphere. To estimate the
production rate from protons we have used the proton
spectra from [69] and [68] (scaled by the angular distribu-
tion from the EXPACS code) as shown in Figure 7.
Measurements of the $^{40}\text{Ar}(p, 2p)^{39}\text{Cl}$ cross-section at
low [83] and high [58] energies are shown in Figure 8
but, due to the low proton flux, the contribution to the
overall production rate at sea-level is negligible.
We are not aware of any measurements of the $^{40}\text{Ar}(p, np)^{39}\text{Ar}$ cross-section (again probably due to the
difficulty of detecting $^{39}\text{Ar}$) and have therefore based our
estimates on the $^{40}\text{Ar}(n, d)^{39}\text{Ar}$ cross-section from TALYS,
scaled by the same factor used in Table III. As before, we
have used the mean and sample standard deviation of
the calculated production rates with the different pro-	on spectra and cross-sections as our estimates of the
central value and uncertainty, yielding a sea-level pro-
duction rate of $(3.6 \pm 2.2)$ atoms/(kg$_{\text{Ar}}$ day).

$^{38}\text{Ar}(n, \gamma)^{39}\text{Ar}$

In atmospheric argon (AAr), $^{39}\text{Ar}$ can also be pro-
duced through the capture of thermal and epi-thermal
neutrons on $^{38}\text{Ar}$. For the low energy neutron flux we
used the measurements taken in New York (see Fig-
ure 9 taken from Figure 4 in [21]). We note that even
after correcting for altitude, location, and solar activity,
the flux of low energy neutrons varies due to differ-
cences in the local environment [21] and we have there-
fore assumed a 30% uncertainty in the overall normal-
ization of the flux. For the $^{38}\text{Ar}(n, \gamma)^{39}\text{Ar}$ cross-section we have used the ENDF/B-VIII.I values [44] (shown in
Figure 9) which agrees well with experimental data at
thermal energies [70, 72]. Due to the low abundance
of $^{38}\text{Ar}$ the contribution to the total production rate
($(1.1 \pm 0.3)$ atoms/(kg$_{\text{Ar}}$ day)) is negligible.

The estimates for each of these alternate production
mechanisms for $^{39}\text{Ar}$ is summarized in Table V,
with fast neutrons contributing 73% of the total pro-
duction rate of $^{39}\text{Ar}$ at sea-level. As partial
verification, one can compare the estimates of the to-
tal $^{39}\text{Cl}$ production rate to an experimental measure-
ment of the production rate of $^{39}\text{Cl}$ in argon gas ex-
posed at sea level [31]. Summing up the contributions
from fast neutrons ($(173 \pm 71)$ atoms$^{39}\text{Cl}$/kg$_{\text{Ar}}$ day)
through $^{40}\text{Ar}(n, d)^{39}\text{Cl}$ with the alternate mechanisms
listed in Table V, one obtains a total production rate
of $(369 \pm 76)$ atoms$^{39}\text{Cl}$/kg$_{\text{Ar}}$ day) which is in rel-
avively good agreement with the experimentally mea-
ured $(288 \pm 29)$ atoms$^{39}\text{Cl}$/kg$_{\text{Ar}}$ day).
Thus the total cosmic ray production rate at sea-level is expected to be $(1048 \pm 133)$ atoms/(kgAr day) for $^{39}$Ar, which corresponds to a cosmic ray activation rate of $(8.6 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-8}$ Bq/(kgAr day) and a saturated equilibrium activity of $(1.21 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-3}$ Bq/kgAr. Note that the equilibrium activity at sea-level is lower than the measured level of $^{39}$Ar in the atmosphere because the total rate in the atmosphere is dominated by the production at high altitudes, where the neutron flux is significantly higher.

B. Alternate $^{37}$Ar Production Mechanisms

$^{40}$Ar($\gamma$, 3n)$^{37}$Ar and $^{40}$Ar(p, p3n)$^{37}$Ar

We are not aware of any existing measurements of either the $^{40}$Ar($\gamma$, 3n)$^{37}$Ar or the $^{40}$Ar(p, p3n)$^{37}$Ar cross-section. For the production rate from gammas we used the cross-section from TALYS (shown in Figure 8), while for proton induced reactions we have used the production rates obtained with the TALYS and INCL++ $^{40}$Ar(n, 4n)$^{37}$Ar cross-sections. As above, where multiple flux or cross-section estimates exist we have used the mean and sample standard deviation of the calculated production rates as our estimates of the central value and uncertainty. We estimate a sea-level production rate of $(3.5 \pm 0.7)$ atoms/(kgAr day) and $(1.3 \pm 0.4)$ atoms/(kgAr day) from $^{40}$Ar($\gamma$, 3n)$^{37}$Ar and $^{40}$Ar(p, p3n)$^{37}$Ar respectively.

$^{36}$Ar (n, $\gamma$)$^{37}$Ar

In AAr, $^{37}$Ar can also be produced through the capture of thermal and epithermal neutrons on $^{36}$Ar. For the $^{36}$Ar(n, $\gamma$)$^{37}$Ar cross-section we have used the ENDF/B-VIII values [44] (shown in Figure 9) which agrees well with experimental data at thermal energies [71, 72], though recent measurements at higher energies (Maxwellian $kT \approx 47$ keV) indicate that the cross-sections could be significantly lower than previous estimates [72]. This production channel produces a significant contribution to the total production rate of $(36 \pm 11)$ atoms/(kgAr day) at sea-level. Note that the abundance of $^{36}$Ar in argon from underground sources is reduced by roughly a factor of 40, and hence the production of $^{37}$Ar from neutron captures in UAr is $<1$ atom/(kgAr day).

$^{38}$Ar(n, 2n)$^{37}$Ar, $^{38}$Ar($\gamma$, n)$^{37}$Ar, and $^{38}$Ar(p, pn)$^{37}$Ar

All of the direct production mechanisms of $^{39}$Ar from $^{40}$Ar also apply to the production of $^{37}$Ar from $^{38}$Ar in AAr. We are not aware of any experimental measurements of the cross-sections on $^{38}$Ar except for $^{38}$Ar($\gamma$, n)$^{37}$Ar [80] which is roughly the same as the $^{40}$Ar($\gamma$, n)$^{39}$Ar cross-section. We have therefore estimated the production rate from reactions on $^{38}$Ar by taking the sum of the production rates from all direct $^{40}$Ar($x$, $y$)$^{39}$Ar mechanisms ($(679 \pm 85)$ atoms/(kgAr day)) and scaling it by the abundance of $^{38}$Ar in AAr (0.000629). This is perhaps an overestimation due to the fact that $^{38}$Ar has a magic number of neutrons and may therefore be expected to have lower cross-sections for the ejection of a neutron than $^{40}$Ar, but the contribution is in any case negligible ($(0.43 \pm 0.05)$ atoms/(kgAr day)).

The estimates for each of these alternate production mechanisms for $^{37}$Ar is summarized in Table VI. The total cosmic ray production rate at sea-level is expected to be $(56.7 \pm 7.5)$ atoms/(kgAr day) for $^{37}$Ar in underground argon and $(92 \pm 13)$ atoms/(kgAr day) for $^{37}$Ar in atmospheric argon. These numbers correspond to a cosmic ray activation rate of $(1.30 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-3}$ Bq/(kgAr day) for UAr and $(2.11 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-3}$ Bq/(kgAr day) for AAr, and a saturated equilibrium activity of $(6.56 \pm 0.87) \times 10^{-4}$ Bq/kgAr, $(1.06 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-3}$ Bq/kgAr respectively.

VIII. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

We have made the first experimental measurement of the production rate of $^{39}$Ar and $^{37}$Ar due to fast neutron interactions in argon. This measurement was enabled by the ability to directly measure the $\beta$ and electron-capture decays that are not detectable with standard methods only sensitive to $\gamma$-rays from activation products. Including uncertainties in the cross-section models and the cosmogenic neutron flux we obtained a production rate of $(759 \pm 128)$ atoms/(kgAr day) for $^{39}$Ar, and $(51.0 \pm 7.4)$ atoms/(kgAr day) for $^{37}$Ar from cosmogenic neutrons at sea-level. Combined with calculated estimates of other production mechanisms, we obtain a total cosmic ray production rate at sea-level of $(1048 \pm 133)$ atoms/(kgAr day) for $^{39}$Ar, and $(92 \pm 13)$ atoms/(kgAr day) for $^{37}$Ar in atmospheric argon ($(56.7 \pm 7.5)$ atoms/(kgAr day) for $^{37}$Ar in UAr).

These results are most relevant to argon-based dark matter detectors where $^{39}$Ar is the dominant background and lowering the rate of $^{39}$Ar can reduce energy thresholds and improve sensitivity. The argon extracted from underground and used as the target for the DarkSide-50 experiment was measured to have an $^{39}$Ar rate of $7.3 \times 10^{-4}$ Bq/kgAr [15], but it is thought that a large fraction of the residual $^{39}$Ar was due to an air infiltration during the purification of the underground gas. Thus it is possible that the measured activity is only an upper limit, and the true rate of
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>Estimated $^{39}$Ar Production rate [atoms/(kg$_{Ar}$ day)]</th>
<th>Fraction of total AAr [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$^{40}$Ar(n, 2n)$^{39}$Ar + $^{40}$Ar(n, d)$^{39}$Cl</td>
<td>759 ± 128</td>
<td>72.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{40}$Ar($\mu$, n)$^{39}$Cl</td>
<td>172 ± 26</td>
<td>16.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{40}$Ar($\gamma$, n)$^{39}$Ar</td>
<td>89 ± 19</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{40}$Ar($\gamma$, p)$^{39}$Cl</td>
<td>23.8 ± 8.7</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{40}$Ar(p, 2p)$^{39}$Cl</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{38}$Ar(n, $\gamma$)$^{39}$Ar</td>
<td>$\leq$0.1 (UAr)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1048 ± 133</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE V.** Total cosmogenic production rates of $^{39}$Ar at sea-level. The first row is the estimate from fast neutrons based on the measurement presented in this work, while the other rows are best estimates made from existing experimental data and models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>Estimated $^{37}$Ar Production rate [atoms/(kg$_{Ar}$ day)]</th>
<th>Fraction of total UAr [%]</th>
<th>Fraction of total AAr [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$^{40}$Ar(n, 4n)$^{37}$Ar</td>
<td>51.0 ± 7.4</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>55.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{40}$Ar($\gamma$, 3n)$^{37}$Ar</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.7</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{40}$Ar(p, p3n)$^{37}$Ar</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{36}$Ar (n, $\gamma$)$^{37}$Ar</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.3 (UAr)</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>38.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{38}$Ar(n, 2n)$^{37}$Ar + $^{38}$Ar($\gamma$, n)$^{37}$Ar + $^{38}$Ar(p, pn)$^{37}$Ar</td>
<td>$\leq$0.05 (UAr)</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>56.7 ± 7.5 (UAr)</td>
<td>92 ± 13 (AAr)</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE VI.** Total cosmogenic production rates of $^{37}$Ar at sea-level. The first row is the estimate from fast neutrons based on the measurement presented in this work, while the other rows are best estimates made from existing experimental data and models.

$^{39}$Ar in UAr could be as low as $3 \times 10^{-5}$ Bq/kg$_{Ar}$ [84]. For future multi-ton dark matter detectors low levels of $^{39}$Ar are even more critical, and efforts will be made to avoid any contamination of the underground argon with the atmosphere [85]. At this possibly lower intrinsic $^{39}$Ar rate, cosmogenic activation of the underground argon (once it is extracted to the surface) is potentially a significant contributor to the overall background. The results from this paper, scaled by the cosmic ray flux at the relevant altitudes and locations, can be used to calculate the experiment-specific maximum duration UAr can spend above ground during extraction, purification, storage, and transportation. We note that the measured sea-level activation rate of $^{39}$Ar in this paper [(8.6 ± 1.1) $\times$ 10$^{-8}$ Bq/(kg$_{Ar}$ day)] is nearly an order of magnitude larger than previous estimates based on semi-empirical calculations (0.5–1.5) $\times$ 10$^{-8}$ Bq/(kg$_{Ar}$ day) [50].

Finally, these results can also be used to estimate the total equilibrium rate of $^{39}$Ar and $^{37}$Ar in the atmosphere. This is potentially useful for establishing baseline values for radioactive dating and nuclear activity monitoring, as well as evaluating the constancy of cosmic radiation [51].
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