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Abstract

The McCool group $P\Sigma_n$ has some subgroups namely the well studied subgroup, called the upper triangular McCool group $P\Sigma_n^+$, and the partial inner automorphisms group $I_n$. These two subgroups have in common that they decompose into iterated almost direct products of free groups and are related. We show that, in $P\Sigma_n$, the subgroup $I_n$ is isomorphic to the inner automorphism group $\text{Int}(P\Sigma_n^{+1})$ of $P\Sigma_n^{+1}$. We study and inspect the rank of the quotient group $gr^k(P\Sigma_n)$ associated with its lower central series and we conjecture that its rank is equal to $(n-1)r_n(k)$ for all $n,k \geq 1$ where $r_n(k)$ is the rank of the abelian group $L_n(k)$ associated with the lower central series of the free group $F_n$. We also study the equality problem between the Andreadakis filtration restricts an arbitrary almost direct iterated product of free groups and its lower central series. We show in particular that the Andreadakis filtration restricted to $I_n$ coincides with its lower central series.

1 Introduction and Notation

We set first some notations. Let $\mathbb{Z}$ be the set of integers and let $G$ be a group.

1. By $N \leq G$, we mean that $N$ is a subgroup of $G$. By $N \trianglelefteq G$, we mean that $N$ is a normal subgroup of $G$.

2. Given $E$ a subset of $G$, we denote $\langle E \rangle$ the subgroup of $G$ generated by $E$.

3. For another group $H$, we denote $Z(H)$ the center of $H$, and write $H = H/Z(H)$, isomorphic of the inner automorphism group $\text{Int}(H)$ of $H$.

For a fixed integer $n \geq 2$, let $F_n$ be the free group of rank $n$ on the free generators $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ and let $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ the automorphism group of $F_n$. The action of $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ on the abelianization of $F_n$ induces a homomorphism $N : \text{Aut}(F_n) \to GL(n, \mathbb{Z})$, where $GL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ is the group of $n \times n$ invertible matrices with coefficients in the set of integers $\mathbb{Z}$. Nielsen [JN24] studied the homomorphism $N$ and showed that it is surjective. The kernel $IA_n := \ker(N)$ which is called the group of IA-automorphisms of $F_n$ is the subgroup of automorphisms of $F_n$ acting trivially on its abelianization $\mathbb{Z}^n$. In 1935, Magnus provided us in [WM35] a finite set of generators for the group $IA_n$. These are the automorphisms of $F_n$ given by:

$$\xi_{k,s,t}(x_i) = \begin{cases} x_k x_{s,x} & \text{if } k = l, \\ x_i & \text{if } k \neq l \end{cases}, \quad \xi_{i,j}(x_l) = \begin{cases} x_j^{-1} x_i & \text{if } l = i, \\ x_l & \text{if } l \neq i \end{cases}$$

(1)

where $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$, $1 \leq k, s, t \leq n$ and $k, s, t$ are distinct. Nielsen showed, in the same article cited above, that $IA_2$ coincides with the inner automorphisms group $\text{Int}(F_2)$ of $F_2$; thus $IA_2$ is a free group of rank 2. But when $n \geq 3$, $IA_n$ is much larger than the group of inner automorphisms group $\text{Int}(F_n)$ of $F_n$. Although group $IA_n$ has finite generators, it is still not known whether $IA_n$ is finitely presentable or not for $n \geq 4$. In 1997, Krstić and McCool showed in [KM97] that group $IA_3$ is not a finite presentation. So far, the group structure of $IA_n$ is not yet well understood in general and remains quite complicated. Due to the combinatorial complexity associated with $IA_n$, Andreadakis [SA65] defined on the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(F_n)$, in order to study the group $IA_n$ with a stepwise approach, a descending filtration $\{A_n(k)\}_{k \geq 1}$ whose first term of this filtration is $IA_n$. This is a way to study the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(F_n)$. The commutator $[x, y] := x^{-1} y^{-1} x y$ of two elements $x, y$ of $F_n$ defines a descending series $\{\Gamma_n(k)\}_{k \geq 1}$, known as the lower central series of $F_n$. It is also known that the rank $r_n(k)$ of the quotients successive groups $L_n(k) := \Gamma_n(k)/\Gamma_n(k+1)$ associated with the lower central series of the free group $F_n$ which is obtained by the formula [EW37], [MH76]:

$$r_n(k) := \frac{1}{k} \sum_{d | k} \mu(d) n^{\frac{k}{d}}$$

(2)
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where $\mu$ is the Möbius function and $d$ runs through all positive divisors of $k$. For $k \geq 2$, the action of $Aut(F_n)$ on each $k$-th nilpotent quotient $N_k(F_n) := F_n/\Gamma_n(k+1)$ induces a group homomorphism

$$\lambda_k : Aut(F_n) \longrightarrow Aut(N_k(F_n)).$$

This filtration $\{A_n(k)\}_k$, known as Andreadakis filtration is obtained by defining

$$A_n(k) := ker(\lambda_k : Aut(F_n) \longrightarrow Aut(N_k(F_n))).$$

Each $A_n(k)$ is a normal subgroup of $Aut(F_n)$ by construction and a result of Andreadakis [SA65] shows that the Andreadakis filtration is an N-series, that is to say that it satisfies:

$$(A_n(k), A_n(l)) := \{(x,y) | x \in A_n(k) \text{ and } y \in A_n(l)\} \subseteq A_n(k+l) \text{ for all } k, l \geq 0.$$  

(3)

Since the filtration $\{A_n(k)\}_k$ is an N-series, the k-th term of the Andreadakis filtration $A_n(k)$ contains that of the central descending series $\Gamma_k(IA_n)$ de $IA_n$, i.e., $A_n(k) \supseteq \Gamma_k(IA_n)$. These inclusions become equal in some cases. When $k = 1$, $A_n(1) = \Gamma_1(IA_n)$ is always true by definition and Andreadakis showed that $A_n(2) = \Gamma_2(IA_n)$ for all $k \geq 1$ and $A_n(3) = \Gamma_3(IA_n)$. It is also known that $A_n(2) = \Gamma_2(IA_2)$ for all $k \geq 1$, due to Bachmuth [SB60]. It is a conjecture of Andreadakis [SA65] that the group of finite rank due to Andreadakis [SA65]. By the calculations of Kawazumi [NK06], Cohen - Pakianathan [CP11, CP2] and Andreadakis [SA65] show that $Gr^1(A_n)$ is a free abelian group of finite rank due to Andreadakis [SA65]. By the calculations of Kawazumi [NK06], Cohen - Pakianathan [CP11, CP2] and Andreadakis [SA65] show that $Gr^1(A_n)$ is isomorphic to the abelianization of $IA_n$ so we know the rank of $Gr^1(A_n)$ which is equal to $\frac{n^2(n-1)}{2}$. Here we have considered the subgroup of $IA_n$ generated by the automorphisms $\xi_{i,j}$, the McCool group. This subgroup, noted $PS_n$, has topological interpretations. For example the group $PS_n$ can be realized as the group of pure motion of $n$ unknotted circles in the 3-sphere $S^3$, see [DG81] for more details. In 1986, McCool [JMS86] gave a presentation which is listed in [K3] of this group $PS_n$. Let $M_n(0) := A_n(k) \cap PS_n$ be the restriction of the Andreadakis filtration to $PS_n$. We thus obtain an N-series

$$PS_n := M_n(0) \supseteq M_n(2) \supseteq \ldots \supseteq M_n(k) \supset \ldots$$

of $PS_n$. It is clear that each of its successive quotients $Gr^k(PS_n) = M_n(k)/M_n(k+1)$ is an abelian subgroup of $Gr^k(A_n)$. Since $M_n(k)$ is defined as an N-series of $PS_n$, then for each $k \geq 1$, the k-th term of the Andreadakis filtration $M_n(k)$ of $PS_n$ contains that of the central descending series $\Gamma_k(PS_n)$ of $PS_n$, that is to say that we have, $\Gamma_k(PS_n) \subseteq M_n(k)$. It is conjectured that $\Gamma_k(PS_n) = M_n(k)$ for each $k \geq 1$. The conjecture was made by Satoh in [TS12] who showed $\Gamma_k(PS_n) = M_n(k)$ for $k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. We studied the question of knowing whether or not $\Gamma_k(PS_n) = M_n(k)$ for all $n \geq 2, k \geq 4$. The strategy we put in place to want to show this last equality was

(1) to use an application based on Johnson’s homomorphism $\tau_k$ of $Aut(F_n)$, (see [DJS, DJS, TS16] for more details), determine the image of this application and calculate the rank of the latter,

(2) then determine the rank $\phi_k(PS_n)$ of the successive quotients $gr^k(PS_n) := \Gamma_k(PS_n)/\Gamma_{k+1}(PS_n)$ associated with the lower central series of $PS_n$ and then make a rank comparison. If the two ranks are equal then we will have a second map, which will turn out to be an isomorphism. Finally conclude using an induction $k$.

Which brought us back to inspecting the rank of $gr^k(PS_n)$. We have been able to observe, by fixing alternately the integers $n$ and $k$ that the ranks $\phi_k(PS_n)$ of the successive quotients $gr^k(PS_n)$ are given, in some cases by the formula

$$\phi_k(PS_n) = (n-1)r_n(k).$$

(4)

We summarize these results as follows. To begin with, note that $PS_1 = \{1\}$, there is nothing to say in this case.

(1) We will see later that $PS_2$ is a free group of rank 2, so we have $\phi_k(PS_2) = r_2(k)$, (see 30).

(2) We will show that $PS_3$ is an almost direct product of two free groups of rank 3. By the result of Theorem 2.8, we will thus obtain $\phi_k(PS_3) = 2r_3(k)$, (see proposition 7).
(3) It is known that for all \( n \geq 2 \), \( \text{gr}^1(P\Sigma_n) = \mathbb{Z}^{n(n-1)} \), see for example [CPVW08] thus \( \phi_1(P\Sigma_n) = (n - 1), r_n(1) \).

(4) We will show in Theorem 3.1 that the rank of \( \text{gr}^2(P\Sigma_n) \) is equal to \( \phi_2(P\Sigma_n) = (n - 1), r_n(2) \) for all \( n \geq 2 \).

For all \( n \geq 4 \) and \( k \geq 3 \), it turned out to be quite complicated to determine the rank of \( \text{gr}^k(P\Sigma_n) \). We conjectured that Formula (2) holds for all \( n \geq 4 \) and \( k \geq 3 \). Because of the difficulty that we encountered, we have not succeeded in proving or disproving the problem of knowing whether or not \( \Gamma_k(P\Sigma_n) = M_n(k) \) for all \( n \geq 4, k \geq 4 \). So it’s still an open problem. We have however considered to be a weak version of the above-mentioned problem in the case of \( P\Sigma_n \), Satoh [TS17] showed that Andreadakis filtration restricted to subgroups of \( P\Sigma_n \) generated by \( \xi_{i, j} \) with \( i > j \), the upper triangular McCool group \( P\Sigma_n^+ \) coincides with the lower central series \( \Gamma_k(P\Sigma_n^+) \) of \( P\Sigma_n^+ \), i.e. for all \( n \geq 1 \)

\[
\mathcal{M}_n^+(k) = M_n(k) \cap P\Sigma_n^+ = \Gamma_k(P\Sigma_n^+) \quad (k \geq 1)
\]

An analogous result holds for the case of the group of pure braids \( P_n \), (see for example [SJIS, SP01]), the subgroup consisting of \( P\Sigma_n \) leaving the word \( x_1 \ldots x_n \in F_n \) invariant. The subgroups \( P\Sigma_n^+ \) and \( P_n \) both have an almost direct iterated product structure of the free groups. It is natural to ask the question: What other subgroup \( G \) of \( P\Sigma_n \) which decomposes into an almost direct iterated product of free groups verifies this equality:

\[
\mathcal{G}(k) = \mathcal{M}_n(k) \cap G = \Gamma_k(G) \quad (k \geq 1)?
\]

The partial inner automorphisms group \( I_n \) defined and studied in [BN17] which is the subgroup generated by the automorphisms \( \nu_{p,i} = \xi_{1,i}, \xi_{2,i}, \ldots, \xi_{p,i} \) with \( i \leq p \) is a good candidate to verify (2). The ranks \( \phi_k(P\Sigma_n^+) \) of \( \text{gr}^k(P\Sigma_n^+) \) have been determined in [CPVW08] using the exact sequence of group \( 1 \to F_{n-1} \to P\Sigma_n^+ \to P\Sigma_n^{+1} \) and Theorem (2.3) below. The ranks \( \phi_k(P_n) \) of \( \text{gr}^k(P_n) \) have been calculated by Falk and Randell [FRS85], using the exact sequence of group \( 1 \to F_{n-1} \to P\Sigma_n^+ \to P\Sigma_n^{+1} \) and again Theorem (2.3) below. We determine similarly, the rank \( \phi_k(I_n) \) of \( \text{gr}^k(I_n) \). We then used the strategy mentioned above to show that the group \( I_n \) satisfies the equality (2) (see Theorem 2). D Cohen has also studied in [DC08] the structure of an almost direct iterated arbitrary product of free groups \( G = \times_{p=1}^k F_{n_p} \). In particular he determined, in [DC08], theorem 3.1, the structure of the cohomology ring \( H^*(G, \mathbb{Z}) \) of such group with coefficients in \( \mathbb{Z} \). As a direct application of this result, we give, in Proposition 6, the cohomology ring \( H^*(I_n, \mathbb{Z}) \) of \( I_n \). Moreover we noticed, that the subgroup \( I_n \) is isomorphic to the inner automorphisms group \( \text{Int}(P\Sigma_n^{+1}) \) of \( P\Sigma_n^{+1} \), see Theorem (4.2) below.

2 Background and Some basic results

Given a group \( G \), we denote by \((g_1, g_2)\) the commutator \( g_1^{-1}g_2^{-1}g_1g_2 \) of two elements \( g_1 \) and \( g_2 \) of the group \( G \) denoted by multiplication. Similarly if \( n \geq 1 \) is an integer and \( g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n \), \( n \)-elements of \( G \), a simple commutator of weight \( n \) is given by:

\[
\begin{align*}
(1) & \quad (g_1) = g_1 \\
(2) & \quad (g_1, g_2) = g_1^{-1}g_2^{-1}g_1g_2 \\
(3) & \quad ((g_1, g_2)g_3) = (g_1, g_2)^{-1}g_3^{-1}(g_1, g_2)g_3 \\
(4) & \quad (g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) = ((g_1, \ldots, g_{n-1}), g_n)
\end{align*}
\]

We give below some identities of the commutators, which are easy to check.

**Property 1.** Let \( a, b, c \in G \). Then

1. \( a^b := a(a, b) = b^{-1}ab \) et \( (a, b) = (b, a)^{-1} \),
2. \( (a^c, b^c) = (a, b)^c \),
3. \( (a, b^{-1}) = ((a, b)^{-1})^{-1} \) et \( (a^{-1}, b) = ((a, b)^{-1})^{-1} \),
4. \( (ab, c) = (a, c)^b (b, c) \) et \( (a, bc) = (a, c)(a, b)^c \),
5. \( (a, b^{-1}, c)^b (b, c^{-1}, a)^c (c, a^{-1}, b)^a = e_G \) (identity of Witt-Hall).
**Definition 1. (Commutator of subgroups)**

If $A$ and $B$ are subgroups of $G$. We define the commutator of $A$ and $B$ to be the subgroup of $G$ generated by the set of commutators $(a, b)$ such that $a \in A$ and $b \in B$, i.e.,

$$(A, B) = \langle (a, b) : a \in A \text{ and } b \in B \rangle.$$

More generally, if $m \geq 2$, is an integer and $A_1, \ldots, A_m$ are subgroups of $G$. We call a simple commutator of weight $m$ in the components $A_1, \ldots, A_m$, the subgroup given by induction as follows:

$$(A_1, A_2) = \langle (a_1, a_2) : a_1 \in A_1 \text{ and } a_2 \in A_2 \rangle$$

$$(A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_m) = \langle (A_1, \ldots, A_{m-1}), A_m \rangle$$

$$(A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_m) = \langle (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m) : a_k \in A_k \text{ for } k \in \{1, \ldots, m\} \rangle$$

The simple commutator of weight $m$ is denoted by $\mathcal{S}^m(A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_m) := (A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_m)$.

If we denote by $\text{End}(G)$ (resp. $\text{Aut}(G)$) the set of endomorphisms (resp. Automorphisms) of $G$, we say that a subgroup $A$ of $G$ is said to be fully invariant, when it is stable by any endomorphism of $G$:

$$\forall f \in \text{End}(G), f(A) \leq A.$$  

In particular, a subgroup $A$ of $G$ is said to be characteristic when it is stable by any automorphism of $G$ i.e.

$$\forall f \in \text{Aut}(G), f(A) \leq A.$$

**Property 2.**

1. All fully invariant subgroups, or characteristic subgroups is a normal subgroup.

2. The simple commutator $\mathcal{S}^m(A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_m)$ of weight $m$ is a fully invariant subgroup.

**Proof.**

1. Let $A$ be a fully invariant subgroup (resp characteristic) subgroup of $G$. For each $a \in A$, the conjugation by $a$ (i.e., $x \mapsto a^{-1}xa = x^a$) is an endomorphism (resp. Automorphism) of $G$. It immediately follows that each fully invariant (resp characteristic) subgroup is normal.

2. If $A_1, A_2 \leq G$ and $f \in \text{End}(G)$, we have immediately $f((A_1, A_2)) = (f(A_1), f(A_2))$. Proof 2 is then immediate by induction on $m$.

The subgroups $\{\mathcal{S}^m(A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_m)\}_m$ are fully invariant in $G$. We define one of such fully invariant subgroups, denoted $\Gamma_k(G)$, of $G$ by means of the relations following:

$$\Gamma_1(G) := G \text{ et } \Gamma_{k+1}(G) = (\Gamma_k(G), G), \text{ } k \geq 1.$$  

Note that the derived subgroup of $G$ is equal to $\Gamma_2(G)$ and thus the quotient $G^{ab} := G/\Gamma_2(G)$ is the abelianization of $G$. Moreover, we have $\Gamma_{k+1}(G) \leq \Gamma_k(G)$ for all $k \geq 1$, as we can see immediately by induction on $k$.

**Definition 2.** The lower central series of a group $G$ is the filtration

$$G = \Gamma_1(G) \supseteq \Gamma_2(G) \supseteq \ldots \Gamma_k(G) \supseteq \Gamma_{k+1}(G) \supseteq \ldots$$

of $G$ by fully invariant subgroups $\Gamma_k(G)$.

A group $G$ is called nilpotent if there exists an integer $r$ such that $\Gamma_r(G) = \{e_G\}$, identity element of the group $G$. The largest $r$ such that $\Gamma_r(G) \neq \{e_G\}$ and $\Gamma_{r+1}(G) = \{e_G\}$ is called the nilpotence class of $G$. For each integer $k \geq 1$ the quotient $G/\Gamma_{k+1}(G)$ is a nilpotent group of class $k$, is called the $k$-th nilpotent quotient of $G$ and we denote it by $N_k(G) := G/\Gamma_{k+1}(G)$.

**Proposition 1.** If $A \triangleleft G$ and $A \leq B \leq G$ then $(B, G) \leq A$ if and only if $B/A \leq Z(G/A)$.

**Proof.** Let $xA \in B/A$ we have

$$xA \in Z(G/A) \iff xAgA = gAxA \iff (x, g) A = A \iff (x, g) \in A \text{ for all } g \in G$$

and thus $B/A$ is contained in the center of $G/A$ if and only if $(B, G) \leq A$. 

\[\square\]
It follows from this proposition that \( \Gamma_k(G)/\Gamma_{k+1}(G) \) is in the center of \( G/\Gamma_{k+1}(G) \), i.e. \( \Gamma_k(G)/\Gamma_{k+1}(G) \subseteq Z(G/\Gamma_{k+1}(G)) \). And in fact:

**Lemma 1.** For any integer \( p, q \geq 1 \), we have

\[(\Gamma_p(G), \Gamma_q(G)) \subseteq \Gamma_{p+q}(G). \tag{8}\]

**Proof.** By (cf. [DR82] Theorem 5.1.10): Let \( A, B \) and \( C \) be subgroups of a group \( G \). Let \( N \) be a normal subgroup of \( G \).

\((*)\) If \( ((A, B), C) \subseteq N \) and \( ((C, A), B) \subseteq N \) then \( ((B, C), A) \subseteq N \).

The lemma is obtained by induction and applying \((*)\). Let us show by induction on \( q \) for all \( p \geq 1 \) the following hypothesis:

\[R(q): \text{ for all } p \geq 1, \ (\Gamma_p(G), \Gamma_q(G)) \subseteq \Gamma_{p+q}(G)\]

It is clear, by definition, that \( R(1) \) is true. Suppose by induction that \( R(q) \) is true and show it for \( q + 1 \). By applying \((*)\) with

\[A = \Gamma_p(G), B = \Gamma_q(G), C = G \text{ and } N = \Gamma_{p+q+1}(G)\]

and using the induction hypothesis we see that

\[(A, B), C) = ((\Gamma_p(G), \Gamma_q(G)), G) \subseteq (\Gamma_{p+q}(G), G) \subseteq \Gamma_{p+q+1}(G),\]

and

\[((C, A), B) = ((G, \Gamma_p(G)), \Gamma_q(G)) = (\Gamma_{p+1}(G), \Gamma_q(G)) \subseteq \Gamma_{p+q+1}(G)\]

Thus \((B, C), A) = ((\Gamma_q(G), G), \Gamma_p(G)) \subseteq \Gamma_{p+q+1}(G). \) Therefore for all \( p \geq 1 \) we obtain

\[(\Gamma_{q+1}(G), \Gamma_p(G)) = ((\Gamma_q(G), G), \Gamma_p(G)) \subseteq \Gamma_{p+q+1}(G)\]

which establishes \( R(q + 1) \).

**Proposition 2.** Let \( G \) be a group and let \( N \trianglelefteq G \). Then the quotient group \( G/N \) is abelian if and only if \( (a, b) \in N \) for all \( a, b \in G \).

**Proof.** Suppose \( G/N \) is abelian. If \( a, b \in G \) then \( \overline{a} = aN, \overline{b} = bN \in G/N, \) and \( \overline{ab} = \overline{a} \overline{b} \). Therefore

\[(a, b)N = (aN, bN) = (aN)^{-1}(bN)^{-1}(aN)(bN) = N\]

We thus have \( (a, b) \in N \) and it follows \( (G, G) = \Gamma_2(G) \subseteq N \). Conversely, if \( (a, b) \in N \) for all \( a, b \in G \), we then have

\[(aN)^{-1}(bN)^{-1}(aN)(bN) = (aN, bN) = (a, b)N = N\]

for all \( a, b \in G \). Thus \( G/N \) is abelian.

Applying this proposition and the fact that \( (\Gamma_k(G), \Gamma_k(G)) \subseteq \Gamma_{2k}(G) \subseteq \Gamma_{k+1}(G) \), we see that the quotient group \( \Gamma_k(G)/\Gamma_{k+1}(G) \) is Abelian. In the following, we will denote the quotient group \( \Gamma_k(G)/\Gamma_{k+1}(G) \) of the series \( \{\Gamma_k(G)\}_{k \geq 1} \) by

\[gr^k(G) := \Gamma_k(G)/\Gamma_{k+1}(G), \quad (k \geq 1).\]

A Robinson result shows that the abelianization of a group \( G \) influences the quotients \( gr^k(G) \) of its lower central series.

**Theorem 2.1.** (D. Robinson [DR83, 5.25]) Let \( G \) be a group. For each integer \( n \geq 2 \), the map \( \Phi: gr^{k-1}(G) \otimes_G \mathbb{Z}^n \to gr^k(G) \) defined by the formula

\[x \mod \Gamma_k(G) \otimes y \mod \Gamma_2(G) \mapsto (x, y) \mod \Gamma_{k+1}(G) \quad (x \in \Gamma_{k-1}, \ y \in G)\]

is an abelian group epimorphism.

**Notation 2.1.** If \( M \) is an abelian group, or a \( \mathbb{Z} \)-module, then the tensor product of \( k \)-times of \( M \) is written

\[\bigotimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^k M := M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \cdots \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} M\]

We deduce from Theorem 2.1 the following corollary.
Corollary 2.1. Let $G$ be a group. For each $k \geq 2$, there is the following abelian group epimorphism

$$\bigotimes_{Z}^{k} G^{ab} \hookrightarrow gr^{k}(G)$$

defined by $x_{1} \mod \Gamma_{2}(G) \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{k} \mod \Gamma_{2}(G) \mapsto (x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}) \mod \Gamma_{k+1}(G)$

Proof. The corollary is obtained by induction on $k \geq 2$. □

Proposition 3. Suppose that $G$ is generated by elements $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{r}$. Then for each integer $k \geq 1$, $gr^{k}(G)$ is generated by the simple commutators $(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{k}) \mod \Gamma_{k+1}(G)$ where $c$ are chosen from $\{g_{1}, \ldots, g_{r}\}$ and are not necessarily distinct. In particular if $G$ is of finite type, $gr^{k}(G)$ is an abelian group of finite type.

Proof. Since $G$ is generated by the elements $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{r}$, and $G^{ab}$ is generated by the elements $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{r}$. Thus $\bigotimes_{Z}^{k} G^{ab}$ is generated by the tensor products of the form $x_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{k}$ where the $h_{j} := x_{1}^{c}$ are chosen from the elements $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{r}$. By corollary 2.1 just above, $gr^{k}(G)$ is generated by the simple commutators, modulo $\Gamma_{k+1}$ of the form $(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{k})$. □

The reader may also consult Hall’s book [MH76] for a proof of this above result.

Notation 2.2. When $gr^{k}(G)$ is an abelian group of finite type, we denote $\phi_{k}(G) := \text{rang } (gr^{k}(G))$ its rank for each $k \geq 1$.

2.1 Free group case

When $G := F_{m}$ is a free group on $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$, with $m \geq 1$ an integer. For each $k \geq 1$, we denote $\Gamma_{m}(k)$ the $k$-th term of the lower central series of $F_{m}$ and write $L_{m}(k) = \Gamma_{m}(k)/\Gamma_{m}(k+1)$ the quotient group of the lower central series of $F_{m}$. P. Hall [MH50, MH76] introduced what are called basic commutators $c_{j}$ of weight $w(c_{j})$ of a free group $F_{m}$.

Definition 3. (basic commutators)

Let $F_{m}$ be a free group on $X := \{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\}$. A basic commutator $c_{j}$ of weight $w(c_{j})$ is defined recursively as follows:

1. The elements of $X$ are the basic commutators of weight one, that is, $c_{i} = x_{i}, i = 1, \ldots, m$ are the basic commutators of weight one, $w(x_{i}) = 1$. We impose an arbitrary order on them as $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{m}$ where $c_{i} \leq c_{j}$ if $i \leq j$.

2. Suppose that we have defined and ordered the basic commutators of weight less than $l > 1$. The basic commutators of weight $l$ are $c_{k} = (c_{i}, c_{j})$ where

(a) $c_{i}$ and $c_{j}$ are distinct basic commutators of weight $< l$ and $w(c_{i}) + w(c_{j}) = l$ and

(b) $c_{i} > c_{j}$

(c) if $c_{i} = (c_{s}, c_{t})$ then $c_{j} \geq c_{t}$.

3. Basic commutators of weight $l$ follow those of weight less than $l$ and are arbitrarily ordered with respect to each other.

Example 1. We illustrate how to build the basic commutators, up to weight 3, of group $F_{3}$ with $X = \{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\}$. First these elements $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ are ordered as follows:

$$x_{1} < x_{2} < x_{3}$$

and are the basic commutators of weight 1. We impose the lexicographic order on the elements $(x_{i}, x_{j})$. The basic commutators of weight 2 are:

$$(x_{2}, x_{1}) < (x_{3}, x_{1}) < (x_{3}, x_{2})$$

and the basic commutators of weight 3 are:

$$(x_{2}, x_{1}, x_{1}) < (x_{2}, x_{1}, x_{2}) < (x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{3}) < (x_{3}, x_{1}, x_{1}) < (x_{3}, x_{1}, x_{2}) < (x_{3}, x_{1}, x_{3}) < (x_{3}, x_{2}, x_{2}) < (x_{3}, x_{2}, x_{3}).$$

Note that $(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{1})$ does not appear among the basic commutators of weight 3, because by (c) of definition, we have $c_{j} = x_{1} \not\leq c_{i} = x_{2}$. 
The following statement and its proof appear in the book [MH76].

**Theorem 2.2.** *(Basic theorem)*

If \( F_m \) is a free group generated by \( x_1, \ldots, x_m \) and if in a sequence of basic commutators \( c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_t \) are those of weights \( 1, 2, \ldots, k \) then an arbitrary element \( f \) of \( F_m \) has a unique representation

\[
f = c_1^{\epsilon_1}, c_2^{\epsilon_2}, \ldots, c_t^{\epsilon_t} \mod \Gamma_m(k + 1)
\]

where \( \epsilon_i = \pm 1, i = 1, \ldots, t \). In addition the basic commutators of weight \( k \) form a basis for the free abelian group \( \mathcal{L}_m(k) \), for each \( k \geq 1 \).

Basic commutators of weight \( k \) are now called Hall bases and for more details on this topic, we refer you the book [MH76]. For example the Hall bases of \( \mathcal{L}_m(k) \) for \( 1 \leq k \leq 2 \) are given [MH50, MH76] below.

1. \( \mathcal{L}_m(1) = F_m^{ab} \) with basis \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \),
2. \( \mathcal{L}_m(2) = \Lambda^2 F_m^{ab} \) with basis \( (x_i, x_j) \) and \( i > j \).

Moreover a formula due to E. Witt [EW37] provides the rank of \( \mathcal{L}_m(k) \) for all \( k \geq 1 \). The formula is given by

\[
r_m(k) := \frac{1}{k} \sum_{d | k} \mu(d) m^\frac{k}{d}
\]

where \( \mu \) is the Möbius function and \( d \) runs through all positive divisors of \( k \).

### 2.2 Case of semi-direct products

If \( G \) is a semi-direct product of a normal subgroup \( N \) of \( G \) by a subgroup \( H \) of \( G \), denoted by \( G := N \rtimes H \), there naturally exists a homomorphism \( \theta : H \to \text{Aut}(N) \) defined by

\[
\theta_h(n) = h^{-1}nh, \text{ for all } h \in H \text{ and } n \in N,
\]

in addition for all \( x, y \in H \) and \( n \in N \), we have

\[
\theta_{xy}(n) = \theta_x(\theta_y(n)) = \theta_{xy}(n).
\]

Conversely, suppose we have groups \( N \) and \( H \) and a homomorphism \( \theta : H \to \text{Aut}(N) \). The semi-direct product \( G = N \rtimes \theta H \) following \( \theta \) is the group consisting of the set \( N \times H \), the Cartesian product of \( N \) and \( H \) provided with the group law defined by:

\[(n_1, h_1)(n_2, h_2) := (n_1 \theta_{h_1}(n_2), h_1h_2).
\]

Therefore have a semi-direct product \( G = N \rtimes H \) is equivalent to having an action of \( H \) on \( N \) by group automorphisms. An action of \( H \) on \( N \) gives rise to an action of \( H \) on \( N^{ab} \), the abelianization of \( N \); we say that a semi-direct product \( N \rtimes H \) is almost direct if this last action is trivial. We have the following well-known result from Falk and Randell [FR85], which shows that

\[
\Gamma_k(G) = \Gamma_k(N) \rtimes \Gamma_k(H)
\]

for almost direct products of groups.

**Theorem 2.3.** *(Theorem 3.1 in [FR85]*

Let \( G = N \rtimes H \) be a semi-direct product of the groups and suppose that \( H \) acts trivially on \( N^{ab} \), the abelianization of \( N \). Then there exists an exact split sequence

\[
0 \to \text{gr}^k(N) \to \text{gr}^k(G) \to \text{gr}^k(H) \to 0
\]

for each \( k \geq 1 \).

The proof of this Theorem 2.3 is not difficult and a similar statement is given in [YI90]. We deduce from this theorem the following corollary:

**Corollary 2.2.** Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.3, we have

\[
\text{gr}^k(G) \cong \text{gr}^k(N) \oplus \text{gr}^k(H)
\]

and \( \phi_k(G) = \phi_k(N) + \phi_k(H) \).
The group \( G = N \rtimes_n H \) fits in an exact split sequence
\[
1 \longrightarrow N \xrightarrow{\iota} G \xrightarrow{\pi} H \longrightarrow 1,
\]
where \( 1 = \{ e_G \} \) denotes the trivial group, \( \iota(n) = (n, 1), s(h) = (1, h) \) and \( p(n, h) = h \). By identifying the groups \( N \) and \( H \) with their images by \( \iota \) and \( s \) respectively, we see that \( G \) is generated by \( N \) and \( H \); and the relations \( g_1^{-1}g_2g_1 = \theta(g_1)(g_2) \) are present in \( G \). If \( N \) and \( H \) are groups of presentations \( (X, R) \) and \( (Y, S) \) respectively, then the group \( G = N \rtimes_n H \) admits
\[
\langle X \cup Y \mid R \cup S \cup \{ g_1^{-1}g_2g_1 = \theta(g_1)(g_2) \mid g_1 \in H, g_2 \in N \} \rangle
\]
for presentation. In particular if \( N \) and \( H \) are both free groups, \( g_1^{-1}g_2g_1 = \theta(g_1)(g_2) \) are the only relations in \( G = N \rtimes_n H \). More generally, given \( G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_k \) free groups of finite ranks with \( G_p = F_n = \langle x_{p,1}, \ldots, x_{p,n} \rangle \) for each \( p = \{ 1, \ldots, k \} \), we can construct an almost direct product of the free groups, i.e. an iterated semi-direct product
\[
G = G_k \rtimes_{\alpha_k} G_{k-1} \rtimes_{\alpha_{k-1}} \cdots \rtimes_{\alpha_2} G_2 \rtimes_{\alpha_2} G_1 = \rtimes_{p=1}^k G_p
\]
of the free groups of finite ranks in which the action of the group \( \rtimes_{p=1}^q G_p \) on \( G_r \) is trivial for each \( 1 \leq q < r \leq k \). In other words, the action of \( G_d \) on \( G_1 \), which determine the structure of the iterated semi-direct product of \( G \) are the homomorphisms
\[
\alpha_{l} : \rtimes_{n=1}^{l-1} G_p \rightarrow IA(G_l)
\]
where \( IA(G_l) \) denotes the group of automorphisms of \( G_l \) acting trivially on its abelianization. It quickly follows that the group \( G = \rtimes_{p=1}^k G_p \) admits
\[
\langle x_{p,i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n_p, 1 \leq p \leq k, 1 \leq \leq j \leq n_q \rangle
\]
for group presentation and its abelianization \( G^a \) is a free abelian group of rank \( n = \sum_{p=1}^k n_p \). Therefore, Theorem \[2.3\] implies that for every integer \( d \geq 1 \),
\[
gr d(G) = gr d(\rtimes_{p=1}^k G_p) = \bigoplus_{p=1}^k gr d(G_p) = \bigoplus_{p=1}^k \mathbb{Z}_{n_p}(d).
\]
Let \( F_n \) be the free group of rank \( n \) on the set \( Y = \{ x_i := x_{p,i} : 1 \leq i \leq n_p, 1 \leq p \leq k \} \), endowed with a natural surjection \( \pi : F_n \rightarrow G = \rtimes_{p=1}^k G_p \) and \( a : G \rightarrow G / (G, G) = \mathbb{Z}^n \), the abelianization homomorphism. The morphism \( a \circ \pi : F_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n \), induces the natural morphism
\[
\mathcal{N} : Aut(F_n) \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{Z}).
\]
Nielsen has studied the map \( \mathcal{N} \) and has shown that it is surjective. \[1, 2.4.\] The kernel of \( \mathcal{N} \) which is called the group of IA-automorphisms, denoted \( IA_n := ker(\mathcal{N}) \) is the subgroup of automorphisms of \( F_n \) acting trivially on its abelianization, \( F_n^a = \mathbb{Z}^n \) that is we have precisely
\[
IA_n = \{ \phi \in Aut(F_n) \mid x^{-1}\phi(x) \in \Gamma_n(2) \text{ pour tout } x \in F_n \}.
\]
We therefore have a group extension
\[
1 \longrightarrow IA_n \longrightarrow Aut(F_n) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{N}} GL_n(\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow 1.
\]
Let \( Int(F_n) \) be the inner automorphism group of \( F_n \). If \( n \geq 2 \), the free group \( F_n \) has a trivial center, \( Z(F_n) = 1 \) and therefore \( Int(F_n) = F_n \). \( IA_n \) contains \( Int(F_n) \) because if \( \phi_h \in Int(F_n) \), with \( h \in F_n \) so we have \( \phi_h(x) = h^{-1}xh = x(x, h) \) for each \( x \in F_n \). Since \( (x, h) \in \Gamma_n(2) \), thus \( \phi_h \in IA_n \). \( Int(F_n) \) is a normal subgroup of \( IA_n \).
Denote the quotient group \( IA_n / Int(F_n) \) by \( OA_n \) endowed with the natural projection \( q : IA_n \rightarrow OA_n \). We then have a short exact sequence
\[
1 \longrightarrow Int(F_n) \longrightarrow IA_n \xrightarrow{3} OA_n \longrightarrow 1.
\]
Magnus \[3.5\] provided a finite set of the generators of \( IA_n \). These are the automorphisms given by:
\[
\xi_{k, s, t}(x_l) = \begin{cases} x_k x_s x_t & \text{if } k = l, \\ x_t & \text{if } k \neq l \end{cases},
\]
\[
\xi_{i,j}(x_l) = \begin{cases} x_j x_i & \text{if } l = i, \\ x_l & \text{if } l \neq i \end{cases}
\]
where \( 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n, 1 \leq k, s, t \leq n \) et \( k, s, t \) are distinct The following proposition appearing in \[6, 8.2.\] gives another group presentation for any almost direct product of free groups.

8
Proposition 4. (Proposition 2.1 in [DC08])

Let \( G \times \cdots \times G \) be an almost direct product of the free groups. Then \( G \) admits a presentation with generators \( x_{p,i}, 1 \leq p \leq k, 1 \leq i \leq n_p \) and relations

\[
x_{q,j}x_{p,i} = x_{p,i}x_{q,j}w_{i,j}^{p,q}, \quad 1 \leq p < q \leq k, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n_p, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n_q
\]

where \( w_{i,j}^{p,q} \in (G,G) \) is a word in generators \( x_{q,1}, \ldots, x_{q,n_q} \).

Proof. By [JM86] the group \( G \) has for relations

\[
x_{p,i}^{-1}x_{q,j}x_{p,i} = \alpha_q(x_{p,i})(x_{q,j})
\]

where \( \alpha_q(x_{p,i}) \in IA_{n_q} := IA(F_{n_q}) \). It is clear that \( w_{i,j}^{p,q} := \alpha_q(x_{p,i})(x_{q,j}) \) is a word in the generators \( x_{q,1}, \ldots, x_{q,n_q} \). Since \( \alpha_q(x_{p,i}) \in IA_{n_q} \), we then have \( \alpha_q(x_{p,i}) = \xi_1^{i \cdot j} \cdots \xi_m^{i \cdot j} \) where each \( 1 \leq s \leq m, \xi_s^{i \cdot j} \) is one of the Magnus generators \( \xi_{k,s,t} \) and \( \xi_{j,i} \) and \( \epsilon_s \in \{\pm 1\} \). Note that

\[
\xi_{j,i}(x_{q,j}) = x_{q,j}(x_{i,1}x_{q,j}) \cdot \xi_{j,s,t}(x_{q,j}) = x_{q,j}(x_{q,s}x_{q,t})
\]

and an induction on \( m \) shows that \( w_{i,j}^{p,q} := x_{p,i}^{-1}x_{q,j}x_{p,i} \) is an element of \((G,G)\). From [12] we therefore arrive at \( x_{q,j}x_{p,i} = x_{p,i}x_{q,j}w_{i,j}^{p,q} \).

On the other hand, an automorphism \( \xi \in IA_n \) which associates with all the generators \( x_i \) in a word of the form \( w_{i}^{-1} \cdot x_i \cdot w_i \), where \( w_i \in F_n \) i.e., the automorphisms \( \xi \) such that each \( \xi(x_i) \) is conjugated to \( x_i \), is called a basis-conjugating automorphism of the free group \( F_n \). The set of all basis-conjugating automorphism of the free group \( F_n \), noted \( P \Sigma_n \) also known McCool group is generated by the automorphisms \( \xi_{i,j} \) [SH85] and has the following relations [JM86]:

\[
\begin{align*}
(\xi_{k,l}^v, \xi_{s,t}^v) = 1 &\quad \text{if } \{i,j\} \cap \{s,t\} = \emptyset, \\
(\xi_{i,j}^v, \xi_{k,l}^v) = 1 &\quad \text{for } i, j, k \text{ distinct}, \\
(\xi_{i,j}^v, \xi_{k,l}^v) = 1 &\quad \text{for } i, j, k \text{ distinct}.
\end{align*}
\]

From relations McCool [13] formulas arriving hold in \( P \Sigma_n \):

Property 3. (Conjugation formulas in \( P \Sigma_n \), [VB03], lemma 1)

1. \( \xi_{i,j}^{-v} \xi_{k,l} \xi_{i,j}^v = \xi_{k,l} \)
2. \( \xi_{i,j}^{-v} \xi_{j,l} \xi_{i,j}^v = \xi_{j,l} \)
3. \( \xi_{i,j}^{-v} \xi_{k,i} \xi_{k,j}^v = \xi_{k,j}^v \xi_{k,i} \xi_{k,j}^{-v} \)
4. \( \xi_{i,j}^{-v} \xi_{k,i} \xi_{k,j}^v = \xi_{k,j}^v \xi_{k,i} \xi_{k,j}^{-v} \)
5. \( \xi_{i,j}^{-v} \xi_{j,k} \xi_{k,j}^v = \left( \xi_{k,j}^v \xi_{k,j}^{-1} \right) \xi_{j,k} \)

where \( v = \pm 1 \).

It is well known that the group \( P \Sigma_n \) is a decomposition of an iterated semi-direct product of the form

\[
P \Sigma_n = K_{n-1} \rtimes (K_{n-2} \rtimes \cdots \rtimes (K_2 \rtimes K_1)) \quad (n \geq 2)
\]

where \( K_i = \langle \xi_{i+1,i}, \xi_{i+1,i+1}, \ldots, \xi_{i+1,i}, \xi_{i+1,i+1}, \ldots, \xi_{i+1,i+1} \rangle \). In particular \( P \Sigma_1 = \{1\} \), the trivial group and \( P \Sigma_2 = \langle \xi_2, \xi_1 \rangle \cong F_2 \). For each integer \( i \geq 1 \), the elements \( \{\xi_{i+1,i+1}, \xi_{i+1,i}, \ldots, \xi_{i+1,i} \} \) generate a free subgroup \( \Gamma_i \) of \( K_i \) of rank \( i \), that is, \( \Gamma_i = \langle \xi_{i+1,i}, \xi_{i+1,i+1}, \ldots, \xi_{i+1,i+1} \rangle \cong F_i \), and the elements \( \{\xi_{i+1,i}, \xi_{i+1,i+1}, \ldots, \xi_{i+1,i+1} \} \) generate a free abelian subgroup \( A \) of \( K_i \) of rank \( i \) i.e., \( A = \langle \xi_{i+1,i}, \xi_{i+1,i+1}, \ldots, \xi_{i+1,i+1} \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}^i \). The restriction of the homomorphism \( q : IA_n \to OP \Sigma_n \) to \( P \Sigma_n \) induces a homomorphism \( q : P \Sigma_n \to OP \Sigma_n \) where \( OP \Sigma_n := P \Sigma_n / Int(F_n) \), (because \( Int(F_n) \leq P \Sigma_n \)) We will specify later a normal subgroup of \( P \Sigma_n \) which is equal to the group \( Int(F_n) \) to have a short exact sequence

\[
1 \to Int(F_n) \to P \Sigma_n \xrightarrow{q} OP \Sigma_n \to 1.
\]

The McCool group \( P \Sigma_n \) contains a well-studied subgroup, called the “upper triangular McCool group”. This subgroup, noted \( P \Sigma_n^{+} \), studied in detail in [CPW08] is the subgroup generated by automorphisms \( \xi_{i,j} \) with
Let $K_i^+$ be the subgroup of $P\Sigma_n^+$ generated by $\xi_{i,j}$ with $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$. As shown by F. Cohen et al. [CPVW08], the subgroup $K_n^+$ is normal and isomorphic to the free group $F_{n-1}$. In the same article cited, they constructed an exact split

$$1 \rightarrow K_n^+ \rightarrow P\Sigma_n^+ \rightarrow P\Sigma_{n-1}^+ \rightarrow 1$$

with $P\Sigma_{n-1}^+$ acting trivially on the abelianized of $K_n^+ \cong F_{n-1}$. We then deduce that the group $P\Sigma_n^+$ has the structure of an almost direct product of the free groups,

$$P\Sigma_n^+ = K_n^+ \rtimes (K_{n-1}^+ \rtimes (\ldots (K_3^+ \rtimes K_2^+) \ldots)).$$

We quickly see that $P\Sigma_1^+ = \{1\}$, the trivial group, $P\Sigma_2^+ = \mathbb{Z}$, and $P\Sigma_3^+ = F_2 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$. We will show later that the group $\text{Int}(P\Sigma_n^+)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $P\Sigma_n$, that it too admits an almost direct product structure of free groups and we will use Proposition 4 for it provide a group presentation.

### 3 Andreadakis filtration and Johnson homomorphism.

#### 3.1 Andreadakis filtration

In this section, we first start by recalling an N-series of a group $G$ that was introduced by Lazard in the 1950s and a good reference for this topic is the original Lazard document [ML54]. An N-series is by definition a decreasing filtration

$$G = H_1 \supseteq H_2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq H_k \supseteq H_{k+1} \supseteq \cdots$$

of $G$ by subgroups $H_1, H_2, \ldots$ of $G$ verifying $(H_p,H_q) \subseteq H_{p+q}$ for all $p, q \geq 1$. For $q = 1$, the relations $(H_p,G) \subseteq H_{p+1}$ mean that each term $H_p$ are normal subgroups in $G$, and in particular $H_p/H_{p+1}$ is a subgroup of the center of $G/H_{p+1}$. It is clear that the successive group quotients $H_p/H_{p+1}$, are abelian groups since $(H_p,H_p) \subseteq H_{2p} \subseteq H_{p+1}$. We will denote them additively, namely for all $x, y \in H_p$ we have $\overline{x+y} = \overline{x} + \overline{y}$ in $Gr^p(H) = H_p/H_{p+1}$. Form the direct sum

$$Gr(G) = \bigoplus_{p \geq 1} Gr^p(H).$$

We can easily verify, using identities 4 and 5 of property 1 that $Gr(G)$ has the structure of a graded Lie algebra, with a Lie bracket $[x,y] := (\overline{x},\overline{y})$ induced by the commutator of group $G$.

**Definition 4.** The Lie algebra $Gr(G)$ is called a graded Lie algebra associated with the N-series $\{H_p\}_{p \geq 1}$ in a group $G$.

The most famous example of an N-series in a group $G$ is the lower central series $\{\Gamma_k(G)\}_{k \geq 1}$ and its resulting Lie algebra $gr(G) = \bigoplus_{k \geq 1} gr^k(G)$ is the standard graded Lie algebra associated with the group $G$. Moreover, the lower central series is the smallest of N-series on $G$, i.e., given an arbitrary N-series $\{H_k\}_k$ in a group $G$, we have the relations

$$\Gamma_k(G) \subseteq H_k, \quad \forall k \geq 1$$

which are established by induction on $k$. There is then a canonical application

$$gr^k(G) \rightarrow Gr^k(G) \quad (k \geq 1).$$

An N-series $\{H_k\}_k$ in a group $G$ is separating if the intersection of the subgroups $H_k$ reduces to the neutral element, i.e. $\cap_{k \geq 1} H_k = \{e_G\}$. The case where the lower central series $\Gamma_k(G)$ of the group $G$ is separating, i.e.$\cap_{k \geq 1} \Gamma_k(G) = \{e_G\}$, the group $G$ is said to be residual nilpotent. We are now able to introduce Andreadakis filtration. Recall first that for $k \geq 1$, each $k$-th term of the lower central series $\Gamma_k(G)$ of a group $G$ is a characteristic subgroup. The action of $Aut(G)$ on each $k$-th nilpotent quotient $N_k(G) = G/\Gamma_{k+1}(G)$ induces a group homomorphism $\lambda_k : Aut(G) \rightarrow Aut(N_k(G))$ and its kernel $\ker(\lambda_k)$ consisting of automorphisms acting trivially on the k-th nilpotent quotient of $G$ is the set

$$\ker(\lambda_k) = \{\phi \in Aut(G) \mid \phi \equiv \text{id} \mod \Gamma_{k+1}(G)\}$$

$$= \{\phi \in Aut(G) \mid g^{-1} \phi(g) \in \Gamma_{k+1}(G), \forall g \in G\}$$

(15)

We denote the kernel $\ker(\lambda_k)$ of $\lambda_k$ by $A_G(k)$. The first term $IA(G) := A_G(1)$ is called, the Torelli group of $G$, also known as the IA-automorphism group of $G$; namely $IA(G)$ is the normal subgroup of $Aut(G)$ consisting of automorphisms which act on $G^{ab}$ trivially. By construction, the groups $A_G(k)$ are normal subgroups of $Aut(G)$. Known results from Andreadakis [SA65] give that:
For all \( k, d \geq 1 \), \((\mathcal{A}_G(k), \mathcal{A}_G(d)) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_G(k + d)\).

(2) For all \( k, d \geq 1 \), \( \phi \in \mathcal{A}_G(k) \) and \( g \in \Gamma_d(G) \), \( g^{-1} \phi(g) \in \Gamma_{k+d}(G)\).

(3) If \( \cap_{d \geq 1} \Gamma_d(G) = \{ e_G \} \) then \( \cap_{d \geq 1} \mathcal{A}_G(d) = \{ e_G \} \).

As is known, \( \Gamma_k(G) \) is a characteristic subgroup of \( G \), \( \text{Aut}(G) \) acts naturally on \( \Gamma_k(G) \) and therefore also on \( \text{gr}^k(G) = \Gamma_k(G)/\Gamma_{k+1}(G) \). Let \( \phi \in \text{Aut}(G) \) and let \( \overline{\phi} \in \text{gr}^k(G) \), be a representative of an element \( g \in \Gamma_k(G) \).

The action of \( \phi \) on \( \overline{\phi} \) is given by

\[
\phi \cdot \overline{\phi} := \overline{\phi(g)}.
\]

The restriction of this action from \( \text{Aut}(G) \) to \( IA(G) \) is trivial because by (2), just above, we have for a \( \phi \in IA(G) = \mathcal{A}_G(1) \) and \( g \in \Gamma_k(G) \), \( g^{-1} \phi(g) \in \Gamma_{k+1}(G) \), thus \( \phi \cdot \overline{\phi} = \overline{\phi} \). We then have defined an action of \( \text{Aut}(G)/IA(G) \) on \( \text{gr}^k(G) \).

**Remark 1.** For each \( k \geq 2 \), the homomorphism \( \text{Aut}(G) \xrightarrow{\lambda_{k-1}} \text{Aut}(N_{k-1}(G)) \) decomposes as

\[
\text{Aut}(G) \xrightarrow{\lambda_{k-1}} \text{Aut}(N_k(G)) \xrightarrow{\phi} \text{Aut}(N_{k-1}(G)),
\]

and thus \( \mathcal{A}_G(k) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_G(k - 1) \).

Using this remark and the (1) just above, the groups \( \mathcal{A}_G(k) \) then define an N-series

\[
IA(G) := \mathcal{A}_G(1) \supset \mathcal{A}_G(2) \supset \cdots \supset \mathcal{A}_G(k) \supset \cdots
\]

of \( IA(G) \). Therefore the \( k \)-th term \( \mathcal{A}_G(k) \) of the N-series of \( IA(G) \), i.e., \( \mathcal{A}_G(k) \supseteq \Gamma_k(IA(G)) \). The descending filtration \( \{ \mathcal{A}_G(k) \}_{k \geq 1} \) defined above for \( IA(G) \) was initially introduced by Andreadakis [SA65] in 1960 and we call here the Andreadakis filtration.

**Definition 5.** The N-series \( \{ \mathcal{A}_G(k) \}_{k \geq 1} \) of \( IA(G) \) is called the Andreadakis filtration of \( IA(G) \). We denote its successive group quotients by \( \text{Gr}^k(\mathcal{A}_G) := \mathcal{A}_G(k)/\mathcal{A}_G(k + 1) \).

In turn the successive quotients, \( \text{Gr}^k(\mathcal{A}_G) \), associated with the N-series \( \{ \mathcal{A}_G(k) \}_{k \geq 1} \) of \( IA(G) \) admit an action of \( \text{Aut}(G)/IA(G) \), defined as follows: Since \( \mathcal{A}_G(k) \subseteq \text{Aut}(G) \), the group \( \text{Aut}(G) \) naturally acts on \( \mathcal{A}_G(k) \) by conjugation. Let \( \overline{\phi} \in \text{gr}^k(\mathcal{A}_G) \) be a representative of an automorphism \( \phi \in \mathcal{A}_G(k) \) and let \( \overline{\psi} \in \text{Aut}(G)/IA(G) \), be a representative of an automorphism \( \psi \in \text{Aut}(G) \). The action of \( \overline{\psi} \) on \( \overline{\phi} \) is given by

\[
\overline{\psi} \cdot \overline{\phi} := \overline{\psi^{-1} \phi \psi}.
\]

To illustrate all these concepts above, consider the case where \( G \) is a free group \( F_n \) on \( n \) generators with a base \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \). We denote from now, unless otherwise indicated, the abelianization \( F_n^a \) of \( F_n \) by \( V \) and write \( \Gamma_n(k), L_n(k), IA_n, \mathcal{A}_n(k) \) et \( \text{Gr}^k(\mathcal{A}_n) \) for \( \Gamma_k(F_n), \text{gr}^k(F_n), IA(F_n), \mathcal{A}_F(k) \) et \( \text{Gr}^k(\mathcal{A}_F) \) respectively. Magnus, Witt and Hall elucidated the structure of the associated graduated Lie algebra of \( F_n \), see the book [MKS76] and we recall two interesting results

(i) The group \( F_n \) is residual nilpotent, that is \( \bigcap_{k \geq 1} \Gamma_n(k) = \{ 1 \} \).

(ii) The Lie algebra \( gr(F_n) := \bigoplus_{k \geq 1} L_n(k) \) is isomorphic to the free Lie algebra \( L_n \) of rank \( n \).

Now let’s turn to Andreadakis filtration. This descending series of \( IA_n \) given by the subgroups \( \mathcal{A}_n(k) \) which act trivially on \( N_k(F_n) \), has the property of \( \Gamma_k(IA_n) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_n(k) \) for all \( k \geq 2 \). These inclusions become equalities for some cases, namely

1) \( \Gamma_1(IA_n) = \mathcal{A}_n(1) \) by definition,

2) \( \Gamma_2(IA_n) = \mathcal{A}_n(2) \) for all \( n \geq 2 \),

3) \( \Gamma_k(IA_2) = \mathcal{A}_2(k) \) for all \( k \geq 2 \) et \( \Gamma_3(IA_3) = \mathcal{A}_3(3) \).
It is a conjecture by Andreadakis [SA65] that $\Gamma_k(IA_n) = A_n(k)$ for all $k \geq 3$, and $n \geq 3$. Bartholdi [LB13, LB10] has shown that the conjecture is not true in general. Satoh [TS19] recently showed that $\Gamma_k(IA_3) = A_3(k)$ for all $k \geq 3$ thus improving Pettet’s result [AP05]. It is still not known whether each of $A_n(k)$ is of finite type or not for $k \geq 2$ but it is well known that the intersection of all the terms of the Andreadakis filtration $A_n(k)$ is trivial and that each successive quotients $Gr^k(A_n)$ is a free abelian group of finite rank due to Andreadakis [SA65]. By the calculations of Kazuawmi [NK06], Cohen - Pakianathan [CP2, CP1] and Andreadakis [SA65] show that $Gr^1(A_n)$ is isomorphic to the abelianized of $IA_n$, so we know the rank of $Gr^1(A_n)$ is however not yet determined in general for all $k \geq 2$ and $n \geq 3$. Now let $M_n(k) := A_n(k) \cap P\Sigma_n$ be the restriction of the Andreadakis filtration at $P\Sigma_n$. As indicated in [cite-satoh12], we have an N-series

$$P\Sigma_n := M_n(1) \supset M_n(2) \supset \cdots \supset M_n(k) \supset \cdots$$

of $P\Sigma_n$ which is called the Andreadakis filtration of $P\Sigma_n$. We see that each of its successive graduated quotients $Gr^k(M_n) = M_n(k)/M_n(k+1)$ is an abelian subgroup of $Gr^k(A_n)$. Since $M_n(k)$ is defined as an N-series of $P\Sigma_n$, we have $M_n(k) \supseteq \Gamma_k(P\Sigma_n)$ for each $k \geq 1$. Satoh [TS12] raised the following question:

* This inclusion $M_n(k) \supseteq \Gamma_k(P\Sigma_n)$ for each $k \geq 1$ will it not be an equality?

He proved that $M_n(k) = \Gamma_k(P\Sigma_n)$ for $1 \leq k \leq 3$. Because of the combinatorial complexities, for $n \geq 4$, we find that it is a rather difficult problem therefore for an $n \geq 4$, we do not know that if $M_n(k) = \Gamma_k(P\Sigma_n)$ or not. It is a still open problem. However we know in the work [TS17] that for some subgroups of $P\Sigma_n$, equality is true. Namely the restricted Andreadakis filtration to $P\Sigma^+_n$ coincides with the descending central series of $P\Sigma^+_n$, i.e., for all $n \geq 1$ we have

$$M_n^+(k) := A_n(k) \cap P\Sigma^+_n = \Gamma_k(P\Sigma^+_n) \quad (\forall k \geq 1).$$

In [JD18, SP01] a similar result holds for the case of the pure braid group $P_n$ which is the subgroup made up of automorphisms of $P\Sigma_n$ [VB03] leaving the word $x_1 \cdots x_n \in F_n$ invariant. In other words we have for all $n \geq 1$,

$$P_n(k) := A_n(k) \cap P_n = \Gamma_k(P_n) \quad (\forall k \geq 1).$$

The pure braid groups $P_n$ and the upper triangular McCool group $P\Sigma^+_n$ are both subgroups of $P\Sigma_n$ and both have an almost direct product structure of the free groups. It is natural to ask the question: What other subgroup $G$ of $P\Sigma_n$ which decomposes into an almost direct iterated product of free groups satisfies this equality,

$$G(k) := M_n(k) \cap G = \Gamma_k(G) \quad (\forall k \geq 1) ?$$

(18)

The partial interior automorphisms group $I_n$ defined and studied in [BN17] which is the subgroup generated by the automorphisms $\nu_{p,i} = \xi_1, \xi_2, \cdots, \xi_{p-1}$, with $i \leq p$ is a good candidate to check the equality just above. Further, we will show that the group $I_n$ is isomorphic to $Int(P\Sigma^+_{n+1})$ and satisfies the equality of [BT] using a tool called Johnson homomorphism. Johnson’s homomorphism which was introduced in the 1980s by Johnson [JD83, JD85] is a good tool to study the quotients of successive groups $Gr^k(A_G)$, associated with the Andreadakis filtration $A_G(k)$ of a group $G$.

## 3.2 Johnson homomorphism

We recall here the $k$-th Johnson homomorphism of $Aut(F_n)$. The reader is referred, the survey of Satoh [TS16] for the topic concerning the homomorphism of Johnson. Let $Hom_2(A, B)$ be the set of all morphisms of abelian groups from $A$ to $B$. Consider the map

$$\tau_k : A_n(k) \longrightarrow Hom_2(V, L_n(k + 1))$$

defined by the formula $\sigma \mapsto \tau_k(\sigma) : x \mod \Gamma_n(2) \longrightarrow x^{-1}\sigma(x) \mod \Gamma_n(k + 2)$, for $x \in V$. The map $\tau_k$ is a homomorphism, indeed for $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in A_n(k)$, we have:

$$\tau_k(\sigma_1 \sigma_2)(x) = \begin{cases} x^{-1}\sigma_1(\sigma_2(x)) = x^{-1}\sigma_1(x)\sigma_1^{-1}(x)\sigma_2(x), & \text{if } x \equiv 0 \mod 2 \\
(x^{-1}\sigma_1(x)) (x^{-1}\sigma_2(x)) (x^{-1}\sigma_2(x))^{-1} \sigma_1(\sigma_2(x)), & \text{if } x \equiv 1 \mod 2 \\
(x^{-1}\sigma_1(x)) (x^{-1}\sigma_2(x)) (x^{-1}\sigma_2(x))^{-1} \sigma_1(\sigma_2(x)), & \text{if } x \equiv 2 \mod 2 \\
(x^{-1}\sigma_1(x)) + (x^{-1}\sigma_2(x)) \mod \Gamma_n(k + 2) & \text{if } x \equiv 3 \mod 2 \\
\tau_k(\sigma_1)(x) + \tau_k(\sigma_2)(x) & \text{if } x \equiv 4 \mod 2 \end{cases}$$

(19)
One can quickly see that the kernel \( \ker(\tau_k) \) of this homomorphism \( \tau_k \) is just the definition of \( A_n(k+1) \), see [15]. Kawazumi [NK06] showed that this homomorphism \( \tau_k \) is not surjective for \( k \geq 2 \). If we denote the dual group of \( V \), by \( V^* := Hom_H(V, \mathbb{Z}) \) we can then identify \( Hom_H(V, L_n(k+1)) \) with \( V^* \otimes Z L_n(k+1) \), see section 8 of chapter II in [NBS9]. We consequently obtain an injective homomorphism, denoted again \( \tau_k \),

\[
\tau_k : Gr^k(A_n) \hookrightarrow V^* \otimes Z L_n(k+1)
\]

where \( \{x_1^*, \ldots, x_n^*\} \) is the dual basis of \( V^* \). Recall that the group \( GL(n, \mathbb{Z}) \cong Aut(F_n)/IA_n \) acts on \( Gr^k(A_n) \) via the action given by [17] and also on \( L_n(k) \) via the action given by [16] for each \( k \geq 1 \). We then have this:

**Lemma 2.** \( \tau_k \) is a \( Aut(F_n)/IA_n \)-equivariant homomorphism.

**Proof.** For all \( \sigma \in Gr^k(A_n) \) and \( \phi \in Aut(F_n)/IA_n \), we want to check that \( \tau_k(\phi \cdot \sigma) = \phi^{-1} \cdot \tau_k(\sigma) \cdot \phi \). Let \( x \in V \):

\[
\tau_k(\phi \cdot \sigma)(x) = \tau_k(\phi^{-1} \cdot \phi)(x) = \frac{x}{\phi^{-1} \cdot \phi}(x) = \phi^{-1}(\phi(x))^{-1} \sigma(\phi(x)) = (\phi^{-1} \cdot \tau_k(\sigma)) \cdot \phi(x).
\]

Therefore \( \tau_k \) is an injective \( GL(n, \mathbb{Z}) \)-equivariant homomorphism. In particular the first Johnson homomorphism

\[
\tau_1 : IA_n \longrightarrow V^* \otimes Z \Lambda^2 V
\]

induces a \( GL(n, \mathbb{Z}) \)-equivariant isomorphism \( IA_n \cong V^* \otimes Z \Lambda^2 V \), see for example [NK06] for a proof of this result.

**Definition 6.** For each \( k \geq 1 \), we call the injective \( GL(n, \mathbb{Z}) \)-equivariant homomorphism \( \tau_k \) the \( k \)-th Johnson homomorphism.

Since each of \( Gr^k(M_n) \) is an abelian subgroup of \( Gr^k(A_n) \). Then we can define the \( k \)-th homomorphism \( \tau_k \) restricted to \( Gr^k(M_n) \), noted by \( \tau^p_k \):

\[
\tau^p_k := \tau_k|_{Gr^k(M_n)} : Gr^k(M_n) \hookrightarrow V^* \otimes Z L_n(k+1).
\]

There is a canonical morphism

\[
\varpi_k : gr^k(P \Sigma_n) \longrightarrow Gr^k(M_n)
\]

induced by inclusion \( \Gamma_k(P \Sigma_n) \hookrightarrow M_n(k) \). We define a homomorphism \( \tau^p_k \) as being the composition of \( \varpi_k \) and \( \tau^p_k \):

\[
\tau^p_k := \tau^p_k \circ \varpi_k : gr^k(P \Sigma_n) \longrightarrow V^* \otimes Z L_n(k+1).
\]

We can see that \( Im(\tau^p_k) \supseteq Im(\tau^{(p)}_k) \) for all \( k \geq 2 \). As indicated in [TS12], \( \tau^p_k \) is the abelianized of \( M_n(1) = P \Sigma_n \) and its image by \( \tau^p_1 \) is given by

\[
\tau^p_1(\xi_{ij}) = \tau^p_1(\xi_{ij}) = x^*_i \otimes [x_i, x_j] \in V^* \otimes Z \Lambda^2 V.
\]

In other words \( \tau^p_k : P \Sigma_n^{ab} = \mathbb{Z}^{n(n-1)} \rightarrow Im(\tau^p_k) = Im(\tau^{(p)}_k) \subset V^* \otimes Z L_n(k+1) \) is an isomorphism on its image and \( Im(\tau^{(p)}_k) = \{ x^*_i \otimes [x_i, x_j] : 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n \} \) has rank \( n(n-1) \). For each \( k \geq 1 \), let \( p_n(k) \) be the subgroup of \( V^* \otimes Z L_n(k+1) \) generated by

\[
\{ x^*_i \otimes [x_{j_1}, \ldots, x_{j_k}, x_i] : 1 \leq j_1, \ldots, j_k, i \leq n \}.
\]

**Proposition 5.** ([TS12], Corollaire 3.4)

For all \( k \geq 2, n \geq 2 \) the rank of the subgroup \( p_n(k) \) is given by

\[
n r_n(k) = \frac{n}{k} \sum_{d|k} \mu(d)n^{\frac{k}{d}}
\]

where \( \mu \) is the Möbius function and \( d \) runs through all positive divisors of \( k \).
Proof. Let \( c_1, \ldots, c_{r_n(k)} \) be a Hall basis of \( \mathcal{L}_n(k) \). We want to show that

\[
S := \{ x_i^r \otimes [c_j, x_i] \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq r_n(k) \}
\]

is a basis of \( p_n(k) \). It is already clear that \( S \) generates \( p_n(k) \), by definition of \( p_n(k) \). So it just remains to show the elements in \( S \) are linearly independent. Suppose we have

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{r_n(k)} \lambda_{i,j} x_i^r \otimes [c_j, x_i] = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \lambda_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}.
\]

Then for each \( i \geq 1 \), we have

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{r_n(k)} \lambda_{i,j} c_j = 0.
\]

Since this homomorphism \( \beta: \mathcal{L}_n(k) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}_n(k+1), c_j \mapsto [c_j, x_i] \) is injective and the elements \( c_j \) are linearly independent in \( \mathcal{L}_n(k) \), by Theorem \( 2.2 \) We then deduce that the elements \( [c_j, x_i] \in \mathcal{L}_n(k+1) \) are also linearly independent in \( \mathcal{L}_n(k+1) \). Hence \( \lambda_{i,j} = 0 \) for all \( 1 \leq j \leq r_n(k) \). Or \( 1 \leq i \leq n \) is arbitrary, therefore \( \text{rang}(p_n(k)) = n.r_n(k) \).

A result of Satoh [TS15] gives that the image \( \text{Im}(\tau_k^p) \) of \( \tau_k^p \) is a proper subgroup of \( p_n(k) \). Clearly the rank of \( \text{Im}(\tau_k^p) \) is strictly less than that of \( p_n(k) \), i.e., we have

\[
\text{rang}(\text{Gr}^k(\mathcal{M}_n)) = \text{rang}(\text{Im}(\tau_k^p)) < n.r_n(k).
\]

Let now be a subgroup \( G = \times_{p=1}^{k} G_p \) of \( P \Sigma_n \) which decomposes into an almost direct product of the free groups and \( \mathcal{G}(k) = \mathcal{M}_n(k) \cap G \) its Andreadakis filtration restricted to \( G \). Each of the quotients \( \text{Gr}^k(\mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{G}(k)/\mathcal{G}(k+1) \) is a subgroup of \( \text{Gr}^k(\mathcal{M}) \) with \( k \geq 1 \). For each \( k \geq 1 \) we denote by \( \mathcal{G}^k \) the \( k \)-th Johnson homomorphism restricted to \( \text{Gr}^k(\mathcal{G}) \). Recall that the \( G = \times_{p=1}^{k} G_p \), where \( G_p = F_{n_p} = \langle x_{p,1}, \ldots, x_{p,n_p} \rangle \) free group of rank \( n_p \), is generated by \( x_{p,i} \), \( 1 \leq p \leq k, 1 \leq i \leq n_p \). The elements \( \{ x_{p,i} \} \) form a basis of the abelianization \( G^ab \) of \( G \). The injective homomorphism \( \tau_k^G: G^ab \rightarrow V^* \otimes \mathbb{Z} \) is an isomorphism on its image and \( \text{Im}(\tau_k^G) \) is generated by this free family \( \{ \tau_k^G(\langle x_{p,i} \rangle) : 1 \leq p \leq k, 1 \leq i \leq n_p \} \subset V^* \otimes \mathbb{Z} \). Since \( \tau_k^G \) is the abelianization of \( G(1) = G \), the canonical morphism \( gr^1(G) \rightarrow Gr^1(G) \) must necessarily be injective. So we come to:

**Proposition 6.** For any subgroup \( G = \times_{p=1}^{k} G_p \) of \( P \Sigma_n \) as an almost direct product of free groups. We have \( G(2) = \Gamma_2(G) \).

\section{On two subgroups of the group of McCool and Andreadakis problem}

**4.1 Semi-direct product decomposition of \( P \Sigma_n \)**

Here we study and inspect the rank of the group \( gr^k(\Sigma_n) \) for all \( k, n \geq 1 \). A presentation of \( P \Sigma_n \), obtained by McCool [JMM66], was recalled in section \( \S 2 \). As we can see in [13], the relations in \( P \Sigma_n \) are all commutators. It is immediate that the abelianization \( P \Sigma_n^ab \) of \( P \Sigma_n \) is isomorphic to \( \mathbb{Z}^{n(n-1)} \) with basis \( \xi_{i,j}, 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n \). We write by \( \{ \xi_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n \} \) the base of \( H_1(\Sigma_n, \mathbb{Z}) \). The first homology group \( H_1(\Sigma_n, \mathbb{Z}) \), of \( P \Sigma_n \) which is equal to \( P \Sigma_n^ab \) is then a free abelian group with bases \( \xi_{i,j} \), i.e.,

\[
H_1(\Sigma_n, \mathbb{Z}) = P \Sigma_n^ab = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} \mathbb{Z} \xi_{i,j}.
\]

Consequently the first cohomology group \( H^1(\Sigma_n, \mathbb{Z}) \), of \( P \Sigma_n \) is given by the dual basis

\[
\xi^*_{i,j}(\xi^{k,l}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = i \text{ and } j = l \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]

Brownstein and Lee [BL93] are the first who determined in the years 93, the cohomology group \( H^k(\Sigma_n, \mathbb{Z}) \) of \( P \Sigma_n \) for \( k = 1, 2 \) and conjectured in the same cited paper, a presentation of the cohomology ring \( H^*(\Sigma_n, \mathbb{Z}) \) with coefficients in \( \mathbb{Z} \) of \( P \Sigma_n \). This conjecture has been proved by Jensen, McCammond and Meier [JMM06].
and in particular they determined for each integer \( k \geq 1 \), the rank of \( k \)-th cohomology group \( H^k(P\Sigma_n, \mathbb{Z}) \), of \( P\Sigma_n \) which is given by

\[
\text{rank } (H^k(P\Sigma_n, \mathbb{Z})) = \binom{n - 1}{k} n^k.
\]

(26)

Moreover the group \( P\Sigma_n \), contains a subgroup of inner automorphisms of a free group of rank \( n \). Let \( V_n \) be the subgroup of \( P\Sigma_n \) generated by the automorphisms of \( F_n \), \( \nu_{n,i} = \xi_{1,i} \xi_{2,i} \cdots \xi_{n,i} \), defined by

\[
x_k \mapsto x_k^{-1} x_k x_i \text{ pour tout } 1 \leq k \leq n.
\]

As indicated in [BN17], the subgroup \( V_n \) is equal to \( \text{Int}(F_n) \), the inner automorphisms group of \( F_n \). It is easy to see that for any integer \( n \geq 2 \), \( V_n = \langle \nu_{n,1}, \ldots, \nu_{n,n} \rangle \) is normal in \( P\Sigma_n \) namely the generators \( \xi_{i,j} \) of \( P\Sigma_n \) act by conjugation on \( V_n \). The action of \( \xi_{i,j} \) on \( \nu_{np} \) for all \( 1 \leq p \leq n \) is given by [BN17]:

\[
\xi_{i,j} \cdot \nu_{np} := \xi_{i,j}^{-1} \nu_{np} \xi_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 
\nu_{np} & \text{if } p \neq i \\
\nu_{np} \nu_{nj} & \text{if } p = i
\end{cases}
\]

(27)

Since \( \xi_{n,j} = \nu_{(n-1)j}^{-1} \nu_{nj} \) for all \( 1 \leq j \leq n \) and \( \xi_{n-1,n} = (\xi_{1,n}, \ldots, \xi_{n-2,n})^{-1} \nu_{nn} \), then all the generators \( \xi_{i,j} \) of index \( i = n \) and \( \xi_{n-1,n} \) degenerate in the quotient group \( OP\Sigma_n := P\Sigma_n/V_n \). Consequently the quotient group \( OP\Sigma_n \) is generated by, the images of all the generators of \( P\Sigma_n \) and of these elements \( \xi_{1,n}, \ldots, \xi_{n-2,n} \) modulo \( V_n \). In other words we have the semi-direct product \( P\Sigma_n = V_n \rtimes OP\Sigma_n \) which is given by the short split exact sequence

\[
1 \rightarrow V_n \rightarrow P\Sigma_n \rightarrow OP\Sigma_n \rightarrow 1.
\]

(28)

We rapidly see that \( P\Sigma_1 = \{1\} \), the trivial group and \( P\Sigma_2 = V_2 = \langle \nu_{21}, \nu_{12} \rangle \) is a free group of rank 2. As shown in [27] the action by conjugation \( P\Sigma_n \) on \( V_n \) sends a generator to a conjugate of itself and therefore the action induced on \( V_n^{ab} \) is trivial. By Theorem [26] we therefore have for all \( n \geq 1 \)

\[
gr^k(P\Sigma_n) = gr^k(V_n) \bigoplus gr^k(OP\Sigma_n) \text{ for each } k \geq 1
\]

(29)

The Lie algebra \( gr(P\Sigma_n) = \bigoplus_{k \geq 1} gr^k(P\Sigma_n) \) has been studied in [CPVW08]. On the other hand, until now, a basis of the abelian group \( gr^k(P\Sigma_n) \) is not yet known and in particular the ranks \( \phi_k(P\Sigma_n) \) of \( gr^k(P\Sigma_n) \) are not yet generally determined for all \( k \geq 2 \) and \( n \geq 4 \). We will now inspect the ranks \( \phi_k(P\Sigma_n) \) of abelian groups \( gr^k(P\Sigma_n) \) for some cases. We start in the case \( n = 2 \) and \( n = 3 \) (because \( P\Sigma_1 = \{1\} \), there is nothing to say in this case). The groups \( P\Sigma_2 \) and \( P\Sigma_3 \) have simple structures and therefore their associated ranks \( \phi_k(P\Sigma_2) \) and \( \phi_k(P\Sigma_3) \) respectively can be rapidly determined. Since \( P\Sigma_2 = V_2 \) is a free group of rank 2 then it is immediate that \( gr^k(P\Sigma_2) \cong L_2(k) \), the free abelian group of rank \( r_2(k) \). Thus

\[
\phi_k(P\Sigma_2) = r_2(k) \text{ for each } k \geq 1.
\]

(30)

The case \( n = 3 \), the quotient group \( OP\Sigma_3 \) has three generators \( \{\xi_{1,2}, \xi_{2,1}, \xi_{1,3}\} \) (modulo \( V_n \)). The generators of group \( P\Sigma_3 \) satisfy, in total, the following nine relations:

\[
[\xi_{1,2}, \xi_{2,3}] = 1, [\xi_{1,3}, \xi_{2,3}] = 1, [\xi_{1,2}, \xi_{3,1}] = 1,
\]
\[
[\xi_{2,3}, \xi_{2,1}\xi_{3,1}] = 1, [\xi_{1,3}, \xi_{1,2}\xi_{3,2}] = 1, [\xi_{1,2}, \xi_{1,3}\xi_{2,3}] = 1,
\]
\[
[\xi_{3,2}, \xi_{2,1}\xi_{3,1}] = 1, [\xi_{1,3}, \xi_{2,1}\xi_{3,2}] = 1, [\xi_{2,1}, \xi_{1,3}\xi_{2,3}] = 1.
\]

All these relations degenerate in the quotient group \( OP\Sigma_3 \). It follows that \( OP\Sigma_3 = \langle \xi_{1,2}, \xi_{2,1}, \xi_{1,3} \rangle \) is a free group of rank 3, and therefore \( OP\Sigma_3 \) is produced almost directly from two free groups, \( P\Sigma_3 = V_3 \rtimes OP\Sigma_3 \). By Theorem [23] we have the following result:

**Proposition 7.** There is an exact short sequence split

\[
0 \rightarrow gr^k(V_3) \rightarrow gr^k(P\Sigma_3) \rightarrow gr^k(OP\Sigma_3) \rightarrow 0
\]

In other words we have

\[
gr^k(P\Sigma_3) = gr^k(V_3) \bigoplus gr^k(OP\Sigma_3) \cong L_3(k) \bigoplus L_3(k) \text{ pour chaque } k \geq 1
\]

où \( L_3(k) \) is the abelian group of rank \( r_3(k) \).
Theorem 4.1. Therefore we come to this following result.

\[ \phi_k(P\Sigma_3) = 2r_3(k) \text{ for each } k \geq 1 \]  

(31)

For \( n \geq 4 \), the structure of \( OP\Sigma_n \) is quite complicated, it is difficult to determine the rank \( \phi_k(\text{OP}\Sigma_n) \) of successive abelian quotients \( gr^k(\text{OP}\Sigma_n) \) and particularly that of \( gr^k(\text{P}\Sigma_n) \). However for \( k = 1 \) it is not surprising that \( gr^1(\text{P}\Sigma_n) = P\Sigma_n^{ab} = \mathbb{Z}^{n(n-1)} \) and thus

\[ \phi_1(\text{P}\Sigma_n) = (n-1) r_n(1). \]

(32)

We will calculate the rank \( \phi_2(\text{P}\Sigma_n) \) of \( gr^2(\text{P}\Sigma_n) \) and at the same time we determine a basis for \( gr^2(\text{P}\Sigma_n) \). To determine the rank \( \phi_2(\text{P}\Sigma_n) \), we will apply the exact 5-term homology sequence. Recall that if we have an extension of group \( 1 \to N \to G \to Q \to 1 \) then there exists an exact sequence of the form

\[ H_2(G) \to H_2(Q) \to N/\{ G, N \} \to H_1(G) \to H_1(Q) \to 1. \]

We apply this to the exact short sequence \( 1 \to \Gamma_2(\text{P}\Sigma_n) \to P\Sigma_n \to P\Sigma_n^{ab} \to 1 \), we obtain

\[ H_2(P\Sigma_n, \mathbb{Z}) \to H_2(P\Sigma_n^{ab}, \mathbb{Z}) \to gr^2(\text{P}\Sigma_n) \to H_1(P\Sigma_n, \mathbb{Z}) \to P\Sigma_n^{ab} \to 1 \]

(33)

Or \( H_1(P\Sigma_n, \mathbb{Z}) = P\Sigma_n^{ab} \), then \( \Sigma \) becomes

\[ H_2(P\Sigma_n, \mathbb{Z}) \to H_2(P\Sigma_n^{ab}, \mathbb{Z}) \to gr^2(\text{P}\Sigma_n) \to 1 \]

(34)

Therefore we come to this following result.

**Theorem 4.1.** For all \( n \geq 4 \), the abelian group \( gr^2(\text{P}\Sigma_n) \) has for possible basis

\[ \{[\xi_{ij}, \xi_{ij}] \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n \} \cup \{[\xi_{ij}, \xi_{it}] \mid t \neq i, 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n \}. \]

of rank

\[ \phi_2(\text{P}\Sigma_n) = (n-1) r_n(2). \]

(35)

**Proof.** It follows from \( \Sigma \) and \( \Phi \) that

\[ gr^2(\text{P}\Sigma_n) \cong \bigwedge^2 P\Sigma_n^{ab} / H_2(\text{P}\Sigma_n) \cong \bigwedge^2 \mathbb{Z}^{n(n-1)} / \mathbb{Z}^{(n-1)2}. \]

Thus the rank \( \phi_2(\text{P}\Sigma_n) \) of \( gr^2(\text{P}\Sigma_n) \) equals to:

\[ \phi_2(\text{P}\Sigma_n) = \left( \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \right) - \left( \frac{n-1}{2} \right)^2 = \frac{n(n-1)^2}{2} = (n-1) r_n(2). \]

As indicated in \( \Phi \) the following two subgroups

\[ \langle \xi_{ij}, \xi_{ji} : 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n \rangle \text{ and } \langle \xi_{ij}, \xi_{it} : t \neq i, 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n \rangle \]

are free groups of rank 2. By the proposition \( \Sigma \) the abelian group \( gr^2(\text{P}\Sigma_n) \) is generated by \( \langle \xi_{ij}, \xi_{it} \rangle \mod \Gamma_3(\text{P}\Sigma_n) \) for indices \( i, j, s, t \) distinct 2 to 2. It should be noted that from \( \Sigma \)

\[ 0 \neq [\xi_{ij}, \xi_{ji}] \in gr^2(\text{P}\Sigma_n) \text{ and } 0 \neq [\xi_{ij}, \xi_{it}] \in gr^2(\text{P}\Sigma_n). \]

(36)

We claim that the group \( gr^2(\text{P}\Sigma_n) \) is generated by the elements of \( \Phi \). Indeed, by the relations of McCool \( \Phi \) we have

- If \( \{i, j\} \cap \{s, t\} = \emptyset \), then \( \langle \xi_{ij}, \xi_{st} \rangle = 1 \) and thus \( \langle \xi_{ij}, \xi_{s,t} \rangle = 0 \) in \( gr^2(\text{P}\Sigma_n) \).
- If \( t = j \) then \( \langle \xi_{ij}, \xi_{is} \rangle = 1 \) and thus \( \langle \xi_{ij}, \xi_{s,t} \rangle = 0 \) in \( gr^2(\text{P}\Sigma_n) \).
- If now \( i = t \), we then have \( \langle \xi_{ij}, \xi_{si} \rangle = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \langle \xi_{ij}, \xi_{si} \rangle & \text{if } s = j \\ 1 & \text{otherwise}, \end{array} \right. \)
and so we get

\[ [\xi_{ij}, \xi_{si}] = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} [\xi_{ij}, \xi_{si}] & \text{if } s = j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}, \end{array} \right. \] in \( gr^2(\text{P}\Sigma_n) \).
If now \( s = j \), we then have \((\xi_{i,j}, \xi_{i,t})\), or by the formula 4 of the property we have
\[
1 = (\xi_{i,j}, \xi_{i,t}) = (\xi_{i,j}, \xi_{i,t})((\xi_{i,j}, \xi_{i,t})(\xi_{i,j}, \xi_{i,t}))
\]
and thus \([\xi_{i,j}, \xi_{i,t}] = -[\xi_{i,j}, \xi_{i,t}]\) in \(gr^2(P\Sigma_n)\).
Therefore all generators are reduced to \([\xi_{i,j}, \xi_{i,t}]\) and \([\xi_{i,j}, \xi_{i,j}]\). In addition, we also have
\[
\text{Card}([\xi_{i,j}, \xi_{i,j}] : 1 \leq i < j \leq n) \cup ([\xi_{i,j}, \xi_{i,t}] : 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq i < j \leq n)) = \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)^2 = (n-1)r_n(2).
\]
The proof is complete. \(\square\)

As we have just seen, all the ranks of \(gr^k(P\Sigma_n)\) determined here are obtained by the formula
\[
\phi_k(P\Sigma_n) = (n-1)r_n(k)
\]
(38)
We conclude this section by conjecturing that the formula (38) holds for all \( n \geq 4 \) and \( k \geq 3 \).

**Conjecture 1.** Let \( k, n \) be integers such that \( k \geq 3 \) and \( n \geq 4 \). The rank \( \phi_k(P\Sigma_n) \) of abelian group \( gr^k(P\Sigma_n) \) is given by \((n-1)r_n(k)\).

### 4.2 The upper triangular McCool group \( P\Sigma_n^+ \)

Let \( P\Sigma_n^+ \) be the subgroup of \( P\Sigma_n \) generated by all the automorphisms \( \xi_{i,j} \) with \( 1 \leq j < i \leq n \). As shown by Cohen et al. \cite{CP08} the group \( P\Sigma_n^+ \) is an almost direct product \( P\Sigma_n^+ = K_n^+ \rtimes P\Sigma_n^{-1} \) where \( K_n^+ = \langle \xi_{n,j} \rangle \leq j \leq n-1 \) is a free group of rank \( n-1 \). In other words, \( P\Sigma_n^+ \) can be realized as an almost direct iterated product of free groups of the form:
\[
P\Sigma_n^+ = F_{n-1} \rtimes \mu_{n-1} (F_{n-2} \rtimes \mu_{n-2} (\ldots (F_2 \rtimes \mu_2 F_1) \ldots)) = \times_{j=1}^{n-1} F_p
\]
(39)
where \( F_k = \langle \xi_{j,n-k} \rangle n - k + 1 \leq j \leq n \rangle \) and the almost direct product structure \( P\Sigma_n^+ = \times_{j=1}^{n-1} F_p \) is given by the homomorphism \( \mu_m : \times_{j=1}^{n-1} F_j \rightarrow \text{Aut}(F_m) \) defined by:
\[
\mu_k(\xi_{q,j})(\xi_{p,i}) = \xi_{q,j}^{-1} \xi_{p,i} \xi_{q,j} = \begin{cases} 
\xi_{p,j} \xi_{p,i} \xi_{p,j}^{-1} & \text{si } q = i \\
\xi_{p,i} & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]
with \( q = n - m, p = n - k, 1 \leq i < q < p \leq n \). For all \( 1 \leq p \leq n - 1 \), if we set \( x_{p,i} := \xi_{n-i+1,n-p} \) where \( 1 \leq i \leq p \), we then have
\[
x_{q,j}^{-1} x_{p,i} x_{q,j} = \begin{cases} 
x_{p,j} x_{p,i} x_{p,j}^{-1} & \text{si } q = i \\
x_{p,i} & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]
(40)
The group presentation that is going to happen also appears in \cite{DC08}. By Proposition \ref{prop:presentation}, the group \( P\Sigma_n^+ = \times_{p=1}^{n-1} F_p \), where \( F_p = \langle x_{p,i} : 1 \leq i \leq p \rangle \) admits a group presentation with the generators \( x_{p,i} := \xi_{n-i+1,n-p}, 1 \leq p \leq n - 1, 1 \leq i \leq p \) and relations
\[
x_{p,i} x_{q,j} = \begin{cases} 
x_{q,j} x_{p,i} x_{p,j}^{-1} & \text{si } q = i \\
x_{q,j} & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]
(41)
or equivalently
\[
(x_{p,i}, x_{q,j}) = (x_{p,i}, x_{p,j}^{-1}) \quad \text{if } q = i
\]
\[
(x_{p,i}, x_{q,j}) = 1 \quad \text{otherwise}
\]
where \( 1 \leq q < p \leq n \). D. Cohen and G. Pruidze have shown in \cite{CP08} that the center \( Z(P\Sigma_n^+) \) of \( P\Sigma_n^+ \) is an infinite cyclic group and generated by \( z = \xi_{n,1} \xi_{n,2} \cdots \xi_{n,n-1} \), and \( P\Sigma^+_n = P\Sigma_n^+ \rtimes Z(P\Sigma_n^+) \). Since the group \( F_1 \cong \mathbb{Z} \) in the iterated almost direct product decomposition of the free groups \( P\Sigma_n^+ = \times_{p=1}^{n-1} F_p \) is generated by \( \xi_{n,1} \xi_{n,2} \cdots \xi_{n,n-1}^{-1} \cdot z \) then it is immediate that
\[
\overline{P\Sigma_n^+} = P\Sigma_n^+/Z(P\Sigma_n^+) = F_{n-1} \rtimes \mu_{n-1} (F_{n-2} \rtimes \mu_{n-2} (\cdots (F_3 \rtimes \mu_3 F_2) \cdots)) = \times_{k=1}^{n-1} F_k
\]
(42)
Cohen et al. \[CPVW08\] determined the abelian group structure \( gr^k(P\Sigma^+_m) \) for each \( k \geq 1 \). We denote the image of \( \xi_{i,j} \) in \( gr^k(P\Sigma^+_m) \) by \( E_{i,j} \). For any \( 2 \leq m \leq n \), let \( \mathfrak{L}(S_m) \) be the free abelian group over the set \( S_m = \{ E_{m,j} | 1 \leq j \leq m - 1 \} \). There is an isomorphism as an abelian group

\[
gr^k(P\Sigma^+_m) \cong \bigoplus_{m=2}^n \mathfrak{L}(S_m) = \bigoplus_{m=2}^n \mathfrak{L}_{m-1}(k)
\]

(43)

where \( \mathfrak{L}_{m-1}(k) \) is the free abelian group of rank \( r_{m-1}(k) \). We have in particular the rank \( \phi_k(P\Sigma^+_m) \) of \( gr^k(P\Sigma^+_m) \) given by:

\[
\phi_k(P\Sigma^+_m) = \sum_{m=2}^n r_{m-1}(k).
\]

(44)

Consider now the short exact sequence

\[
1 \longrightarrow K^+_n \longrightarrow P\Sigma^+_n \longrightarrow P\Sigma^+_{n-1} \longrightarrow 1.
\]

(45)

Since the natural action by conjugation of \( P\Sigma^+_{n-1} \) on the abelianized of \( K^+_n \) is trivial, see \[CPVW08\] and by Theorem \[23\] we immediately have that \( gr^k(P\Sigma^+_n) = gr^k(K^+_n) \oplus gr^k(P\Sigma^+_{n-1}) \). By induction on \( n \geq 2 \), we then have

\[
gr^k(P\Sigma^+_n) = \bigoplus_{3 \leq m \leq n} gr^k(K^+_m) \oplus \mathbb{Z}
\]

(46)

A result of C. Cohen et al. in \[CCP07\] furthermore gives that the center of \( gr^k(P\Sigma^+_n) \) is isomorphic to \( \mathbb{Z} \), (i.e., is an infinite cyclic group) then we arrive at

\[
gr^k(P\Sigma^+_n) := gr^k(P\Sigma^+_n)/Z(P\Sigma^+_n) = \bigoplus_{3 \leq m \leq n} gr^k(K^+_m) = \bigoplus_{m=2}^n \mathfrak{L}_{m-1}(k)
\]

(47)

because \( K^+_m \) is a free subgroup of rank \( m - 1 \).

### 4.3 Partial inner automorphism group \( I_n \)

Bardakov and Neshchadim \[BN17\] defined the subgroup \( V_p \) of \( P\Sigma_n \) generated by the automorphisms \( \nu_{pi} = \xi_{i,1}\xi_{i,2}\cdots\xi_{i,p} \), with

\[
\nu_{pi} : F_p \longrightarrow F_p
\]

\[
x_k \longmapsto \begin{cases} x_i^{-1}x_kx_i & \text{if } 1 \leq k \leq p \\ x_k & \text{if } p < k \leq n \end{cases}
\]

with the assumption \( \xi_{p,p} = 1 \) where \( 1 \leq i \leq p \) and \( 2 \leq p \leq n \). As indicated in \[BN17\], the automorphisms \( \nu_{pi} \) is an automorphism of \( F_p = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_p \rangle \), and the subgroup \( V_p = \langle \nu_{p,1}, \ldots, \nu_{p,p} \rangle \) is equal to \( Int(F_p) \), the inner automorphisms group of \( F_p \). Let \( I_n \) be the partial inner automorphism group, the subgroup of \( P\Sigma_n \) generated by the automorphisms \( \nu_{pi} \), with \( p < i \) where \( 1 \leq i \leq p \) and \( 2 \leq p \leq n \), that is, \( I_n = \langle V_2, V_3, \ldots, V_n \rangle \). In \[BN17\], the group \( I_n \) is an almost direct product \( I_n = V_n \times I_{n-1} \). Therefore, group \( I_n \) can be realized as an almost direct product of free groups. The almost direct product structure of

\[
I_n = \mathbb{F}_n \times_{\eta_n}(\mathbb{F}_{n-1} \times_{\eta_{n-1}}(\cdots (\mathbb{F}_3 \times_{\eta_3} \mathbb{F}_2)\cdots))
\]

(48)

is given by the homomorphism

The almost direct product structure of \( G \) is given by the homomorphism \( \eta_m : \times_{k=2}^{m-1} \mathbb{F}_k \rightarrow Aut(\mathbb{F}_m) \) such that

\[
\eta_m(\nu_{qj})(\nu_{pi}) = \nu_{qj}^{-1}\nu_{pi}\nu_{qj} = \begin{cases} \nu_{pi} & \text{if } j = i \text{ or } i > q \\ \nu_{pj}^{-1}\nu_{pi}\nu_{pj} & \text{if } j \neq i \text{ and } i \leq q \end{cases}
\]

where for all \( k \in \{2, \ldots, n\} \), \( \mathbb{F}_k \) is the free group on the set \( \{ \nu_{k,1}, \ldots, \nu_{kk} \} \) with \( q < p \). The proposition \[4\] shows that we have the following result:

**Proposition 8.**

The group \( I_n = \times_{k=2}^n \mathbb{F}_k \) admits a presentation with generators \( \nu_{pi}, \ 2 \leq p \leq n, \ 1 \leq i \leq p \) and relations

\[
\nu_{pi}\nu_{qj} = \begin{cases} \nu_{qj} \nu_{pi} & \text{if } j \neq i \text{ and } i \leq q \\ \nu_{qj} \nu_{pi} & \text{if } j = i \text{ or } i > q \end{cases}
\]

(49)
or equivalently

\[(\nu_{pi}, \nu_{qi}) = (\nu_{pi}, \nu_{qi}) \text{ if } j \neq i \text{ et } i \leq q \]
\[(\nu_{pi}, \nu_{qi}) = 1 \text{ si } j = i \text{ ou } i > q \]

where \(1 \leq q < p \leq n\). Moreover the abelianization \(I_n^b = \mathbb{Z}^n\) is a free abelian group with generators \(\overline{p_i}^b\) of rank \(N = n^{2+n-2}\).

**Theorem 4.2.** For all \(n \geq 1\), the group \(I_n\) is isomorphic to \(\overline{P_{\Sigma_{n+1}^+}}\).

**Proof.** We prove this lemma by induction on \(n \geq 1\). We rapidly see that \(\overline{P_{\Sigma_{2}^+}} = \{1\} = I_1\) and \(\overline{P_{\Sigma_{3}^+}} = F_2 \cong F_2 = I_2\). Suppose we have the following induction hypothesis

\[I_n = \times_{j=2}^n F_j \text{ isomoprhic to } \overline{P_{\Sigma_{n+1}^+}} = \times_{j=2}^n F_j \quad (\forall n \geq 1)\]

where \(F_j = \langle \nu_{pi}, \nu_{qi}\rangle\) and \(F_j = \langle \xi_{n+1-k} \mid j \in \{n-k+2, \ldots, n+1\}\rangle\). Let us show that \(I_{n+1}\) is isomorphic to \(\overline{P_{\Sigma_{n+2}^+}}\). We also have

\[I_{n+1} = F_{n+1} \times_{\mu_{n+1}} I_n \text{ and } \overline{P_{\Sigma_{n+2}^+}} = F_{n+1} \times_{\mu_{n+1}} \overline{P_{\Sigma_{n+1}^+}}.\]

Since two free groups of the same rank are isomorphic, \(F_{n+1}\) is isomorphic to \(F_{n+1}\), the isomorphism of free groups. By the induction hypothesis, there is an isomorphism \(\alpha : I_n \cong \overline{P_{\Sigma_{n+1}^+}}.\)

Then the following diagram commutes

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
I_n & \xrightarrow{\eta_{n+1}} & \text{Aut}(F_{n+1}) \\
\downarrow\alpha & & \downarrow\beta^{-1} \circ ( ) \circ \beta \\
\overline{P_{\Sigma_{n+1}^+}} & \xrightarrow{\mu_{n+1}} & \text{Aut}(F_{n+1})
\end{array}
\]

Define the map \(\theta : I_{n+1} = F_{n+1} \times_{\mu_{n+1}} I_n \rightarrow \overline{P_{\Sigma_{n+2}^+}} = F_{n+1} \times_{\mu_{n+1}} \overline{P_{\Sigma_{n+1}^+}}\) via \(\theta(x, y) = (\beta(x), \alpha(y))\) for all \((x, y) \in F_{n+1} \times I_n\). It is easy to verify that \(\theta\) is a homomorphism and has for inverse \(\theta^{-1}(u, v) = (\beta^{-1}(u), \alpha^{-1}(v))\) for all \((u, v) \in F_{n+1} \times \overline{P_{\Sigma_{n+1}^+}}.\) Therefore \(I_{n+1}\) is isomorphic to \(\overline{P_{\Sigma_{n+2}^+}}\). This completes the proof of the lemma. \(\square\)

Now consider the short exact sequence of groups

\[1 \rightarrow V_n \rightarrow I_n \rightarrow I_{n-1} \rightarrow 1.\]

The group \(I_{n-1}\) acts by conjugation on the abelianization \(V_n^b\) of \(V_n\) trivially; namely the action by conjugation of \(\nu_{jq}\) on \(\nu_{np}\) is given by

\[\nu_{jq} \cdot \nu_{np} = \nu_{jq}^{-1} \cdot \nu_{np} \cdot \nu_{jq} = \begin{cases} 
\nu_{np} & \text{if } p = q \text{ or } p > j \\
\nu_{np}, (\nu_{np}, \nu_{nq}) & \text{if } p \neq q \text{ and } p \leq j
\end{cases}\]

where \(q \leq j \leq n - 1\). By the theorem 2.3 there is a short exact sequence

\[0 \rightarrow gr^k(V_n) \rightarrow gr^k(I_n) \rightarrow gr^k(I_{n-1}) \rightarrow 0.\]

In other words we have by induction on \(n\), \(gr^k(I_n) = \bigoplus_{m=2}^n gr^k(V_m)\) and therefore the rank \(\phi_k(I_n)\) of the abelian group \(gr^k(I_n)\) is given by

\[\phi_k(I_n) = \sum_{m=2}^n r_m(k).\]

**4.4 Group cohomology of partial inner automorphisms group \(I_n\)**

Recall first that an almost direct product of free groups is an iterated semi-direct product of free groups of finite ranks in which the action of the constituent free groups on the abelianized one of the other is trivial, \(G = \times_{p=1}^k G_p\) where \(G_p = F_{n_p} = \langle x_{p,1}, \ldots, x_{p,n_p}\rangle\) for each \(1 \leq p \leq k\). We write by \(\{e_{pi}, 1 \leq i \leq n_p, 1 \leq p \leq k\}\) the basis of \(H^1(G, \mathbb{Z}) = H_1(G, \mathbb{Z})^* := Hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(G_{ab}, \mathbb{Z})\), dual to the basis \(\{e_{pi}, 1 \leq i \leq n_p, 1 \leq p \leq k\}\) of
$H_1(G, \mathbb{Z}) = G^{ab}$. For an almost direct product of free groups $G = \times_{p=1}^n G_p$ given, Cohen [DC08, théorème 3.1] determined the structure of the cohomology ring with coefficients $\mathbb{Z}$ of such a group $H^*(G, \mathbb{Z}) \cong E/J$ as being a quotient of the outer algebra $E = \bigwedge H^1(G, \mathbb{Z})$. We take its result as follows: Let $E = \bigwedge H^1(G)$ be the outer algebra on $\mathbb{Z}$ generated by the elements $e_{p,i}$, $1 \leq i \leq n_p$, $1 \leq p \leq k$, and let $\eta^{i,j}_{p}$ be the elements of the form

$$\eta^{i,j}_{p} = e_{p,j} \wedge e_{p,j} + \sum_{q=1}^{p-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_q} \sum_{s=1}^{n_p} \kappa_{q,p,i,s} e_{q,r} \wedge e_{p,s},$$

where the coefficients $\kappa_{q,p,i,s}^{}$ are the inputs of a matrix (voir DC08 for details.) The following set

$$\mathcal{J} = \{ \eta^{i,j}_{p} : 1 \leq p \leq k, \ 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n_p \}$$

is a base of $\ker(H^2(\mathbb{Z}^m) \rightarrow H^2(G))$, the kernel of dual of homomorphism $H_2(\mathbb{Z}^m) \rightarrow H_2(G)$ with $m = \sum_{p=1}^k n_p$.

Let $J$ be the bilateral ideal generated by the elements of the set $\mathcal{J}$. The result of Cohen [DC08, théorème 3.1] shows that then the ring of co-homology $H^*(G)$ of $G$ is isomorphic to the quotient $E/J$. In particular for the group $G = P\Sigma_{1}^{m}$ with relations [12] this result gives $H^*(P\Sigma_{1}^{m}) = E_p/J_p$ o"u $E_p = \bigwedge H^1(P\Sigma_{1}^{m})$ is the outer algebra generated by $e_{p,i}$, $1 \leq i < n - 1$ with $e_{p,i}$ represents the dual of the generators $x_{p,j}$ of $H_1(P\Sigma_{1}^{m}, \mathbb{Z})$ and $J_p$ is the ideal generated by $e_{p,i} \wedge e_{p,j} - e_{p,i} \wedge e_{i,j}$, $1 \leq j < i \leq n - 1$. This example also appears in [DC08]. This description of $H^*(P\Sigma_{1}^{m})$ is exactly that given in [CPVW08]. A similar result holds for the partial inner automorphisms group $I_n$. We denote by $\{ a_{p,i} \} | 2 \leq p \leq n, 1 \leq i \leq p \}$ the base of $H^1(I_n)$ dual to the base $\{ \tau_{ab} \ 2 \leq p \leq n, 1 \leq i \leq p \}$ of $I_n^{ab}$.

**Proposition 9.** Let $I_n$ the partial inner automorphisms group. Then the cohomology ring $H^*(I_n)$ of $I_n$ is isomorphic to $E_1/J_1$ where $E_1 = \bigwedge H^1(I_n)$ is the outer algebra generated by $a_{p,i}$, $2 \leq p \leq n, 1 \leq i \leq p$ and $J_1$ is the ideal generated by $a_{p,i} \wedge a_{p,j} + a_{q,j} \wedge a_{p,i}$ where $j < i < q \leq p$.

**Proof.** Since $I_n$ is an almost-direct product of free groups, the cohomology $H^*(I_n)$ of $I_n$ follows directly from the results of [DC08; théorème 3.1]. \(\square\)

### 4.5 Restriction of Andreadakis filtration to the partial inner automorphism group $I_n$

Let $\mathcal{I}_n(k)$ denote the k-th Andreadakis filtration of $I_n$ defined by $\mathcal{I}_n(k) := I_n \cap \mathcal{M}_n(k)$ and writing $Gr^k(\mathcal{I}_n) := \mathcal{I}_n(k)/\mathcal{I}_n(k+1)$ for any $k \geq 1$. Let

$$\tau_k^I := \tau_k^I(Gr^k(\mathcal{I}_n)) : Gr^k(\mathcal{I}_n) \hookrightarrow V^* \otimes \mathcal{L}_n(k+1)$$

be an injective homomorphism induced by the restriction of $\tau_k^I$ to $Gr^k(\mathcal{I}_n)$. Similarly to $\tau_k^{(p)}$ there is a natural homomorphism

$$\partial_k : gr^k(I_n) \rightarrow Gr^k(\mathcal{I}_n)$$

induced by the inclusion $\Gamma_k(I_n) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{I}_n(k)$. We define a homomorphism $\tau_k^{(I)}$ to be the composition of $\partial_k$ and the Johnson homomorphism $\tau_k^I$:

$$\tau_k^{(I)} := \tau_k^I \circ \partial_k : gr^k(I_n) \rightarrow V^* \otimes \mathcal{L}_n(k+1).$$

#### 4.5.1 Values of the image of Johnson’s homomorphism restricted to $I_n$

The purpose of this section is to determine the values of the image of $\tau_k^{(I)}$. Recall that $I_n$ is generated by the automorphisms $\{ \nu_{ip} \ 2 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq p \leq i \}$. Please note that we have exchanged the indices $i$ and $p$ of section §4.3. For each $i \geq 2$ fixed, the elements $\nu_{ip}$ for $1 \leq p \leq i$ give a basis for a free group of rank $i$ in $I_n$. The action of $\nu_{ip}$ for $p \leq i$ on $x_k \in F_n$ is given by

$$\nu_{ip}(x_k) = \begin{cases} x_k^{-1}x_k x_p & \text{if } k \leq i \\ x_k & \text{if } i < k \end{cases}$$

Thus for $1 \leq p_1, p_2 \leq i$,

$$(\nu_{ip_1}, \nu_{ip_2})(x_k) = \nu_{ip_1}(\nu_{ip_2}(x_k)) = \begin{cases} x_k^{-1}x_kx_k x_p x_{p_2} x_{p_1} & \text{if } k \leq i \\ x_k & \text{if } i < k \end{cases}$$

\[20\]
Consider now a product given by
\[ \Theta = \nu_{1p_1}^{e_1} \nu_{2p_2}^{e_2} \cdots \nu_{kp_k}^{e_k} \]
for \( e_i = \pm 1 \), for \( 1 \leq p_i \leq i \) with \( t \in \{1, \ldots, k\} \). Let us see how \( \Theta \) acts on the generators \( x_k \in F_n \).

**Lemma 3.** If \( \Theta = \nu_{1p_1}^{e_1} \nu_{2p_2}^{e_2} \cdots \nu_{kp_k}^{e_k} \) for \( e_i = \pm 1 \), for \( 1 \leq p_i \leq i \), the action of \( \Theta \) on \( x_k \in F_n \) is given by
\[
\Theta(x_k) = \left\{ \begin{array}{cl}
(x_{p_1}^{e_1}, x_{p_2}^{e_2}, \ldots, x_{p_k}^{e_k})^{-1} x_k [x_{p_1}, x_{p_2}] & \text{if } k \leq i \\
x_k & \text{if } k > i
\end{array} \right.
\]

Hence the action of commutator \( [\nu_{ip_1}, \nu_{ip_2}] \) on \( x_k \) is expressed by
\[
[\nu_{ip_1}, \nu_{ip_2}](x_k) = \left\{ \begin{array}{cl}
[x_{p_1}, x_{p_2}]^{-1} x_k [x_{p_1}, x_{p_2}] & \text{if } k \leq i \\
x_k & \text{if } k > i
\end{array} \right.
\]

Therefore, the formula for the action of \( k \)-commutator \( \Theta = \prod_{i=1}^{n} [x_{p_1}, x_{p_2}] \) on \( x_k \in F_n \) is given by the formula
\[
\Theta(x_k) = \left\{ \begin{array}{cl}
\prod_{i=1}^{k} [x_{p_1}, x_{p_2}]^{-1} x_k \prod_{i=1}^{k} [x_{p_1}, x_{p_2}] & \text{if } r \leq i \\
\prod_{i=1}^{k} [x_{p_1}, x_{p_2}] & \text{if } r > i
\end{array} \right.
\]

The values of Johnson's homomorphism of \( I_n \) are given by the following proposition.

**Proposition 10.** Let \( \gamma = \prod_{i=1}^{n} [x_{p_1}, x_{p_2}] \in \Gamma_k(I_n) \). If \( 1 \leq p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k \leq i \), then
\[
\tau_k(I)(\Theta) = \sum_{r=1}^{n} x_r^* \otimes [\gamma, x_r] \in V^* \otimes \mathcal{L}_n(k+1),
\]

where \( \gamma = \prod_{i=1}^{n} [x_{p_1}, x_{p_2}] \in \Gamma_k(F_i) \). Moreover \( \text{Im}(\tau_k(I)) \) is generated by
\[
\{ x_r^* \otimes [x_{p_1}, \ldots, x_{p_k}, x_r] \in V^* \otimes \mathcal{L}_n(k+1) \mid 1 \leq p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k \leq r \leq n \}
\]

For any \( k \geq 1 \) and \( 2 \leq r \leq n \), if we set \( \{ c_{ij}^r(k) \}_{1 \leq j \leq n_k^r} \) all basic commutators of weight \( k \) among the components \( \{x_1, \ldots, x_r\} \). As we now know (see Theorem 2.2), the basic commutators of weight \( k \) form a basis of the free abelian group \( \mathcal{L}_r(k) \). Then we have the following result.

**Lemma 4.** For any \( k \geq 1 \), \( \mathcal{B} = \{ x_r^* \otimes [c_{ij}^r(k), x_r], \ldots, x_r^* \otimes [c_{n_k^r}^r(k), x_r] : 1 \leq r \leq n \} \) forms a basis of \( \text{Im}(\tau_k(I)) \) as a free abelian group of rank \( \sum_{r=2}^{n} r_r(k) \).

**Proof.** First it is clear that \( \mathcal{B} \) generates \( \text{Im}(\tau_k(I)) \). Then it suffices to show the linearly independent of elements in \( \mathcal{B} \). Assume that
\[
\sum_{r=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n_k^r} \lambda_{r,j} x_r^* \otimes [c_{ij}^r(k), x_r] = 0
\]
for \( \lambda_{r,j} \in \mathbb{Z} \). Then for each \( 1 \leq r \leq n \), we have
\[
\sum_{j=1}^{n_k^r} \lambda_{r,j} [c_{ij}^r(k), x_r] = 0.
\]
On the other hand consider this injective homomorphism \( \beta : \mathcal{L}_r(k) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}_r(k+1) \). Since the elements \( [c_{ij}^r(k), x_r] \in \mathcal{L}_r(k+1) \) and the elements \( c_{ij}^r(k) \) for \( 1 \leq j \leq n_k^r \) are linearly independent in \( \mathcal{L}_n(k) \), then \( [c_{ij}^r(k), x_r] \) are linearly independent in \( \mathcal{L}_r(k+1) \). This shows that \( \lambda_{r,j} = 0 \) for \( 1 \leq j \leq n_k^r \). Thus the elements in \( \mathcal{B} \) are linearly independent and therefore \( \text{rank}(\mathcal{B}) = \sum_{r=2}^{n} r_r(k) \). This completes the proof of Lemma 4. \( \square \)

The following statement records a positive answer to question [15] cited in section §3.1.

**Theorem 4.3.** For each \( k \geq 1 \), \( I_n(k) := I_n \cap \mathcal{M}_n(k) = \Gamma_k(I_n) \).
Proof. We show this theorem by induction on \( k \geq 1 \). By definition \( \Gamma_1(I_n) = I_n(1) = I_n \) and by Proposition 6 we have \( \Gamma_2(I_n) = I_n(2) \), in other words the composition

\[
\tau_1^{(I)} : gr^1(I_n) = T_{\mathbb{N}}^{ab}(1) \xrightarrow{\delta_1} Gr^1(I_n) \xrightarrow{\tau_1} Im(\tau_1) = Im(\tau_1^{(I)}) = \mathbb{Z}^N \quad \text{avec } N = \frac{n^2 + n - 2}{2},
\]

is an isomorphism. Assume that we have \( \Gamma_k(I_n) = I_n(k) \) for each \( k \geq 1 \). Then we have the surjective homomorphism

\[
\tau_k^{(I)} : gr^k(I_n) \xrightarrow{\delta_k} Gr^k(I_n) \xrightarrow{\tau_k} Im(\tau_k) = Im(\tau_k^{(I)}).
\]

By the previous Lemma 6, \( Im(\tau_k^{(I)}) \) has the same rank as \( gr^k(I_n) \), as an abelian group. The homomorphism \( \tau_k^{(I)} \) is then an isomorphism and therefore \( \delta_k \) must be injective. Hence \( \Gamma_{k+1}(I_n) = I_n(k+1) \). The proof is complete.

We finally end this article with this problem below.

**Conjecture 2.** Any other subgroup \( G \) in almost direct iterated product of the free groups of \( P\Sigma_n \) satisfies this equality,

\[
G(k) := \mathcal{M}_n(k) \cap G = \Gamma_k(G) \quad (\forall k \geq 1) \quad ?
\]

(57)

We already have for the case \( k = 1 \) by definition and for the case \( k = 2 \) by Proposition 6. It remains to prove or disapprove this conjecture \( \text{[57]} \) for all \( k \geq 3 \).
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