CHARACTERISTIC CLASSES OF INVOLUTIONS IN Nonsolvable GROUPS
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Abstract. Let $G, D_0, D_1$ be finite groups such that $D_0 \trianglelefteq D_1$ are groups of automorphisms of $G$ that contain the inner automorphisms of $G$. Assume that $D_1/D_0$ has a normal $2$-complement and that $D_1$ acts fixed point freely on the set of $D_0$-conjugacy classes of involutions of $G$ (i.e. $C_{D_1}(a)D_0 < D_1$ for every involution $a \in G$). We prove that $G$ is solvable. We also construct a non-solvable finite group who posses no characteristic conjugacy class of nontrivial cyclic subgroups. This shows that an assumption on the structure of $D_1/D_0$ above must be made in order to guarantee the solvability of $G$ and also yields a negative answer to Problem 3.51 in the Kourovka notebook, posed by A. I. Saksonov in 1969.

1. Introduction

Let $G$ be a finite group. A well-studied problem in group theory is finding interesting conditions on $(G,A)$, where $A$ is a fixed point free group of automorphisms of $G$, that guarantee the solvability of $G$. It is known [3] that if $A$ is either cyclic or of order coprime to $|G|$, then $G$ is solvable. Of course, $A$ acting fixed point freely on $G$ does not guarantee the solvability of $G$, as the example $(G,G)$ shows whenever $G$ is centerless and nonsolvable. One property that the cyclic case and the coprime case share is that $A$ acts fixed point freely on the set of (nontrivial) conjugacy classes of $G$. This property clearly does not hold in the $(G,G)$ case. This observation leads to the following two questions, the first of which was posed by A.I Saksonov in the Kourovka notebook [2] in 1969:

Question 1. (Problem 3.51 of [2]) Assume $G$ possesses no characteristic conjugacy class of nontrivial elements. Must $G$ be solvable?

And in case the answer is negative:

Question 2. Assume $A$ is a group of automorphisms of $G$ that acts fixed point freely on the set of nontrivial conjugacy classes of $G$. Are there any mild conditions on $(G,A)$ that guarantee the solvability of $G$?

The main results of this paper are Theorem 1 and Theorem 2. Theorem 2 imply that the answer to Question 1 is negative. Theorem 1 imply that the answer to Question 2 is positive. Before stating the theorems, a couple of definitions are needed.

Definition 1. A group $D_0$ acting (from the left) on a finite group $G$ by automorphisms is called ordinary iff for every $g \in G$ there exists $\alpha \in D_0$ such that $\forall \alpha \in G$
An ordinary triplet is a triplet of finite groups \((G,D_0,D_1)\) such that \(D_1\) acts on \(G\) by automorphisms (from the left), \(D_0 \leq D_1\) and \(D_0\) is ordinary.

**Definition 2.** An ordinary triplet \((G,D_0,D_1)\) is called wild if \(D_1\) acts fixed point freely on the set of \(D_0\)-conjugacy classes of involutions of \(G\), i.e. \(C_{D_1}(a)D_0 < D_1\) for every involution \(a \in G\).

The first main result of this paper is the following criteria for solvability.

**Theorem 1.** Assume that \((G,D_0,D_1)\) is wild and that \(D_1/D_0\) has a normal \(2\)-complement. Then \(G\) is solvable and if \(B\) is a \(D_1\)-invariant subgroup of \(G\), then \((G/B,D_0,D_1)\) is wild.

The second main result of this paper is the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** Let \(A\) be a finite group. Then there exists a finite group \(G = H \times A\) (\(H\) solvable) such that \(G\) posses no characteristic conjugacy class of nontrivial cyclic subgroups.

We now list some corollaries. Fix a finite nonsolvable group \(G\).

**Corollary 1.** Assume \(N \leq G\) is a normal subgroup such that \(G/N\) has a normal \(2\)-complement. Then there exists an involution \(a \in N\) such that \(C_G(a)N = G\).

**Proof.** \(N\) is not solvable, and so \((N,N,G)\) is not wild. \(\square\)

**Corollary 2.** If every involution in \(G\) is centralized by a Sylow-\(p\) subgroup of \(G\) (that depends on the involution), then some involution in \(G\) is centralized by a Sylow-\(p\) subgroup of \(\text{Aut}(G)\).

**Proof.** Let \(P\) be a Sylow-\(p\) subgroup of \(\text{Aut}(G)\). Looking at \((G,G,G \times P)\), we see that some conjugacy class of involutions \(C\) is \(P\)-invariant. From our assumption, \(C\) is of order prime to \(p\). Thus \(P\) centralize some element in \(C\). \(\square\)

2. NOTATION AND CONVENTIONS.

All groups considered in this paper are finite. \(g^a = a(g^{-1}) = gag^{-1}\). The identity element is denoted by 0 (yet maintaining multiplicative notation). The trivial subgroup \(\{0\} \leq G\) is identified with 0. A group \(D\) acting on a group \(G\) by automorphisms (from the left) is called ordinary if the inner automorphisms of \(G\) are represented in \(D\) i.e. for every \(g \in G\) there exists \(\alpha \in D\) such that \(\forall a \in G\) \(\alpha(a) = ga\). Only triplets \((G,D_0,D_1)\) where \(D_1\) acts on \(G\) by automorphisms (from the left), \(D_0 \leq D_1\) and \(D_0\) is ordinary are considered, and such triplets are called ordinary. We shall not specify the action as no confusion will arise. In Section 4 group actions are done from the right.

3. LEMMAS AND PROOF OF THEOREM 1

**Proposition 1.** Assume \((G,D_0,D_1)\) is wild. Assume \(M \leq G\) and that every \(D_1\)-conjugate of \(M\) is a \(D_0\)-conjugate of \(M\) i.e. \(N_{D_1}(M)D_0 = D_1\). Then \((M,N_{D_0}(M),N_{D_1}(M))\) is wild and \(N_{D_1}(M)/N_{D_0}(M) \cong D_1/D_0\).

**Proof.** Clearly \((M,N_{D_0}(M),N_{D_1}(M))\) is ordinary and \(N_{D_1}(M)/N_{D_0}(M) \cong D_1/D_0\). Let \(a \in M\) be an involution. Take \(\psi \in D_1\) such that \(\psi(a)\) is not a \(D_0\)-conjugate of \(a\). Take \(\alpha \in D_0\) such that \(\psi(M) = \alpha(M)\). Now \(\alpha^{-1}\psi \in N_{D_1}(M)\). \(\alpha^{-1}\psi(a)\) is not a \(D_0\)-conjugate of \(a\) and so \(\alpha^{-1}\psi(a)\) is not a \(N_{D_0}(M)\)-conjugate of \(a\) as well. \(\square\)
Proposition 2. Let \((G,D_0,D_1)\) be ordinary. Assume \(B \leq G\) is \(D_1\)-invariant (hence also \(B \leq G\)). Assume \((G/B,D_0,D_1)\) is not wild. Then there exists \(B < B_1 \leq G\) such that \([B_1 : B] = 2\) and \(N_{D_1}(B_1)D_0 = D_1\).

Proof. Immediate \(\Box\)

Proposition 3. Let \((G,D_0,D_1)\) be ordinary. Assume \(|G| = 2k\) where \(k\) is odd. Then \((G,D_0,D_1)\) is not wild.

Proof. From Sylow’s theorem, \(G\) has a unique conjugacy class of involutions. Taking some involution \(a \in G\) (there exists such), every \(D_1\)-conjugate of \(a\) is a \(G\)-conjugate of \(a\) and so it’s also a \(D_0\)-conjugate of \(a\). \(\Box\)

Proposition 4. Assume \((G,D_0,D_1)\) is wild. Assume \(B \leq G\) is \(D_1\)-invariant where \(|B|\) is odd. Then \((G/B,D_0,D_1)\) is wild.

Proof. Assume else. Thus there exists some \(B < B_1 \leq G\) such that \([B_1 : B] = 2\) and \(N_{D_1}(B_1)D_0 = D_1\). Now \((B_1,N_{D_0}(B_1),N_{D_1}(B_1))\) is wild, but \(|B_1| = 2k\) where \(k\) is odd - contradiction. \(\Box\)

Lemma 1. Let \((M,D_0,D_1)\) be ordinary. Assume that \(D_1/D_0\) has a normal 2-complement and that \(M\) is a 2-group. Assume some \(B \leq M\) with \([M : B] = 2\) is \(D_1\)-invariant. Then \((M,D_0,D_1)\) is not wild.

Proof. Let \((B,M,D_0,D_1)\) be a counter example with minimal \(|M|\).

Claim: No \(0 < J < B\) is \(D_1\)-invariant.

Proof: Assume else. Let \(0 < J < B\) be \(D_1\) invariant. Passing to \((B/J,M/J,D_0,D_1)\) and using the induction hypothesis, we see that \((M/J,D_0,D_1)\) is not wild. Thus there exists some \(J < J_1 \leq M\) such that \([J_1 : J] = 2\) and \(N_{D_1}(J_1)D_0 = D_1\). Now \((J_1,N_{D_0}(J_1),N_{D_1}(J_1))\) is wild and so, as \(N_{D_1}(J_1)/N_{D_0}(J_1) \cong D_1/D_0\), we get that \((J,J_1,N_{D_0}(J_1),N_{D_1}(J_1))\) is also a counter example. This contradicts the minimality of \(|M|\).

\(\Box\) of the claim.

It follows that \(\Omega_1(Z(M) \cap B) = B\). Thus \(\langle B \leq Z(M)\) and \([M : B] = 2\rangle\) is abelian. \(M\) is elementary abelian as otherwise we’d have that \(U^1(M)\) is of order 2 and obviously fixed by \(D_1\)- in contradiction with the wildness of \((M,D_0,D_1)\). Note that as \(M\) is abelian, every group acting on \(M\) by automorphisms is ordinary.

Claim: No \(0 < J < B\) satisfies \(N_{D_1}(J)D_0 = D_1\).

Proof: Assume else. Let \(0 < J < B\) satisfy \(N_{D_1}(J)D_0 = D_1\). Set \(F_0 = N_{D_0}(J)\), \(F_1 = N_{D_1}(J)\). Consider \((B,M,F_0,F_1)\). \(0 < J < B\) is \(F_1\)-invariant. Thus, by the preceding claim applied to \((B,M,F_0,F_1)\), we see that \((B,M,F_0,F_1)\) is not a counter example. As \(F_1/F_0 \cong D_1/D_0\) we get that \((M,F_0,F_1)\) is not wild. Now let \(a \in M\) be some involution such that every \(F_1\)-conjugate of \(a\) is an \(F_0\)-conjugate of \(a\). \((M,D_0,D_1)\) is wild and so there is some \(\psi \in D_1\) for which \(\psi(a)\) is not a \(D_0\)-conjugate of \(a\). Take \(\alpha \in D_0\) such that \(\alpha(J) = \psi(J)\). Now \(\alpha^{-1}\psi(J) = J\) and so \(\alpha^{-1}\psi \in F_1\). Now for some \(\beta \in F_0\) \(\alpha^{-1}\psi(a) = \beta(a)\). Thus \(\psi(a) = \alpha\beta(a)\) but \(\alpha\beta \in D_0\) - contradiction.

\(\Box\) of the claim.

Notice that as \((M,D_0,D_1)\) is wild, \((M,D_0,C_{D_1}(M),D_1)\) is wild. Also, as \(D_1/D_0\) has a normal 2-complement, \(D_1/D_0C_{D_1}(M)\) has a normal 2-complement. And so we may assume \(C_{D_1}(M) \leq D_0\). Thus we may in fact assume that \(C_{D_1}(M) = 0\).

Claim: \(D_0\) is a 2-group.
Proof: Assume else. Let \( p \in \pi(D_0) \) be odd. Take \( P \in Syb(D_0) \). \( P \) acts on the set \( M \setminus B \) in which it must have a fixed point. Set \( J = C_B(P) \). It is clear that \( N_{D_1}(J)D_0 = D_1 \). Now if \( J > 0 \) then \( J = B \), but \( C_M(P) \not\leq B \) and so \( P \leq C_{D_1}(M) \) - contradiction. Thus \( J = 0 \). Now setting \( C_M(P) = \langle a \rangle \), we see that every \( D_1 \)-conjugate of \( a \) is a \( D_0 \)-conjugate of \( a \) - contradiction.

\( \Box \)

Now \( C_B(D_0) > 0 \) and thus \( C_B(D_0) = B \). Note that \( D_1 \) is not a 2-group (otherwise it would have a fixed point in \( M \)). Let \( k \) be the size of the normal 2-complement of \( D_1/D_0 \). Set \( \mathcal{F} = \{R \leq D_1 \mid |R| = k\} \). From Schur-Zassenhaus, \( \mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset \) and \( D_0 \) acts transitively on \( \mathcal{F} \) by conjugation (\( D_0 \) is possibly trivial). Let \( D_0 < H \leq D_1 \) be the group for which \( H/D_0 \) is the normal 2-complement of \( D_1/D_0 \) (so for every \( R \in \mathcal{F} \), \( D_0R = H \)). Set \( E = \{C \mid C \text{ is a } D_0\text{-orbit in } M \setminus B\} \). Let \( R \in \mathcal{F} \) be arbitrary. Set \( E_R = \{C \in E \mid C \text{ is } R\text{-invariant}\} \).

Claim: Let \( C \in E \). Then \( C \in E_R \) iff some \( a \in C \) is fixed by \( R \).

Proof: The “if” part is obvious. For the “only if”: Assume \( C \) is \( R \)-invariant. Take \( b \in C \). Then \( C_H(b)D_0 = H \) so \( C_H(b)/C_{D_0}(b) \cong H/D_0 \cong R \). Now there exists some \( V \leq C_H(b) \) with \( V \in \mathcal{F} \). For some \( t \in D_0 \), \( R = tV \). Thus \( R \) fixes \( t^tb \in C \) and we are done.

\( \Box \) of the claim.

Claim: \( |E_R| \geq 1 \).

Proof: From Machke’s theorem, we see that \( R \) must have a fixed point in the set \( M \setminus B \), and so \( |E_R| \geq 1 \). Now assume \( |E_R| > 1 \). From the previous claim, it follows that \( C_M(R) \) contains at least 2 nontrivial elements. Thus \( C_M(R) > C_M(R) \cap B > 0 \). As \( D_0 \) acts transitively on \( \mathcal{F} \), we see that \( N_{D_1}(C_M(R) \cap B)D_0 = D_1 \). From a previous claim, it follows that \( C_M(R) \cap B = B \). But \( C_M(R) > C_M(R) \cap B \), and thus we get \( C_M(R) = M \) which contradicts \( C_{D_1}(M) = 0 \).

\( \Box \) of the claim.

Write \( E_R = \{C_R\} \). Note that for any \( R_1, R_2 \in \mathcal{F} \), we have \( C_{R_1} = C_{R_2} \). Indeed, for some \( t \in D_0 \), \( R_1 = tR_2 \) and thus \( C_{R_1} = C_{R_2} = C_{R_2} \). Let \( C \in E \) be the unique \( D_0 \)-orbit for which for every \( R \in \mathcal{F} \), \( E_R = \{C\} \). It is immediate that \( C \) is \( D_1 \) invariant - contradiction to the wildness of \((M,D_0,D_1)\). The lemma is proved.

\( \Box \)

Lemma 2. Assume that \((G,D_0,D_1)\) is wild and that \( D_1/D_0 \) has a normal 2-complement. Assume \( B \) is a solvable \( D_1 \)-invariant subgroup of \( G \). Then \((G/B,D_0,D_1)\) is wild.

Proof. We may assume that \( B \) is a \( p \)-group for some \( p \). The case where \( p \) is odd follows from Proposition 4, so assume \( p = 2 \). Now if \((G/B,D_0,D_1)\) is not wild, then there exists some \( B < M \leq G \) with \([M : B] = 2 \) and \( N_{D_1}(M)D_0 = D_1 \). Thus \((M,N_{D_1}(M),N_{D_1}(M))\) is wild and \( N_{D_1}(M)/N_{D_0}(M) \cong D_1/D_0 \) and, in particular, \( N_{D_1}(M)/N_{D_0}(M) \) has a normal 2-complement. But \( M \) is a 2-group, \([M : B] = 2\) and \( B \) is \( N_{D_1}(M) \)-invariant (as it is \( D_1 \) invariant). This contradicts Lemma 1. The lemma is proved.

\( \Box \)
Lemma 3. Let $A$ be a simple nonabelian group. Then $A$ (and thus, as $A$ is simple nonabelian, $A^r$ for any $r \geq 1$) possesses a characteristic conjugacy class of involutions.

Proof. See [1] (Lemma 12.1).

Theorem 1. Assume that $(G, D_0, D_1)$ is wild and that $D_1/D_0$ has a normal 2-complement. Then $G$ is solvable and if $B$ is a $D_1$-invariant subgroup of $G$, then $(G/B, D_0, D_1)$ is wild.

Proof. It suffices to show that $G$ is solvable. The rest follows from Lemma 2. Let $(G, D_0, D_1)$ be a counter example with minimal $|G|$. If $G$ possesses a proper nontrivial characteristic subgroup $H$, then $H$ is solvable and thus $(G/H, D_0, D_1)$ is wild and hence $G/H$ is solvable. Thus $G$ possesses no proper nontrivial characteristic subgroup. Thus $G \cong A^r$ for a simple nonabelian group $A$ and $r \geq 1$. This contradicts Lemma 3.

4. SAKSONOV’S PROBLEM

The purpose of this section is to provide a negative answer to Saksonov’s problem, or more specifically to prove the following theorem:

Theorem 2. Let $A$ be a finite group. Then there exists a finite group $G = H \rtimes A$ (H solvable) such that $G$ possesses no characteristic conjugacy class of nontrivial cyclic subgroups.

In order to prove Theorem 2, we need some definitions. Let $p$ be a prime. A group $G$ is called $< p >$-wild if there exists no $x \in G$ of order $p$ such that $< x > \leq G$ (where "$S \leq G$" means that the the conjugacy class $\{S^g \mid g \in G\}$ is characteristic, for a subgroup $S \leq G$). It is evident that if $H$ is a normal $p'$-subgroup $G$ such that $G/H$ is $< p >$-wild and the natural map $\sigma : N_{\text{Aut}(G)}(H) \to \text{Aut}(G/H)$ is surjective, then $G$ is $< p >$-wild. Let $\xi(G) = \{p \in \pi(G) \mid G$ is $< p >$-wild\}. Our strategy is as follows. Let $A$ be any nontrivial group and $p$ a prime. We shall construct a semidirect product $G_p(A) = B \rtimes A$ where $B$ is a nontrivial elementary abelian $p$ group such that $G_p(A)$ is $< p >$-wild and the natural map $\sigma : N_{\text{Aut}(G_p(A))}(B) \to \text{Aut}(G_p(A)/B \cong A)$ is surjective. This would yield that $\xi(G_p(A)) \supseteq \xi(A) \cup \{p\}$. Theorem 2 would then follow easily: Let $A$ be a (W.L.O.G nontrivial) finite group. Write $\pi(A) = \{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$. Now $G = G_{p_1}(G_{p_2}(\ldots(G_{p_n}(A)(\ldots)$ is clearly as needed. The rest of this section is devoted to the construction of $G_p(A)$ ($p$ a fixed prime and $A$ a fixed nontrivial finite group). The following easy lemma is useful.

Lemma 4. Assume $G = B \rtimes A$ and $\psi \in \text{Aut}(B)$ satisfies $\psi(v^g) = \psi(v)^g$ for every $v \in B$ and $g \in A$. Then there exists a unique $\psi' \in \text{Aut}(G)$ such that $\forall g \in A \psi'(g) = g$ and $\forall v \in B \psi'(v) = \psi(v)$.

We start the construction of $G_p(A)$. Let $r$ be the minimal prime such that $r \mid (p-1)$. Let $B = (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{\left(\frac{|A|-1}{r}\right)}$. Write $B = B_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus B_s$ where for each
$i, B_i$ is of dimension $|A| - 1$ with a fixed basis $\{ v^i_g \mid g \in A^# \}$. We also denote $v^0_0 = \ldots = v^0_v = 0$. Throughout the construction, the letters $g, h$ and their variants (say $h_*$) will denote elements of $A$ while the letters $t, v$ (and their variants) will denote elements of $B$. The letter $a$ (and its variants) will denote an element of $F_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$.

**Lemma.** There exists a unique action of $A$ on $B$ (by automorphisms) such that for all $g_1, g_2 \in A$ and $i = 1, \ldots, r$: $(v^i_{g_1}g_2) = v^i_{g_1g_2} - v^i_{g_2}$. 

**Proof.** For each $g_2 \in A$ the sequence $\{v^i_{g_1g_2} - v^i_{g_2}\}_{g_1 \in A^# , i = 1, \ldots , r}$ is a basis for $B$. Thus there exists $F_{g_2} \in GL (B)$ such that for every $g_1 \in A^#$ and $i = 1, \ldots, r$: $F_{g_2}(v^i_{g_1}) = v^i_{g_1g_2} - v^i_{g_2}$. We also have $F_{g_2}(v^0_0) = 0 = v^0_{g_2} - v^0_{g_2}$ (for $i = 1, \ldots , r$). Clearly $F_{g_2}(F_{g_2}(v^i_{g_1})) = F_{g_2g_2}(v^i_{g_1})$ for every $g_1, g_2, g_3 \in A$ and $i = 1, \ldots, r$. Thus $F_{g_2} \circ F_{g_2} = F_{g_2g_2}$. Uniqueness is obvious. □

Set $G = G_p(A) = B \rtimes A$.

**Proposition 5.** The natural map $\sigma : N_{Aut (G)} (B) \to Aut (G/B)$ is surjective.

**Proof.** Let $f \in Aut (G/B)$. Define $F \in Aut (A)$ via $F(g)B = f(gB)$. Now let $\tilde{F} \in Aut (G)$ be the automorphism induced from $F$ (i.e. $\tilde{F}(g) = F(g)$, $\tilde{F}(v^i_g) = v^i_{F(g)}$).

Clearly $\sigma (\tilde{F}) = f$. □

Before proving that $G$ is $< p >$-wild, we introduce some automorphisms. Let $\psi \in GL(B)$ be defined via $\psi(v^i_g) = v^{i+1}_g$ for $i = 1, \ldots , r - 1$ and $\psi(v^r_g) = v^1_g$. Let $\phi \in GL(B)$ be defined via $\phi(v^i_g) = v^i_g$ for $i = 1, \ldots , r - 1$ and $\phi(v^r_g) = v^r_g - v^1_g$. It is easily seen that both $\psi$ and $\phi$ satisfy the conditions of Lemma 4. We shall use the same letters to denote their respective extensions in $Aut (G)$. Define automorphisms $\psi_1, \ldots , \psi_r : G \to G$ via $\psi_i(gv) = g\psi_i(v)$. Those maps are indeed automorphisms as $\psi_i(gv_1g_2v_2) = \psi_i(gv_1\psi_i(g_2v_2)) = g_1g_2\psi_i(g_1v_1v_2)$ and $\psi_i(g_1v_1)\psi_i(g_2v_2) = g_1g_2\psi_i(g_1v_1v_2)\psi_i(g_2v_2) = g_1g_2\psi_i(g_1v_1v_2)v_1\psi_i(g_2v_2) = g_1g_2\psi_i(g_1v_1v_2)\psi_i(g_2v_2) = g_1g_2\psi_i(g_1v_1v_2)\psi_i(g_2v_2)$ when $g_1g_2 = 1$. Thus $\psi_i(gv) = g\psi_i(v)$.

**Proposition 6.** $G$ is $< p >$-wild.

**Proof.** Assume else. Thus there exists $x \in G$ of order $p$ such that $< x > \not\leq G$.

Claim: $x \notin B$.

Proof: Assume $x \in B$. Thus $\psi(< x >) = < x >^h$ for some $h \in A$. As $\psi(h) = h$, we get that for every $k > 0$ $\psi^k(< x >) = < x >^{(h^k)}$. As $ord(\psi) = r$, we get $< x > = \psi^r(< x >) = < x >^{(h^r)}$. As $r \mid A$, we get $< x > = < x >^h$. Thus $\psi(< x >) = < x >^h$. As $r \mid p - 1$, we get $\psi(x) = x$. Now write $x = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{g \in A} a_g v^i_g$ where $a_0^1 = \ldots = a_0^r = 0$. As $\psi(x) = x$, we get that for each $g$, $a^1_g = \ldots = a^r_g$. Define $a_g^1 = a_g^r = \ldots = a^r_g$. So $x = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{g \in A} a_g v^i_g$. Now $\phi(x) = \sum_{i=2}^{r} \sum_{g \in A} a_g v^i_g$ and so $\phi(< x >) \leq B_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus B_r$ yet no conjugate of $< x >$ is a subgroup of $B_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus B_r$ - contradiction.

□ of the claim.

Write $x = gt$. Thus $g \neq 0$. For every $k \geq 1$ we have $(gt)^k = g^k t^{(g^{k-1})} t^{(g^{k-2})} \ldots t^t$. Thus $ord(g) = p$ and $t^{(p^{r-1})} t^{(p^{r-2})} \ldots t^t = 0$. Write $t = t_1 + \ldots + t_r$ where
\( t_i \in B_1 \). Thus also \( t_1^{(g^{-1})}t_1^{(g^{-2})} \cdots t_1^2 = 0 \). Write \( t_1 = \sum_{h \in A} a_h v_h^1 \) where \( a_0 = 0 \).

For every \( k \geq 0 \) we have \( t_1^{(g^k)} = \sum_{h \in A} a_h v_h^{1g^k} - \sum_{h \in A} a_h v_h^g = \sum_{h \in A} a_{hg^{-1}} v_h^1 - \sum_{h \in A} a_h v_h^g 
\). Thus

\[
0 = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} t_1^{(g^k)} = \left( \sum_{h \in A} (\sum_{\xi < g} a_{h\xi} v_h^{1\xi}) - \sum_{\xi < g} (\sum_{h \in A} a_h v_h^{1\xi}) \right) 
\]

\[
= \left( \sum_{h \in A \setminus <g>} (\sum_{\xi < g} a_{h\xi} v_h^{1\xi}) \right) + \sum_{\xi < g} \left( (\sum_{\eta \in <g>} a_\eta) - \sum_{h \in A} a_h v_h^{1\xi} \right) 
\]

Thus for each \( h \in A \setminus <g> \) we have \( \sum_{\xi < g} a_{h\xi} = 0 \).

Claim: Assume \( h \in A \setminus <g> \). Then there exists \( c \in [g, B_1] \cap (\langle v_h^g | \xi < g \rangle \oplus \langle v_h^{\xi} | \xi \in \langle g \rangle \rangle) \) such that \( t_1 c \in \langle v_f^1 | f \in A \setminus \{h\xi | \xi \in \langle g \rangle \} \rangle \).

Proof: First, note that if \( v \in B_1 \) and \( 1 \leq k < p \), then \( v^{(g^k)} - v = u^2 - u \) where \( u = \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} v^{(g^l)} \) and so \( [g^k, B_1] \leq [g, B_1] \). Now set \( y = \sum_{\xi < g} (a_{h\xi} v_h^{1\xi}) - \sum_{\xi < g} a_{h\xi} v_h^1 \). Thus \( y \in [g, B_1] \). Also, \( y = \sum_{\xi < g} (a_{h\xi} v_h^{1\xi} - a_{h\xi} v_h^1) = \sum_{\xi < g} (a_{h\xi} v_h^{1\xi} - a_{h\xi} v_h^1 - a_{h\xi} v_h^1) \)

\[
= \sum_{\xi < g} a_{h\xi} v_h^{1\xi} - \sum_{\xi < g} a_{h\xi} v_h^1 - \sum_{\xi < g} a_{h\xi} v_h^1. 
\]

Thus \( c = -y \) is as needed.

\( \square \) of the claim.

It follows that there exists \( w \in [g, B_1] \) such that \( t_1 w \in \langle v_f^1 | \xi < g \rangle \). Note that for every \( k \geq 1 \) we have \( v_{g^{-1}} g^k v_{g^{-1}} - v_{g^{-1}} g^k v_{g^{-1}} - v_{g^{-1}} g^k v_{g^{-1}} \). This implies that there exists \( u \in [g, B_1] \) such that \( t_1 w = \sum_{\xi < g} a_{h\xi} v_h^1 \in \langle v_f^1 | \xi < g \rangle \). Thus, setting \( z_1 = w u \in [g, B_1] \), we have \( t_1 w \in \sum_{\xi < g} a_{h\xi} v_h^1 \). Similarly, there exists \( z_2, \ldots, z_r \) \( (z_i \in [g, B_1]) \) such that \( t_1 z_i \in \sum_{\xi < g} a_{h\xi} v_h^1 \). Set \( z = z_1 \cdots z_r \). Thus \( z \in [g, B] \) and \( t_1 z \in \sum_{\xi < g} a_{h\xi} v_h^1 \). Note that if \( v_1, v_2 \in B \), then \( v_1^{-1} v_1 (v_2^{-1}) v_2 = ((v_1 v_2)^{-1}) v_1 v_2 \). Thus \( v \in [g, B] \) there exists \( u \in B \) such that \( v = (u^{-1})^2 u \). In particular, \( z = (u^{-1})^2 u \) for some \( u \in B \). Now \( (g^t)^v = (g^t)^v = (g^t)^{u^2 u} = g^t u = g^{u^{-1}} u^2 = g^t u = g^t \). Also, it is easily seen that there exists \( \varphi \in \varphi \psi_1, \ldots, \psi_r > \) such that \( \varphi (g^t z) = g \). Thus \( g = \varphi (g^t u) \). For \( g < g \), \( \psi_1 (g^t u) \) is conjugate to \( g \). Thus \( u^g = (g^k)^h v_1 \) for some \( k \geq 1 \). We have \( (g^k)^h v_1 = (g^k)^h (v_1^{-1}) (g^k)^h v_1 \). Thus \( (g^k)^h = g \) and so we also get \( v_1^g = (v_1^{-1})^2 v_1 \). Write \( v_1 = v_1 + \ldots + v_r \), where \( v_i \in B_i \). Clearly \( v_1 = (v_1^{-1})^2 v_1 \). Write \( v_1 = \sum_{f \in A} a_f v^1_f \), where \( a_0 = 0 \). Now \( v_1^g = (v_1^{-1})^2 \). Thus \( 1 = a_g - a_0 + \sum_{f \in A} a_f = (\sum_{f \in A \setminus \{0, g\}} a_f) + 2a_g \). Also, for every \( f \in A \setminus \{0, g\} \) we have \( a_f = a_{f g^{-1}} \). It follows that for every \( f \in A \setminus <g> \) and \( \xi < g \), we have \( a_f = a_{f \xi} \). In particular, \( \sum_{f \in A \setminus <g>} a_f = 0 \) and \( 1 = (\sum_{f \in g \setminus \{0, g\}} a_f) + \sum_{f \in A \setminus <g>} a_f = 0 \). Thus \( 1 = (\sum_{f \in g \setminus \{0, g\}} a_f) + \)}
2a_g. But for every 2 \leq k < p we have a_g^k = a_g^{k-1} and thus a_g^k = a_g. Now
1 = \left( \sum_{f \in <g> \setminus \{0, g\}} a_f \right) + 2a_g = (p-2)a_g + 2a_g = 0 - contradiction! \square
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