Divergence of the Floquet–Magnus expansion in a periodically driven one-body system with energy localization

Taiki Hagâ
Department of Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

Periodically driven systems have recently attracted considerable interest as a useful platform to investigate novel types of quantum many-body states. In particular, high-frequency driving allows us to realize spin-orbit coupling and artificial gauge fields in neutral atoms and also to control the topological properties of materials. According to the Floquet theory, the stroboscopic dynamics of periodically driven systems is described by a time-independent effective Hamiltonian. The Floquet–Magnus expansion provides a formal expansion of the effective Hamiltonian with respect to the period of the driving. It is believed that if the driving frequency is much higher than the characteristic timescale of the system, the effective Hamiltonian is approximately given by a finite truncation of the expansion. As it is impossible to obtain the exact effective Hamiltonian for generic periodically driven many-body systems, the Floquet–Magnus expansion has been the only theoretical technique to investigate the effect of the high-frequency driving.

Although the application of the Floquet–Magnus expansion to periodically driven quantum systems has a long history, little is understood about its radius of convergence. The divergence of the expansion is believed to be closely related to the resonant behavior of the system. For example, let us consider the harmonic oscillator driven by a periodic force. It can be shown that the radius of convergence of the Floquet–Magnus expansion is equal to the natural period of the oscillator. This means that the expansion diverges when the system indefinitely absorbs the energy from the driving owing to the resonance. From the result of the driven harmonic oscillator, one may be led to a general conjecture which states that the Floquet–Magnus expansion converges if the driving frequency is larger than any resonant frequencies of the system. Indeed, for periodically driven two-level systems, the radius of convergence is inversely proportional to the energy level separation.

For nonintegrable many-body systems, it is expected that the radius of convergence of the Floquet–Magnus expansion vanishes in the thermodynamic limit because these systems eventually heat up to infinite temperature for an arbitrary driving frequency. In these cases, each Floquet state, which is the eigenstate of the time evolution operator for a single period, is a linear combination of all available eigenstates of the unperturbed Hamiltonian. This delocalization of the Floquet states in energy space can be explained by the presence of resonances in many-body spectrum. In contrast, for some periodically driven few-body systems, such as the kicked rotor model, because the localization of the Floquet states takes place in energy space, the energy absorption from the driving is suppressed. In other words, the expectation value of the energy remains finite despite the unboundedness of the energy spectrum. It is not understood whether the Floquet–Magnus expansion converges for such periodically driven few-body systems with energy localization.

In this study, we consider a one-body nonlinear oscillator driven by a periodic force. The Floquet states of this system are exponentially localized in energy space and the expectation value of the energy is bounded from above. We attempt to numerically estimate the radius of convergence of the Floquet–Magnus expansion for this driven anharmonic oscillator. The difficulty of this problem is that the Hamiltonian is an unbounded operator in an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space. If the dimension of the Hilbert space is finite, the calculation of the radius of convergence is straightforward. However, for a given formal expansion of an unbounded operator, even the definition of its convergence is not trivial. In the first part
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of this paper, we propose a general procedure to estimate the radius of convergence of the Floquet–Magnus expansion for periodically driven systems with an unbounded energy spectrum. This procedure is summarized as follows. (i) The infinite-dimensional Hilbert space is truncated up to a finite dimension by using an appropriate set of orthogonal basis vectors. (ii) The Floquet–Magnus expansion is calculated for the truncated Hamiltonian. (iii) For each matrix element of the expansion, the infinite limit of the cutoff dimension is taken. (iv) The radius of convergence is then defined by the ratio between successive orders of the expansion for each matrix element. This method can reproduce the correct result for the driven harmonic oscillator. In the second part of this paper, by applying this procedure to the driven anharmonic oscillator, we show that the radius of convergence vanishes even if the anharmonicity is arbitrarily small. From this result, we conjecture that the divergence of the Floquet–Magnus expansion is a universal feature of periodically driven nonlinear systems with energy localization. In previous works [15–18, 21], it was widely believed that the divergence of the expansion is a consequence of quantum ergodicity, which implies that the time evolution operator is described by a random matrix, and the system heats up to infinite temperature after long intervals. Our result requests reconsideration of this consensus. Finally, we attempt to explain the vanishing of the radius of convergence by assuming the presence of infinitely many quantum resonances densely distributed over the whole frequency region.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we explain a procedure to estimate the radius of convergence of the Floquet–Magnus expansion for periodically driven systems with an unbounded energy spectrum. In Sec. III, the driven anharmonic oscillator is introduced. We numerically show that the Floquet states of the system are exponentially localized in energy space and the expectation value of the energy is bounded. In Sec. IV, we first demonstrate that the procedure proposed in Sec. III can reproduce the correct results for the driven harmonic oscillators. We next estimate the radius of convergence for the driven anharmonic oscillator and show that it vanishes for arbitrarily small anharmonicity. In Sec. V, by comparing the resonant behavior of the driven anharmonic oscillator with that of the kicked rotor model, we discuss the possibility that infinitely many quantum resonances lead to the divergence of the Floquet–Magnus expansion. In Sec. VI, we provide a summary of this study and an outlook to some open problems.

II. FLOQUET–MAGNUS EXPANSION FOR AN UNBOUNDED HAMILTONIAN

Let us consider a periodically driven one-body system,

$$\hat{H}(t) = \hat{H}_0 + \lambda(t)\hat{H}_1,$$

where $\hat{p} = -i\partial/\partial x$ is the momentum operator. Here, $\lambda(t)$ is a mean-zero periodic function with period $T$, for example, $\cos(2\pi t/T)$. As we are interested in the behavior of the system as a function of the driving period $T$, it is convenient to write $\lambda(t) = \lambda(t/T)$, where $\lambda(\tau)$ is a fixed protocol with period one, for example, $\cos(2\pi \tau)$. We assume that the unperturbed Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_0$ is bounded from below and its spectrum is discrete. The time evolution operator $\hat{U}(t)$ is obtained by solving

$$i\partial_t \hat{U}(t) = \hat{H}(t)\hat{U}(t),$$

with the initial condition $\hat{U}(0) = 1$, where $\hat{I}$ is the identity operator. From the time evolution operator for a single period $\hat{U}(T)$, which is called the Floquet operator, the effective Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_F$ is formally defined by

$$e^{-i\hat{H}_F T} = \hat{U}(T).$$

Note that $\hat{H}_F$ is not uniquely determined only by Eq. (1). To avoid this ambiguity, we assume that $\hat{H}_F$ is a continuous function of the driving period $T$ and, at $T = 0$, it is identical to the unperturbed Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_0$. From the above definition, the stroboscopic dynamics of the periodically driven system is described by a time-independent effective Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_F$, which is also called the Floquet Hamiltonian.

If the dimension of the Hilbert space is finite, the Floquet Hamiltonian always exists because an arbitrary unitary matrix $\hat{U}$ can be expressed as $\hat{U} = e^{i\hat{H}}$ in terms of a Hermitian matrix $\hat{H}$. However, if the dimension of the Hilbert space is infinite, the existence of the Floquet Hamiltonian is not guaranteed. For example, let us consider the harmonic oscillator driven by a periodic force,

$$\hat{H}(t) = \frac{1}{2}\hat{p}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\omega_0^2 \hat{x}^2 + g\hat{x}\cos(\omega t).$$

The Floquet Hamiltonian is exactly given by

$$\hat{H}_F = \frac{1}{2}\hat{p}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\omega_0^2 \hat{x}^2 + g\hat{x} \frac{\omega_0^2}{\omega_0^2 - \omega^2},$$

(see Ref. [10] for a detailed derivation). Thus, if the driving frequency $\omega$ is equal to the natural frequency $\omega_0$, the Floquet Hamiltonian does not exist.

We discuss the definition of the Floquet Hamiltonian for periodically driven systems with an unbounded energy spectrum. Let $\{\phi_i\}_{i=0,1,...}$ be a set of orthogonal basis vectors spanning the infinite-dimensional Hilbert space. We introduce a cutoff dimension $D$ and truncate the Hilbert space by using a finite set of the basis vectors $\{\phi_i\}_{i=0,1,...,D-1}$. The truncated Hamiltonian matrix is defined by

$$H^{(D)}_{ij} = H^{(D)}_{0,ij} + \lambda(t)H^{(D)}_{1,ij}$$

$$= \langle \phi_i | \hat{H}_0 | \phi_j \rangle + \lambda(t)\langle \phi_i | \hat{H}_1 | \phi_j \rangle,$$
where the indices \(i, j\) run from 0 to \(D - 1\). From Eq. (3) with the \(D\)-dimensional matrix \(H^{(D)}(t)\), one obtains the time-evolution operator for a single period \(U^{(D)}(T)\). Then, there is a unique Floquet Hamiltonian

\[
H_F^{(D)} = \frac{i}{T} \log U^{(D)}(T),
\]

(8)
satisfying

\[
\lim_{T \to 0} H_F^{(D)} = H_0^{(D)},
\]

(9)
Each matrix element of \(H_F^{(D)}\) is an analytic function of the driving period \(T\). For a fixed index \((i, j)\), \(H_{F,ij}\) is defined by

\[
H_{F,ij} = \lim_{D \to \infty} H_{F,ij}^{(D)},
\]

(10)
and then, the Floquet Hamiltonian is given by

\[
\hat{H}_F = \sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} H_{F,ij} |\phi_i\rangle \langle \phi_j|.
\]

(11)
In this definition, \(\hat{H}_F\) obviously depends on the choice of the orthogonal basis vectors used to truncate the Hilbert space. However, we expect that \(\hat{H}_F\) is independent of the basis if it is chosen “appropriately”. One of the natural choices is the eigenstate of the unperturbed Hamiltonian,

\[
\hat{H}_0 |\phi_i^{(0)}\rangle = E_i^{(0)} |\phi_i^{(0)}\rangle, \quad (i = 0, 1, ...),
\]

(12)
where \(E_i^{(0)}\) is the corresponding eigenvalue. The eigenstates are sorted according to their eigenvalues, \(E_i^{(0)} \leq E_{i+1}^{(0)}\). At the end of this section, we discuss the condition of the “good” basis for the truncation of the Hilbert space. An important question is whether the limit Eq. (10) exists. For example, in the case of the driven harmonic oscillator given by Eq. (5), this limit should not exist when \(T = 2\pi / \omega_0\). In general, if the energy of periodically driven systems indefinitely increases, the Floquet Hamiltonian \(H_F\) does not exist.

We formally expand the Floquet Hamiltonian with respect to the driving period,

\[
\hat{H}_F = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{\Omega}_n T^n.
\]

(13)
The first two terms are given by

\[
\hat{\Omega}_0 = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T dt \hat{H}(t),
\]

(14)
\[
\hat{\Omega}_1 = \frac{1}{2T^2} \int_0^T dt_1 \int_0^{t_1} dt_2 [\hat{H}(t_1), \hat{H}(t_2)],
\]

(15)
where \([\hat{A}, \hat{B}] = \hat{A}\hat{B} - \hat{B}\hat{A}\). The \(n\)-th term \(\hat{\Omega}_n\) involves the \((n+1)\)-nested commutators of the Hamiltonian at different times, \([\hat{H}(t_1), [\hat{H}(t_2), ..., [\hat{H}(t_n), \hat{H}(t_{n+1})] ...]]\). This expansion is known as the Floquet–Magnus expansion [9].

From \(\hat{\Omega}_0 = \hat{H}_0\), in the limit \(T \to 0\), \(\hat{H}_F\) is reduced to the unperturbed Hamiltonian \(\hat{H}_0\). Note that each term \(\hat{\Omega}_n\) depends on the choice of the initial phase of the periodic protocol \(\lambda(t)\) (see Ref. [3]).

If the dimension of the Hilbert space is finite, it is rigorously shown that there exists a constant \(r_c = O(1)\) such that the Floquet–Magnus expansion converges if

\[
\int_0^T \|\hat{H}(t)\| dt < r_c,
\]

(16)
where the matrix norm is defined by \(\|\hat{A}\| = \sqrt{\text{tr} \hat{A}^\dagger \hat{A}}\) (see Ref. [3]). From this theorem, the radius of convergence \(T_c\) is expected to be inversely proportional to the typical band width of the Hamiltonian \(W\),

\[
T_c \sim W^{-1}.
\]

(17)
This rough estimation is consistent with the results for periodically driven two-level systems [12–14]. Note that \(\hat{H}_F\) does not necessarily exhibit a singular behavior at \(T = T_c\). In fact, if the dimension of the Hilbert space is finite, there exists an analytic \(\hat{H}_F\) in the whole \(T\) region, whereas the radius of convergence \(T_c\) is always finite. This is because \(\hat{H}_F\) has poles in the complex \(T\)-plane out of the real axis and \(T_c\) is equal to the minimal distance between the origin and these poles. In general, \(T_c\) is given by the ratio between the two successive terms,

\[
T_c = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\|\hat{\Omega}_n\|}{\|\hat{\Omega}_{n+1}\|}.
\]

(18)
If the dimension of the Hilbert space is infinite and the Hamiltonian operator is unbounded, the definition of the radius of convergence is not trivial. As the matrix norm \(\|\ldots\|\) is ill-defined in such cases, Eq. (18) does not make sense. Thus, as in the definition of \(\hat{H}_F\), we truncate the Hilbert space up to a finite dimension \(D\) by using the eigenstates of the unperturbed Hamiltonian \(\{|\phi_i^{(0)}\rangle\}_{i=0,1,...}\). The Floquet–Magnus expansion for the truncated Floquet Hamiltonian is written as

\[
H^{(D)}_F = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{\Omega}_n^{(D)} T^n.
\]

(19)
and its radius of convergence is given by

\[
T^{(D)}_c = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\|\hat{\Omega}_n^{(D)}\|}{\|\hat{\Omega}_{n+1}^{(D)}\|}.
\]

(20)
Although one may expect that \(T_c\) is defined by \(\lim_{D \to \infty} T^{(D)}_c\), it is not correct. Note that the typical band width of the truncated Hamiltonian increases with \(D\), e.g., \(W \propto D\) for the harmonic oscillator, thus from Eq. (17), we find that \(T^{(D)}_c\) always vanishes in the limit \(D \to \infty\). In particular, this definition of \(T_c\) cannot reproduce the analytic result \(T_c = 2\pi / \omega_0\) for the driven harmonic oscillator.
In the above discussion, we have taken the limit of the cutoff dimension $D$ after the calculation of Eq. (20). We exchange the order of the limits. Namely, we first take the infinite limit of $D$ for each matrix element of $\Omega_n^{(D)}$,

$$\Omega_n^{\infty}_{ij} = \lim_{D \to \infty} \Omega_n^{(D)}_{ij}, \quad (21)$$

If this limit exists, we define $T_c$ by

$$T_c = \lim_{n \to \infty} \lim_{D \to \infty} \frac{|\Omega_n^{D}(ij)|}{|\Omega_{n+1}^{D}(ij)|}. \quad (22)$$

In Sec. IV we show that, for the driven harmonic oscillator, the right-hand side of Eq. (22) is independent of the indices $i,j$ and equal to $2\pi/\omega_0$. This implies that the limits with respect to $n$ and $D$ do not commute,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \lim_{D \to \infty} \frac{|\Omega_n^{D}(ij)|}{|\Omega_{n+1}^{D}(ij)|} \neq \lim_{D \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|\Omega_n^{D}(ij)|}{|\Omega_{n+1}^{D}(ij)|}. \quad (23)$$

In the definition of $T_c$, we have employed the eigenstates of the unperturbed Hamiltonian $\{|\phi_i^{(0)}\rangle\}_{i=0,1,...}$ to truncate the Hilbert space. However, in some cases, it would be more convenient to use other basis vectors. For example, in the case of the driven anharmonic oscillator, the calculation is simplified by using the eigenstates of the harmonic oscillator as the basis. Here, we discuss the ambiguity in the choice of the orthogonal basis vectors for the truncation of the Hilbert space. A general criterion for the “good” basis is that the right-hand side of Eq. (21) exists. We expect that, as long as the limit $\Omega_n^{\infty}(ij)$ exists, $T_c$ is independent of the choice of the basis. In Sec. IV we confirm that, for several specific models, Eq. (21) exists if we employ the eigenstates of the unperturbed Hamiltonian $\{|\phi_i^{(0)}\rangle\}_{i=0,1,...}$. We also show that, in the case of the driven anharmonic oscillator, the eigenstates of the harmonic oscillator satisfy the above condition. This is because each eigenstate of the harmonic oscillator is close to that of $H_0$ as long as the anharmonicity is not large.

III. DRIVEN ANHARMONIC OSCILLATOR

We consider a periodically driven oscillator with a quartic potential,

$$H_0 = \frac{1}{2} \beta \dot{x}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \omega_0^2 x^2 + \frac{1}{4} \beta \dot{x}^4, \quad \dot{H}_1 = g \dot{x}, \quad (\beta > 0). \quad (24)$$

The classical and quantum dynamics of the driven anharmonic oscillator have been investigated extensively [22–24]. In the following, we briefly review the classical dynamics of Eq. (24). As this is a one-dimensional system, the system is integrable without the driving force. It is known that the energy of the system remains finite for arbitrary frequency and amplitude of the driving force. For small amplitude $g$, the dynamics is regular for any initial condition. When $g$ exceed a certain value, there are two threshold energies $E_1$ and $E_2$ ($E_1 < E_2$) such that the system exhibits chaotic dynamics for the initial condition with $E_1 < E < E_2$. If the energy of the initial condition is lower than $E_1$ or larger than $E_2$, the dynamics is regular [22].

In general, for one-body and one-dimensional driven systems with a polynomial potential $x^{2n}$, $(n > 1)$, it is known that the energy of the system remains finite even if the dynamics is chaotic [22]. This fact is understood as follows. In the absence of the driving force, because the system is integrable, it has periodic orbits. For the polynomial potential, the frequency of the periodic orbits increases with the energy. In the presence of the driving force, the periodic orbits with frequencies higher than that of the driving cannot absorb the energy. Thus, the system exhibits energy bounded chaos. In contrast, for generic driven many-body systems, chaotic behavior is accompanied by an unbounded energy increase. For example, let us consider a nonlinear lattice system, where $N$ anharmonic oscillators are connected by harmonic springs. In the absence of the driving, there is a threshold energy $E_c(N, \beta)$ such that the dynamics is regular for $E < E_c(N, \beta)$ and chaotic for $E > E_c(N, \beta)$ [23, 24]. Here, $E_c(N, \beta)$ decreases to zero in the thermodynamic limit $N \to \infty$ and it diverges in the integrable limit $\beta \to 0$. If a periodic force is applied to chaotic states, the energy of the system is expected to increase unboundedly for arbitrary driving frequency and amplitude. On the other hand, if the initial energy is lower than $E_c(N, \beta)$, the energy absorption from the driving is bounded for sufficiently small driving amplitude.

In the following, we show that the energy of the driven quantum anharmonic oscillator remains finite. For a periodic protocol $\lambda(t)$ in Eq. (14), we employ a step-like form, which has $+1$ in the first half-period and $-1$ in the second half-period,

$$\lambda(t) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} +1, & (nT \leq t < (n+1/2)T), \\
-1, & ((n+1/2)T \leq t < (n+1)T). \end{array} \right. \quad (25)$$

In this case, the time-evolution operator for a single period is written as

$$\hat{U} = e^{-i(H_0 - H_1)T/2} e^{-i(H_0 + H_1)T/2}. \quad (26)$$

We denote the Floquet states, which are the eigenstates of $\hat{U}$, as $\{|\phi_\alpha\rangle\}_{\alpha=0,1,...}$,

$$|\phi_\alpha\rangle = e^{-i\mu_\alpha} |\phi_\alpha\rangle, \quad (27)$$

where $\mu_\alpha$ is called the quasi-energy. The initial state $|\psi_0\rangle$ is expanded as

$$|\psi_0\rangle = \sum_\alpha c_\alpha |\phi_\alpha\rangle. \quad (28)$$

The state at $t = nT$ is given by

$$|\psi_{nT}\rangle = \hat{U}^n |\psi_0\rangle = \sum_\alpha c_\alpha e^{-i\mu_\alpha n} |\phi_\alpha\rangle. \quad (29)$$
Thus, the expectation value of $\hat{H}_0$ reads

$$E(nT) = \langle \psi_{nT} | \hat{H}_0 | \psi_{nT} \rangle = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} c_\alpha^* c_\beta e^{i(n_{\alpha} - n_{\beta})} \langle \phi_\alpha | \hat{H}_0 | \phi_\beta \rangle.$$  

(30)

If there is no degeneration in $\mu_\alpha$, the long-time average is calculated as

$$\bar{E} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} E(nT) = \sum_{\alpha} |c_\alpha|^2 \langle \phi_\alpha | \hat{H}_0 | \phi_\alpha \rangle.$$  

(31)

By using the exact diagonalization, we confirm that $\bar{E}$ is finite.

We choose the groundstate of the harmonic oscillator as the initial state. If the coefficient $c_\alpha$ in Eq. (28) rapidly decreases to zero with increasing $E_\alpha = \langle \phi_\alpha | \hat{H}_0 | \phi_\alpha \rangle$, from Eq. (31), $\bar{E}$ is finite. The Hamiltonian is truncated up to a finite dimension $D$ by using the eigenstates of the harmonic oscillator, and then the Floquet state is calculated by the exact diagonalization. In Fig. 1 $\log |c_\alpha|$ is plotted against $E_\alpha$ for different values of the cutoff dimension $D$. One can see that $c_\alpha$ and $E_\alpha$ converge to certain values in the limit $D \to \infty$. Since $|c_\alpha|$ decreases as $e^{-O(\sqrt{E_\alpha})}$, we conclude that $\bar{E}$ is finite. The fast decay of $|c_\alpha|$ as a function of $E_\alpha$ also implies that each Floquet state $|\phi_\alpha\rangle$ is a linear combination of a small number of the eigenstates of the harmonic oscillator. The qualitative behavior of $|c_\alpha|$ versus $E_\alpha$ is independent of the initial state.

We next investigate the level spacing statistics of the time-evolution operator $\hat{U}$. In Eq. (27), we assume that the quasi-energy levels $\{\mu_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1,...,D-1}$ are sorted in increasing order in the range $[-\pi, \pi]$. Using $\delta_\alpha = \mu_{\alpha+1} - \mu_\alpha$, the normalized level spacing $r_\alpha$ ($0 < r_\alpha < 1$) is defined by

$$r_\alpha = \frac{\min(\delta_\alpha, \delta_{\alpha+1})}{\max(\delta_\alpha, \delta_{\alpha+1})}.$$  

(32)

FIG. 1: Plot of $\log |c_\alpha|$ versus $E_\alpha = \langle \phi_\alpha | \hat{H}_0 | \phi_\alpha \rangle$. The values of the cutoff dimension are $D = 64, 128, 256$, and 512. The values of other parameters are $\omega_0 = \beta = g = 1$, and $T = 1$. The dashed thin line is a guide for the eye corresponding to $|c_\alpha| \sim e^{-O(\sqrt{E_\alpha})}$.

FIG. 2: (a) Distribution of the normalized level spacing $r_\alpha$. The values of the cutoff dimension are $D = 512, 1024$, and 2048. The values of other parameters are $\omega_0 = \beta = g = 1$, and $T = 1$. The thin dashed line represents $P_{\text{POI}}(r) = 2/(1 + r)^2$. (b) Average of $r_\alpha$ as a function of $T$. The values of the cutoff dimension are $D = 256, 512$, and 1024. The thin dashed line represents $\langle r \rangle_{\text{POI}} \simeq 0.386$.

If each eigenvalue of $\hat{U}$ is independently distributed on the unit circle, the level spacing $\delta_\alpha$ obeys the Poisson distribution. In contrast, for nonintegrable many-body systems, $\delta_\alpha$ is shown to obey the Wigner–Dyson distribution owing to the level repulsion [16]. The distributions of $r_\alpha$ corresponding to the Wigner–Dyson and Poisson distributions are given by

$$P_{\text{WD}}(r) = \frac{27}{4} \frac{r + \lambda}{(1 + r + \lambda^2)^{5/2}};$$  

$$P_{\text{POI}}(r) = \frac{2}{(1 + r)^2},$$  

(33)

respectively, and these averages read

$$\langle r \rangle_{\text{WD}} \simeq 0.536, \quad \langle r \rangle_{\text{POI}} \simeq 0.386.$$  

(34)

The left and right panels of Fig. 2 show the distribution of $r_\alpha$ and its average as a function of $T$, respectively. One can confirm that the level spacing distribution converges to $P_{\text{POI}}(r)$ as the cutoff dimension $D$ increases. This conclusion is consistent with the fact that the energy increase of the system is bounded.

Note that the driven classical anharmonic oscillator exhibits chaotic behavior depending on the parameters and initial condition. In general, it is expected that the level spacing statistics of driven few-body systems with energy localization follows the Poisson distribution, even if its classical counterparts can exhibit chaotic behavior. This fact can be understood as follows. In such systems, each Floquet state $|\phi_\alpha\rangle$ is a linear combination of a limited number of the eigenstates of the unperturbed Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_0$. This implies that almost all pairs of two Floquet states cannot “feel” each other because the corresponding overlap is quite small. Thus, each quasi-energy is independently distributed in $[-\pi, \pi]$ and the level spacing follows the Poisson distribution. This scenario is confirmed in the kicked rotor model [20]. The classical kicked rotor model exhibits chaotic dynamics with unbounded energy diffusion, whereas in the quantum case, the energy increase of the system eventually saturates owing to the localization of the Floquet states.
in the momentum space (see Sec. [1]). The level spacing statistics of the kicked rotor model is known to follow the Poisson distribution. The transition from the Poisson to the Wigner–Dyson statistics is observed in the coupled kicked rotor model, where \( N \) kicked rotors are fully connected by the kicking potential. For \( N \geq 3 \), this model exhibits a transition from an energy bounded state to a heating state with unbounded energy absorption, as the strength of the kick exceeds a certain threshold. It is shown that the level spacing statistics for the heating state is described by the Wigner–Dyson distribution [27].

IV. RADIUS OF CONVERGENCE FOR THE DRIVEN ANHARMONIC OSCILLATOR

In Sec. [II] we have proposed a procedure to determine the radius of convergence of the Floquet–Magnus expansion for periodically driven systems with an unbounded energy spectrum. In the first part of this section, we numerically demonstrate that this procedure can reproduce the correct results for exactly solvable models. For example, we consider the driven harmonic oscillator,

\[ \hat{H}_0 = \frac{1}{2} b^2 + \frac{1}{2} \omega_0^2 x^2, \quad \hat{H}_1 = g \hat{x}, \]  

where the protocol \( \lambda(t) \) in Eq. [1] is given by Eq. [20]. The corresponding Floquet Hamiltonian is calculated as

\[ \hat{H}_F = \frac{1}{2} b^2 + \frac{1}{2} \omega_0^2 x^2 - \frac{b}{\omega_0} g \tan \frac{\omega_0 T}{4}. \]

A detailed derivation of Eq. (36) is given in Appendix A. As the radius of convergence \( T_c \) is equal to the minimal distance between the origin and poles, we have \( T_c = 2\pi/\omega_0 \), which is the same as the case of the monochromatic driving \( \lambda(t) = \cos(2\pi t/T) \).

According to the procedure proposed in Sec. [II] we represent \( \hat{H}(t) \) in matrix form with respect to the eigenstates of \( \hat{H}_0 \),

\[ H(t)_{ij} = j\omega_0 \delta_{ij} + \frac{\lambda(t) g}{\sqrt{2\omega_0}} \left( \sqrt{2} \delta_{i,j+1} + \sqrt{2} \delta_{i,j-1} \right), \]

where we have omitted the zero point energy. We next introduce the cutoff dimension \( D \) and calculate the Floquet–Magnus expansion for the truncated Hamiltonian. The numerical scheme to calculate each term of the expansion is explained in Appendix B. To confirm that the radius of convergence \( T_c^{(D)} \) defined by Eq. [20] vanishes in the limit \( D \to \infty \), we plot \( \| \Omega_n^{(D)} \|/\| \Omega_{n+1}^{(D)} \| \) versus \( n \) in Fig. 3(a). One can see that \( T_c^{(D)} \sim D^{-1} \), which is consistent with the rough estimation of Eq. [17].

We calculate \( T_c \) defined by Eq. [22]. For the index of the matrix elements \( (i,j) \), we choose \( i = 0, j = 1 \). In Fig. 3(b), \( \| \Omega_n^{(D)} \|_{01}/\| \Omega_{n+1}^{(D)} \|_{01} \) is plotted as a function of \( n \) for different values of the cutoff dimension \( D \). It shows a plateau at small \( n \), the width of which increases with \( D \). This implies that, in the limit \( D \to \infty \), \( \| \Omega_n^{(D)} \|/\| \Omega_{n+1}^{(D)} \| \) becomes a constant independent of \( n \). The height of the plateau is equal to \( 6.28 \approx 2\pi/\omega_0 \). Thus, we have \( T_c = \lim_{D \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} \| \Omega_n^{(D)} \|/\| \Omega_{n+1}^{(D)} \| = 2\pi/\omega_0 \), which is identical to the analytic result. We have confirmed that \( T_c \) is the same for the other indices \( i = 1, j = 2 \) and \( i = 2, j = 3 \).

We next consider a parametrically driven harmonic oscillator,

\[ \hat{H}_0 = \frac{1}{2} b^2 + \frac{1}{2} \omega_0^2 x^2, \quad \hat{H}_1 = \frac{1}{2} g \hat{x}. \]

In contrast to the harmonic oscillator driven by the periodic force, each resonant region in which the energy of the system diverges has a finite width. In the limit \( g \to 0 \), the first resonance occurs at \( T = \pi/\omega_0 \), and the width of the unstable region around it increases proportionally with \( g \) (see Ref. [28]). As in the case of the driven harmonic oscillator, we calculate the Floquet–Magnus expansion for the truncated Hamiltonian. The left and right panels of Fig. 4 show \( \| \Omega_n^{(D)} \|/\| \Omega_{n+1}^{(D)} \| \) and \( \| \Omega_n^{(D)} \|_{02}/\| \Omega_{n+1}^{(D)} \|_{02} \) as functions of \( n \), respectively. One can confirm that \( T_c^{(D)} = \lim_{D \to \infty} \| \Omega_n^{(D)} \|/\| \Omega_{n+1}^{(D)} \| \) decreases to zero as \( D \) increases. In contrast, \( \| \Omega_n^{(D)} \|_{02}/\| \Omega_{n+1}^{(D)} \|_{02} \) shows a plateau at small \( n \), the width of which increases with \( D \).
The height of the plateau, which is equal to $T_c$, is slightly smaller than $\pi/\omega_0$. Here, $T_c$ approaches $\pi/\omega_0$ in the limit $g \to 0$.

We have numerically demonstrated that, for two types of the driven harmonic oscillators, $T_c$ defined by Eq. (22) is identical to the radius of convergence obtained from the exact Floquet Hamiltonian. These results justify Eq. (22) as the definition of the radius of convergence. We now estimate $T_c$ for the driven harmonic oscillator given by Eq. (23). For simplicity, we employ the eigenstates of the harmonic oscillator as the orthogonal basis vectors to truncate the Hilbert space. We can numerically confirm that $(\Omega_n^\infty)_{i,j}$ in Eq. (21) exists for each index $(i,j)$. The existence of this limit justifies the choice of the basis for the truncation. Figure 5(a) shows $|(\Omega_n^\infty)_{12}|/(|(\Omega_{n+1}^\infty)_{12}| as a function of $n$ for different values of the anharmonic parameter $\beta$. Each $|(\Omega_n^\infty)_{12}|$ is calculated for a sufficiently large cutoff dimension $D$ so that it is well converged. The dashed line of $\beta = 0.0$ corresponds to the case of the harmonic oscillator and it has a constant value $T_c = 2\pi/\omega_0$. Figure 5(b) shows $|(\Omega_n^\infty)_{12}|/(|(\Omega_{n+1}^\infty)_{12}|$ as a function of $1/n$. From this graph, one can obtain the following rough estimate:

$$
\frac{|(\Omega_n^\infty)_{i,j}|}{|(\Omega_{n+1}^\infty)_{i,j}|} \propto \log \frac{\beta^{-1}}{n}. \quad (39)
$$

Thus, we conclude that $T_c = 0$ for any $\beta > 0$. We confirm that the behavior described by Eq. (39) is independent of the driving amplitude $g$.

V. QUANTUM RESONANCE

In Sec. IV it has been shown that the Floquet–Magnus expansion for the driven anharmonic oscillator diverges for any $T > 0$ and $\beta > 0$. However, in Sec. III we have concluded that the energy of the driven anharmonic oscillator is bounded from above because the Floquet states are exponentially localized in energy space. In this section, we attempt to resolve this paradox by arguing that there are undetectable resonances arbitrarily close to $T = 0$.

One of the most frequently studied driven systems with energy localization is the kicked rotor model,

$$
\hat{H}(t) = \frac{1}{2}\hat{p}^2 + K \cos \hat{\varphi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(t - nT), \quad (40)
$$

where $K$ is the amplitude of the kick. The time evolution operator for a single period is written as

$$
\hat{U} = e^{-iT\hat{p}^2/2}e^{-iK\cos \hat{\varphi}}. \quad (41)
$$

It is shown that, if $T/4\pi$ is an irrational number, the expectation value of the kinetic energy $\langle \hat{p}^2/2 \rangle$ remains finite, whereas if $T/4\pi$ is a rational number, it unboundedly increases as $\langle \hat{p}^2/2 \rangle \sim t^2$ (see Refs. [20] and [29]). This behavior can be explained as follows. If one employs the eigenstates of the momentum $\hat{p}(n) = n|n\rangle$ as the orthogonal basis vectors, the eigenstates of $\hat{U}$ (Floquet states) are identical to those of a one-dimensional tight-binding model with an inhomogeneous potential. For an irrational $T/4\pi$, because this potential is nonperiodic, the Floquet states exhibit Anderson localization in the momentum space. Thus, the expectation value of the energy remains finite. In contrast, if $T/4\pi = p/q$, $(p,q = 1,2,\ldots)$, $\hat{U}$ has the following translational symmetry in the momentum space,

$$
\langle m + q|\hat{U}|n + q \rangle = \langle m|\hat{U}|n \rangle. \quad (42)
$$

According to Bloch’s theorem, the modulus of the Floquet state is also a periodic function with period $q$. Thus, each Floquet state is extended over the whole momentum space and the energy of the system increases indefinitely with time. This resonance occurs even if the kick amplitude $K$ is arbitrarily small. Note that such a resonant behavior is not observed in the classical kicked rotor, where there is a certain threshold $K_c(T)$ for any $T$, such that the energy of the system remains finite if $K < K_c(T)$. We thus call the peculiar resonance observed in the quantum kicked rotor the quantum resonance.

To visualize the resonant structure in the kicked rotor model, we consider the energy averaged over a finite time interval. For a given initial state $|\psi_0\rangle$, the expectation value of the kinetic energy at $t = nT$ is written as

$$
E(nT) = \langle \psi_0|\hat{U}^n|\psi_0\rangle. \quad (43)
$$

We define the averaged energy by

$$
\bar{E}_{N_{av}} = \frac{1}{N_{av} + 1} \sum_{n=0}^{N_{av}} E(nT). \quad (44)
$$

For a finite $N_{av}$, $\bar{E}_{N_{av}}$ is an analytic function of the driving period $T$. If $T/4\pi$ is an irrational number, the limit $\bar{E}_{\infty} = \lim_{N_{av} \to \infty} \bar{E}_{N_{av}}$ exists, and if $T/4\pi$ is a rational
number, $\bar{E}_{N_{av}}$ diverges. Thus, $\bar{E}_\infty$ is everywhere discontinuous as a function of $T$. To calculate $\bar{E}_{N_{av}}$, by the exact diagonalization, the Hilbert space is truncated with respect to the momentum basis $\{|n\rangle\}_{n=0,\pm 1,...}$. The cutoff dimension $D$ is chosen sufficiently large so that $\bar{E}_{N_{av}}$ is well converged. Figure 6 shows $\bar{E}_{N_{av}}$ as a function of $T$ for different values of $N_{av}$. The initial state is the zero-momentum state $\langle \hat{p}|0\rangle = 0$. As $N_{av}$ increases, countless resonant peaks appear. Each peak corresponds to a rational point $T/4\pi = p/q$ and the strongest resonance appears at $T/4\pi = 1$. As the denominator of $T/4\pi = p/q$ increases, the rate of the energy absorption is suppressed exponentially [20]. In real experiments, only a finite number of resonances are observable because, for $T/4\pi = p/q$ with a large denominator $q$, the energy of the system remains small in an experimental timescale.

The peculiarity of the quantum resonance in the kicked rotor is that infinitely many resonances are densely distributed in the whole $T$ region. More precisely, for any $T$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $T' \in [T-\epsilon, T+\epsilon]$ such that $\lim_{N_{av} \to \infty} \bar{E}_{N_{av}}(T') = \infty$. Recall that, if the energy of the system increases unboundedly, the Floquet Hamiltonian does not exist. Thus, we conclude that, in the kicked rotor model, the Floquet Hamiltonian as a continuous function of $T$ does not exist for all $T$. Obviously, the radius of convergence of the Floquet–Magnus expansion vanishes.

The quantum resonance mentioned above provides a possible explanation for the divergence of the Floquet–Magnus expansion in periodically driven systems with energy localization. Thus, it is natural to conjecture that, also in the driven anharmonic oscillator, infinitely many resonances densely embedded in the whole $T$ region lead to the divergence of the expansion. Unfortunately, it is difficult to identify all resonances of this system because its Floquet operator $\hat{U}$ does not have any translational symmetry in energy space such as Eq. (42). To visualize

The resonant structure of the driven anharmonic oscillator, we consider the energy averaged over a finite time interval Eq. (44), where $E(nT) = \langle \psi_0 | (\hat{U})^n \hat{H}_0 \hat{U}^n | \psi_0 \rangle$. In the calculation of $\bar{E}_{N_{av}}$, the Hilbert space is truncated with respect to the eigenstates of the harmonic oscillator. Figure 7 shows $\bar{E}_{N_{av}}$ as a function of $T$ for different values of $N_{av}$. The initial state is the ground state of the harmonic oscillator. One can see that many resonant peaks appears as $N_{av}$ increases. This result is reminiscent of the quantum resonance in the kicked rotor and supports the conjecture mentioned previously. Note that such a resonant behavior is never observed in the classical counterpart of this model.

VI. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this study, we have proposed a procedure to determine the radius of convergence of the Floquet–Magnus expansion for periodically driven systems with an unbounded energy spectrum. If the Hamiltonian is a bounded operator, the evaluation of the radius of convergence is straightforward. In contrast, if the Hamiltonian is unbounded, there is ambiguity in the definition of the convergence of the expansion because the matrix norm is ill-defined. In our procedure, we consider each matrix element of the Floquet–Magnus expansion corresponding to the Hamiltonian truncated up to a finite dimension. By taking the limit of the cutoff dimension for each order of the expansion, we have defined the radius of convergence $T_c$ (see Eq. (22)). This definition of $T_c$ can reproduce the analytical results for the exactly solvable models such as the driven harmonic oscillators. By applying this procedure to the driven anharmonic os-
cillator given by Eq. (24), we have found that \( T_c \) vanishes even if the anharmonic coefficient \( \beta \) is arbitrarily small.

We have also shown that the energy of the driven anharmonic oscillator remains finite and the level spacing of the quasi-energies follows the Poisson distribution. In previous works [13, 18, 21], the authors anticipated a direct connection between the divergence of the Floquet–Magnus expansion and the quantum ergodicity, which implies that each Floquet state is a linear combination of all available eigenstates of the unperturbed Hamiltonian. Our results contradict this consensus. In Sec. V we have proposed a possible explanation for the divergence of the expansion in periodically driven systems with energy localization. In the kicked rotor model, it is known that infinitely many resonances, most of which are undetectable in real experiments, are densely distributed in the whole frequency regime. We conjecture that this may be also true for the driven anharmonic oscillator. As it is impossible to verify this conjecture numerically or experimentally owing to the limited resolution and observation time, some theoretical approaches are required to improve our understanding of this problem.

For periodically driven integrable systems such as the driven harmonic oscillators, the Floquet Hamiltonian can be calculated analytically and the Floquet–Magnus expansion is shown to converge for sufficiently high driving frequencies. By generalizing the result of the driven anharmonic oscillator, we expect that arbitrary nonintegrable perturbations to integrable systems always lead to the divergence of the Floquet–Magnus expansion. Currently, it is unclear whether this is also true in classical systems or if this is a peculiarity of quantum dynamics.

In this study, we have concentrate on one-body systems in a continuous space. It is also an interesting problem to consider the convergence of the Floquet–Magnus expansion for discrete many-body systems on a lattice, including spin chains and Hubbard models. As the dimension of the Hilbert space for these lattice systems becomes infinite in the thermodynamic limit, the truncation scheme developed in this study may be applicable to estimate the radius of convergence. An important question is whether the Floquet–Magnus expansion converges for many-body localized systems. It is known that disordered many-body systems cannot absorb energy from high-frequency driving if these systems exhibit many-body localization [17, 30, 31]. Our result suggests the possibility that the Floquet–Magnus expansion diverges even if the system is in a strongly localized phase.

Another challenging problem is to understand the structure of quantum resonance in many-body systems with energy localization. As an analytically tractable example of periodically driven many-body systems, the coupled kicked rotor model, where \( N \) kicked rotors are fully connected by the kicking potential, was investigated in Ref. [27]. It is analytically shown that, for \( N \geq 3 \), there exists a threshold kick amplitude \( K_c \) above which the Floquet states are delocalized in energy space and the expectation value of the kinetic energy diverges in time. For \( K < K_c \), the energy remains finite or diverges depending on whether \( T/4\pi \) is an irrational or rational number, respectively. The threshold \( K_c \) vanishes in the thermodynamic limit \( N \to \infty \). From the results of the coupled kicked rotor, one may be led to a conjecture that the presence of densely distributed quantum resonances discussed in Sec. V is generic in many-body systems with energy localization, such as disordered spin chains.

Although the Floquet–Magnus expansion diverges for the driven anharmonic oscillator, we expect that a finite truncation of the expansion provides approximate dynamics of the system. More precisely, there is a timescale \( \tau_* \) such that, at times \( t < \tau_* \), the dynamics is approximately described by the effective Hamiltonian obtained by truncating the Floquet–Magnus expansion up to an optimal order \( n_* \). In fact, for nonintegrable many-body systems driven by a high-frequency field, the system first relaxes to a quasi-stationary state before reaching an infinite temperature state. It has been shown theoretically that for discrete lattice systems with local interactions, the lifetime of the quasi-stationary state exponentially increases with the driving frequency, \( \tau_* \sim e^{O(1/T)} \) (see Refs. [32] and [33]). This can be intuitively understood by noting that the absorption of the energy from the high-frequency driving requires the collective excitation of complex many-body states, which is exponentially suppressed in the frequency owing to the locality of the interaction. The quasi-stationary state is described by the Gibbs state of the truncated effective Hamiltonian. This behavior is known as “Floquet prethermalization”. In the context of our study, we ask how the timescale \( \tau_* \) behaves as a function of the driving period \( T \) and the anharmonicity \( \beta \) in periodically driven few-body systems with energy localization. This problem is closely related to the characterization of “chaotic” behavior in low-dimensional quantum systems.
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Appendix A: Floquet Hamiltonian for the driven harmonic oscillator

In this Appendix, we derive Eq. (36) for the driven harmonic oscillator given by Eq. (35). By using the creation and annihilation operators,

\[
\hat{a}^\dagger = \sqrt{\frac{\omega_0}{2}} (\hat{x} - i \hat{p} / \omega_0), \quad \hat{a} = \sqrt{\frac{\omega_0}{2}} (\hat{x} + i \hat{p} / \omega_0),
\]

(A1)

the Hamiltonian Eq. (35) is written as

\[
\hat{H}(t) = \omega_0 \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} + \lambda(t) \frac{g}{\sqrt{2\omega_0}} (\hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a}),
\]

(A2)
where the zero point energy is omitted. Recall that each term of the Floquet–Magnus expansion is represented in terms of the nested commutators of the Hamiltonian at different times. In the case of the harmonic oscillator, only three operators \( \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \), \( \hat{a}^\dagger \), and \( \hat{a} \) appear in the expansion. Thus, we write the Floquet Hamiltonian as

\[
\hat{H}_F T = c_1 \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} + c_2 \hat{a}^\dagger + c_3^2 \hat{a}.
\]

And then, the creation operator in the Heisenberg representation is calculated as

\[
\hat{a}^\dagger (T) = e^{i\hat{H}_F T} \hat{a} e^{-i\hat{H}_F T} = e^{ic_1 \hat{a}^\dagger} + c_1^2 (e^{ic_1} - 1), \tag{A4}
\]

where we used Baker–Hausdorff formula,

\[
e^{\hat{B}^\dagger \hat{A}} e^{-\hat{B}} = \hat{A} + [\hat{B}, \hat{A}] + \frac{1}{2!} [\hat{B}, [\hat{B}, \hat{A}]] + ..., \tag{A5}
\]

and \([\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger] = 1\).

On the other hand, the time-evolution operator is also written as \( \hat{U} = e^{-i\hat{H}_F T/2} e^{-i\hat{H}_T/2} \), where \( \hat{H}_T = \omega_0 \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \pm i/\sqrt{2\omega_0} (\hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a}) \). By using Baker–Hausdorff formula twice, \( \hat{a}^\dagger (T) \) is calculated as

\[
\hat{a}^\dagger (T) = e^{i\hat{H}_F T/2} e^{i\hat{H}_T/2} \hat{a} \hat{a}^\dagger e^{-i\hat{H}_F T/2} e^{-i\hat{H}_T/2},
\]

\[
= e^{i\omega_0 T/2} e^{i\hat{H}_T/2} \hat{a}^\dagger e^{-i\hat{H}_F T/2} + \frac{g}{\sqrt{2\omega_0}} \frac{1 - e^{i\omega_0 T/2}}{\omega_0} \hat{a}^\dagger + \frac{g}{\sqrt{2\omega_0}} \frac{(1 - e^{i\omega_0 T/2})^2}{\omega_0} \hat{a}^\dagger. \tag{A6}
\]

Comparing Eqs. (A3) and (A6), we find

\[
c_1 = \omega_0 T, \quad c_2 = -\frac{ig}{\sqrt{2\omega_0}} T \tan \frac{\omega_0 T}{4}, \tag{A7}
\]

thus we have Eq. (A3).

**Appendix B: Numerical method to calculate the Floquet–Magnus expansion**

In this Appendix, we explain the numerical scheme to calculate higher-order terms of the Floquet–Magnus expansion. If we employ the protocol given by Eq. (A3), the time-evolution operator is written as

\[
U = e^{tX} e^{tY}, \tag{B1}
\]

where \( U \), \( X \), and \( Y \) are \( D \) dimensional matrices. \( U \) is expanded with respect to \( t \) as

\[
U = I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n, \quad P_n = t^n \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{X^{n-k} Y^k}{(n-k)! k!}. \tag{B2}
\]

If we define a matrix \( R \) by \( U = e^R \), it is expanded as

\[
R = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R_n, \tag{B3}
\]

where \( R_n = O(t^n) \) is given by the so-called Baker–Campbell–Hausdorff formula. For example, the first four terms read

\[
\begin{align*}
R_1 &= t(X + Y), \\
R_2 &= \frac{1}{2} t^2 [X, Y], \\
R_3 &= \frac{1}{12} t^3 ([X, [X, Y]] + [Y, [Y, X]]), \\
R_4 &= -\frac{1}{24} t^4 [Y, [X, [X, Y]]].
\end{align*}
\]

(B4)

However, this formula is not convenient for numerical calculations because each \( R_n \) becomes exponentially complicated as the order of the expansion increases. Thus, we employ the following recursive scheme [34]. In terms of \( R_n \), each \( P_n \) can be written as

\[
\begin{align*}
P_1 &= R_1, \\
P_2 &= R_2 + \frac{1}{2} (R_1)^2, \\
P_3 &= R_3 + \frac{1}{2} (R_1 R_2 + R_2 R_1) + \frac{1}{3!} (R_1)^3, \tag{B5}
\end{align*}
\]

in general form,

\[
R_n = P_n - \sum_{m=2}^{n} \frac{1}{m!} Q_n^{(m)},
\]

\[
Q_n^{(m)} = \sum_{i_1 + \ldots + i_m = n} R_{i_1} \ldots R_{i_m}, \tag{B6}
\]

where \( Q_n^{(n)} = (R_1)^n \) and \( Q_n^{(1)} = R_n \). Here, \( Q_n^{(m)} \) can be calculated recursively as follows,

\[
Q_n^{(m)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-m+1} R_i Q_{n-i}^{(m-1)}, \quad (2 \leq m \leq n). \tag{B7}
\]