Enhancing the robustness of a multiplex network leads to multiple discontinuous percolation transitions
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Determining design principles that boost robustness of interdependent multiplex networks is a fundamental question in engineering, economics, biology, and other disciplines wherein network interdependence plays a key role. It is known that maximizing the degree correlation between replicas of the same node leads to optimal robustness. Here we show that increased robustness might also come at the expense of introducing multiple phase transitions. In this case, the network decomposes into sub-multiplexes that span all layers and deactivate one-by-one at different critical points. These results reveal yet another possible source of fragility of multiplex networks that has to be taken into the account during network optimisation and design.

Multilayer networks \[1\]–[3] formed by several interacting layers have emerged as a powerful framework capable to describe a large variety of complex systems such as global infrastructures, economic networks, temporal networks and the multi-level structure of the brain. Therefore, predicting the robustness of multilayer networks \[4\]–[6], assessing the risk of large avalanches of failures \[4\]–[10], and designing robust multilayer architectures \[11\]–[13] are the key theoretical questions entailing implications for engineering, economics, and biology. Recent results on percolation in multilayer networks revealed that the correlation between intra-layer degrees of replica nodes \[11\]–[12], the correlation between intra-layer degree and activity \[14\], and link overlap \[15\]–[17] have profound consequences in determining the response of a multiplex network to random damage. The case of positive inter-layer degree correlation \[1\]–[11], when a hub node in one layer is likely to be interdependent on a hub node in another layer, is known to increase robustness of interdependent multiplex networks. Therefore, it is widely believed that maximizing the inter-layer degree correlation is a good design principle for building robust infrastructures. The network optimization is used not only for engineered networks and infrastructures \[18\]–[23] but also for economic \[24\] and biological networks \[25\]–[26]. Therefore, it is of fundamental importance to understand how maximizing the inter-layer degree correlation may affect the properties of multiplex networks.

In this paper we demonstrate that such an optimization strategy might come at a cost: unexpectedly, multiplex networks with strong intra-layer degree correlations may be prone to multiple percolation transitions. Several works already showed that percolation processes on interdependent networks may be associated with multiple phase transitions \[27\]–[31]. For instance, when an interdependent multilayer network is drawn from the configuration model, percolation may lead to multiple discontinuous and hybrid transitions due to a successive deactivation of different layers at different values of the percolation parameter \[27\]. Similar effect is also seen in classical percolation as it can display multiple phase transitions corresponding to deactivation of one or several layers at a time \[28\]–[31]. Nevertheless, these phase transitions are continuous and second order. Here we show that interdependent multiplex networks may be decomposed during percolation by multiple discontinuous phase transitions into sub-multiplexes that, to the contrary to previous observations, span across all layers. In these cases percolation progressively strips a multiplex network from the nodes with degree less than a given threshold. We identify three classes of such multiplex networks: 1. multiplex networks with multimodal degree distribution and a node’s replicas having identical degrees in all layers, therefore featuring pairwise degree correlation one, 2. regular multiplex networks with all nodes having identical degrees and multimodal activity distribution. 3. multiplex networks with a multimodal joint degree-activity distribution and identical degrees of a node’s replicas.

Interdependent percolation can also be interpreted as the steady state of a interdependent epidemic spreading process \[1\]–[6]–[32]–[33] in which each node must have at least one infected neighbour in each layer to become infected. Under this interpretation, the discontinuous phase transitions can be interpreted as a successive abrupt invasion of different sub-multiplexes by an epidemic.

Results

In interdependent multiplex networks a failure of one node deterministically implies a failure of some other nodes that are dependent on it, the process that may recursively iterate on and on. Interdependent networks are more fragile than networks without interdependencies, as random removal of a one node may set off a cascade of
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FIG. 1: The phase diagram for interdependent percolation in multiplex networks with constant activity. The joint degree and activity distribution $P(k,B) = δ_{B,20} \hat{P}(k)$, and $\hat{P}(k) = c_1 δ_{k,3} + c_2 δ_{k,9} + c_3 δ_{k,30}$ is shown on a barycentric plot. We distinguish three phases: $\Omega_{\alpha 0}$, $\Omega_{\alpha 1}$, and $\Omega_{\alpha 2}$, with one, two, and three discontinuous phase transitions respectively. Panels: The fraction $S$ of nodes in the MCGC for the points $A, B, C, D$, of barycentric coordinates $(c_1, c_2, c_3)$: $A = (0.095, 0.095, 0.810)$; $B = (0.87, 0.04, 0.09)$; $C = (0.75, 0.2, 0.05)$, and $D = (0.73, 0.2, 0.065)$. The dashed lines indicate the unstable branch and the vertical guidelines indicate the predicted positions of the discontinuous phase transitions.

The order parameter of the interdependent percolation is the fraction of nodes $S$ in the Mutually Connected Giant Component (MCGC). The MCGC can be defined as the connected component that remains connected after $q$ nodes are removed and the avalanche of subsequent failure events. A paradigmatic example is the electricity grid [4], wherein failure of one power station can cause a propagating overload and failure of others. It is conventional to study interdependent networks by using the multiplex network [11] structure in which each node is dependent on its replica nodes that are placed in other layers. More formally, let us consider a multiplex network formed by $M$ layers and $N$ nodes. Each layer $\alpha = 1, \ldots, M$ consists of a set of node replicas $(i, \alpha)$ with $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N$ and a set of intra-layer links. The intra-layer degree $k^{[\alpha]}$ of node $i$ in layer $\alpha$ indicates the total number of links of layer $\alpha$ incident to this node. Interdependencies occur only within a node’s replicas, that is, interdependency of two nodes $(i, \alpha)$ and $(j, \beta)$ is possible only when $i = j$. The number of interdependent nodes may vary. For each node $i$, the activity $B_i$ indicates the number of its interdependent replicas [14] [15].

The order parameter of the interdependent percolation is the fraction of nodes $S$ in the Mutually Connected Giant Component (MCGC). The MCGC can be defined as the connected component that remains connected after $q$ nodes are removed and the avalanche of subsequent failure events settles [4]. Alternatively the MCGC can be defined as the subset of nodes in which each pair is connected by a path (internal to the MCGC) in each layer [5].

Let $P(k,B)$ be the probability that a randomly chosen node has activity $B$, and intra-layer degree $k$ in every layer of the multiplex network. In this paper multiplex networks are modelled by the maximum-entropy ensemble preserving the degree-activity distribution $P(k,B)$, and therefore, as explained in the Methods, size $S$ of the MCGC is found by solving the following equations:

$$S = p \sum_{k,B>0} P(k,B)[1-(1-s)^k]^B,$$

where $p$ is the probability that the edge is present and $s$ is the fixed point of:

$$s = p \sum_{k,B>0} \frac{k}{(k)} P(k,B)[1-(1-s)^{k-1}][1-(1-s)^k]^{B-1}.$$

Interestingly, the above equations can be interpreted as the result of the message passing algorithm, which in turn admits the epidemic spreading interpretation [1] [6]. In this interpretation, a node is infected if it has at least one infected neighbour in every layer that is infected. The MCGC is then defined as the set of nodes that are asymptotically infected by this cooperative epidemic process at infinite time.

**Constant activity and multimodal degree distribution.** Consider a multiplex network in which all nodes have the same activity $B = M$ and the intra-layer degrees are distributed according to the degree distribution $\hat{P}(k)$. The degree-activity distribution is then given by:

$$P(k,B) = δ_{B,M} \hat{P}(k),$$

where $δ_{a,b}$ is the Kronecker’s delta. Let the intra-layer degree distribution be multi-modal, as defined by:

$$\hat{P}(k) = c_1 δ_{k,k_1} + c_2 δ_{k,k_2} + c_3 δ_{k,k_3},$$

where the normalisation condition imposes the constraint $c_1 + c_2 + c_3 = 1$. We refer to all combinations of $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in [0,1]$ that satisfy above-mentioned normalisation condition as the phase space of the model [7]. Note that even though we have three parameters to chose, there are only two degrees of freedom. Networks satisfying equation (3) may arise as a result of top-down design [19] [23], and are adopted usually in temporal network frameworks [33] where at each time-slice nodes redraw their connections by keeping the same degree.

In what follows, we report existence of peculiar domains in the phase space,

$$Ω_{i,j} \subset \{(c_1,c_2,c_3) : c_1, c_2, c_3 \in [0,1]\},$$

at which, generally speaking, the percolation process features $i$ continuous and $j$ discontinuous phase transitions.
FIG. 2: Evolution of the size and structure of MCGC during interdependent percolation. a,b,c: Theoretical predictions (solid lines) and simulations results (dots) for size \( S \) of MCGC and the fraction \( \sigma_k \) of nodes in MCGC that have intra-layer degree \( k \) are plotted versus \( p \) for points \( C \) (panel a) \( B \) (panel b) and \( A \) (panel c) in the phase diagram shown in Figure 1. d,e,f: The fraction \( S \) of nodes in the MCGC (solid lines) is compared against randomised network in which the replica degrees are independent random variables (rv) (dashed lines) for point \( C \) (panel d) \( B \) (panel e) and \( A \) (panel f) in the phase diagram shown in Figure 1. The simulations results in panels (a,b,c) are averaged over twenty realisations of a network with \( N = 10^7 \) nodes and \( M = 20 \) layers.

When the multiplex network is defined by \( 1 \) and as long as the degrees \( k_1, k_2 \) and \( k_3 \) are sufficiently distant and number of layers \( M \) is sufficiently large, one observes up to three discontinuous phase transitions, that is \( i = 0 \) and \( j = 1, 2, 3 \). The phase diagram of such model can be represented by using the barycentric coordinate system in which each point has coordinates \( (c_1, c_2, c_3) \). By studying the critical behaviour of Eq. 1 for this choice of the degree distribution, we identify three distinct domains in the phase diagram as indicated by \( \Omega_{01}, \Omega_{02} \) and \( \Omega_{03} \), see Figure 1. Each of the discontinuous phase transitions corresponds to progressive deactivation of distinct sub-multiplexes. Both theoretical predictions and simulations of the percolation process, see Figure 2a,b,c show that these phase transitions correspond to the deactivation of the nodes in the order of their increasing intra-layer degrees. The nodes that are damaged first are the nodes with the lowest intra-layer degree, then the nodes with the second lowest value of the intra-layer degree are damaged, and finally, also the nodes with the largest intra-layer degree fail. Conversely, in the epidemic spreading interpretation of the interdependent percolation, these transitions correspond to an abrupt successive invasion of the epidemics into different sub-multiplexes. Therefore, these three transitions can be revealed by monitoring the order parameters \( \sigma_k \) indicating the fraction of nodes that have intra-layer degree \( k \) and that belong to the MCGC as a function of \( p \) (see Fig. 2a,b,c).

In order to investigate the effect of the inter-layer degree correlations, we have considered a multiplex network in the region \( \Omega_{03} \) that features three discontinuous phase transitions and compared the robustness of this network with the robustness of a null model, which is formed by a multiplex network having independent degrees of replica nodes as explained in the Methods section. As can be seen in Figure 2d,e,f, the multiplex networks with identical replica degrees are more robust than the null model, i.e. for every value of \( p \) they have a larger MCGC, which was also reported in Ref. 11. However such an optimization induces two additional discontinuous phase transitions that are not observable in the null model. Therefore, we conclude that optimization of network robustness by maximising the inter-layer degree correlations might come at the expense of inducing additional discontinuous phase transitions. The decomposition of the studied multiplex networks into sub-multiplexes indicates that having multiple modes in the degree distribution is necessary to maintain multiple phase transitions. The presence of multiple phase transitions is strongly favoured by the multimodality of the degree distribution. Figure 3 applies a series of the Gaussian window filters with progressively increasing standard deviation to reduce the segregation between the peaks in an example from \( \Omega_{03} \) and shows that, as the peaks merge, the corresponding discontinuous phase transitions disappear. In overall, the investigations presented in this paper suggest that a
large number of layers, segregated multi-modal degree distribution, and identical degrees of nodes’ replicas jointly provide sufficient conditions for the presence of multiple discontinuous phase transitions in percolation of interdependent multiplex networks.

Variable activity and constant intra-layer degree. In the previous paragraphs we showed that a multimodal degree distribution can lead to multiple percolation transitions in multiplex networks with identical intra-layer degrees of nodes’ replicas and the identical activity $B = M$ of each node of the multiplex networks, in which case, the distribution $P(k, B)$ is given by Eq. [2]. Here we consider the other extreme case in which $P(k, B)$ corresponds to a multiplex network in which all nodes have the same intra-layer degree $k_0$, and the multi-modal activity distribution $P(B)$:

$$P(k, B) = \delta_{k, k_0} P(B),$$

where

$$P(B) = c_1 \delta_{B, B_1} + c_2 \delta_{B, B_2} + c_3 \delta_{B, B_3},$$

and $c_1 + c_2 + c_3 = 1$. Also in this scenario, we observe that if the nodes of the activity distribution $P(B)$ are well separated, the interdependent percolation may again result in multiple phase transitions. These phase transitions correspond to the decomposition of the multiplex network into sub-multiplexes having nodes with activity lower or equal to a given threshold. If all activities are greater than one, $B_n > 1$ for $n = 1, 2, 3$, the interdependent percolation features up to three discontinuous phase transitions. In this case the phase diagram contains three regions denoted as $\Omega_{01}, \Omega_{02},$ and $\Omega_{03}$ indicating that there are zero continuous and one, two, or three discontinuous transition respectively. However, if we set $B_1 = 1$ the network may also display one continuous and up to two discontinuous phase transitions. Therefore, in this case, as shown in Figure 4 we have four possible domains.
Correlated intra-layer degree and activity. Consider a scenario in which a node’s degree and activity correlate:

\[ P(k, B) = c_1 \delta_{k,k_1} \delta_{B,B_1} + c_2 \delta_{k,k_2} \delta_{B,B_2} + c_3 \delta_{k,k_3} \delta_{B,B_3}, \]

and thus neither \( B_1, B_2, B_3 \) nor \( k_1, k_2, k_3 \) are triplets of identical numbers. As shown in Figure 5 also in this case we see a rich phase diagram including phases \( \Omega_{00}, \Omega_{01}, \Omega_{11} \) and \( \Omega_{02} \) having the same definition as above. To assess the effect of anti-correlations between activity and intra-layer degree, the robustness of multiplex networks can be compared against the null model presented in the Methods section, in which the intra-layer degrees and activity are independent. The intuition is that anti-correlations between activity and degree will enhance the robustness of the network as the hubs of each layer are less prone to failure than in the null model due to the fact that they are interdependent with a smaller number of layers, we call these nodes super-robust-nodes (SRN). Indeed, in these networks \( p_c \) is typically lower than in the null model. However anti-correlations also reduce the fragility of low degree nodes by associating to them high activity values, we call these nodes super-fragile-nodes (SFN). Therefore intra-layer degree-activity correlation may lead to both increased and reduced robustness of the multiplex network monitored by measuring the fraction of nodes \( S \) in the MCGC depending on the region of the phase diagram and the exact value of percolation parameter \( p \) (see Figure 5). For large values of \( p \) the percolation process on a multiplex network with degree-activity anti-correlation efficiently dismantles the sub-multiplex formed by the SFN leading to a reduced value of \( S \) with respect to the null model. At small \( p \) either the network is totally dismantled (see for instance point \( C \) in Figure 5) or the anti-correlated multiplex network is formed by a large percentage SRN leading to an increased value of \( S \) and a smaller value of \( p_c \).

**The effect of number of layers.** The above-mentioned examples consider only degree-activity distributions with three modes, however, other modes may also lead to more numerous discontinuous phase transitions reminiscent of cascades in the Barkhausen effect [36]. Figures 6a,b show that when multiple phase transitions are present, these phase transitions can be removed by reducing the number of layers. In which case the discontinuous jump that corresponds to the largest value of \( p_c \) is first to vanish, and eventually, all discontinuous transitions disappear. Our results show that interdependent percolation and thus the corresponding interdependent epidemic spreading process defined on multiplex networks with many layers cannot always be effectively approximated with just a few layers. For instance, Figures 6a,b provide examples of a qualitative change of the percolation properties that are associated to a small change in the number of layers (i.e., increment or decrement by one) even if the total number of layers is large. This observation contributes to the topical research question of multiplex networks reducibility [37].

**Discussion and conclusions**

In this work we have shown evidence of an unexpected outcome of interdependent percolation on multiplex networks. We showed that multiplex networks with maximum inter-layer degree correlations that were designed to minimize \( p_c \) can also display multiple discontinuous phase transitions corresponding to the successive dismantling of sub-multiplexes that span across all the layers of the network. Therefore, although setting all degrees of a node’s replicas to be identical do yield optimally robust multilayer networks (as this operation minimizes \( p_c \)), such an increased robustness might come at the expense of introducing a series of discontinuous phase transitions. This result shows that in the large variety of contexts, when this type of optimization principle might
be at work, including engineering, economics and biological networks, constrained or multi-objective optimization \[38, 39\] should be adopted to avoid multiple discontinuous phase transitions. Our results can also be interpreted in light of the interdependent epidemic spreading, which proves that in multiplex networks with inter-layer degree correlations this contagion process can sequentially invade sub-multiplexes one-by-one in an abrupt manner.

Another aspect of this study is the demonstration that even in multiplex networks with large number of layers removing as much as one layer may lead to a qualitative change in the percolation behaviour as the number of phase transitions could change. Many real networks do naturally admit a multi-layer representation in which the number of layers is large or even tends to infinity. Examples include airport networks \[34, 40\], trade networks \[37\], social networks \[34\] and temporal networks \[35\] wherein layers represent time. This study shows that it is not always possible to approximate such networks with multiplexes having a smaller number of layers.

**Methods**

**Percolation in interdependent multiplex networks.** The interdependent percolation on multiplex network \[1\] can be studied by simulating the avalanche process leading to the MCGC or the static definition. In a multiplex network with joint degree-activity distribution \(P(k, B)\) the fraction of nodes \(S\) in the MCGC is given by:

\[
S = p \sum_{k,B>0} P(k,B)[1 - (1 - s)^k]^B, \tag{7}
\]

where \(s\) is the probability that by following a randomly chosen link one arrives at a node that belongs to the MCGC, which satisfies the following implicit equation:

\[
s = p \sum_{k,B>0} \frac{k}{(k)} P(k,B)[1 - (1 - s)^k - 1] \times [1 - (1 - s)^k]^{B-1}. \tag{8}
\]

The interdependent percolation can thus be fully characterized by studying the critical behavior of Eq. \(7\) and Eq. \(8\).

If for a given value of \(p\) there exists multiple solutions \(s \in [0,1]\) of Eq. \(8\), the solution having the largest value provides the probability that by following a randomly chosen link one arrives at a node that belongs to the MCGC. The solutions of Eqs. \(7\) and \(8\) fully characterise the critical behaviour of the interdependent percolation in the interdependent multiplex networks. Since the number and type of discontinuities of \(S(p)\) and \(s(p)\) coincide, it suffices to study the behaviour of \(s = s(p)\) to obtain the phase diagram. Let us denote

\[
Q(s) := \sum_{k>0} \frac{k}{(k)} P(k,B)[1 - (1 - s)^k - 1][1 - (1 - s)^k]^{B-1},
\]

then, as long as there is a giant component, i.e. as long as \(s > 0\), we may express the inverse function to \(s(p)\) from Eq. \(8\) by simply writing:

\[
p(s) = \frac{s}{Q(s)}. \tag{9}
\]

Therefore, if \(s(p)\) features a jump discontinuity at \((p_c, s_c)\) then \(p(s)\) has a local minimum at this point:

\[
p'(s_c) = 0, \quad p''(s_c) > 0. \tag{10}
\]

By plugging \(9\) into conditions \(10\) and assuming that \(s_c > 0\), one obtains a polynomial equation for \(s\):

\[
Q(s) - sQ'(s) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad Q''(s) < 0. \tag{11}
\]
Note that not all pairs \((p(s_i), s_i)\) such that \(s_i\) satisfy Eq. \((11)\) are associated with valid discontinuous critical points, but only those that belong to the maximal positive subsequence of \((p_i, s_i)\) that is simultaneously descending with respect to \(p\) and \(s\). Thus the discontinuous critical points of \((8)\) are related to roots of polynomial equation \((11)\). In the general case, Eq. \((11)\) is not solvable in radicals and one relies on root-finding algorithms (see supplementary software \[33\]). Alternatively, one can view Eq. \((11)\) as the eigenvalue problem for the corresponding companion matrix having its size equal to the maximum degree. It is this connection between the positions of phase transitions and the roots of polynomial \((11)\) that allows performing an efficient parametric study. The numerical analysis present in this paper reveals how the coefficients of polynomial \((11)\) influence the number of its roots.

The continuous critical point is identified by imposing the continuity condition \(s_c = 0\), in which case, plugging Eq. \((9)\) into Eq. \((10)\) gives an explicit expression:

\[ p_c = \frac{1}{Q'(0)}, \tag{12} \]

which is a valid critical point only if \(\frac{1}{Q'(0)} < \min_i p(s_i)\), that is when \(\frac{1}{Q'(0)}\) is smaller then the smallest discontinuous point.

In order to investigate whether in presence of multiple percolation transitions the multiplex network effectively decomposes into sub-multiplexes we introduce an additional local order parameter \(\sigma_{k,B}\) indicating the fraction of nodes that have degree \(k\), activity \(B\) and that belong to the MCGC:

\[ \sigma_{k,B} = pP(k,B)[1 - (1 - S')^k]B. \tag{13} \]

This local order parameter indicates whether the corresponding sub-multiplexes belong to the MCGC.

**Randomised multiplex models.** The multiplex networks considered in this paper have significant correlations including both inter-layer degree correlations and degree-activity correlations. In order to investigate the roles of these correlations, we introduce two randomised null models. In the first model, we remove the dependence between the node degrees and activity by writing the degree-activity distribution in the factorizable form:

\[ P_{\text{null}}(k,B) = \hat{P}(B)\hat{P}(k), \tag{14} \]

where

\[ \hat{P}(B) = \sum_{k>0} P(k,B), \text{ and } \hat{P}(k) = \sum_{B>0} P(k,B). \tag{15} \]

In this case, the interdependent percolation can be studied by investigating the critical properties of Eqs. \((7)\) and \((8)\) supplied with \(P(k,B) = P_{\text{null}}(k,B)\). Note that in this randomised model, the degrees of all replicas of a given node are still identical. In the second randomised model we relax the later constrain as well by considering a multiplex network in which for a given node the degrees of its replicas are independent random variables with probability mass function \(\hat{P}(k)\). In this case, Eqs. \((7)\) and \((8)\) are to be replaced by:

\[ S = p\sum_{k>0} \hat{P}(B)[1 - G_0(1 - s)]^B, \]

\[ s = p\sum_{k>0} \hat{P}(B)[1 - G_1(1 - s)][1 - G_0(1 - s)]^{B-1}, \tag{16} \]

where

\[ G_0(x) = \sum_{k>0} \hat{P}(k)x^k, \quad G_1(x) = \sum_{k>0} \frac{k}{\hat{P}(k)}x^{k-1}. \tag{17} \]

Moreover the fraction of nodes that have activity \(B\) and belong to the MCGC is given by

\[ \sigma_B = p\hat{P}(B)[1 - G_0(1 - s)]^B. \tag{18} \]
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