Point-Particle Trajectories in a Wave-Mechanical Context
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Abstract An exact description of point-particle dynamics is shown to be allowed by the Hamiltonian ray-tracing system associated with the time-independent Schrödinger equation, starting from any wave-front assignment.

1 - Introduction

Let us begin the present considerations by quoting the starting lines of a paper written by Louis de Broglie in 1959 [1], 20 years after the Nobel Prize rewarding his foundation of Wave Mechanics:

In my first works on Wave Mechanics, dating back to 1923, I had clearly perceived that it was necessary, in a general way, to associate with the movement of any corpuscle the propagation of a wave. But the homogeneous wave that I had been led to consider, and that became the wave \(\psi\) of the usual wave mechanics, did not seem to me to describe the physical reality (...). Giving no particular prerogative to any point in space, it was not capable of representing the position of the corpuscle: we could suppose at most, that it gave, by its square, the "probability of presence" of the corpuscle in each point.

2 – De Broglie’s Ansatz

In de Broglie’s Wave Mechanics [2] (including Schrödinger’s contribution [3] ), any point-particle of mass \(m\), launched with momentum \(p_0\) and total energy \(E = p_0^2 / 2m\) into a force field deriving from a stationary potential energy \(V(r)\), is assumed to be guided by a stationary “matter wave”

\[ u(r, E) \equiv R(r, E) e^{i S(r, E) / \hbar}, \tag{1} \]

with real \(R(r, E)\) and \(S(r, E)\), where \(S(r, E)\) is the reduced Hamilton-Jacobi function, such that

\[ p = \nabla S(r, E). \tag{2} \]

De Broglie’s Ansatz, on which Wave Mechanics is based, is written in the form

\[ p = \hbar k. \tag{3} \]

having the double role of addressing the particle along the field-lines of the wave-vector \(k = \nabla S / \hbar\) of the matter wave (i.e. normally to the matter wave-front), and of specifying the relevant wavelength \(\lambda \equiv 2\pi / k = 2\pi \hbar / p\); an Ansatz which was immediately verified by the Davisson-Germer experiment on the electron diffraction by a crystal of Nickel [4, 5].

Recalling [6-11] that any Helmholtz-like equation is associated with an exact Hamiltonian system of ray-trajectories, the matter wave behavior may be described in terms of such a simple equation, piloting particles, according to de Broglie’s Ansatz (3), along its own rays, under the condition that the Classical Dynamics limit of the particles correspond to the Geometrical Optics limit of their guiding wave. The function
$u(r,E)$ turns out to solve, within this scheme, the \textit{time-independent Schrödinger equation}

$$\nabla^2 u(r,E) + \frac{2m}{\hbar^2} [E - V(r)] u(r,E) = 0, \quad (4)$$

associated \cite{6-11} with a dynamical set of particle trajectories of the Hamiltonian form

$$\begin{align*}
\frac{dr}{dt} &= \frac{\partial H}{\partial p} \equiv \frac{p}{m}, \\
\frac{dp}{dt} &= -\frac{\partial H}{\partial r} \equiv -\nabla [V(r) + W(r,E)] \\
\nabla \cdot (R^2 p) &= 0 \\
|p(t=0)| &\equiv p_0 = \sqrt{2mE}
\end{align*} \quad (5)$$

where

$$W(r,E) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\nabla^2 R(r,E)}{R(r,E)} \quad (6)$$

and

$$H (r,p,E) = \frac{p^2}{2m} + W(r,E) + V(r) \quad (7)$$

The trajectory-coupling "Wave Potential" term $W(r,E)$ is seen to represent the cause of \textit{any deviation} from Classical Dynamics (and Geometrical Optics) of eqs.(5).

Another peculiar property of the function $W(r,E)$ is to act \textit{perpendicular to the particle momentum}, leaving therefore its energy unchanged.

Notice that the easily tractable, energy-dependent Wave Potential $W(r,E)$ has little to share with Bohm's almost intractable Quantum Potential $Q(r,t)$ \cite{12}, mixing together the whole set of energy eigen-values of eq.(4).

Eq.(4) is replaced, in the \textit{relativistic case} \cite{8-11}, by a suitable Klein-Gordon equation, associated with an analogous trajectory system.

On the \textit{wave-like side} of the wave-mechanical context, the launching wave amplitude $R(r,E,t=0)$ coincides with the particle distribution over an \textit{assigned surface}, representing the wave-front at $t = 0$.

The \textit{particle side} of the context will require, in its turn, the assignment of the particle mass $m$, energy $E$ and momentum amplitude $p(E,t=0) = \sqrt{2mE}$, together with the momentum distribution $p(E,t=0)$ normal to the launching front. The trajectory system will be basically determined by the form and extension of the launching wave-front. The third equation of the system (5) (expressing the constancy of the flux of the vector field $R^2 p$ along any tube formed by the trajectories) shall be employed in the time integration of the system (5) in order to obtain, at each time-step, the wave amplitude $R(r,E,t)$ and the Wave Potential function $W(r,E,t)$ encountered by the moving particle on the next wave-front.

\textit{Contrary to the popular narrative}, but in agreement both with the Davisson-Germer experiment and with an "Ockham's Razor" perspective, the wave-mechanical "duality" \textit{does not predict a wave travelling with the particle}. It predicts a \textit{stationary wave} (solving eq.(4) in the wave-mechanical context) normally to whose wave-fronts a set of classical-looking point-particles is addressed by de Broglie’s Assumption (3).
Once the launching context is chosen, the time-integration of the wave-mechanical system (5) provides a **simple solution of de Broglie’s problem**, without resorting to any kind of probabilistic or hydrodynamic interpretation. We shall obtain, indeed, a stationary pattern of virtual trajectories stemming (each one with its time-table) from the assigned launching front, and mutually coupled, at each point of space, by the local value of the Wave Potential. Both the trajectory and the time-table of the considered particle shall be simply picked up from the overall display of numerical results by selecting its launching position and time. In the absence of wave-mechanical coupling the trajectory pattern and motion law would clearly reduce to Classical Mechanics.

Let us expound the relevant physics in detail, by means of a couple of simple examples of wave-mechanical contexts. Assuming, for simplicity sake, a geometry limited to the \((x,z)\)–plane, we consider, to begin with, the **diffraction of a single particle** launched along the \(z\)-axis, in the absence of any external field \(V(\mathbf{r})\), through a slit of half-width \(w_0\) (centered at \(x=0, z=0\)) practiced on a screen placed along the \(x\)-axis. A particle launched with momentum components \(p_x(t=0)=0\) and \(p_z(t=0)=p_0=\sqrt{2mE}\) shall be guided by a matter wave with launching wavelength \(\lambda_0=2\pi h/\sqrt{2mE}\). In the absence of any external field, and under the rule of the energy-preserving Wave Potential alone, we’ll have \(\lambda = \lambda_0\) along the entire particle motion; and let us assume, say, a ratio \(\lambda_0 / w_0 \approx 10^{-4}\). We shall approximate the launching amplitude distribution of the matter wave by a Gaussian function of the form \(R(x;z=0) \propto \exp(-x^2/w_0^2)\), somewhat exceeding the width of the slit, in order to avoid most numerical difficulties, while respecting the physical content of our “experiment”. The numerical solution of the Hamiltonian system (5) provides both a stationary virtual pattern of coupled trajectories starting from the positions with co-ordinates \(-w_0 \leq x \leq w_0; z=0\), and the particle time-table along them.

![Fig.1 – Virtual trajectories for a single slit.](image)
excellent numerical agreement with their well-known "paraxial" analytical expression

$$x = \pm \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_0 z}{\pi w^2}} \left(1 + \frac{z}{\lambda_0} \right).$$

The analogous case of two neighbouring (Gaussian) slits is plotted in Fig. 2, where a virtual pattern of coupled trajectories is seen to be launched from the x-axis.

![Fig. 2 - Virtual trajectories for two neighbouring slits.](image)

In any case, there is no “Feynman mystery” in the particle diffractive behaviour, which is only due to the upstream choice of a bounded launching wave-front.

![Fig. 3 - Lens-like external potential: point-like focusing in the absence of wave-mechanical effects.](image)
The dramatic context dependence of the virtual particle trajectories is clearly shown in Figs. 3 and 4, where an external potential field \( V(x, z) \) representing a lens-like focalizing structure is taken into account in eqs. (4) and (5). The point-like focus (Fig. 3), obtained by dropping the coupling term \( W(\mathbf{r}, E) \) from the system (5), is replaced by a finite focal waist (Fig. 4) when the diffractive role of \( W(\mathbf{r}, E) \) is taken into account.

3 – Discussion

The pedagogical content of the present paper concerns the peculiar role of the time-independent Schrödinger equation.

1) Besides providing the main items of the whole quantum theory, such as energy eigen-values and harmonic oscillators [5],
2) this equation lends itself to describe, thanks to its Helmholtz-like nature, the particle motion along a virtual pattern of coupled trajectories, under the diffractive and energy preserving action of Wave Potential. Moreover,
3) thanks to its energy-dependence, it presents the wave-mechanical motion as a direct extension of the classical motion, including a peculiar force term, \( \nabla W(\mathbf{r},E) \), of wave-mechanical origin.

And what about the time-dependent Schrödinger equation? Under Planck’s condition

\[
E = \hbar \omega ,
\]

let us refer to a discrete set of eigen-energies \( E_n \), eigen-frequencies \( \omega_n = E_n / \hbar \) and eigen-solutions \( u_n(\mathbf{r}) \) of eq.(4), and “complete” the matter wave (1) in the form

\[
\psi_n(\mathbf{r}, t) = u_n(\mathbf{r}) e^{-i \omega_n t} = u_n(\mathbf{r}) e^{-i E_n t / \hbar} .
\]
\[ \psi(r,t) = \sum_n c_n \psi_n(r,t), \] (11)

with arbitrary constant coefficients \( c_n \), turns out to be a solution of the **time-dependent** Schrödinger equation [5]

\[ i \hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \psi + V(r) \psi: \] (12)

in itself, NOT a standard-looking wave equation. The insertion of the function (11) into eq.(12) puts it in the form

\[ \sum_n c_n e^{-i \frac{E_n t}{\hbar}} \left\{ \nabla^2 u_n(r) + \frac{2m}{\hbar^2} [E_n - V(r)] u_n(r) \right\} = 0, \] (13)

where each term of the sum is of the form (4). Eq. (13) provides the **closest approach** between the two Schrödinger equations (4) and (12). At this point, two different routes are followed in the way of dealing with quantum problems:

**1) Standard Quantum Mechanics (SQM)** is centered on eq.(12), which is taken, in spite of its counter-intuitive structure, as a **Primary Assumption** [15]. The function (11) is **conjectured** to carry the most complete physical information, ranging over the full set of particle eigen-energies, and collapsing into one of them in case of observation. A “probability current density” is obtained in the form

\[ J = \frac{\hbar}{2mi} (\psi^* \nabla \psi - \psi \nabla \psi^*), \] (14)

where \( \psi \psi^* \equiv |\psi|^2 \equiv R^2 \), and no definite particle trajectory is admitted, in an odd-looking “scrambling of ontic and epistemic contextualities” [16, 17].

**2) The Bohmian approach** [12, 18] **conjectures**, in turn, a “guidance velocity” provided by a mixture of **dynamics** and **hydro-dynamics** of the form

\[ \frac{d r(t)}{dt} \equiv J / R^2 \equiv \frac{\hbar}{2mi} \psi^* \nabla \psi - \psi \nabla \psi^*, \] (15)

to be evaluated in parallel with the numerical solution of the time-dependent eq.(12), and addressing the particle motion along the flux lines "along which the probability density is transported" [18].

In both cases, the **exact** dynamics allowed by eqs.(3) - (5) is replaced by a **probabilistic** description based on eq.(12), and the (experimentally well established) role of de Broglie’s monochromatic matter waves [1, 4] is, to say the least, overshadowed.

SQM and Bohmian Mechanics, in conclusion, stemming from the **time-dependent** eq.(12), appear to be based on heavily conjectural foundations, and to tell us, with respect to the Wave Mechanics stemming from the **time-independent** eq.(4), a quite different story.

Let us notice that both the assumption of de Broglie’s Ansatz in the (popular but incomplete) **scalar form** \( \lambda = 2\pi \hbar / p \) (rather than in the complete **vectorial** form (3)) and the assumption of eq.(12) as a (strongly counter-intuitive) starting stage have contributed to the widespread reputation of Quantum Mechanics as a scientific mystery. Not by mere chance, the same 1927 was the year, both, of the crucial Fifth Solvay International Conference on Electrons and Photons [19] and of Julien Benda’s prophetic masterpiece [20]: *La Trahison des Clercs.*
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