Efficient anti-dissipative measurement of mechanical motion
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Two detuned microwave tones are applied to an electromechanical circuit to intentionally induce instability in a mechanical oscillator, allowing for an anti-dissipative measurement of mechanical motion. We use anti-dissipative measurement to monitor a single motional quadrature with added noise of $-10.6^{+2.2}_{-3.7} \text{ dB}$ relative to mechanical vacuum fluctuations and a total quantum efficiency of $\eta_q = 93 \pm 5 \%$. The efficient single quadrature measurement is used to perform quantum state tomography, and reconstruct the density matrix of a mechanical squeezed state.

Mechanical motion is routinely prepared and controlled at the fundamental limits set by quantum mechanics. Mechanical oscillators have been cooled to near the quantum ground state [1], vacuum squeezed [2–4] and prepared in quantum superposition states [5–7]. Non-classical states of motion are important for tests of quantum mechanics at larger scales, and can be used in applications such as quantum computing [7] and quantum networks [8]. Efficient measurement has played a critical role in these recent demonstrations of non-classical states of motion. Ground state cooling [1] in electromechanical circuits was first measured using a quantum limited Josephson parametric amplifier [9] and measurements of mechanical vacuum squeezing [2–4] were performed using two-tone backaction evading measurements [10]. Recently, a propagating microwave qubit signal was efficiently converted to motion in a mechanical oscillator, and the quantum state of the mechanical oscillator was verified through the use of quantum limited electromechanical amplification [6].

In spite of this progress, previously used measurement techniques still present significant obstacles to efficient measurement of mechanical motion. Microwave parametric amplifiers can measure a single microwave quadrature noiselessly [11], but typically must be off chip and separated from the electromechanical circuit by lossy microwave components, resulting in significant added measurement noise. Backaction evading measurements have allowed for measurement of non-classical mechanical states, but are often degraded by parasitic parametric effects [12–14], and parametric effects fundamental to linear electromechanical systems [15, 16]. Quantum limited amplification of both quadratures of motion has been realized [6], but simultaneous measurement of two motional quadratures adds noise to measured values at least as large as the zero-point motion (or mechanical vacuum fluctuation) of the oscillator [17]. However, many features of non-classical states have a width in phase space smaller than this added noise, and can be obscured by the simultaneous measurement of non-commuting observables [18, 19]. For example, negativity in the Wigner quasi-probability distribution vanishes if noise larger than vacuum fluctuations is added during measurement [20]. Thus, an efficient single quadrature measurement–integrated into an electromechanical circuit–would be beneficial for the resolution of non-classical states of motion.

In this letter we implement an efficient measurement of a single mechanical quadrature, allowing the mechanical oscillator to be monitored with total added noise of $-10.6^{+2.2}_{-3.7} \text{ dB}$ relative to mechanical vacuum fluctuations, and a total quantum efficiency of $\eta_q = 93 \pm 5 \%$. We term this protocol anti-dissipative measurement, because the mechanical dynamics are described by the time-reverse of dissipative squeezing [21]. By intentionally inducing mechanical instability through the electromechanical interaction, motion can be coherently amplified, allowing for a pulsed measurement of the initial state of the mechanical oscillator. Because of the use of pulsed electromechanical interactions, additional parametric effects [12, 13, 15] that have prevented ideal backaction evading measurement in other systems [2, 3, 14] do not adversely affect the anti-dissipative measurement. We then demonstrate the resolution of fine features in phase space by using the anti-dissipative measurement to perform quantum state tomography [22] on a dissipatively squeezed state of the mechanical oscillator, from which we reconstruct the mechanical density matrix.

The device (shown schematically in Fig. 1a) is an aluminum inductor-capacitor (LC) circuit composed of a spiral inductor and a compliant vacuum gap capacitor that couples electrical charge to motion. The LC circuit has a resonant frequency of $\omega_c \approx 2\pi \times 7.4$ GHz, and is coupled to a transmission line at a rate $\kappa_{\text{ext}} \approx 2\pi \times 31$ MHz. The compliant top-plate of the capacitor (shown in Fig. 1b) is free to vibrate with a fundamental mechanical resonant frequency of $\omega_m \approx 2\pi \times 9.4$ MHz and mechanical linewidth of $\Gamma_m \approx 2\pi \times 21$ Hz. For a summary of the exact parameters of the electromechanical circuit see the supplement [16]. The electromechanical system is attached to the base plate of a dilution refrig-
FIG. 1. (a) Schematic of experiment consisting of the electromechanical circuit (green) inductively coupled to a transmission line. Pump tones are applied through a directional coupler, while outgoing microwave signals are directed to a chain of conventional microwave amplifiers and mixer circuits, forming a microwave receiver, which adds noise much larger than vacuum. (b) False-color micrograph of aluminum drum. The white bar corresponds to a distance of approximately 10 µm. (c) Time and (d) frequency domain representation of overlapping dissipative squeezing pump tone amplitudes \( A(t) \) and \( A(\omega) \). (e) Time and (f) frequency domain representation of overlapping anti-dissipative measurement pump tone amplitudes.

The electromechanical circuit is in the resolved sideband regime [23], enabling coherent control of motion with microwave tones. Applying a red detuned microwave tone to the LC circuit \( (\Delta = -\omega_m) \) allows for sideband cooling [1], and state transfer between mechanical and microwave fields [24]. A blue detuned microwave tone \( (\Delta = +\omega_m) \) creates entanglement between mechanical and microwave fields [24], and realizes a quantum limited phase-insensitive amplifier of mechanical motion [6]. Combining these two interactions, with simultaneous application of red and blue detuned tones enables back-action evading measurement, dissipative squeezing and anti-dissipative measurement. The modulation of each pump tone by mechanical motion results in sidebands at the cavity resonant frequency. Destructive interference between the two sidebands causes a single mechanical quadrature \( X_\pm = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} (b^\dagger - b) \) to couple weakly to to microwave vacuum fluctuations, while constructive interference causes the other quadrature \( X_\mp = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} (b + b^\dagger) \) to couple strongly to microwave vacuum fluctuations.

The detuned microwave tones allow for control of mechanical dissipation through the electromechanical damping rate \( \Gamma_{em}(t) = \Gamma_-(t) - \Gamma_+(t) \), where \( \Gamma_\pm(t) \) are the individual electromechanical growth and decay rates caused by the blue (+) and red (-) detuned microwave tones respectively [25]. Dissipative squeezing occurs when \( \Gamma_{em}(t) > 0 \), which cools the mechanical oscillator towards approximately a squeezed vacuum state [21]. The microwave control tones that enable dissipative squeezing are shown schematically in the time and frequency domain in Fig. 1c and Fig. 1d. Ideal backaction evasion occurs when \( \Gamma_{em}(t) = 0 \), where perfect constructive interference between sidebands decouples one mechanical quadrature from microwave vacuum fluctuations, producing a noiseless representation of a single mechanical quadrature in a single microwave quadrature [10]. Finally, anti-dissipative measurement occurs when \( \Gamma_{em}(t) < 0 \), amplifying motion with energy gain \( G \approx e^{\Gamma_{em} t} \). Fig. 1e and Fig. 1f show the microwave pump tones used in the time and frequency domain for anti-dissipative measurement.

For the two preferred quadratures \( X_\pm \), the noise properties of anti-dissipative measurement and dissipative squeezing are determined by the relative strength of \( \Gamma_+ \) and \( \Gamma_- \). Assuming optimal detuning of the microwave tones by exactly \( \pm\omega_m \), the added noise of anti-dissipative measurement (referred to the input of the measurement) \( n_{add,\pm} \), and the noise of a squeezed state \( \langle \Delta X_{sq,\pm}^2 \rangle \) take the same form, and are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
\langle X_{sq,\pm}^2 \rangle & = \langle \Delta X_{sq,\pm}^2 \rangle \\
& \approx 2 |\Gamma_{em} - \Gamma_m| \left( (\sqrt{\Gamma_+} \pm \sqrt{\Gamma_-})^2 + \Gamma_m (2n_m + 1) \right)
\end{align*}
\]

In the high cooperativity limit, with \( \Gamma_m (2n_m + 1) \approx 1 \), the noise in one quadrature will be below vacuum fluctuations for both the noise added at the input of the measurement \( n_{add,\pm} \), and the variance of the squeezed quadrature after squeezing \( \langle \Delta X_{sq,\pm}^2 \rangle \). However, if the pump frequencies deviate from optimal detuning, either through an initial detuning, or through pump-power induced shifts in the circuit’s resonance frequency, Eq. 1 is not valid, and theory including pump induced mechanical and cavity frequency shifts is required [16].

Coherent control of the dynamics of the mechanical oscillator with red and blue detuned microwave tones can be demonstrated with a three step protocol. As shown in Fig. 2a, an initial pair of pulses prepares the mechanical oscillator in a desired state, by either sideband cooling \( (\Gamma_- > 0 \) and \( \Gamma_+ = 0 \)), dissipatively squeezing \( (\Gamma_- > \Gamma_+ > 0) \) or letting the mechanical oscillator reach equilibrium with its thermal environment \( (\Gamma_+ = \Gamma_- = 0) \). Following preparation, the motion of the mechanical oscillator and the amplitude of the microwave field, are amplified by applying red and blue pumps such that \( \Gamma_+ > \Gamma_- \). After a short delay, the red-detuned pump is pulsed on to transfer the previously amplified state of the mechanical oscillator to the microwave field [24]. After further amplification by a high-electron mobility transistor (HEMT) amplifier, and a room temperature measurement chain, the signal is mixed down to \( \omega_{det} = 2\pi \times 1.8 \) MHz, allowing the two mechanical quadratures to be extracted from the exponentially decaying microwave field shown in Fig. 2b. The exponen-
FIG. 2. (a) General pulse protocol for characterization of anti-dissipative measurement and squeezing. The first overlapping red/blue-detuned pulses determine whether the mechanical oscillator is squeezed, cooled or allowed to thermalize with its environment. The second set of pulses tune the gain and added noise of the measurement. The final red-detuned pulse transfers the amplified state of the mechanical oscillator to the microwave field for quadrature extraction. The pulse lengths are chosen so that $e^{\Gamma_{\text{em}} t}$ is large, and provides sufficient amplification gain or dissipative squeezing and cooling to overwhelm the thermal noise of the mechanical environment. The pulse envelopes drawn are slower than in the experiment for visual clarity. (b) Example of a single experimental voltage trace of the down-converted microwave field ($\omega_{\text{tot}} = 2\pi \times 1.8$ MHz) showing the resulting exponential growth and decay of the microwave field due to the amplification and transfer pulses respectively.

FIG. 3. (a) Total added noise referred to the input of the anti-dissipative measurement relative to mechanical vacuum fluctuations. $\Gamma_+$ is varied, while $\Gamma_- = 2\pi \times 177$ kHz is held constant. Theory from Eq. 1 (including HEMT noise contributions) is shown without any free parameters as the dashed lines, and deviates significantly because the pump power is large enough to induce additional parametric processes. The solid lines are theory including parametric effects (with free parameters) [16]. The inset illustrates the pulse sequence used for the inference of $n_{\text{tot}, \pm}$. For $\Gamma_+/\Gamma_- < 1.3$ the noise added by the HEMT amplifier begins to contribute significantly to $n_{\text{tot}, \pm}$ and $n_{\text{tot}, \mp}$. (b) Inferred variance of the squeezed and anti-squeezed quadratures after dissipatively squeezing. $\Gamma_+$ is varied, while $\Gamma_- = 2\pi \times 180$ kHz is held constant. The squeezed quadrature variance $\langle X^2_{\text{squeezed}} \rangle$ is shown in green, while the anti-squeezed quadrature is shown in purple $\langle X^2_{\text{anti-squeezed}} \rangle$. Theory from Eq. 1 is shown without any free parameters as the dashed lines, with the expected agreement at low pump powers. The solid lines are theory including parametric effects (with free parameters) [16]. The inset illustrates the pulse sequence for the inference of squeezing.

Having investigated the total added noise, it is clear that anti-dissipative measurement meets the criteria for quantum efficient amplification and measurement of motion [17]. First, the energy gain of the amplifier $G_\pm \approx e^{-\Gamma_{\text{em}} t}$ can exceed 50 dB, easily overwhelming the noise of subsequent amplifiers in the measurement chain, and ensuring that—with proper pump amplitudes—the total measurement noise $n_{\text{tot}, \pm} = n_{\text{add}, \pm} + n_{\text{HEMT}}/G_- \approx n_{\text{add}, \pm}$. Second, by tuning the relative size of the microwave tones, the measurement can be switched from a noiseless single quadrature measurement of motion ($\Gamma_+ > \Gamma_-$) to
a quantum limited, simultaneous measurement of both mechanical quadratures (Γ_+ > 0 and Γ_- = 0), where the equivalent of vacuum noise must be added at the input of the measurement [6]. This ability to tune between noiseless phase-sensitive amplification and phase-insensitive amplification is a valuable resource when probing unknown quantum states.

Avoiding the noise associated with the simultaneous measurement of non-commuting observables is of particular importance when measuring states with a width in phase space less than the size of vacuum fluctuations [26], and is desirable for many quantum state tomography protocols [20]. Thus, to test the effectiveness of anti-dissipative measurement on states with variance below the vacuum scale, we prepare squeezed states of motion using the dissipative procedure illustrated in the inset of Fig. 3b. To infer the total amount of squeezing, the motion is first squeezed for 90 μs, then a 30 μs blue-detuned microwave pulse (Γ_+ = 2π × 73 kHz and Γ_- = 0) is applied to amplify both motional quadratures. The variance associated with vacuum fluctuations, which must be added by the phase-insensitive amplifier, is subtracted to infer the variance of the squeezed and anti-squeezed quadratures, shown in Fig. 3b. We obtain a maximum inferred vacuum squeezing of (X_{sq,-}^2) = (−7.9^{+1.4}_{−1.9}) dB relative to vacuum fluctuations in one mechanical quadrature. We are able to far surpass the so-called steady state 3 dB squeezing limit both because we are using pulsed operations, and more than a single mode is involved during dissipative squeezing [27]. Theory without any free parameters corresponding to Eq. 1 is plotted as the dashed lines in Fig. 3b, which agrees well at low pump powers. The solid lines show predicted squeezing when including additional squeezing induced by nonlinear mixing of the two microwave pumps (with free parameters) [16]. Having demonstrated that we can prepare a squeezed state with variance below vacuum fluctuations, the ability of anti-dissipative measurement to resolve fine phase space features can be tested by performing quantum state tomography on the squeezed mechanical state. By rotating a noiseless single quadrature measurement through all possible measurement axes, a set of phase space marginals can be recorded, and the density matrix can be reconstructed via quantum state tomography [28–32]. Fig. 4a and Fig. 4b show histograms of a sideband cooled (\text{n}_{\text{sq}} ≈ 0.02) and a dissipatively squeezed state of the mechanical oscillator as a function of the tomography angle φ. Fig. 4c demonstrates the rotation of the single quadrature measurement axis relative to the prepared squeezed state by φ.

To characterize the resulting squeezed state and the noise added by anti-dissipative measurement, we measure the total variance as a function of tomography angle. This is shown in Fig. 4d with theory (using independently measured parameters) shown as the solid blue line. The squeezed quadrature has a total variance of (X_{tot,-}^2) = (−2.8^{+3}_{−2}) dB relative to vacuum fluctuations in one quadrature. We emphasize that this represents the total reduction in noise that is present at the end of our conventional microwave receiver and no noise is subtracted to find this result. From the measurement of the sideband cooled state, we infer the total added measurement noise of n_{tot,-} = −10.6^{+2.2}_{−3.7} dB relative to mechanical vacuum fluctuations, resulting in a total quantum efficiency of \( \eta_t = (1 + 2n_{tot,-})^{-1} = (93 \pm 5) \% \).

The marginal distributions (1.4 × 10^6 points in total) can be used to reconstruct the density matrix of the quantum state in the number basis. For a general quantum state, iterative methods of tomographic reconstruction [22]–based upon maximum likelihood–are a reliable method of estimating quantum states [20], and are guaranteed to produce a physical density matrix. However, tomographic reconstruction of squeezed states in the Fock basis requires estimating density matrix elements up to very high phonon number [31]. To avoid calculating large density matrices we assume Gaussian Wigner quasiprobability distributions [33], and estimate...
the density matrix through reconstruction of the covariance matrix [34]. The covariance matrix is then used to infer the Fock basis density matrix of the mechanical oscillator. In Figs. 4e and 4f we plot the inferred diagonal density matrix elements for the squeezed vacuum and sideband cooled states, with the error bars on the measurements representing 90% confidence intervals from an empirical bootstrap procedure [16]. Thus we demonstrate the direct resolution of features in phase space with a width approximately half that of vacuum fluctuations and the ability to resolve the squeezed character in the number basis.

Mechanical devices are increasingly being integrated into circuit QED systems as resource efficient elements, transducers and quantum memories, which offer access to new regimes of circuit QED [7, 35]. By directly using mechanical instability as a probe, anti-dissipative measurement can efficiently measure motion in the presence of additional nonlinear effects. Combining anti-dissipative measurement with already demonstrated [6] quantum state transfer techniques provides a path towards resolution of non-Gaussian states in macroscopic mechanical oscillators.
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I. THEORY

A. Two-tone electromechanical equations of motion

Starting with the linearized Heisenberg-Langevin equations of motion [?] in a frame rotating at drive frequency $\omega_d$ with $\Delta = \omega_d - \omega_c$ we find that

$$\dot{d} = \left(-\frac{\kappa}{2} + i\Delta\right)d + igt_0\alpha(t)(b + b^\dagger) + \sqrt{\kappa_{ex}t_{m}} + \sqrt{\kappa_0 f_{in}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)$$

$$\dot{b} = \left(-i\omega_m - \frac{\Gamma_m}{2}\right)b + igt_0(\alpha^*(t)d + \alpha(t)d^\dagger) + \sqrt{\Gamma_m b_{in}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)$$

while in a two tone driving scheme we have

$$\alpha(t) = \alpha_+e^{-i\omega_+t} + \alpha_-e^{-i\omega_-t},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)$$

where $\omega_{\pm} = \omega_d \pm \omega_m$. Going to a rotating frame for the mechanical mode such that $b \rightarrow be^{-i\omega_{m}t}$ and ignoring all fast counter rotating terms:

$$\dot{d} = \left(-\frac{\kappa}{2} + i\Delta\right)d + igt_0\alpha_-b + \alpha_+b^\dagger + \sqrt{\kappa_{ex}t_{m}} + \sqrt{\kappa_0 f_{in}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)$$

$$\dot{b} = -\frac{\Gamma_m}{2}b + igt_0(\alpha_-^*d + \alpha_+d^\dagger) + \sqrt{\Gamma_m b_{in}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)$$

We define the mechanical and cavity quadratures:

$$X_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(b^\dagger + b)$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)$$

$$X_2 = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(b^\dagger - b)$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)$$

$$U_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(a^\dagger + a)$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)$$

$$U_2 = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(a^\dagger - a)$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)$$

Specializing to the case $\Delta = 0$, as in [?] the linearized Heisenberg-Langevin equations can be calculated in the rotating wave approximation:

$$\dot{X}_1 = \frac{\sqrt{\kappa}}{2}\left(\sqrt{\Gamma_+} - \sqrt{\Gamma_-}\right)U_2 - \frac{\Gamma_m}{2}X_1 + \sqrt{\Gamma_m}X_{1,in}$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)$$

$$\dot{X}_2 = \frac{\sqrt{\kappa}}{2}\left(\sqrt{\Gamma_+} + \sqrt{\Gamma_-}\right)U_1 - \frac{\Gamma_m}{2}X_2 + \sqrt{\Gamma_m}X_{2,in}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)$$

$$\dot{U}_1 = \frac{\sqrt{\kappa}}{2}\left(\sqrt{\Gamma_+} - \sqrt{\Gamma_-}\right)X_2 - \frac{\kappa}{2}U_1 + \sqrt{\kappa_{ex}}U_{1,in} + \sqrt{\kappa_0 f_{1,in}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)$$

$$\dot{U}_2 = \frac{\sqrt{\kappa}}{2}\left(\sqrt{\Gamma_+} + \sqrt{\Gamma_-}\right)X_1 - \frac{\kappa}{2}U_2 + \sqrt{\kappa_{ex}}U_{2,in} + \sqrt{\kappa_0 f_{2,in}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)
Where $\Gamma_{\pm} = 4g_0^2 n_{\pm}/\kappa$ depends upon the average number of photons $n_{\pm}$ circulating in the LC circuit from the red (-) and blue (+) detuned pumps respectively. Throughout this experiment we stay out of the strong coupling regime such that $\kappa/2 >> \Gamma_{\pm}$. The cavity decay rate is much larger than all other decays rates in our system, thus the cavity follows the state of the mechanical oscillator, allowing for adiabatic elimination of the cavity amplitude such that $U_1 = 0$ and $U_2 = 0$. This allows for simplification of the system of equations above into two independent equations for the mechanical quadratures:

$$\dot{X}_1 = \frac{\Gamma_+ - \Gamma_- - \Gamma_m}{2} X_1 + \sqrt{\Gamma_m} X_{1,in} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa}} \left( \sqrt{\Gamma_+} - \sqrt{\Gamma_-} \right) \left( \sqrt{\kappa_{\text{ext}}} U_{2,in} + \sqrt{\kappa_0} f_{2,in} \right)$$ (14)

$$\dot{X}_2 = \frac{\Gamma_+ - \Gamma_- - \Gamma_m}{2} X_2 + \sqrt{\Gamma_m} X_{2,in} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa}} \left( \sqrt{\Gamma_+} + \sqrt{\Gamma_-} \right) \left( \sqrt{\kappa_{\text{ext}}} U_{1,in} + \sqrt{\kappa_0} f_{1,in} \right).$$ (15)

To calculate the expected variance of the mechanical oscillator the differential equations can be solved and the noise correlation functions of the cavity and mechanical quadratures can be used to compute variances:

$$\langle f_{1,in}^\dagger(t) f_{1,in}(t') \rangle = \langle U_{1,in}^\dagger(t) U_{1,in}(t') \rangle = \left( n_e + \frac{1}{2} \right) \delta(t - t')$$ (16)

$$\langle f_{2,in}^\dagger(t) f_{2,in}(t') \rangle = \langle U_{2,in}^\dagger(t) U_{2,in}(t') \rangle = \left( n_m + \frac{1}{2} \right) \delta(t - t')$$ (17)

$$\langle X_{1,in}^\dagger(t) X_{1,in}(t') \rangle = \left( n_m + \frac{1}{2} \right) \delta(t - t')$$ (18)

$$\langle X_{2,in}^\dagger(t) X_{2,in}(t') \rangle = \left( n_m + \frac{1}{2} \right) \delta(t - t').$$ (19)

Computing the variance gives:

$$\langle \Delta X_1^2 \rangle = \langle \Delta X_1(0)^2 \rangle e^{(\Gamma_+ - \Gamma_- - \Gamma_m) t} + \frac{1}{2(\Gamma_+ - \Gamma_- - \Gamma_m)} \left( (\sqrt{\Gamma_+} - \sqrt{\Gamma_-})^2 (2n_e + 1) + \Gamma (2n_m + 1) \right) (e^{(\Gamma_+ - \Gamma_- - \Gamma_m) t} - 1)$$ (20)

$$\langle \Delta X_2^2 \rangle = \langle \Delta X_2(0)^2 \rangle e^{(\Gamma_+ - \Gamma_- - \Gamma_m) t} + \frac{1}{2(\Gamma_+ - \Gamma_- - \Gamma_m)} \left( (\sqrt{\Gamma_+} + \sqrt{\Gamma_-})^2 (2n_e + 1) + \Gamma (2n_m + 1) \right) (e^{(\Gamma_+ - \Gamma_- - \Gamma_m) t} - 1).$$ (21)

In the large gain limit, such that the energy gain $G = e^{(\Gamma_+ - \Gamma_- - \Gamma_m) t} \gg 1$ we find noise added at the input of anti-dissipative measurement for the two preferred quadratures becomes:

$$n_{\text{add},\pm} = \frac{1}{2|\Gamma_+ - \Gamma_- - \Gamma_m|} \left( (\sqrt{\Gamma_+} \pm \sqrt{\Gamma_-})^2 + \Gamma_m (2n_m + 1) \right).$$ (22)

If instead, we squeeze the motion of the mechanical oscillator with $e^{(\Gamma_+ - \Gamma_- - \Gamma_m) t} \approx 0$, then the variance of each preferred quadrature is given by:

$$\langle \Delta X_{\text{sq},\pm}^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{2|\Gamma_+ - \Gamma_- - \Gamma_m|} \left( (\sqrt{\Gamma_+} \pm \sqrt{\Gamma_-})^2 + \Gamma_m (2n_m + 1) \right).$$ (23)

### B. Additional single mode squeezing due to detuning of microwave pumps

The equations of motion in Section I are valid for $\kappa >> \Gamma_{\pm}$, but even if adiabatic elimination of the cavity mode remains valid, as the pump power is increased the dependence of both the cavity resonant frequency and the mechanical resonant frequency depend on the number of photons circulating in the LC circuit. We are able to describe our data by adding in the effects of these
pump induced frequency shifts that cause additional mechanical squeezing and mechanical frequency shifts. The following analysis is equivalent to that in [?] where single mode squeezing of the mechanical oscillator was demonstrated in the large detuning limit $\Delta \gg \kappa$ so that adiabatic elimination of the cavity field is valid. We operate in the limit such that $\kappa \gg \Delta$ to demonstrate an equivalent result. Assuming that $\frac{\kappa}{g_{0}} \gg \Delta, \Gamma_{\pm}, \Gamma_{m}$, we can still adiabatically eliminate the cavity fluctuations:

$$d \approx \frac{ig_{0}(\alpha b + \alpha^{\dagger} b^{\dagger}) + \sqrt{\kappa d_{in}}}{\kappa/2 - i\Delta},$$

where we have ignored the small internal loss of the cavity. This gives an independent equation of motion for the mechanical field to first order in $\Delta/\kappa$ as:

$$\dot{b} = \frac{\Gamma_{p} - \Gamma_{c} - \Gamma_{m}}{2} b - i \left( \delta_{m,0} + \frac{\Delta}{\kappa}(\Gamma_{+} + \Gamma_{-}) \right) b - \frac{2i\Delta}{\kappa} \sqrt{\Gamma_{+} \Gamma_{-}} b^{\dagger} + i\sqrt{\Gamma_{+}} \left( 1 + \frac{2i\Delta}{\kappa} \right) d_{in} + i\sqrt{\Gamma_{-}} \left( 1 - \frac{2i\Delta}{\kappa} \right) d_{in}^{\dagger},$$

where we choose a global measurement phase and assume that $\alpha_{\pm}$ are both real. In this form we can identify two additional terms in the equation for the cavity field that are caused by detuning both microwave tones from cavity resonance. These two terms correspond to a mechanical frequency shift $\delta_{m,tot}$ and a single-mode squeezing term $\chi$ given by

$$\delta_{m,tot} = \delta_{m,0} + \frac{\Delta}{\kappa}(\Gamma_{+} + \Gamma_{-})$$

$$\chi = \frac{2\Delta}{\kappa} \sqrt{\Gamma_{+} \Gamma_{-}}.$$

We combine these effects into a coupled set of equations of motion to describe the two cavity quadratures.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{X}_{1} \\ \dot{X}_{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \delta_{m,0} - \frac{\Delta}{\kappa}(\sqrt{\Gamma_{+} + \sqrt{\Gamma_{-}}})^{2} \\ \sqrt{\Gamma_{m} X_{1,in}} + (\sqrt{\Gamma_{+} - \sqrt{\Gamma_{-}}}) (U_{2,in} + \frac{2\Delta}{\kappa} U_{1,in}) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X_{1} \\ X_{2} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\Gamma_{+} - \Gamma_{c} - \Gamma_{m}}{2} \delta_{m,0} + \frac{\Delta}{\kappa}(\sqrt{\Gamma_{+} - \sqrt{\Gamma_{-}}})^{2} \\ \sqrt{\Gamma_{m} X_{2,in}} + (\sqrt{\Gamma_{+} + \sqrt{\Gamma_{-}}}) (U_{1,in} - \frac{2\Delta}{\kappa} U_{2,in}) \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\delta_{m,0}$ is the detuning from the mechanical resonant frequency. The additional single mode squeezing term and frequency shifts cause significant deviation from the linearized electromechanical equations of motion in section IA as the power of the two tones is increased. We recently became aware of work that describes this effect in both electromechanical and optomechanical systems [?].

II. PUMP DEPENDENT CA VITY FREQUENCY SHIFTS

We estimate the cavity frequency shifts induced by the two microwave tones from optomechanical theory [?] by noting that there is a nonlinear dependence of the detuning from the cavity on microwave pump power, where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are the time averaged coherent displacements of the cavity and mechanical oscillators respectively.

$$\alpha = \frac{\sqrt{\kappa \omega_{c} \alpha_{in}}}{\kappa/2 - i\Delta_{eff}},$$

$$\beta = \frac{-ig_{0} |\alpha|^{2}}{\Gamma_{m}/2 - i\omega_{m}},$$

$$\Delta_{eff} = \Delta_{0} + g_{0}(\beta + \beta^{*}).$$

Solving these equations (neglecting $\Gamma_{m}$) we find that the time averaged detuning is

$$\Delta_{eff} \approx \Delta_{0} + \frac{2g_{0}^{2}}{\omega_{m}} |\alpha|^{2} = \Delta_{0} + \frac{\kappa}{2\omega_{m}} (\Gamma_{+} + \Gamma_{-}),$$
demonstrating the implicit dependence of the cavity resonant frequency (and thus the effective detuning from the cavity $\Delta_{\text{eff}}$) on the pump power sent to the circuit. This also demonstrates that a detuned back-action evading measurement [??] will cause degenerate parametric amplification of the mechanical mode.

We use the result from Equation 33 to solve the full set of equations in Equation 29. With free parameters $\delta_{m,0} = 350$ Hz and $\Delta_{0} = -84$ kHz we get good agreement between theory and experiment. Given that there are two adjustable parameters to make the theory agree it is possible that other parametric effects such as the ones described in [??] are possible. Thermal effects are unlikely because we see only a small shift in mechanical resonant frequency with temperature with $\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial T} \approx 4$ Hz/K. Further work is needed to verify that electromechanical effects are the dominant single mode squeezing term in our system.

### III. QUANTUM STATE TOMOGRAPHY

Squeezed and thermal states are inherently Gaussian states, so to infer the density matrix of these states we assume a Gaussian quasiprobability distribution and perform tomography as in [??]. Using the set of tomography data points $x_k(\phi_j)$, we define the two matrix quantities:

$$D = \sum_{k,j} \frac{S^T(\phi_j) | w_k \rangle \langle w_k | S(\phi_j) + \delta_n^2}{\langle w_k | S(\phi_j) G R^T(\phi_j) | w_k \rangle}$$

$$R = \sum_{k,j} \frac{R^T(\phi_j) | w_k \rangle \langle w_k | S(\phi_j) + \delta_n^2}{\langle w_k | S(\phi_j) G R^T(\phi_j) | w_k \rangle} x_k(\phi_j)^2$$

where $S(\phi)$ is the 2d rotation matrix, $\delta_n^2 = (1 - \eta_b)/2\eta_b$ is a function of the quantum efficiency $\eta_b$ and $G$ is the covariance matrix to be iterated over. To find the covariance matrix describing the data via maximum liklihood we start with a vacuum covariance matrix and then iterate such that:

$$G^{(i+1)} = (D^{i})^{-1} R^i G^i R^i (D^{i})^{-1},$$

from which the values of $D$, $R$ and $G$ are iteratively calculated. As was shown in [??] this procedure will compute the covariance matrix that underlies the data in the maximum likelihood sense. The covariance matrix for a squeezed thermal state is given by:

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} n_m + \frac{1}{2} & \cosh(2r) + \sinh(2r) \cos(\phi) & -\sinh(2r) \sin(\phi) \\ -\sinh(2r) \sin(\phi) & \cosh(2r) - \sinh(2r) \cos(\phi) & \end{pmatrix},$$

with $n_m$ and $r$ inferred from the tomographic reconstruction. We can then calculate the matrix elements of a squeezed thermal state as in [??]. The 90% confidence intervals are found from a case resampling bootstrap algorithm [??].

### IV. MECHANICAL OCCUPANCY AND ADDED NOISE CALIBRATIONS

The occupancy and measurement noise are both measured by taking the ratio of the variance of two separately prepared states of motion. The numerator is always a mechanical thermal state with known variance $n_m$, while the denominator is the state we wish to characterize. In microwave engineering this is known as a Y-factor measurement [??]. By amplifying the motion of the mechanical oscillator with (possibly phase dependent) energy gain $G(\phi)$ at two different mechanical occupancies $n_m$ and $n_{\text{cold}}(\phi)$ and taking the ratio of measured variances in units of quanta we get:

$$r(\phi) = \frac{n_m + n_{\text{eff}}(\phi) + 1 + n_{\text{HEMT}}/G(\phi)}{n_{\text{cold}}(\phi) + n_{\text{eff}}(\phi) + 1 + n_{\text{HEMT}}/G(\phi)}.$$  

The above ratio can be used to can be used to infer the measurement noise added to each preferred quadrature, or the effective occupancy $n_{\text{cold}}(\phi)$ of the mechanical oscillator. This allows us to infer the phase dependent occupancy of the mechanical oscillator:

$$\langle (\Delta X(\phi))^2 \rangle = n_{\text{cold}}(\phi) + 1/2.$$  

While the total added noise of amplification is equivalently given for the two preferred quadratures as:

$$n_{\text{tot},\pm} = n_{\text{eff},\pm} + 1/2 + n_{\text{HEMT}}/G_{\pm}.$$
Perfectly squeezing the mechanical oscillator results in $n_{\text{cold}}(\phi_{\text{sq}}) = -1/2$ while a perfect single quadrature measurement equivalently has $n_{\text{eff},c} = -1/2$. The measurement of both quadratures of mechanical motion requires the addition of 1/2 quanta of noise at the input of the measurement [? ], so when expressing the added measurement noise in Decibels we normalize the added noise to 1/2 quanta such that $n_{\text{tot},c} = 10\log_{10}(1 + 2(n_{\text{eff},c} + n_{\text{HEMT}}/G_{\pm}))$. When characterizing the performance of the single quadrature measurement the added noise of the HEMT amplifier $n_{\text{HEMT}} \approx 10$ referred to the input of the measurement can be appreciable at low gains, but when using the single quadrature measurement to perform tomography we operate with $G > 40 \text{ dB}$ and the noise of the HEMT is negligible. We verify the thermal occupancy of the mechanical oscillator $n_m$ multiple times over the course of the several month long experiment by sweeping the temperature of the dilution refrigerator (measured with a calibrated ruthenium oxide thermometer) to check for thermalization of the mechanical oscillator to the base temperature of the dilution refrigerator.

A. Bounding the remaining thermal occupancy after sideband cooling

There are several sources of uncertainty in our noise calibrations, including the remaining thermal occupancy after sideband cooling, the amount of noise above vacuum fluctuations added when using the blue detuned pump as a phase preserving amplifier and any remaining cavity occupancy $n_c$. Using the blue detuned pump as a phase preserving amplifier we perform a Y-factor measurement using a sideband cooled state and a thermal state we find:

$$r = \frac{n_m + n_{\text{eff}} + 1}{n_{\text{sh}} + n_{\text{eff}} + 1} \approx \frac{n_m + 1}{n_{\text{sh}} + n_{\text{eff}} + 1}. \quad (41)$$

We are able to make the approximation in the second term since we know that $n_m \gg n_{\text{sh}}, n_{\text{eff}}$. From the theory of electromechanical sideband cooling [? ] we find that in the resolved sideband regime the minimum mechanical occupancy after sideband cooling is:

$$n_{\text{sh, min}} \approx n_c + \frac{\Gamma_m}{\Gamma_m + \Gamma_m} n_m + \frac{\kappa^2}{16\omega_m^2} \approx (n_c + .012) \pm .002, \quad (42)$$

while equivalently the effective added occupancy at the input of the phase-preserving amplification pulse is given by:

$$n_{\text{eff, min}} \approx n_c + \frac{\Gamma_m}{\Gamma_m + \Gamma_m} n_m + \frac{\kappa^2}{16\omega_m^2} \approx (n_c + .018) \pm .002. \quad (43)$$

This gives a total minimum remaining occupancy of:

$$n_{\text{sh, min}} + n_{\text{eff, min}} = (2n_c + .030) \pm .004 \quad (44)$$

We can estimate the total remaining occupancy due to sideband cooling and measurement from our data, and we find that:

$$n_{\text{sh}} + n_{\text{eff}} = .05 \pm .03 \text{ quanta} \quad (45)$$

Thus the total remaining occupancy after sideband cooling and measurement is nearly equivalent to the minimum possible value $n_{\text{sh}} + n_{\text{eff}} \approx n_{\text{sh, min}} + n_{\text{eff, min}}$, which suggests that $n_c \leq 0.01$ quanta. In all inferences of noise we assume perfect sideband cooling and $n_c = 0$ to avoid underestimating the amount of noise in a given measurement. This is further corroborated by the excellent agreement between the inferred squeezing and the parameter free theory for dissipative squeezing in Fig. 3b in the main text.

B. Inference of mechanical squeezing

As discussed in the main text we infer mechanical squeezing by measuring the mechanical oscillator with a nearly quantum limited phase-preserving amplification pulse ($\Gamma_+ > 0, \Gamma_- = 0$). A Y-factor measurement is used to compare the variance of a known thermal state with occupancy $n_m$ to the variance of the measured squeezed state with effective occupancy $n_{\text{sq, eff}}$:

$$r_{\text{sq}} = \frac{n_m + 1}{n_{\text{sq, eff}} + 1}, \quad (46)$$

where the variance of the squeezed quadrature is given by:

$$\langle \Delta X_{\text{sq}, -}^2 \rangle = n_{\text{sq, eff}} + 1/2 \quad (47)$$

An equivalent procedure is used to infer the total variance in the anti-squeezed quadrature. A typical set of measurements for inferring squeezing is shown in Fig. 1. Measurements are repeated 2048 times and the quadrature amplitude is extracted in three different cases: a thermal state, a sideband cooled state and a squeezed state. From these points the covariance matrix is estimated, and they are compared in a Y-factor measurement to extract the squeezed and anti-squeezed variance.
C. Inference of anti-dissipative measurement noise

Using a 30 µs amplification pulse, we compare the variance of an amplified sideband cooled state with \( n_{sb} \geq 0.01 \) to the variance of an amplified thermal state with \( n_m = 40 \) resulting in a ratio of variances of:

\[
    r_\pm = \frac{n_m + n_{eff,\pm} + 1 + n_{HEMT}/G_\pm}{n_{sb} + n_{eff,\pm} + 1 + n_{HEMT}/G_\pm}. \tag{48}
\]

At low gains the added noise of the HEMT will is significant, which is included in the theory for single quadrature measurement noise.

D. Measurement of total variance

Now we do not differentiate between noise sources:

\[
    r(\phi) = \frac{\langle X(\phi)^2 \rangle_{\text{hot}}}{\langle X(\phi)^2 \rangle_{\text{cold}}}. \tag{49}
\]

All sources of variance are lumped together and the variance as a function of tomography angle \( \Delta \phi \) can be computed. The variance is compared with a thermal state with \( \langle X(\phi)^2 \rangle_{\text{hot}} = n_m + 1/2 = 36.5 \pm 2 \). The blue points in Fig. 2 show the result of the Y-factor measurement between the thermal state and a squeezed state as a function of tomography angle (using the single quadrature measurement), while the red points are a measurement of a sideband cooled state (nearly mechanical vacuum) using a single quadrature measurement. The black points are a simultaneous measurement of both quadratures (\( \Gamma_+ > 0 \) and \( \Gamma_- = 0 \)) of a sideband cooled mechanical state.

E. Temperature sweeps

We characterize the thermal occupancy of the mechanical oscillator in two separate ways. First, we use the same procedure as in [? ? ], where a very weak continuous red detuned pump is applied such that \( \Gamma_m >> \Gamma_{\text{opt}} \). The ratio of the mechanical sideband power \( P_m \) and the resulting power circulating in the cavity \( P_c \) is measured. The temperature sweep in Fig. 3a demonstrates that the mechanical oscillator is thermalized to close to 18 mK (the temperature of the dilution refrigerator) and allows us to extract the electromechanical coupling rate of \( g_0 = 2\pi \times (287 \pm 11) \) Hz.

We also verify the temperature of the mechanical oscillator by using the blue detuned pump as a quantum limited amplifier.

\[
    \langle \Delta X_{\text{meas}}^2 \rangle \approx e^{\Gamma_+ t} (n_m + 1) \tag{50}
\]

The measurement of a mechanical thermal state \( n_m \) is repeated 2048 times at each temperature to compute the variance. Drift in the gain is corrected at each temperature by measuring the exponential envelope of the amplification pulse to retrieve \( \Gamma_+ \).

Figs. 3b and 3c show the results of two separate temperature sweeps performed in this way, and in both cases there is a linear dependence of the variance on temperature, demonstrating the the mechanical device is thermalized to the base temperature of the fridge.

V. TRACKING MEASUREMENT AND SQUEEZING AXES

The measurement and squeezing axes are controlled by the average phase \( \phi_{\text{avg}} = (\phi_+ + \phi_-)/2 \) of the red and blue detuned pumps. We monitor this phase by mixing both pumps down with the local oscillator (\( \omega_{LO} = \omega_c + \omega_{\text{het}} \)) and then measuring the phase of the resulting down converted tones with frequencies \( \omega_\pm = \omega_m \pm \omega_{\text{het}} \) on a separate channel of the data acquisition card (see Fig. 4 for experimental schematic). We use this independent measurement of the phase of the microwave tones to track the squeezing and measurement axes as a function of time. Due to a small frequency difference between the local oscillator (Holzworth HS9000) and the red and blue detuned pump microwave generators (Agilent E8257D) the measurement and squeezing axes drift linearly with time. We measure this phase drift and correct all data points by rotating back to the initial measurement axis.
VI. QUADRATURE EXTRACTION

We perform heterodyne detection \( (\omega_{\text{het}} = 2\pi \cdot 1.8 \text{ MHz}) \) on the microwave frequency signal emerging from the electromechanical circuit. There are two components of the downconverted microwave field. First is the exponentially rising microwave field that results from the amplification pulse. The cavity field is simply following the amplified state of the mechanical oscillator, however the two cavity quadratures \( U_1 \) and \( U_2 \) are unequally coupled to the mechanical quadratures \( X_2 \) and \( X_1 \) causing phase dependent gain. The two microwave quadratures can be extracted from the exponentially rising field by applying an optimized filter as in [? ? ?], but with phase dependent gain this filter will now depend on the phase of the signal, making the measurement more susceptible to uncertainty in the measurement axis, and uncertainty in the phase dependence of the gain. To avoid this issue, a red detuned pump pulse is applied to transfer the amplified state of the mechanical oscillator to the microwave field at a rate \( \Gamma_m \), which has no dependence on the phase of the mechanical or microwave field. This allows for a filter with phase independent gain to be applied, and prevents the filter from influencing the measurements. There is essentially no additional cost in terms of added noise since the transfer stage is performed after the high gain amplification pulse.

VII. EXPERIMENTAL APPARATUS

A. Electromechanical device

The electromechanical device is mounted to the base plate of a dilution refrigerator and held at a temperature of \( T \leq 18 \) mK. The design and operation of the electromechanical device is described in [? ]. Table I shows the relevant parameters of the electromechanical device.

B. Arbitrary microwave signal generation

We generate the red and blue detuned microwave tones \( \omega_{\pm} = \omega_c \pm \omega_m \) with two separate microwave generators (Agilent E8267D). The microwave generators are pulsed on and off with an arbitrary waveform generator (Tektonix AWG 5014C). To control the temporal envelope of the signals the microwave generators act as local oscillators on two separate double-balanced mixers (Marki-0626H), and the IF port of each mixer is controlled with a baseband signal from the AWG. We use square pulses with Gaussian edges (\( \sigma = 200 \) ns) to avoid driving the mechanical oscillator into a coherent state. The pulses are then sent through two cavity filters to reduce pump fluctuations at \( \omega_c \). After filtering the pulses are split into three separate lines. The first two lines are sent into the fridge to pump the electromechanical circuit and to cancel the reflected microwave pumps. The third line is mixed back down against the local oscillator for independent measurement of the phase of the red and blue detuned microwave tones. See Fig. 4 for a full diagram of the experimental schematic.

The AWG controls the timing of all pulses, and runs at a 4 Hz repetition rate when measuring thermal states (to allow the mechanical oscillator to reach equilibrium with its environment) and a 100 Hz repetition rate when measuring squeezed and vacuum states. To maintain phase coherence between all signals, the frequencies of all generators are set to integer multiples of 100 Hz.

VIII. FIGURES AND TABLES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value and units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \omega_c/2\pi )</td>
<td>Circuit resonant frequency</td>
<td>7.376 841 GHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \kappa/2\pi )</td>
<td>Circuit decay rate</td>
<td>3.4 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \kappa_{\text{ext}}/2\pi )</td>
<td>Circuit decay rate into the transmission line</td>
<td>3.1 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \omega_m/2\pi )</td>
<td>Mechanical resonant frequency</td>
<td>9.3608 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Gamma_m/2\pi )</td>
<td>Mechanical decay rate</td>
<td>21 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( g_0/2\pi )</td>
<td>Electromechanical coupling</td>
<td>287 Hz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FIG. 1. Typical measured histograms when inferring squeezed state variance. To estimate squeezing a thermal state (magenta points, $n_m = 36$) is compared with a sideband cooled state (black points, $n_{sb} > 0.01$) and a dissipatively squeezed state (blue). We estimate and diagonalize the covariance matrix to retrieve the variances of the three data sets for comparison in the $y$-factor measurement. This measurement was performed using only the blue detuned pump to simultaneously measure both quadratures, thus vacuum fluctuations are added to all of these measurements.

FIG. 2. Tomography $Y$-factor measurement. The blue points show $\text{Var}(n_m = 36)/\text{Var}(\text{squeezed})$ as a function of tomography angle using a nearly noiseless single quadrature measurement. The red points show $\text{Var}(n_m = 36)/\text{Var}(\text{sideband cooled})$ using a nearly noiseless single quadrature measurement. The black points show $\text{Var}(n_m = 36)/\text{Var}(\text{sideband cooled})$ using a two-quadrature measurement. The larger the ratio the lower the variance of the cooled state, demonstrating reduction in total variance due to both single quadrature measurement and squeezing.
FIG. 3. (a) Ratio of sideband power $P_m$ to power circulating in cavity $P_c$ due to red-detuned tone. This measurement is used to extract electromechanical coupling rate $g_0 = 2\pi \cdot (287 \pm 11)$ and verify the steady state thermal occupancy of the mechanical oscillator. (b) Temperature sweep using blue-detuned pump as a quantum limited amplifier with a lowest fridge temperature of 18 mK. (c) Same as (b), but with a lowest fridge temperature of 16 mK. In all cases the mechanical oscillator remains thermalized to the base temperature of the fridge.
FIG. 4. Full experimental schematic. (a) Electromechanical device (b) dc actuation line that allows for the tuning of the mechanical and microwave resonant frequencies, which is not used in this work. (c) rf actuation line allowing for rf modulation of the mechanical resonant frequency, which is not used in this work. (d) Room temperature microwave control and measurement electronics.