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Adversarial images are samples that are intentionally modified to deceive machine learning systems. They are widely used in applications such as CAPTCHAs to help distinguish legitimate human users from bots. However, the noise introduced during the adversarial image generation process degrades the perceptual quality and introduces artificial colours; making it also difficult for humans to classify images and recognize objects. In this letter, we propose a method to enhance the perceptual quality of these adversarial images. The proposed method is attack type agnostic and could be used in association with the existing attacks in the literature. Our experiments show that the generated adversarial images have lower Euclidean distance values while maintaining the same adversarial attack performance. Distances are reduced by 5.88% to 41.27% with an average reduction of 22% over the different attack and network types.

Introduction: Completely Automated Public Turing test to tell Computers and Humans Apart - CAPTCHA, is a commonly used method to validate human users. Image classification based tests are intentionally designed to make bots fail to classify images. Deep Neural Network (DNN) based methods [1, 2], which have recently been proven to be successful in automated image classification, have been found to be useful to bypass CAPTCHA security process. However, these methods are vulnerable to specially generated adversarial examples [3], which can be used in CAPTCHAs and similar applications. An adversarial attack perturbs the input image by adding a non-random, network and input specific noise, to make its automated classification difficult. This artificial noise also makes it more difficult for the legitimate users to classify the adversarial images especially when they are time limited [4]. So, two desired attributes of adversarial images are: (i) they should successfully fool the machine learning systems, (ii) they should introduce as little perceptual noise as possible so that they do not pose any additional challenge to the humans. In this letter, we propose a method for perceptual enhancement of adversarial images to make them closer to their noise-free originals and easier to process by humans.

Proposed Method: The inputs of conventional DNNs are RGB images and the attacks add noise to all three channels separately. Adding independent and different amounts of noise to these different channels results in artificial colours being introduced as shown in Fig. 1a, 1b, 1c. This noise is then added as a visually distractive coloured snow-like high-frequency noise [5]. On the other hand, main distinguishing features (such as shape and texture) as a visually distractive coloured snow-like high-frequency noise [5]. On the other hand, main distinguishing features (such as shape and texture)

As conventional networks work with RGB images, the adversarial noise calculation inherently makes use of R, G and B channels. For the coloured snow-like noise calculation, N noise is lower due to the subsequent scaling of chrominance values and the use of Gaussian kernel.

Algorithm 1 Iteratively Finding the Minimum Adversarial Noise
1: Convert the original image \( I^{R,G,B} \) into YUV: \( I^{Y,U,V} \)
2: Initialise the best distance \( L_2 \) to a high number
3: while Attack is successful do
4: Run the attack to generate adversarial noise image \( N^{R,G,B} \)
5: Convert \( N^{R,G,B} \) into YUV: \( N^{Y,U,V} \)
6: Scale the noise in U and V channels by a factor of \( \alpha \), apply Gaussian smoothing \( G \) to all noise channels and construct the adversarial image:

\[
\begin{align*}
A^Y &= I^Y + G(N^Y) \\
A^U &= I^U + G(\alpha \times N^U) \\
A^V &= I^V + G(\alpha \times N^V)
\end{align*}
\]

7: Convert \( A^{Y,U,V} \) into RGB: \( A^{R,G,B} \)
8: Calculate the new distance \( L_2 \) using \( A^{R,G,B} \) and \( I^{R,G,B} \)
9: if \( L_2 < L_2' \) and attack is successful then
10: Store the best attack:
11: \( A^{R,G,B} = A^{R,G,B} \)
12: Store the minimum \( L_2 \) value as the new minimum
13: \( L_2' = L_2 \)
14: Decrease the attack strength (\( \epsilon \) for FGSM and MIM, maximum iteration for C&W \( L_2 \))
15: else return \( A^{R,G,B} \)
16: end if
17: end while

Dataset: NIPS 2017: Adversarial Learning Development Set [6] consists of 1000 images having 299x299 resolution. Each image corresponds to a different ImageNet 1000 category. Image pixels are scaled to the range \([0,1]\). All the images are used in the experiments and overall \( L_2 \) distances are calculated as the average throughout all the images.

Experimental Setup: \( L_0, L_2 \), and \( L_{\infty} \) distances are mostly used to measure the perturbation added to the original image. \( L_0 \) distance counts the number of pixels which were altered during the adversarial process. \( L_{\infty} \) distance shows the maximum change of the perturbation. Since our method aims perceptual enhancement, we calculate \( L_2 \) metric using all the channels [4] in order to measure the total perturbation. In this equation, \( I \) is the original image, \( A \) is the adversarial image, \( w \) is the width and, \( h \) is the height of the image. \( L_2 \) distance is a better indicator of the overall adversarial noise (high frequency noise which is distractive to human visual system) compared to \( L_0 \) and \( L_{\infty} \).

\[
L_2 = \left( \sum_{c=0}^{R,G,B} \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} \sum_{j=0}^{w-1} (I_{c,i,j} - A_{c,i,j})^2 \right)^{1/2}
\]

Fast Gradient Sign Method (FGSM) [7]. Momentum Iterative Method (MIM) [8] and Carlini&Wagner L2 (C&W L2) [9] attacks were used for experimental evaluation of the proposed method as they are well-known milestone attacks.

FGSM [7] is a one-step gradient based approach which is designed to be fast. For a given image \( I \) and corresponding target \( y \), it calculates the gradient of the loss, \( \nabla_I J(I,y) \), generally cross-entropy, with respect to \( I \) and multiplies negative of the gradient sign with a constant \( \epsilon \) to generate the adversarial noise. This noise is then added to the image \( I \) to obtain the adversarial example \( A \).

\[
A = I - \epsilon \text{sign}(\nabla_I J(I,y))
\]

MIM [8] is an iterative version of FGSM. It is designed to find the minimum adversarial example in \( T \) iterations. At each iteration, MIM updates the accumulated gradient \( g_t+1 \) by using the current \( L_1 \) normalised gradient of loss, softmax cross-entropy, and previous accumulated gradient \( g_t \) multiplied by a decay factor \( \beta \). By this way, a momentum is introduced to be more resilient to small bumps, narrow valleys, and poor local minima or maxima. Then the next adversarial example \( A_{t+1} \) is obtained by subtracting \( L_2 \) normalized \( g_{t+1} \) multiplied with a constant \( \beta = \frac{1}{T} \).
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where $Z$ is the activation function and $\kappa$ is the confidence parameter, (how confident the classifier should be that the generated adversarial image is a sample of the target class). In this work, we use a non-targeted setup so that $t$ is any incorrect class.

Cleverhans module [10] was used for implementing the attacks. Each attack was trained in an untargeted setup and defended on three different pretrained network architectures: Inception v3 (IncV3) [11], InceptionResNet v2 (IncresV2) [12], and ResNet50 v3 (Res50V3) [13].

The experiments aim that all attacks are successful, i.e., the adversarial image generated by the attack network is misclassified by the defence network. To this end, $\epsilon$ parameter is used for FGSM and MIM attacks and iteration parameter is used for C&W $L_2$ to find the minimum $L_2$ distance improvements with respect to base attack for different attack types and networks.
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**Fig. 1** A sample image, its adversarial counterparts obtained using different attacks and with the proposed method.

- **a** Original image
- **b** Baseline adversarial image (FGSM attack)
- **c** Adversarial image obtained with $\alpha = 0$ (FGSM attack)
- **d** Baseline adversarial image (C&W $L_2$ attack)
- **e** Adversarial image obtained with $\alpha = 0$ (C&W $L_2$ attack)
- **f** Baseline adversarial image (MIM attack)
- **g** Adversarial image obtained with $\alpha = 0$ (MIM attack)
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**Fig. 2** $L_2$ distance improvements with respect to base attack for different attack types and networks.
question. For MIM, \( \alpha = 0.2 \) gives the best results for all different types of networks in question.

The results show that the proposed method works independent of the attack type and the network model and reduces the \( L_2 \) distances. Even though C&W \( L_2 \) and MIM attacks are optimized to minimize \( L_2 \) distance by design, our method results in still lower \( L_2 \) values. While this might sound contradictory, it has to be noted that due to the nature of the networks, this optimization is done on RGB values in the original attacks and might not be optimal when YUV domain is considered. The proposed method reduces the noise in U and V channels which is compensated by increasing noise in Y channel. This strategy reduces the amount of perceptible colour noise as well as reducing the total noise as indicated by increasing noise in \( Y \) channel. This would allow positioning the Gaussian kernel to overlap better with the object position.

Conclusion: We proposed an attack and network type agnostic perceptual enhancement method by converting the adversarial noise to YUV colour space and reducing the chrominance noise and applying Gaussian smoothing to the adversarial noise. The adversarial images are not only perceptually better but also have lower \( L_2 \) distances to the original images. Conventional networks are trained using images in RGB colour space and inherently, the optimization is done in this colour space. In the future, these networks could be trained using images in YUV colour space. Then using these networks, attacks could be done intrinsically in YUV space.

The proposed method assumes that the object is located near the centre of the image and Gaussian kernel is positioned at the centre of the image. However the object could be off-centre or could be located in a different position which might invalidate this assumption. In the future, class activation maps [14], which could be obtained directly through the attack network, can be used to estimate the centre position of the object. This would allow positioning the Gaussian kernel to overlap better with the object position.
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