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Abstract—Aerial Base Stations (ABSs) have gained significant importance in the next generation of wireless networks for accommodating mobile ground users and flash crowds with high convenience and quality. However, to achieve an efficient ABS network, many factors pertaining to ABS flight, governing laws and information transmissions have to be studied. In this article, multi-drone communications are studied in three major aspects, survivability, coverage and mobility laws, which optimize the multi-tier ABS network to avoid issues related to inter-cell interference, deficient energy, frequent handovers, and lifetime. Moreover, this article discusses several optimization constraints along with the proposed solution for management of the hierarchical ABS network. In addition, the article includes simulation results of hierarchical ABS allocations for handling a set of users over a defined geographical area. Further, several open issues and challenges are presented to provide deep insights into the ABS network management and its utility framework.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Due to recent advancement of vehicular technology in 5G, Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs), commonly known as drones, have gained a significant consideration to be used as Aerial Base Stations (ABSs) for facilitating cellular connectivity to ground mobile users [1][2]. To support a high density of users under flash crowd traffic, in the events of concerts, mass gatherings, cultural festivals, and sports, on-demand ABS deployments ensure offloading of traffic in Terrestrial Cellular Network (TCN) [3]. Multi-tier drone architecture complements TCN to serve the users under high shadowing and interference effects and is well-studied in [4]. However, this work only lists the challenges associated with the multi-tier UAVs and discuss the feasibility of operations. It does not provide any collective solution for the core requirements of mobility, coverage, and survivability of UAVs, which are the essential parts of the work presented in this article.

ABS network offers certain benefits over TCN, such as dynamic and adaptive cell coverage, being deployed as a flying relay with drone cells integrated with macro and micro cells, where drone cell coverage can be changed by varying the drone power and altitude depending on the data traffic. However, severe interference from macro, micro, and other drone cells have to be minimized using interference mitigation techniques and drone trajectory planning to avoid cell overlap. Moreover, Total Cost of Ownership (TCO) of mobile operators is reduced by integrating ABS network with TCN, since energy requirements of ABSs are lower compared to terrestrial base stations and site availability for cell planning is not required. However, ABS is not the only use case for UAVs in 5G. UAVs play important role in public safety networks used by military, police, fire, and emergency medical services in case of natural disasters, search and rescue operations, surveillance and reconnaissance. Reference [5] provide details of European project ABSOLUTE that deals with designing and prototyping of high capacity IP data network for public safety scenarios using drones. Also in [6], UAVs equipped with Internet-of-Things (IoT) devices provide services with crowd surveillance through facial recognition tools and cloud-based processing.

There are several research domains that are currently being studied in wireless communication while integrating with UAV networks that improve the services for 5G. Artificial Intelligence (AI) can be used as a powerful tool to reap the benefits of ABS network by addressing several challenges such as efficient Xhaul and trajectory planning with cooperative and secured multi-UAVs data transmission with machine learning algorithms for predicting on-demand deployment of drone network. Another domain of research facilitated by the use of UAVs is Mobile Edge Computing (MEC) enabled Fog Radio Access Network (F-RAN), where functions such as signal processing and computing, resource management and allocation, distributed storing and caching abilities are performed at UAVs which act as a moving cloudlet.

However, to accomplish aspects of ABS network, well-defined
coverage and mobility controls are of essential importance. There is very limited research for UAVs on these issues. In [7], the authors propose a distributed control algorithm for unmanned aerial and ground vehicles to perform desired tasks with minimum cost functions. The cost function for each agent or vehicle is different and results are validated with experimental tests. In [8], the authors address a similar problem with different cost function which is based on the health state of UAVs. Many authors have addressed the problem of coverage and mobility control in wireless sensor networks based on different objective and cost functions. However, to the best of authors’ knowledge, this article is unique in its approach for collectively focussing the mobility, coverage aspects and survivability of multi-tier ABSs.

II. Multi-Tier ABSs and Hierarchy

Multi-tier ABSs allow the use of drones as Macro Base Station (MBS) to facilitate the connectivity to the users with the similar capacity to that of a traditional MBS. There exist a plethora of approaches which fixates on a single layer of drones to enhance the Quality of Service/Quality of Experience (QoS/QoE) for end users while using drones as Access Points (APs). Such solutions have gained quite an attention because of their theoretical idealizations. Amalgamation of drones and traditional setup is not that convenient as assumed by the existing solutions. To counterfeit such challenge, it is suggested by different researchers and organizations to use drones as a network component and manage traffic by using drones similar to a normal network node. However, there are no concurrent studies which involve the evaluation of drones’ behavior as well as its properties and maneuverability while deploying them in the network.

Such assumptions are unable to identify the exact role of drones and their inclusion in TCN. In order to reduce the considerable impact on TCO, this article recommends using drones in multiple layers similar to TCN and then fixing technologies and mechanisms of sharing the load between both distinctly operating networks. As illustrated in Fig. [1] N-layers can be formed for incorporating drones in TCN with Tier-2 drones acting similar to MBS; and High Altitude Platform System (HAPS) and Tier-1 drones facilitate the movement and control over the underlying network with Tier-2 drones and entities of TCN. It is to be noted that the type and the make of drone pose a considerable effect on the performance of the network as it is easier to regulate the network with rotor-wing drones, whereas fixed-wing drones require specialized algorithms for generating the waypoints.

A. Problem Statement

Single tier ABSs are easier to manage, control and operate irrespective of the scalability in terms of the number of drones functional at the same time over a specified area. In contrast to this, multi-tier ABSs help to define a new set of network architecture with a wide range of capacity, coverage, and operations, but with a complex formulation. Such layered architecture, if optimized successfully, offers a large number of applications through elongated connectivity. Multi-tier ABSs suffer from the critical issues of survivability, coverage enhancement, and mobility management. The problem with survivability is dependent on the resource depletion of drones, which leads to its failure and non-functioning after a particular period. The problem with coverage is related to positioning of drones and network planning, which causes issues related to fading, interference and signal distortion. The problem with mobility is related to shifting of services between the drones and allocation of resources, failure of which leads to an isolated network with increased overheads. Thus, it is desired to design a multi-tier ABSs because of their capabilities but with a resolution of issues related to survivability, coverage and mobility management.

B. Scope of this article

This paper considers the scenario of multi-tier ABSs while fixating a solution for optimized positioning and maneuvering of drones for maximizing the probability of connectivity and likelihood of mapping between the UAVs and the demand areas through a novel N-block recursive learning (NBRL) framework. In addition, this paper discusses the optimization issues and constraints related to the laid requirements of multi-tier ABSs. Moreover, a simulation case study is presented, which shows significant gains observed for the different set of metrics while supporting communications between the ABS, designated TCN-MBS and a set of users in a defined geographical area.

III. Optimization Issues and Constraints of Deploying Multi-Tier ABSs

To fulfill the functional requirements of multi-tier ABSs and TCN, several optimization issues have to be taken care off. There are several variables that need to be optimized to balance the trade-offs in the system model. These optimized variables are described as follows:

A. Dynamic Cell Coverage Area and Trajectory Planning

One of the interesting features of ABS network over TCN is its dynamically changing cellular coverage which depends mainly on ABS’s altitude, power and propagation environment. Reference [9] studies the optimal altitude of ABS in Suburban, Urban, Dense Urban and Urban High Rise environments for maximum cell coverage. Also, the probability of Line-of-Sight (LoS) is an important factor for an ABS which depends on the type of propagation environment and elevation angle between the ABS and the ground user. A closed-form expression for the probability of LoS is also provided in [9]. To obtain appropriate coverage control, the major design constraints that need to be optimized are ABS altitude and power. However, in multi-tier architecture, ABS cell coverage would be affected by the intercell interference from other ABS cells, microcells and macrocell. Therefore, appropriate trajectory planning of ABS is needed to avoid cell overlaps taking into account the UAVs’ flying speed, direction, and acceleration with certain perturbation that may arise due to wind gusts, which also brings another constraint for mobility control.

B. Energy Consumption

Energy utilization is one of the most important factors on which all the functions of ABS network depends and it plays a vital role for survivability, coverage and mobility control. UAVs are energy critical machines, therefore alternative sources
of power such as mounted solar panels, stop and recharge techniques, radio frequency power sources are currently being researched and tested by deployment. Reference [10] describes this aspect in further details. Also, energy consumption by drones is divided into two functions- drone flight with onboard processing and information transmission by mounted Long Term Evolution (LTE) base station. The power required for on-drone flight is higher than the transmission power. However, the power needed for fixed-wing aircrafts is lower than the rotary ones of the same size and payload carrying capacity but rotary wing UAVs can provide better coverage with lower hand-offs and Doppler effects due to their hovering capability at different altitudes whereas fixed-wing UAVs need to fly at velocity above a certain threshold to maintain stable flight, which causes higher Doppler and hand-offs.

C. ABS Placement

ABS placement depends mainly on the user density requesting for services and its power. However, some other factors affecting the placement includes the probability of LoS with the ground mobile user, type of propagation environment and implied collision avoidance techniques with other ABS or surrounding infrastructure. With a priori placement decision, ABSs discover the optimal trajectory and altitude towards the required set of ground users. Therefore, optimal ABS placement is needed to achieve coverage and mobility control.

D. Fast Handovers

Handover is another crucial optimization concerns with ABSs. As described in the previous section, fixed-wing UAVs tend to have higher handovers than rotary wing UAVs. Since UAVs provides better coverage, it is easier to consider existing LTE handover standards for managing handoffs that arise from the high mobility of users. Software Defined Networking (SDN) can be an efficient solution to handle handovers, allowing for efficient control, management, and cooperation between the UAVs and the users. Also, there is a major requirement for handling vertical handovers if one considers the differences in the technologies used by the underlying infrastructure. For handover management between the infrastructure and the drone, successful techniques have been performed by Qualcomm in their practical tests using high and low power terrestrial BS by forming macrocell and microcells, respectively.

E. Resource Allocation and Management

Appropriate allocation and management of the radio resources ensure the survivability of the drone. The resources addressed herein are for both Physical (PHY) and Network (NET) layers such as available spectrum, Physical Resource Blocks (PRBs) of LTE grid, channel state information and capacity. Apart from resources required to accomplish the ABS transmission and reception, resources are also needed to achieve successful flight and maneuvers which depends on the energy efficiency and waypoint prediction for optimal trajectory. Also, coordination of ABS network is needed to prevent the use of same PHY and NET resources for interference mitigation.

F. Quality-of-Service (QoS)

QoS defines the overall performance of the network, which includes the ability of the network to achieve maximum bandwidth, end-to-end latency, cloud computing service and deal with network performance elements such as error rate, and jitter. To achieve the appropriate QoS, apart from data packet and bandwidth management mechanisms, data traffic from the mobile users must be differentiated by following the priority of requested services. Based on the geographical zones demanding for prioritized data, ABS altitude and trajectory should be optimized to provide best possible service to the users. Therefore, QoS serves as one of the most important metrics for survivability, coverage and mobility control laws that need to be optimized.

G. Drone Security

In addition to above discussions, ABSs need to be secured in their operations. ABS security is defined in terms of protection against privacy, trust and other types of cyber attacks. Eliminating drones from being cyber-bullies and preventing them from exposing the network are the major issues for ABS-enabled cellular setup. An insecure network formation with drones may expose the ABS and their functions that result in over-utilization of resources, which in turn affect the survivability, coverage control, and mobility laws for using hierarchical ABSs. Confidentiality, integrity, authenticity, and availability of ABS at all times are the major aspects of drone security. Moreover, provisioning of component abstraction and limited accessibility to operational configurations help in avoiding the exploitation of different vulnerabilities, which might have been left during the designing or the deployment phase.

IV. SURVIVABILITY, COVERAGE AND MOBILITY LAWS WITH N-BLOCK RECURSIVE LEARNING (NBRL) FRAMEWORK

Despite the advantages of UAVs in the next generation of wireless networks, there are certain limitations on their full-fledged use, which include, control over the movement of the UAVs by identification of waypoints, identification of appropriate location to increase the view over geographical area, number of users to be shifted over UAVs, and identification of the number of UAVs to perform a particular task [11]. All these are optimization issues and can be controlled by forming laws for each of the problems.

To resolve the above-described issues, this section introduces the concepts of survivability, coverage and mobility laws for efficient localization of multi-ABSs and non-failure based network formation for supporting QoS to the end users. In addition, NBRL framework is proposed, which takes into account the derived policies on survivability, coverage, and mobility for fixating the final decisions on the operations of hierarchical ABSs.

A. Survivability

The survivability is defined through resource-based policing, which depends on the prediction and estimation of the lifetime of each drone based on its maneuverability as well as consumption of available resources. At first, the network is assumed to operate with a set $S$ of MBS whose coverage is to be improved by
deploying a set $D$ of drones in $N$ tiers. Now, the survivability of the system is obtained by modifying the Lusser’s formula [12], according to which, the survivability of hierarchical ABSs can be obtained as $S^{(t)}_T = \prod_{i=1}^N \left( S_C^i \cdot \frac{c_A}{D_A} \right)_j$, where $D_A$ is the active drones in a given layer, and $S_C^i = \prod_{j=1}^{m_i} \left( S_A^i \cdot \frac{C_A}{T_j} \right)$ is the operational time. Here, $C_A$ is the total connections and $C_A$ is active connections between the nodes, which can be expressed as a function of different metrics, such that $C_A = f_t (\beta, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R}, \tau, \lambda)$.

B. Coverage Control

For coverage laws, a Voronoi-based strategy, inspired by Córtes et al. [13], is considered, which aims at the formation of control laws for UAVs. The proposed approach utilizes the centroid, mass, and polar moment of inertia to allow efficient placement and coordination of multiple UAVs as expressed in [13], however w.r.t. the movement of multi-ABSs.

The 3D placements, as well as controller selection, is performed to keep a check on the mobility of ABS through a layered module. Note that ABSs in Tier-1 are responsible for the majority of calculations and sharing the details with the underlying ABSs (Tier-2, Tier-3, ..., Tier-N). The individual evaluations are dominated only in the case of isolations.

The coverage model is developed with respect to a single ABS, which is then extended to the entire network. For the geographical division of the area, the region under ABS is marked by Voronoi constellations denoted by a convex polytope, $\mathbb{P}$. Coverage control is obtained by location and placement optimization of the ABS, which can be attained by managing the polytope divisions and allocating UAVs according to their physical properties. The polytope operates over 2D coordinates of geographical areas $(x, y)$, which are obtained by marking 3D location of a drone to its corresponding 2D point on the ground. The polytope constellations for entire area $\mathbb{P}$ is obtained as the union $(\cup)$ of sub-polytopes i.e. $\mathbb{P} = \{a_1 \cup a_2 \cup \ldots \cup a_k\}$, where $k$ is the number of divisions of the area $A$. The placement of $|D|$ UAVs in the entire polytope $\mathbb{P}$ is controlled by the location polytope $\mathbb{L}$, such that $\mathbb{L} = \{L_1 \cup L_2 \cup \ldots \cup L_D\}$. For controlled mapping, $\mathbb{L}$ is also a convex polytope. The mapping between the two polytopes $\mathbb{L}$ and $\mathbb{P}$ is obtained by matching points $Q$ in $\mathbb{L}$ to the points $G$ in $\mathbb{P}$, such that after mapping, $Q$ is a subset of $G$.

In a network, it is difficult to perfectly match each drone to the desired location. Thus, there may exist an error in the location of drone, which is marked by some error correction $\epsilon$ such that the actual location of a drone for $L_1$ is changed to $L_1 \pm \epsilon_1$. All the sub-polytopes resulting from drone to area mappings.
are reunited to form the final Voronoi set \( V \). Considering this mapping, the number of users around the MBS region is marked as high demand area, medium demand area, low demand area, and no demand areas as shown in Fig. 3 and set \( V \) can be written as \( V = \{ v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k \} \), where \( v_k \) denotes the submapping between the drones and the \( k \)th demand area. The location marking from these sets can be determined by similar strategy used by Córtés et al. [13], however, by re-planning their Gaussian function with the area under displacement generated by the movement of each UAV.

Fig. 4: An illustration of the N-Block Recursive Learning Framework (NBRL) used for updating the policies and generating governing laws for survivability, coverage, and mobility using recursive patterns. This model can be implemented on the Tier-1 ABS (or any central entity), which manages the calculations and passes on the information to connected UAVs. The model can be implemented on each UAV also; however, such a deployment may consume excessive energy.

C. Mobility Laws

The mobility laws are operated over control laws by taking into account the survivability of multiple drones as well as their operational hierarchy. As performed earlier, the mobility laws are also defined for a single Tier-1 ABS and then extended to its corresponding ABS in the same tier. For mobility laws, the movement of drones is managed through optimal placement based on Voronoi constellations. The mobility laws remain similar in all the tiers of drones irrespective of their functionality. However, the primary aim of connectivity is maintained throughout the movement. This helps to avoid issues related to network partitioning as well as isolations.

At first, the UAVs are entitled to move according to their survivability factors and the request from the area, which is marked by demand zones of Voronoi. The demand zones of Voronoi provide a non-overlapping placement of UAVs along with the generation of estimated waypoints to control their movement.

The calculation of area covered by each of the deployed UAV allows removal of possible overlaps with an aim of maximum coverage with the minimum number of UAVs. The Tier-1 ABS is coordinated by its serving TCN-MBS, whereas the next tier UAVs are coordinated by their previous tier ABSs, and in the case of NLoS or absence of coordinating node, the available ground infrastructure supports the coordination.

For better understanding, the mobility laws are derived considering two UAVs, which can be easily extended to \([D]\) number of UAVs. Let \( D_i \) and \( D_j \) be the two UAVs deployed with three different possibilities of no overlapping, completely overlapping with difference in altitude, and the partial overlapping. Since, the waypoints of UAVs are decided by their corresponding ABSs, TCN-MBS or any available infrastructure, complete overlap of two or more UAVs is not observed throughout this deployment. However, there can be a case of partial overlap which also affects the coverage area and causes interference, and also increases the number of UAVs required to cover the entire MBS zone. Thus, overlapping of UAVs \( (O_A) \) irrespective of their tiers can be represented as \( 0 \% \leq O_A \leq 100 \% \). Now, to set mobility laws, the limits are defined for the total area covered by each of the two UAVs such that, \( D_i = \{ D_i, x_{\min}, D_i, x_{\max}, D_i, y_{\min}, D_i, y_{\max} \} \), and \( D_j = \{ D_j, x_{\min}, D_j, x_{\max}, D_j, y_{\min}, D_j, y_{\max} \} \). The overlap for the \( x \) and \( y \) coordinates is calculated as \( X_o = \max(0, \min(D_i, x_{\max}, D_j, x_{\max}) - \max(D_i, x_{\min}, D_j, x_{\min})) \), and \( Y_o = \max(0, \min(D_i, y_{\max}, D_j, y_{\max}) - \max(D_i, y_{\min}, D_j, y_{\min})) \). Using these, \( O_A \) can be expressed as a Boolean, i.e. 1 for \( X_o > 0 \) and \( Y_o > 0 \), which refers to overlapped movements, and 0 otherwise, which refers to non-overlapped movements of UAVs of single tier. Similar formulations can be extended for expanding mobility laws to inter-tier UAVs.

D. NBRL Framework

This paper introduces NBRL framework, which helps to provide update policies for survivability, coverage and mobility laws, as shown in Fig. 4. The framework helps to get periodic information from the N-Tiers in a recursive manner until the required criteria of operations are not satisfied. Here, required criteria refer to the governing conditions for different laws associated with the successful operations of multi-tier ABSs.

NBRL is a block-based framework which can be extended for any number of laws and metrics; however, the system requires parsing for evaluating the new set of policies from other nodes in the same or different tiers. Moreover, NBRL framework accounts for the initial positioning of UAVs on the basis of demand area to Voronoi mapping and checks for the covered area along with mobility management of ABS in the range specified for their tier. This framework takes into account the area covered by the entire fleet of UAVs in a single zone, and then, checks for coverage control, survivability options, and mobility laws. In the case of maximum coverage and maximum survivability, the setup continues, whereas, in the case of non-mapping of the demand areas, the UAVs reshuffling and Voronoi re-mapping are performed to optimize the UAV placement and to maximize services without impacting the mobility laws. The framework is recursive and obtains its update in \( N, N-1, N-2, \ldots, 1 \) pattern, which is iterated until the conditions selected for operations of ABSs are not close enough to the maximum likelihood for the associated laws.

V. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

The proposed approach is evaluated numerically using a sample network setting in MATLAB. The analyses are carried in an area of 2500x2500 m² with each MBS having one active Tier-1 ABS with a communication range of 1000 m. The total
number of tiers is set at 2, with Tier-2 ABSs serving similar to the APs. The maximum number of Tier-2 UAVs used by the proposed approach is set to 20. The initial area assigned to each UAV is 1000 m². The number of users varied between 1000 to 2000 per MBS region and each user made a service request using Poisson distribution with λ varying between 5 and 10. The flying range of Tier-2 UAVs is set between 200 feet and 500 feet with the theoretic constraints of Free Space Propagation model.
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Fig. 5: Simulation study (a) Accurately allocated Tier-2 UAVs vs. Total number of Tier-1 ABSs. (b) Cumulative probability for handling maximum users vs. Total number of accurately allocated Tier-2 UAVs. (c) Probability of handling users after 1st iteration vs. Total number of Tier-1 ABSs. (d) Likelihood of UAVs allocation vs. Total iterations. (e) Comparison between the proposed approach, HMADSO, PSO, and V-PSO for the number of iterations required to converge at a solution.

Initially, the proposed approach is tested for accuracy in allocating Tier-2 UAVs w.r.t. Tier-1 ABSs to their respective zones using location optimization of Voronoi, as shown in Fig. 5a. The results show that the proposed approach is able to allocate Tier-2 UAVs with accuracy varying between 90% and 40% depending on the arrival rate of service requests and iterations as well as the available number of Tier-1 ABSs. After maximum iterations and with more users (λ = 10), the upper limit for accuracy is 80%, which is 10% lower than the case of lesser users (λ = 5). Fig. 5b shows the cumulative probability curve with upper and lower bounds for a maximum number of users handled by accurately allocated Tier-1 UAVs.

With more UAVs assigned to accurate locations, the probability of handling the users also increases. To showcase the performance, the results are evaluated for handling the users after the first iteration. Fig. 5c shows that the scenarios with maximum UAVs allocated accurately in the first iteration provide better coverage. Network with λ = 5 provides 83.9% and 84.9% better coverage than the networks with λ = 8 and λ = 10, respectively. Finally, the results are recorded for the likelihood of accurately moving and placing UAVs in respective zones. The results in Fig. 5d show that the proposed approach is capable of maximizing the likelihood of maximum coverage after fewer iterations. Furthermore, the proposed approach is compared with popular algorithms like Hill Myna and Desert Sparrow Optimization (HMADSO) [14] and two versions of Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) [15] algorithms as shown in Fig. 5e. The proposed approach with direct facilitation from the centroid-Voronoi constellations shows 35.7%, 91.8%, 88.3% better convergence in terms of the number of iterations required to accurately map the UAVs in comparison with HMADSO, PSO, and PSO-V, respectively. Here, PSO is operated by using similar centroid-user modeling (Poisson process) as used by the proposed approach, whereas V-PSO is the vector-PSO, which is operated with velocity-distance variation for global positioning. These results suggest the high convergence of the proposed approach towards an optimal solution. Moreover, these results are evidence that it is desirable to consider survivability, coverage
and mobility laws, irrespective of their mechanisms while using UAVs in multiple tiers in association with general TCN.

VI. DISCUSSIONS, LESSONS LEARNED AND OPEN ISSUES

ABS networks enhance the potential of TCN while resolving their performance issues and assisting them with additional services. Over the past few years, many research organizations have identified the tremendous amount of applications for using single tier ABS networks with multiple drones. Some of them have also emphasized the use of HAPS to facilitate the workflow of the network. However, the majority of them neglected the governing laws of using multi-ABSs in a hierarchy. This article emphasizes the need for operational laws, which include survivability, coverage, and mobility as core components.

The network formulations, result evaluations, and technological discussions provide an evidence of enhancement in the functioning of the network by the inclusion of multi-tier ABSs. Such an inclusion allows better lifetime, better coverage and also helps to control the variations due to the high mobility of aerial nodes. Finally, in order to summarize the understandings of the proposed work and research to follow, an illustration is presented in Fig. 6, which shows the direction of research and issues to be resolved for a fully-functional utilization of hierarchical multi-ABSs network.

There are several challenges to resolve in this direction of research such as power utilization, self-organization of drones in tiers, ultra-high reliability and ultra-low latency, mobility management and enhancement of QoS/JoQe for the users. Solutions like Narrow-Band IoT (NB-IoT), Long Range Wide Area Network (LoRaWAN), Low Power Wide Area Network (LPWAN), LTE-Machine Type Communications (LTE-MTC), Simultaneous Wireless Information and Power Transfer (SWIPT), Multiple-Input and Multiple-Output (MIMO) or Massive-MIMO, Massive-IoT can be used in the formation of highly survivable ABS networks. The research issues related to the effective utilization of these technologies and their suitable incorporation for drone communications are still open and have a long way to follow.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

This article focuses on the crucial aspects of survivability, coverage and mobility laws for the multi-ABSs network. The proposed approach provides an optimal solution for these three factors while maximizing the probability of connectivity and likelihood of mapping multi-tier ABSs and underlying users. Use of dynamic nodes, such as drones, not only provides flexibility of operations but also has a considerable impact on the Total Cost of ownership. With hierarchical formations, multi-ABSs can be operated in tiers, which allow significant control over the network and enhance the overall performance. Results presented in this article show that the proposed approach accounts for maximizing the accuracy in using multi-tier ABSs according to the geographical area with lesser iterations. In addition, the article also presented details on the several optimization issues, overview of existing solutions, available technologies, and research to follow for using ABS with Terrestrial Cellular Networks.
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