Abstract

In 2017, G. P. de Brito and co-workers suggested a covariant generalization of the Kempf-Mangano algebra in a (D+1)-dimensional Minkowski space-time [A. Kempf and G. Mangano, Phys. Rev. D 55, 7909 (1997); G. P. de Brito, P. I. C. Caneda, Y. M. P. Gomes, J. T. Guaitolini Junior, and V. Nikoofard, Adv. High Energy Phys. 2017, 4768341 (2017)]. It is shown that reformulation of a real scalar field theory from the viewpoint of the covariant Kempf-Mangano algebra leads to an infinite derivative Klein-Gordon wave equation which describes two bosonic particles in the free space (a usual particle and a ghostlike particle). We show that in the low-energy (large-distance) limit our infinite derivative scalar field theory behaves like a Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillator for a spatially homogeneous field configuration $\phi(t, \vec{x}) = \phi(t)$. Our calculations show that there is a characteristic length scale $\delta$ in our model whose upper limit in a four-dimensional Minkowski space-time is close to the nuclear scale, i.e., $\delta_{\text{max}} \sim \delta_{\text{nuclear scale}} \sim 10^{-15} m$. Finally, we show that there is an equivalence between a non-local real scalar field theory with a non-local form factor $K(x-y) = -\frac{\Box x}{(1 - \frac{D+1}{2} \Box x)^2} \delta^{(D+1)}(x-y)$ and an infinite derivative real scalar field theory from the viewpoint of the covariant Kempf-Mangano algebra.
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1 Introduction

In classical mechanics, the motion of a point particle is described by the following action functional

\[ S[x] = \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \ L(x(t), \dot{x}(t)). \]

In 1850, the Russian mathematician Mikhail Ostrogradsky proposed a higher-order generalization of Lagrangian mechanics [1]. The action functional for a higher-order derivative mechanical system is

\[ S[x] = \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \ L(x^{(0)}(t), x^{(1)}(t), \ldots, x^{(N)}(t)), \]

where \( x^{(i)}(t) := \frac{d^i x(t)}{dt^i} \) and \( i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, N\} \).

The variation of the above action functional with respect to \( x \) leads to the following generalized Euler-Lagrange equation

\[ \sum_{i=0}^{N} \left( -\frac{d}{dt} \right)^i \frac{\partial L}{\partial x^{(i)}} = 0. \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

In 1942, B. Podolsky suggested a higher-order derivative generalization of Maxwell electrodynamics, in which the electrostatic self-energy of a point charge was a finite value [2]. Six years later, A. E. S. Green presented a higher-order derivative meson-field theory, in which the potential energy for a point nucleon at the origin was a finite value [3]. In 1950, A. Pais and G. E. Uhlenbeck showed that the appearance of higher-order derivative terms in the Lagrangian density of a quantum field theory can eliminate the ultraviolet divergences that appear in the \( S \)-matrix elements [4].

Today we know that the addition of higher-order derivative terms to the action functional of a quantum field theory is a possible way of regularizing quantum field theories [5-9]. Recently, the infinite derivative scalar field theory of the form

\[ S[\phi] = \frac{1}{2c} \int d^{D+1}x \ d^{D+1}y \ \phi(x) \ K(x - y) \ \phi(y) - \frac{1}{c} \int d^{D+1}x \ V(\phi(x)) + \frac{1}{c} \int d^{D+1}x \ J(x) \ \phi(x), \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

has attracted considerable attention because of its importance in non-local quantum field theory and string field theory [10].

The operator \( K(x - y) \) in Eq. (2) has the following general form [10]:

\[ K(x - y) = F(\Box_x) \ \delta^{(D+1)}(x - y), \]

where \( F(\Box_x) \) is an entire analytic function of the d’Alembertian operator \( \Box_x \). On the other hand, different theories of quantum gravity such as string theory and loop quantum gravity predict the
existence of a minimal length scale of the order of the Planck length [11-15]. Today we know that the existence of a minimal length scale in different theories of quantum gravity leads to a generalization of Heisenberg uncertainty principle as follows [12]:

$$\Delta X \Delta P \geq \frac{\hbar}{2} \left[ 1 + \beta (\Delta P)^2 + \cdots \right], \quad \beta > 0.$$ 

The above generalized uncertainty principle leads to a minimal length scale $$\Delta X_0 = \hbar \sqrt{\beta}$$ ($$\Delta X_0 > 0$$) in the measurement of space intervals [12]. It should be noted that the reformulation of quantum field theory in the presence of a minimal length scale is another possible way for regularizing a quantum field theory [12]. The aim of this paper is reformulation of a real scalar field theory from the viewpoint of a covariant generalization of the Kempf-Mangano algebra which was proposed by G. P. de Brito and co-workers in Ref. [14].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, a covariant generalization of the Kempf-Mangano algebra in a $$(D+1)$$-dimensional Minkowski space-time is presented briefly. In Section 3, we show that reformulation of a real scalar field theory in the framework of the covariant Kempf-Mangano algebra leads to a generalized Klein-Gordon wave equation with infinitely many derivatives. The free space solutions of this generalized Klein-Gordon wave equation describe two bosonic particles. In Section 4, we show that in the low-energy (large-distance) limit the infinite derivative scalar field theory which was formulated in Section 3 behaves like a Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillator for a spatially homogeneous field configuration $$\phi(t, \vec{x}) = \phi(t)$$ [4].

Our calculations in Sections 3 and 4 together with numerical evaluations in Section 5 show that there is a characteristic length scale in our generalized real scalar field theory whose upper limit is very near to the nuclear scale, i.e., $$10^{-15} \text{ m}$$. Finally, it should be emphasized that the results of this paper in the low-energy regime are compatible with the results of the standard Klein-Gordon theory. We use SI units in this paper. The flat space-time metric has the signature $$\eta_{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(+,-,\ldots,-).$$

2 The Covariant Kempf-Mangano Algebra

In 1997, A. Kempf together with G. Mangano proposed a one-parameter extension of the Heisenberg algebra [12]. The Kempf-Mangano algebra in a $$D$$-dimensional Euclidean space is described
by the following generalized commutation relations:

\[
\begin{align*}
    \left[ \hat{X}^i, \hat{P}^j \right] &= i\hbar \left( \frac{\ell^2 \hat{P}^2}{(1 + 2\ell^2 \hat{P}^2)^{1/2} - 1} \delta^{ij} + \ell^2 \hat{P}^i \hat{P}^j \right) \\
    &= i\hbar \left( \frac{1 + (1 + 2\ell^2 \hat{P}^2)^{1/2}}{2} \delta^{ij} + \ell^2 \hat{P}^i \hat{P}^j \right) , \\
    \left[ \hat{X}^i, \hat{X}^j \right] &= 0 , \\
    \left[ \hat{P}^i, \hat{P}^j \right] &= 0 ,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, D \) and \( \ell \) is a non-negative constant parameter with dimension of \([\text{momentum}]^{-1}\) [12]. The reformulation of non-relativistic quantum mechanics and a charged scalar field in the framework of Kempf-Mangano algebra have been studied in details in Ref. [13].

In 2017, G. P. de Brito and co-workers proposed a covariant generalization of the Kempf-Mangano algebra [14]. The covariant Kempf-Mangano algebra in a \((D + 1)\)-dimensional Minkowski space-time is described by the following generalized commutation relations:

\[
\begin{align*}
    \left[ \hat{X}^\mu, \hat{P}^\nu \right] &= -i\hbar \left( \frac{1 + (1 - 2\ell^2 \hat{P}^2)^{1/2}}{2} \eta^{\mu\nu} - \ell^2 \hat{P}^\mu \hat{P}^\nu \right) , \\
    \left[ \hat{X}^\mu, \hat{X}^\nu \right] &= 0 , \\
    \left[ \hat{P}^\mu, \hat{P}^\nu \right] &= 0 ,
\end{align*}
\]

Where \( \mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, D \), \( \hat{X}^\mu \) and \( \hat{P}^\mu \) are the generalized position and momentum operators, and \( \hat{P}^2 = \hat{P}_\mu \hat{P}^\mu = (\hat{P}_0)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{D} (\hat{P}_i)^2 \). In the coordinate representation, the generalized position and momentum operators \( \hat{X}^\mu \) and \( \hat{P}^\mu \) in Eqs. (6)-(8) have the following exact representations [14]:

\[
\begin{align*}
    \hat{X}^\mu &= \hat{x}^\mu , \\
    \hat{P}^\mu &= \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\ell^2}{\hat{p}^2}} \hat{p}^\mu ,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \hat{x}^\mu \) and \( \hat{p}^\mu \) are the conventional position and momentum operators which satisfy the conventional covariant Heisenberg algebra \((\text{i.e.,} \left[ \hat{x}^\mu, \hat{p}^\nu \right] = -i\hbar \eta^{\mu\nu} \text{ and } \left[ \hat{x}^\mu, \hat{x}^\nu \right] = \left[ \hat{p}^\mu, \hat{p}^\nu \right] = 0)\). In Eq.

\[\text{In 2006, C. Quesne and V. M. Tkachuk introduced a two-parameter extension of the covariant Heisenberg algebra in a \((D + 1)\)-dimensional space-time [15]. There are many papers about reformulation of quantum field theory from the viewpoint of the Quesne-Tkachuk algebra. For a review, we refer the reader to Refs. [16,17].}\]
Equations (9) and (10) show that in order to reformulate quantum field theory from the viewpoint of covariant Kempf-Mangano algebra, the conventional position and derivative operators \( \hat{x}^\mu, \partial_\mu \) must be replaced as follows:

\[
\hat{x}^\mu \rightarrow \hat{X}^\mu = \hat{x}^\mu, \\
\partial_\mu \rightarrow \nabla_\mu := \frac{1}{1 - \frac{(\hbar \ell)^2}{2}} \partial_\mu.
\]

(11) \( \hat{X}^\mu \)

(12)

It is important to note that in the limit of \( \hbar \ell \rightarrow 0 \), the generalized derivative operator \( \nabla_\mu \) in Eq. (12) becomes the conventional derivative operator, i.e., \( \lim_{\hbar \ell \rightarrow 0} \nabla_\mu = \partial_\mu \). In the next section, we will introduce a Lorentz-invariant infinite derivative scalar field theory in the framework of the covariant Kempf-Mangano algebra.

3 Lagrangian Formulation of an Infinite Derivative Scalar Field Theory Based on the Covariant Kempf-Mangano Algebra

The Lagrangian density for a real scalar field in a \((D+1)\)-dimensional flat space-time can be written as follows [18]:

\[
\mathcal{L}(\phi, \partial_\nu \phi) = \frac{1}{2} ( \partial_\mu \phi(x)) (\partial^\mu \phi(x)) - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{mc}{\hbar} \right)^2 \phi^2(x).
\]

(13)

Using Eq. (11) together with the transformation rule for a scalar field, we obtain

\[
\phi(x) \rightarrow \Phi(X) = \phi(x).
\]

(14)

If we use Eqs. (12)-(14), we will get the generalized Lagrangian density for a real scalar field as follows:

\[
\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} ( \nabla_\mu \Phi(X)) (\nabla^\mu \Phi(X)) - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{mc}{\hbar} \right)^2 \Phi^2(X)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{1 - \frac{(\hbar \ell)^2}{2}} \partial_\mu \phi(x) \right) \left( \frac{1}{1 - \frac{(\hbar \ell)^2}{2}} \partial^\mu \phi(x) \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{mc}{\hbar} \right)^2 \phi^2(x).
\]

(15)

Now, let us consider a classical scalar field theory whose action functional is given by [19]

\[
S[\phi] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1,D}} d^D x \; dt \; \mathcal{L}(\phi, \partial_\nu \phi, \partial_\nu \partial_\nu \phi, \partial_\nu \partial_\nu \partial_\nu \phi, \cdots).
\]

(16)
The variation of (16) with respect to $\phi$ leads to the following generalized Euler-Lagrange equation [19]

$$
\frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi} - \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi_{\mu_1}} \right)_{\mu_1} + \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi_{\mu_1\mu_2}} \right)_{\mu_1\mu_2} - \cdots + (-1)^k \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi_{\mu_1\mu_2\cdots\mu_k}} \right)_{\mu_1\mu_2\cdots\mu_k} + \cdots = 0,
$$

(17)

where

$$
\phi_{\mu_1\mu_2\cdots\mu_k} := \partial_{\mu_1} \partial_{\mu_2} \cdots \partial_{\mu_k} \phi,
$$

(18)

$$
\frac{\partial \phi_{\mu_1\mu_2\cdots\mu_k}}{\partial \phi_{\nu_1\nu_2\cdots\nu_k}} = \delta_{\mu_1}^{\nu_1} \delta_{\mu_2}^{\nu_2} \cdots \delta_{\mu_k}^{\nu_k}.
$$

(19)

If we substitute (15) into (17), we will obtain the following generalized Klein-Gordon wave equation

$$
\frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{(\hbar\ell)^2}{2\Box}\right)^2} \Box \phi(x) + \left( \frac{mc}{\hbar} \right)^2 \phi(x) = 0.
$$

(20)

Note that in the low-energy limit ($\hbar\ell \to 0$), the generalized Klein-Gordon equation (20) becomes the conventional Klein-Gordon equation, i.e.,

$$
\Box \phi(x) + \left( \frac{mc}{\hbar} \right)^2 \phi(x) + \frac{3}{4}(\hbar\ell)^4 \Box \Box \phi(x) + \mathcal{O} ((\hbar\ell)^6) = 0.
$$

(21)

The generalized Klein-Gordon equation (20) has a plane-wave solution as follows:

$$
\phi(x) = A e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}p \cdot x},
$$

(22)

where $A$ is the amplitude of the scalar field. After inserting Eq. (22) into Eq. (20), we obtain the following generalized dispersion relation:

$$
\frac{P^2}{(1 + \frac{\ell^2}{2} P^2)^2} = m^2 c^2.
$$

(23)

Equation (23) leads to the following generalized energy-momentum relations:

$$
E_+^2 (\ell) = m_+^2 (\ell) c^4 + c^2 \vec{P}^2,
$$

(24)

$$
E_-^2 (\ell) = m_-^2 (\ell) c^4 + c^2 \vec{P}^2,
$$

(25)
where the effective masses \( m_+ (\ell) \) and \( m_- (\ell) \) have the following definitions

\[
m_+ (\ell) := \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 2m^2c^2\ell^2}}{mc^2\ell^2}, \tag{26}
\]

\[
m_- (\ell) := \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 2m^2c^2\ell^2}}{mc^2\ell^2}. \tag{27}
\]

In order to obtain the real values for the effective masses \( m_\pm (\ell) \) in Eqs. (26) and (27) the parameter \( \ell \) must satisfy the following inequality

\[
\ell \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}mc}. \tag{28}
\]

It must be emphasized that the parameter \( \hbar \ell \) which has a dimension of [length] defines a characteristic length scale \( \delta := \hbar \ell \) in our model. Equation (28) shows that the upper limit of \( \delta \) is

\[
\delta_{\text{max}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}mc}. \tag{29}
\]

If we expand the effective masses (26) and (27) around \( \delta = 0 \), we will obtain the following low-energy expressions for \( m_\pm (\ell) \)

\[
m_+ (\ell) = \frac{2}{mc^2\ell^2} - m + O(\ell^2), \tag{30}
\]

\[
m_- (\ell) = m + \frac{1}{2} m^3 c^2 \ell^2 + O(\ell^4). \tag{31}
\]

Therefore, the low-energy limit of our model describes two particles, one with the usual mass \( m \) and the other a ghostlike particle of mass \( \frac{2}{mc^2\ell^2} \).

## 4 Relationship between the Low-Energy Behavior of the Model for a Spatially Homogeneous Field Configuration and the Pais-Uhlenbeck Oscillator

In this section, we want to study the low-energy behavior of the infinite derivative scalar field theory which was introduced in the previous section for a spatially homogeneous field configuration.

\[\text{2}^{\text{The appearance of these ghostlike particles in the theory of fourth-order derivative wave equations such as } \Box \phi(x) + (\frac{\partial^4}{\partial x^4})^2 \phi(x) + \frac{1}{\Lambda} \Box \phi(x) = 0 \text{ (} \Lambda \text{ is a regulator (cutoff)) is a well-known problem in higher-derivative quantum field theories [16,20].}\]
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The action functional (16) for the generalized Lagrangian density (15) is

\[ S_\delta[\phi] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1,D}} d^Dx \, dt \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\delta^2}{2}} \partial_\mu \phi(x) \right) \left( \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\delta^2}{2}} \partial^\mu \phi(x) \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{mc^2}{\hbar} \right)^2 \phi^2(x) \right]. \]  

(32)

For a spatially homogeneous real scalar field \( \phi(t) \) Eq. (32) becomes

\[ S_\delta[\phi] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^D} d^Dx \int_{\mathbb{R}} dt \left[ \frac{1}{2c^2} \left( \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\delta^2}{2}} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \phi(t) \right) \left( \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\delta^2}{2}} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \phi(t) \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{mc^2}{\hbar} \right)^2 \phi^2(t) \right] \]

(33)

where \( V_D = \int_{\mathbb{R}^D} d^Dx \) is the volume of the spatial part of the space-time and dot denotes derivative with respect to \( t \).

Using the field redefinition \( \psi(t) := \frac{\sqrt{V_D}}{c} \phi(t) \) Eq. (33) can be rewritten as follows:

\[ S_\delta[\psi] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} dt \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\delta^2}{2}} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \psi(t) \right) \left( \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\delta^2}{2}} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \psi(t) \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{mc^2}{\hbar} \right)^2 \psi^2(t) \right]. \]  

(34)

The action functional \( S_\delta[\psi] \) in Eq. (34) has the following low-energy expansion

\[ S_\delta[\psi] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \delta^{2n} S_n[\psi] \]

\[ = \int_{\mathbb{R}} dt \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \psi^2(t) + \left( \frac{\delta}{c} \right)^2 \psi(t) \psi(t) \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{mc^2}{\hbar} \right)^2 \psi^2(t) \right] + \mathcal{O}(\delta^4) \]

\[ = \int_{\mathbb{R}} dt \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \psi^2(t) - \left( \frac{\delta}{c} \right)^2 \psi^2(t) \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{mc^2}{\hbar} \right)^2 \psi^2(t) \right] + \int_{\mathbb{R}} dt \frac{d\Omega(t)}{dt} + \mathcal{O}(\delta^4), \]  

(35)

where

\[ \Omega(t) := \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\delta}{c} \right)^2 \psi(t) \psi(t). \]  

(36)

If we neglect terms of order \( \delta^4 \) and higher in Eq. (35) and dropping out the boundary term \( \int_{\mathbb{R}} dt \frac{d\Omega(t)}{dt} \), we will find

\[ S_\delta[\psi] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} dt \left[ \frac{1}{2} \psi^2(t) - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\delta}{c} \right)^2 \psi^2(t) - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{mc^2}{\hbar} \right)^2 \psi^2(t) \right]. \]  

(37)
Straightforward but tedious calculations show that $S_δ[ψ]$ in Eq. (37) can be written as follows:

$$S_δ[ψ] = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{δ}{c} \right)^2 \int_\mathbb{R} dt \left[ \dddot{ψ}^2(t) - (\omega_+^2 + \omega_-^2)\dot{ψ}^2(t) + ω_+^2 ω_-^2 \dot{ψ}^2(t) \right],$$

where the effective frequencies $ω_\pm$ are defined as follows:

$$ω_+ := \frac{c}{2δ} \left[ \sqrt{1 + \frac{2mcδ}{ℏ}} + \sqrt{1 - \frac{2mcδ}{ℏ}} \right],$$

$$ω_- := \frac{c}{2δ} \left[ \sqrt{1 + \frac{2mcδ}{ℏ}} - \sqrt{1 - \frac{2mcδ}{ℏ}} \right].$$

The action functional (38) is a well-known model in the theory of higher-order time derivative models and is called the Pais-Uhlenbeck (PU) oscillator [4,21-25]. Therefore, in the low-energy limit ($δ → 0$) our model behaves like a Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillator for a spatially homogeneous field configuration $φ(t, x) = φ(t)$. In order to obtain the real values for the effective frequencies $ω_\pm$ in Eqs. (39) and (40) the characteristic length scale $δ$ must satisfy the following condition

$$δ^PU \leq \frac{ℏ}{2mc}.\tag{41}$$

According to Eq. (41) the upper limit of $δ^PU$ is

$$δ^PU_{max} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{ℏ}{mc}.\tag{42}$$

Equations (29) and (42) show that the upper limit of the characteristic length scale $δ$ in this work is proportional to $\frac{ℏ}{mc}$, i.e.,

$$δ_{max} \sim \frac{ℏ}{mc}.\tag{43}$$

The Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillator describes a one-dimensional harmonic oscillator coupled to a higher-order time derivative term whose action functional is

$$S_{PU} = \frac{γ}{2} \int_\mathbb{R} dt \left[ \dddot{ψ}^2(t) - (\omega_+^2 + \omega_-^2)\dot{ψ}^2(t) + ω_+^2 ω_-^2 \dot{ψ}^2(t) \right],$$

where $γ$ is an arbitrary parameter [21]. This model has a wide applications in several areas of theoretical physics [21-25].
5 Summary and Conclusions

More than 70 years ago the American physicist H. S. Snyder introduced a one-parameter extension of the covariant Heisenberg algebra in a four-dimensional space-time in order to remove the infinities which appear in quantum field theories [26]. In 2006 a two-parameter extension of the covariant Heisenberg algebra in a \((D + 1)\)-dimensional Minkowski space-time was presented by Quesne and Tkachuk [15]. The Quesne-Tkachuk algebra contains the Snyder algebra as a sub-algebra [15]. In addition, the reformulation of Maxwell equations and Dirac equation from the viewpoint of the Quesne-Tkachuk algebra have been studied for the first time in Ref. [27]. In 2017, G. P. de Brito and his co-workers introduced a modification of the Quesne-Tkachuk algebra [14]. This modified algebra is a covariant generalization of the Kempf-Mangano algebra in a \((D + 1)\)-dimensional Minkowski space-time. In this work, by using the methods of Ref. [27], after Lagrangian formulation of an infinite derivative scalar field theory in the framework of the covariant Kempf-Mangano algebra, it was shown that the infinite derivative field equation (20) describes two particles with the effective masses

\[
m_{\pm}(\ell) = \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{1 - \frac{2m^2c^2}{\ell^2}}}{mc^2}.
\]

We showed that in the low-energy (large-distance) limit the infinite derivative scalar field theory in Eq. (32) for a spatially homogeneous field configuration 

\[
\phi(t, \mathbf{x}) = \phi(t)
\]

behaves like a Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillator with the effective frequencies

\[
\omega_{\pm} = \frac{\omega}{2\delta} \left[ \sqrt{1 + \frac{2m\delta}{\hbar}} \pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{2m\delta}{\hbar}} \right],
\]

where \(\delta = \hbar \ell\) is the characteristic length scale in this paper. Our calculations in Sections 3 and 4 show that the upper limit of \(\delta\) must be proportional to \(\frac{\hbar}{mc}\) (see Eq. (43)).

Now, let us evaluate the numerical value of \(\delta_{\text{max}}\) in Eq. (43).

In nuclear and low-energy particle physics a real scalar field theory describes a neutral \(\pi^0\) meson [28]. The mass of the \(\pi^0\) meson is [18]

\[
m_{\pi^0} = 134.977 \text{ MeV}/c^2.
\]

Inserting (44) into (43), we find

\[
\delta_{\text{max}} \sim 10^{-15} m.
\]

It should be noted that the numerical value of \(\delta_{\text{max}}\) in Eq. (45) is near to the nuclear scale (see page 174 in Ref. [29]), i.e.,

\[
\delta_{\text{max}} \sim \delta_{\text{nuclear scale}} \sim 10^{-15} m.
\]

The above estimations show that in the low-energy limit, the conventional real scalar field theory in Eq. (13) is recovered, while in the high-energy limit the real Klein-Gordon theory in Eq. (13) must be replaced by Eq. (15), i.e.,

\[
\mathcal{L} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi(x))(\partial^\mu \phi(x)) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{mc}{\hbar}\right)^2 \phi^2(x) & \text{(low-energy regime)}, \\
\frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi(x))(\partial^\mu \phi(x)) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{mc}{\hbar}\right)^2 \phi^2(x) - \frac{1}{2} \delta^2(\Box \phi(x))(\Box \phi(x)) + \text{boundary term} + \mathcal{O}(\delta^4) & \text{(high-energy regime)}.
\end{cases}
\]
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The action functional (32) in the presence of an external current $J(x)$ is

$$S_\delta[\phi] = \frac{1}{c} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1,D}} d^{D+1} x \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\delta^2}{2}} \partial_\mu \phi(x) \right) \left( \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\delta^2}{2}} \partial^\mu \phi(x) \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{mc}{\hbar} \right)^2 \phi^2(x) + J(x) \phi(x) \right].$$

(48)

The action functional (48) can be rewritten as follows:

$$S_\delta[\phi] = \frac{1}{c} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1,D}} d^{D+1} x \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \phi(x) \left( \nabla_\mu \nabla^\mu + \left( \frac{mc}{\hbar} \right)^2 \right) \phi(x) + \partial_\mu \Upsilon^\mu + J(x) \phi(x) \right],$$

(49)

where $\nabla_\mu$ has been defined in Eq. (12) and $\Upsilon^\mu$ has the following definition

$$\Upsilon^\mu := \frac{1}{2} \phi \partial^\mu \phi + \frac{\delta^2}{2} \phi \Box \partial^\mu \phi + \frac{\delta^4}{8} \left( 3 \phi \Box^2 \phi - \partial^\mu \phi \Box^2 \phi + \Box \phi \Box \partial^\mu \phi \right) + O(\delta^6).$$

(50)

After dropping out the boundary term $\frac{1}{c} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1,D}} d^{D+1} x \partial_\mu \Upsilon^\mu$ in (49), we will find

$$S_\delta[\phi] = \frac{1}{c} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1,D}} d^{D+1} x \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \phi(x) \left( \nabla_\mu \nabla^\mu + \left( \frac{mc}{\hbar} \right)^2 \right) \phi(x) + J(x) \phi(x) \right].$$

(51)

A comparison between the action functionals (2) and (51) shows that for $V(\phi(x)) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{mc}{\hbar} \right)^2 \phi^2(x)$ and $F(\Box_x) = -\frac{\Box_x}{(1 - \frac{\delta^2}{2} \Box_x)^2}$ there is an equivalence between a non-local real scalar field theory and an infinite derivative real scalar field theory in the framework of the covariant Kempf-Mangano algebra.
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