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Principal component analysis is an important dimension reduction technique in machine learning. In [S. Lloyd, M. Mohseni and P. Rebentrost, Nature Physics 10, 631-633, (2014)], a quantum algorithm to implement principal component analysis on quantum computer was obtained by computing the Hamiltonian simulation of unknown density operators. The complexity is \(O((\log d)t^2/\epsilon)\), where \(d\) is the dimension, \(t\) is the evolution time and \(\epsilon\) is the precision. We improve this result into \(O((\log d)t^{1+\frac{1}{k}}/\epsilon^k)\) for arbitrary constant integer \(k \geq 1\). As a result, we show that the Hamiltonian simulation of low-rank dense Hermitian matrices can be implemented in the same time.

I. INTRODUCTION

In [1], Lloyd et al. show that an unknown density operator \(\rho\) can play an active role in its own property analysis instead of being measured passively. Giving multiple copies of \(\rho\), it is possible to perform \(e^{-i pt}\) efficiently in a quantum computer. As a consequence, quantum principal component analysis (qPCA) can be implemented in a quantum computer exponentially faster than in a classical computer. Besides revealing the eigenstates of \(\rho\), many other problems can be solved efficiently in a quantum computer if we can efficiently implement \(e^{-i pt}\), such as solve linear systems [2], support vector machine [3], linear regression [4], neural network [5], quantum gradient and Newton’s method [6], etc. Later in [7], Rebentrost et al. generalize the idea of [1] to exponentiate any dense Hermitian matrices with low-rank.

The main technique used in [1] to achieve qPCA is Hamiltonian simulation of \(e^{-i pt}\). In the past decades, many results of Hamiltonian simulation of sparse Hermitian matrices were obtained (see [8-16] and the references therein). Especially, the result of [15] achieves the best dependence on the evolution time \(t\) and the precision \(\epsilon\). However, when referring to covariance matrix in PCA, \(\rho\) is general dense and low-rank. Hence, the above discovered algorithms are not suitable to simulate \(e^{-i pt}\).

As for the simulation of dense Hermitian matrices, several works were also obtained recently. For instance, in [17], Wang and Wossnig proposed a quantum algorithm with complexity \(O(\sqrt{d} \text{poly} \log(1/\epsilon))\) based on a binary tree memory structure, where \(d\) is the dimension of the Hermitian matrix. Here we ignored some other parameters. The complexity of the algorithm proposed in [1, 7] is \(O(t^2(\log d)/\epsilon)\). Compared to the previous result, this achieves an exponential speedup at \(d\); however, the dependence on \(t, \epsilon\) is worse. Actually, in [18], Childs and Kothari showed that it is impossible to simulate general Hamiltonians by only using \(O(\text{poly}(t, \log d, \log(1/\epsilon)))\) queries. Therefore, both results are good enough to some sense.

In this paper, we improve the result of [1, 7] into \(O((\log d)t^{1+\frac{1}{k}}/\epsilon^k)\) for arbitrary constant integer \(k \geq 1\). This further improves the dependence of dense Hamiltonian simulation algorithm on \(t\) and \(\epsilon\).

The basic idea is similar to that used in [1]. Let \(S\) be the swap operator and \(\sigma\) is another known density operator, in [1], they approximate \(e^{-i pt}\sigma e^{i pt}\) by considering \(\text{Tr}_i\{e^{-i S^t_i}\rho \otimes \sigma e^{i S^t_i}\}\). However, this only gives an order 2 approximation. To obtain better performance, we need to use higher order approximation of \(e^{-i pt}\sigma e^{i pt}\).

To achieve this goal, we will consider \(\sigma_k = \text{Tr}_{1..k}\{e^{-i S^{(k)}_1} \cdots e^{-i S^{(k)}_j} \cdots \sigma e^{i S^{(k)}_1} \cdots e^{i S^{(k)}_j}\}\), where \(S^{(k)}_i\) are swap operators that operate on \(k + 1\) registers which only swap the \(i\)-th and the \((k + 1)\)-th registers. To approximate \(e^{-i pt}\sigma e^{i pt}\) to order \(k + 1\), we also need to apply the linear combinations of unitaries (LCU) technique [19] to compute the linear summations of \(\sigma_l\) for \(l = 0, \ldots, k\) to make the coefficients consistent. By choosing suitable coefficients in the linear combinations, we can obtain \(|0\rangle\langle 0| \otimes (e^{-i p\Delta t}\sigma e^{i p\Delta t} + O((\Delta t)^{k+1}) + \text{orthogonal parts})\) by LCU, where \(\Delta t\) is a short time interval. By running the procedure \(n = O(t/\Delta t)\) times, we can approximate \(e^{-i pt}\sigma e^{i pt}\) to certain precision.

The organization of this paper is as follows: To show the basic idea, in section II, we will consider the simple case \(k = 3\). Then we extend the idea to the general case in section III.

Notation. (1). In this paper, \(S = \sum_i |i\rangle\langle j| \otimes |j\rangle\langle i|\) always indicate the swap operator.

(2). Let \(X, Y\) be two matrices, for \(k \geq 0\), denote

\[|X|_k, Y = |X, [X, \cdots, [X, Y]]|_k].\]

If \(k = 0\), then it means \(|X|_0, Y| = Y\).

II. SPECIAL CASE: APPROXIMATION TO ORDER THREE

In this section, we will approximate \(e^{-i pt}\sigma e^{i pt}\) to order 3, and show that \(e^{-i pt}\sigma e^{i pt}\) can be implemented to precision \(\epsilon\) in a quantum computer by using \(O(t^{1.5}/\epsilon^{0.5})\)
copies of $\rho$. The following several lemmas are not hard to prove.

**Lemma 1** [20] For any two square matrices $X,Y$, $$e^{XY}e^{-X} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} [X^{k},Y]$$

$$= Y + [X,Y] + \frac{1}{2}[X,[X,Y]] + \cdots .$$

**Lemma 2** Assume that $U = \sum_{i,j,k} |j,k,i\rangle \langle i,j,k|$, then $\text{Tr}_{1,3}\{U(\rho \otimes \sigma \otimes \rho)U^\dagger\} = \rho$.

**Proof.** By definition,

$$\text{Tr}_{1,3}\{U(\rho \otimes \sigma \otimes \rho)U^\dagger\} = \text{Tr}_{1,3}\{\sum_{i,j,k} |j,k,i\rangle \langle i,j,k| \otimes |i,j,k\rangle \langle i,j,k|\}$$

$$= \sum_{i,j,k} \langle i| \rho |i\rangle \langle j| \sigma |j\rangle \langle k| \rho |k\rangle \langle i| \langle i| 

$$= \rho.$$

In the last step, we use the fact $\sum_{i} \langle i| \rho |i\rangle = \sum_{j} \langle j| \sigma |j\rangle = 1$ of density operators.

The following result is established in [1] to perform $e^{-i\rho t}$. Combining lemma 1, it is not hard to see why it only gives an order 2 approximation.

**Lemma 3** [1] For any $t > 0$,

$$\text{Tr}_1\{e^{-iS\rho t}e^{iS\rho t}\} = (\cos t)^2 \sigma + (\sin t)^2 \rho - i(\sin t)\rho$$

$$= \sigma - i\text{Tr}[\rho,\sigma] - t^2(\sigma - \rho) + O(t^3). \quad (1)$$

By lemma 3, one can approximate $e^{-i\rho t}e^{i\rho t} = e^{-i\rho t}e^{i\rho t}$ to order $O((\Delta t)^2)$ by implementing $\text{Tr}_1\{e^{-iS\rho t}e^{iS\rho t}\}$. If the error is $\epsilon_0 = (\Delta t)^2$, then run the above procedure $n = O(t/\Delta t)$ times, we can implement $e^{-i\rho t}e^{i\rho t}$ to accuracy $\epsilon_0 = O(n(\Delta t)^2) = t^2/n$. Set this error as $\epsilon$, then we need $O(t^2/\epsilon)$ copies of $\rho$. Since $e^{-iSt}$ is efficient [9], the time complexity of performing $e^{-i\rho t}$ is $O((\log d)t^2/\epsilon)$, where $d$ is the dimension.

**Remark 1** If we can approximate $e^{-i\rho t}e^{i\rho t}$ to order $O((\Delta t)^{k+1})$, where $k$ is a constant, then the error is $\epsilon = \epsilon_0 = n(\Delta t)^{k+1} = t^{k+1}/n^{k}$. This implies that $n = O(t^{1+\frac{k}{2}}/\epsilon^k)$ \quad (2)

copies of $\rho$ is enough to perform $e^{-i\rho t}$ to precision $\epsilon$. The dependence on $t$ is almost optimal.

In the following, we will consider the simple case of how to achieve $e^{-i\rho t}$ for the case $k = 2$. And we will show the general result in the next section based on the idea described below.

As a result of lemma 3, we have

$$\text{Tr}_{1,3}\{e^{-i(\rho I_S)t}\rho \otimes \sigma \otimes \rho e^{i(\rho I_S)t}\} = \sigma - i\text{Tr}[\rho,\sigma] - t^2(\sigma - \rho) + O(t^3). \quad (3)$$

**Lemma 4** For any $t > 0$,

$$\text{Tr}_{1,3}\{e^{-i\rho t}e^{-i\rho \sigma t}\rho \otimes \sigma \otimes \rho e^{i\rho \sigma t}e^{i\rho t}\} = \sigma - 2it[\rho,\sigma] - t^2[\rho,\rho,\sigma] - 2t^2(\sigma - \rho) + O(t^3). \quad (4)$$

**Proof.** By lemma 1,

$$e^{-i\rho t}e^{-i\rho \sigma t}\rho \otimes \sigma \otimes \rho e^{i\rho \sigma t}e^{i\rho t}$$

$$= \rho \otimes \sigma \otimes \rho - i\text{Tr}[\rho,\sigma] - t^2[\rho,\rho,\sigma]$$

$$- \frac{t^2}{2}[\rho,\rho,\sigma] + O(t^3),$$

and

$$e^{-i(\rho I_S)t}e^{-i(\rho I_S)t}\rho \otimes \sigma \otimes \rho e^{i(\rho I_S)t}e^{i(\rho I_S)t}$$

$$= \rho \otimes \sigma \otimes \rho - i\text{Tr}[\rho,\sigma] - 2t[\rho,\sigma]$$

$$- \frac{t^2}{2}[\rho,\rho,\sigma] + O(t^3). \quad (5)$$

Simple calculation by definition shows that

$$\text{Tr}_{1,3}\{\rho \otimes \sigma \rho\} = \sigma,$$

$$\text{Tr}_{1,3}\{[I \otimes S, \rho \otimes \sigma \rho]\} = [\rho,\sigma],$$

$$\text{Tr}_{1,3}\{[S \otimes I, \rho \otimes \sigma \rho]\} = [\rho,\sigma],$$

$$\text{Tr}_{1,3}\{[I \otimes S, [I \otimes S, \rho \otimes \sigma \rho]\}] = 2[\sigma,\rho],$$

$$\text{Tr}_{1,3}\{[S \otimes I, [S \otimes I, \rho \otimes \sigma \rho]\}] = 2[\sigma,\rho],$$

$$\text{Tr}_{1,3}\{[S \otimes I, [I \otimes S, \rho \otimes \sigma \rho]\}] = [\rho,\sigma].$$

Substituting the above calculations into equation (5) will lead to the desired result.

With above preliminaries, now we can prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1** Let $\rho$ be a unknown d-dimensional density operator, then we can implement $e^{-i\rho t}$ to precision $\epsilon$ by using $O(t^{1.5}/\epsilon^{0.5})$ copies of $\rho$. Moreover, the gate complexity to implement $e^{-i\rho t}$ is $O(t^{1.5}(\log d)/\epsilon^{0.5})$.

**Proof.** Set the unitaries used in lemma 2, 3, 4 as

$$U_1 = I \otimes I \otimes I,$$

$$U_2 = \sum_{i,j,k} |j,k,i\rangle \langle i,j,k|,$$

$$U_3 = e^{-i(S\rho t)},$$

$$U_4 = e^{-i(S\rho t)\otimes(S\rho t)}.$$

For any $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4 \in \mathbb{C}$, set $s = |\alpha_1| + |\alpha_2| + |\alpha_3| + |\alpha_4|$, then LCU [19] achieves:

$$\frac{1}{s}|0\rangle\langle 0| \otimes (\alpha_1 U_1(\rho \otimes \sigma \otimes \rho)U_1^\dagger + \alpha_2 U_2(\rho \otimes \sigma \otimes \rho)U_2^\dagger + \alpha_3 U_3(\rho \otimes \sigma \otimes \rho)U_3^\dagger + \alpha_4 U_4(\rho \otimes \sigma \otimes \rho)U_4^\dagger) + |0\rangle\langle 1|.$$
Denote notations are the same as lemma result discussed in remark τ. We also denote i ∈ {1, . . . , k}, set \( S_i^{(k)} \) as the operator that swap the i-th and the (k + 1)-th register, and keep the remaining registers invariant. For instance, \( S_1^{(1)} = S, S_1^{(2)} = \sum_{i,j} |j⟩⟨i| \otimes I \otimes |i⟩⟨i| \) and \( S_2^{(2)} = I \otimes S. \)

**Lemma 5** Denote \( \sigma_0 = \sigma \), and for \( k \geq 1 \), set

\[
\sigma_k = \text{Tr}_{1..k} \{ e^{-iS_i^{(k)}} t \cdots e^{-iS_1^{(k)} t} \tau_k e^{iS_1^{(k)} t} \cdots e^{iS_i^{(k)} t} \},
\]

then

\[
\sigma_k = (\cos t)^2 \sigma_{k-1} + (\sin t)^2 \rho - i(\sin t)[\rho, \sigma_{k-1}]. \quad (9)
\]

**Proof.** By definition,

\[
\sigma_k = \text{Tr}_{2..k} \{ e^{-iS_i^{(k)} t} \text{Tr}_{1..k} \{ e^{-iS_1^{(k)} t} \cdots e^{-iS_i^{(k)} t} \cdots e^{iS_i^{(k)} t} \} \} = \cdots = \text{Tr}_1 \{ e^{-iS_1^{(k)} t} \cdots e^{iS_1^{(k)} t} \} \otimes \rho e^{iS_1^{(k)} t}.
\]

Therefore, the result is obtained by lemma 3. \( \square \)

In lemma 5, \( \sigma_1 \) is exactly the result of lemma 3. And we can also obtain the result of lemma 4 by expanding equation (9). The proof here is more simpler and straightforward.

**Lemma 6** Notations are the same as lemma 5, then

\[
\sigma_k = \beta^{(k)} \rho + \sum_{j=0}^{k} \alpha_j^{(k)} [\rho^{j}, \sigma],
\]

where

\[
\beta^{(k)} = 1 - (\cos t)^2 k, \quad \alpha_j^{(k)} = (\cos s)^j \frac{k}{j} (\sin t)^j (\cos t)^{2(k-j)}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq k. \quad (10)
\]

**Proof.** We prove it by induction. The result is true for \( k = 1 \) due to lemma 3. For convenience below, we abbreviate denote \( c = \cos t, s = \sin t. \)

By lemma 5, we have

\[
\sigma_k = c^2 (\beta^{(k-1)} \rho + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \alpha_j^{(k-1)} [\rho^{j}, \sigma]) + s^2 \rho - i[s[\rho, \beta^{(k-1)} \rho + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \alpha_j^{(k-1)} [\rho^{j}, \sigma]]
\]

\[
= (s^2 + c^2 \beta^{(k-1)}) \rho + \sum_{j=0}^{k} \alpha_j^{(k)} [\rho^{j}, \sigma],
\]

where \( \alpha_j^{(k-1)} = \alpha_j^{(k)} = 0 \). Therefore,

\[
\beta^{(k)} = s^2 + c^2 \beta^{(k-1)}, \quad \alpha_j^{(k)} = c^2 \alpha_j^{(k-1)} - i\alpha_j^{(k-1)}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq k.
\]

By induction,

\[
\beta^{(k)} = s^2 + c^2 \beta^{(k-1)} = s^2 + c^2 (1 - c^2(k-1)) = 1 - c^{2k},
\]
and

\[ \alpha_j^{(k)} = (-i)^{j} \binom{k-1}{j} s^{j} e^{2(k-j)} + (-i)^{j} \binom{k-1}{j-1} s^{j} e^{2(k-j)} \]

\[ = (-i)^{j} \binom{k}{j} s^{j} e^{2(k-j)}. \]

This completes the proof. \( \blacksquare \)

**Theorem 2** Let \( \rho \) be a unknown \( d \)-dimensional density operator, \( k \) be a constant, then we can implement \( e^{-ip\Delta t} \rho \) to precision \( \epsilon \) by using \( O(t^{1+\frac{1}{k}}/\epsilon^2) \) copies of \( \rho \). Moreover, the gate complexity to implement \( e^{-ip\Delta t} \) is \( O(t^{1+\frac{1}{k}}(\log d)/\epsilon^2) \).

**Proof.** Similar to the proof of theorem 1, we need to approximate

\[ e^{-ip\Delta t} \sigma e^{ip\Delta t} = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \frac{(-i\Delta t)^j}{j!} [\sigma, \rho] + O((\Delta t)^{k+1}) \]

from \( \rho, \sigma_0, \ldots, \sigma_k \) by LCU. Assume that \( \lambda_{-1}, \lambda_0, \ldots, \lambda_k \) are some unknown coefficients such that

\[ \lambda_{-1} \rho + \sum_{l=0}^{k} \lambda_l \sigma_l = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \frac{(-i\Delta t)^j}{j!} [\rho, \sigma] + O((\Delta t)^{k+1}). \]

In \( \sigma_l \), we denote time parameter as \( t_l \), and set \( s_l = \sin t_l, c_l = \cos t_l \) for simplicity. The left hand side of above identity equals

\[ \lambda_{-1} \rho + \sum_{l=0}^{k} \lambda_l [1 - c_l^{2l}] \rho + \sum_{l=0}^{k} \frac{l}{j} \binom{l}{j} s_l^{j} e^{2(l-j)} [\rho, \sigma] \]

\[ = \left[ \lambda_{-1} + \sum_{l=1}^{k} \lambda_l (1 - c_l^{2l}) \right] \rho \]

\[ + \sum_{j=0}^{k} (-i)^{j} [\rho, \sigma] \sum_{l=j}^{k} \binom{l}{j} s_l^{j} e^{2(l-j)}. \]

Therefore, we can determine the coefficients \( \lambda_{-1}, \lambda_0, \ldots, \lambda_k \) by setting

\[ \lambda_{-1} + \sum_{l=1}^{k} \lambda_l (1 - c_l^{2l}) = 0, \]

\[ \sum_{l=j}^{k} \binom{l}{j} s_l^{j} e^{2(l-j)} \lambda_l = \frac{t^j}{j!}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq k. \]

(11) induces \( \sum_{l=0}^{k} \lambda_l c_l^{2l} = 1 \); hence, \( \lambda_0 = 1 - \lambda_{-1} - \sum_{l=1}^{k} \lambda_l \).

As proved in theorem 1, we choose reasonable \( t_i \)'s such that \( \lambda_l \)'s are small (as a function of \( k \), say \( 1/2^k \)), then in LCU, \( s = |\lambda_{-1}| + |\lambda_0| + \cdots + |\lambda_k| = 1 \).

Next, we show that \( t_l = O(\Delta t) \) for all \( l \). When \( j = k \), the second equation of (11) shows that \( (\sin t_k)k \lambda_k = t^{k-1}/k! \). Since \( k \) is a constant, we have \( t_k = O(\Delta t) \). When \( j = k - 1 \), we have

\[ (\sin t_{k-1})k^{-1} \lambda_{k-1} = \frac{k^{-1}}{(k-1)!} \left( 1 - \frac{t}{k} (\cos t_k)^2 \right), \]

therefore, \( t_{k-1} = O(t) \). Similarly analysis shows that \( t_l = O(\Delta t) \) for all \( l \). The result of this theorem follows from the analysis of remark 1. \( \blacksquare \)

This result is compared to the sparse Hamiltonian simulation algorithm proposed in [9] by using 2\( k \)-th order of Lie-Trotter product formula. The complexity of this algorithm is \( O(t^{1+\frac{1}{k}}/\epsilon^2) \).

**IV. Conclusion**

Theorem 2 shows that the Hamiltonian simulation of unknown density operator can be implemented almost linear in evolution time \( t \). Based on the result of [18], it seems impossible to improve the dependence on \( t \) further based on this idea. In the proof of theorem 2, we need \( k \) to be a constant, so that the implementation of LCU is efficient.

Let \( H = (H_{ij})_{d \times d} \) be a \( d \)-by-\( d \) Hermitian matrix, in [7], Rebentrost et al. generalize the idea of [1] by considering \( S_H = \sum_{i,j} H_{ij} \langle i, j| \langle j, i| \) instead of the swap operator. Similarly, if we change the swap operator by \( S_H \) in theorem 2, then we can also simulate low-rank dense Hermitian matrices in the same complexity as theorem 2. Certainly, to apply sparse Hamiltonian simulation algorithm [9, 11] to simulate \( e^{-iS_H t} \), efficient oracle to query entries of \( H \) is required. As an application, many results [3-6] built on [1] can be improved accordingly.
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