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Abstract

To address context mixing problem via ground state search, we introduce an effective Hamiltonian whose ground state presents the best mixing of a prior given probability distributions to approximately describe unknown target probability distribution.

1 Context Mixing

Context mixing [1, 2, 3] is a way to estimate an unknown probability distribution by appropriately mixing a prior given probability distributions. Let

\[ \{p_i(x)\}_{i \in C} \]

be the given probability distributions where \( i \in C \) indicates a condition that gives context defining \( p_i(x) \). For simplicity, we restrict ourselves to the case where \( x \in X \) is discrete. Most intuitive way would be introducing a linear combination as

\[ P_{\omega}(x) := \sum_{i \in C} \omega_i p_i(x) \]  

with \( \omega_i > 0, \sum_{i \in C} \omega_i = 1 \) and \( \omega := \{\omega_i\}_{i \in C} \). Under the parameterization, context mixing takes form of finding parameter \( \omega^* := \{\omega^*_i\}_{i \in C} \) such that \( P_{\omega^*}(x) \) becomes a “good” approximation of a target probability distribution \( \mu(x) \). Besides the parameterization in eq. (2), another parameterization as

\[ P_{\omega}^{(ME)}(x) = \frac{1}{Z(\omega)} \exp \left( \sum_{i \in C} \omega_i \eta_i(x) \right) \]  

with

\[ \eta_i(x) := -\ln p_i(x), \quad \text{and} \quad Z(\omega) = \sum_{x \in X} \exp \left( \sum_{i \in C} \omega_i \eta_i(x) \right) \]

is also often considered[2]. The form of parameterization in eq. (3) which is derived based on the maximum entropy principle[4, 5] guarantees that \( P_{\omega}^{(ME)}(x) \) is the probability distribution with the
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maximum entropy among others which have the same expectation values with
\[
\tau_i := \sum_{x \in X} \eta_i(x) P^{(ME)}(x) = -\sum_{x \in X} P^{(ME)}(x) \ln p_i(x). \tag{5}
\]

Notice that \(\tau_i\) corresponds to the mean code length in the case where \(x\) following \(P^{(ME)}(x)\) is coded into an entropic code based on \(p_i(x)\). \((x\) is encoded into a code word whose length is \(\ln p_i^{-1}(x)\).) In addition, extra length in comparison with the case where \(x\) is naturally coded into the entropic code based on \(P^{(ME)}(x)\) is given as
\[
\Delta \tau_i := \tau_i - \left( -\sum_{x \in X} P^{(ME)}(x) \ln P^{(ME)}(x) \right) \tag{6}
\]
that is Kullback-Leibler distance between \(P^{(ME)}(x)\) and \(p_i(x)\). Thus, choosing the maximum entropy state with respect to \(\tau_j\) implies minimizing \(\Delta \tau_j\), so as any extra conditions except for \(\tau_j\) can be excluded in constructing \(P^{(ME)}(x)\). (The idea may be similar to a situation where an average drawing is employed except for particularly suggested marks by witnesses in making a facial composite sketch, or principle of the Occam’s razor\[7\].)

Kullback-Leibler distance\[8\] between \(\mu(x)\) and \(P^{(ME)}(x)\), i.e.,
\[
D(\omega) := \sum_{x \in X} \mu(x) \ln \frac{\mu(x)}{P^{(ME)}(x)} \tag{8}
\]
can be adopted as a metric in choosing parameter \(\omega\). In other words, our aim is to find \(\omega\) minimizing \(D(\omega)\), or equivalently minimizing
\[
E(\omega) := -\sum_{x \in X} \mu(x) \ln P^{(ME)}(x) \tag{9}
\]
for the (unknown) target probability distribution \(\mu(x)\).

2 Mapping to Ground State Search

In the following, we investigate a way to find \(\omega\) as a solution of the ground state search of a Hamiltonian. We assume that a mixed state
\[
\hat{\mu} := \sum_{x \in X} \mu(x) |x\rangle \langle x| \tag{10}
\]
is physically available although it does not mean that we know \(\hat{\mu}\). Roughly speaking, our aim is to construct Hamiltonian \(\hat{H}\) such that
\[
\hat{H}|\omega\rangle = E(\omega)|\omega\rangle \tag{11}
\]
with $E(\omega)$ given in eq. (11). With the formulation, a ground state of $\hat{H}$ obviously gives the solution $\omega$ minimizing eq. (9). In the following, we assume that $\omega = \{\omega_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{C}}$ is discrete, i.e.,

$$\omega_i \in \Omega_i \quad (12)$$

with a finite set $\Omega_i$, although $\omega_i$ was supposed continuous in the previous section. By appropriately choosing $\Omega_i$, we can obtain an approximate solution that is practically enough in most cases.

Rewriting $P_\omega^{\text{(ME)}}(x)$ in eq. (3) as

$$P_\omega^{\text{(ME)}}(x) = \exp \left( \lambda(\omega) + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{C}} \omega_i \eta_i(x) \right) \quad (13)$$

with

$$\lambda(\omega) = -\ln Z(\omega), \quad (14)$$

substituting it into eq. (9), we obtain

$$E(\omega) = -\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \mu(x) \left( \lambda(\omega) + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{C}} \omega_i \eta_i(x) \right) \quad (15)$$

Setting the constraint described in eq. (14) aside, let us introduce a parameter $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and a function

$$E'(\lambda, \omega) := -\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \mu(x) \left( \lambda + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{C}} \omega_i \eta_i(x) \right). \quad (16)$$

Similar to eq. (11), we can introduce a Hamiltonian and its eigenstates as

$$\hat{H}'|\lambda, \omega\rangle = E'(\lambda, \omega)|\lambda, \omega\rangle. \quad (17)$$

Notice, however, that the ground state of $\hat{H}'$ does not present $\omega$ minimizing $E(\omega)$ in eq. (11), unlike the rough idea illustrated in eq. (11). In fact, $E'(\lambda, \omega)$ is a monotonic decreasing function with respect to $\lambda$, and is not lower bounded. To address this point, adding a constraint term to $E'(\lambda, \omega)$, we introduce

$$E(\lambda, \omega) = E'(\lambda, \omega) + \alpha \left( \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \exp \left( \lambda + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{C}} \omega_i \eta_i(x) \right) - 1 \right)^2 \quad (18)$$

with $\alpha > 0$. By constructing a Hamiltonian such as

$$\hat{H}|\lambda, \omega\rangle = E(\lambda, \omega)|\lambda, \omega\rangle, \quad (19)$$

we can safely reduce the context mixing problem to the ground state search of the Hamiltonian. To construct it, we consider a grand Hamiltonian

$$\hat{G} := -\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \left( \lambda + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{C}} \omega_i \eta_i(x) \right) \otimes |x\rangle \langle x|$$

$$+ \alpha \left( |\mathcal{X}| \sum_{x' \in \mathcal{X}} \sum_{x'' \in \mathcal{X}} e^{\lambda + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{C}} \omega_i \eta_i(x')} e^{\lambda + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{C}} \omega_i \eta_i(x'')} \otimes |x'\rangle \langle x'| \otimes |x''\rangle \langle x''| \right)$$

$$- 2|\mathcal{X}| \sum_{x' \in \mathcal{X}} e^{\lambda + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{C}} \omega_i \eta_i(x')} \otimes |x'\rangle \langle x'| + \hat{I} \right) \quad (20)$$
\[ \hat{\lambda} := \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \lambda |\lambda \rangle \langle \lambda |, \quad \hat{\omega}_i := \sum_{\omega_i \in \Omega_i} \omega_i |\omega_i \rangle \langle \omega_i | \] (21)

with state vectors
\[ |\lambda \rangle \in \mathcal{H}_\Lambda, \quad |\omega_i \rangle \in \mathcal{H}_{\Omega_i}, \quad |x\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_{X_0}, \quad |x'\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_{X_1}, \quad |x''\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_{X_2}. \] (22)

Notice that the full Hilbert space where \( \hat{G} \) lives is
\[ \mathcal{H} := \mathcal{H}_\Lambda \otimes \mathcal{H}_\Omega \otimes \mathcal{H}_{X_0} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{X_1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{X_2} \] (23)

where
\[ \mathcal{H}_\Omega := \bigotimes_{i \in \mathcal{C}} \mathcal{H}_{\Omega_i}. \] (24)

Now, let us suppose that a state on \( \mathcal{H}_{X_0} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{X_1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{X_2} \) is somehow fixed into a state
\[ \hat{\nu} := \hat{\mu} \otimes \hat{I} |x\rangle \otimes |x\rangle \] (25)

where \( \hat{\mu} \) is given in eq.(11), and \( I/|x\rangle \) is the complete mixed state on each Hilbert space. In this case, \( \hat{G} \) in eq.(20) behaves on partial Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H}_\Lambda \otimes \mathcal{H}_\Omega \) as an effective Hamiltonian described as
\[ \hat{H}_{\text{eff}} := \text{tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{X_0} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{X_1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{X_2}} \left[ \hat{\nu} \hat{G} \right] = E'(\hat{\lambda}, \hat{\omega}) + \alpha \left( \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \exp \left( \hat{\lambda} + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{C}} \hat{\omega}_i \eta_i(x) \right) - \hat{I} \right)^2 \] (26)

that is nothing but \( \hat{H} \) in eq.(19).

Let us consider an application of \( \hat{H}_{\text{eff}} \) to the quantum annealing computation[9, 10, 11, 12, 13] with a driving Hamiltonian \( \hat{V} \) on \( \mathcal{H}_\Lambda \otimes \mathcal{H}_\Omega \), introducing a time dependent Hamiltonian such as
\[ \hat{H}_{\text{eff}}(t) = \frac{t}{T} \hat{H}_{\text{eff}} + \left( 1 - \frac{t}{T} \right) \hat{V} \] (27)

with initial condition
\[ |\varphi_0 \rangle \in \mathcal{H}_\Lambda \otimes \mathcal{H}_\Omega \] (28)

that is chosen to be the ground state of \( \hat{V} \). As a dynamics on the grand Hilbert space in eq.(23), the above is realized by introducing a time dependent Hamiltonian such as
\[ \hat{G}(t) = \frac{t}{T} \hat{G} + \left( 1 - \frac{t}{T} \right) \hat{V} \otimes \hat{I} \] (29)

where \( \hat{I} \) is the identity operator on \( \mathcal{H}_{X_0} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{X_1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{X_2} \), with the initial state
\[ \hat{\rho}_0 := |\varphi_0 \rangle \langle \varphi_0 | \otimes \hat{\nu}. \] (30)
Notice that the state evolution by $\hat{G}(t)$ preserves the state form at time $t$ as

$$\hat{\rho}_t = |\varphi_t\rangle\langle\varphi_t| \otimes \hat{\nu}$$

where $|\varphi_t\rangle$ is the solution of Schrödinger equation

$$\frac{d}{dt} |\varphi_t\rangle = -i\hat{H}_{\text{eff}}(t)|\varphi_t\rangle.$$ 

Thus, the state on Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{X_0} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{X_1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{X_2}$ is fixed to $\hat{\nu}$, as is assumed in introducing eq. (26).
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