There have been several upper bounds on the quantum capacity of the single-mode Gaussian channels with thermal noise, such as thermal attenuator and amplifier. We consider a class of attenuator and amplifier with more general noises, including squeezing or even non-Gaussian one. We derive new upper bounds on the energy-constrained quantum capacity of those channels by using the quantum conditional entropy power inequality. Also, we obtain lower bounds for the same channels by means of Gaussian optimizer with fixed input entropy. They give narrow bounds when the transmissivity is near unity and the energy of input state is low.
where \( S(g) = -\text{Tr} \log g \) is the von Neumann entropy.

The linear version \([18]\) of QEPI is described as

\[
S(\rho_{X_1} \boxplus \rho_{X_2}) \geq \tau S(\rho_{X_1}) + (1 - \tau)S(\rho_{X_2}),
\]

where \( \rho_{X_1} \) and \( \rho_{X_2} \) are independent input states, and \( \boxplus \) means a beam splitter operation with transmissivity \( \tau \in [0,0.5] \).

### III. UPPER BOUNDS ON THE QUANTUM CAPACITY

Now, we can think a general attenuator \( \Phi_{\tau,\rho_E} \), in which the environment can be any Gaussian state or even non-Gaussian state. Then we can get an upper bound of this channel \( \Phi_{\tau,\rho_E} \) as follows:

\[
Q(\Phi_{\tau,\rho_E},N) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \max_{E(\rho_n) \leq n} \frac{1}{n} I_c(\Phi_{\tau,\rho_E}^\otimes n,\rho_n)
\]

\[
= \lim_{n \to \infty} \max_{E(\rho_n) \leq n} \frac{1}{n} \left[ S(\Phi_{\tau,\rho_E}^\otimes n(\rho_n)) - S(\Phi_{\tau,\rho_E}^\otimes n(\rho_n)) \right]
\]

\[
\leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \max_{\rho \leq n} \frac{1}{n} S(\Phi_{\tau,\rho_E}^\otimes n(\rho_n)) - \lim_{n \to \infty} \min_{\rho \leq n} \frac{1}{n} S(\Phi_{\tau,\rho_E}^\otimes n(\rho_n))
\]

\[
\leq \max_{\rho} S(\Phi_{\tau,\rho_E}(\rho)) - \lim_{n \to \infty} \min_{\rho} \frac{1}{n} S(\Phi_{\tau,\rho_E}^\otimes n(\rho_n)),
\]

where the last inequality comes from the subadditivity of entropy. We know the upper bound of the first term of Eq. (7) from the fact that Gaussian states always have maximal entropies for given first and second moments [26]. Explicitly, we have

\[
\max_{\rho} S(\Phi_{\tau,\rho_E}(\rho)) \leq g(\tau N + (1 - \tau)N_E),
\]

where \( g(x) := (1 + x) \log_2(1 + x) - x \log_2 x \) and \( N_E := \text{Tr}(a^\dagger a)\rho_E \) is the mean photon number of the environment, which can be expressed as \((\bar{n}_E - 1)/2\) for centered Gaussian states having the covariance matrix \( \gamma_E \). In order to obtain a bound on the second term, we need to use cQEPI [20] expressed as

\[
S(\rho_{X_1} \boxplus \rho_{X_2} | Z_1 Z_2) \geq \tau S(\rho_{X_1} | Z_1) + (1 - \tau)S(\rho_{X_2} | Z_2),
\]

for all product states \( \rho_{X_1} Z_1 \otimes \rho_{X_2} Z_2 \), where the conditional entropy \( S(\rho_{X} | Z) := S(\rho_{XZ}) - S(\rho_{Z}) \). In our case, the environment and output of complementary channel are conditioned by the purifying system, and the input and environment state is a product state by the definition of the channel. Consequently,

\[
S(\Phi_{\tau,\rho_E}^\otimes n(\rho_n)) - n S(\rho_R) = S(\Phi_{\tau,\rho_E}^\otimes n(\rho_n)|R)
\]

\[
\geq (1 - \tau)S(\rho_n) + \tau S(\rho_E^\otimes n|R)
\]

\[
= (1 - \tau)S(\rho_n) - n\tau S(\rho_E)
\]

\[
\geq -n\tau S(\rho_E),
\]

where the first inequality follows from the cQEPI, the second equality comes from independent and identically
distributed (i.i.d.) assumption for environmental noise $\rho_E$ and $S(\rho_{ER}) = 0$, and the last inequality is obtained from the non-negativity of the entropy. Finally, we get the inequality as $S(\Phi^c_{\tau,\rho_E}(\rho_n)) \geq n(1 - \tau)S(\rho_E)$. Note that if the environment is a Gaussian state, then $S(\rho_E) = g(N_{th})$, where $N_{th}$ is the mean thermal photon number of the environment, i.e., $\sum_i (\nu_i - 1)/2$ for the symplectic eigenvalues $\nu_i$ of a given covariance matrix. Then Eq. (7) becomes

$$Q(\Phi_{\tau,\rho_E}, N) \leq g(\tau N + (1 - \tau)N_E) - (1 - \tau)S(\rho_E) \equiv Q_U^1. \quad (11)$$

Instead, we can consider the stronger cQEP1 than the linear version, which is the exponential form given in Ref. [21],

$$e^{S(\rho_{X_1} \oplus \rho_{X_2} | Z_1 Z_2)/n} \geq g(1 - \tau)^{1 - \tau} e^{S(\rho_{X_2} | Z_2)/n}.$$

Then Eq. (10) can be modified as

$$\frac{1}{n} S(\Phi^{\tau,\rho_E}_n(\rho_n)|R) \geq \log \left((1 - \tau)^{1 - \tau} e^{S(\rho_{X_1} | Z_1) + (1 - \tau)S(\rho_{X_2} | Z_2)/n} \right) \geq \log \left(1 - \tau e^{-S(\rho_E)}\right). \quad (13)$$

Consequently, we have another upper bound such as $Q(\Phi_{\tau,\rho_E}, N) \leq Q_{U_2}$ where $Q_{U_2} \equiv g(\tau N + (1 - \tau)N_E) - \log ((1 - \tau) - e^{-S(\rho_E)}) - S(\rho_E)$. For the amplifiers, the linear and exponential forms of cQEP1 are also given in Ref. [21] as follows.

$$S(\rho_{X_1} \oplus \rho_{X_2} | Z_1 Z_2) \geq \frac{\kappa}{2k - 1} S(\rho_{X_1} | Z_1) + \frac{\kappa - 1}{2k - 1} S(\rho_{X_2} | Z_2) + \log (2k - 1), \quad (14)$$

$$e^{S(\rho_{X_1} \oplus \rho_{X_2} | Z_1 Z_2)/n} \geq \kappa e^{S(\rho_{X_1} | Z_1)/n} + (\kappa - 1) e^{S(\rho_{X_2} | Z_2)/n}, \quad (15)$$

where $\oplus$ is the two-mode squeezing operation, which corresponds to the amplifying parameter $\kappa \in [1, \infty]$. Then using the similar argument for the case of attenuator, we can obtain an upper bound for quantum capacity of the general amplifier channel $Q(\Phi_{\kappa,\rho_E}, N)$ from the linear cQEP1 such as

$$Q(\Phi_{\kappa,\rho_E}, N) \leq g(\kappa N + (\kappa - 1)(N_E + 1)) - \log \left(\kappa - 1 + \kappa e^{-S(\rho_E)}\right) - S(\rho_E), \quad (16)$$

Similarly, we can also get $Q_{U_2}^a$, which follows from Eq. (15),

$$Q_{U_2}^a \equiv g(\kappa N + (k - 1)(N_E + 1)) - \log \left(\kappa - 1 + \kappa e^{-S(\rho_E)}\right) - S(\rho_E). \quad (17)$$

IV. LOWER BOUNDS ON THE QUANTUM CAPACITY

Now, we need to consider proper lower bounds on the quantum capacity for our general attenuators and amplifiers in order to compare with the upper bounds. We can obtain lower bounds on those channels by means of Gaussian optimizer with fixed input entropy [27]. in which the thermal state reaches the minimum output entropy of the given channel. We can express a lower bound of the quantum capacity for the general attenuator as

$$Q(\Phi_{\tau,\rho_E}, N) \geq \max_{E(\rho) \leq N} I_c(\Phi_{\tau,\rho_E}, \rho) \geq S(\Phi_{\tau,\rho_E}(\rho_{th}, N)) - S(\Phi_{\tau,\rho_E}(\rho_{th}, N)), \quad (18)$$

where the second inequality from using a specific thermal state as an input state, instead of optimizing over all possible states. In order to obtain a bound on the first term, we recall $\Phi_{\tau,\rho_E}(\rho_{th}, N) = \Phi_{\tau - \tau,\rho_{th}, N}(\rho_{th})$ by considering the corresponding characteristic functions [18]. Then, by the fact that the output entropy of the single mode phase-insensitive Gaussian channel for a fixed input entropy is minimized by the thermal state having the same entropy, we can get the inequality [27],

$$S(\Phi_{\tau,\rho_E}(\rho_{th}, N)) = S(\Phi_{\tau - \tau,\rho_{th}, N}(\rho_{th})) \geq g((1 - \tau)N_{th} + \tau N). \quad (19)$$

For a bound on the second term of Eq. (18), we use the maximality of Gaussian state again as in Ref. [26], then

$$S(\Phi_{\tau,\rho_E}(\rho_{th}, N)) \leq S(\Phi_{\tau,\rho_E}(\rho_{th}, N)) + S(R) \leq g((1 - \tau)N + \tau N_E) + S(\rho_E). \quad (20)$$

where $R$ is the reference system for purifying environment and using the fact that $\Phi_{\tau,\rho_E} = \Phi_{\tau - \tau,\rho_{th}}$. Finally we get the lower bound on the quantum capacity for the general attenuator as

$$Q(\Phi_{\tau,\rho_E}, N) \geq g((1 - \tau)N_{th} + \tau N) - g((1 - \tau)N + \tau N_E) - S(\rho_E) \equiv Q_L. \quad (21)$$

Similarly, a lower bound on the quantum capacity of the amplifier can be written as

$$Q(\Phi_{\kappa,\rho_E}, N) \geq \max_{E(\rho) \leq N} I_c(\Phi_{\kappa,\rho_E}, \rho) \geq S(\Phi_{\kappa,\rho_{th}}(\rho_{th}, N)) - S(\Phi_{\kappa,\rho_E}(\rho_{th}, N)). \quad (22)$$

The first term is bounded from below as in Ref. [27], and so we have

$$S(\Phi_{\kappa,\rho_{th}}(\rho_{th}, N)) = S(\Phi_{\kappa-1,\rho_{th}}(\rho_{th})) \geq g((k - 1)N_{th} + \kappa(N + 1)), \quad (23)$$
and the second term is bounded from above using maximality of Gaussian state as in Ref. [26], and so we obtain

$$S(\Phi_{N,\rho E}^c(\rho_{\text{th}},N)) \leq S(\Phi_{N,\rho E}^c(\rho_{\text{th}},N)) + S(\rho_R) \leq g((\kappa - 1)N + \kappa(N + 1)) + S(\rho_E).$$

Consequently, we get the lower bound on the quantum capacity of amplifier as follows:

$$Q(\Phi_{N,\rho E},N) \geq g((\kappa - 1)N + \kappa(N + 1)) - g((\kappa - 1)N + \kappa(N + 1)) - S(\rho_E) \equiv Q_L^2.$$  

V. EXAMPLES

In the previous sections, we have investigated our upper and lower bounds on the quantum capacity for the case in which environment can be any state in general. Here we give specific examples in order to consider the physical meanings of our results. The first nontrivial example whose quantum capacity is unknown is the thermal attenuator, in which the environment is the thermal state. Unfortunately, our upper bounds cannot improve them. Therefore we investigate more general Gaussian environment, i.e., squeezed thermal state, to the non-Gaussian environment.

A. Squeezed thermal environment

We can express the covariance matrix of a centered squeezed thermal state as

$$\gamma_{\text{th}} = (2N_{\text{th}} + 1) \begin{pmatrix} e^{2r} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-2r} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $N_{\text{th}}$ is the mean photon number from the thermal noise, and $r \in [0, \infty)$ is the squeezing parameter. Then the mean photon number $N_E$ of this state can be written as

$$N_E = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\text{Tr} \gamma_{\text{th}}}{2} - 1 \right) = \frac{1}{2} (2N_{\text{th}} + 1) \cosh 2r - 1).$$

Therefore, we can easily obtain the value of entropy $S(\rho_E) = g(N_{\text{th}})$, and $N_E$ for given mean thermal photon $N_{\text{th}}$ and squeezing parameter $r$. The squeezed thermal state is the most general single-mode Gaussian state when its mean is placed at origin, which can be always removed by the local symplectic unitary transformation. Consequently, what we are considering here are general Gaussian attenuator and amplifier. We plot the upper and lower bounds of the quantum capacity with respect to input state energy in Fig. 2. Our results give narrow bounds near the region of $\tau, \kappa \sim 1$, when the input energies are low.

B. Non-Gaussian environment

As next examples, we investigate a pure non-Gaussian environment (e.g., Fock state) and a general mixed state. In the case of pure environment, $S(\rho_E) = N_{\text{th}} = 0$ by definition. Therefore the upper and lower bounds have very simple forms such as

$$Q_{U_1} = Q_{U_2} = g(\tau N + (1 - \tau)N_E),$$
$$Q_{L} = g(\tau N) - g((1 - \tau)N + \tau N_E),$$
$$Q_{U_1}^a = Q_{U_2}^a = g(\kappa N + (\kappa - 1)(N_E + 1)) - \log(2\kappa - 1),$$
$$Q_{L}^a = g(\kappa(N + 1)) - g((\kappa - 1)N + \kappa(N_E + 1)).$$

In Fig. 3, we plot the upper and lower bounds for these channels.

For the last example, we consider more noisy non-Gaussian environment, in a sense that the mean photon number and entropy are relatively high. We can figure out that our bounds are not so tight in this case and the two upper bounds split (Fig. 4).
VI. DISCUSSIONS

We have investigated upper and lower bounds on the energy-constrained quantum capacity for general attenuator and amplifier. Our primary method is cQEPI, which can be used for obtaining bounds on the output entropy of the complementary channel. Although our results do not give tighter bounds over known results for thermal attenuator and amplifier, it is applicable to more general environment, no matter whether it is Gaussian or not. Moreover, we have shown that our bounds become tight ones when the channel transmissivity is near unity and the input energy is low.

Since the general attenuator and amplifier cannot cover all single-mode Gaussian channels, one of the most important works is finding an equivalent class of all single-mode Gaussian channels having the same quantum capacity. Furthermore, there is still a possibility for finding a tighter bound on the quantum capacity of the amplifier, as can be seen from our results (Fig. 2 (b) and Fig. 3 (b)). Even for the thermal amplifier, the known upper bound is not that tight [16] compared with the thermal attenuator, so we have not observed any activation of the quantum capacity, which was investigated in Ref. [10]. With these considerations, we expect that our work could extend the knowledge of the quantum capacity, which is still far from being fully understood.
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