Decoupling between electric and thermal conduction in low dimensional systems
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We studied the thermal conduction in a system comprising two widely separated 1D channels by monitoring the thermo-voltage built between the two channels. We find the position of thermo-voltage peak follows a cubic trend against applied transverse magnetic field instead of a trivial linear or quadratic one. Meanwhile, a unique temperature dependence associated with the magnetic field is also observed. Our results suggest that perhaps heat and charge current are sensitive to different modes in the system.

Thermal and electric conduction in a conducting system are generally strongly coupled to each other. The coupling is found to be well established in two dimensional electron gas in both the low magnetic field and integer quantum Hall regime, as well as one dimensional electron gas. However, some recent studies on the fractional quantum Hall regime (FQHE), where electron-electron interaction dominates, show different results regarding thermal conduction. It is found that charge could only flow downstream due to scattering induced by disorder or equilibration process between different edges; on the other hand, both downstream and upstream heat flow are permitted. These results renew the interest in validating the coupling between thermal and electric transport in other systems.

In the present work, we focus on thermal conduction between two widely separated 1D systems. 1D systems are interesting platforms which host novel electronic states such as Luttinger liquid and Wigner lattice. recent work points out that 1D systems can exhibit fractional quantization similar to FQHE. Previous thermoelectric measurements on 1D systems (not in the fractional regime) show no signs of decoupling between thermal and electric conduction. Here, by monitoring the magnetic field and temperature dependence of thermal conduction, we suggest the decoupling between thermal and electric conduction can be triggered by a relatively small transverse magnetic field ($\sim 0.5$ T). Although the mechanisms account for the decoupling in our system differ from that in FQHE, the results indicate the existence of modes which carry no net charge but only heat. Our results have potential implications on both the development of next-generation caloritronics and fundamental behaviour such as many-body localization.

EXPERIMENT

We adapted the well-established measurement scheme as shown in Fig. 1(a), to investigate the thermoelectric property. Heating current was fed to the 2DEG at frequency $f_0$ (33 Hz) and the thermo-voltage $V_{th}$ was measured between two QPCs, separated by $\sim 1000$ $\mu$m, at frequency $2f_0$. It has been demonstrated that except in the region of the 0.7-feature the thermopower $S$ of a 1D system follows Mott’s law:

$$S_i = \frac{V_{th,i}}{T_i - T_1} = -\frac{\pi^2 k_B^2}{3e} (T_{e,i} + T_1) \frac{\partial G_i}{\partial \mu_i}$$

where $V_{th,i}$ is the thermo-voltage between i-th QPC and 2DEG, $T_{e,i}$ and $T_1$ are the electron temperature for QPC1 and 2, $T_1$ is the lattice temperature, $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant, $e$ is the elementary electron charge and $\mu_i$ is the chemical potential within the QPCs.

The net thermo-voltage between the two QPCs $V_{th} = V_{th,1} - V_{th,2}$. The $V_{th}$ depends on the status both QPC1 and QPC2. Trace II in Fig. 1(b) (QPC1 set to 3G) follows the prediction of Mott’s law [green trace in upper panel of Fig.1 (b)], whereas trace I (QPC1 set to 2.5G) exhibit a complementary behaviour, i.e. peaks of trace I alter into dips and vice versa. This is because although $V_{th,2}$ is the same in these two cases, $V_{th,1}$ was large when QPC1 was set to 2.5G whereas it almost vanished at 3G, and thus result in different $V_{th}$. By tuning $V_1$ and $V_2$ (gate voltage applied to QPC1 and 2) independently, we could extract a detailed $V_{th}$ spectrum as illustrated in Fig. 1(c). As seen organized square patterns were present, the horizontal bright segments corresponded to heat flow from QPC2 to QPC1, vertical ones indicate heat flow from QPC1 to QPC2, whereas the dark region suggests no net heat flow between the two QPCs (a complete spectrum including 2D regime can be found in note 1 of supplemental figure S1).
Anomalous temperature dependence associated magnetic field was also observed. $V_{th}$ was first characterized at zero magnetic field with lattice temperature elevated from 20 mK to 1.6 K as shown in Fig. 4(a) and (b). The peaks attenuated monotonically with increasing temperature; the magnitude of $V_{th}$ peaks at different $B_2$ shared a universal scaling against temperature after normalization. These observations were consistent with a previous report. $V_{th}$ peaks gradually became temperature insensitive with increasing $B_1$ as illustrated in Fig. 4(c)-(e). It is particularly important to emphasize observations that encounter mechanisms based on Landau levels: I. $V_{th}$ acquired at 500 (dip in SdH spectrum), 530 (peak) and 550 mT (dip) showed a similar temperature dependence especially after normalization, whereas it was well known that electric measurements at SdH dip and peak had rather distinguishable temperature dependence. II. 800 mT corresponded to the gap between two spin-resolved Landau levels [Fig. 4(d)], a rapid change in thermo-voltage was expected after thermal-activation processes were triggered at $\sim$200 mK ($g_{\mu_B}B = k_B T$ with the g-factor set to -0.44), which is contrary to the observation. Energy dissipation in the 2DEG affects thermo-voltage, especially in the presented experimental arrangement where the two QPCs are far apart. The energy dissipation rate highly temperature sensitive in the investigated field range and so is not the leading factor for the temperature dependence reported here.

**DISCUSSION**

Simple transport mechanisms contribute to both electric and thermal conduction, hence is insufficient to interpret the magnetic field and temperature. Additional modes that contribute to thermal conduction should be taken into account. Apart from the QHE upstream scenario we have excluded, it is expedient to discuss several candidates as well:

I. Phonon drag. Phonon drag augments thermopower (also thermo-voltage) with increasing magnetic field. The contribution from phonon drag scales with the mean free path of bulk phonons and thus may be suitable for our system where the two QPCs are widely separated. However, phonon drag usually freezes out below 0.6 K in GaAs heterojunction. In our experiment, there was no sign of phonon drag switching on/off at finite magnetic field. Additionally, phonon drag is sensitive to the change in Landau filling factor and thus one would expect data at 500 and 530 mT to show different behaviour, which is opposite to the observations. Hence, phonon drag is unlikely to be the leading mechanism.

II. A spin density wave (SDW). A SDW can be induced by transverse magnetic field and is separated from the single particle mode by an energy gap. The energy gap may help to explain why $V_{th}$ peaks eventually decouple with $\frac{\partial \Delta G}{\partial V_2}$ peaks. A SDW undergoes a rich
the insulating SDW phase is not necessarily accessible in electric measurement when it is enveloped by the single particle mode. The melting temperature of a SDW is enhanced by the magnetic field, which might result in the observed evolution in temperature dependence. However, observations on a SDW in GaAs heterojunction usually involve excitation between several 2D subbands, which is unlikely to be found in the current experiment.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have observed an unexplained thermal conduction between two widely separated 1D systems. The thermal conduction decouples from electric conduction with an increasing transverse magnetic field. The magnetic field and temperature dependence of the thermal conduction should be carefully managed such as many-body localization, and the interplay between the heat and charge carrier may shed insight on the formation of novel electronic states.
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METHOD

The devices were fabricated on a high mobility two-dimensional electron gas (2DEG) formed at the interface of GaAs/Al$_{0.33}$Ga$_{0.67}$As heterostructure. The metallic gates are deposited on the surface, which is 90 nm away from the 2DEG. The electron density (mobility) measured at 1.5 K was $1.80 \times 10^{11}$ cm$^{-2}$ ($2.1 \times 10^6$ cm$^2$V$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$). All the measurements were performed in a cryofree dilution refrigerator with a base lattice temperature of 20 mK, unless specified. Two devices from the same wafer were measured and showed similar behaviour.

The 2DEG was heated by 1 \( \mu \)A at frequency of 33 Hz, whereas the thermo-voltage \( V_{th} \) drop between the two QPCs was measured at frequency of 66 Hz. We set the amplitude of heating current to 1 \( \mu \)A for an optimized signal to noise ratio, the QPC does not enter the non-linear regime under the maximum source-drain bias. The usage of two pairs of QPCs minimize background noise. Using two QPCs can also allow one to determine the heat flow direction. On the other hand, two different systems for electric measurement were utilized in the experiment: I. The behaviour of each individual QPCs was characterized with standard two-terminal conductance measurement; II. Electric transport between the two QPCs was measured with voltmeter set to 33 Hz using the same layout in Fig.1 (a), in order to check whether or not the electric conduction also shows anomalous behaviour.

It is necessary to emphasise here, \( V_{th} \) is a net result between the two QPCs; therefore \( V_{th} \) appears as peaks or dips depending on both QPCs. For instance, trace II in Fig.1(b) exhibits a peak whereas trace I shows dip at \( V_2 \sim -0.82 \) V; on the other hand, it is known from the conductance measurement that a large thermo-voltage drop should be built between QPC2 and a common 2DEG reservoir at this particular gate voltage. In brief, a peak in the \( V_{th} \) with respect to \( V_2 \) does not necessarily refer to a large thermo-voltage drop between QPC2 and the 2DEG, the physical interpretation depends on the status of QPC1. This indicates that special care should be taken to interpret the magnetic field dependence [Fig. 3], because the field affects both QPCs. In order to avoid ambiguity, we compare the results with QPC1 set to a given gate voltage to QPC1 set to zero gate voltage. If the studied peaks at different fields indeed have the same origin, then the position of peaks in these two sets of measurements should appear at the same gate voltage.


FIG. 1. Experiment setup and thermo-voltage at zero magnetic field. 

a. Schismatic of experiment setup. The squares at the edge of the mesa are Ohmic contacts. The shining metallic gates define QPC1 and 2, and the QPCs are separated by $\sim 1000 \, \mu m$. 

b. The upper panel shows conductance characteristic of QPC2. The lower panel illustrates two representative results of $V_{th}$ as a function of $V_2$ with QPC1 set to 2.5$G_0$ for trace I and 3$G_0$ for trace II ($G_0 = \frac{2e^2}{h}$). 

c. $V_{th}$ spectrum with both QPC1 and QPC2 set to quasi-1D regime ($V_1, V_2 \leq -0.3 \, V$). The white dashed cut I and II correspond to results in b.
FIG. 2. $V_{th}$ spectrum as a function of transverse magnetic field. a-c $V_{th}$ spectrum with transverse magnetic field set to 500, -500 and -750 mT, respectively. The spectrum changes dramatically at finite magnetic field compared to that at zero field.
FIG. 3. Magnetic field dependence of $V_{th}$ peak position. a. Trace II in Fig. 1(b) as a function of transverse magnetic field. A shift of position of $V_{th}$ peaks is highlighted by the red dashed line. b. Position of the highlighted $V_{th}$ peak in panel a (with respect to $V_2$). Solid traces show two types of fitting with (red) and without (green) $|B|^3$ term, respectively. Inset highlights contribution to peak shift from $B^2$ and $|B|^3$ terms. c. Comparison between measured $V_{th}$ peak position and prediction based on $\frac{d\ln G_2}{dV_2}$. Inset shows a zoom-in of low field regime.
FIG. 4. Lattice temperature dependence of $V_{th}$ peaks. a. Temperature dependence at 0 T. b. Universal scaling of normalized magnitude of $V_{th}$ peaks. The magnitude of each peak is normalized against its value at 20 mK. c. Temperature dependence at 0.8 T. d. SdH oscillation. The red dots highlight the magnetic field values presented in e. e. Normalized magnitude of peak 1 at different magnetic field as a function of lattice temperature.
Supplemental Fig 1. **Complete thermo-voltage voltage, $V_{th}$, spectrum at zero magnetic field.** The data is the same as that shown in Fig.1 of main text but includes results when the QPCs are set to quasi-2D regime. The square pattern only occur with both QPCs set to quasi-1D regime. If QPC1 (QPC2) is in quasi-2D regime then only vertical (horizontal) segments are observable. No feature is present with both QPCs tuned into quasi-2D regime (the right bottom corner of the colorplot).
Supplemental Fig 2. *V_{th} spectrum in small field regime.* a-b. The transverse magnetic field is set to 100 mT and 200 mT, respectively. The results are qualitatively similar to that at zero field, both the horizontal and vertical segments are well defined. However, the horizontal segments weaken gradually with increasing field.
Supplemental Fig 3. Electric measurements at both zero and finite transverse magnetic field. Experiment setup for electric measurement is the same as that shown in Fig. 1(a), however, voltage meter measures at frequency $f_0$ instead of $2f_0$. a. Electric measurement results at zero magnetic field. In contrast to thermo-voltage measurement, squares patterns are absent in electric measurement. b. Representative electric measurement results correspond to the line cut marked in panel b, the traces are almost identical apart from a change in the constant background. c-d. Electric measurement results at 500 mT and -500 mT, respectively. There is no sign of field orientation dependence. The influence of transverse magnetic field is minimized because of the large separation between the two QPCs, and thus not observable in both electric and thermal measurements.
Supplemental Fig 4. **Magnetic field dependence of trace II in Fig. 1(b) of main text.**

a. Same data as that shown in Fig.3(a) of main text, but covers the whole range of $V_2$. The $V_{th}$ peaks shift towards less negative $V_2$ with increasing field.

b. Position of peak I and II as a function of magnetic field. The round red markers stand for peak I, and the solid red trace is the corresponding fitting $V_{pos} = 0.009479 \times |B|^3 + 0.00552 \times B^2 - 0.8336$; the square blue markers represent peak II, and the solid blue trace is the corresponding fitting $V_{pos} = 0.01268 \times |B|^3 + 0.02529 \times B^2 - 0.763$. The magenta triangular markers represent peak III, and the solid magenta trace is the corresponding fitting $V_{pos} = 0.02066 \times |B|^3 + 0.06793 \times B^2 - 0.7029$.

c. Validation of $|B|^3$ term. $\Delta V_{pos}$ is defined by subtracting the constant term from $V_{pos}$. It is seen that for all the three peaks $\Delta V_{pos}/B^2$ shows a linear behaviour against $B$, apart from the ultra-low field regime where slightly uncertainty can cause noticeable divergence and large field regime (for peak I) where the experimental results saturate. It is necessary to point out that despite the coefficient for $|B|^3$ term increases with split gate voltage $V_2$ becomes less negative, the ratio between the coefficient of $|B|^3$ term and $B^2$ term goes down. Hence, it requires a larger $B$ for $|B|^3$ to become the leading term at less negative $V_2$. For instance, it requires 0.5 T, 1.8 T, 3.3 T for peak I-III to make $|B|^3$ term dominate over $B^2$ term. This trend may explain why $|B|^3$ term has not been observed in 2D regime.