Technically correct visualization of biological microscopic experiments
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Abstract. The most realistic images that reflect native cellular and intracellular structure and behavior can be obtained only using bright-field microscopy. At high-intensity pulsing LED illumination, we captured the primary 12-bit-per-channel (bpc) signal from an observed sample using a bright-field microscope equipped with a high-resolution (4872×3248) camera. In order to suppress image distortions arising from light passing through the whole microscope optical path, from camera sensor defects and geometrical peculiarities of sensor sensitivity, these uncompressed 12-bpc images underwent a kind of correction after simultaneous calibration of all the parts of the experimental arrangement. Moreover, the final corrected images (from biological experiments) show the calculated number of photons reaching each camera pixel and can be visualized in 8-bpc intensity depth after the Least Information Loss compression (Štys et al., Lect. Notes Bioinform. 9656, 2016).
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1 Introduction

Techniques of light microscopy give us a possibility to look inside living cells and have been considered as the standard in living cells observation for decades. However, each of these microscopic techniques suffers from some disadvantages. Unlike transmitted and reflected light microscopy techniques, fluorescence microscopy only allows the observation of specific structures which have been preliminarily labeled for fluorescence. Other limitations of the fluorescence microscopy are connected with photobleaching and enhanced phototoxicity [1]. These aspects are avoided in contrast microscopy, e.g., phase contrast microscopy.
or differential interference contrast [1], where, naturally, some intracellular structures are enhanced whereas some of them are suppressed by artificial light interferences. For observation of the biological experiments using all microscopic techniques mentioned above, a grayscale digital camera is sufficient.

Classical bright-field transmission microscopy allows the observation of unlabelled living cells and tissues and their internal structures and is of increasing interest due to recent experiments on super-resolution using videoenhancement [2] and live cell dynamics [3]. In order to describe the spectral properties of the observed sample, in the proposed microscope arrangement [2,3], the object response is captured by a color digital camera chip sensor. As a result of even slight defects of equipment and noises in the optical path, images may suffer from strong distortions which disable an exact and simple data processing and analysis. Therefore, the microscope as any standard equipment should be calibrated in order to achieve more accurate representation of the object for further digital processing or visualization.

In the presented article we describe a method for capturing, correction, and visualization of 12-bit raw images of unmodified biological samples obtained using a videoenhanced bright-field wide-field microscope. The image correction is based on the spectral characteristics of light captured by each camera pixel during the calibration process. The possible image visualization utilizes a full 8-bit-per-channel (bpc) range for transformation of 14-bpc corrected images. In this way, we acquired the most informative (in the sense of color and contrast) microscopic images of cells that can be mutually visually compared at the level of the whole image series. The method may be technically improved but, from the conceptual point of view, is complete.

2 Material and Methods

2.1 High-resolution bright-field wide-field light microscope

In this paper we present the process of calibration of the optical path and digital camera sensor chip of the high-resolved bright-field wide-field light microscope enabling observation of sub-microscopic objects, further called the nanoscope [2,3]. This microscope was developed by the Institute of Complex Systems (ICS) of the Faculty of Fisheries and Protection of Waters (FFPW) in collaboration with the Petr Tax - Optax company (Czech Republic). The optical path consisted of two Luminus 360 light emitting diodes charged by the current up to 5000 mA which illuminate by series of light flashes in a gentle mode and enable the videoenhancement [4]. A projective lens magnifies (2×) and projects the image on a JAI camera with a 12-bpc color Kodak KAI-16000 digital camera chip of 4872×3248 resolution. The process of capturing the primary signal is controlled by a custom control software. The optical system of the microscope is facilitated by infrared and ultraviolet filters. This prototype microscope allows to conduct experiments in time-lapse mode (a capturing of the image series at one focus position) and scanning of the interior of the cell along the optical axis in the z-scan mode (capturing in different focus positions). The z-scan can be performed
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automatically by a programmable mechanics with the step size down to the 100 nm.

Fig. 4 shows an image from a time-lapse experiment on HeLa cells taken at the microscope set-up as follows: camera gain 0, offset 0, and exposure 148 ms; LED current 4500 mA; objective Nikon LWD 20×/0.4, Ph1 ADL, ∞/1.2, WD 3.1. Fig. 6 shows an image from a time-lapse experiment (2685 images) on human fibroblasts taken at the microscope set-up as follows: camera gain 0, offset 300, and exposure 89.2 ms; LED current 4500 mA; objective Nikon LWD 40×/0.55, Ph1 ADL, ∞/1.2, WD 2.1.

The comparative biological experiments in Fig. 3 were done using

1. a Nikon Eclipse 80i microscope with an objective Nikon Plan Fluor 40×/0.75, Ph2 DLL, ∞/0.17 WD 0.66 and a CCD-13QB camera and
2. an Olympus IX51 microscope with an objective LUC Plan FLN 40× 0.60 Ph2, ∞/0-2/NF 22 and an Infinity 1 camera.

2.2 Microscope system calibration and image correction

At the same experimental set-up as the biological microscope experiment (Sect. 2.1), the camera calibration and image correction was performed in the following steps:

1. Acquisition of the calibration images of gray coatings.
   (a) A Linear Step ND Filter NDL–10S–4 with 10 different opacities coated on a 2.0-mm glass was used to collect the data on camera chip sensor response. Filters 5–10 (counted from the darkest filter) were scanned through the whole depth with the step of 100 nm. In this way, 6 sets of 1309 images each were obtained. The curve L1 in Fig. 2b–f corresponds to the filter of the fifth opacity. The image of zero (L0) and the highest (L7) intensity was measured in dark and without any filter, respectively.
   (b) The point information gain entropy (PIE) which gives a total change of the information after iterative removing one pixel of each individual image intensity (chosen Rényi parameter α = 2) [5] was calculated using the Image Info Extractor Professional software (ICS FFPW) [5] for each (red, green, blue) camera channel of the gray filter’s response images.
   (c) The values PIE allowed to pick an in-focus image taken from the center of the coatings (Fig. 1). This reduced the diffraction-induced noise from the bounds of the sample.

   (a) The microscope objective was replaced for a fibre spectrophotometer Ocean Optics USB 4000 VIS-NIR-ES by which the light transmittance spectra (Fig. 2a) of the gray coatings NDL–10S–4 relevant to those in item 1a were measured successively.

3. Calculation of the photon counts reaching each camera pixel after passing the gray calibration coatings.
Fig. 1. Dependence of the PIE at $\alpha = 2$ on the relative position of the objective along the z-axis for the red (R), green (G), and blue (B) channel of a calibration gray filter. The in-focus image selected for the calibration is shown by the arrow.

(a) The light spectra reaching each pixel of the camera (Fig. 2c–e) were obtained from multiplication of the gray filter’s transmittance spectra (item 2) by the respective (red, green, blue) quantum efficiency profile of the Kodak KAI-16000 chip (Fig. 2a).

(b) For each gray NDL–10S–4 filter, the total number of photons (i.e., photon counts in Fig. 2f) captured by each pixel was calculated as an integral (trapezoidal rule) of the area below the respective incident spectrum.

4. Plotting the calibration curves for all pixels and image correction.

(a) For each pixel of the mean calibration image (see items 1–2), the points of the calibration curve were constructed (e.g., Fig. 3) as a dependency of each individual pixel intensity of each individual calibration image (item 1) on the total number of photons reaching this pixel (Fig. 2f). Each pair of two consecutive calibration points was fitted by the linear interpolation.

(b) Using the calibration relation of the relevant section of the calibration curve, the intensity of each pixel of the testing image of the biological experiment (Fig. 6) was converted to values of the total number of photons (in double precision numbers). For further image operations, the resulted matrix was transferred into a 14-bpc png format.

The computation part of the microscope system calibration and image correction described in items 3–4 is implemented in the Verca software (ICS FFPW).

2.3 Least information loss (LIL) image conversion

The LIL Converter software was developed by the ICS FFPW to visualize >8-bpc images more informatively and comparably [6]. This program allows to convert RGB, grayscale, and raw (an appropriate Bayer mask [7] can be selected) images to 8-bpc images. Rescaling over the intensity maximum and minimum can be applied either separately for each color channel or together. If color channels are normalized separately, more information in the image is preserved although information about absolute color is completely lost. In case of multi-image series,
Fig. 2. a) The declared spectra of the Bayer mask filters for a Kodak KAI-16000 camera chip. b) The light spectra of gray coatings NDL–10S–4 measured by a fiber spectrophotometer. c) The spectra of incoming light captured by the red camera channel. d) The spectra of incoming light captured by the green (G1, G2) camera channel. e) The spectra of incoming light captured by the blue camera channel. f) Integral values for each light level.
Fig. 3. Exemplary calibration curves for the red (R), green (G1, G2), and blue (B) camera channel for image pixels [1, 8], [1, 2428], [1608, 1], and [1608, 2428] of images visualized in Fig. 6.
the intensities are rescaled between intensity maximum and minimum for the whole series. The empty (unoccupied) levels are always removed in case that they are empty in all channels. Images can be cropped which is useful namely for removing dead pixel rows and columns. The program is optimized to utilize multi-core CPUs.

3 Results and Discussion

The nanoscope described in Sect. 2.1 was developed mainly for studying unlabeled live and fixed cells to investigate the most native cell structures. It means that any (immuno)fluorescent modifications are not applied to the cells and no additional contributions to the object response influence the detected signals. Investigation of the unmodified samples does not require complicated sample preparation and does not decrease the lifetime of the cells.

In exemplary Fig. 4, HeLa cells are presented in the bright-field, phase contrast, and a fluorescent mode of a commercial microscope and in videoenhanced high-resolved imaging using the nanoscope at the same total magnification. The staining for fluorescence microscopy (c) visualizes only selected parts of the cell interior and information about the positions, shapes, or behavior of the other organelles is lost. In the phase contrast imaging (a), the cell borders are surrounded by halos of light interference. In the bright-field mode of the commercial microscope (b), the cell structures are hardly observed mainly due to a lower intensity of illumination and a larger size of an object which can be projected on a camera pixel. Moreover, due to the usage of a grayscale camera, the spectral characteristics of the standard bright-field image are hardly analyzable. In contrast, the nanoscope (d) provides primary color images where all cell borders, nucleus, nucleolus, condensing chromosome during mitosis, and some other organelles are nicely visible and the contrast can be further intensify by the method of simultaneous optical path and camera chip calibration applied to the primary, raw, camera signal (Sect. 2.2).

The calibration method (Sect. 2.2) allows to obtain more realistic spectral properties of a microobject. Narrow original, uncorrected, image intensity spectra (Fig. 5a) resulting from peculiarities of the light source and transformation of light energy into electrical signals in the camera chip show that for further computer processing there is not much information (which can be significant) about color differences between different parts of the image and between essential regions of it and the background. On the contrary, corrected intensity spectra (relevant to the number of photons reaching a camera chip) are much wider and allow computer to use color information more extensively (Fig. 5b). Moreover, the calibrated image shows no signs of optical vignetting (which is an important feature mainly for macroscopic camera imaging).

For the correct assessment of experimental results, a correct visualization of the >8-bpc images is important as well. For this, we proposed a LIL algorithm (Sect. 2.3). Fig. 6 shows images of human fibroblasts with and without prior calibration converted using the LIL algorithm applied to a single image and a
Fig. 4. 8-bpc images of HeLa cells pictured by a) a phase-contrast microscope Olympus IX51 with a Infinity 1 camera (40 × objective magnification), b) a bright-field microscope Olympus IX51 with a Infinity 1 camera (40 × objective magnification, stained by fluorescein), and c) the nanoscope (20 × objective magnification followed by 2 × projective lens magnification) giving raw image data (without microscope calibration). Panels b and d are visualized by the LIL algorithm.
Fig. 5. Red, green, and blue intensity histograms. a) Uncorrected raw image, b) corrected raw image, c) uncorrected RGB image after LIL compression of the single image (Fig. 6a), d) corrected RGB image after LIL compression through the single image (Fig. 6c), e) uncorrected RGB image of the single image (Fig. 6b), f) corrected RGB image after LIL compression through the whole image series (Fig. 6d).
whole time-lapse series. Fig. 5c–f further presents intensity histograms relevant to the images in Fig. 6. Due to the camera sensor design, a border between a left and right half of the uncalibrated image can be seen which can be crucial for digital processing algorithms. In addition, in the calibrated, corrected, image we suppressed images of artefacts such as grains of dust in the microscope optical path (cf. Fig. 6a with c) and intensify color contrast between different cellular structures and the background. All images in Fig. 6 were obtained by separate normalization of color channels so colors there are not natural. Even though the information is necessarily lost in the transformation from >8-bpc into 8-bpc images, the LIL transformation of an individual image preserves the highest proportion of it and the transformation of a whole series preserves most of the information in the series and allows a mutual visual comparison of its images.

4 Conclusions

Biological experiments are hardly reproducible and repeatable but also often sensitive to the technical provision. The approach presented in this article allows without any extensive technical treatment to reduce the impact of optical path inhomogeneities and defects of a camera chip on color properties of images in a bright-field microscopy experiment and thus allows to maximize the yield of information obtained in the technically simplest possible experiment. Due to the fact that this experimental technology allows observation of living cells in dynamics and due to the perspectives of the Point Information Gain [4] or the Point Divergence Gain [9], in image processing (in particular, in feature and edge detection), the calibration procedure proposed here (if needed, followed by a correct image intensity compression) is promising for more adequate and precise transfer of color information to computer and researcher. The information in the uncalibrated image is obviously dominated by the chip and light path inhomogeneities and for proper evaluation only calibrated images may be used for assessment. This is particularly important for eventual automatic machine analysis which will be unavoidable in case of a large field of sight containing many individual objects that cannot be analyzed manually.

The further study will be carried out to utilize the method in observation and comparison of normal and cancerous cells and subcellular structures behavior during mitosis.
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Fig. 6. The LIL 8-bpc visualization of the original 12-bpc uncalibrated (a–b) and 14-bpc calibrated (c–d) image of human fibroblasts captured by the nanoscope (40× objective magnification). The LIL transformation was performed for a single image (a, c) and through the whole image series (b, d). The yellow and red arrow shows the interface of two chip halves and stains of dirty on the microscope optics, respectively.
References