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Abstract

These days usage of mobile applications has become quite a normal part of our lives, since every day we use our smartphones for communication, entertainment, business and even education. A high demand on various apps has led to significant growth of supply. Large number of apps offered, in turn, has led to complications in user’s search of the one perfectly suitable application. In this paper the authors have made an attempt to solve the problem of facilitating the search in app stores. With the help of a websites crawling software a sample of data was retrieved from one of the well-known mobile app stores and divided into 11 groups by types. Afterwards these groups of data were used to construct a Knowledge Schema - a graphic model of interconnections of data that characterize any mobile app in the selected store. This Schema creation is the first step in the process of developing a Knowledge Graph that will perform applications grouping to facilitate users search in app stores.
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1. Introduction

In the present time knowledge management has been gaining its importance in most organizations, since every day they are obtaining a lot of information, processing large massive of data and generating more and more new knowledge. In such a fast pace it becomes more difficult to control and structure all the data flows in a proper way, make the information available for all workers and enable any piece of information to be searched quickly and easily [25], [9], [12].

However, not only brick-and-mortar organizations or institutions face the need of having the knowledge structured. In World Wide Web, the world’s largest information space, around 2,5 quintillion bytes of data are created every day (according to Forbes1); more than 5 billion searches are processed every day (with 77% being conducted on Google). It is necessary to add that more than half of all the web searches are done from smartphones or tablets. This fact is not surprising since every reader would agree that there is almost no day in our today’s life that we spend without using one (or both) of these gadgets. Moreover, all our interactions with smartphones are based on using mobile applications (for calling, texting, searching for information, taking notes, playing games, etc.).

Today, a user is offered a large variety of mobile applications, provided by various repositories [22], [17]: the well-known Google Play store (previously Android Market), Apple App Store, Amazon Appstore for Android, BlackBerry World store, as well as some others (e.g. MalGenome, Drebin, AndroZoo). The perk of having such a wide choice of applications is quite obvious - a user can find an application that 100% fits his/her interests and tastes, as well as smartphone software requirements. Yet being offered a large variety of applications (of similar categories, of same price, with similar functions, etc.) a user gets confused and sometimes has to spend a lot of time on selecting the one particular app [21].

1 https://www.forbes.com
That is why developers of the above-mentioned repositories are continuously working on improvement of the search mechanisms in order to facilitate the process of choosing applications at their platforms and, as a result, stay valuable for users and competitive in the market. At the same time, a lot of researchers are taking their own attempts to solve the problem of improving search engines in mobile app stores.

This paper describes the first step of the authors research on mobile applications clustering. The idea is based on development of a Knowledge Graph that will structure data form applications. The use case for Knowledge Graph development is Google Play store (former Android Market). The data sample has been retrieved from the store with a website crawling software. The contribution of this study to general research is the combination of Knowledge Graph development methodology with the algorithms of app store data mining.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains a review of the relevant literature on app stores mining and development of Knowledge Graphs. It also sets the research questions to be answered in the paper. Section 3 presents the methodology of retrieving data from Google Play store and of constructing a Knowledge Schema based on these data. Section 4 contains description of the obtained data and of the knowledge Schema, which models the concept of a mobile application. In section 5 the authors highlight the contribution of the research, draw final conclusions and present suggestions as for their future research on this topic.

2. Literature Review

Literature review for app store mining is about two main strategies for mining. First approach is to mine all information about app like, price, technical info, number of downloads, rating, etc. Suh et al. [32] analyzed and visualized the structure of smartphone application services to identify which smartphone application services are being developed and provided in detail in App Store. Results were illustrated in three visual forms - grid, tree, and network. Harman et al. [8] used data mining to extract feature information, which then were combined with more readily available information to analyze apps' technical, customer and business aspects. This approach was applied to the 32,108 non-zero priced apps available in the Blackberry app store. Results show that there is a strong correlation between customer rating and the rank of app downloads, surprisingly, there is no correlation between price and downloads, nor between price and rating. Finkelstein et al. [6] used data mining to extract price and popularity information and natural language processing and data mining to elicit each app's claimed features from the Blackberry App Store, revealing strong correlations between customer rating and popularity (rank of app downloads). They found evidence for a mild correlation between price and the number of features claimed for an app and also found that higher priced features tended to be lower rated by their users. Martin et al. [19] introduced the app sampling problem and studied its effects on sets of user review data. This problem exists when only a subset of apps is studied, resulting in potential sampling bias. They found that app metrics such as price, rating, and download rank are significantly different between the sets with fully complete review data, partially complete review data, and no review data at all.

Second approach for app store mining is to do text mining only to reviews. Reviews are source for users’ feedback, requests for new features or reporting bugs. Iacob and Harrison [11] showed that 23.3% of reviews represent feature requests, i.e. comments through which users either suggest new features for an app or express preferences for the re-design of already existing features of an app. Pagano and Maalej [26] provided exploratory study, which analyzes over one million reviews from the Apple App Store. They found that most of the feedback is provided shortly after new releases, with a quickly decreasing frequency over time. Reviews typically contain multiple topics, such as user experience, bug reports, and feature requests. Chen et al. [4] presented novel computational framework for reviews mining, which performs comprehensive analytics from raw user reviews by extracting informative user reviews by filtering noisy and irrelevant ones, then grouping the informative reviews automatically using topic modeling, further prioritizing the informative reviews by an effective review ranking scheme, and finally presenting the groups of most informative reviews. Lai et al. [16] analyzed 4,480 user feedbacks from a health and fitness-tracking app in the Google Play with text mining. The result of this study shows that the users of health and fitness-related apps are concerned about their physical activity records and physiological records. The records include track, distance, time, and
calories burned during jogging or walking. App store reviews are used to analyze different aspects of app development and evolution. However, app users’ feedback does not only exist on the app store. Nayebi et al. [24] studied how Twitter can provide complementary information to support mobile app development. Genc-Nayebi and Abran [7] did a systematic literature review of opinion mining studies from mobile app store user reviews. Martin et al. [20] created a review which describes and compares the areas of research that have been explored thus far, drawing out common aspects, in app store analysis. They analyzed 187 papers connected with term „app store analysis,“.

One of the first (and, probably, most well-known) references to the notion of Knowledge Graph (hereafter - KG or Graph) was in 2012, when the Google company introduced its Graph, presenting it as a search tool that will “help discover information quickly and easily”. Google has not revealed the principles of its KG construction, yet it inspired developers to make attempts of developing new graphs (e.g. DBpedia, Freebase) or forming vocabularies for them (e.g. Schema.org, presently used by more than 10 million sites to markup their web pages and email messages).

In literature there exist many definitions of the Knowledge Graph phenomenon. For instance, Wang et al. [33] generalize the Graph to be a collection of relational facts represented in the form of a triplet; Ji et al. [14] state simply that KGs are directed graphs composed of entities as nodes and relations as edges; in Jia et al. [15] KG is an actual graph with entities of different types as nodes and various relations among them as edges. Paulheim [27] attributes the following characteristics to a KG: it contains real world entities and their interrelations in a form of a graph, allows for potentially interrelating arbitrary entities with each other and can cover various topical domains. Popping [30] defines the knowledge graph as a kind of semantic network that uses only a few types of relations, but also claims that additional knowledge may be added to the graph.

To sum up it can be stated that a Knowledge Graph represents interrelation of information entities, storing knowledge in accordance with the particular scheme, which is called Knowledge Schema (KS or Schema). Such Knowledge Schema, in turn [31]: forms the meta-layer for a Knowledge Graph and defines its internal structure, keeping similar classes of entities in abstract containers; contains potential relationships between classes and entities; is referred to as a reference point for integrating new data or constructing new queries.

For KS construction (and further data processing) it is possible to use Resource Description Framework Schema (RDF), which provides a means to define vocabulary, structure and constraints for expressing meta data of web resources. RDF forms a simple data model and a standardized syntax for meta data. It can be considered a language for writing down factual statements [1]. In accordance with the RDF standard, information in KS (and its technical description) is represented in so-called “triples” (subject-predicate-object), where the two entities (subject and object) are related to each other by a predicate. Each triple indicates a particular fact, showing interrelations of two selected entities.

When creating triplets for any knowledge domain, it is necessary to have a formed vocabulary of entities and their relations. For this purpose, standard vocabularies are recommended, since they have already been tested in many iterations, possess similar semantics and will be advantageous in integration with other systems and data sources. If no suitable vocabulary is found, or the selected vocabulary does not contain some of the required entities, new elements can be defined, provided that they are set in semantic relation to elements from the existing standard vocabularies [13].

It is interesting to observe the trends of research on Knowledge Graph or Knowledge Schema construction in today’s scientific society. The authors have analyzed two big scholarly literature search engines: Google Scholar and Web of Science. When creating a query in Google Scholar, two groups of keywords were used: 1) „knowledge graph” „app”; 2) „knowledge graph” „app store”. It is necessary to add that Google Scholar searched for the keywords not only in titles, but also in paper content. The authors searched for papers only in English language, for the period from 2017 till March 2019. In the first group (as
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2 Google blog [https://www.blog.google/products/search/introducing-knowledge-graph-things-not]
3 https://wiki.dbpedia.org/
4 http://basekb.com
5 A collaborative community maintaining structured data on the Internet [https://schema.org]
6 World Wide Web Consortium [https://www.w3.org]
for March 12) 509 results were shown, while in the second - only 34. Further analysis was divided into three steps. In the 1st step only paper titles were read, and the authors rejected those not fitting the topic completely (did not contain either of the keywords or, even if did contain, belonged to a totally different knowledge domain). At this stage the number of papers in the first group was reduced to 70, while in the second group - to 11. In the 2nd step the abstracts (together with keywords) of the selected 81 papers were read and the authors rejected the papers which were not dedicated to KG and/or mobile applications mining and/or clustering. After this part of analysis, the first group contained just 9 papers, and the second group - only 1 paper. The 3rd stage consisted in reading the full paper and analyzing whether it is strictly about using a KG for analyzing and/or clustering mobile applications, whether the methodology described fits the domain selected by the authors. This step has brought the following results: group one in the end contained only 7 papers, group 2 - 0 papers. The papers (see table 1) in group one are dedicated to construction of various types of Knowledge Graphs for analysis of applications content, users preferences, as well as for building clusters of applications based on their metadata.

In the analysis of Web of Science the authors used the same keywords in 2 groups: 1) TI=(knowledge graph AND app); 2) TI=(knowledge graph AND app store). In accordance with the Web of Science search rules, TI means searching through titles, AND is an operator meaning both keywords have to be present together. The authors searched for papers only in English language, for the period of the last 5 years (till March 2019). Query for the first group (as for March 13, 2019) resulted in obtaining 1 paper only; for the second group 0 papers were found. This one paper (see table 1) was accepted by the authors at all three stages of the analysis (title, abstract and content examination). It is dedicated to development of a knowledge-graph-based process of clustering mobile applications.

Table 1. Knowledge graph usage in app store mining: recent literature review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Research paper</th>
<th>Research results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>[2]</td>
<td>An algorithm that assimilates knowledge from written digital content - via modelling knowledge from digital documents as a KG.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>[3]</td>
<td>A tool for conducting topic clustering of mobile applications in the search result - based on a KG that groups topic labels into topic clusters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>[18]</td>
<td>An extension to Wikidata query service, based on semantic technology, in order to make the query mechanism more reliable, flexible and useful for advanced data analysis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>[22]</td>
<td>KG usage for representing Android apps and their relations, based on the processed data retrieved from different sources (Google Play and others)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>[23]</td>
<td>Automatic completion of a KG (inferring missing entities and relation types) and its application for user actions prediction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>[28]</td>
<td>Investigation of knowledge transfer into KG, KG completion and performance - in the sphere of user support in online social networks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>[29]</td>
<td>Semantic model based on a KG, applied for gathering data from heterogeneous sources into one information-based data platform.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>[34]</td>
<td>Mobile application based on semantic web technology and a KG, which simplifies data processing in museum sphere.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The outcome of this scholarly papers analysis allows to claim that Knowledge Graph development (and Knowledge Schema construction) for the purpose of mobile applications analysis and grouping (clustering) is not yet a widespread research issue. This fact gives the authors space for their own suggestions and experiments.

The review of existing literature has allowed the authors to formulate the following research questions (RQs):

RQ1a. Can the data downloaded from Google Play store be represented as triples in a
Knowledge Schema?

RQ1b. Are the data downloaded from Google Play store sufficient to be modeled as a Knowledge Schema?

RQ2. Does the selected app store mining method allow to retrieve the data sufficient for Knowledge Graph development?

3. Research Method

Data for analysis and further usage in a KG development were collected from Google Play store. The authors used an automated software for websites crawling - Screaming Frog SEO Spider⁷, which retrieved data, divided into 11 groups based on their type. Two different techniques for retrieving were used. First technique applied regular expressions to match 5 elements (number of downloads, last date of update, content rating, range of pricing and software requirements). The second technique used CSSPath to match the next 5 elements (developer, number of reviews, category, name, average rating). The URL was also retrieved for each application during the crawling process.

These two different techniques were needed, because some of the elements are written down firmly into a website structure and are always placed in the same context. These were retrieved by the CSSPath. The rest of elements can change their position in website structure, due to the incomplete data provided by the developer. Some of the app in Google Play do not have all of the information usually displayed on Google Play store. Regular expressions helped to collect the published in different part of websites.

The initial step of Knowledge Schema development is defining the knowledge domain in which it will be constructed. For this particular research the domain includes software applications terminology, particularly those for mobile devices. With reference to this domain and in accordance with the rules of KS vocabulary development, the authors have applied the RDF encoding terms from Schema.org and have added a few elements necessary for construction of a proper KS in the domain. Therefore, the entities in the Schema have the following categories: Class - can be applied for a subject or an object, defines the type of entity, especially the one that is not included in the vocabulary (e.g. User belongs to the class Person); Attribute - is the predicate of each triple, indicates relationships between entity classes or between a class and a data type (e.g. Person has name); Type - applied for a subject, defines the type of data for a particular class (e.g. Person has name of data type Text). A specific rule is applied for writing down attributes in each triple: if an attribute is complex (more than one word), it is written with no spaces, each first letter capitalized (e.g. subClassOf, legalName). The Knowledge Schema based on Google Play store data is presented in Figure 1.

Once the KS is built and checked from the point of view of its correctness and clarity, it can be transformed into the language of representation, which will be further read by an information system. One of such languages can be the Terse RDF Triple Language (called Turtle), which is a syntax for RDF. A Turtle document allows writing down an RDF knowledge graph in a compact textual form. It consists of a sequence of instructions, statements that generate triples, blank lines and (if necessary) comments. This language also guarantees compatibility with the systems that will execute queries in the Knowledge Graph.

4. Data and Results

4.1. Data

In the process of app store mining, the authors have downloaded from Google Play about 50 000 apps, which belong to 48 different categories. Additionally, there is the main category Games which includes 17 subcategories (action, adventure, arcade, board, card, casino, casual, educational, music, puzzle, racing, role playing, simulation, sports, strategy, trivia, word). In the downloaded sample games take 16.66% of total number of applications, and other apps belong to 31 different categories. Figure 1 represents shares of all categories in this sample.

⁷ https://www.screamingfrog.co.uk/seo-spider
Data in the Google Play store adapts to language settings of user’s browser and operating system. During initial screening the authors have seen different settings coming from different languages. First, prices of apps itself or in-app purchases were displayed in the currency set in the web browser. Second, the types of content rating were different for different localization settings. The authors checked three options of language & country setting: Poland, Ukraine and US. For Poland and Ukraine, the rating is displayed in Pan European Game Information (PEGI\(^8\)) standard, while for US the rating format comes from the Entertainment Software Rating Board (ESRB\(^9\)) \[5\]. Finally, the settings for US were adopted by the authors to proceed with the data mining. Third, except for recommending applications on the basis of language and country, Google Play provides different search results for authorized and non-authorized users. If not logged in into Google account, a user is suggested a set of applications that differs from the one an authorized user will get (although the query in both situations is exactly the same).

### 4.2. Results

For construction of the Knowledge Schema for Google Play store (Figure 1) the authors used 11 groups of data collected from the store (as described above). In accordance with the Schema.org vocabulary, all mobile applications from Google Play belong to the class Mobile Application, which, in turn, is a subclass of (attribute subclassOf) Creative Work - one of the generic classes in vocabulary, which covers all possible creative works (e.g. books, movies, paintings, software products, etc.). The name of an application is represented by the attribute name (for the class Mobile Application respectively).

Developers of applications in the Schema are represented by two classes - Person and Organization, since applications are developed not only by companies, but also by individuals. Both Person and Organization have their names (attributes name and legalName respectively) of the data type Text, and web addresses (attribute url) of data type URL.

Category of an application (e.g. Game, Family, Business) is described by the attribute applicationCategory (data type Text), while its subcategory (e.g. Adventure Game, Creativity) is the attribute applicationSubCategory (data type Text as well).

Class Aggregate Rating is used for two values (attribute aggregateRating which belongs to the class Mobile Application): the number of reviews given to an application by users (attribute reviewCount which has data type Integer), and the

\[8\] https://pegi.info
\[9\] http://www.esrb.org
average rating of an application at Google Play (attribute `ratingValue` which has data type `Number`). Application content rating (age limits for using a particular application, e.g. 3+, 12+, 18+, everyone) is described by the attribute `contentRating` with the data type `Text`.

To give information on software requirements of an application (e.g. needs Android 4.1 and up) the authors chose the attribute `operatingSystem` with the data type `Text`. Additional purchases in an application (e.g. filters for photos, weapon units in games) all belong to the class `Offer` (attribute `offers` for class `Mobile Application`).

To consider the number of downloads of an application, the authors have added the attribute `downloadCount` with data type `Integer`. This attribute does not originally exist in Schema.org vocabulary. Last update of an application goes with the attribute `dateModified`, which has data type `Date`.

Finally, to get the web address of an application, the attribute `installUrl` is used (data type `URL`). In accordance with the Schema.org description\(^\text{10}\) this attribute is used for a URL at which the application may be installed. In case of the URLs collected from Google Play store, these are links to pages with all the information (discussed above) about an application and the button “Install”, which allows to install this application on a particular device. Thus, the authors consider the attribute `installUrl` to be suitable for this kind of web address.

The Knowledge Schema, as stated above, is the meta-layer of a potential Knowledge Graph, and its graphical representation. It shows how the entities from Google Play are interconnected and, if constructed correctly, should be comprehensive and understandable. Based on this KS, a Knowledge Graph is supposed to conduct users queries and give information in a convenient and clear form. For instance, if a user would like to find the most downloaded app, the Graph will present search results with the apps sorted by the attribute `downloadCount`. At the same time, it may additionally inform that a particular application has the rating 4,5 and suggest seeing all the other apps with this rating value (`aggregateRating`). The rating results may also be grouped by `applicationCategory` or `applicationSubCategory`. Grouping and sorting of applications will be performed based on the instructions, written in the RDF document.

5. Contribution and Discussion

\(^{10}\) Attribute “installUrl” [https://schema.org/installUrl]
5.1. Discussion
The method, selected by the authors for Google Play mining, has allowed to retrieve a large massive of data about mobile applications. The current stage of the authors research has brought the following results. Research question 1a and 1b were answered. The 11 categories of data, retrieved from Google Play, were successfully transformed into classes and attributes, which form triples in the constructed KS. Moreover, these categories almost fully fit the Schema.org RDF vocabulary, selected for the KS construction. Only 1 attribute (downloadCount) was added by the authors. Research question 2 was answered partially, since at this particular stage the authors do not develop the Knowledge Graph as it is but prepare its meta-layer (a KS). However, since the retrieved data were divided into unambiguous categories and form a KS which is clear and comprehensive, it can be stated that these data will be sufficient to be used in the next step - in developing the KG itself.

5.2. Contribution
In recent years the usage of mobile gadgets like smartphones and tablets has grown significantly. Tablets are even being used at schools to enhance the learning process and make it more interactive and gamified [10]. It is not doubtful that work with these gadgets is connected with usage of dozens of mobile applications, which today offer a great variety of functions not only for entertainment, but also for business and educational purposes. Striving to attract users and keep them interested and satisfied, large mobile applications repositories (like Google Play, Apple App Store or BlackBerry World) offer more and more apps on their platforms. Sometimes these apps do not differ in functions and only have different interfaces or color palettes; some of them may offer additional functions do be bought in the app. Despite the perks of having a wide choice, users of app stores may face inconveniences when having to choose one application from a long list of similar ones.

The findings of this paper are as follows. Firstly, it was revealed that today’s literature on Knowledge Graph usage does not yet contain much research on developing a KG for the needs of mobile applications analysis and clustering. It means there is still large field for development of algorithms that could improve search results in app stores, particularly with usage of a KG as tool for apps clustering. Secondly, the authors have proved that a website crawling software tool is capable of retrieving from an app store a large sample of data, which by content and format will be suitable to be used for KG development. Thirdly, the authors constructed the Knowledge Schema that models the mobile application concept and shows interrelations of data pieced that describe any mobile app. The Schema is built on the basis of apps from Google Play store. It can (and will) be further used for developing various versions of a Knowledge Graph.

5.3. Future Research
The authors have divided the process of Knowledge Graph development into a few stages. Stage 1 (presented in this paper) consists in retrieving the necessary data from an app store and forming a dataset that will be then used in a KG. It also includes definition of the knowledge domain and RDF vocabulary belonging to it - in order to build a Knowledge Schema. Once the Schema is built, stage 2 can be started.

At stage 2 the authors are going to examine the Google Play dataset with the help of sentiment analysis tools. Analysis of the sentiment of users’ reviews will result in obtaining a new category that characterizes Google Play applications - tonality of users’ reviews. Thus, an application would be characterized by its rating, number of reviews and the emotions expressed in these reviews (e.g. like, dislike, admire, hate, etc.).

Stage 3 presupposes processing of a draft Turtle document, which reflects the structure of the future Knowledge Graph. In accordance with the rules, such document contains triples (from the KS), links to particular elements of the Google Play dataset and specific instructions on data processing. At this stage multiple tests are conducted to eliminate mistakes and make the KG perform correct queries.

Eventually, at stage 4 the authors are going to obtain a functional Knowledge Graph. Such Graph is supposed to serve as a kind of a recommender system for users of the Google Play store: a system that will not only divide applications into categories, but also provide user with information other quality (judging by other users reviews) and suggest (recommend) other applications (with the similar rating, of the similar category, with the
similar reviews tonality, etc.
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