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Abstract. Using ultrapowers of C*-algebras we provide a new construction of the multiplier algebra of a C*-algebra. This extends the work of Avsec and Goldbring in the article “Boundary amenability of groups via ultrapowers” to the setting of noncommutative and non separable C*-algebras.

1. Introduction

The multiplier algebra $M(A)$ of a C*-algebra $A$ is a C*-algebra that contains $A$ as an essential ideal and satisfies the following universal property: for every C*-algebra $B$ containing $A$ as an ideal, there exists a unique *-homomorphism $\varphi : B \to M(A)$ such that $\varphi$ is the identity on $A$. If $A$ is abelian, thus of the form $C_0(X)$ for some locally compact Hausdorff space $X$, $M(A)$ is isomorphic to $C_0(X)$ and this in turns can be identified with $C(\beta X)$, where $\beta X$ is the Stone-Čech compactification of $X$. (For more about multiplier algebras see for instance [3, 5]).

In the article [1] Avsec and Goldbring provide a new construction of the multiplier algebra of the abelian C*-algebra $C_0(X)$ using ultraproducts of C*-algebras, in the case when $X$ is a second countable locally compact Hausdorff space. From there they infer a new construction of the Stone-Čech compactification of $X$. In Section 2 of this note we extend their work providing a construction of the multiplier algebra of any C*-algebra $A$ by means of ultraproducts of C*-algebras. In Section 3 we focus on the case of commutative and separable C*-algebras, and compare our main technical tool with the main technical tool used in [1] to explain why the work done here is indeed a generalization of [1].

2. Ultraproducts and Multipliers

Let $I$ be a set. An ultrafilter over $I$ is a non-empty collection $U$ of subsets of $I$ with the following properties:

1. finite intersection property: for every $I_0, I_1 \in U$, then $I_0 \cap I_1 \in U$;
2. directness: for every $I_0 \subset I_1$, where $I_0$ belongs to $U$, then $I_1 \in U$.
3. maximality: for every $I_0 \subset I$, either $I_0 \in U$ or $I \setminus I_0 \in U$.

An ultrafilter is principal if there exists $i \in I$ such that the subsets of $I$ that contains $i$ are in the ultrafilter. Ultrafilters not of this form are called non-principal or free. It is easy to show that an ultrafilter is non-principal exactly when it contains no finite sets. An ultrafilter is cofinal when the index set is a directed set, and the sets \{ $i \in I : i \geq i_0$ \} $\in U$ for every $i_0 \in I$.

When dealing with directed sets with the property that there is no maximal element, every cofinal ultrafilter is non-principal. Moreover, when the ultrafilter is over $\mathbb{N}$ being cofinal is the same as being non-principal. If a directed set has a maximal element, then every cofinal ultrafilter is principal.

2.1. Definition. Let $U$ be an ultrafilter over $I$. Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space and let $(a_i)_{i \in I} \subset X$, we say that $(a_i)_{i \in I}$ is convergent along $U$ if there exists an element $a \in X$ such that for every...
Remark. Let \((a^n_i)_{i \in I} \in \mathcal{A}^I \subset \mathcal{A}\) be a sequence that converges to \((a_i)_{i \in I} \in \mathcal{A}^I\). Then, \(\forall \varepsilon > 0\) there exists \(n_0 \in \mathbb{N}\) such that if \(n \geq n_0\), then \(\|a^n_i - a_i\| < \varepsilon\). We claim that if \(n \geq n_0\), the set \(\Omega_n(\varepsilon) \equiv \{i \in I : \|a^n_i - a_i\| < \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{U}\). To show this, call \(\alpha_n = \|a^n_i - (a_i)_{i \in I}\|_U\). For every \(\delta > 0\), the set \(\{i \in I : \|a^n_i - a_i\| - \alpha_n < \delta\} \in \mathcal{U}\). Taking \(\delta = \varepsilon - \alpha_n > 0\) we get \(\|a^n_i - a_i\| - \alpha_n \geq \|a_i^n - a_i\| - \alpha_n\). It follows that \(\{i \in I : \|a^n_i - a_i\| - \alpha_n < \delta\} \subset \Omega_n(\varepsilon)\). By directness this implies that \(\Omega_n(\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{U}\).

\[ A_2(\varepsilon) \equiv \{i \in I : \|x(a_i - a_U)\| < \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{U}, \]

\[ B_2(\varepsilon) \equiv \{i \in I : \|x(b_i - b_U)\| < \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{U}. \]

Proposition. Let \(\mathcal{U}\) be a uniform ultrafilter defined over \(I\) and let \(\mathcal{A}\) be a \(C^*\)-algebra. If \((a_i)_{i \in I} \in \prod I \mathcal{A}\) define the same element in \(A^I\) then:

1. if \((a_i)_{i \in I} \in \mathcal{U}\)-WOT convergent to \(a_U\), then \((b_i)_{i \in I} \in \mathcal{U}\)-WOT convergent to \(a_U\).
2. if \((a_i)_{i \in I} \in \mathcal{U}\)-strict convergent to \(a_U\), then \((b_i)_{i \in I} \in \mathcal{U}\)-strict convergent to \(a_U\).

Proof. To prove (1) take \(\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}\) of norm 1, \(\varepsilon > 0\) and \(i \in \{i \in I : \|a_i - b_i\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\} \cap \{i \in I : \|a_i - a_U\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\} \in \mathcal{U}\) then

\[ \|\langle a_U \rangle \mathcal{W} - \langle a \rangle \mathcal{W} \xi, \eta \| \leq \|\langle a_U \rangle \mathcal{W} - \langle a \rangle \mathcal{W} \xi, \eta \| + \|\langle a \rangle \mathcal{W} - \langle a \rangle \mathcal{W} \xi, \eta \| < \varepsilon. \]

It follows that the set \(\{i \in I : \|\langle a_U \rangle \mathcal{W} - \langle a \rangle \mathcal{W} \xi, \eta \| < \varepsilon\}\) belongs to \(\mathcal{U}\).

To prove (2), take \(\varepsilon > 0\), \(x \in \mathcal{A}\) and \(i \in \{i \in I : \|a_i - b_i\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\} \cap A_2(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}) \in \mathcal{U}\), then

\[ \|x(b_i - a_U)\| \leq \|x(b_i - a_i)\| + \|x(a_i - a_U)\| < \varepsilon, \]

\[ \|b_i - a_U\| x \leq \|b_i - a_i\| x + \|a_i - a_U\| x < \varepsilon. \]

It follows that the set \(\{i \in I : \|x(b_i - a_U)\|, \|b_i - a_U\| x < \varepsilon\}\) belongs to \(\mathcal{U}\).
2.7. Proposition. Let \( \mathcal{U} \) be an ultrafilter defined over \( \mathcal{I} \) and let \( \mathcal{A} \) be a \( C^* \)-algebra. The set

\[ \mathcal{A}^{ul} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \{ (a_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \in \mathcal{A}^\mathcal{I} : \exists a_u \in B(\mathcal{H}) : (a_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \text{ is } \mathcal{U}-\text{strict convergent to } a_u \} \]

is a \( C^* \)-algebra.

Proof. Let \( (a_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}, (b_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \in \mathcal{A}^{ul} \) that are \( \mathcal{U} \)-strict convergent to \( a_u \) and \( b_u \) respectively, let \( \lambda \neq 0 \) be a complex number and let \( x \in \mathcal{A} \). Following Notation 2.5, if \( i \in A_x(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}) \cap B_x(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}) \in \mathcal{U} \), then

\[ \| (a_i + \lambda b_i - a_u - \lambda b_u) x \| \leq \| (a_i - a_u) x \| + |\lambda| \| (b_i - b_u) x \| < \varepsilon, \]

\[ \| x (a_i + \lambda b_i - a_u - \lambda b_u) \| \leq \| x (a_i - a_u) \| + |\lambda| \| x (b_i - b_u) \| < \varepsilon. \]

It follows that \( A_x(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}) \cap B_x(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}) \in \{ i \in \mathcal{I} : \| (a_i + \lambda b_i - a_u - \lambda b_u) x \| < \varepsilon, \| x (a_i + \lambda b_i - a_u - \lambda b_u) \| < \varepsilon \} \), so \( (a_i + \lambda b_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \) is \( \mathcal{U} \)-strict convergent to \( a_u + \lambda b_u \).

It is clear that \( \mathcal{A}^{ul} \) is \( \ast \)-closed. To show that \( \mathcal{A}^{ul} \) is closed under taking products, set \( M = \sup_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \{ \| a_i \|, \| b_i \| \} \) and take \( i \in A_x(\frac{\varepsilon}{2M}) \cap A_{b_i}(\frac{\varepsilon}{2M}) \cap B_{a_i}(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}) \in \mathcal{U} \). Then

\[ \| (a_i b_i - a_u b_u) x \| \leq \| (a_i b_i - a_u b_i) x \| + \| (a_i b_u - a_u b_i) x \| \leq \varepsilon \]

and

\[ \| x (a_i b_i - a_u b_i) \| \leq \| x (a_i b_i - a_u b_i) \| + \| x (a_u b_i - a_u b_i) \| \leq \varepsilon, \]

which means that \( (a_i b_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \) is \( \mathcal{U} \)-strict convergent to \( a_u b_i \).

It is left to show that \( \mathcal{A}^{ul} \) is norm closed. Let \( ((a^n_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}})_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) be a sequence in \( \mathcal{A}^{ul} \) that converges in \( \mathcal{A}^{ul} \) to \( (a_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \). We need to see that \( (a_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \) is \( \mathcal{U} \)-strict convergent.

As a first step we will show that for a fixed element \( x \in \mathcal{A} \), \( (a_i x)_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \) and \( (x a_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \) have \( \mathcal{U} \)-limit in \( \mathcal{A} \) (in the sense of Definition 2.1).

Let \( x \in \mathcal{A} \) fixed, \( x \neq 0 \). For each \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) let \( a^n_i \) the \( \mathcal{U} \)-strict limit of \( (a^n_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \in \mathcal{A}^{ul} \). We proceed to show that \( (a^n_i x)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) is a Cauchy sequence in \( \mathcal{A} \). By Remark 2.2, for every \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there exists \( n_0 \in \mathbb{N} \) such that for all \( n \geq n_0 \) the sets \( \Omega_n(\frac{\varepsilon}{4m}) \) are elements of \( \mathcal{U} \). It follows that the set

\[ \{ i \in \mathcal{I} : \| a^n_i x - a^n_i \| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \} \cap \{ i \in \mathcal{I} : \| a^n_i x - a^n_i \| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \} \cap \Omega_n(\frac{\varepsilon}{4m}) \cap \Omega_m(\frac{\varepsilon}{4m}) \]

is an element of \( \mathcal{U} \) for all \( n, m \geq n_0 \). Take \( i \) in this set, then

\[ \| a^n_i x - a^n_i \| \leq \| a^n_i x - a^n_i \| + \| a^n_i x - a^n_i \| + \| a^n_i x - a^n_i \| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4} + \| a^n_i - a_i \| \| x \| + \| a_i - a^n_i \| \| x \| + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} < \varepsilon. \]

Let \( \rho(x) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a^n_i x \in \mathcal{A} \). We will show that \( \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \rho(x) = \rho(x) \), i.e. that for each \( \varepsilon > 0 \),

\[ \{ i \in \mathcal{I} : \| \rho(x) - a_i x \| < \varepsilon \} \in \mathcal{U}. \]

Let \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) large enough such that:

\[ \| \rho(x) - a^n_i x \| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \] and \( \Omega_n(\frac{\varepsilon}{3m}) \in \mathcal{U} \).

For such \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), take \( i \in \{ i \in \mathcal{I} : \| a^n_i x - a^n_i \| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \} \cap \Omega_n(\frac{\varepsilon}{3m}) \in \mathcal{U} \), then

\[ \| \rho(x) - a_i x \| \leq \| \rho(x) - a^n_i x \| + \| a^n_i x - a^n_i \| + \| a^n_i x - a_i x \| \leq \varepsilon. \]

Repeating this with \( (x a_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \) concludes the first step.

Let \( a_{ul \text{-} WOT} \in B(\mathcal{H}) \) be the \( \mathcal{U} \)-WOT-limit of \( (a_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \). We will show that \( a_{ul \text{-} WOT} x = \rho(x) \).

Take \( \eta, \xi \in \mathcal{H} \) of norm 1, \( \varepsilon > 0 \), and \( i \in \{ i \in \mathcal{I} : \| (a_i - a_{ul \text{-} WOT}) x \eta, \xi \| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \} \cap \{ i \in \mathcal{I} : \| \rho(x) - a_i x \| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \} \in \mathcal{U} \). We then have that

\[ \| (\rho(x) - a_{ul \text{-} WOT}) x \eta, \xi \| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \]

and

\[ \| (\rho(x) - a_i x) \eta, \xi \| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \]

which implies that \( \| (\rho(x) - a_{ul \text{-} WOT}) x \| < \varepsilon \), which implies that \( a_{ul \text{-} WOT} = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \rho(x) = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} a_i x \). Therefore, for all \( x \in \mathcal{A} \) and \( \varepsilon > 0 \), the set \( \{ i \in \mathcal{I} : \| a_{ul \text{-} WOT} - a_i x \| < \varepsilon \} \in \mathcal{U} \). In a similar manner, one shows that \( \{ i \in \mathcal{I} : \| x a_{ul \text{-} WOT} - x a_i \| < \varepsilon \} \in \mathcal{U} \). It follows that \( (a_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \) is \( \mathcal{U} \)-strict convergent to \( a_{ul \text{-} WOT} \). \( \square \)
2.8. Proposition. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be an ultrafilter defined over $\mathcal{I}$ and let $A$ be a $C^*$-algebra. The set
\[ J \overset{\text{def}}{=} \{(a_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{U}} : a_i \text{ is } \mathcal{U}\text{-strict convergent to } 0 \} \]
is an ideal of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{U}}$.

Proof. We only have to show that $J$ is norm closed. Consider $((a^*_n)_{i \in \mathcal{I}})_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset J$ a sequence that converges in norm to $(a_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{U}}$. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be the $\mathcal{U}$-strict limit of $(a_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Take $n \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough such that $\Omega_n(\frac{\varepsilon}{3\|x\|}) \in \mathcal{U}$, and $i \in \{ i \in \mathcal{I} : \|(a_i - a_\mathcal{U})x\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \} \cap \{ i \in \mathcal{I} : \|a^*_n x\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3\|x\|} \} \in \mathcal{U}$. We then have that $\|a_\mathcal{U} x\| \leq \|\alpha \mathcal{U} - \alpha_i\| + \|\alpha_i x\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \|(a_i - a^*_n)x\| + \|a^*_n x\| \leq \varepsilon$. Since the action of $A$ on $\mathcal{H}$ is non-degenerated, $a_\mathcal{U} = 0$. \hfill $\Box$

2.2. Ultrafilters and approximate units. Every $C^*$-algebra $A$ has an approximate unit. That is there exists a directed set $\mathcal{I}$ and a net $(e_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \subset A$ such that for every $x \in A$, the nets $(xe_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ and $(e_ix)_i \in \mathcal{I}$ converge to $x$ (see [5, Chapter I.4]). Approximate units can be taken to be positive and uniformly bounded, in which case they are elements of $\prod_{\mathcal{I}} A$. In what follows we will focus in the case where the ultrafilters are defined over this directed set $\mathcal{I}$. Observe that for a cofinal ultrafilter $\mathcal{U}$, the sets $\{ i \in \mathcal{I} : \|xe_i - x\| < \varepsilon \}$ and $\{ i \in \mathcal{I} : \|e_ix - x\| < \varepsilon \}$ belong to $\mathcal{U}$, for every $x \in A$, $\varepsilon > 0$. Moreover, when $A$ is unital $\mathcal{I}$ can be taken to be the set with one element $\{1\}$ and the approximate unit to be equal to $\{1\}$. In this case the only ultrafilter is the set $\{1\}$, which is cofinal.

There exists a natural embedding of $A$ in $A^{\mathcal{U}}$, via the constant sequences $a \mapsto (a_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$. It is clear that this element is $\mathcal{U}$-strict convergent to $a$. Moreover, since the representation of $A$ in $B(\mathcal{H})$ is faithful and non-degenerate, it follows that there exists a natural embedding of $A$ in $A^{\mathcal{U}}/J$. Recall that an ideal $I$ in a $C^*$-algebra $A$ is essential if $I \cap J$ is non-trivial for every ideal $J \neq \{0\}$.

2.9. Lemma. Let $A$ be a $C^*$-algebra and let $(e_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \in \prod_{\mathcal{I}} A$ be an approximate unit for $A$. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be a cofinal ultrafilter over $\mathcal{I}$ and consider the $C^*$-algebra $A^{\mathcal{U}}/J$. The image of $A$ in $A^{\mathcal{U}}/J$ is an essential ideal.

Proof. Take $(b_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \in A^{\mathcal{U}}$, let $b_\mathcal{U}$ be its $\mathcal{U}$-strict limit and take $a \in A$. Then $(b_\mathcal{I})_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ and $(b_\mathcal{U})_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ are both $\mathcal{U}$-strict convergent to $b_\mathcal{U}a$. It follows that $(b_\mathcal{I})_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ and $(b_\mathcal{U})_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ are equal in $A^{\mathcal{U}}/J$. Analogously $(b_\mathcal{I})_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is equal to $(b_\mathcal{U})_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ in $A^{\mathcal{U}}/J$.

Suppose that $J' \subset A^{\mathcal{U}}/J$ is an ideal such that $J' \cap A = \{0\}$. If $(b_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \in A^{\mathcal{U}}$ projects to $J'$, then for each $x \in A$, $(b_ix)_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \in J$. Let $b_\mathcal{U}$ the $\mathcal{U}$-strict limit of $(b_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$. Then $(b_\mathcal{U}x)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is $\mathcal{U}$-strict convergent to $b_\mathcal{U}x$. Then $b_\mathcal{U}x = 0$ for all $x \in A$. It follows that $b_\mathcal{U} = 0$ and then $J' = \{0\}$. \hfill $\Box$

2.10. Theorem. Let $A$ be a $C^*$-algebra and let $(e_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \in \prod_{\mathcal{I}} A$ be an approximate unit for $A$. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be a cofinal ultrafilter over $\mathcal{I}$. Then the $C^*$-algebra $A^{\mathcal{U}}/J$ is the multiplier algebra of $A$.

Proof. Let $B$ a $C^*$-algebra containing $A$ as an ideal and let us show that there is a unique morphism $\varphi : B \to A^{\mathcal{U}}/J$ such that $\varphi(a) = a$. Let $b \in B$ and consider $\psi : B \to A^{\mathcal{U}}$, $\psi(b) \overset{\text{def}}{=} (be_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$. To see that $\psi$ is well defined let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x \in A$. Let $i_0 \in \mathcal{I}$ such that if $i \geq i_0$, $\|xe_i - xb\| < \varepsilon$. Let $i_1 \in \mathcal{I}$ such that if $i \geq i_1$, then $\|e_i x - x\| < \varepsilon$. By cofinality of $\mathcal{U}$ one obtains that $\{ i \in \mathcal{I} : \|be_i x - bx\| < \varepsilon, \|xe_i - xb\| < \varepsilon \} \in \mathcal{U}$. Observe that since $b \notin B(\mathcal{H})$ the last line does not imply that $(be_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \in A^{\mathcal{U}}$. We must “represent” $b$ in $B(\mathcal{H})$. For that let $b_{\mathcal{U}WOT} \in B(\mathcal{H})$ the $WOT$-limit of $(be_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \in A^{\mathcal{U}}$, an argument similar to the one given in the proof of Proposition 2.7, shows that $b_{\mathcal{U}WOT}x = bx$ and $xb_{\mathcal{U}WOT} = xb$. Thus $(be_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is $\mathcal{U}$-strict convergent to $b_{\mathcal{U}WOT}$. The same procedure shows that $(e_i b)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is $\mathcal{U}$-strict convergent to $b_{\mathcal{U}WOT}$.

Call $\pi$ the quotient projection to $A^{\mathcal{U}}/J$, and let $\varphi = \pi \circ \psi$. It is clear that $\varphi$ is a linear and bounded. Since $\psi(b^*) = (b^*e_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$, $\psi(b^*) = (e_i b^*)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ and they are both $\mathcal{U}$-strict convergent to $(b^*)_{\mathcal{U}WOT}$ then $\psi(b^*) - \psi(b^*)$ is an element of $J$, so $\varphi$ is a *-morphism.
To see that $\varphi$ is multiplicative, fix $b, b' \in B$ of norm 1 and take $x \in A, \varepsilon > 0, M = \sup_{i \in I} \{\|e_i\|\}$ and $i \in \{i \in I : \|e_i x - x\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{M}\} \cap \{i \in I : \|x - e_i x\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{M}\} \cap \{i \in I : \|e_i b' x - b' x\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{M}\} \in \mathcal{U}$ then

$$\|(be_i b'e_i - bb'e_i)x\| \leq \|e_i b'e_i x - e_i b'x\| + \|e_i b'x - b'x\| + \|b'x - b'e_i x\| \leq \|e_i b'\||\|e_i x - x\|\| + \|e_i b'x - b'x\| + \|b'||\|x - e_i x\| < \varepsilon.$$  

Take $i \in \{i \in I : \|xe_i - xb\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{M}\} \in \mathcal{U}$, then $\|x(be_i b'e_i - bb'e_i)\| \leq \|xe_i - xb\| \|b'e_i\| < \varepsilon.$ It follows that $\psi(b)\psi(b') - \psi(bb') = (be_i b'e_i - bb'e_i)_{i \in I}$ is an element of $J$.

Since $(ae_i - a)_{i \in I}$ is $\mathcal{U}$-strict convergent to 0, for all $a \in A, \varphi(a) = a$ in $A^{\mathcal{U}}/J$.

Suppose that there exists another morphism $\varphi' : B \rightarrow A^{\mathcal{U}}/J$ such that $\varphi'(a) = a, \forall a \in A$. Then

$$\varphi'(b)a = \varphi'(b)\varphi(a) = ba = \varphi(b)\varphi(a) = \varphi(b)a.$$  

By Lemma 2.9, $\varphi(b) = \varphi'(b)$.

Ultraproducts provide a new point of view for dealing with multiplier algebras. For instance the identification of $\mathcal{M}(A)$ with $A^{\mathcal{U}}/J$ yields an easy proof of the next characterization of multipliers, without using double centralizers.

2.11. Corollary. $\mathcal{M}(A)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \{m \in B(\mathcal{H}) : \forall a \in A, am \in A, ma \in A\}$. In particular $\mathcal{M}(A)$ is unital.

Proof. consider $\varphi : A^{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}, \varphi((a_i)_{i \in I}) = \lim_{\mathcal{U}}\text{strict} a_i$. This map is well defined, is a C*-map (Proposition 2.7) and $\ker(\varphi) = J$. To show that $\varphi$ is surjective, let $m \in \mathcal{M}$. Then for every $a \in A, am \in A$ and $ma \in A$. Hence for all $\varepsilon > 0, \{i \in I : \|a(me_i - m)\| < \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{U}$ and $\{i \in I : \|(me_i - m)a\| < \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{U}$. Then $(me_i)_{i \in I} \in A^{\mathcal{U}}$ is $\mathcal{U}$-strict convergent to $m$.

Taking $m = 1$ it follows that the image of $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ in $A^{\mathcal{U}}/J$ is the unit of $A^{\mathcal{U}}/J$.

For a second application, observe that every C*-morphism $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ defines a natural morphism $\phi' : A^{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow B^{\mathcal{U}}$. When $\phi$ is surjective, a proof similar to the one given in Proposition 2.7 shows that $\phi'(A^{\mathcal{U}}) \subset B^{\mathcal{U}}$. This together with Theorem 2.10 immediately give the following known result:

2.12. Proposition. Let $A, B$ be C*-algebras and let $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ a surjective morphism. The natural extension $\phi' : A^{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow B^{\mathcal{U}}$ induces the following commutative diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \overset{\phi}{\longrightarrow} & A^{\mathcal{U}} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow^{\phi'} \\
B & \overset{\phi''}{\longrightarrow} & B^{\mathcal{U}} \\
\end{array}

\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{M}(A) & \rightarrow & \mathcal{M}(A)/A \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow^{\phi''} \\
\mathcal{M}(B) & \rightarrow & \mathcal{M}(B)/B \\
\end{array}
$$

3. THE CASE OF COMMUTATIVE AND SEPARABLE C*-ALGEBRAS

Recall that when a C*-algebra $A$ is separable, it is $\sigma$-unital, namely there exists a countable approximate unit. That entails that the index set $I$ of the previous section can be taken to be equal to $\mathbb{N}$, in which case non-principal ultrafilters are cofinal and $\prod_{I}A$ is $C^\infty(A)$.

In [1] the authors build the multiplier algebra for commutative and separable C*-algebras using ultraproducts of C*-algebras. More precisely they consider $A = C_0(X)$ where $X$ is a second countable, locally compact topological space and take $\mathcal{U}$ a non-principal ultrafilter defined over $\mathbb{N}$ to construct the multiplier algebra of $A$, $C_0(X)$, identifying it with a quotient of a sub-C*-algebra of $\prod_{I}A$. For that the authors use key the fact that the hypothesis on $X$ entails the existence of a proper metric compatible [6]. In what follows we will then identify the second countable, locally compact topological space $X$ with the metric space $(X, d)$, where $d$ is a proper metric on $X$. The closed ball of radius $r > 0$ centered at a fixed base-point $o \in X$, will be denoted by $B_o(r)$. The main technical tool of [1] is the following definition.
3.1. Definition. [1, Section 3] Let \((X,d)\) be as in the preceding discussion. Let \(A = C_0(X)\) and let \(\mathcal{U}\) be a non-principal ultrafilter over \(\mathbb{N}\). For \((f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^\infty(A)\), we say that \((f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) is \(\mathcal{U}\)-equicontinuous on bounded sets if for every \(r, \varepsilon > 0\), there is a \(\delta > 0\) such that the set 
\( \{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \forall s, t \in B_o(r) \text{ with } d(s,t) < \delta \implies |f_n(s) - f_n(t)| < \varepsilon \} \) belongs to \(\mathcal{U}\).

Given \((f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^\infty(A)\), and a fixed \(x \in X\), the \(\mathcal{U}\)-limit of the sequence \((f_n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) is well defined. We denote \(f_\mathcal{U} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\), \(f_\mathcal{U}(x) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \lim_{\mathcal{U}}(f_n(x))\). The following fact was observed in [1].

3.2. Lemma. If \((f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^\infty(A)\) is \(\mathcal{U}\)-equicontinuous on bounded sets, then \(f_\mathcal{U}\) is uniformly continuous on bounded sets.

The next proposition shows that our notion of \(\mathcal{U}\)-strict convergence coincides with the notion of \(\mathcal{U}\)-equicontinuity on bounded sets in the case of \(A = C_0(X)\) where \(X\) is a locally compact, second countable topological space. This entails that the work done here in Section 3 is indeed a generalization of the work done in [1, Section 3].

3.3. Proposition. Take \((f_n) \in \ell^\infty(A)\) and let \(f_\mathcal{U}(x) = \lim_{\mathcal{U}}(f_n(x))\). The following two conditions are equivalent:

1. The sequence \((f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) is \(\mathcal{U}\)-equicontinuous on bounded sets.
2. The sequence \((f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) is \(\mathcal{U}\)-strict convergent to \(f_\mathcal{U}\).

Proof. To show that (1) implies (2), let \((f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^\infty(A)\) be \(\mathcal{U}\)-equicontinuous on bounded sets. Let \(\varepsilon > 0\) and let \(g \in C_0(X)\). Set \(M = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\{\|f_n\|,\|g\|\}\), take \(K \subset X\) a compact set such that \(|g(x)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2M}\) if \(x \notin K\). There exists \(\delta_1\) such that for \(x,y \in K\) with \(d(x,y) < \delta_1\), \(\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : |f_n(x) - f_n(y)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3M} \} \in \mathcal{U}\). By 3.2 there exists \(\delta_2 > 0\) such that for \(x,y \in K\) with \(d(x,y) < \delta_2\), \(|f_\mathcal{U}(x) - f_\mathcal{U}(y)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}\). Take \(\delta = \min\{\delta_1,\delta_2\}\) and cover \(K\) with a finite number of balls \(B_{x_j}(\delta)\) of radius \(\delta\) centered in \(x_j, j = 1, \ldots, m\). Since \(f_\mathcal{U}(x_j) = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} f_n(x_j)\), it follows that the sets \(A_j = \{ n \in \mathbb{N} : |f_n(x_j) - f_\mathcal{U}(x_j)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3M} \} \in \mathcal{U}\). Then if \(n \in \bigcap_{j=1}^m A_j \in \mathcal{U}\), and \(x, y \in K\), taking \(x_j\) with \(d(x,x_j) < \delta\) we get:

\[ |(f_n(x) - f_\mathcal{U}(x))g(x)| \leq |f_n(x) - f_n(x_j)||g| + |f_n(x_j) - f_\mathcal{U}(x_j)||g| + |f_\mathcal{U}(x_j) - f_\mathcal{U}(x)||g| < \varepsilon. \]

On the other hand, if \(x \notin K\) it follows that \(|(f_n - f_\mathcal{U})g(x)| < \varepsilon\). This shows that the set \(\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : |f_n - f_\mathcal{U}| < \varepsilon \} \in \mathcal{U}\).

To show the converse, take \(g \in C_0(X)\) such that \(g = 1\) in \(B_o(r)\). By hypothesis, \(\forall \varepsilon > 0\) the sets \(\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : |f_n - f_\mathcal{U}| < \varepsilon \} \in \mathcal{U}\) and are infinite. So we can build a subsequence \((f_n)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\) such that \((f_n)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\) is uniformly convergent to \(f_\mathcal{U}\) in \(B_o(r)\). By hypothesis closed balls are compact, so \(f_\mathcal{U}\) is uniformly continuous on \(B_o(r)\). Let \(\delta > 0\) such that \(d(x,y) < \delta\) implies \(|f_\mathcal{U}(y) - f_\mathcal{U}(x)| < \varepsilon\) in \(B_o(r)\). Take \(n \in \{ n \in \mathbb{N} : |(f_n - f_\mathcal{U})g| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \} \in \mathcal{U}\). Then for \(x,y \in B_o(r)\) such that \(d(x,y) < \delta\) we have:

\[ |f_n(x) - f_n(y)| \leq |f_n(x) - f_\mathcal{U}(x)| + |f_\mathcal{U}(x) - f_\mathcal{U}(y)| + |f_n(y) - f_\mathcal{U}(y)| \leq \varepsilon, \]

therefore \(\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : |(f_n - f_\mathcal{U})g| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \} \subset \{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \forall x,y \in B_o(r) \text{ with } d(x,y) < \delta \implies |f_n(x) - f_n(y)| < \varepsilon \}\) showing that the last set belongs to \(\mathcal{U}\). \(\square\)

3.4. Remark. In [1, Section 4], the authors apply their construction to show that groups acting properly and transitively on a locally finite tree are exact (or boundary amenable). Our aim was to extend this work to give a new and elementary proof that groups acting on a tree with exact stabilizers are exact. We managed to do this for the case of groups acting transitively on a locally finite tree and exact stabilizer. We decided not to include this proof here because it does not cover the more general result of Ozawa [4] and it is not radically different from the proof presented in [2, Chapter 5.2].
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