Magnetization, d-wave superconductivity and non-Fermi liquid behavior in a crossover from dispersive to flat bands
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We explore the effect of inhomogeneity on electronic properties of the two dimensional Hubbard model on a square lattice using dynamical mean field theory (DMFT). The inhomogeneity is introduced via modulated lattice hopping such that in the extreme inhomogeneous limit the resulting geometry is a Lieb lattice, which exhibits a flat-band dispersion. We observe that even a small inhomogeneity leads to a finite sublattice magnetization which gets suppressed with increasing Hubbard interaction at half-filling. Studying the site and frequency dependent local self-energy, we find a crossover from Fermi-liquid to non-Fermi-liquid behavior happening at a moderate value of the inhomogeneity. This emergence of a non-Fermi-liquid is concomitant of a quasi-flat band. For finite doping the system with small inhomogeneity displays d-wave superconductivity coexisting with incommensurate spin-density order, inferred from the presence of oscillatory DMFT solutions. The d-wave superconductivity gets suppressed for moderate to large inhomogeneity for any finite doping while the incommensurate spin-density order still exist.

PACS numbers: Strongly correlated electron systems, Non-Fermi-liquid ground state, Cold atoms

I. Introduction

The ubiquity of inhomogeneity in various condensed matter systems necessitates an investigation to its influence on different emergent phases in the presence of interactions. A few examples of such inhomogeneous systems are quasi-periodic systems,8,9 fermionic ultra-cold atoms in harmonic traps,10 electron systems on surfaces,11 interfaces and topological insulating systems.12 There have been several attempts to achieve an enhancement of interaction-induced order parameters by inducing a finite inhomogeneity in the system,13 although there is no common consensus whether the inhomogeneity is a friend or a foe. The so-called stripe order, i.e. spatially non-uniform spin-density or charge-density order, has been found in several families of the cuprates,14,15 and also in ultra-cold atom systems recently.16 Whether the presence of such incommensurate spin and density order competes with or helps the emergence of superconductivity (SC) is unsettled.17,18 Such observations have motivated many theoretical studies on the effect of inhomogeneity in Hubbard models, especially its effects on different emergent orders. Special considerations have been made for the d-wave superconducting (dSC) order. In a few theoretical studies an enhancement of the dSC order. A much studied inhomogeneity pattern is the 2D Hubbard model on a checkerboard lattice where the strong and weak nearest neighbor hopping amplitudes alternate along both directions. A stripe version of the model, where the nearest neighbor hopping amplitude is modulated along one direction, has also been considered. Other inhomogeneity patterns are checkerboard- and stripe-like variations in the local onsite potential on $2 \times 2$ plaquettes. The density of states (DOS) of the non-interacting Hamiltonian is determined by the choice of the inhomogeneity pattern. In the present work, we introduce the inhomogeneity via modulated lattice hopping such that a quasi-flat band emerges. In the extreme inhomogeneous limit the resulting geometry is the Lieb lattice with an exactly flat band at half-filling. Influence of such (quasi-)flat bands on emergent phases at finite interactions, e.g. ferromagnetism,19,20 superfluidity,21,22 high $T_c$ superconductivity of electron-doped compounds,23,24 non-Fermi-liquid behavior,17,25,26 and topological phases,17,27,28 has been explored theoretically. Experimentally, effects of flat bands have been reported in various real materials,29,30 and can be studied using ultra-cold atoms.31,32 The diverging DOS can also significantly affect the stripe order appearing in the pseudogap region of cuprate high temperature superconductors.33

In this work, we employ dynamical mean-field theory (DMFT) to explore the interplay of inhomogeneity and repulsive interactions in a 2D Hubbard model. The inhomogeneity is introduced as a modulated nearest-neighbor hopping $t_x = t(1 + (-1)^y\alpha)$ in the $x$- direction and $t_y = t(1 + (-1)^x\alpha)$ in the $y$- direction with $0 < \alpha < 1$. We observe enhancement of local magnetism, breakdown of Fermi-liquid behavior and suppression of dSC. In the extreme limit of the inhomogeneity, namely the Lieb lattice, the d-wave superconductivity vanishes but we find evidence of incommensurate spin- and density-wave order. Here we also emphasize that this model, although it shares the Lieb lattice geometry with the cuprates, does not attempt to model the superexchange mechanism but rather the effect of the inhomogeneity and the resulting quasi-flat bands on the canonical square lattice model. In section 11 we introduce the Hubbard model with modulated nearest-neighbor hopping, followed by
the formalism of real space DMFT to capture spatially resolved local order parameters and cellular DMFT that can capture the non-local correlations essential to dSC. In sections [III A] and [III B] we discuss the effect of the inhomogeneity on emergent magnetic order and the double occupancy, respectively. The breakdown of the Fermi-liquid behavior due to the (quasi-)flat band caused by the inhomogeneity is discussed in section [III C]. Finally, we discuss the effect of inhomogeneity on the behavior of dSC and incommensurate spin- and density-wave order in section [III D].

II. Model and method

The grand canonical Hamiltonian of the Hubbard model for an inhomogeneous square lattice as shown in Fig. [I a] can be expressed as $H = H_t - \mu N + H_U$, where the first term is the tight-binding part represented in standard second quantized notation as

$$H_t = - \sum_{\langle ij \rangle, \sigma} \left[ t_{ij} c_{i,\sigma}^\dagger c_{j,\sigma} + \text{h.c.} \right],$$

where $c_{j,\sigma}^\dagger$ is the creation operator corresponding to different sites of the unit cell at $j = (x, y)$ and $\sigma$ labels spin. We have introduced the inhomogeneity via the modulated next-nearest hopping by setting $t_x = t(1 + (-1)^\alpha)$ and $t_y = t(1 + (-1)^\alpha)$, where $\alpha = 0$ corresponds to the homogeneous square lattice and $\alpha = 1$ represents the Lieb lattice as shown in Fig. [I]. The second term $\mu N$ of the full Hamiltonian introduces the chemical potential, where the total particle number is $N = \sum_j c_{j,\sigma}^\dagger c_{j,\sigma}$.

The last term is the on-site Hubbard interaction which can be defined as

$$H_U = U \sum_j (n_{j,\uparrow} - \frac{1}{2})(n_{j,\downarrow} - \frac{1}{2}),$$

where $U$ is the interaction strength with $U > 0$ for the repulsive Hubbard model. To account for the aforementioned inhomogeneity, the smallest possible unit cell has four sites as shown by the solid square in Fig. [I]. The tight-binding Hamiltonian in momentum space can be written as

$$H_t = \sum_{k,\sigma} \psi_{k\sigma}^\dagger H_t(k) \psi_{k\sigma},$$

where $\psi_{k\sigma} = (c_{A\sigma} c_{B\sigma} c_{C\sigma} c_{D\sigma})^T$ and

$$H_t(k) = -2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t_+ \cos k_x & t_+ \cos k_y & 0 \\ t_+ \cos k_x & 0 & 0 & t_- \cos k_y \\ t_+ \cos k_y & 0 & 0 & t_- \cos k_x \\ 0 & t_- \cos k_y & t_- \cos k_x & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

with $t_{\pm} = (1 \pm \alpha)t$. The energy eigenvalues of the tight-binding Hamiltonian can be given as

$$E_k = \pm 2t \sqrt{(1 + \alpha^2) S_+ \pm \sqrt{(1 + \alpha^2)^2 S_+^2 - (1 - \alpha^2)^2 S_-^2}},$$

where $S_+ = \cos^2 k_x + \cos^2 k_y$ and $S_- = \cos^2 k_x - \cos^2 k_y$. For $\alpha = 1$, the resulting geometry is the Lieb lattice with $E_k = 0$ and $E_k = \pm 2t \sqrt{\cos^2 k_x + \cos^2 k_y}$. In the lower panel of the figure [I] we show the DOS vs $\bar{\omega}$, where $\bar{\omega} = \omega/t(1 + \alpha)$, for the tight-binding part of the Hamiltonian for different choices of $\alpha$. The DOS has a Van-Hove singularity at zero energy for $\alpha = 0$, which grows with increasing inhomogeneity parameter $\alpha$. 
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into a narrow peak structure, ultimately turning into a δ-function representing the flat band of the Lieb lattice for α = 1 (see inset of Fig. [1]).

To investigate the effects of correlations and inhomogeneity at half-filling, we have employed real-space dynamical mean-field theory (RDMFT) for finite Hubbard interactions. DMFT maps a lattice problem into an effective single impurity problem taking into account the lattice effects in a self-consistent manner. Within single-site DMFT the self-energy Σ_{ijσ}(iω_n) is assumed to be spatially local and uniform, so that Σ_{ijσ}(iω_n) = δ_{ij}Σ_{σ}(iω_n). The i and j index the lattice sites, ω_n = π(2n + 1)/T, where T is the temperature, are the Matsubara frequencies and σ is the spin index. For the inhomogeneous case, however, the uniformity assumption is relaxed. Hence we use RDMFT where the self-energy is still local but varies spatially, i.e. Σ_{ijσ}(iω_n) = Σ_{σ}(iω_n)δ_{ij}. The RDMFT method for a given unit cell can be described as follows. The local Green’s function of the lattice system can be calculated as

\[ G_σ(iω_n) = \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_k (G_{kσ}^0(iω_n)^{-1} - Σ_σ(iω_n))^{-1}, \]  

where the bold quantities are matrices of the dimension 4 × 4 and N_k is the number of k-points. Thus the matrix element G_σ(iω_n)_{ij} is the Green’s function between sites i and j of the unit cell. The non-interacting Green’s function G_{kσ}^0(iω_n)^{-1} = μ_σ + iω_n - T_k, where T_k is the superlattice Fourier transform of the hopping matrix. The self-energy is assumed to be diagonal in the site indices. For each site i in the unit cell, there is an effective single impurity Anderson model, which is defined by the dynamical Weiss mean-field

\[ G′_σ(iω_n)^{-1} = (G_σ(iω_n)_{ii})^{-1} + Σ_σ(iω_n)_{ii}. \]  

Using the Weiss function G′_σ, we calculate the self-energy of each of the impurity problems using an impurity solver. These new self-energies are supplied again to equation 4, and the process is iterated to find a converged solution.

We use exact diagonalization (ED) and continuous time quantum Monte Carlo (CT-INT) as impurity solvers at zero temperature and finite temperature, respectively. We define the local magnetization, m_i = n_{i,↑} - n_{i,↓}, where n_{i,σ} = G_{i,σ}(τ → 0^-) is the density of spin-σ particles for a given site of the unit cell. Another important quantity to measure the effects of correlation is the double occupancy D = ⟨ni↑ni↓⟩, representing the tendency of two particles to occupy the same site. It is 0.25 in the zero interaction limit while it vanishes in the Mott insulating large U limit for the repulsive Hubbard model for a homogeneous system at half-filling. It can be directly calculated using DMFT+CT-INT as

\[ D = \frac{n_i}{2} - \langle k \rangle_{MC} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{β|U|}, \]  

where \( n_i = n_{i,↑} + n_{i,↓} = 1 \) for half-filling and \( k_{MC} \) is the Monte-Carlo perturbation order. Additionally, the double occupancy for a site can be directly compared with the local moment \( m_i^2 \) measured in the experiments, given as

\[ \langle m_i^2 \rangle = 1 - 2(n_{i↑}n_{i↓}). \]  

To study superconductivity within DMFT, we use the Nambu formalism, where the Green’s function can be written in the Nambu-spinor notation as

\[ G_{ijσ}(τ) = -⟨T ψ_i(τ)ψ_j^†(0)⟩, \]  

where \( ψ_i(τ) = (c_{i↑}, c_{i↓})^T \) and its matrix notation can be given as

\[ G(τ) = \begin{pmatrix} G_σ(τ) & F(τ) \\ F^†(τ) & -G_σ(−τ) \end{pmatrix}, \]

where τ is imaginary time, \( G_{i,jσ}(τ) ≡ −⟨T c_{iσ}(τ)c_{jσ}^†(0)⟩ \) and \( F_{i,j}(τ) ≡ −⟨T c_{iσ}(τ)c_{jσ}^†(0)⟩ \) are the normal and anomalous Green’s functions, respectively. To capture a non-local dSC order parameter, emerging away from half-filling, we employ cellular dynamical mean field theory (CDMFT). Within CDMFT, a lattice problem is mapped to a finite cluster coupled to a non-interacting bath. In our case the cluster is a four site (2 × 2) plaquette as shown in Fig. 4 which has been used to study the dSC order in the canonical square lattice Hubbard model. The local cluster Green’s function of the lattice system is given by the matrix equation

\[ G_c(iω_n) = \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_k (G_{kσ}^0(iω_n)^{-1} - Σ_c(iω_n))^{-1}, \]

where \( N_k \) is the number of k-points. The non-interacting Green’s function \( G_{kσ}^0(iω_n)^{-1} = iω_n + μσ - T_kσ \), where \( T_k \) is the super-lattice Fourier transform of the hopping matrix with dimension equal to the number of sites in the cluster i.e. 4 × 4. The cluster self-energy \( Σ_c(iω_n) \) can be given as

\[ Σ_c(iω_n) = \begin{pmatrix} Σ^T(ω_n) & S(ω_n) \\ S(ω_n) & -Σ^∗_c(ω_n) \end{pmatrix}, \]

where \( Σ_{ijσ}(iω_n) (S_{ijσ}(iω_n)) \) is the normal (anomalous) part of the self-energy matrix of dimension 4 × 4.

Similar to the the single site DMFT, there is an effective impurity problem for the cluster, which can be defined by the Weiss mean-field

\[ G′_σ(iω_n)^{-1} = G_c^{-1}(iω_n) + Σ_c(iω_n). \]  

This quantity is also known as the "bath function," and represents the non-interacting Green’s function of the impurity problem. Given the mean-field \( G′_c \), we calculate the cluster propagator and the self-energy, \( Σ_c(iω_n) \) from the above Weiss mean-field using ED as an impurity solver. The process is iterated similar to single
site DMFT to find the solution. We define the average magnetization for the cluster as

\[ m = \sum_i \frac{m_i}{4}, \]  

(11)

where \( m_i \) is the local magnetization of a given site calculated from the normal Green’s function. Additionally, we can define the average dSC order for the given four site cluster as

\[ \Delta = \frac{|\Delta_{12}| + |\Delta_{23}| + |\Delta_{34}| + |\Delta_{41}|}{4}, \]  

(12)

where \( \Delta_{ij} = \langle c_i^\dagger c_j \rangle = F_{ij}(\tau \to 0^-) \) and \( F_{ij}(\tau) \) is the off-diagonal anomalous Green’s function.

### III. Results

In this section, we discuss the effect of the inhomogeneity and Hubbard interaction for two cases: 1) half-filling, where number of particles per site is one, and 2) away from half-filling with finite doping \( x = 1 - n \), where \( n = \sum_i \frac{n_i}{4} \) is the average density over the unit cell. At half-filling, the interplay of inhomogeneity and interaction is visible in the local magnetism and the double occupancy. One of the key purposes of this work is to study the quasi-particle behavior in the inhomogeneous system. We calculate the local self-energy and show breakdown of the quasi-particle behavior in the inhomogeneous system.

#### A. Magnetism

Due to the spatial inhomogeneity introduced by the modulated hoppings, the local magnetic order is non-uniform across different sites. We show the spatially resolved magnetic order \( m \) evaluated using ED+RDMFT at zero temperature for varying interaction strength \( U = U/t_+ \) at different \( \alpha \) in figure 2. We allow the breaking of the SU(2) spin-rotation symmetry to capture the magnetically ordered state. An initial self-energy that is constant in the Matsubara frequency is added in way that it breaks SU(2) symmetry of the Hamiltonian. For a homogeneous system, i.e. \( \alpha = 0 \), local magnetic order gradually develops with finite Hubbard interactions such that \( m_A = -m_{B/C} \) for any \( U > 0 \). For weak interaction, the behavior of the magnetic order is consistent with Hartree-Fock mean-field theory and saturates to unity in the Heisenberg limit for strong interactions. The absolute value of the \( m_{B/C} \) increases with increasing \( \alpha \) for small \( U \) such that \( |m_{B/C}| \sim 0.5 \) for \( U \to 0^+ \) for \( \alpha \to 1 \). For the weakly interacting regime, the behavior of \( m \) vs \( U \) changes from exponential to linear for \( \alpha \sim 1 \) due to the flat band. Local magnetizations for all sites, \( m_A \) and \( m_{B/C} \), coalesce to single curves for all values of \( \alpha \) in the strong coupling regime, where the fermions are completely localized so that the system can be described by an effective Heisenberg model and the lattice geometry is insignificant to the behavior of local magnetic order.

In figure 3, we show the staggered magnetization \( m_s = (|m_{B/C}| - |m_A|) \) obtained using ED+RDMFT for varying interaction and inhomogeneity. The magnetization \( m_s \) is the maximum for \( \alpha \to 1 \) and interaction strength \( U \to 0^+ \) which corresponds to the Lieb lattice. In the strong coupling regime, \( m_s \) is independent of the inhomogeneity \( \alpha \) and the interaction \( U \), with \( m_s \sim 0 \). We also show \( m_s \) vs \( U \) in the upper panel of figure 4. For finite \( \alpha \), \( m_s \) increases initially with increasing \( U \), peaks at a given \( U_p(\alpha) \) and then decreases with increasing \( U \). The \( U_p(\alpha) \) shifts to lower \( U \) with increasing \( \alpha \), and \( U_p(\alpha) \to 0 \) for \( \alpha \to 1 \) where infinitesimally small interaction leads to the localization of low moments at sites B and C due to the flat band. In the strong coupling regime, the \( m_s(\tilde{U}) \) vs \( \tilde{U} \) curves merge together for all values of the inhomogeneity and approach zero asymptotically. Further, we show the staggered magnetization \( m_s \) for varying inhomogeneity at different Hubbard interactions in the lower panel of figure 4. Below a given interaction strength, \( m_s \) increases with increasing \( \alpha \) but the staggered magnetization curve goes to an inflection point, which indicates the sharp crossover to ferromagnetic state. The inflection point shifts to higher \( \alpha \) with decreasing \( U \).
in the staggering curve appears at $\alpha \to 1$ in the limit $U \to 0$. Such magnetic behavior is due to the flat-band dispersion obtained by tuning the $\alpha$ parameter. Above the crossover interaction strength the curvature of staggered magnetization is positive and the magnetic behavior is determined by local interactions mainly.

**B. Double occupancy**

In this section, we study the interplay of the inhomogeneity and the Hubbard interaction in double occupancy at a given site, i.e. $\langle \hat{n}_{\sigma,i} \hat{n}_{\sigma,i} \rangle$ using RDMFT+CT-INT.

**C. Non-Fermi liquid behavior**

We explore the effect of inhomogeneity on quasiparticle behavior in the weak coupling regime in the non-magnetic region at small finite temperatures using RDMFT+CT-INT. We find breakdown of the usual Fermi-liquid behavior occurs beyond a critical strength of the inhomogeneity, which is evident from the scattering rate, i.e. the imaginary part of the local self-energy, for different sites within the unit cell. There have been
a few theoretical proposals for the origin of non-Fermi liquid behavior linked to the presence of singularities in the dispersion of the noninteracting part of the Hamiltonian.\textsuperscript{23,24} Non-Fermi liquids have also been observed within theories which include non-local correlations.\textsuperscript{53–55} For a well defined Fermi liquid, the self-energy for low Matsubara frequencies \( \omega_n \) can be written as

\[
\Sigma(i\omega_n) \approx i\omega_n a + b, \tag{14}
\]

where \( a \) and \( b \) are real constants. The quasi-particle weight \( Z = m/m^{*} \), where \( m \) is the bare mass and \( m^{*} \) is the mass in the presence of many-body effects, can be defined in terms of the self-energy as

\[
Z = (1 - \frac{\partial \text{Im} \Sigma(i\omega_n)}{\partial \omega_n}|_{\omega_n=0})^{-1} \tag{15}
\]

and \( 0 < Z < 1 \) for the Fermi-liquid. We observe the imaginary part of the self-energy at the lowest numerically calculated Matsubara frequency \( \omega_0 \) and at the next consecutive frequency \( \omega_1 \) and define \( (a = |\text{Im} \Sigma(i\omega_0)| - |\text{Im} \Sigma(i\omega_1)|) \) such that \( a < 0 \) signifies a Fermi-liquid while \( a > 0 \) is characteristic of a non-Fermi-liquid. In the upper panel of figure 6 we show the imaginary part of the self-energy at \( B/C \) for different inhomogeneities. For small to moderate values of the inhomogeneity, the system is a Fermi-liquid with \( a < 0 \) and well defined quasi-particle weight \( Z \). For large inhomogeneity, say \( a = 0.80 \), the self-energy for the \( B(C) \) sites, which carry the flat band, diverges for small frequencies \( |\omega_n| \) and we observe non-Fermi-liquid behavior with \( a > 0 \) where quasi-particle weight cannot be well defined. In the lower panel of figure 6 we show the self-energy for the \( A \) site. The quasi-particle weight can be defined for all inhomogeneities since \( a < 0 \) although it increases with increasing \( a \).

In the figure 7 we present \( \text{Im} \Sigma(i\omega_n=0) \) which is an estimate of the inverse of the scattering time \( \tau^{-1} \approx -\text{Im} \Sigma(i\omega_n=0) \). For Fermi-liquid behavior (conventional metallic behavior) the inverse of the scattering time, which is proportional to the resistivity, decreases with decreasing temperature. As shown in the main panel of figure 7 we find breakdown of Fermi-liquid behavior as \( \text{Im} \Sigma_{B/C}(i\omega_n=0) \) increases with decreasing temperature for \( \alpha \to 1 \) and finite interaction \( U = 2.0 \) while \( \text{Im} \Sigma_{A}(i\omega_n=0) \) decreases with decreasing temperature displaying Fermi-liquid behavior. In the inset of figure 7 we show \( \text{Im} \Sigma(i\omega_n=0) \) vs \( T \) for moderate strength of the inhomogeneity, say \( \alpha = 0.4 \). \( \text{Im} \Sigma(i\omega_n=0) \) decreases with decreasing temperature for both \( B/C \) and \( A \) sites and the system displays Fermi-liquid behavior. Non-Fermi liquid behavior in the presence of a flat band has been discussed previously for the multiband Hubbard model with repulsive interaction.\textsuperscript{23,24} Doping driven FL to NFL change has been found using DMFT calculations combined with first principles density functional theory.\textsuperscript{28,29} The origin of such NFL behavior was the nearly flat dispersion present in the given material. Also a multiorbital Hubbard model with orbital dependent hoppings has been studied in the context of orbital-selective Mott transition, where the origin of NFL behavior is due to the lattice structure. In our study, we have systematically tuned the lattice model from dispersive to flat bands to show how the non-Fermi liquid behavior emerges.

D. Doped Hubbard model

To explore the possible dSC in the presence of finite inhomogeneity away from half-filling, we have carried out cellular DMFT+ED calculations using a \( 2 \times 2 \) cluster. Since the present choice of the inhomogeneity expands the unit cell by a factor of 2 in each direction, the four-site plaquette actually comprises a single unit cell of the
model. This plaquette DMFT approximation is equivalent to the single site DMFT for a four band model in the sense that we get one impurity problem with four spin-degenerate orbitals. We uniformly dope the system by choosing a finite chemical potential $\mu$ independent of the lattice site in the unit cell. We allow breaking of the $SU(2)$ spin symmetry and thus long-range antiferromagnetic order. We show the dSC order for different values of chemical potential $\mu$ and inhomogeneity $\alpha$ in the upper panel of figure 8. We observe a region with finite dSC order parameter for moderate inhomogeneity, while dSC is not present for inhomogeneity $\alpha \leq 0.4$ for any finite $\mu$. We also observe a region where the dSC order parameter is finite but non-convergent and oscillates with the DMFT iteration with a period longer than two iteration steps as shown by the circles. We also present the behavior of local magnetization averaged over the unit cell for different $\mu$ and $\alpha$. We obtain a magnetic to non-magnetic crossover going through a region with magnetic order oscillating with the DMFT iteration in the lower panel of figure 8. In this case such oscillatory solution is observed for $0 < \alpha < 1$ with varying $\mu$.

An example of the DMFT calculations in the region with oscillatory solutions can be seen in figure 9. We show the results for two values of the inhomogeneity, i.e. $\alpha = 0.05$ in the upper panel and $\alpha = 0.5$ in the lower panel. For $\alpha = 0.05$, the different order parameters such as dSC, magnetic and density order oscillate with the DMFT iteration with a period longer than two and a convergent solution cannot be achieved. Motivated by the observations in doped 2D homogeneous Hubbard model, such a behaviour has been interpreted as indication that an incommensurate spin density wave is the proper state, and consequently calculations do not converge in this parameter region. Although there is no direct mathematical foundation for such an interpretation, we have previously reported presence of spatially non-uniform magnetic and charge order coexisting
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**FIG. 7.** In the main panel: $\text{Im}\Sigma(i\omega_{\text{Im}}) vs T$ at different sites for $\alpha = 0.95$ and $U = 2$. A similar plot is shown for $\alpha = 0.4$ in the inset. For these parameters the system is in the non-magnetic metallic regime.

![Figure 8](image2.png)

**FIG. 8.** Upper panel: The dSC order parameter for set of inhomogeneity $\alpha$ and chemical potential $\mu$ for the two dimensional Hubbard model on the inhomogeneous square lattice with modulated hopping at $U = 6.0$. The circles are the data points where we have carried out the plaquette DMFT+ED calculations. The dashed lines are guides to the eye separating different regions. The lines are only qualitative and do not actually correspond to a phase boundary. For solid circles, we obtain a converged DMFT solution while open white circles represent the data set for which DMFT solutions are finite and oscillatory. The color code assigned to the solid circles represent the magnitude of the dSC order parameter. Lower panel: magnetic order, $m$, as a function of inhomogeneity $\alpha$ and chemical potential $\mu$. 

with dSC using an extended plaquette DMFT approximation for the canonical 2D Hubbard model. In that case calculations were carried out for unit cells with a large number of sites by taking one-dimensional slices of the lattice. There, incommensurate orders coexisting with dSC were reported, such as the spin density wave coexisting with inhomogeneous dSC of wavelength 12 plaquettes which was found to have the lowest energy for $\mu = 1.40$ and $U = 6.0$. Such spatially non-uniform SDW orders reported in several recent work brace the interpretation. The oscillatory solutions obtained using DMFT can be made to converge using different mixing techniques, but this is likely to lead to a metastable solution given that a long wavelength SDW is not allowed for the simple plaquette DMFT approximation. For moderate inhomogeneity $\alpha = 0.5$ shown in the lower panel of figure, we observe the oscillations only for the magnetic and density orders while the superconducting order converges to $\Delta = 0$. This behavior prevails for moderate to large inhomogeneity.

In figure we show the uniform dSC orders, for converged DMFT solutions, varying with doping $x$ for different inhomogeneity, for interaction strength $U = 6.0$ and $T = 0$. For $\alpha = 0.05$, dSC is finite and monotonically decreases with increasing value of the doping. This behavior is consistent with the previous findings for the square lattice, but the magnitude of $\Delta$ decreases with increasing $\alpha$ for a fixed $x$ as can be seen for $x \sim 0.135$ in the inset. We do not observe any finite $\Delta$ for $\alpha > 0.25$ for the given set of parameters. The interplay of lattice inhomogeneity and interactions has been explored previously in the context of dSC e.g. on the checkerboard lattice using CDMFT and determinantal quantum Monte-Carlo (DQMC). CDMFT calculations show a monotonic decrease in the dSC order with inhomogeneity i.e. the ratio of the inter-plaquette to intra-plaquette hopping. In contrast, DQMC calculations find an optimal value for which the pair vertex is most attractive. In both approaches the dSC order eventually vanishes for large inhomogeneity. The checkerboard Hubbard model has been studied with other types of inhomogeneity as well. As an example, DQMC calculations have been carried out for a doped 2D Hubbard model with a pattern of inhomogeneity where an onsite potential of one fourth of the lattice sites of the square lattice is raised by an amount $V_0$ such that in the limit $V_0 \rightarrow \infty$, the lattice maps onto the “Lieb lattice” Hamiltonian. It has been found that this kind of inhomogeneity rapidly, and monotonically, suppresses the dSC pairing.

**IV. Conclusions**

To understand the spatial non-uniformity of the various order parameters in systems ranging from real materials to cold atom systems, Hubbard Hamiltonians with different inhomogeneity patterns have been proposed. The pattern of inhomogeneity explored in the present work leads to the Lieb lattice geometry as a limiting case. Importantly, this allows the study of the effect of an emerging flat band singularity. We have applied RDMFT to explore the influence of inhomogeneity on different physical properties at half-filling and finite Hubbard interactions. The inhomogeneity changes the magnetic behavior of the system, interpolating between the square lattice and Lieb lattice cases. Below a given interaction strength, the staggered magnetization displays a sharp crossover to a ferromagnetic state with increasing the in-homogeneity. There is an associated inflection point in the staggered magnetization vs the inhomogeneity parameter, with the sharp crossover. Such a behavior is due to a flat-band dispersion appearing when the tuning of the inhomogeneity. We also observe a breakdown of Fermi-liquid behavior when the inhomogeneity is increased, signalled by the inverse scattering time defined.
by the local self-energy.

To capture the non-local d-wave superconductor (dSC) order parameter away from half-filling, we employ cellular dynamical mean field theory (CDMFT) combined with an ED impurity solver for a cluster of four sites \((2 \times 2)\). For a range of doping values we observe oscillatory behaviour in the DMFT iteration, which we tentatively associate with incommensurate spin-density-wave order. For small inhomogeneity the system displays uniformly associated with incommensurate order persist for all finite values of the inhomogeneity. The presence of incommensurate order coexisting with dSC in the homogeneous case is in accordance with recent findings,[21][23] although further work would be needed to determine the actual wavelength and other properties of the spin-density-wave.

Experimental studies of the various inhomogeneity patterns can be performed using ultra-cold atoms in optical lattices. The two-dimensional Hubbard model has been realized using different ultra-cold atom setups.[64–70] The inhomogeneity has been explored for various parameters and geometries of the Hamiltonian[25–27] and by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany’s Excellence Strategy EXC-2111 390814868. Computing resources were provided by CSC - the Finnish IT Centre for Science.
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