Identifying the Riemann zeros by periodically driving a quantum simulator
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The Riemann hypothesis, one of the most important open problems in pure mathematics, implies the most profound secret of prime numbers. One of the most interesting approaches to solve this hypothesis is to connect the problem with the spectrum of the physical Hamiltonian of a quantum system. However, none of the proposed quantum Hamiltonians have been experimentally feasible. Here, we report the first experiment to identify the first non-trivial zeros of the Riemann function and the first two zeros of Pólya’s function, using a novel Floquet method, through properly designed periodically driving functions. According to this method, the zeros of these functions are characterized by the occurrence of crossings of quasi-energies when the dynamics of the system are frozen. The experimentally obtained zeros are in excellent agreement with their exact values. In this manner, our study provides a new insight into the Pólya–Hilbert conjecture for quantum systems.

INTRODUCTION

The Riemann hypothesis (RH) [1] states that all non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function ζ(s) are on the critical line of Re[s] = 1/2 in the complex plane, i.e., all non-trivial zeros have the form sn = 1/2 + iEn, where En are real numbers. The RH is one of the most important unsolved problems in the field of pure mathematics because it is closely connected to many fundamental mathematical problems [2, 3] such as the distribution of prime numbers [4].

The RH has been numerically verified for more than the first 10^{13} zeros of the Riemann zeta function [5]; however, a complete proof of the RH still needs to be explored. There have been several attempts to solve this hypothesis. Among these approaches, the Pólya–Hilbert conjecture (PHC), which suggests that the En in the Riemann zeros corresponds to the eigenvalues of a quantum mechanical Hamiltonian, is the most fascinating approach. This conjecture was first proposed by Pólya and Hilbert who were inspired by the physical basis of the RH and the fact that all eigenvalues of a physical Hamiltonian are real [6, 7]. Because of the unexpected association between the RH and quantum physics, and particularly the supporting evidence from random matrix theory [8-10] and quantum chaos [11, 12], several researchers have attempted to construct a suitable quantum Hamiltonian [13-18]. In fact, the xp model is a well-known example [13, 19, 20]; however, to our knowledge, no such Hamiltonian has been implemented in a real quantum system.

Recently, a very different approach along these lines was proposed by Creffield and Sierra [21]. In fact, a correspondence was established between the non-trivial zeros of the Riemann Ξ function and the degeneracy of the quasi-energies in a periodically driven qubit (rather than the eigenvalues of a static quantum Hamiltonian). This approach extends the

Fig. 1. Driving functions. (A) The driving functions fE(t) for the Riemann Ξ function (solid) and Pólya’s function (dashed) for E = 4. Four copies (separated by green-dashed lines) of the truncated Re(t) (Eq. M5) and PE(t) functions (Eq. M6) in the interval 0 ≤ t < π/2 are joined together to obtain the continuous periodic-driving function fE(t) with a vanishing time-average. The driving period is T. (B) Pulse shape with different Ω. The period T is fixed at a constant value (2π). The driving pulse becomes narrower and higher as Ω increases. Note that a large Ω would ensure that the system is well within the high-frequency regime. In our experiment, Ω is set to 8.

PHC to a driven system and sheds new light upon the association between the RH and quantum physics. In particular, the periodic-driving approach is experimentally achievable, although it requires demanding experimental conditions such as a long coherence time, low operational error, and low state-preparation and measurement (SPAM) error. In this study, we report an experiment to precisely identify the first non-trivial zero of the Riemann zeta function and the first two zeros of Pólya’s function utilizing this Floquet approach.
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RESULTS
Dynamics of a Floquet system

Because of their rich dynamics and flexibility, periodically driven systems have been extensively used to explore different phenomena such as topological insulators [22, 23], non-equilibrium dynamics [24], and time crystals [25, 26]. Generally, when a system is driven by the periodic Hamiltonian $H(t) = H(t + T)$ (where $T$ is the period), its dynamics can be effectively described by the Floquet formalism [27–29], i.e., the Floquet Eq.

$$\langle H(t) - i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rangle |\psi_j(t)\rangle = \epsilon_j |\psi_j(t)\rangle,$$

where the state $|\psi_j(t)\rangle$ is a Floquet state satisfying the periodic condition $|\psi_j(t)\rangle = |\psi_j(t + T)\rangle$, and $\epsilon_j$ is the quasi-energy corresponding to the Floquet state $|\psi_j(t)\rangle$. The Floquet state and the quasi-energy are analogs of the eigenstate and the eigenvalue in the time-independent case. The state of the driven system is the superposition of the Floquet states, i.e.

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = \sum a_j \exp[-i \epsilon_j t] |\psi_j(t)\rangle,$$

where $a_j$ is the time-independent coefficient determined by the initial state $|\Psi(0)\rangle$. The Floquet states reflect the short-time response of the system to the driving field within one period, whereas the quasi-energies determine the long-term dynamics [29, 30].

We considered the periodic-driving Hamiltonian of a qubit system as

$$H_E(t) = \hbar (-J \sigma_x + J f_E(t)/2 \sigma_z),$$

where $f_E(t)$ is the periodic driving field (with period $T$), i.e., $f_E(t) = f_E(t + T)$, $E$ is the driving parameter, and $J$ is the tunneling frequency. Generally, it is difficult to exactly solve the Floquet Eq. 1; however, in the strong-driving limit, where the frequency $\omega = 2\pi/T$ dominates $J$, e.g., $\omega \gg J$, the Eq. can be solved by treating the time-independent part of the Hamiltonian ($-J \sigma_x$) as a perturbation [31, 32]. Based on the first-order expansion of $J$, the quasi-energies of the driving qubit are given by $\epsilon_{\pm} = \pm \hbar |J_{eff}|$, where

$$J_{eff} = \frac{J}{T} \int_0^T dt e^{-i F(t)}$$

is the effective tunneling between the two Floquet states and

$$F(t) = \int_0^t dt_1 f_E(t_1).$$

Therefore, we engineered effective tunneling via periodic driving.

Periodic-driving function for the Riemann $\Xi$ function and Pólya’s function

According to [21], if the driving field $f_E(t)$ in the Eq. 3 is carefully designed, the effective tunneling $\hbar |J_{eff}(E)|$ can be proportional to the Riemann $\Xi$ function, i.e., $\hbar |J_{eff}(E)| \propto \Xi(E)$. The Riemann $\Xi$ function is $\Xi(E) = \frac{1}{2} \pi s(s - 1) \Gamma(\frac{s}{2}) \pi^{-s/2} \zeta(s)$, whose zeros coincide with the non-trivial zeros of the Riemann $\zeta(s)$ function. Consequently, when $E$ is the zero of $\Xi(E)$, $J_{eff}(E)$ will vanish, indicating that the dynamics of the system are frozen. This phenomenon is the so-called CDT [29, 33]. Therefore, the zeros of the Riemann $\Xi(E)$ function (and the non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function) can be obtained by detecting the degeneracy of the quasi-energies as the parameter $E$ varies.

To achieve the desired effective tunneling, the driving function in one period is formed of four segments: the first segment ($0 \leq t \leq \pi/2$) can be described as $f_E(t) = R_E(\pi/2 - t)$ (Eq. M5 in the Methods Section); the second segment ($\pi/2 \leq t \leq \pi$) is obtained by reflection transformation of the first segment along $t = \pi/2$, i.e., $f_E(t) = f_E(\pi - t)$; and the third and fourth segments ($\pi \leq t \leq 2\pi$) can be constructed as $f_E(t) = -f_E(2\pi - t)$. The driving function in the whole period is shown in Fig. 1A. Note that this function is continuous, has a time-average of zero, and satisfies the required parity condition (details in the Methods section).

Similarly, Pólya’s function $\Xi^2(E)$, which can be viewed as a smoothed version of the Riemann $\Xi(E)$ function, can be proportional to the effective tunneling of a certain engineered driving function $P_E(t)$ (the form of the function is shown in Fig. 1A and Eq. M6 in the Methods section). Its zeros can be obtained by detecting the degeneracy of quasi-energies by scanning the parameter $E$ in the driving field.

To ensure the validity of the previous statement, two contradictory conditions need to be guaranteed: a) the high-frequency condition in Eq. 3, $\omega = 2\pi/T \gg J$, which validates the perturbation expansion in the Floquet formalism, and b) the large-$T$ condition, which guarantees that the effective tunneling converges well to the desired function. Actually, the driving functions $R_E(t)$ and $P_E(t)$ decay rapidly and the function cuts off at $T_0 = \pi/2$ is enough to ensure convergence. To further satisfy the high-frequency condition, we fixed the period of the driving function to $2\pi$ and re-scaled the driving field $f_E(t)$ as $\Omega f(\Omega t)$, where $\Omega > 1$. The re-scaled driving field is shown in Fig. 1B. For a larger $\Omega$, the driving pulses get narrower and higher and the quasi-energy spectrum of the system will approach the high-frequency limit. Thus, with a larger $\Omega$ value, the results are more precise. Note that, for our experiment, $\Omega = 8$.

Experimental setup

To demonstrate the previous theories, the ion-trap simulator is the ideal setup. In the experiment, the two-level system is encoded in a trapped ion. The qubit to be driven is encoded in the 12.6-GHz hyper-fine clock transition $|0\rangle \equiv 2 S_{1/2} |F = 0, m_F = 0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle \equiv 2 S_{1/2} |F = 1, m_F = 0\rangle$ in a single $^{171}$Yb$^+$ ion confined in an RF trap [34]. This transition is first-order magnetic-field insensitive and a long coherence time of 10 min has been observed using sympathetic cooling and dynamical decoupling [35]. The long coherence of this qubit is critical to observe the CDT (corresponding to the zeros of the corresponding functions), for which many driving cycles are necessary.

After 1 ms of Doppler cooling, the qubit is initialized to
A fine scan around measured (dots) and theoretical (green solid line) values of SOR. When a modulated microwave-driving pulse $|\text{microwave using the unitary transformation } \phi \rangle$ is generated using a programmable arbitrary waveform generator, where $\omega_{01}$ is the qubit transition frequency and $t = t/J$ is the real time in units of $s$ and $\phi(t) = F(t)/2$. Moving to the rotating frame of the microwave using the unitary transformation $e^{-i\omega_{01}t'+J\phi(t)}$, and applying the rotating-wave approximation, we obtain the interaction Hamiltonian Eq. 3 between the microwave and the atom [36]. Note that the tunneling frequency $J$ is set to $(2\pi)4$ kHz, which corresponds to a Rabi time of 250 $\mu$s. Moreover, the re-scaled parameter $\Omega$ is set to 8, and the qubit is then driven for time $t' = mT'$, where $m$ is an integer and $T$ is the fundamental driving period $T' = 2\pi/J$. Subsequently, a resonant $\frac{2}{\pi}$ microwave pulse is applied to transfer the measurement basis to $|+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)$. Finally, a 400-μs pulse

**Fig. 2. The dynamics of the probability $P_{1+}(E, t' = mT')$ with driving parameter $E$ near the first zero of the Riemann $\Xi(E)$ function.** (A) In our experiment, the driving comprises up to 20 identical periods of $f_E(t)$. With different driving functions (characterized with different parameters $E$), the probability $P_{1+}$ at time $mT$ ($T = 2\pi$ is the period) behaves differently. Under the tailored driving function $f_E(t)$, $\Re(J_{\ell f}(E))$ is proportional to $\Xi(E)$. Once the dynamics are frozen (i.e., CDT occurs), the associated $E$ corresponds to the zero of $\Xi(E)$. It is clear from (a) that the evolution is nearly frozen (flat) when $E$ approaches 14 (CDT). (B) The zero of the sum of the values of the residuals (SOR; the blue horizontal dashed line) lies between $E = 14$ and $E = 15$, indicating that the first zero of the Riemann $\Xi$ function is between $E = 14$ and $E = 15$. The green solid line indicates the theoretical values of SOR. (C) For better precision, we obtain measurements from $E = 13.5$ to $E = 14.5$ with a step $\Delta E = 0.1$ and drive the system up to 30 periods. Each measurement is repeated 160,000 times. The inset in (C) depicts the measured probabilities $P_{1+}(E, t' = mT')$ where $|i\rangle = (|0\rangle + i|1\rangle)$ with $E = 14.0$. The SOR in (D) shows that the coherent destruction of tunneling (CDT) happens between $E = 14.2$ and $E = 14.4$, while the exact first zero of $\Xi(E)$ is 14.1347 (the red vertical dashed line).

**Fig. 3. The dynamics of the probability $P_{1+}(E, t' = mT')$ with driving parameter $E$ near the first zero of Pólya’s function $\Xi^*(E)$.** (A) The coarse scan of $E$ from 6 to 12 explicitly indicates that the evolution is frozen around $E = 9$. (B) The measured values (dots) and theoretical (green solid line) values of SOR. When $E = 9$, SOR is nearly zero (blue horizontal dashed line). A fine scan around $E = 9.0$ with a step of 0.1 is depicted in (C) and (D). The inset in (C) describes the measured probabilities $P_{1+}(E, t' = mT')$ and $P_{1-}(E, t' = mT')$ with $E = 9.0$. It can be inferred that the zero of the SOR as well as Pólya’s function $\Xi^*(E)$ lies between 9.0 and 9.1. The deviation from the exact zero of 8.993 (the red vertical dashed line) is because of the limited $\Omega$. 

the ground state $|0\rangle$ with a probability of 99.9%. Then, a modulated microwave-driving pulse $B(t') = B_0 \cos(\omega_{01}t' + J\phi(t) = Jt')$ is generated using a programmable arbitrary waveform generator, where $\omega_{01}$ is the qubit transition frequency and $t' = t/J$ is the real time in units of $s$ and $\phi(t) = F(t)/2$. Moving to the rotating frame of the microwave using the unitary transformation $e^{-i\omega_{01}t' + J\phi(t)}$, and applying the rotating-wave approximation, we obtain the
of a 369.5-nm laser is immediately used for fluorescence detection. When more than one photon is detected, the measurement result is noted as 1; otherwise, it is noted as 0. Many repetitions are performed to obtain the $P_{(+)}$. Once we determine that $P_{(+)}$ does not change with $m$ at some $E$, $P_{(0)}$ and $P_{(+)}$ are measured to confirm the occurrence of CDT, where $|i⟩ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|0⟩ + |1⟩)$.

Experimental results of the Riemann $Ξ(E)$ function

We first detect the zeros of the Riemann $Ξ(E)$ function. One period of the fundamental driving function $f_E(t)$ is depicted in Fig. 1. To detect the CDT, we scan the driving parameter $E$ and can measure the probabilities of the state on different bases at $t' = mT'$ (where $m$ is an integer). The CDT occurs at a certain $E$ where the measured probabilities are constant for all $m$. In the experiment, for better precision, we use the probability $P_{(+)}$ projected onto the basis $|+⟩$ as the primary indicator of CDT (the details of the selection of the projection basis can be found in the Methods section).

To identify the $E$ corresponding to the CDT, $E$ is first scanned between 10 and 18 with a step size $\Delta E = 1$. To quantitatively measure the deviation between the measured evolution and the CDT, we define the SOR as $S(T_m, E) = \sum_m P_{(+)}(T_m, E) - P_{CDT}(T_m)$, where $P_{(+)}(T_m, E)$ denotes the measured probability on the basis $|+⟩$ at time $T_m$ with parameter $E$ and $P_{CDT}(T_m)$ is the probability when CDT occurs. $P_{CDT}(T_m)$ is determined by the prepared state and the measurement basis, e.g., in our current experiment, $P_{CDT}(T_m) = 0.5$. The SOR, noted as $S(E)$, depends upon $E$ and can be positive or negative. In particular, when CDT occurs, $S(E)$ will be zero.

As can be seen in Fig. 2A, when $E$ approaches 14, the probability $P_{(+)}$ on the basis $|+⟩$ is stable and barely changes from 0.5, indicating that the CDT happens near $E = 14$. Note that $S(E = 14) < 0$ and $S(E = 15) > 0$, which suggests that the CDT will appear between $E = 14$ and 15.

To determine the best value of $E$ at which the CDT appears, we utilized a smaller $\Delta E$ and scanned $E$ from 13.5 to 14.5. The experimental results are depicted in Fig. 2C and Fig. 2D. Because $S(E = 14.2) < 0$ and $S(E = 14.4) > 0$, we inferred that the zero of $S(E)$ turns out to be between 14.2 and 14.4. Based on this result, it can inferred that the zero of $J_{eff}(E)$ and $Ξ(E)$ lies between 14.2 and 14.4, which is very close to the exact first zero of Riemann zeta function $ζ(1/2 + iE)$, i.e., $E = 14.1347$. As shown below, when $Ω$ is higher, the result will be more precise.

The experiment is repeated 40,000 times in Fig. 2A and 160,000 times in Fig. 2C. The error bars in Fig. 2A and Fig. 2C indicate the statistical error within one standard deviation.

The error bars of SOR in Fig. 2B and Fig. 2D are the sums of the statistical errors of the corresponding $m$ data points $p_0(m, E)$ in Fig. 2A and Fig. 2C, respectively.

Experimental results of Pólya’s function $Ξ^*(E)$

We will now focus on identifying zeros of Pólya’s function. Similar to the Riemann $Ξ(E)$ function situation, we first scan the parameter $E$ with a step size $\Delta E = 1$. The experimental results are shown in Fig. 3A and Fig. 3B. The first zero of $S(E)$ falls around $E = 9$. To obtain a more precise value of $E$, we scanned $E$ from 8.5 to 9.5 with a step size of $\Delta E = 0.1$ and the results are shown in Fig. 3C and Fig. 3D. Note that $P_{(+)}$ remains unchanged when $E$ is between 9.0 and 9.1. Therefore, the zeros of $J_{eff}(E)$ and $Ξ^*(E)$ are measured to be between 9.0 and 9.1, which is close to the exact first zero of Pólya’s function $Ξ^*(E)$, i.e., $E = 8.993$. Furthermore, we measured the second zero of Pólya’s function, which is near 19, as shown in Fig. 4. For each point, the experiment was repeated 40,000 times.

The experimental result and the theoretical prediction in Fig. 3D is slightly larger than the exact zero 8.993 because the scale factor $Ω$ is not large enough to satisfy the high-frequency limit. To demonstrate that the zeros can be better approached with a higher value of $Ω$, the behaviors of $P_{(0)}(t' = 20T')$ at $E = 8.9, 9.0$ and 9.1 with varying $Ω$ are shown in Fig. 5. The smaller $Ω$ ($Ω < 6$) introduces a relatively larger deviation from the actual zero of Pólya’s function $Ξ^*(E)$, e.g., when $Ω \leq 6$, CDT occurs with the driving parameter $E > 9.1$. Moreover, CDT appears with the parameter $E < 9.1$ when $Ω \geq 8$ and approaches the exact value as $Ω$ increases. However, CDT is much closer to $E = 9.0$ when $Ω = 12$; therefore, larger values of $Ω$ indicate that the zeros have better precision. However, from an experimental point of view, a larger $Ω$ indicates more driving periods to distinguish the evolutions of the state with different $E$. This will be limited by the coherence time and the stability of the system. Therefore, under the trade off, we selected $Ω = 8$ in our current experiment. Actually, for the Riemann $Ξ(E)$ function, it is more challenging to achieve larger $Ω$ than it is with Pólya’s function because of its smaller quasi-energies.

**DISCUSSION**
with the parameter $E$ however, when the parameter $E$ system, as well as the famous Riemann hypothesis. Because driven system. Using a quantum solver opens a whole new respond to crossings of the quasi-energies of a periodically driving a single qubit. Our results exhibit a good agreement in terms of the occurrence of the CDT of the driven system with the Hamiltonian (3) under the first-order perturbation [21]. The effective Hamiltonian of the driving system is $H_{eff} = J_{eff} \sigma_x$, (M1)

where $J_{eff}$ is determined by Eq. (4). To connect the Riemann $\Xi(E)$ function to effective tunneling, i.e., $\Re(J_{eff}(E)) \propto \Xi(E)$, we use the following form of the Riemann $\Xi(E)$ function [6]

$$\Xi(E) = \int_0^\infty dt \Phi(t) \cos(Et/2), \quad (M2)$$

where $\Phi(t) = 2\pi e^{5t/4} \sum_{n=1}^\infty (2\pi e^{n^2} - 3) \pi e^{-\pi n^2 e^t}$ (in this work, we used the first 100 terms). Comparing the Eq. 4 and Eq. M2, we obtain

$$\int_0^T dt \cos F(t) = \alpha \int_0^\infty dt \Phi(t) \cos(Et/2), \quad (M3)$$

where $\alpha$ is a constant. Because of the rapid decay of $\Phi(t)$ on the right-hand side, its value can be approximated using the same integral with the interval of integration as $[0, T = \pi/2]$. Thus, we can obtain

$$F(t) = \cos^{-1}[\alpha \Phi(t) \cos(Et/2)], \quad (M4)$$

where $\alpha = \Phi(0)$ and the period $T$ is $\pi/2$. From Eq. 5 and Eq. M4, the driving function for the Riemann $\Xi(E)$ function can be directly obtained as follows:

$$R_E(t) = -\frac{\Phi'(t) \cos(Et/2) - (E/2) \Phi(t) \sin(Et/2)}{\sqrt{\Phi^2(0) - [\Phi(t) \cos(Et/2)]^2}}, \quad (M5)$$

where $t = Jt' \in [0, \pi/2]$ represents a dimensionless parameter [21].

Using the same method for Pólya’s function, the driving function can be obtained as follows:

$$P_E(t) = -\frac{\phi(t) (a \tanh at - 2 \pi \sinh t - E/2 \tan(Et/2))}{\sqrt{1 - \phi(t)^2}}, \quad (M6)$$

where $a = 9/4$ and $t = Jt' \in [0, \pi/2]$. Both $R_E(t)$ and $P_E(t)$ are truncated at $\pi/2$. The driving function $f_E(t)$ constructed by directly repeating $R_E(t)$ or $P_E(t)$ $m$ times has the following limitations: i) it is discontinuous; ii) its average over one period does not vanish, which may heat the cold atom; iii) the periodic driving field does not have odd parity, which indicates that the quasi-energies may form a broad avoided crossing according to the von Neumann–Wigner theorem [37]. To ensure the driving field $f_E(t)$ satisfies the parity requirement $\mathcal{P} : x \rightarrow -x$, $t \rightarrow t + T/2$, we joined together four copies of $R_E(t)$ or $P_E(t)$ as shown in Fig. 1A with the total period $T = 2\pi$ (in the experimental setting, the period $T'$ is $2\pi/J$). Note that these modified driving functions, which are introduced in the main text, can overcome all of the above problems and remain experimentally achievable.

**Measurement-bases selection**

Generally, CDT can be detected by projecting the states at time $nT$ onto any basis; however, the sensitivity of the probability against parameter $E$ is strongly dependent upon the measurement basis. Here, we show how to select the measurement basis such that the CDT can be observed in a reasonable driving time and at a higher precision.

**METHODS**

**Driving function**

We briefly introduce how driving functions can be obtained as per Ref [21]. The effective Hamiltonian of the driving system with the Hamiltonian (3) under the first-order perturbation is

$$H_{eff} = J_{eff} \sigma_x, \quad (M1)$$

Fig. 5. The dynamics of the probability $P_{+}$ versus the scale factor $\Omega$ near Pólya’s function’s first zero. The larger value of $\Omega$ indicates a better high-frequency approximation and a higher precision of the zeros. The system undergoes CDT with the driving parameter $E$ above 9.1 when $\Omega = 6$; however, when the parameter $\Omega$ increases to 8, CDT occurs with the parameter $E$ between 9.1 and 9.0. If $\Omega$ further increases to 12, CDT appears with the parameter $E$ remaining very close to 9.0, which is closer to the exact result of $E = 8.993$. Thus, if $\Omega$ keeps increasing, the CDT will theoretically approach the exact zero of the $\Xi^*(E)$ function. Moreover, the results show that the limited $\Omega$ is the dominant reason for the deviation in zeros.

To summarize, we measured the first zero of the Riemann zeta function and the first two zeros of Pólya’s function by periodically driving a single qubit. Our results exhibit a good agreement in terms of the occurrence of the CDT of the driven system and the zeros of the Riemann zeta function. This is the first experiment demonstrating that the Riemann zeros correspond to crossings of the quasi-energies of a periodically driven system. Using a quantum solver opens a whole new method to pursue the secret of the PHC in a real physical system, as well as the famous Riemann hypothesis. Because of the rapid decay of quasi-energies as $E$ increases, higher-order zeros of the Riemann zeta function require more rigorous stability and accuracy of the system, as well as a long driving time. In the future, this work can be extended by improving the robustness and detection efficiency of the system. Furthermore, a more efficient driving function for which the quasi-energies decay more slowly will enable the observation of more zeros.
In our experiment, the initial state in Eq. 2 is uniformly prepared in $|0\rangle$. We will now compare three often-used measurement bases: $|0\rangle$ (basis for $\sigma_z$), $|+\rangle$ (basis for $\sigma_x$), and $|i\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + i|1\rangle)$ (basis for $\sigma_y$).

1) If we project the system on the basis $|0\rangle$, the probability at time $t' = nT'$ with parameter $E$ will be $P_{00}(E, t') = 1/2 + \cos(2\epsilon(E)t')/2$, where $\epsilon(E)$ is the quasi-energy defined in Eq. 1. For two close parameters $E_1$ and $E_2$, the difference of their probability is $\Delta P_{00} = P_{00}(E_1, t') - P_{00}(E_2, t') = t'^2(\epsilon^2(E_1) - \epsilon^2(E_2)) + \mathcal{O}(|t'|^{2k})$, where $\mathcal{O}(|t'|^{2k})$ is the higher even-order terms.

2) If we project the state on the basis $|+\rangle$, the probability is $P_{++} = 1/2 - \sin(2\epsilon(E)t')/2$. Similarly, the difference of the probability with two close parameters $E_1$ and $E_2$ is

$$\Delta P_{++} = t'(\epsilon(E_1) - \epsilon(E_2)) + \mathcal{O}(|t'|^{2k+1}), \quad (M7)$$

where $\mathcal{O}(|t'|^{2k+1})$ gives the higher odd-order terms.

3) If we project the system on the basis $|i\rangle$, the probability $P_{0i}$ will sinusoidally oscillate near 0.5, with the amplitude $A$ being much smaller than 1 ($A \approx 0.005$ for Riemann function). The probability difference will be considerably small to be measured experimentally.

The quantity $t'\epsilon$ is much smaller than 1 when $E$ approaches CDT, which indicates $\Delta P_{00}$, $\Delta P_{++}$ $\ll$ $\Delta P_{+i}$. To obtain precise values of zeros of the Riemann $\Xi(E)$ and Pólya’s functions, we need to separate $P_{+i}(E_1, t')$ from $P_{+i}(E_2, t')$ as far as possible, where $E_1$ and $E_2$ are close to each other. The previous analysis shows that $P_{+i}$ is much more sensitive against the parameter $E$ than $P_{00}$ and $P_{0i}$). Therefore, in our experiment, we selected $P_{+i}$ as our primary CDT indicator. Furthermore, Eq. M7 indicates that if we want to have a larger $\Delta P_{+i}$, a longer driving time $t'$ will be expected. In the experiment, because of the SPAM error (99.5% in our system), the best distinguishable $\Delta P_{+i}$ is around 0.005. If CDT occurs at $E_2$ and $\Delta E = 0.1$, $\epsilon(E_1) - \epsilon(E_2)$ will be of the order of $(5 \times 10^{-4})/T'$, which indicates that the driving time $t'$ should be at least $10T'$ according to Eq. M7. Moreover, the coherence time should be longer than 2,000 periods ($\sim$500 ms in our case). However, to identify the second zero of Riemann function, $t'$ should be longer than 1,000$T'$ and the coherence time needs to be over $10^3T'$ ($\sim$30 seconds in our case).

**Observation of CDT in a trapped-ion qubit**

Unlike the original proposal that $J_{\text{eff}}$ can be directly measured by the free-expansion rate of a Gaussian wave packet of cold atoms [21, 38, 39], we detect the vanishing of the effective tunneling $J_{\text{eff}}$ using the frozen dynamics in our trapped ion. Generally, the system is in the state described by Eq. 2 whose evolution depends only upon the quasi-energies. When CDT occurs, the vanishing quasi-energies in Eq. 2 merely contribute a global phase to the after-integer periods, i.e., $|\Psi(t+kT)| = e^{i\varphi}|\Psi(t)|$, where $k$ is an integer, $T$ is the period of the driving, and $\varphi$ is the global phase, i.e., the state is frozen at multiple periods. Therefore, when the CDT occurs, the vanishing of the quasi-energies can be directly determined by the constant population on every measurement basis after multiple periods. For this experiment, we first detected the $|+\rangle$ basis to identify the frozen point of $E$. Subsequently, we confirmed that the CDT indeed occurs by detecting the state evolution onto bases $|0\rangle$ and $|i\rangle$.

---
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