Bose-Einstein condensation on the surface of a sphere
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Motivated by the recent achievement of space-based Bose-Einstein condensates (BEC) with ultracold alkali-metal atoms under microgravity and by the proposal of bubble traps which confine atoms on a thin shell, we investigate the BEC thermodynamics on the surface of a sphere. We determine analytically the critical temperature and the condensate fraction of a noninteracting Bose gas. Then we consider the inclusion of a zero-range interatomic potential, extending the noninteracting results at zero and finite temperature. Both in the noninteracting and interacting case the crucial role of the finite radius of the sphere is emphasized, showing that in the limit of infinite radius one recovers the familiar two-dimensional results. We also investigate the Berezinski-Kosterlitz-Thouless (BKT) transition driven by vortical configurations on the surface of the sphere, showing that the atomic system supports three regimes: BEC with superfluidity, BEC without superfluidity, and superfluidity without BEC.

Introduction. From the theoretical prediction in a series of articles of Bose and Einstein [1–3], to its experimental achievement in 1995 [4, 5], the paradigm of Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) guided and marked the development of large part of modern physics. Despite a huge variety of phenomena emerges from the combination of different trapped configurations and a tunable interaction strength [6], some basic analytical problems of fascinating beauty are currently unexplored. Among them, the condensation of a bosonic gas of ultracold atoms confined on the surface of a sphere. Our theoretical study of this system is triggered by the experimental possibility to confine the atoms on a spherically symmetric bubble trap [7], and by zero-temperature computational works [8, 9] on the same topic. This configuration is produced by a radiofrequency dressing of the atoms, which allows to engineer a large variety of radial configurations [9–12]. However, a spherical atom distribution cannot be observed in conventional experiments since the atoms fall in the bottom of the trap due to gravitational effects [13]. Until now, many experiments with BEC have been carried on in microgravity settings [14–15] and bubble trap experiments in microgravity are planned for an orbiting cold atom laboratory inside the International Space Station [17, 18]. It is thus pressing to obtain analytical results for these systems, whose better understanding would offer an efficient benchmark for precise atom interferometry, improved description of compact stars [19] and fundamental physics testing [20]. Here we calculate the critical temperature for a BEC of noninteracting bosons confined on the surface of a sphere and we derive an expression for their condensate fraction as a function of the temperature. Then we consider the addition of a zero-range two-body interaction. Within a functional integration approach we extend the noninteracting results in a Gaussian (one-loop) approximation. Despite a nontrivial different topology with respect to a planar condensate, it is expected that a thin spherical shell undergoes the Berezinski-Kosterlitz-Thouless (BKT) transition [21, 22]. We investigate the relationship between BEC and BKT, whose understanding is of general interest for any superfluid system on a curved surface described by an angle-valued field [23]. We expect that our results can be reproduced in the experimental limit of a thin shell, in which the radius of the spherical trap is much larger than the mean radial displacement of the atoms. Non-interacting Bose gas. The energy of a particle of mass $m$ moving on the surface of a sphere of radius $R$ is quantized according to the formula

$$e_l = \frac{\hbar^2}{2mR^2}l(l+1),$$

(1)

where $\hbar$ is the reduced Planck constant and $l = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ is the integer quantum number of the angular momentum. This energy level has the degeneracy $2l + 1$ due to the magnetic quantum number $m_l = -l, -l+1, \ldots, l-1, l$ of the third component of the angular momentum. In quantum statistical mechanics the total number $N$ of noninteracting bosons moving on the surface of a sphere and at equilibrium with a thermal bath of absolute temperature $T$ is given by

$$N = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{2l + 1}{\exp((\mu - \mu_0)/k_BT) - 1},$$

(2)

where $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant and $\mu$ is the chemical potential. In the Bose-condensed phase, we can set $\mu = 0$. 
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and
\[ N = N_0 + \sum_{l=1}^{+\infty} \frac{2l + 1}{e^{\frac{l^2}{k_BT}} - 1}, \tag{3} \]
where \( N_0 \) is the number of bosons in the lowest single-particle energy state, i.e. the number of bosons in the Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC). From this equation one gets a critical temperature \( T_{BEC} \) above which \( N_0 = 0 \). Strictly speaking, in our system with a finite radius \( R \) and finite particle number \( N \), \( \mu \) cannot be zero and there is never a full depletion of the condensate above the critical temperature. This residual population of the condensate is however rapidly vanishing for a finite but macroscopic system. Within the semiclassical approximation, where \( \sum_{l=1}^{+\infty} \rightarrow \int_{l}^{+\infty} dl \), Eq. \( \tag{3} \) becomes
\[ n = n_0 + \frac{mk_BT}{2\pi\hbar^2} \left( \frac{\hbar^2}{mR^2k_BT} - \ln \left( e^{\frac{\hbar^2}{(mR^2k_BT)}} - 1 \right) \right), \tag{4} \]
where \( n = N/(4\pi R^2) \) is the 2D number density and \( n_0 = N_0/(4\pi R^2) \) is the 2D condensate density. We emphasize that in the low-temperature limit of \( T \rightarrow 0 \) the second term of Eq. \( \tag{4} \) vanishes and the system density \( n \) is coincident with the condensate density \( n_0 \). At the critical temperature \( T_{BEC} \) the condensate density must be zero: from Eq. \( \tag{4} \) one finds
\[ k_BT_{BEC} = \frac{\frac{2\pi\hbar^2}{m^2k_BT}}{n_0} \left( \frac{\hbar^2}{mR^2k_BT} - \ln \left( e^{\frac{\hbar^2}{(mR^2k_BT)}} - 1 \right) \right), \tag{5} \]
which is an implicit analytical formula for the critical temperature \( T_{BEC} \) as a function of the 2D number density \( n \) and the radius \( R \) of the sphere. As expected \[24\], in the limit \( R \rightarrow +\infty \) one gets \( T_{BEC} \rightarrow 0 \). However, for any finite value of \( R \) the critical temperature \( T_{BEC} \) is larger than zero. This can also be seen in the top panel of Fig. \( \tag{1} \) where we report the critical temperature \( T_{BEC} \) for noninteracting bosons as a function of the parameter \( nR^2 \). The semiclassical approximation (dashed line) works very well because the strong convergence to zero of the Bose distribution for high values of \( l \) cuts off the pathologic behavior of the density of states for \( l \gg 1 \) \[25\]. Combining Eqs. \( \tag{4} \) and \( \tag{5} \) one immediately obtains the condensate fraction of the system for \( 0 \leq T \leq 0 \), namely
\[ n_0/n = 1 - \frac{1 - k_BT_{BEC} \frac{mR^2}{\hbar^2} \ln \left( e^{\frac{\hbar^2}{(mR^2k_BT_{BEC})}} - 1 \right)}{1 - k_BT_{BEC} \frac{mR^2}{\hbar^2} \ln \left( e^{\frac{\hbar^2}{(mR^2k_BT_{BEC})}} - 1 \right)}. \tag{6} \]
The numerical solution of Eq. \( \tag{6} \) is reported in the bottom panel of Fig. \( \tag{1} \) in which we represent the condensate fraction \( n_0/n \) of noninteracting bosons in terms of the rescaled temperature \( T/T_{BEC} \) for different values of the \( nR^2 \) parameter, with \( n = N/(4\pi R^2) \). Experimentally, one can tune \( nR^2 \) simply changing the total number \( N \), but also changing the radius \( R \) at fixed density \( n \).

**Interacting Bose gas.** We now consider a system of interacting bosons on the surface of a sphere. The main thermodynamic function describing a system of particles in the grand canonical ensemble is the grand potential \( \Omega = -\beta^{-1} \ln(\mathcal{Z}) \), where \( \beta^{-1} = k_BT \) and \( \mathcal{Z} \) is the grand canonical partition function. Within the formalism of functional integration we calculate \( \mathcal{Z} \) as the functional integral
\[ \mathcal{Z} = \int \mathcal{D}[\bar{\psi}, \psi] e^{-\frac{S[\bar{\psi}, \psi]}{k_BT}}, \tag{7} \]
where
\[ S[\bar{\psi}, \psi] = \int_0^{\beta} d\tau \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \int_0^{\pi} \sin(\theta) d\theta R^2 \mathcal{L}(\bar{\psi}, \psi) \tag{8} \]
is the Euclidean action, and
\[ \mathcal{L}(\bar{\psi}, \psi) = \bar{\psi}(\theta, \varphi, \tau) \left( \hbar \partial_\tau + \frac{L^2}{2mR^2} - \mu \right) \psi(\theta, \varphi, \tau) + \frac{g}{2} \left[ \psi(\theta, \varphi, \tau) \right]^4 \tag{9} \]
is the Euclidean Lagrangian, i.e., the Lagrangian with 
imaginary time $\tau$. Notice that the kinetic energy is 
written in terms of the angular momentum $L$, which is 
proportional to the angular components of the Laplacian 
in spherical coordinates, namely 
\begin{equation}
L^2 = -\hat{\eta}^2 \left( \frac{1}{\sin(\theta)} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varphi^2} + \frac{1}{\sin^2(\theta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \sin(\theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) \right). \tag{10}
\end{equation}

Our Lagrangian models interacting bosons on the surface 
of a sphere. Experimentally, this corresponds to the 
thin-shell limit of a bubble trap potential \cite{11}, in 
which the atoms are confined by the radial shifted 
harmonic potential $V_{\text{shell}} = m \omega_d^2 (r - R)^2 / 2$. Following Refs. \cite{8, 10}, we suggest that one can tune the experimental 
parameters to confine the atoms on a shell with a 
radial harmonic length $l_{\text{sh}} = (\hbar / (m \omega_d))^1/2$ of 
the order $l_{\text{sh}} = 10^{-1}$ $\mu$m, which is much smaller than the radius of 
the sphere $R \approx 30$ $\mu$m. In this case the radial excitations 
are inhibited and it is safe to impose our constraint in 
the Lagrangian of Eq. \cite{1}. Therein, the real two-body interatomic 
potential $V(r)$ has been substituted with the effective 
two-dimensional zero-range interaction coupling $g$. 
Therefore, for a given interatomic potential, one can 
calculate the exact value of $g$ \cite{26}. Moreover, one can use 
the scattering theory to link $g$ to the two-dimensional 
s-wave scattering length $a_s$. In 2D the latter quantity is 
however energy-dependent and a physical cutoff, usually 
identified with the chemical potential of the system, 
is needed \cite{27}. Besides this, the relation between $g$ and $a_s$ 
should also include the corrections due to the curvature 
of the scattering surface \cite{28}. To keep the compatibility 
with different experimental setups and interparticle 
interactions, in the following we will simply employ $g$, 
which could also be used as a phenomenological fitting 
parameter for thicker spherical shells.

Let us now explicitly perform the functional integra-
tion of the Lagrangian $L$. The spontaneous breaking 
in the condensate phase of the U(1) symmetry of the 
complex order parameter $\psi$ is introduced with the 
Bogoliubov shift 
\begin{equation}
\psi(\theta, \varphi, \tau) = \psi_0 + \eta(\theta, \varphi, \tau), \tag{11}
\end{equation}

where the real field configuration $\psi_0$ describes the 
condensate component with angular momentum $l = 0$ and 
m$_l = 0$. By substituting this field parametrization and 
keeping only second order terms in the field $\eta$ we rewrite the 
Lagrangian as 
\begin{equation}
\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0 + \mathcal{L}_g, \tag{12}
\end{equation}

with $\mathcal{L}_0 = -\mu \psi_0^2 + g \psi_0^4 / 2$, and 
\begin{equation}
\mathcal{L}_g = \hat{\eta}^2 (\theta, \varphi, \tau) \left( \hbar \partial_t + \frac{L^2}{2mR^2} - \mu \right) \eta(\theta, \varphi, \tau) + \frac{g}{2} \eta^2 (\theta, \varphi, \tau) + \hat{\eta}^2 (\theta, \varphi, \tau). \tag{13}
\end{equation}

The mean-field Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_0$ gives the mean-field 
grand potential 
\begin{equation}
\Omega_0 = 4\pi R^2 \left( -\mu \psi_0^2 + g \psi_0^4 / 2 \right) \tag{14}
\end{equation}

while the functional integral of the Gaussian Lagrangian 
$\mathcal{L}_g$ can be calculated explicitly with the following decomposition of the complex fluctuation field $\eta(\theta, \varphi, \tau)$ 
\begin{equation}
\eta(\theta, \varphi, \tau) = \sum_{\omega_n} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} \frac{e^{-i \omega_n \tau}}{\sqrt{R}} Y_{l m}(\theta, \varphi) \eta(l, m_l, \omega_n), \tag{15}
\end{equation}

and similarly for $\hat{\eta}(\theta, \varphi, \tau)$, where $\omega_n$ are the Matsubara frequencies and we introduce the orthonormal basis of the spherical harmonics $Y_{l m}$ \cite{29}. Substituting this decomposition into the Gaussian Lagrangian \cite{13} and using the orthonormality properties of $Y_{l m}$ and of the complex exponentials, we rewrite the Gaussian action $S_g$ as 
\begin{equation}
S_g[\hat{\eta}, \eta] = \frac{\hbar}{2} \sum_{\omega_n} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m_l=-l}^{l} \left( \hat{\eta}(l, m_l, \omega_n) \right)^T M(l, m_l, \omega_n) \left( \eta(l, m_l, \omega_n) \right), \tag{16}
\end{equation}

where the elements $M_{ij}$ of the matrix $M$ are defined as 
\begin{equation}
M_{ii} = (-1)^i \hbar \omega_n + \epsilon_l - \mu + 2g \psi_0^2, \quad i = 1, 2 \tag{17}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
M_{12} = M_{21} = (-1)^m g \psi_0^2. \tag{18}
\end{equation}

The Gaussian action $S_g$ can be integrated in the the $\omega_n \geq 0$ 
field sector and the corresponding contribution to the 
Grand mean potential $\Omega_g$ reads 
\begin{equation}
\Omega_g(\mu, \psi_0^2) = \frac{1}{2g} \sum_{\omega_n} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m_l=-l}^{l} \ln \left( \beta^2 (\hbar^2 \alpha_n^2 + E_l^2 (\mu, \psi_0^2)) \right), \tag{19}
\end{equation}

where $E_l(\mu, \psi_0^2)$ is the excitation spectrum of the interacting 
system 
\begin{equation}
E_l(\mu, \psi_0^2) = \sqrt{(\epsilon_l - \mu + 2g \psi_0^2)^2 - 4g^2 \psi_0^4}. \tag{20}
\end{equation}

One can easily sum over the Matsubara bosonic frequencies 
$\omega_n$ \cite{30}, and remembering the mean field grand potential $\Omega_0$ of Eq. \cite{14}, we obtain the total grand potential $\Omega$ as 
\begin{equation}
\Omega(\mu, \psi_0^2) = 4\pi R^2 \left( -\mu \psi_0^2 + g \psi_0^4 / 2 \right) + \frac{g}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m_l=-l}^{l} E_l(\mu, \psi_0^2) + \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m_l=-l}^{l} \ln (1 - e^{-\beta E_l(\psi_0^2)}) + o(\alpha^2), \tag{21}
\end{equation}

where we include the parameter $\alpha = 1$, whose power 
counts the perturbative order of the grand potential expansion \cite{32}. We fix the value of the order parameter $\psi_0$
with the variational saddle point condition \( \partial \Omega / \partial \psi_0 = 0 \), which determines a relation between \( \psi_0 \), the chemical potential \( \mu \) and the contact interaction strength \( g \). Since the condensate density \( n_0 \) is defined as \( n_0 = \psi_0^2 \), the saddle point condition implies that

\[
n_0(\mu) = \frac{\mu}{g} - \frac{\alpha}{4\pi R^2} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} \frac{\hbar^2 l(l+1)}{2m^2 R^2} \frac{1}{E_l^B} + \mu \left[ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{e^{2\beta E_l^B} - 1}} \right] + o(\alpha^2),
\]

(21)

which at the lowest perturbative order gives \( n_0 = \mu / g + o(\alpha) \), and where the excitation spectrum \( E_l^B = E_l(\mu, n_0(\mu)) \) takes the Bogoliubov-like form [33]

\[
E_l^B = \sqrt{\epsilon_l (\epsilon_l + 2\mu)}.
\]

(22)

With the mean-field condition, the grand potential of Eq. (20) can be rewritten as

\[
\Omega(\mu, n_0(\mu)) = -4\pi R^2 \frac{\mu^2}{2g} + \frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} E_l^B
\]

\[
+ \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} \ln(1 - e^{-2\beta E_l^B}) + o(\alpha^2)
\]

(23)

and we can introduce the system density \( n \) as

\[
n(\mu) = -\frac{1}{4\pi R^2} \frac{\partial \Omega(\mu, n_0(\mu))}{\partial \mu}.
\]

(24)

Since we are interested in the relation between the density \( n \) and the condensate density \( n_0 \), we substitute in the last equation the value of \( \mu = \mu(n_0) \) given by Eq. (21), obtaining

\[
n(n_0) = n_0 + f_g^{(0)}(n_0) + f_g^{(T)}(n_0),
\]

(25)

where

\[
f_g^{(0)}(n_0) = \frac{\alpha}{4\pi R^2} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} \frac{e_l + g n_0}{E_l(\mu(n_0), n_0)}
\]

(26)

is the zero-temperature Gaussian density and

\[
f_g^{(T)}(n_0) = \frac{\alpha}{4\pi R^2} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} \frac{e_l + g n_0}{E_l(\mu(n_0), n_0)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{e^{2\beta E_l^B} - 1}} - 1
\]

(27)

is the finite-temperature Gaussian density. We emphasize that, at a Gaussian level, this procedure is equivalent to the one adopted in our previous article [34]. The number density of Eq. (25) constitutes a reliable result in low-temperature and weakly-interacting regime, in which the quantum and thermal depletion are small, namely \( n \approx n_0 \). Within the Variational Perturbation Theory (VPT) [35, 36], one can employ the weakly-interacting perturbative expansion of Eq. (25) to derive a self-consistent approximation for the number density, valid also for larger values of the depletion. In our case, the VPT procedure outlined in Ref. [32] is equivalent at the order \( \alpha^2 \) to the substitution of the condensate density \( n_0 \) in the Eqs. (26, 27) with the total number density \( n \), obtaining \( f_g^{(0)}(n) \) and \( f_g^{(T)}(n) \). We stress that this VPT method for a 3D homogeneous Bose gas leads to a critical temperature that scales with the square root of the gas parameter, while Monte Carlo simulations suggest a linear scaling [37]. Setting \( \alpha = 1 \), we now calculate explicitly \( f_g^{(0)}(n) \), which is ultraviolet divergent and needs a regularization procedure. We rewrite the sum as an integral over \( l \) in which the degeneration over \( m_l \) gives a \( 2l+1 \) factor. Using the variable \( t = \hbar^2 l(l+1)/(4\alpha m_g R^2) \), we integrate \( f_g^{(0)}(n) \) subtracting the pathological asymptotic behavior of the integrand function at \( +\infty \), thus obtaining

\[
f_g^{(0)}(n) = \frac{m g n}{4\pi R^2} + \frac{1}{4\pi R^2} \left[ 1 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{2g m n R^2}{\hbar^2}} \right],
\]

(28)

which vanishes for noninteracting bosons for which the quantum depletion does not occur. We emphasize that \( f_g^{(0)}(n) \) generalizes the quantum depletion result by Schick for a weakly-interacting Bose gas in 2D [38], by including a correction due to the finite-size of the sphere radius. In particular, Schick result is reproduced in the \( R \to \infty \) limit in which the interaction coupling \( g \) can be identified with \( g = 2\pi R^2/(m \ln(n_0^2)) \), where \( a_0 \) is the two-dimensional s-wave scattering length [27]. Similarly, we calculate the thermal density \( f_g^{(T)}(n) \), obtaining

\[
f_g^{(T)}(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi R^2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{2g m n R^2}{\hbar^2}} - \frac{mk_BT}{2\pi R^2} \ln \left( e^{\frac{\hbar^2 R^2}{2mk_BT}} + 1 \right).
\]

(29)

Putting together the density contributions (28) and (29) with \( n_0 \), we get the VPT improved self-consistent condensate fraction of an interacting Bose gas on the surface of a sphere as

\[
n_0 \frac{n}{n} = 1 - \frac{m g}{4\pi R^2} - \frac{1}{4\pi R^2} \left[ 1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{2g m n R^2}{\hbar^2}} \right]
\]

\[
+ \frac{m k_BT}{2\pi R^2} \ln \left( e^{\frac{\hbar^2 R^2}{2mk_BT}} + 1 \right).
\]

(30)

With \( n_0 = 0 \) in Eq. (30), we calculate an implicit relation for the condensation critical temperature of interacting bosons (with \( \beta_{\text{BEC}} = (k_B T_{\text{BEC}})^{-1} \))

\[
k_B T_{\text{BEC}} = \frac{2\pi R^2}{m} \frac{g n}{2} - \frac{2\pi R^2}{m} \left( 1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{2g m n R^2}{\hbar^2}} \right) - \ln \left( e^{\frac{\hbar^2 R^2}{2mk_BT}} + 1 \right).
\]

(31)

Notice that the critical temperature for the noninteracting system of Eq. (5) is reproduced if \( g = 0 \) is set. In Fig. 2 we report the critical temperature \( k_B T_{\text{BEC}}/\zeta \) (dashed line), rescaled with the energy \( \zeta = \hbar^2 R^2/\mu \), in terms of
gm/h^2. The shaded area under each of the dashed curves with nR^2 fixed is where BEC occurs: if the density n is kept fixed and the sphere radius R is increased, this area diminishes. Indeed, the expected result of T_{BEC} = 0 is reobtained in the 2D flat-system limit R → ∞. We emphasize that, as shown in the upper panel of Fig. 2, the system can be driven in the condensate phase by tuning the interaction strength from zero to higher values by increasing the interaction strength g one finds three regimes: BEC without superfluidity, BEC with superfluidity, superfluidity without BEC. This phenomenology was predicted also for a 2D Bose gas in a finite box, but not yet experimentally observed. Remarkably, by tuning the number of atoms and their interaction, it is possible to observe regimes in which the atoms are both condensate and superfluid, as well as regimes where only one of these phenomena occurs.

**Conclusions.** The condensate fraction for noninteracting and interacting bosons on the surface of a sphere, i.e. Eqs. (5), (6), (30) and (31), can be experimentally observed in microgravity conditions with bubble traps in the thin-shell limit. These results and the meaningful interplay of superfluidity and Bose-Einstein condensation are concrete predictions to test quantum statistical mechanics in regimes where finite-size and curvature effects play a relevant role.
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**Fig. 2:** Phase diagram of the system for two values of nR^2: 10^3 in the upper panel, 10^4 in the lower one. The dashed lines represent the critical temperature k_B T_{BEC}/ζ, rescaled with the typical energy ζ = ℏ^2 n/m, plotted in terms of the dimensionless zero-range interaction strength gm/h^2. The solid curve represents the critical temperature k_B T_{BKT}/ζ of the Berezinski-Kosterlitz-Thouless transition: notice how, tuning nR^2 and the interaction strength gm/h^2, the system can show superfluidity in absence of BEC (SF) as in the 2D flat system but also condensation in absence of superfluidity (BEC), and their coexistence (BEC+SF).

Landau formula for the 2D system, namely

\[ n_s = n - \frac{1}{mk_B T} \int_1^{\infty} dl \left( \frac{mR^2}{2\pi} \right)^{1/2} \left( e^{\beta \epsilon_{\text{eff}}(k_BT)} - 1 \right)^2 \]

Applying the Kosterlitz-Nelson criterion with Eq. (32) we evaluate numerically the critical temperature T_{BKT} (solid curve of Fig. 2). We have verified that T_{BKT} is practically independent on nR^2 and, as shown in Fig. 2, it becomes constant for large values of g [40]. Remarkably, for a fixed nR^2 and at a temperature k_B T < ℏ^2 πn/(2m), by increasing the interaction strength g one finds three regimes: BEC without superfluidity, BEC with superfluidity, superfluidity without BEC. This phenomenology was predicted also for a 2D Bose gas in a finite box, but not yet experimentally observed. Remarkably, by tuning the number of atoms and their interaction, it is possible to observe regimes in which the atoms are both condensate and superfluid, as well as regimes where only one of these phenomena occurs.

**Conclusions.** The condensate fraction for noninteracting and interacting bosons on the surface of a sphere, i.e. Eqs. (5), (6), (30) and (31), can be experimentally observed in microgravity conditions with bubble traps in the thin-shell limit. These results and the meaningful interplay of superfluidity and Bose-Einstein condensation are concrete predictions to test quantum statistical mechanics in regimes where finite-size and curvature effects play a relevant role.
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[26] Our two-dimensional strength $g$ is defined as $\int d^2r V(r) \delta(r-R)$, where $V(r)$ is the three-dimensional two-body interaction. Given a Gaussian interaction potential $V(r) = V_0 e^{-r^2/\sigma^2}$, we find $g = \frac{V_0 \pi \sigma^2}{4}$. For the Yukawa potential $V(r) = -V_0 e^{-\lambda r}/r$ one finds $g = -2\pi V_0 \lambda R(1 - e^{-2R/\lambda})$, while a short-range box potential $V(r) = -V_0 \Theta(b-r)$ gives $g = -2\pi V_0 b^2$. [27] G. E. Astrakharchik, J. Boronat, J. Casulleras, I. L. Kurbakov, Y. E. Lozovik, Phys. Rev. A 79, 051602(R) (2009).