Interaction network analysis in shear thickening suspensions
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Dense, stabilized, frictional particulate suspensions in a viscous liquid undergo increasingly strong continuous shear thickening (CST) as the solid packing fraction, \( \phi \), increases above a critical volume fraction, and discontinuous shear thickening (DST) is observed for even higher packing fractions. Recent studies have related shear thickening to a transition from mostly lubricated to predominantly frictional contacts with the increase in stress. The rheology and networks of frictional forces from two and three dimensional simulations of shear-thickening suspensions are studied. These are analyzed using measures of the topology of the network, including tools of persistent homology. We observe that at low stress the frictional interaction networks are predominantly quasi-linear along the compression axis. With an increase in stress, the force networks become more isotropic, forming loops in addition to chain-like structures. The topological measures of Betti numbers and total persistence provide a compact means of describing the mean properties of the frictional force networks, and provide a key link between macroscopic rheology and the microscopic interactions. A total persistence measure describing the significance of loops in the force network structure, as a function of stress and packing fraction, shows behavior similar to that of relative viscosity, and displays a scaling law near the jamming fraction for both dimensionalities simulated.

I. INTRODUCTION

In suspensions of solid particles in viscous liquid, an increase in viscosity with increasing shear rate or imposed stress is well-known, and is called shear thickening. If the particles are highly concentrated (or “dense”), such that the conditions approach the maximum flowable solid fraction, the particles are highly concentrated (or “dense”), such that the conditions approach the maximum flowable solid fraction, \( \phi_A \) or \( \phi \) in 2- or 3-dimensions, respectively), a very sharp increase in viscosity over a narrow range of shear rate may occur. This is termed “discontinuous shear thickening”. Recent simulation studies [1,2,3,4] and phenomenological theory [5,6,7] have shown that a rational basis for the strong shear thickening can be found in a breakdown of lubrication films between particles owing to stress levels which are too large for stabilizing colloidal forces (e.g., grafted chains or surface charges, either of which lead to mutual repulsion) to be effective. This results in a transition to a much stronger tangential resistance to motion, which is modeled as a Coulomb friction.

These studies find a relationship between strong shear thickening and shear jamming, in the sense that thickening may be a precursor to jamming: increase of either stress or solid fraction from a shear-thickening condition may lead to shear jamming. To be specific, shear jamming is the phenomenon of generating a solid structure under shear, but with this solid being fragile in the sense that it loses its ability to bear a shearing load (stress) without deformation if the load direction is changed sufficiently [9]. This loss of solidity is a temporary material failure, as rearrangement of structure, and in particular of the contact network, should once again result in jamming in the new direction of motion [10,11]. Furthermore, one may wonder whether it is the contact network that is relevant to the process of shear-thickening and shear-induced jamming, or whether one needs to consider not only contacts, but also their strength. If strength of the contacts is considered as well, the concept of a weighted interaction network is introduced. Examples include weak or strong interaction networks considered commonly when analyzing dry granular matter, with force chains being the most commonly considered structures.

In shear thickening suspensions, a large change in properties has been associated with formation of a contact network driven by increasing shear stress. This prompts us to focus on the connectivity properties of this network, and its relation to stress transmission. Essential questions relate to how the connectivity arises, how it depends on the interaction force strength, what are the geometrical and topological properties of the connected structures, and how these structures evolve with both the solid fraction and the imposed stress. All of these issues must be examined for a specific model of the microscopic interactions, which we do here.

Examples include weak or strong interaction networks considered commonly when analyzing dry granular matter, with force chains being the most commonly considered structures. In shear thickening suspensions, a large change in properties has been associated with formation of a contact network driven by increasing shear stress. This prompts us to focus on the connectivity properties of this network, and its relation to stress transmission. Essential questions relate to how the connectivity arises, how it depends on the interaction force strength, what are the geometrical and topological properties of the connected structures, and how these structures evolve with both the solid fraction and the imposed stress. All of these issues must be examined for a specific model of the microscopic interactions, which we do here.
physic.

We apply a simulation model that, using a minimal set of ingredients, has been found to closely approximate behavior observed in experiments [1,2]. This model assumes that particle surface separation is maintained by a repulsive force, and is thus lubricated by the liquid film that remains, until the applied stress overwhelms this repulsive force [1,2]. In practice, many suspensions have steric or electrostatic forces, repulsive in either case, between particles to stabilize against surface contact, reducing aggregation or contact interactions. This leads to better flowability, i.e., less tendency to jam and lower viscosity. Such a repulsive force, of magnitude $F_0$, gives rise to a stress scale $\sigma_0 = F_0/6\pi a^2$ for particles of radius $a$, and within our simulative model or the theory of Wyart & Cates [7], this stress level marks the crossover from lubricated to contact frictional interactions between particle surfaces. At low stress, $\sigma \ll \sigma_0$, particle interactions are lubricated (and hence frictionless) and the viscosity is relatively low, diverging at random close packing (RCP) at a solid fraction that we denote by $\phi_J^0$, with the subscript J indicating jamming. On the other hand, at high stress, $\sigma \gg \sigma_0$, most close interactions are frictional contacts, and the viscosity is both much larger and diverges at a solid fraction $\phi_J^0 < \phi_J^0$. $\phi_J^0$ depends on the interparticle force [2]. With increase in stress, the transition between these two states results in shear thickening. Based on the value of packing fraction $\phi$ relative to the frictional jamming point $\phi_J^0$, different forms of shear–thickening can be observed. For $\phi < \phi_J^0$, the relation between shear rate $\dot{\gamma}$ and shear stress $\sigma$ is monotonic, leading to continuous shear thickening (CST), while for larger $\phi$ the more abrupt discontinuous shear thickening (DST) may occur.

To gain better insight to the lubricated-to-frictional rheology transition, we apply tools of topology, with a focus on the network theoretical methodology of persistent homology, which has previously been applied to the closely related question of jamming in dry granular systems [12,13]. Persistent homology provides precisely defined and quantitative measures of the global interaction network, which is built on top of the contact network, as discussed in Section IIB. In the present context, we use these tools to characterize flowing steady states, and thus the statistical properties of the interaction networks formed under different conditions in shear-thickening suspensions.

We point out two features of the analysis of interaction networks based on persistent homology that distinguish it from alternative approaches. First, this type of analysis naturally includes information about the forces between particles, and allows for quantification and comparison of the interaction networks, both between different states of a system, and between different systems. Second, this approach applies to both two- and three-dimensional (2D and 3D) phenomena. This is convenient, since the 2D case allows for development of intuition and visual demonstration of the tools applied here, while 3D results are in accord with physical experiment. The ability of the persistent homology tools to be applied without change of definition to 3D contact networks is an advantage for this method over complementary approaches to exploration of networks; see [14] for a review. We develop this approach here, demonstrating that measures of the structure determined by persistent homology show sharp changes where the rheology of the material undergoes abrupt shear thickening.

II. BACKGROUND & METHODS

A. Suspension flow simulation

We simulate simple shear flow of an assembly of non-Brownian frictional spheres (a monolayer in the two dimensional case) immersed in a Newtonian fluid under an imposed stress using Lees-Edwards periodic boundary conditions [16]. To avoid ordering, bidisperse particles with radii $a$ and $1.4a$ are mixed at equal volume fractions. The particles interact through near-field hydrodynamic forces (i.e., lubrication forces) and frictional contacts. This simulation scheme has been shown to reproduce many features seen experimentally in shear-thickening of dense suspensions [1,2]. We consider the motion to be inertialess (at zero Reynolds number) so that the equation of motion reduces to force balance between hydrodynamic ($\mathbf{F}_H$) and contact ($\mathbf{F}_C$) forces on each particle,

$$0 = \mathbf{F}_H(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{u}) + \mathbf{F}_C(\mathbf{r}),\tag{1}$$

where $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{r}$ are written here as the many-body position and velocity vectors ($\mathbf{u} \equiv \dot{\mathbf{r}}$). A similar torque balance applies. To allow contact, the lubrication resistance singularity is cut off at a surface separation $h = 10^{-3}a$. The contact interactions are modeled using the approach of Cundall & Strack [17]. The tangential force between two particles is limited according to the Coulomb friction law to be no larger than the friction coefficient $\mu$ times the normal force, i.e., $|\mathbf{F}_C| \leq \mu |\mathbf{F}_H|$, for compressive normal forces. To incorporate rate dependence, we employ a Critical Load Model (CLM), in which an interparticle normal force threshold $F_0$ must be applied to activate friction between particles [1,2]. In this study we use an interparticle friction coefficient of $\mu = 1.0$. The apparent viscosity of the suspension is defined as $\eta = \sigma/\dot{\gamma}$, where $\dot{\gamma}$ is the shear rate of the simple shear flow, i.e., $d\mathbf{u}_x/dy$. The viscosity is presented through its form relative to the fluid viscosity $\eta_f = \eta_f/\eta_0$, where $\eta_0$ is the pure fluid value. A large increase in $\eta_f$ with increasing shear rate is found, and this is the shear thickening of interest.

The force $F_0$ sets a stress scale, $\sigma_0 = F_0/6\pi a^2$, for the onset of shear thickening. In the remainder of this work, we report quantities in terms of $\sigma = \sigma/\sigma_0$ and the scaled strain rate $\dot{\gamma}/\dot{\gamma}_0$ with $\dot{\gamma}_0 \equiv F_0/6\pi a^2$. We normalize the force by the imposed shear stress $F = F/\sigma a^2$. We
use $N = 2000$ particles for 2D simulations and $N = 500$ particles for 3D simulations in a unit cell; to test size dependence, $N = 1000$ and 2000 were considered in 3D for a few cases.

From the simulations, we determine particle positions, normal and tangential contact forces, and non-contact lubrication forces. We perform simulation at a given condition for 20 strain units and record the data at strain steps of 0.01. Figures 1(a) and 1(b) show snapshots from simulation with $\dot{\gamma} = 1$ for packing fractions $\phi_A = 0.78$ and $\phi = 0.56$ in 2D and 3D, respectively. In these images, we show the frictional (red solid line) and frictionless contacts (dashed green lines), and lubrication interactions (blue dashed lines). The thickness of each frictional segment is proportional to the normalized force level $F$ acting at the contact. As we will see, the development of the contact network with increasing stress is responsible for significant changes in the rheological response of the suspension.

In the absence of any non-hydrodynamic force, the suspension would be expected to exhibit a rate- or stress-independent relative viscosity, with close interactions lubricated. Similarly, hydrodynamic interactions together with frictional contacts, but without the repulsive forces, result in a rate-independent rheology. The rheological rate-dependence thus arises due to the shear force overwhelming the repulsive forces, modeled here by the CLM approach [2], to cause a change in the dominant stress overwhelming the repulsive forces, modeled here by the CLM approach [2], to cause a change in the dominant stress generation mechanism. In our simulations, we use a controlled shear stress protocol [3], in which the shear rate $\dot{\gamma}$ fluctuates. The relative viscosity is given by $\eta_r = \dot{\gamma}/(\dot{\gamma}/\gamma_0)$, where angle brackets imply time average.

In order to present the results of topology-based analysis in a visually transparent manner, we consider 2D before turning to 3D simulations, for which comparisons of the rheology to experimental work have been made [2-4]. Figures 1(c) and 1(d) show $\eta_r$ as a function of shear rate for four packing fractions in 2D and 3D, respectively. For the lowest packing fractions, namely $\phi_A \leq 0.76$ in 2D and $\phi \leq 0.54$ in 3D, $\eta_r$ increases continuously between two rate-independent values: this is CST. At packing fractions of $\phi_A = 0.78$ in 2D and $\phi = 0.56$ in 3D, the viscosity increases at almost constant shear rate over a brief interval, indicating that these are approximately the packing fractions for onset of DST. For higher packing fractions, $\phi_A = 0.79$ and $\phi = 0.57$, the viscosity function becomes sigmoidal under imposed stress, indicating that the suspension is fully in the DST state [5]. Note that if the simulations at the larger fractions are performed at fixed rate, $\eta_r(\dot{\gamma}/\gamma_0)$ is observed to change discontinuously rather than following the S-shaped curve [3,7,8].

Figure 2 shows a flow-state diagram, based on simulation results and a recently proposed model [8]; this particular diagram is for 2D, with the 3D version in the noted reference showing the same features. As discussed above, for the lower packing fractions $\phi < \phi_C$, CST is observed. For packing fractions $\phi_C \leq \phi < \phi_J^h$, DST is observed between two flowing states. For this range of $\phi$, a curve shows the locus of DST points, i.e., $d\dot{\gamma}/d\sigma = 0$. For $\phi > \phi_J^h$, DST is observed between a flowing state (at low stress) and a shear jammed state and is termed DST–SJ. The stress required to observe DST as well as shear jamming decreases with an increase in packing fraction. Both stresses vanish upon the approach to the frictionless jamming point. We do not consider the DST–SJ state further in this work, as the growth of the contact network with increasing stress is conceptually similar to the “pure” DST regime, while the subtleties of the network structure at jamming would expand beyond our desired scope. The goal here is to demonstrate the utility of topological data analysis as a tool for describing underlying features of the interaction networks resulting in the rheology of shear-thickening suspensions.

Recent studies have linked shear thickening with a growing number of frictional contacts as shear stress increases [1-3,2-7]. Only limited work has explored the network properties of sheared suspensions [8,19,56]. In the following, we focus on evolution of the network structure formed by the frictional forces. These are readily visualized in 2D, and Figure 3 shows how the contact interaction network builds in 2D for stresses varying through the DST transition at $\phi_A = 0.79$. At low stress $\dot{\gamma} = 0.1$, frictional contacts are absent, so that the suspension is in the low viscosity frictionless state. For $\dot{\gamma} = 0.5$ and $1.0$, the suspension begins to shear thicken, and frictional forces appear as roughly linear structures (force chains) along the compression axis, i.e. along $y = -x$ of the simple shear flow $u_x = \dot{\gamma}y$. With increase in stress to $\dot{\gamma} \geq 2.0$, the suspension enters the DST regime and frictional interaction networks begin to form loops. With further increase in stress, the number of loops increases and saturates for $\dot{\gamma} > 10$. Combined with the rheological curves in Fig. 4, this illustrates the correlation between strong shear thickening and frictional interaction networks. Note that all structures described are transient, continuously forming, flowing, and breaking as the result of the bulk shearing motion.

B. Overview of topological measures: From Betti numbers to persistent homology

To introduce the relevant concepts, consider Figure 4, which shows the interaction networks as a function of the interaction level, an interaction network filtration or simply a filtration; here the interparticle force is scaled by imposed stress $\sigma A$. This figure illustrates the portions of the contact network experiencing force larger than the associated threshold or filtration level. At a very large force, only the most highly loaded contacts and the particles they connect are shown, and these are isolated objects. As the threshold is reduced, these components ‘grow’ and eventually merge with others. The information contained in such figures for a set of filtration levels will be used in what follows to formulate the
relevant topological measures. Note that there is a visual similarity between the filtration at decreasing force threshold in Figure 4 with the contact networks formed at increasing stress level in Figure 3, although structural change takes place with increasing stress, the implication of this similarity is that the type of structures which are able to achieve the necessary force to generate a contact at low stress generate contacts which have the largest forces at elevated stresses where a fully ramified network forms.

The numbers of structures of a particular type can be described by Betti numbers. The number of connected structures of any sort, from a contacting pair to an extended branched chain, is given by the zeroth Betti number, $\beta_0$, while the number of structures which form a closed loop is given by the first Betti number, $\beta_1$. The structures formed by connected particles enclosing a volume is given by the second Betti number, $\beta_2$, which is relevant in 3D, but not in 2D. We find no such structures in our 3D simulations (i.e., $\beta_2 = 0$), and thus we only consider $\beta_0$ and $\beta_1$ in the following.

The manner of defining the structures is associated with a decreasing force threshold. As the force threshold $F$ decreases, ‘birth’ of a connected structure of a given Betti number is associated with the force threshold in a filtration for which the structure appears, i.e., it is the largest force within the structure. As the threshold is further decreased, the structure may grow in size as additional contacts are added. Consider a $\beta_0$ structure, which formed at $F_1$, and a second which formed at $F_2 < F_1$; if these two structures merge, the resulting union results in the ‘death’ of one structure, and by definition it is the younger structure, which formed ‘later’ in the filtration (at lower force in a sequence of progressively reduced force threshold) which is lost, i.e., the structure with birth at $F_2$ dies upon the merging. The persistence of structures through the reduction of force threshold gives rise to the term persistent homology.

Figure 5 shows the Betti numbers for the configuration and network filtrations of Fig. 4; the red points in
FIG. 2: Flow-state diagram in the shear stress versus 2D packing fraction ($\sigma, \phi_A$) plane for $\mu = 1$; for the 3D version, see [8]. The red dashed line shows the locus of points for DST (where $\partial \dot{\gamma}/\partial \sigma = 0$) and the leftmost point on this curve is the minimum packing fraction, $\phi_C$, at which DST is observed. The blue solid curve shows packing fraction dependent maximum flowable stress above which the suspension is shear-jammed. Dashed and dotted-dashed black lines represent frictional ($\phi_J^f = 0.795$) and frictionless ($\phi_J^0 = 0.855$) jamming points in 2D, respectively.

We see from the $\beta_0$ plot that the maximum contact force level is slightly below $F = 6$. As the force threshold is decreased, $\beta_0$ increases monotonically until $F \approx 2.5$. Thus we see that connected structures are formed by contacts carrying different levels of force. The fact that there is a rapid increase in $\beta_0$ until $F \approx 2.2$ indicates that there are many such components, with considerable variation in the force level.

Figure 5(b) shows that loops are absent until $F \approx 1.5$ and appear in significant numbers only for $F < 1$, which is well below the value at which the number of components starts decreasing rapidly. This implies that there is significant force heterogeneity, even on the level of single loops. To understand why, consider the formation of a loop at a given force level. Typically the loop forms as a single edge is added to a connected structure consisting of edges with higher forces. From Fig. 5(a) and 5(b) most loops form after many components of varying force intensity have merged. Thus, these loops are made up of components with a wide range of force levels. Furthermore, the fact that $\beta_1$ grows to much larger values than $\beta_0$ shows that the larger connected structures must contain numerous loops. This may be thought of as similar to the meshwork structure of a net, although it lacks the regularity of such a structure as shown by Fig. 6(a) a single connected piece of the mesh may contain from no loops to many loops, and the number and size of the loops is clearly mechanically relevant.

While Betti numbers provide useful information regarding the number of components and loops, their utility is somewhat limited by the fact that they depend on the chosen force threshold, and therefore one needs to consider a large number of force threshold values to reach a reasonably complete understanding of the system. In addition, Betti numbers provide only the number of components and loops; they do not provide information about how these components and loops are connected. In principle, the system could even change its topological properties without a change of Betti numbers, e.g., a component could be born at essentially the same force level at which another component dies, and therefore topology changes but $\beta_0$ remains the same. Persistence diagrams allow us to deal with these concerns.

Figure 6 shows an example of such diagrams for $\beta_0$ and $\beta_1$. Here, for each structure, a point is placed at the (birth, death) coordinates $(b, d)$. If a structure is still present at the minimum force threshold of the filtration, it is plotted (in red) with the coordinate pair $(b, -0.1)$ to illustrate that it was born at the threshold level $b$, and that it never dies. In Fig. 6(a) the red point with $b \approx 6$ shows the component that formed first (at the largest threshold value), and this component by definition never dies. The red dots with $b \approx 0$ are specific to the system considered, and they show existence of separate components that form at very low threshold values. Such structures are possible due to forces of hydrodynamic origin; in dry granular systems where only contact forces are present, such structures cannot form for a system in force balance, since they would imply...
FIG. 3: Interaction network of all frictional contacts (normal force above the critical value, $F_0$) corresponding to a snapshot in steady state obtained in simulations with $\tilde{\sigma} = (a) 0.1, (b) 0.5$, (c) 1.0, (d) 2.0, (e) 5.0, (f) 10, (g) 50, and (h) 100 in 2D. Line thickness is proportional to the normalized force, $F$. 
FIG. 4: Filtration of the interaction network of all frictional contacts for $\phi = \phi_A = 0.76$ and $\bar{\sigma} = 10$ corresponding to a snapshot in steady state, for several values of the threshold, in order left to right and top to bottom: $F = (a) 4$, (b) 3, (c) 2, (d) 1.6, (e) 1.3, (f) 1, (g) 0.5, and (h) 0. Here, black dots show particle centers and thickness of a red line corresponds to the strength of the normal force at the contact.
unbalanced forces on the participating particles. We also note that our network filtrations (Figure 4(b)) are formed by the particles and the edges representing the force interaction between them, and hence once a loop forms it never dies (loops cannot merge). The approach that we use here is slightly different from the one implemented elsewhere [12][14], where ‘trivial’ loops (formed by three adjacent particles) were not considered. In the present work, we find that including trivial loops influences the results only marginally, so we keep them in our calculations for simplicity.

On the one hand, persistence diagrams provide a significant data reduction, expressing properties of complex weighted networks by a collection of points. However, these diagrams are essentially point clouds, and one needs to decide how to extract relevant information. While different approaches have been considered in earlier works [12][14][20][21], here we will focus on a single measure, the total persistence, which essentially quantifies how ‘mountainous’ is the interaction network, with larger distance from peaks (where a structure is born) to a valley (where structures merge and one dies) contributing more to the total persistence measure. The total persistence $\tau_\ell$, $\ell = 0$ for components and $\ell = 1$ for loops, is defined as the sum of the distances of all points from the
diagonal of a persistence diagram. More precisely,
\[ \tau_\ell = \sum_{(b,d) \in PD_\ell} |d - b| \]

where we use the value \( d = 0 \) for the points in the persistence diagram \( PD_\ell \) that never disappear, shown as \((b, -0.1)\) pairs in Fig. [6]. In superficial terms, one could think of \( \tau \) as a measure of how structured the interaction network is; larger total persistence means more structure. Conveniently, choosing \( \tau \) as a measure reduces this complex structure to a pair of scalars. Clearly, considering total persistence instead of persistence diagrams leads to additional data reduction and loss of information. However, we will show that consideration of total persistence provides insight into the connection of topological properties of the interaction networks and rheology of the suspension. After discussing total persistence in what follows, we will return to persistence diagrams and Betti numbers to discuss how these relate to properties of interaction networks as the applied stress is varied, in both 2D and 3D.

III. TOTAL PERSISTENCE AS AN ORDER PARAMETER

Figure [7] shows the total persistence for 2D simulations at four packing fractions as a function of imposed stress. The data are obtained by averaging over 200 samples of the interaction network at each \((\phi_A, \sigma)\) condition; we show the mean and the standard deviation. The total persistence for the connected structures \((\tau_0)\) rises strongly in the transition region, from the low viscosity state at \(\tilde{\sigma} < 1\) to the fully thickened one at \(\tilde{\sigma} > 10\). The transition is even more distinct for the case of the loop \((\tau_1)\) structures, as the total persistence is essentially zero for \(\tilde{\sigma} < 1\) and rises rapidly for \(\tilde{\sigma} > 1\), again saturating at \(\tilde{\sigma} \approx 10\); this saturated total persistence for \(\beta_1\) is considerably larger for higher \(\phi_A\), with the value for \(\phi_A = 0.79\) roughly double that at \(\phi_A = 0.76\). The clear message is that the loop structures are more prevalent for the larger \(\phi_A\), and once formed many of these structures persist for all lower force thresholds: this is as expected on physical grounds, as the maximum force regions can be separated from one another, but stress continuity in the material requires that they be connected by lower force contacts.

The increase of the total persistence \(\tau\) with both \(\tilde{\sigma}\) and \(\phi_A\) is directly correlated with the increase in the relative viscosity (and as shown elsewhere [2], there is a coincident increase in the normal stresses). The correlation between \(\tau\) and \(\eta_r\) makes the total persistence useful as an order parameter that is indicative of a transition in the state of the material. This transition is manifested through the growth of total persistence as a function of imposed stress for an interaction network of frictional contacts, that form more abruptly and become stronger at larger \(\phi_A\).

This observation is consistent with the picture emerging from the interaction networks displayed as a function of increasing stress in Fig. [3] or as a function of decreasing force threshold in the filtration illustrated by Fig. [4]. While the 2D geometry allows ready visual identification of the network structure, the main interest is ultimately in 3D simulations. It is particularly valuable to have quantitative measures in 3D, where visualization is more difficult. The simulation approach used in this study has been shown to closely replicate experimental behavior [4]. The difficulty of identifying the networks in 3D flows is illustrated in Fig. [8] by snapshots of the contacting particles (shown as dots) and the forces (shown as connecting lines whose thicknesses indicate the magnitude of the force). The configurations are from simulations at \(\phi = 0.56\) at varying imposed stress from \(\tilde{\sigma} = 0.5\) to \(\tilde{\sigma} = 10\), taken after sufficient strain, of \(O(1)\), has been imposed to allow development of the structure. Unlike the equivalent network structures for 2D shown in Fig. [3] here we do not show the particles. Regardless of whether they are shown in a transparent form, the particles obscure the view, which even without the particles is clearly less enlightening than the equivalent 2D visualization.

We thus turn to the persistent homology measures obtained from our 3D simulations, considering both the similarities with and differences from 2D. Figure [9] shows the total persistence for several \(\phi\) As stress increases, there is a rapid rise in \(\tau_0\) between \(\tilde{\sigma} = 0.5\) and \(\tilde{\sigma} = 1\), with near-saturation at \(\tilde{\sigma} = 1\), while the loop structure measured by \(\tau_1\) begins to increase at \(\tilde{\sigma} \approx 1\) and grows up to \(\tilde{\sigma} = 100\), with a slowing of the growth apparent above \(\tilde{\sigma} = 10\). We thus find similarity in the measure of the interaction network structure, represented by the total persistence, and the viscosity transition seen in Fig. [1]. In 3D, the variation of \(\tau\) up to \(\tilde{\sigma} = 100\) indicates that the interaction network structure continues to develop with increasing \(\tilde{\sigma}\) well above the initial transition to the higher viscosity region.

To this point, all results we have presented for 3D were obtained from simulations with \(N = 500\). One obvious question is how the results (for the total persistence in particular) vary with \(N\). To answer this question, we have carried out additional 3D simulations with different numbers of particles. Figure [10] displays \(\tau_0\) and \(\tau_1\) for 3D simulations at \(\phi = 0.56\) scaled by the value of \(N\) used for that case. We observe the data to collapse within the error bars; however, there does seem to be a weak trend of \(\tau_0\) decreasing as \(N\) increases, and the opposite trend for \(\tau_1\). This trend is explained by the boundary effects. The simulations are periodic in all directions, but this periodicity is not considered when computing the persistence diagrams. For this reason we miss the “across boundary” particle interactions. This has the effect of considering some components that are supposed to be connected “across the boundary” as two separated components, and hence it produces additional components (points in the diagrams in dimension 0). Additionally,
some loops that are formed by connections “across the boundary” are not counted as loops, and hence we miss some loops (points in the diagrams in dimension 1). This effect is more noticeable for smaller systems, where the ratio of area to volume increases.

Figure 8 displays correlation in both 2D and 3D simulations between relative viscosity and total scaled persistence corresponding to loops, $\tau_1/N$. This figure shows that the viscosity increases with $\tau_1/N$, and appears to diverge at some maximum persistence. The dashed line shows $\eta_t \propto \left[ (\tau_1^J - \tau_1)/N \right]^{-\alpha}$ where $\tau_1^J/N = \tau_1(\phi = 0.57, \tilde{\sigma} = 100)/N = 0.34$ (for 3D) and $\tau_1(\phi_A = 0.79, \tilde{\sigma} = 100)/N = 0.42$ (for 2D) and $\alpha = -1.8$. The higher-$\phi$ data, in which DST is seen, follow a single scaling law, while for packing fraction $\phi = 0.5$ and $\phi_A = 0.72$, the data does not agree with the proposed correlation. The
structural implication is that the thickened state of DST is associated with a topology of the frictional interaction network similar to that in the jammed state.

IV. STRUCTURE OF INTERACTION NETWORKS

Next, we discuss insight to structure of the interaction networks that can be gained from consideration of persistence diagrams and Betti numbers. We focus in particular on the following questions: (i) Does the structure of interaction networks change significantly as shear stress is increased and the system evolves from unthickened to thickened, and does it further evolve as applied stress is increased in the thickened state? (ii) Is the structure different as one progresses from CST to DST at fixed stress by modifying the packing fraction? (iii) What can be said about the influence of the number of physical dimensions on the interaction network structure?

To answer (i) we make use of the persistence diagrams. As total persistence, \( \tau \), compresses all available information into a pair of numbers, the additional information in the persistence diagrams from which these numbers are determined may provide insight. Figure 12 shows the superposition of all \( \beta_0 \) persistence diagrams at each of seven values of imposed stress for the 2D simulations at \( \phi_A = 0.79 \). We observe that as \( \tilde{\sigma} \) increases, the density of the points increases. This is consistent with Fig. 7(a), and we see that once the thickened state is reached at \( \tilde{\sigma} \approx 5 \), the density of the points shows little further variation. Thus, we deduce that as the suspension reaches the thickened state, its primary structural properties are essentially unchanging, and thus the interaction forces on the contacts simply increase proportionally with the applied stress. This conclusion is supported by considering \( \beta_1 \) persistence diagrams (figure not shown for brevity).

To answer question (ii), observe that if there are significant changes in the structure of the interaction networks, then we expect to see differences in the corre-
FIG. 11: (a) Relative viscosity $\eta_r$ vs. scaled total persistence (dimension 1) $\tau_1/N$ for different packing fractions for 2 and 3 dimensional data. Solid and empty symbols are used for 2D and 3D, respectively. The dashed lines represent $\eta_r \propto (\tau_1^D - \tau_1)/N$ with $\tau_1^D/N = 0.34$ and 0.42 for 2D and 3D, respectively, and $\alpha = -1.8$. Red and blue symbols represent two and three dimensional data. (b) The same data on a log-log scale showing $\eta_r$ vs $(\tau_1^D - \tau_1)/N$. The dashed line shows the power law of $-1.8$.

such expectations arise from noting that a mechanically stable static or jammed packing depends systematically on dimensionality $D$, varying from $Z = 2D$ to $D + 1$ as the friction coefficient varies from $\mu = 0$ to $\mu \rightarrow \infty$ [22]. Thus, we have identified similarities across dimensionality in both the Betti numbers for the high-stress, or ‘fully-networked’ conditions, and for the near-jamming total persistence of sheared suspension contact networks. This similarity between measures of 2D and 3D networks points to a need for a more thorough understanding of the relation of the network structure to the mechanical properties, as it may reveal principles of force organization in amorphous materials.

As a final note regarding Fig. 13, the flat portion of the $\beta_0$ and $\beta_1$ curves at smaller values of $F$ and small $\tilde{\sigma}$ are due to hydrodynamic forces that allow for existence of a non-zero number of components. For large values of $\tilde{\sigma}$ these separate components are not present since the applied stress is strong enough to make all components connect. A similar argument explains constant values of $\beta_1$ for the same values of force threshold.

V. CONCLUSION AND PERSPECTIVE

In this paper we have shown that there is a clear connection between the local properties of the interaction networks that develop in sheared suspensions and their global rheological response. This finding suggests that proper understanding of the properties of the interaction networks may be the key in quantifying their rheological response not only for relatively simple flow geometry considered here, but in other more complex settings as well.

It is encouraging that a relatively simple measure, the total persistence describing structure of loops in the inter-
FIG. 12: Density plots of all the $\beta_0$ persistence diagrams superimposed for the given parameters values for the for 2D simulations colored according to the density of points. The color bar indicates the density normalized across all the plots. The plots correspond to $\phi_A = 0.79$ and for (a): $\tilde{\sigma} = 0.5$, (b): $\tilde{\sigma} = 1$, (c): $\tilde{\sigma} = 2$, (d): $\tilde{\sigma} = 5$, (e): $\tilde{\sigma} = 10$, (f): $\tilde{\sigma} = 50$, (g): $\tilde{\sigma} = 100$. 
action networks, appears as an order parameter that describes well the rheology. This finding is important, since it suggests that the details of the interaction networks may be absorbed into a compact measure for the purpose of understanding the rheology. On the other hand, it should be noted that the total persistence includes (in compressed form) the information about interaction network properties for all interaction strengths at once, and therefore understanding and quantifying particle-particle interactions may be needed for the purpose of describing the material response.

One particularly interesting finding is that the total persistence of loops in interaction networks is the measure that correlates the best with the rheological properties (viscosity). This result suggests that future research should focus in more detail on the connectivity of the interaction networks in order to understand better the rheology. It remains to be seen whether the fact that the total persistence of loops in particular appears as an order parameter describing the system behavior remains valid for more complex systems.

Our results show that the considered topological measures, both the simple ones (Betti numbers) and more complex ones (total persistence) appear very similar for the two and three dimensional suspension flows studied. Since the number of contacts and the geometry of particle packing are strongly dependent on the number of physical dimensions, one wonders whether there is some additional not yet discovered property of the interaction networks that leads to their dimension-independent properties.

The interaction networks clearly play an important role in quantifying rheological response of sheared suspensions. While these networks are complex, particularly when considered for all interaction strengths at once, persistent homology provides a way towards their analysis and quantification, removing a significant amount of complexity and isolating rather straightforward measures that could be used to quantify the rheology. Our results suggest that a similar type of analysis may be of utility for the purpose of describing many other systems such that the interaction between the basic building
blocks can be described in terms of interaction networks. We also note a potential in using persistent homology to analyze temporal evolution of evolving networks. Well established measures for computing correlations between networks at different times exist, and we expect that significant new insight could be reached by applying such measures and comparing them with evolving rheological properties.
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