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Purcell-enhanced emission from a coupled emitter-cavity system is a fundamental manifestation of cavity quantum electrodynamics (CQED). Starting from a theoretical description we derive a scheme for photon emission from an emitter coupled to a birefringent cavity that exceeds hitherto anticipated limitations. Based on a recent study and experimental investigation of the intra-cavity coupling of orthogonal polarisation modes in birefringent cavities [T. D. Barrett et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 122, 083602 (2019)], we now decouple the emitter and the photon prior to emission from the cavity mode. Effectively, this is ‘hiding’ the emitter from the photon in the cavity to suppress re-excitation, increasing the overall emission through the cavity mirrors. In doing so we show that tailored cavity birefringence can offer significant advantages and that these are practically achievable within the bounds of present-day technology. It is found that birefringence can mitigate the tradeoff between stronger emitter-cavity coupling and efficient photon extraction. This allows for longer cavities to be constructed without a loss of performance – a significant result for applications where dielectric mirrors interfere with any trapping fields confining the emitter. We then generalise our model to consider a variety of equivalent schemes. For instance, detuning a pair of ground states in a three-level emitter coupled to a cavity in a Λ-system is shown to provide the same enhancement, and it can be combined with a birefringent cavity to further increase performance. Additionally, it is found that when directly connecting multiple ground states of the emitter to form a chain of coupled states, the extraction efficiency approaches its fundamental upper limit. The principles proposed in this work can be applied in multiple ways to any emitter-cavity system, paving the way to surpassing the traditional limits of such systems with technologies that exist today.

I. INTRODUCTION

Einstein’s discussion of spontaneous emission was a formative model for describing the interaction of light and matter [1]. This phenomenologically derived model describes a behaviour so fundamental it is often considered an immutable property of matter. However, a quantum mechanical treatment of this interaction, including the action of a vacuum field, shows that spontaneous emission is affected by the environment of the emitter. In this light, Purcell first proposed that spontaneous emission could be enhanced within an electromagnetic resonator [2] and laid the foundations for the field of cavity quantum electrodynamics (CQED). It addresses fundamental questions on the nature of the interaction of light and matter [2] and provides a leading platform for networked quantum information processing (QIP) [4].

The quantum nature of atoms and the inherent inhomogeneity of their energetic structures makes them leading qubit candidates with which to represent and manipulate quantum information. Photons are a natural choice for information carriers, able to both provide a readout mechanism of a matter-based qubit state [2, 5] and to link a network of stationary qubit nodes [1, 6]. As such, the efficient extraction of an excitation from a coupled emitter-cavity system into a well defined field mode, either freely propagating or coupled to an optical fibre, is key to the scalability of any quantum network.

The spontaneous emission rate of a quantised emitter, which we will herein interchangeably refer to as ‘atoms’, in a cavity is enhanced by the Purcell factor [2],

\[
f_P = \frac{3\lambda^3 Q}{4\pi^2 V},
\]

where \(V\) is the resonator mode volume, \(Q\) its quality factor and \(\lambda\) the emission wavelength. In CQED, this is given by \(f_P = 2C = g^2/(\kappa\gamma)\), where \(g\) is the atom-cavity coupling rate, \(\kappa\) is the cavity field decay rate, \(\gamma\) is the spontaneous emission rate of the uncoupled atom and \(C\) is the cooperativity. The fraction of emission which goes into the cavity mode is given by \(f_P/(f_P + 1)\). Increasing emission into the cavity then requires working in the strong-coupling regime [1], typically defined as \(C > 1\), where the rate of excitation exchange between the emitter and the cavity dominates the loss of excitation from the system. However, to efficiently map this emission into the cavity to useful photon emission from the cavity into a well-defined mode, the cavity decay rate, \(\kappa\), must dominate the atomic decay, \(\gamma\). Whilst these conditions are not mutually exclusive, it is challenging to realise a system with both \(C > 1\) and \(\kappa \gg \gamma\), especially within the constraints of feasible cavity designs [10, 11] and mirror coatings within the optical regime [12, 13]. More generally, this defines a trade-off between realising strong light-matter interactions (i.e. where the interaction in the cavity is sufficiently sustained to allow coherent manipulation of the system) and efficient photon extraction.

In contrast to fast-excitation schemes where a strong pump pulse rapidly excites the emitter to stimulate photon emission [14], single photon sources based on Raman
transitions partly driven by the cavity field attempt to circumvent this issue by only transiently populating the excited atomic state $|e\rangle$. This allows efficient photon extraction even with $\kappa \leq \gamma$, however these adiabatic processes are slow and therefore not suitable for tasks such as enhanced fluorescence collection or high bandwidth single photon sources. An alternative approach is to pursue stronger-coupling cavities that allow for faster photonic decay whilst maintaining $\kappa < g$. This has motivated the development of microcavity resonators with tightly confined optical modes, such as Fabry-Perot cavities formed between the laser-ablated tips of optical fibres [10, 11, 17, 18]. Those micron-scale mirrors are especially prone to birefringence – a lifting of the degeneracy of their polarisation eigenmodes – arising from any elliptical curvature of the mirror surface [19]. In our recent work [20] the effect and consequences of birefringence has been observed through the emission of single photons with time-dependent polarisation states. This behaviour is explained by a simple model of direct coupling between orthogonal polarisation modes within the cavity.

Many previous studies have considered the limits of CQED [21, 22] and demonstrated the coupling of various solid-state emitters – including atoms [23], ions [24, 25], molecules [26], NV-centres [27–29], quantum dots [30–33] and carbon nanotubes [34, 35] – to microcavities. However such work has considered single cavity modes to couple only to well-defined transitions of the emitter and to the extracted photon. Therefore, the introduction of all-optical intra-cavity dynamics in CQED represents a paradigm shift. The experimentally validated model of cavity birefringence allows us to challenge the standard limits of coherent photon extraction, as governed by the cavity birefringence allows us to challenge the standard limits of coherent photon extraction, as governed by the cavity birefringence – a lifting of the degeneracy of their polarisation eigenmodes – arising from any elliptical curvature of the mirror surface [19].

In this work we show that the presence of birefringence does not necessarily impair photon extraction from emitter-cavity systems, but in suitable regimes it can provide significant improvements. These effects are shown to have the potential to impact systems with realistic parameters found in present-day experiments. Also, we describe how the reduced coupling strength of longer confocal cavities – a desirable regime in systems where dielectric mirrors interfere with the trapping-potential of an emitter between them – can be accounted for with suitable birefringence. This allows for equivalent performance – compared to shorter-length, stronger-coupling counterparts – to be achieved. Furthermore, we discuss other approaches, inspired by our understanding of the mechanism behind the birefringence-enhanced effects, that modify either the atomic structure or intra-atomic couplings to achieve enhanced photon extraction efficiencies. These are shown to provide equivalent or even better performance to birefringence-only enhancement, with the tradeoffs between these approaches discussed, and do in principle allow the efficiency to approach the fundamental upper-bound.

\begin{equation}
\hat{H}/\hbar = \Delta C |e\rangle \langle e| - g |e\rangle \langle e| \left( a + a^\dagger \right) |u\rangle \langle e| + i\gamma |e\rangle \langle e| - \kappa \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a},
\end{equation}

where $|u\rangle$ and $|e\rangle$ are the ground and excited atomic states, respectively, and $\Delta C$ is the detuning of the cavity from atomic resonance. In this model we consider emission to occur via either decay of the atomic amplitude at rate $\gamma$ or the decay of the cavity field at rate $\kappa$, which correspond to spontaneous emission from the atom into free space and cavity emission into a well defined mode, respectively.

The time evolution of this open system is given by the time-dependent Schrödinger equation,

\begin{equation}
\begin{align*}
\frac{i\hbar}{\partial t} \Psi(t) &= \hat{H} \Psi(t),
\end{align*}
\end{equation}

where the wavefunction, $\Psi(t)$, can be written as

\begin{equation}
\Psi(t) = c_e(t) |e, 0\rangle + c_u(t) |u, 1\rangle,
\end{equation}

with $c_i(t)$ the probability amplitude of the system occupying state $i$ at time $t$. Initializing the atom instantly into the excited state gives the boundary conditions $c_e(0) = 1$, $c_u(0) = 0$.

The cavity photon extraction efficiency is then given by

\begin{equation}
\eta_{\text{ext}} = 2\kappa \int_0^\infty |c_u(t')|^2 dt',
\end{equation}

which is the total probability of finding the system being in the ground state multiplied by the one-photon emission rate. By combining equations (2) to (5) it can be shown that the extraction efficiency, when considering a resonant cavity ($\Delta C = 0$) to keep the equation in a presentable form, is then [36]

\begin{equation}
\eta_{\text{ext}} = \frac{\kappa g^2}{(\kappa + \gamma)(\kappa \gamma + g^2)} = \frac{\kappa}{\kappa + \gamma} \frac{2}{2C + 1}.
\end{equation}
It is equally possible to find an analytical solution for the photon extraction efficiency with $\Delta_C \neq 0$, however optimum extraction requires a resonant cavity. It is straightforward to show from equation (6) that, for a given $g$ and $\gamma$, the maximum extraction efficiency, found when $\kappa = g$, is

$$\eta_{\text{ext, max}} = \frac{g^2}{(g + \gamma)^2}. \quad (7)$$

We emphasise that the Purcell-enhanced emission into the cavity mode, $f_C/(f_C + 1) = 2C/(2C + 1)$, is essentially equivalent to the total cavity emission in the fast-cavity regime where $\kappa \gg \gamma$. It is significant that maximising the cooperativity of the atom-cavity system – a measure of how efficiently and coherently the cavity can mediate light-matter interactions – is not equivalent to maximising the efficiency with which we can extract photons (i.e. information) from the coupled system. In the strong-coupling regime, with $C \gg 1$, where sustained light-matter interactions can be realised, equation (6) becomes

$$\eta_{\text{ext, } C \gg 1} = \frac{\kappa}{\kappa + \gamma}. \quad (8)$$

Practically this tells us that there is not a single metric one can apply to tell whether a cavity is ‘better’ or ‘worse’ than another – optimum cavity design is always subject to tradeoffs. These are encapsulated in figure 1 by considering the damped Rabi oscillations of the system as a photon is emitted. The desirable contribution to this damping, photon emission from $|u, 1\rangle$, is competing with spontaneous emission from $|e, 0\rangle$ and so maximising cavity emission is then equivalent to minimising the probability that the atom is found in the excited state. To this end, increasing the coupling strength, $g$, such that excitation is rapidly transferred from the atom to the cavity is desirable. However $\kappa$ should be sufficiently high so that the photon is emitted before being re-absorbed by the atom, but sufficiently low that the cavity field can build up and further stimulate the $|e, 0\rangle \rightarrow |u, 1\rangle$ transition. The optimum balance of these effects for photon extraction, stated already to be at $\kappa = g$, can then be understood as critical damping of the Rabi oscillations in the atom-cavity system.

B. Birefringence-enhanced photon extraction

We now consider how birefringence modifies the photon emission properties of the atom-cavity system, and, in particular, how this additional degree of freedom allows us to outperform the limiting tradeoffs in cavity design previously discussed. Birefringence is a lifting of the degeneracy of two orthogonal polarisation modes supported by the cavity. As light circulates the cavity, these modes accumulate a relative phase difference at a rate $\Delta_P$, the frequency splitting between them. The result is that the polarisation state of light circulating the cavity rotates at a rate $\Delta_P$, unless it is aligned with one of the polarisation eigenmodes. Recently this effect was directly observed through the emission of single photons from a birefringent cavity, with time-dependent polarisation states that evolved along their wavepacket [20].

For convenience, we take the polarisation eigenmodes of our cavity to be linearly polarised, $\{|X\rangle, |Y\rangle\} = \{|H\rangle, |V\rangle\}$, and consider the limiting cases of minimal and maximal overlap between this ‘cavity’ basis and the ‘atomic’ basis aligned with the coupled atomic transition from which a photon can be emitted into the cavity. If the emitted photon is aligned with one of the cavity eigenpolarisations, the system reduces to the simple non-birefringent case already discussed. However, in the case that the atomic basis is not aligned with the cavity polarisation basis, the effective coupling between orthogonal polarisation modes provides us with a mechanism to realise intra-cavity dynamics affecting only the photonic part of the atom-cavity system.

Taking the quantisation axis of the system to be defined by the cavity axis, it is reasonable to consider the atomic transition to be coupled by circularly polarised light. This is because within the paraxial approximation, Fabry-Perot cavities can not support $\pi$-polarised light as this would require the electric field vector to be aligned with the direction of propagation. The emission of a circularly polarised photon into a cavity with linearly

![FIG. 2. A two level atom, with a circularly polarised transition, coupled to a birefringent cavity with linearly polarised eigenmodes. In the cavity basis the circularly polarised photon emission couples to both cavity eigenmodes, which are split in energy by $\Delta_P$. In the atomic basis, the photon is emitted into the correspondingly circular $|+\rangle$ cavity mode, which is coupled – by the dephasing of its linearly polarised components – to the orthogonal $|-\rangle$ mode at a rate $\Delta_P$.](image)

![FIG. 3. Time evolution of a two-level atom coupled to a birefringent cavity. The Rabi oscillations of the coupled system are shown for both lossless ($\kappa=0$, $\gamma=0$) and damped ($\kappa=g$) cases, with the birefringence, $\Delta_P = \sqrt{2g}$ chosen to maximise the time spend in the $|u, 1\rangle$ states.](image)
polarised eigenmodes is summarised in figure 2 with the dressed states of the system expressed in either the circular basis, \( \{|+\rangle = (|X\rangle + i|Y\rangle)/\sqrt{2}, |\rangle = (|X\rangle - i|Y\rangle)/\sqrt{2} \), or the linear basis.

Formally, this system is described by the interaction Hamiltonian \[ \hat{H}_{\text{int}} = -g_0(|e\rangle \langle u|a^+_s a^+_u + a^+_u a^+_s|e\rangle \langle e|) - \frac{\Delta_P}{2}(a^+_u a^-_s + a^+_s a^-_u) - i(\gamma |e\rangle \langle e| + \kappa a^+_u a^-_s + \kappa a^+_s a^-_u), \] where we have assumed photon emission into \(|+\rangle\) and a resonant cavity, \( \Delta_C = 0 \) (which for a birefringent cavity we define as when each polarisation eigenmode is symmetrically detuned from atomic resonance by \( \pm \Delta_P/2 \)).

The system dynamics can be seen in figure 3 where an initial photon emission into \(|+\rangle\) is coupled into the orthogonal \(|\rangle\) mode, where it is decoupled from the atom. As a result, the atom spends longer in its ground state during these oscillations, only re-exciting as the photon polarisation continues to evolve back into \(|+\rangle\). The birefringence chosen, \( \Delta_P = \sqrt{2}g \), maximises the amount of time the atom spends in the ground state (and correspondingly that a photon is in the cavity), which in this system corresponds to a 2/3 of the overall time when averaged over many Rabi cycles.

By partially ‘hiding’ the photon from the atom in an uncoupled mode, we allow the system to spend longer in states with the cavity mode populated, i.e. states from which the cavity can emit a photon, resulting in a higher upper bound for the photon extraction efficiency. With a non-zero cavity emission rate, chosen in figure 3 to be \( \kappa = g \) for comparison to the non-birefringent case in figure 1, the oscillation between the orthogonal cavity modes would result in a corresponding oscillation in the polarisation of the emitted photon (the practical implications are discussed in section IV).

To further examine birefringence-enhanced emission, figure 4 shows the extraction efficiency as a function of the cavity polarisation eigenmode splitting for decay rates ranging from \( \{\kappa, \gamma\} \ll g \) to \( \{\kappa, \gamma\} \approx g \). It is striking that for a given set of \( \{g, \kappa, \gamma\} \), increasing the cavity birefringence achieves enhanced photon extraction. Moreover, this is a practical consideration as birefringence can be tailored independently of other rates in the system via the ellipticity of the mirrors [19, 37, 38]. The improvement in photon extraction is most significant in the strong-coupling regime. This agrees with our intuitive understanding that for the additional coupling provided by birefringence to be impactful, the excitation initially stored in the atom must sufficiently populate the cavity mode and remain there for long enough that the intracavity coupling takes effect (i.e. neither emission into free space, \( \gamma \), or from the cavity, \( \kappa \), depopulates the system before intra-cavity coupling between polarisation modes can take effect).

To formalise this enhancement, recall that the photon extraction efficiency in the strong-coupling regime of a non-birefringent system (equation 8) reduces to \( \kappa/(\kappa + \gamma) \). Birefringence allows a cavity excitation to circulate between two modes, one coupled to and the other uncoupled from the atom. A suitable choice of \( \Delta_P \) results in the atom-cavity system spending twice as long in states with a photon in the cavity than with an excited atom – exactly the effect already seen in the undamped Rabi oscillations shown in figure 1. When considering only the overall extraction efficiency, doubling the time the system spends in a state from which it can emit a photon out of the cavity is equivalent to doubling the cavity
decay rate. We can therefore modify equation (9) to give the optimum extraction from our birefringent cavity,

\[ \eta_{\text{ext}, C \gg 1, \Delta p = \sqrt{2} g} = \frac{2 \kappa}{2 \kappa + 4}, \]  

where, as noted in the subscript, this optimum is found at \( \Delta p = \sqrt{2} g \) (tending to equality for increasing cooperativities). The rate of spontaneous emission into free space of an excited state is unchanged so the overall result of lengthening the cavity is to decrease the photon extraction efficiency. Correspondingly, shortening the cavity in principle allows for more efficient photon extraction. Formally we can define

\[ g = \frac{g_0}{L_{\text{cav}}/L_0}, \quad \kappa = \frac{\kappa_0}{L_{\text{cav}}/L_0}, \]  

where \( g_0 \) and \( \kappa_0 \) are the coupling rate and decay rate at some reference cavity length, \( L_0 \). From this we can see that the cooperativity, \( C = g^2/(2 \kappa \gamma) \), is independent of cavity length. However, the photon extraction efficiency decreases with increasing cavity length. Note that any change to the cavity waist resulting from a change of cavity length can be corrected by correspondingly changing the radii of curvature of the mirrors. For simplicity this effect is neglected in this discussion.

We now consider a two level emitter of photons into the \(|+\rangle\) polarisation mode, coupled to a birefringent cavity with linearly polarised eigenmodes (i.e. the same system discussed in section [11][15] and presented in figure [2]. Starting in the strong coupling regime with \( \gamma_0/g_0 = 0.1 \), figure [6][13] shows how the overall photon extraction efficiency, \( \eta_{\text{ext}} \), changes as a function of cavity birefringence and length. As expected, we see that for a given birefringence, \( \Delta p \), as the cavity is lengthened (shortened), the extraction efficiency decreases (increases).

C. Implications for cavity design

Control over the interaction of quantum states of light and matter realised with cavity resonators can be utilised in many systems. However, the optimum cavity design is highly dependent on the desired application, as seen in the already discussed tradeoff between stronger light-matter interactions (high \( g \), minimal \( \kappa \)) and fast, efficient photon extraction (higher \( \kappa \)). Moreover, any realistic system will be subject to physical constraints, for example in the engineering limits of the mirror geometries and their dielectric coatings. In this section we emphasise that engineered birefringence is not simply a mechanism for increased photon extraction, but more broadly should be considered an additional degree of freedom with which to improve cavity implementations and offset other manufacturing limitations.

As an example, we consider the design of longer cavities, which is a desirable regime with emitters held in EM fields, such as trapped ions or atoms. Long cavities are necessary to minimise the interference of the dielectric mirror surfaces with the trapping fields [39]. However, any increased cavity length, \( L_{\text{cav}} \), comes with a reduced ion-cavity coupling, \( g \propto L_{\text{cav}}^{-1/2} \), due to the increased cavity mode volume, and a reduced cavity emission rate, \( \kappa \propto L_{\text{cav}}^{-1} \). The rate of spontaneous emission into free space of an excited state is unchanged so the overall result of lengthening the cavity is to decrease the photon extraction efficiency. For simplicity this effect is neglected in this discussion.

We now consider a two level emitter of photons into the \(|+\rangle\) polarisation mode, coupled to a birefringent cavity with linearly polarised eigenmodes (i.e. the same system discussed in section [11][15] and presented in figure [2]. Starting in the strong coupling regime with \( \gamma_0/g_0 = 0.1 \), figure [6][13] shows how the overall photon extraction efficiency, \( \eta_{\text{ext}} \), changes as a function of cavity birefringence and length. As expected, we see that for a given birefringence, \( \Delta p \), as the cavity is lengthened (shortened), the extraction efficiency decreases (increases).

Significantly however, the contours of constant \( \eta_{\text{ext}} \) show that the cavity length and extraction efficiency can be partially decoupled by a suitable cavity birefringence, allowing equivalent extraction efficiencies to be realised
at longer cavity lengths. This indicates that the reduced \( \kappa \) and \( g \) are more than compensated for by biasing the systems Rabi oscillations, using birefringence, to spend relatively more time in states from which a cavity-photon can be emitted. However, for longer cavities the coupling eventually becomes too weak to be fully compensated for in this manner, placing an upper limit on how long the cavity can be made without sacrificing performance. For example, the thick red contour denotes \( \eta_{\text{ext}} = 0.5 \) (the efficiency realised without birefringence from our considered system with \( \kappa_0/g_0 = \gamma/g_0 = 0.1 \) and \( \Delta P = 0 \) from equation (8)) and shows that we can at best approximately double the cavity length while retaining \( \eta_{\text{ext}} = 0.5 \) by having \( \Delta P \approx g_0 \).

As ever, there is a tradeoff to increasing birefringence in this manner, namely that the intra-cavity coupling between orthogonal polarisation eigenmodes will result in the emission of a photon with a time-dependent polarisation state. This polarisation impurity is shown in the right hand figure of figure 6a, as the overall fraction of the cavity emission into the \( |+\rangle \) mode. The emitted time-dependent polarisation states are discussed in [20], where it is shown that they can be modelled by using a Lindblad master equation approach. In principle it is then possible to correct emitted photons back into a stationary polarisation mode by using, for example, a Pockels cell, if this was required for the overall application of the emitter-cavity system.

We emphasise that the effects we are describing are relevant to on-going experimental work. As an example, we consider the system of Takahashi et al. [25] who demonstrated strong-coupling of an ion to an optical cavity. With a \(^{40}\text{Ca}^+\) ion coupled to a fibre-tip Fabry-Perot cavity, their system achieved coupling rates of \( \{g_0, \kappa_0, \gamma\}/2\pi = \{12.3, 4.1, 11.5\} \) MHz at \( L_0 = 370 \mu\text{m} \). Concurrently, multiple groups have demonstrated the controlled engineering of birefringence in such fibre-tip Fabry-Perot cavities through the ablation of elliptical mirror geometries [37, 38]. Figure 6b, shows the achievable photon extraction efficiencies, once again using our model of a two-level emitter of circularly polarised light coupled to a linearly birefringent cavity, if birefringence was engineered into a cavity matching that of Takahashi et al. Significantly enhanced photon extraction can be obtained and the thick red contour denotes \( \eta_{\text{ext}} = 20.0 \% \), the simulated performance of the physical system without birefringence. With \( \Delta P/2\pi = 18.4 \) MHz, the cavity could be lengthened by over 50\% to \( L_{\text{cav}} > 550 \mu\text{m} \) without any loss of performance. Alternatively, without altering the cavity length, the photon extraction efficiency is increased by more than a factor of 1.25 to 26.0\% at \( \Delta P/2\pi = 19.3 \) MHz.

It is important to note that we do not consider other physical constraints to the achievable extraction rates. These simulations simply underline that with the current state-of-the-art, the additional degree of freedom in cavity design afforded by birefringence can allow significantly different, and desirable, systems to be realised.

### III. N-LEVEL ATOM

We have seen that cavity birefringence can be used to populate a state within an atom-cavity system where the atomic transition is decoupled from light circulating within the cavity mode. Still, the bi-directional nature of the coupling to and from this state ultimately provides an upper limit to the achievable enhancement of cavity emission. For example, a two level atom coupled to a birefringent cavity has two dressed states in which the excitation populates the cavity mode, and so we are at best able to bias the system such that a photon in the

---

**FIG. 6.** Photon extraction properties for a two-level atom emitting circularly polarised, \( |+\rangle \), photons into a cavity with linearly polarised eigenmodes split by \( \Delta P \). (a) The photon extraction efficiency, \( \eta_{\text{ext}} \), and polarisation purity for a strong-coupling system. The contours of both plots are at multiples of 0.2. (b) An equivalent analysis of photon extraction efficiency and polarisation mode purity for a strong-coupling system. The contours of both plots are at multiples of 0.2. (c) An equivalent analysis of photon extraction efficiency and polarisation mode purity for a strong-coupling system. The contours of both plots are at multiples of 0.2.
cavity is decoupled from the atom 50% of the time. It then stands to reason that if we coupled to more dressed states where the photon is decoupled from the cavity, we could further enhance the photon extraction efficiency. Whilst birefringence alone cannot achieve this, in this section we consider the effects of multiple atomic ground levels with birefringence induced, and birefringence inspired, couplings between them.

A. Three-level atom

Consider extending the two-level atomic model considered so far to a three-level atom with two ground states, $|u^+\rangle$ and $|u^-\rangle$, and a single excited state $|e^0\rangle$, where the superscripts denote the magnetic spin of each level. $|+\rangle$ and $|-\rangle$ light within the cavity can then couple the $|u^+\rangle \leftrightarrow |e^0\rangle$ and $|u^-\rangle \leftrightarrow |e^0\rangle$ transitions, respectively. If we once again consider a birefringent cavity with linear eigenpolarisations, then we have the system shown in figure 7 where $\Delta Z$ is the energy splitting of the atomic ground states (a convention chosen in reference to the Zeeman effect, where an external magnetic field lifts the degeneracy of such magnetic sublevels). We emphasise at this point that this system is not overly contrived but can, in fact, arise in any atom-cavity coupling scheme where the ground state of the atom has a non-zero angular momentum quantum number $[11,12]$. Once again we ask: how efficiently can we extract the excitation from an atom initially prepared in $|e^0\rangle$ and realise it as emission from the cavity into a well-defined mode? Consider the system in two limiting cases; with only birefringence ($\Delta P \neq 0$, $\Delta Z = 0$) or detuning ($\Delta P = 0$, $\Delta Z \neq 0$) induced couplings. Though these cases will differ in the time-evolution of individual dressed states, the behaviours of both are equivalent when considering only the two relevant states for photon extraction, $\sum |u^\pm, \ldots\rangle$ (i.e. states allowing for emission from the cavity) and $|e^0, 0, 0\rangle \[12\]$. This is manifest in figure 8a, which shows the undamped Rabi oscillations for an energy splitting of $2g$, chosen to maximise the time-averaged population in the photon emitting states, in each case.

By further consideration of the case with no detuning of the atomic ground levels ($\Delta P \neq 0$, $\Delta Z = 0$), it can be seen that the couplings to and from states with a photon in the cavity are equivalent regardless of whether the atom is in $|u^+\rangle$ or $|u^-\rangle$. States with the same photonic configuration (the unique cases being $|u^+, 1, 0, \ldots\rangle$ and $|u^-, 0, 1, \ldots\rangle$) then evolve identically in time, allowing the system in these limiting cases to be effectively reduced to a two-level atom in a birefringent cavity, as was considered in section [11,12]. A rigorous treatment shows that this parallelism holds up to a scaling of the atom-cavity coupling rate, with equivalent effects observed for $2g$ in the the three-level case and $\sqrt{2}g$ in the two-level case [12]. This can be seen by comparing figure 8a to the undamped Rabi oscillations of the equivalent two-level system in figure 8. How a suitable birefringence ‘hides’ a photon in the cavity in a mode decoupled from the atom has been discussed in section [11,12]. A physical justification for observing the same effect in a three-level atom with $\{\Delta P = 0, \Delta Z \neq 0\}$ relates to the opposite detuning of each ground atomic state from resonance with the cavity. These detunings result in time-dependent phase terms of opposite sign for light circulating in each circular polarisation mode and so these modes periodically de-phase and re-phase. When the atom equally populates the two ground levels and is driven by fields of opposite phase, destructive interference between these two paths inhibits the re-excitation. For a suitably chosen detuning, every second Rabi oscillation is inhibited, maximising the amount of time the system spends with the atom in a ground state and a photon in the cavity.

Combining both cavity birefringence and detuning ($\Delta P \neq 0$, $\Delta Z \neq 0$) allows us to increase the photon extraction efficiency from our three-level atom beyond the limits of what could be achieved with only a two-level emitter. In this situation, the atom-cavity system is sufficiently complex that we numerically find values of $\Delta P$ and $\Delta Z$ that maximally bias the system’s population towards states from which the cavity can emit a photon within a time interval of interest. Figure 8b shows the complex oscillation dynamics within the system for $\Delta P = 2.11g$ and $\Delta Z = 0.98g$, values which maximise the cavity mode population within the plotted $8\pi/g$ time window.

As we consider ever longer time intervals – where the exact point within the oscillation dynamics at which the interval ends becomes increasingly less impactful on the average population distributions – the ratio of time the system spends in a state from which a photon can be emitted from the cavity ($\sum |u^\pm, \ldots\rangle$) to those where it cannot ($|e^0, 0, 0, \ldots\rangle$) tends to 4/5. With four such photon-emitting dressed states in our system and a single non-

FIG. 7. A three level atom, with circularly polarised transitions, $|u^+\rangle \leftrightarrow |e^0\rangle$ and $|u^-\rangle \leftrightarrow |e^0\rangle$, coupled to a birefringent cavity with linearly polarised eigenmodes. The ground states of the atom are split in energy by $\Delta Z$ and the cavity polarisation eigenmodes are split in energy by $\Delta P$, resulting in an equivalent coupling between $|+\rangle$ and $|-\rangle$ photon modes of the cavity.
FIG. 8. Time evolution of a three-level atom coupled to a birefringent cavity. (a) The Rabi oscillations of the coupled system considering only the effects of non-degenerate atomic ground states or cavity birefringence, with the ground state splitting, $\Delta_Z = 2g$, or birefringence, $\Delta_P = 2g$, respectively chosen for each case to maximise the time spend in $|u,\ldots\rangle$. (b) The systems evolution with both the cavity birefringence and the atomic ground state splitting chosen to maximise the time spend in $|u,\ldots\rangle$ within the presented time interval.

emitting dressed state, this agrees with our previous argument that with symmetric couplings we can at best evenly distribute the time-averaged population across all coupled states. Extending this to consider the efficiency with which we can extract an atomic excitation as a cavity photon, we assert that (analogously to case of a two-level atom in equation (10)) in the limit of strong-coupling

\[
\eta_{\text{ext,max}, C=1} = \frac{4\kappa}{4\kappa + \gamma},
\]  

(13)

where the subscript ‘max’ denotes $\Delta_P$ and $\Delta_Z$ chosen to maximise the extraction efficiency. By comparison to the simple case where $\Delta_P = \Delta_Z = 0$ (described by equation (6)) we find that the upper limit on this enhancement is $\approx 33.3\%$, achievable when $\kappa = \gamma/2$, an even greater improvement than is provided by birefringence alone.

Figure 9a shows the maximum possible enhancement for systems with $\kappa = \gamma/2$ as a function of the cooperativity, $C$. Whilst this enhancement tends to the upper limit for ever increasing coupling strengths, it is striking that significant improvements are obtained even for realistic cooperativities that can be obtained in practice. For $C=1$ up to $\approx 10\%$ extraction enhancement can be obtained, rising to $\approx 28\%$ for $C=10$. The emission dynamics of the atom-cavity system for the latter case with optimally chosen $\Delta_P$ and $\Delta_Z$ are shown in figure 9b, where we see the suppression of atomic re-excitation once a photon has been emitted into the cavity.

B. $n$ coupled ground states

The effects we have discussed so far arise from additional couplings beyond those between the atomic states that are coupled by the cavity. Birefringence provides an intra-cavity coupling mechanism between orthogonal polarisation modes, and detuning the ground levels of a three-level atom provides an equivalent coupling between the in-phase and out-of-phase superpositions of the photon-emitting dressed states. In principle, increasing the number of coupled states from which a cavity photon can be emitted increases the efficiency with which this emission can be realised – however, with only two orthogonal polarisation modes supported within a cavity,

FIG. 9. Photon extraction from a three-level atom, with ground levels split by $\Delta_Z$, emitting into the $|\uparrow\rangle$ and $|\downarrow\rangle$ modes of a cavity with linearly polarised eigenmodes split by $\Delta_P$. (a) Maximum achievable photon extraction enhancement as a function of cooperativity, $C$. Note the logarithmic scaling of the cooperativity axis. For a given cooperativity, the maximum enhancement is possible when $\kappa = \gamma/2$. For stronger coupling systems, the enhancement tends to an upper limit of $\approx 33.3\%$, which is shown as the dashed line. (b) The time evolution of the system with the optimal parameters for photon extraction at $C = 10$.

FIG. 10. An $N$-level atom, with a single transition coupled by a cavity at a rate $g$. The $n=N-1$ ground states are coupled in a chain at a rate $\Omega$. Each of the resulting dressed states, $|u_i,1\rangle$, decays to $|u_i,0\rangle$ at a rate $\kappa$ as the photon is emitted from the cavity.
it is difficult to continue to increase the number of coupled states by acting on the photonic part of the system alone. By contrast, the emitter can have many energy states decoupled from the cavity and in this section we consider how equivalent couplings between these modify emitter-cavity systems.

Figure 11 shows a general $N$-level atom, emitting into a non-birefringent cavity. We assume the $n=N-1$ ground levels of the atom are coupled at a rate $\Omega$ to form a chain. Such a system could be physically realised in various ways, for example by directly coupling magnetic sublevels or hyperfine levels of an atom with an RF field. Alternatively, the precession of the atomic dipole moment around a magnetic bias field that is orthogonal to the cavity axis would directly couple the magnetic sublevels of the atom when viewed, as is the case for photon emission, in the cavity basis.

Arguing once again that we can at best bias the system to equally populate all coupled levels, the maximum photon extraction efficiency in the strong coupling regime is then given by

$$\eta_{\text{ext, max}, C>1} = \frac{nK}{nK + \gamma}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

In comparison to a two-level atom (with $n = 1$, or equivalently equation 5) the maximum possible enhancement is $1 - 2/(1 + \sqrt{n})$, which is achieved when $\kappa = \gamma/\sqrt{n}$. With two coupled ground levels, $n = 2$, this is exactly equivalent to a two-level atom in a birefringent cavity discussed in section 11B.

Figure 11 shows the maximum achievable enhancement of photon extraction as a function of cooperativity for $n = \{ 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 \}$. The impact of adding more coupled ground levels can be seen to diminish for larger $n$, and although these larger systems can provide more efficient extraction, this improvement requires increasingly high cooperativities to be significant. Practically this reinforces that, as we have seen, relatively few coupled levels and physically realistic cooperativities are sufficient to realise significant enhancement. Inset to the figure are the intra-level coupling rates, $\Omega$, that maximise the emission efficiency. In all cases we see that these tend to $\Omega = \sqrt{2}g$ in the limit of increasing cooperativity.

The emission dynamics of the maximally enhanced $n = 10$ system for $C = 10$ are shown in figure 11b. We can see that once the atomic excitation has transferred into the cavity, the atomic population cascades through the ground states, decoupled from re-excitation, greatly increasing the probability of a photon emission from the cavity mode.

IV. DISCUSSION

In this work we have discussed how cavity birefringence, $\Delta P$, can be used to increase the single-photon emission probability from emitter-cavity systems beyond the hitherto anticipated limitations. In birefringence-modified systems, the intra-cavity coupling of orthogonal polarisation modes allows photons to be ‘hidden’ in a cavity mode decoupled from the emitter. Suitably applied birefringence is found to be far from inhibiting the efficiency of cavity-based light-matter interfaces. To the contrary, it can in fact provide significant improvements. This has been shown in the most fundamental application of such an interface, the extraction of an atomic excitation into a useable emission from the cavity. More generally, however, birefringence can be viewed as another free-parameter in cavity design, with its ability to mediate the tradeoff between sustained intra-cavity interactions ($g \gg \{ \kappa, \gamma \}$) and extraction efficiency (sufficiently large $\kappa$) allowing, for example, the construction of longer cavities without sacrificing performance.

The prescience of this work is underlined by recent experimental work. We showed that the effects of birefringence can become significant in the strong-coupling regime ($C > 1$) which has been recently demonstrated with both atoms and ions in open microscopic cavities. The ability to tailor non-negligible birefringence into these cavities has also recently been devel-

---

**FIG. 11.** Photon extraction from an $N$-level atom, with $n=N-1$ ground levels coupled to form a chain at a rate $\Omega$. (a) Maximum achievable photon extraction enhancement as a function of cooperativity, $C$. Inset are the intra-atomic level coupling rates, $\Omega$, required for maximum enhancement. In all cases this tends to $\Omega/g = \sqrt{2}$ (dashed line) for increasing cooperativities. Note the logarithmic scaling of the cooperativity axes. (b) The time evolution of the system with the optimal parameters for photon extraction at $n = 10, C = 10$. The solid traces represent the single state in which the atom is excited (red) and the combined population in all $n$ remaining states (blue). The individual population of these photon-emitting states are shown as the dashed traces.
oped [37, 38]. Therefore, the experimental regimes discussed within this work are within current technological limits and have the potential to significantly impact present-day and future experiments.

Cavity birefringence merits consideration in many of the wide-ranging applications of emitter-cavity systems, but is especially relevant to the pursuit of scalable quantum networks. Lengthening cavities without a loss of performance is desirable when trapping, for example, ions or atoms in optical resonators to minimise the interaction between the trapping fields and dielectric mirrors. Optical cavities mediating the interaction between flying photonic qubits and stationary matter-based processing nodes, is a leading architecture for quantum networking proposals [4, 8].

Further approaches for increasing the number of states in which a photon in the cavity is decoupled from the emitter were discussed. Specifically we consider a three-level emitter coupled in a Λ-system with ground states oppositely detuned from resonance with the cavity. The polarisation modes that couple the atomic transitions accumulate a phase difference as a result of the detunings, periodically de-phasing such that destructive interference between the two possible transition pathways suppresses re-excitation of the emitter. A more general model considering an emitter with multiple directly coupled ground states is then in essence inverting the effect of birefringence by ‘hiding’ the emitter from a photon in the cavity mode. In all cases, increasing the number of ‘hidden’ states which the system can populate without coupling back to the excited atomic state provides a significant increase of the photon extraction probability. Significantly, however, despite the increasing scale and complexity of these approaches, the majority of the benefits are realised in simple systems at physically realistic parameters.

From an implementation perspective, there are trade-offs to consider when increasing photon extraction efficiency by increasing the number of coupled states in either the photonic or atomic part of the emitter-cavity system. The additional coupling distributes the population across multiple states, resulting in either the emission of photons with time-dependent polarisation states (i.e. ‘impure’ photonic qubits) or leaves the emitter distributed across multiple ground states (i.e. ‘impure’ atomic qubit). These time-dependent polarisation states have already been measured in experiments to agree with a simple theoretical extension of the Jaynes—Cummings model [20] and in principle could be corrected for after emission using, for example, a Pockels cell. It should be noted, however, that modified polarisation states may not be problematic as identical birefringent cavities will emit photons in identically modified states.

Overall, we have demonstrated that the traditional limits to photon extraction efficiency can be surpassed by decoupling the emitter and the photon prior to emission from the cavity. The passive nature of birefringence, it need only be engineered into the system during construction, and the fact that this simple system can provide substantial performance benefits, make it a promising tool for realising this enhancement. However, the concept can be applied to any emitter-cavity system and, as we have shown, can be realised in multiple ways. As such, these principles have the potential to impact any cavity-based platform and the multitude of applications these support.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

The interaction Hamiltonian for the system shown in figure 9 is

\[
\hat{H}_{\text{int}}/\hbar = \begin{pmatrix}
-\frac{\Delta_0}{2} - i\kappa & -\frac{\Delta_0}{2} & 0 & 0 & -g \\
-\frac{\Delta_0}{2} & -\frac{\Delta_0}{2} - i\kappa & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{\Delta_0}{2} - i\kappa & \frac{\Delta_0}{2} - i\kappa & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{\Delta_0}{2} - i\kappa & -\frac{\Delta_0}{2} & -g \\
-g & 0 & 0 & -g & -i\gamma \\
\end{pmatrix}.
\]  

The change of basis to diagonalise the upper right 4x4 sub-matrix corresponding to states with a photon in the cavity mode,\[
|u^+\rangle \hat{a}^\dagger \rightarrow |u^+\rangle (\hat{a}^\dagger - \hat{a})/\sqrt{2},
\]
\[
|u^+\rangle \hat{a}^\dagger \rightarrow |u^+\rangle (\hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a})/\sqrt{2},
\]
\[
|e^0\rangle \hat{a}^\dagger \rightarrow |e^0\rangle \hat{a}^\dagger.
\]

This is given by the unitary,

\[
U = \begin{pmatrix}
1/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix},
\]
from which we find $\hat{H}'_{\text{int}} = U \hat{H}_{\text{int}} U^\dagger$

$$\hat{H}'_{\text{int}}/\hbar = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{\Delta p}{2} - \frac{\Delta Z}{2} - i\kappa & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \\
0 & \frac{\Delta p}{2} - \frac{\Delta Z}{2} - i\kappa & 0 & 0 & \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \\
0 & 0 & -\frac{\Delta p}{2} + \frac{\Delta Z}{2} - i\kappa & 0 & -\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \\
-\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} & -4\gamma
\end{pmatrix}.$$ (18)

It is clear from equation (18) that the roles of $\Delta Z$ and $\Delta P$ are interchangeable when considering only the total population of cavity-emitting ($\sum |n,\ldots\rangle$) and non-cavity-emitting ($|e_0, 0, 0\rangle$) states. Moreover, it is clear from this formalism that the cavity coupling strengths are effectively modified by a factor of $1/\sqrt{2}$ in comparison to the case of a simple two-level atom coupled to a resonator.