Track clustering with a quantum annealer for primary vertex reconstruction at hadron colliders
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Clustering of charged particle tracks along the beam axis is the first step in reconstructing the positions of hadronic interactions, also known as primary vertices, at hadron collider experiments. We use a 2048 qubit D-Wave quantum annealer to perform track clustering in a limited capacity on artificial events where the positions of primary vertices and tracks are drawn from distributions measured by the Compact Muon Solenoid experiment at the Large Hadron Collider. The clustering algorithm is tested on a variety of event topologies from 2 primary vertices and 10 tracks to 5 primary vertices and 15 tracks. We are limited by the convergence efficiency of the annealer, which is shown to decrease with increasing problem complexity measured by the number of qubits used to encode the problem. We identify three obstacles to reaching event complexities relevant for high luminosity hadron collider experiments, and suggest directions of research to overcome each.

INTRODUCTION

Hadron colliders circulate counter-rotating beams of hadrons in closely packed bunches that cross at designated interaction points. These interaction points are instrumented with experimental apparatuses that detect particles produced at hadron-hadron collisions when the bunches cross. Reconstructing the positions of these collisions within a bunch crossing, also known as primary vertices, from the trajectories of charged particles detected by the experiments is of paramount importance for physics analyses. The Large Hadron Collider (LHC) is a high luminosity collider that produces an average of 20 proton-proton (p-p) collisions at each bunch crossing, spread in one dimension along the beam axis. At one of the LHC interaction points, the Compact Muon Solenoid experiment (CMS) reconstructs the paths of charged particles from p-p collisions as tracks detected by its silicon tracker [1]. Track reconstruction uncertainties obscure which tracks originated together at a primary vertex. Thus, primary vertex reconstruction begins with a one-dimensional clustering of tracks by their distance of closest approach to the beam axis, $z_0$. In the CMS experiment, this clustering is done on a classical computer using a deterministic annealing algorithm that mimics a physical system approaching its lowest energy state iteratively through a series of cooling operations [2]. In this paper, we describe a method of performing this clustering in one step on a D-Wave quantum annealer and report preliminary results.

The D-Wave 2000Q quantum computer, available from D-Wave Inc., performs computations through quantum annealing [3,5]. The quantum processing unit (QPU) has 2048 RF-SQUID flux qubits implemented as superconducting niobium loops [6]. Each qubit has a programmable external magnetic field to bias it. The network of qubits is not fully connected and programmable couplings have been implemented between 6016 pairs of qubits. A computational problem is defined by setting the biases ($h_i$) and couplings ($J_{ij}$) such that the ground state of the qubits’ Hamiltonian corresponds to the solution. We call this the “problem Hamiltonian” ($H_p$)

$$H_p = \sum_i h_i \sigma^i_z + \sum_{i>j} J_{ij} \sigma^i_z \sigma^j_z,$$

where $\sigma^i_z$ is a spin projection observable of the $i^{th}$ qubit with eigenvalues +1 and -1. (This $z$ direction is not related to the beam axis at CMS.) It may be trivially mapped to a bit observable $q_i$ with eigenvalues 0 and 1 through the operation $2q_i = \sigma^i_z + I$, where $I$ is the identity matrix. The problem Hamiltonian may then be expressed for quadratic unconstrained binary optimization (QUBO) as

$$H_p = \sum_i a_i q_i + \sum_{i>j} b_{ij} q_i q_j,$$

notwithstanding energy offsets that are irrelevant for optimization. The D-Wave 2000Q programming model allows us to specify a problem in QUBO form by specifying $a_i$ and $b_{ij}$.

At the beginning of a typical annealing cycle in the QPU, a driver Hamiltonian puts all qubits in a superposition of computational basis states by introducing a global energy bias in the transverse direction ($x$). Annealing proceeds by lowering this driver Hamiltonian which simultaneously increasing the problem Hamiltonian as

$$H = A(s) \sum_i \sigma^i_z + B(s) H_p,$$

where $A$ is a monotonically decreasing function and $B$ is a monotonically increasing function defined on $s \in [0,1]$. $A$ and $B$ have units of energy. The adiabatic theorem guarantees that the qubits will land in the ground state of $H_p$ if this change is sufficiently gradual, and the ground
state is unique with a non-zero energy separation from other states, and the initial state of the qubits is the ground state of the initial field \[ \text{[10]} \]. These conditions are difficult to achieve experimentally. We therefore anneal within tens of microseconds during which quantum tunneling leaves the system in a low energy configuration at the end of the annealing process \[ \text{[10]} \]. We measure the final state of the qubits as a solution, and repeat several times. The lowest energy solution is then taken as the best one.

In this paper, we demonstrate an algorithm for track clustering that works by finding the ground state of a problem Hamiltonian. The Hamiltonian, which may be thought of as an objective function to minimize, is a measure of distances within track clusters given an association matrix \( p_{ik} \) between the \( i \)th track’s \( z_0 \) \( \{z_i\} \), and candidate vertices labeled by integer \( k \). We discuss the case where the matrix element \( p_{ik} \) is either 0 or 1 and hence expressed by one bit. Given \( \{z_i\} \) and the number of expected vertices, the quantum annealer solves for \( p_{ik} \). A generic version of this algorithm has been described by V. Kumar, et al \[ \text{[11]} \]. Our algorithm is tuned to the clustering characteristics of tracks observed at CMS. Given current limitations on the numbers of qubits and couplings, and the convergence efficiency of the annealer, we cannot cluster thousands of tracks into 20 or more primary vertices as expected in CMS data. To illustrate the principle and range of the algorithm, it was tested on increasingly complex bunch crossing event topologies from 2 primary vertices and 10 tracks to 5 primary vertices and 15 tracks, where the positions of vertices and tracks are drawn from measured distributions at CMS.

Finally, we discuss three obstacles for solving event complexities relevant in high luminosity hadron collider experiments and suggest directions of investigation to overcome each. Extended to two dimensions, it may be used for other high energy physics applications like clustering energy deposits in calorimeters to identify particle showers. Further research in this direction may accelerate both quantum information science and high energy physics instrumentation.

**FORMULATION**

For track clustering, we seek the ground state of the problem Hamiltonian

\[
 H_p = \sum_k \sum_i^n \sum_{j>i}^n p_{ik} p_{jk} g(D(i,j); m) + \lambda \sum_i^{n_T} \left( 1 - \sum_k p_{ik} \right)^2, \tag{4}
\]

where \( n_T \) is the number of tracks, \( n_V \) is the number of vertices, \( p_{ik} \in [0, 1] \) is the probability of the \( i \)th track to be associated with the \( k \)th vertex, and \( D(i,j) \) is a measure of distance between the reconstructed \( z_0 \) parameter of the \( i \)th and \( j \)th tracks. For one-dimensional clustering, we find the absolute distance between \( z_i \) and \( z_j \) divided by the quadrature sum of the measurement uncertainties \( \delta z_i \) and \( \delta z_j \) to be an effective measure:

\[
 D(i, j) = \frac{|z_i - z_j|}{\sqrt{\delta z_i^2 + \delta z_j^2}} \tag{5}
\]

CMS distributions of track \( z_0 \) around vertices tend to cluster \( D(i,j) \) near zero. This results in a cluster of energy levels near the ground state. To distribute the energy levels more uniformly, we use a distortion function on \( D(i,j) \)

\[
 g(x; m) = 1 - e^{-mx}, \tag{6}
\]

where \( m \) is the distortion parameter. This reduces the spread of energy drops between intermediate states as the system anneals and is seen to improve convergence efficiency. \( m \), is set to 5 for event topologies considered here. \( \lambda \) is a penalty parameter chosen to discourage \( p_{ik} \) for each track to add up to anything other than 1. While it should be large enough to discourage the probability of a single track to be assigned to multiple vertices, it must not drown out the energy scale of \( D(i,j) \). We tried several values of \( \lambda \) from 1.0 to 2.0 times the maximum of \( D(i,j) \) and settled on 1.2 times the maximum of \( D(i,j) \) for optimal performance. Not all solutions from the QPU have \( p_{ik} \) add up to 1 for all tracks; these are checked offline by a CPU and marked as invalid.

If each \( p_{ik} \) is represented by one logical qubit in the QPU, Eq. 4 is already in the QUBO form of the problem Hamiltonian described in Eq. 2. Therefore, it can be directly programmed into a D-Wave QPU. A logical qubit is one physical qubit or a set of strongly coupled physical qubits created to compensate for the limited connectivity of a single physical qubit and to mitigate bit flips from thermal fluctuations. The graph embedding used to map the network of logical qubits to the network of physical qubits is found using default D-Wave algorithms \[ \text{[12–14]} \] and can be re-used for multiple events. We need to program \( n_V n_T \) logical qubits and \( n_V n_T (n_V + n_T - 2)/2 \) couplings between them to encode \( H_p \).

**RESULTS**

To test the algorithm, we generate artificial events with vertex positions in one dimension sampled from a simulated distribution of p-p bunch crossings at the LHC interaction point within CMS. A Gaussian with 35 mm width is a good representation of this distribution. The \( z_0 \) parameter of toy tracks are sampled from Gaussians centered around the generated vertices with widths...
corresponding to realistic track resolutions measured in CMS [2]. These widths range from 0.1 to 0.7 mm depending on the 3D momentum of the tracks, which are also sampled from measured track momentum distributions in CMS [2].

As an illustration of the algorithm, consider an event with 3 vertices where 5 tracks emanate from each vertex. This requires 45 logical qubits to encode. The biases and couplings between them are obtained from Eq. 4 in QUBO form. It takes 8 ms to program them into the QPU. Sampling the QPU for solutions consists of annealing as described in Eq. 8, readout of the qubits, and a delay for re-thermalizing the qubits to mitigate intersample correlations. Annealing is allowed for 20 µs by default. Readout takes 123 µs, and the delay is set to 21 µs. 10,000 sampling cycles are performed. The energy spectrum of the solutions, of which 6,825 are valid (where \( p_{ik} \) add up to 1 for every track), is shown in Fig. 1. The energy scale is set by \( B(1) \) as defined in Eq. 3, which is 6h GHz in the QPU used for this study. Of the valid solutions, 6,615 have landed on the lowest energy solution, marked as “Solution 1” in the figure. On investigating the qubit states, we find that the lowest energy solution corresponds to the correct clustering of the tracks with their respective vertices. Thus, the efficiency of finding the correct solution is noted as 66%. A small number of valid solutions correspond to “Solution 2” where one track has been misassociated with a vertex. Further misassociations result in higher energy valid solutions in the spectrum.

Next, we consider an ensemble of 100 such events with 3 primary vertices and 15 tracks thrown from measured CMS distributions. Events with vertices spaced closely together compared to the spread of their tracks are difficult for the QPU to solve correctly and result in lower convergence efficiencies than events where vertices are widely separated. This results in a distribution of efficiencies shown in Fig. 2 with a mean of 42% and a standard deviation of 25%.

To characterize how this efficiency depends on event complexity, we repeated our investigation for six other event topologies: 2 vertices and 10 tracks, 2 vertices 16 tracks, 3 vertices 9 tracks, 4 vertices 12 tracks, 4 vertices 16 tracks, and 5 vertices 15 tracks. With increasing complexity, measured by the number of logical qubits needed, we observe a decreasing efficiency shown in Fig. 3. The trend has been empirically fitted to a quadratic form.

We determine the time it takes to solve an event on the QPU by finding the number of samples needed to obtain at least 1 correct answer with 95% certainty. This is estimated from the convergence efficiency. Assuming a binomial distribution around the mean convergence efficiency \( \epsilon \), we calculate the number of samples needed to be

\[
N = \log_{1-\epsilon} 0.05. \tag{7}
\]

Thus, while we need 2 samples (330 µs) for events with 2 vertices and 10 or 16 tracks, and 3 samples (490 µs) for events with 3 vertices and 9 tracks, we need approximately 10,000 samples (1.6 s) for events with 5 vertices and 15 tracks.

**CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK**

We find that a D-Wave 2000Q quantum annealer can be used to solve track clustering for primary vertex reconstruction at LHC experiments like CMS in a limited capacity. Tevatron experiments measured an average of 3 primary vertices per event and may have been successfully track-clustered by this quantum annealer. Going forward, we anticipate three obstacles in scaling up this technology for thousands of tracks and 20 or more primary vertices as observed in the LHC.

The first obstacle is the decreasing convergence efficiency with increasing problem size. In this paper, we have used the distortion function \( g(x; m) \) and optimized \( \lambda \) to improve convergence efficiency. A study of how energy spacing between intermediate states affect thermally assisted quantum annealing would allow us to systematically design better distortion functions and thus improve convergence efficiency. Instead of the default annealing
FIG. 2. A histogram of QPU convergence efficiency to the correct solution for the case of 3 primary vertices and 15 tracks using 100 events.

FIG. 3. The dependence of QPU convergence efficiency to track clustering problem complexity. The trend is shown by complexity measured in the number of logical qubits needed.

schedule outlined in Eq 3, it is possible to anneal with a periodic waveform where the orthogonal magnetic field is applied intermittently to partially scramble the information of the qubits. This may kick the system out of local minima as it quenches, and has shown promise for smaller problems [15] [16]. This technique, also known as “reverse annealing”, may also improve convergence efficiency for our problem. We did not study the influence of QPU parameters such as the annealing time and the rethermalization delay on convergence efficiency, and these may be tuned for this class of problems in future studies. Reversing the spins of the qubits to mitigate the impact of possibly asymmetric analog errors in the QPU is another optimization strategy that may be pursued.

The second obstacle lies in the failure of graph embedding from logical qubits and couplings to physical qubits and couplings beyond a problem size. When an event topology requires a single logical qubit to be coupled to many logical qubits, it results in long chains of strongly coupled physical qubits. Long chains of qubits freeze faster in the annealing schedule and land the system on sub-optimal solutions. This may be mitigated by delaying the annealing of chains based on their lengths [17]. Optimizing the chain lengths and weights, an exercise at the frontier of quantum annealing, may be pursued for this class of clustering problems. While each physical qubit of the 2000Q QPU has a maximum of 6 couplings to other qubits, the next generation Pegasus QPU from D-Wave will contain more than 5,000 qubits with a maximum of 15 couplings per physical qubit. Thus, hardware improvements will also alleviate the embedding bottleneck.

The third obstacle is the limited number of available qubits. To solve a 20 vertex and 1,000 tracks problem, we need 20,000 logical qubits with the current formulation. For such complex problems, we can use the clustering algorithm hierarchically by reconstructing a small number of primary vertices and then solving for sub-clusters. We leave the characterization of these algorithms to future investigations.
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