Proving the curvature-induced frequency asymmetry of spin-wave modes in magnetic nanotubes with coplanar wave guides
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We calculate the optimal conditions to quantify curvature-induced nonreciprocity in the dispersion relation of a magnetic nanotube by calculating the coupling impedance between spin waves modes of the nanotube and Coplanar Wave Guides (CPWs). We calculate the system parameters to quantify the curvature-induced frequency asymmetry up to GHz orders, and the changes on the asymmetry up to the same GHz range when a magnetic field $|\mu_0 H_z| < 60$ mT is applied along the large nanotube axis. Moreover, we show the feasibility of the CPWs to excite higher order SW modes with wavelengths down to few hundred of nanometers, which could be used to encode information not only in the longitudinal SW phase but also in the azimuthal one, therefore paving the way towards technologies that increases the density and fidelity of information transfer, as well as for encouraging technological development of 3D magnonic devices.

Magnonics, the field that harnesses spin waves (SWs) and magnons (their quanta) as the information carrier, promises an unprecedented augment of capabilities for information transfer, processing and sensing. Over macroscopic propagation distances without electron charges being displaced, SWs pave the way towards energy-efficient technologies that circumvent the crisis caused by the overheating of modern complementary metal oxide semiconductor (CMOS) technology. Indeed, applications employing magnons have been highlighted by their lower power consumption, reconfigurable multi-functionality, faster operation rates, and by its potential integrability to spintronic and electronic environments via the interconversion mechanisms among magnons, spin accumulation and electric charge. The magnon transistor for all-magnon data processing, SW multiplexers, magnonic beam splitters, magnonic diodes, SW logic gates and phase-to-amplitude/amplitude-to-phase SW converters are just a few illustrative examples for magnonic devices.

Up to now, magnonics focuses on two-dimensional (2D) planar geometries. Additional degrees of freedom can be obtained in three dimensional (3D) curved magnetic membranes. Indeed, curved membranes expand the toolbox of SW interactions with curvature-induced magnetochiral effects, which can be exploited for further enhancements of magnonic devices capabilities. Among the family of curvilinear 3D architectures like toroids, helixes, möbius rings and spherical shells, we focus here on the tubular geometry due to their predicted curvature-induced non-reciprocities in SW transport.

Despite the predicted curvature-induced magnetochiral effects in SWs, so far there is no experimental evidence of the nonreciprocities in magnetic nanotubes (MNTs). Accordingly, in this paper, we calculate theoretically the optimal parameters of a magnonic device that can be further implemented to confirm and quantify experimentally the curvature-induced non-reciprocities of SWs. We consider a nanotubular structure placed on two coplanar waveguides (CPWs) from which magnons are excited in the nanotube and collected via impedance measurements (see figure 1b). The nanotubular structure consists of a core-shell magnetic nanowire (CSNW) made of an inner conductor non-magnetic wire, covered by an intermediate separator shell and an outer magnetic membrane (see figure 1b). For theoretical modeling, the CSNW is treated as a simple MNT with its equilibrium magnetic configuration in a homogeneous helical state (figure 1a). Such an equilibrium state characterized by the angle $\Theta_0$ can be stabilized by simultaneously applying azimuthal and longitudinal fields, $H_0$ and $H_z$, respectively. The field $H_0$ is induced by an electric current $j$ that is injected to the internal conductor wire.

We model the feasibility to observe, via impedance response of the CPWs, the curvature-induced GHz-range frequency shift in the dispersion relation and its controllability by the application of $H_z$. We calculate the efficiency to observe nonreciprocities of the fundamental and higher modes, the latter characterized by their non-homogeneous azimuthal phase distribution. It is worth noticing that exciting higher SW modes are possible due to the non-homogeneous profile of the rf-field produced by the CPW along the azimuthal direction $\phi$ in the MNT mantle, as we see later.

In the following, analytical results are presented assuming a permalloy nanotube with outer(inner) radius $R = 105$ nm ($r = 95$ nm), length $L = 15$ $\mu$m, satisfaction...
magnetization $\mu_0 M_s = 1$ T, exchange stiffness constant $A = 13$ pJ/m and exchange length $l_{ex} = 5.8$ nm. The equilibrium state for these material parameters and geometrical dimension in the absence of external fields is given by a homogeneously magnetized body along the long axis with two oppositely curling vortex caps at the ends.\cite{53,54} The critical field to stabilize the circular magnetic state is $\mu_0 H_{crit} \approx 1.2$ mT.\cite{33} Therefore, in order to set the magnetization in this circular state a circular field strength of $\mu_0 H_0 = 5$ mT is assumed. Inducing this field value requires the injection of a minimum amount of electric current $j$ as is discussed in the supplementary material.\cite{53}

The application of $\vec{H}_z = H_z \hat{z}$ simultaneously with $H_0$ stabilizes the helical magnetization state,\cite{21,12,22} which can be described with the angle $\Theta_0$ (see figure 1c).

This angle must accomplish the equilibrium condition $(H_0 - H_u \sin \Theta_0) \cos \Theta_0 - H_z \sin \Theta_0 = 0$\cite{53} where $H_u = M_s l_{ex}^2 / b^2 \geq 0$ is the exchange field arising from twisting the equilibrium magnetization along the azimuthal direction $\phi$.

$$b^{-2} = 2 \pi \log(R/r)/S, \quad S = \pi(R^2 - r^2)$$

is the cross-section area of the magnetic shell and $M_s$ is the saturation magnetization. Under the condition $H_0 > H_u$, the helical state is stabilized, therefore the angle $\Theta_0$ evolves monotonically among $\Theta_0 = -\pi$ at very large negative values of $H_z$ (pointing to the $-\hat{z}$ direction), passing through $\Theta = \pi/2$ at $H_z = 0$ and going to $\Theta_0 = 0$ at very large positive values of $H_z$ (pointing to the $\hat{z}$ direction). During this evolution no hysteresis is presented, i.e. negligible coercive/switching fields.

The dispersion relation $f[n, k_z] = \omega_{k_z}^n/(2\pi)$ and dynamic susceptibility $\hat{\chi}_{k_z}^n$ (also known as the Polder tensor) in the case of $H_z = 0$ have been studied previously.\cite{22,24,25} In these works, the nanotube is in a circular magnetic configuration $\Theta_0 = \pi/2$, for which the asymmetries in dispersion relation are the consequence of the curvature-induced broken mirror symmetry of the dynamic volume charges. The SW wave numbers are given by the mode $n$ and wave vector $k_z$, both of them describing the SW phase distribution along the azimuthal $\phi$ direction and along the $\hat{z}$ direction, respectively. It has been shown that the dispersion relation is degenerated in the sign of $n$, hence the SWs form azimuthal standing waves with $[2n]$ nodal lines. With the application of a longitudinal magnetic field $H_z \neq 0$, the frequency degeneration regarding the sign of $n$ is broken, consequently the formation of azimuthal standing waves is disrupted and conditions to observe separately individual azimuthal modes on the nanotube mantle is therefore possible, as we see later.

Detailed derivations of $f[n, k_z]$ and $\hat{\chi}_{k_z}^n$ with the inclusion of $H_z \neq 0$, as well as, analytical calculations on the impedance response of the CPW system ($Z_{\perp}^{(Tot)}/|\omega|$ and $Z_{\parallel}^{(Tot)}/|\omega|$), are presented in the supplementary material.\cite{53} Nevertheless, for a better understanding of the manuscript the main results are written next. Accordingly, the dispersion relation is given as $\omega_{k_z}^n = \omega_{M_s} (A_{k_z}^n + \sqrt{C_{k_z}^n B_{k_z}^n})$ and the dynamic susceptibility as

$$\hat{\chi}_{k_z}^n = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \chi_{\rho\rho} & \chi_{\rho\phi} \\ \chi_{\phi\rho} & \chi_{\phi\phi} \\ \chi_{\rho\phi} & \chi_{\phi\phi} \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \frac{i\beta}{\omega^2 + \omega \epsilon_C} & \frac{i\beta}{\omega^2 + \omega \epsilon_C} \\ \frac{i\beta}{\omega^2 + \omega \epsilon_C} & \frac{i\beta}{\omega^2 + \omega \epsilon_C} \end{array} \right),$$

(1)

where $\omega_{M_s} = \gamma M_s, \quad \epsilon_C \equiv -\alpha_G \omega + i\gamma M_s B_{k_z}^n, \quad C \equiv -\alpha_G \omega + i\gamma M_s C_{k_z}^n$ and $\gamma = \mu_0 \gamma_0$, with $A_{k_z}^n$, $B_{k_z}^n$, and $C_{k_z}^n$ given as

$$A_{k_z}^n = k_z^2 \sin \Theta_0 - N_{k_z}^n \cos \Theta_0,$$

$$B_{k_z}^n = \omega_0 \sin \Theta_0 + h_z \cos \Theta_0 + h_u \cos[2\Theta_0] + (n^2 \omega_0 + l_{ex}^2 k_z^2)$$

$$+ (C_{k_z}^n \sin^2 \Theta_0 - M_{k_z}^n \sin[2\Theta_0]) + O_{k_z}^n \cos^2 \Theta_0,$$

$$C_{k_z}^n = \omega_0 \sin \Theta_0 + h_z \cos \Theta_0 + h_u \cos^2 \Theta_0 + (n^2 \omega_0 + l_{ex}^2 k_z^2)$$

$$+ J_{k_z}^n,$$

(2)
where \( h_z \equiv H_z/M_s \), \( h_0 \equiv H_0/M_s \), \( h_z \equiv H_z/M_s \),
\( \gamma_0 = g\mu_B/h \) is the gyromagnetic ratio, \( g \) is the electron’s
Landé-factor, \( \mu_B \) is the Bohr magneton, \( h \) is the Planck constant,
\( \kappa_x = \sqrt{A/K_d} \) is the exchange length, \( A \) is the
stiffness constant, \( \mu_0 \) is the vacuum magnetic permeability and \( \alpha_0 \) is the Gilbert
damping. The terms denoted by \( \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}, \mathcal{O} \) are hyper geometrical functions
that depend on the nanotube radius \( R \) and thickness \( d \)
(the inner radius defined as \( r = R - d \)) and represent the
normalized dynamic dipolar field contributions.

The inclusion of \( H_z \neq 0 \) is reflected in the dependence
on \( \Theta_0 \) of the normalized stiffness fields \( \mathcal{A}^n_{x,z} \), \( \mathcal{B}^n_{x,z} \) and \( \mathcal{C}^n_{x,z} \),
and in the term \( h_z \cos \Theta_0 \) in \( \mathcal{B}^n_{x,z} \) and \( \mathcal{C}^n_{x,z} \). Note that \( \mathcal{A}^n_{x,z} \)
is the magnetochiral stiffness field arising from the nanotube
curvature, whereas \( \mathcal{B}^n_{x,z} \) and \( \mathcal{C}^n_{x,z} \) constitute the stiffness
fields along the \( \rho \) and \( \phi \) directions, respectively.

\[
Z^{(\text{Tot})}_x[\omega] = \left( \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \right)^3 S \mu_0 \omega \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} dk_z \bar{z}^{(n)}_{x,z}[k_z, \omega] \tag{3}
\]

where \( \bar{z}^{(n)}_{x,z}[k_z, \omega] \) (see equations 22 at Suppl.
Mat. for the full expression) denotes the induced impedance
in CPW1 (CPW2) due to the electromagnetic coupling
between the CPW1 (CPW2) and SWs when are excited
in the nanotube with the CPW2 (CPW1). These impedances are given as
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**FIG. 2.** (Color online). Wave vector distributions created
by one CPW on the NT mantle. A radio-frequency current
\( I_{RF} \) is injected to one CPW, inducing the magnetic
fields \( H_x[\tau], H_z[\tau] \) and \( H_\phi[\tau] \) at the nanotube
mantle. Here (\( \rho, \phi, z \)) are the cylindrical coordinates.
A Fourier transformation of \( H_x[\tau], H_z[\tau] \) and \( H_\phi[\tau] \) produces
the fields in the Fourier space \( H_x^{(n)}[k_z], H_z^{(n)}[k_z], \) and \( H_\phi^{(n)}[k_z] \). These
fields show the wave vector distribution denoted by the
azimuthal SW mode \( n \) and SW wave vector along the nanotube
large axis \( k_z \). Representative peaks of the vector distribution
are mostly given at \( n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2 \) and \( k_z \) with values of \( |k_z| \in \{ k_0, k_1, k_2, k_3 \} = \{ 0, 5.5, 12.1, 17.5 \} \) rads/\( \mu \)m.
The geometrical dimensions of the CPWs are \( w = \text{GAP} = 250 \) nm
and a separation of \( s = 5 \) \( \mu \)m between the two CPW centers.
The nanotube dimensions are 210 nm in diameter, 10 nm in
thickness and 15 \( \mu \)m in length.

impedance spectrum as a function of the applied magnetic
field \( H_z \), as shown in figure 3. Here, the
color-code represents the imaginary part \( Z^{(\text{Tot})}_{1,1\text{Im}} \) and \( Z^{(\text{Tot})}_{2,1\text{Im}} \) of
the predicted impedances \( Z^{(\text{Tot})}_1 \) and \( Z^{(\text{Tot})}_2 \), respectively.

In these predictions, we can track the impedance resonances
to reciprocal and nonreciprocal SWs by super imposing
the SW eigenfrequencies \( f[n,k_z] \). The strongest
impedance signals are consistent with the larger peaks
from the rf-field distribution (see figure 2). Such peaks
correspond to the modes \( n = 0, \pm 1 \) and \( k_z \) are centered
at the wave vectors \( k_z = k_0, \pm k_1, \) with \( k_0 = 0 \) and \( k_1 = 5.5 \)
rads/\( \mu \)m. Note that the Kittel FMR mode, corresponding
to the SW with \( k_z = 0 \) and \( n = 0 \) (black-dotted curve),
can be resolved and is reciprocal as expected, i.e., \( Z^{(\text{Tot})}_{1,1\text{Im}} \) and \( Z^{(\text{Tot})}_{2,1\text{Im}} \) do not show a frequency shift at
\( n = 0 \) \( k_z = 0 \) \( n = 0 \) \( k_z = 0 \) is also predicted
by impedance calculations (red curve). Notorious
everciprocity are presented for SWs with non-zero wave
vector, \( k_z \neq 0 \), which is consistent with the nonreciprocal
term \( \mathcal{A}^n_{x,z} \) (at \( k_z \neq 0 \) the term \( \mathcal{A}^n_{x,z} \) \( k_z \neq 0 \), thus
the curvature-induced nonreciprocity is non-zero).

Under this condition, nonreciprocities can be observed by
comparing the case \( \{ n, k_z \} \) to \( \{ -n, -k_z \} \) between the

Using our model of the impedance induced by the SWs
at the CPWs (see equation 3), we can predict the SW

SW spectrums $Z^{(Tot)}_{1\text{Im}}$ and $Z^{(Tot)}_{2\text{Im}}$. Specifically, nonreciprocalities can be observed for $|k_z| = k_1 = 5.5\text{ rads}/\mu\text{m}$ and $|n| = 0, 1, 2$, and the quantification of the frequency asymmetries $\Delta f = f[n, k_z] - f[-n, -k_z]$ (for those wavevectors that are resolved by the SW impedance spectrum figure 2)) as function of $H_z$ are presented in figure 3. A maximum frequency shift of approximately $1.1\text{ GHz}$ can be resolved for $|n| = 0$ at $H_z = 0$, as expected. Note that impedance values for $n = 0, \pm 2$ and $|k_z| = k_1$ are at the order of $10^{-2}$ Ohms, therefore can only be slightly resolved by the color-code scale of $Z^{(Tot)}_{1\text{Im}}$ and $Z^{(Tot)}_{2\text{Im}}$ at figure 3a, b). In general, we estimate that, for $|n| \in (0, 1, 2)$, $\Delta f$ goes from (1.1, 0.15, 0) GHz at $\mu_0 H_z = 0$ to (0.2, -0.25, -0.1) GHz at $\mu_0 H_z = 20\text{ mT}$. Note the change of sign of $\Delta f$ that occur at $\mu_0 H_z \approx 1\text{ mT}$ for $|k_z| = k_1 = 5.5\text{ rads}/\mu\text{m}$ and $|n| = 1$ (see the red dashed curve in figure 3c). For this wavenumbers, the asymmetry shows a total variation of $0.4\text{ GHz}$. This value can be increased up to the GHz range by accessing larger wave vectors. For instance, a total variation of approximately $0.8\text{ GHz}$ ($1.2\text{ GHz}$) in the frequency asymmetry is predicted for $|n| = 1$ and $|k_z| = 12\text{ rads}/\mu\text{m}$ ($|k_z| = 18\text{ rads}/\mu\text{m}$). These wave vectors can be, in principle, excited with the proposed CPWs size, as shown in figure 2. In this figure, a small peak with $|n| = 1$ and $k_z = k_2 = 12.1\text{ rads}/\mu\text{m}$ ($k_z = k_3 = 17.5\text{ rads}/\mu\text{m}$) can be excited in the nanotube, as indeed occurs; however, the predicted amplitude of the impedance at these wavenumbers is of the order of $10^{-3}$ Ohms, which be challenging to be resolved with a Vector Network Analyzer setup.

It is important to comment on the total SWs wavelength ($\lambda = 2\pi/k$) that could be excited/measured on the MNT using the CPWs. Indeed, our predictions show that the curved topology of MNTs would allow exciting SWs with large (micrometer) and short (sub-micrometer) wavelengths $\lambda = 2\pi/k$, where $k = \sqrt{k_z^2 + k_{\varphi}^2}$ is the total wave vector magnitude and $k_{\varphi} = n/\bar{\rho}$ is the azimuthal wave vector. Hence, for those wavenumbers that can be resolved by the impedance spectrum, a micrometer wavelength $\lambda = 1.14\text{ \mu m}$ is obtained for $|k_z| = k_1$ and $n = 0$, whereas the shortest wavelength $\lambda = 300\text{ nm}$ is given for $|k_z| = k_3$ and $|n| = 2$. Notice that the wavelength can be reduced even further to $\lambda = 240\text{ nm}$ in the case that the mode $|n| = 2$ and wave vector $|k_z| = k_3$ can be resolved.

We have calculated the optimized parameters of a magnonic device that can be further implemented to confirm for the first time the curvature-induced nonreciprocal SW dispersion relation. Asymmetries in the SW dispersion at the GHz range, at sub-micrometer wavelengths and on-demand controllability on its curvature-induced nonreciprocal properties have been predicted for the given parameters. Moreover, we have shown that the application of an external field $H_z$ breaks the SW frequency degeneration regarding the sign of the mode number $n$, therefore allowing the excitation of SWs with wavelength down to $\lambda = 240\text{ nm}$, as well as, the observation of individual modes with the CPW setup.

In the context of magnonic applications, our results...
might encourage further developments in the emerging field of 3D magnon devices using curved magnetic membranes, for instance, for improving the capabilities of transferring information at few hundred nanometers SW wavelength encoded simultaneously in the mode number \( n \) and wave vector \( k_z \), and in the development of unidirectional SWs waveguides and magnetochiral magnonic crystals.
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